CHUM SALMON RESOURCES OF ALASKA FROM BRISTOL BAY TO POINT HOPE

425



Marine Biological Laboratory LIBRARY FFP 1 0 1963 WOODS HOLE, MASS.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, Stewart L. Udall, Secretary FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, Clarence F. Pautzke, Commissioner BUREAU OF COMMERCIAL FISHERIES, Donald L. McKernan, Director

CHUM SALMON RESOURCES OF ALASKA FROM BRISTOL BAY TO POINT HOPE

by

Chester R. Mattson



United States Fish and Wildlife Service Special Scientific Report--Fisheries No. 425

> Washington, D. C. May 1962



CONTENTS

Page

| Introduction | 1 |
|--|----|
| Bristol Bay region | 3 |
| Ugashik River | 3 |
| Egegik River | 3 |
| Naknek River | 5 |
| Kvichak River | 6 |
| Nushagak River | 6 |
| Togiak River | 6 |
| Cape Newenham to the Kuskokwim River | 6 |
| Kuskokwim River | 6 |
| Coastal area from Kuskokwim to Yukon River | 13 |
| Yukon River | 15 |
| Yukon River to Seward Peninsula | 19 |
| Seward Peninsula from Koyuk to Buckland | 19 |
| Buckland to Point Hope | 20 |
| Offshore Islands | 21 |
| Summary | 21 |
| Literature cited | 21 |



CHUM SALMON RESOURCES OF ALASKA FROM BRISTOL BAY TO POINT HOPE

by

Chester R. Mattson Fishery Research Biologist Bureau of Commercial Fisheries U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Auke Bay, Alaska

ABSTRACT

A literature survey was conducted to determine the importance and utilization of chum salmon, Oncorhynchus keta, from Bristol Bay to Point Hope, Alaska. This species is the most abundant and economically important to the welfare of the local residents within this vast area, except for the Bristol Bay area where sockeye salmon, Oncorhynchus nerka, far outnumber chum salmon. The Yukon River system produces the greatest number of chum salmon of all the areas covered here, with catches ranging from 500,000 to nearly 1,000,000 fish annually. The Kuskokwim River system follows, with annual catches averaging over 500,000. Ranking third in production is the Bristol Bay area where commercial catches range as high as 400,000. The rivers and streams entering Kotzebue Sound from the base of Seward Peninsula northwest to Point Hope rank fourth in importance, annual catches being estimated in excess of 264,000.

IN TRODUCTION

Although chum salmon (Oncorhynchus keta) are the most abundant species in the rivers and streams ranging north from Bristol Bay and extending as far east as the Mackenzie River in Canada (fig. 1), very few data are available on their abundance in this vast region. Abundance of chum salmon in the Bristol Bay region, where they follow sockeye salmon (O. nerka) in importance, can be determined quite accurately for the past several decades from commercial catch records.

Considerable interest in this valuable but biologically unexplored resource has been

aroused within the past few years as a result of the intensive Japanese high-seas salmon fishery. Information of a general nature, including distribution in the region and importance in the native economy, has been available for the two major river systems, the Yukon and Kuskokwim. The need for more specific information prompted the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries in Alaska to conduct a reconnaissance survey in 1957 (Raleigh, 1958). Brief surveys were made of many of the salmon rivers and streams between Cape Newenham and Point Hope, but the Yukon River was excluded. A survey of the salmon fisheries of the Yukon River was made, however, during the summer of 1958 (Knapp, 1958).



Figure 1.--Alaska and northwestern Canada.

Earlier information on salmon resources of the Yukon River was reported by Gilbert and O'Malley (1921), by the U.S. Bureau of Fisheries in the reports, Alaska fishery and fur-seal industries from 1919 through 1943; and by the Branch of River Basin Studies, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Juneau, Alaska. The River Basin Studies group has prepared several reports in the pastfew years on the fish and wildlife resources of both the upper and lower Yukon River basins.

The surveys of recent years have been limited in scope, and few biological or statistical data have been collected. The major objectives have been to estimate the numbers of salmon taken by local fishermen for subsistence, and to determine the types and units of fishing gear used in the various areas. Data have been gathered during visits to the many small Eskimo and Indian villages, where local residents were interviewed personally. Identification of the species has often been doubtful. Chum salmon taken on the same day in fish wheels on the Yukon River have ranged from silvery bright to a highly colored calico. To residents with limited knowledge of salmon coloration, salmon with such varying markings were often identified incorrectly as to species.

Data from the several sources mentioned will be discussed in this report in geographical sequence from Bristol Bay to the offshore islands of the Bering Sea.

BRISTOL BAY REGION

Chum salmon rank next to sockeye salmon in the commercial pack of the Bristol Bay region, but are much less abundant. From 1951 to 1959, commercial catches of chum salmon in Bristol Bay rivers ranged from 156,750 to 400,644 fish; the annual average catch was 313,424 (table 1). The Nushagak is the largest producer of chum salmon, followed by the Naknek-Kvichak system (fig. 2). The remainder of the areas produce considerably smaller catches.

The total annual Bristol Bay case pack of chum salmon from 1933 through 1959 is shown in figure 3. The data were obtained from the Pacific Fisherman Yearbook (1959, p. 87¹) and the files of the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Alaska. In recent years production has been low, reducing the average pack for the last 10 years to below the preceding 10. Since escapement data are not available, it is not known whether the case pack is an indicator of annual abundance.

Spawning ground surveys in past years were concentrated on areas utilized by sockeye salmon, and data on chum salmon have been gathered only incidentally. Lack of chum salmon adults in areas of the various weirs and counting tower sites, which were generally located considerable distances up the major salmon rivers, indicates that most chum salmon spawn in the lower reaches of the rivers or in tributary streams entering below these installations.

Chum salmon for personal use of local residents are taken mainly in the Nushagak, Togiak, and Ugashik Rivers. No data are available to indicate how many thousands are taken each year.

Brief comments from personnel of the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries familiar with the Bristol Bay rivers (fig. 2) are presented in the discussion that follows.

Ugashik River

The Ugashik is the southernmost of the Bristol Bay rivers. It is located on the Alaska Peninsula and is of minor importance in chum salmon production. Counts of salmon were made at a weir located immediately below the outlet of the lower Ugashik Lake from 1926 through 1932. Chum salmon counts ranged from 89 in 1927 to a maximum of 1,210 in 1932. Heaviest spawning of this species occurs in Dog Salmon River, although some are found in King Salmon River.

Egegik River

The Egegik is the river outlet to Becharof Lake and is another minor producer of chum

¹ 1958 a year of surprise in Pacific salmon canning. (January) p. 81-127.

Table 1.--Chum salmon catches, Bristol Bay area, 1951-59

| | Total | 156,750 | 249,421 | 387,346 | 400,644 | 212,185 | 315,517 | 259,342 | 358,092 | 481,516 | 313,424 | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | Togiak (326) | (2) | (2) | (2) | 1,352 | 735 | 25,483 | 44,186 | 20,277 | 44,575 | 22,768 | |
| ı number ¹ | Nushagak (325) | 85,624 | 117,875 | 127,483 | 159,852 | 97,521 | 172,546 | 143,461 | 193,688 | 186,891 | 142,771 | |
| r and statistical area | Naknek-Kvichak (324) | 38,844 | 93,835 | 212,112 | 138,016 | 39,405 | 93,841 | 45,620 | 119,324 | 200,458 | 109,051 | |
| Rive | Egegik (322) | 15,439 | 18,060 | 26,724 | 62,040 | 23,238 | 16,713 | 12,849 | 12,089 | 29,407 | 24,062 | |
| | Ugashik (321) | 16,843 | 19,651 | 21,027 | 39,384 | 51,286 | 6,934 | 13,226 | 12,714 | 20,185 | 22,361 | |
| | Year | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | Mean | |

¹ Statistical areas are by river systems, and commercial catches are taken off the mouths of these rivers. ² No catch records available.



Figure 2,--Important chum salmon rivers within statistical areas of the Bristol Bay region.



Figure 3.--Annual case pack of chum salmon, Bristol Bay, 1933-59, Data from Pacific Fisherman Yearbook, 1959.)

salmon. Most chum salmon spawn in King Salmon River, the only large tributary of the Egegik. A few chum salmon ascend the stream as far as the former site of a salmon weir, which was located just below Becharof Lake. In 1932 only 210 chum salmon were counted through the weir.

Naknek River

Chum salmon production in the Naknek River is somewhat greater than in the Ugashik and Egegik Rivers. Most chum salmon spawn in Big Creek, although small numbers use the minor tributaries, King Salmon, Smelt, and Pauls Creeks. The numbers that passed the weirs or counting towers on the main stem have been small; a maximum count of 1,044 was recorded in 1929. A few chum salmon have been observed at the Brooks Lake weir.

Kvichak River

Chum salmon runs in the Kvichak River are similar in magnitude to those of the Naknek River. In spite of significant numbers taken in the commercial fishery, few chum salmon appear at the outlet of Iliamna Lake. According to Bureau personnel, chum salmon are most abundant in the Alagnak River, a major tributary that enters the main river from the east, well within the influence of tides. Small numbers of chum salmon are known to spawn in the minor tributaries that enter the main river from the north.

Nushagak River

The Nushagak River is the most important chum salmon stream in Bristol Bay. The annual average commercial catch has been more than twice that of the combined Naknek and Kvichak River catches, the next most important streams. Most chum salmon utilize the main river for spawning, and very few have been observed at the counting station, which is located far upstream. They have been observed in considerable numbers up the major tributary, Mulchatna River, which enters the main stem below the counting site.

Togiak River

Although the Togiak is a minor chum salmon river, it has runs of nearly as many salmon of this species as of sockeye salmon. In recent years, its commercial production has been more than 20,000 chum salmon. Spawning occurs principally in the main river, but the small tributaries are also utilized by chum salmon spawners. Escapements up to 200,000 have been reported by personnel of the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries.

CAPE NEWENHAM TO THE KUSKOKWIM RIVER

The coastal area lying between Cape Newenham and the mouth of the Kuskokwim River contains a number of small rivers and streams (fig. 4). Two of the streams, Kanektok and Eek Rivers, have estimated chum salmon catches in excess of 20,000 (table 2). Chum salmon are known to enter nine of these streams, and sockeye salmon have been reported from eight. Sockeye salmon distribution, however, was not the same in all cases as chum. Aerial surveys by Raleigh in 1957 disclosed chum salmon in four streams, but the survey timing did not coincide with spawning. Their presence in the streams was verified by local residents. Small streams of doubtful value to salmon have not been included in the listing.

The importance of salmon in the food supply of the different native villages from Cape Newenham to the Kuskokwim River (fig. 5) is rated in table 3. The total estimated annual catch of chum salmon in the area was approximately 37,000. The village of Eek rated high in dependency on salmon, while Platinum and Goodnews Bay rated low. Villages inhabited only by native residents invariably depend more on the fish and wildlife resources for their livelihood than those with white residents. Also, the coastal natives dependless on salmon and more on other sources of food, such as seals, beluga, waterfowl, and ocean species of fish, than do the inland natives who live adjacent to salmon streams.

The 1960 fishing regulations, which were established by the State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game, allowed a quota of 25,000 sockeye salmon and a total of 3,000 salmon of all other species to be taken commercially within the mouth of the Kanektok River (fig. 4). Other than this, all salmon fishing is for the subsistence of local residents.

KUSKOKWIM RIVER

The Kuskokwim River, with 22,237 square miles of drainage, is the second largest river in Alaska, being exceeded in size only by the Yukon. From its source in the central section of interior Alaska, it flows in a generally southwesterly direction and discharges into the head of Kuskokwim Bay (fig. 4).

Although there have been commercial fisheries in this river, they were limited to king (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) and sockeye salmon, chum salmon being used in the extensive native personal-use fisheries. The more desirable species were salted, pickled, or dried by small commercial enterprises, but since



Figure 4.--Locations of productive salmon streams between Cape Newenham and Point Hope, Alaska.

many residents felt that the export of salmon products was working a hardship on the local inhabitants, commercial fishing on the Kuskokwim River was closed in 1925. It was again allowed in 1930 with certain restrictions. In 1931 a quota of 250,000 fish, including sockeye and king salmon, was established for commercial use. Generally this rather lenient quota was not filled by the salteries, but because of the effects of the commercial fishery, local residents again requested a closure of the river. In 1952, the export of salmon from

| | | | | | ¢ | Spe | cies | s oi | f f: | ish | ut | ili | zed | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------|------------|---------------------|------|--------|---|----------|----------------|-----------------------|---|------------------|--|-------------|--|
| Area | Square | | | en | out | | | | | | | K ¹ | | Sa | lmo | n | | Recent |
| and stream | drain- age area | Whitefish | Sheefish | Dolly Vard | Rainbow tr | Lake trout | Burbot ¹ | Pîke | Sucker | Blackfish | Grayling | Sticklebac | King | Chum | Coho | Sockeye | Pink | annual salmon catches |
| Cape Newenham to Kuskokwim River: Slug River Unaluk River Goodnews River Indian Creek Cripple Creek Jack Smith Creek No name Aralik River Eek River Kuskokwim River. | 57 13 1,018 60 23 23 173 250 752 1,102 22,237 | x | X | x x x x x x | X | X | x | x | x | x x x | x | x | x x x x x | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x | x x x | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x | x | 3,800 200 22,600 39,300 698,700 |
| Kuskokwim River to Yukon River: Kugalik River Kuguklik River Tooksook River Manopiknak River Azun River Scanmon River | 22,237 258 381 113 290 315 755 315 | A | ~ | | | ~ | x | | x | X X X X X X X X X | x | x x x | X | x | x | | X | 5,100 |
| Yukon River to Seward Peninsula: Pastolik River Pikmiktalik River Golsovia River Unalakleet River Egavik Creek Shaktolik Creek. Ungalik River Inglutalik Biver | 146 70 82 220 1,511 164 888 756 724 | x x x x x | x x x | x x x x | x | X | x x x | x | x | x | x | x | x x x x | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x | x x x x | x | x x x | 1,000,000 300 105,600 39,600 2,500 |
| Seward Peninsula from Koyuk to Buckland: Koyuk River Kwiniuk River Niukluk River | 1,634 189 957 | x x x | | x x | | | x x | | | | | x | x | x x x | x x x | x x | x x x | 55,600 16,600 35,700 |

Table 2.--Species of fish reported by natives from streams between Cape Newenham and Point Hope, Alaska, and estimates of annual salmon catches

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 2.--Species of fish reported by natives from streams between Cape Newenham and Point Hope, Alaska, and estimates of annual salmon catches--Continued

| | | | | | | Spe | ecie | es c | of f | ìsh | ı u | tili | ized | 1 | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------|---------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|------|--------|-----------|-------------|------------------|--------|--|-------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| Area | Square miles | | | len | out | | | | | | | c k ¹ | | Sa | a lmc | on | | Recent |
| and stream | drain- age area | Whitefish | Sheefish | Dolly Vard | Rainbow th | Lake trout | Burbot ¹ | Pike | Sucker | Blackfish | Grayling | Stickleba | King | Chum | Coho | Sockeye | Pink | salmon catches |
| Seward Peninsula from Koyuk to BucklandCon. Flambeau River Nome River Sinuk River Kuzitrin River Arctic River Serpentine River Immacheuk River Kugruk River Buckland to Point Hope: Kauk River Selawik River | 252 390 293 2,291 220 290 692 233 635 195 1,500 1,500 11,767 | x x x x x x x x x x | x x x | x x x x x x x | | x | x x x x x | x | x | xx | x | | x x | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x | x x x | x x x x x x x x x | x x x x x | 17,100 900 24,800 3,500 11,500 |
| Noatak River Kukpuk River Wulick River | 19,215 450 1,200 | X X X | | X X X | | | X X X | x | | | X X X | | x | X X X | | | | 14,400 500 600 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | d | A | | | | ² 2,224,200 |

¹ Burbot reported as "lingcod" by natives; stickleback, as "needlefish."

 2 The total catch figure by river includes the estimated catch for the Yukon River, but does not include salmon caught in salt water. Therefore, the total catch figure given in table 3 does not correspond to the one in table 1 or to the total catch figure for the area.

Source: Raleigh, 1958.

the Kuskokwim was eliminated by a complete closure, but in 1954 restrictions were relaxed to the extent that 3,000 king salmon could be taken commercially below Aniak (fig. 5) and the same number above this village.

In 1960 the Alaska Department of Fish and Game established commercial fishing quotas of 3,000 king and 1,500 coho (O. kisutch) salmon below the town of Akiachak (fig. 5) and 1,500 each of king and coho salmon between Akiachak and the mouth of the Aniak River. Changes, which will be effective in 1961, limit catches of king salmon only before August 1. Taking salmon from Akiachak upstream to the middle mouth of Aniak Slough is limited to 1,500 each of king and coho salmon, and similar quotas for the two species



Figure 5.--Villages where estimates of annual average chum salmon catches were made.

were established for the area defined. Although chum salmon may be taken legally for commercial use after August 1, the main runs will have passed the areas of commercial fisheries and thus will have been provided protection until they have reached their spawning areas. Local use for subsistence needs is not affected by the regulations.

Utilization of chum salmon for native subsistence has been rather extensive. L. G. Wingard (Bower, 1923) estimated that 300,000 Table 3.--Importance of chum salmon in food supply of villages, Cape Newenham to Point Hope, 1957

| | Popul | ation | Recent average | Rate of |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| Village and area | People | Dogs | annual chum salmon catch | village dependency |
| Cape Newenham to Kuskokwim River: Platinum. Goodnews Bay. Quinhagak. Eek ¹ . Total for area. | 40 110 245 175 | 25 130 200 160 | 40 1,850 9,540 25,440 36,870 | Low Low Medium High |
| Kuskokwim River: Napaiskak. Oscarville. Kwethluk. Akiak. Tuluksak. Ogalvik. Aniak. Sleetmute. Stony River. Wilsons. Sterling Landing. McGrath. Medfra-Nikolai. Parks. Crooked Creek. Napamute. Akiachak. Bethel. Napakiak. Numapitchuk. Numachuk. Kasiglook. Eek ² . Tuntaluliag. Kwigillingok ² . Kipnuk ² . Chefornak ² . | 170 40 240 191 150 5 162 175 55 6 5 200 100 6 43 1 175 1,000 170 250 7 190 175 300 305 217 184 | $\begin{array}{c} 200\\ 35\\ 150\\ 200\\ 175\\ 8\\ 340\\ 250\\ 55\\ 7\\ 9\\ 75\\ 175\\ 7\\ 49\\ 0\\ 300\\ 250\\ 105\\ 350\\ 9\\ 200\\ 160\\ 400\\ 350\\ 300\\ 161 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 26,380\\ 10,000\\ 16,850\\ 13,520\\ 48,660\\ 1,240\\ 25,460\\ 35,640\\ 4,000\\ 1,200\\ 500\\ 4,000\\ 1,200\\ 500\\ 4,000\\ 1,300\\ 7,000\\ 200\\ 12,160\\ 98,930\\ 15,940\\ 23,400\\ 1,400\\ 28,200\\ 12,720\\ 59,620\\ 25,460\\ 20,000\\ 17,040\\ \hline\end{array}$ | High High Medium Medium High Medium High Medium High Low Medium High High Low High Medium High Medium High Medium High High Medium High High |
| Kuskokwim River to Yukon River: Kipnuk ¹ Chefornak ¹ Nightmute Tununak Chevak Hooper Bay Scammon Bay Total for area | 217 184 205 120 250 415 120 | 300 161 200 175 350 116 140 | 10,000 8,520 30 30 14,480 12,150 2,270 47,480 | Medium High Low Low Low Low Low |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.--Importance of chum salmon in food supply of villages, Cape Newenham to Point Hope, 1957--Continued

| Village and area | Popula | ation | Recent average | Rate of |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| VIIIabe and area | People | Dogs | annual chum salmon catch | dependency |
| Yukon River to Seward Peninsula: Stebbins. St. Michaels. Unalakleet. Shaktoolik. Total for area. | 152 160 510 149 | 127 100 360 200 | $ \begin{array}{r} 11,970 \\ 5,030 \\ 34,630 \\ 15,480 \\ \hline 67,110 \end{array} $ | Medium Low High High |
| Seward Peninsula Koyuk to Buckland: Koyuk. Elim. Golovin. White Mountain. Council. Bluff. Solomon. Port Safety. Fort Davis. Nome. Salmon Lake. Teller. Igloo. Wales. Shishmaref. Deering. Candle. Buckland. | 120 120 40 128 30 1 40 2 40 1,500 2 250 6 100 183 110 59 114 | 200 130 49 90 20 7 30 10 6 300 5 150 9 30 300 100 37 130 | $\begin{array}{c} 40,320\\ 14,300\\ 7,010\\ 15,920\\ 2,320\\ 640\\ 1,170\\ 600\\ 1,680\\ 62,300\\ 1,000\\ 8,500\\ 1,000\\ 8,500\\ 1,000\\ 150\\ 170\\ 24,780\\ 3,500\\ 11,500\\ \hline 196,860\\ \end{array}$ | High Medium High High Low Medium Medium Medium High Low High Low High Low Medium |
| Buckland to Point Hope: Kotzebue Noorvik Kiana Kobuk Lake ³ Shungnak Noatak Noatak Foint Hope Total for area Grand total | 650 325 250 9 175 51 310 130 275 | 500 535 400 20 170 80 375 125 250 | 36,520 61,630 70,400 3,000 46,680 14,000 29,420 500 2,520 264,670 1,124,810 | Low Medium High High High Medium Low Low |

¹ Village listed in correct geographical order, but salmon catch partly or wholly taken in another area.

² Village located in a different geographical area, but portion of catch taken from area listed.

³ Not located on map.

Source: Raleigh, 1958.

chum salmon were dried for human consumption and dog food. Annual estimates of chum salmon taken by local residents were made by agents of the U.S. Bureau of Fisheries for many years. These estimates are given in table 4, as are the numbers of fish wheels and fathoms of nets used in the fisheries from 1922 through 1943. The salmon were reported in tons of dried fish, which have been converted into numbers using a conversion factor of 1.2 pounds of dried salmon to one whole fresh fish. The average annual catch for the period was calculated at 465,700 fish.

In the 1928 annual report of the Alaska fishery and fur-seal industries (Bower, 1929), mention was made of the decline in demand for salmon as dog food, brought about when dogs were replaced by airplanes in transporting fur catches to Fairbanks and Anchorage. Chum salmon continued however to be important in the livelihood of the native residents of the Kuskokwim River area, for some of the largest annual catches were made after 1928 (table 4).

The survey of Raleigh (1958) estimated the recent annual average chum salmon catch at 511,820 (table 3), which compares closely with the calculated average of 465,700 for the period 1922-43. The natives along the Kuskokwim River continue to have a high dependency on salmon. Raleigh found that in the 27 villages surveyed, 15 had a high dependency, 10 a medium, and only 2 a low. He rated the dependency as follows: "The degree of dependency of a village upon the salmon resources was estimated by dividing figures for the total people + (total dogs x 0.7) of the village into the total salmon catch estimate for the village. A village utilizing salmon at a ratio of 100:1 or greater per year on this basis was designated as high, one utilizing salmon at a ratio of from 50 to 99:1 as medium, and less than this as low." The locations of the villages on the Kuskokwim River surveyed by Raleigh are shown in figure 5. The dependency on salmon resources is greatest in villages located along the lower river and least in villages in the upper reaches of the river.

Raleigh further noted the demand for salmon by the natives of the Kuskokwim River system as follows:

From the Territory of Alaska Department of Vital Statistics it was learned that the population of the study area is increasing. Birth and death records for the area from the United States Department of Health, Education and Welfare indicate that a substantial increase in population has occurred since the 1950 census, Also from the same office it was learned that welfare fund payments in the study area have increased steadily in the past five years. Among the factors listed as contributing to the need for welfare aid were inadequate employment opportunities and the occasional failure of the salmon runs to provide enough fish for a winter's food supply. The increasing population, along with the decreased value of furs, has probably made the local people more dependent upon the salmon resources than they previously had been. L. G. Wingard (Bower, 1923) states, "... it seems that the natives of the Kuskokwim River do not depend upon dried salmon for their winter food supply in by any means as large a ratio as do the natives of the Copper River region." In more recent reports there frequently occur notations concerning villages dependent upon the fish resources that have had dog teams starve to death and local people in serious need due to lack of sufficient dried salmon supply to carry them through the winter months. For example: Mrs. Pentecost, principalteacher for the village of Tuluksak states in her Annual Survey of Native Foods Report (1954), "The food supply for the coming winter is very inadequate. There was a poor run of silver [coho] and dog [chum] salmon Some fresh fish will be available during the winter, but the quantities available are usually quite limited and therefore should not be counted as an important source of food supply. The major food is the dried salmon. Last year this was inadequate, and this year there is only about one-half the amount there was last year."

COASTAL AREA FROM KUSKOKWIM TO YUKON RIVER

The coastal area between the mouths of the Kuskokwim and Yukon Rivers is composed of low, flat tundra with a number of small, rather short rivers (fig. 4). Although there are several streams in this area, only one, the Tooksook River, was rated as appearing suitable for salmon according to Raleigh, who made aerial surveys over the larger streams of this area. The majority were muddy tundra streams of dubious value to salmon. Although there is no estimate given for the Aphrewn

| Remarks | Estimates made by agent L. G. Wingard. | Very light run and poor fishery. | Incomplete data available, no agent on duty. | Agent stationed on river. | No specific remarks noted in annual report. | Flu epidemic reduced subsistence fishery. | Heavy run, effect of air travel noted on need | for dog food for first time. | Heavy runs, best reported by natives in years. | Half of dried fish lost due to spoilage by rain | Runs lighest in years. | Excellent catch, best in recent years. | Catches below average. | Good catches by natives. | Adequate supplies procured by natives. | Good catches were obtained by local residents. | Reports fragmentary, catches estimated. | Chums reported very abundant, yet catches low. | Very poor runs, no agent present. | No agent present, heavy catches reported. | No agent present, catch data based on previous | years. | No agent present, catch data estimated. | No agent present. | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|--------|---|-------------------|---------|---|--|
| Fathoms of gill nets | | | | 3,050 | 6,200 | 5,500 | 4,800 | | 4,100 | 12,085 | 9,570 | 7,470 | 7,630 | 10,580 | 6,820 | 4,955 | 4,900 | 13,499 | 13,000 | | 13,000 | | 8,500 | 1 | | | |
| Number of fish wheels | | | | 57 | 25 | 16 | 22 | | 20 | 55 | 38 | 51 | 38 | 47 | 50 | 97 | 45 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 47 | | 47 | 1 | | | |
| ated catch Numbers ¹ | 300.000 | | 221,000 | 250,000 | 798,300 | 310,000 | 516,700 | | 606,700 | 584,200 | 421,700 | 808,300 | 470,000 | 646,700 | 615,000 | 595,000 | 581,700 | 405,000 | 125,000 | 428,000 | 428,000 | | 335,000 | 333,300 | 465.700 | | |
| Estim | | | 133 | | 479 | 186 | 310 | | 364 | 351 | 253 | 485 | 282 | 388 | 369 | 357 | 349 | 243 | 75 | 257 | 257 | | 201 | 200 | rage | 0 | |
| Year | 1922 | 1923 | 1924 | 1925 | 1926 | 1927 | 1928 | | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 | 1937 | 1938 | 1939 | 1940 | 1941 | | 1942 | 1943 | Ave | | |

Table 4. --Kuskokwim River chum salmon estimated catches and units of gear, 1922-43

¹ Data obtained by converting tons of dried salmon into numbers by using a conversion factor of 1.2 pounds of dried fish equal to one salmon (from Knapp, 1958).

Source: Annual reports Alaska fishery and fur-seal industries, 1922-43.

River in table 2, a considerable chum production is indicated by the number utilized at Chevak (table 3), which is located on this stream. Reliable information on the streams was very limited, and many could not be rated for presence of salmon.

Raleigh rated only two of the seven villages as medium or high in dependency upon salmon (table 3). The two villages, Kipnuk and Chefornak, take most of the salmon for their use from the Kuskokwim River. Note that these villages are listed twice in table 3 since they derive a considerable amount of salmon from the Kuskokwim River. The estimated annual chum salmon catch for the region was approximately 47,500.

YUKON RIVER

The Yukon River district is the most important economically for chum salmon of the areas under investigation. The Yukon is one of the largest rivers on the North American continent and has a drainage area of approximately 330,000 square miles. The sources of the system lie in a series of lakes located in southwestern Yukon Territory and northwestern British Columbia in Canada. The river flows in a northwesterly direction from these lakes to Fort Yukon in Alaska and then in a generally southwesterly direction to its mouth, which is on the Bering Sea south of Norton Sound (fig. 1).

In this river system are found all five North American species of Pacific salmon. Chum salmon are the most abundant, followed by king and coho. Sockeye and pink (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha) salmon are limited in numbers and are found only in the lower reaches of the river. A limited commercial fishery is allowed principally on king salmon, but all species are used in an extensive subsistence fishery.

The native subsistence fishery extends from the mouth of the Yukon River well up into the Yukon Territory of Canada. Chum salmon are known to ascend the main river as far as the mouth of the Teslin River and then up this tributary to Teslin Crossing (fig. 1).

Chum salmon are extensively utilized for dog food, perhaps even more than for human

consumption. The term "dog salmon" may include coho and sockeye salmon, as any salmon (except king and pink) fed to dogs is called by this term.

First commercial utilization of salmon of the Yukon River was reported in 1918 by Bower (1919) as follows: "The development of the Yukon salmon fisheries bagan in 1918 with the establishment of a floating cannery at Andreafski. The season's operations resulted in a pack of 13,463 cases of salmon, divided as follows: Cohos 2,661, chums 6,471, humpbacks[pink] 107, and kings 4,224 cases. In addition to this, 10,400 pounds of cohos and chums were dry-salted. The total catch of salmon for the cannery was 115,531, of which 26,144 were cohos, 73,921 chums, 3,227 humpbacks, and 12,239 kings. Fishing was carried on from the mouth of the Yukon to a point above the junction of Clear River, chiefly in that part of the Yukon delta known as Kwikluak Pass. The fishing seasons were as follows: Kings, June 26 to August 17; chums, June 28 to September 8; humpbacks, July 7 to July 29; and cohos. August 3 to September 8." The Carlisle Packing Co. of Seattle conducted these operations.

In 1919 and 1920 the same company operated a cannery in the Kwiguk Slough. The 1919 operations were quite successful, 101,107 king and 357,081 salmon of smaller species, mainly chum, being processed. However, this was a year in which the salmon runs were well below normal, and fishing conditions, except near the mouth of the river, were extremely poor. As a result, the native subsistence fisheries suffered severely from lack of adequate supplies of salmon. Gilbert and O'Malley (1921) reported the following: "In 1919 the company reported capture of 101,107 king salmon and 357,081 small salmon, largely chums, If these had been captured upriver and dried, the king salmon would then have averaged about five pounds each and the chums 1 1/4 to 1 1/3 pounds. Adopting the lower figure, the cannery pack, dried, would have amounted to 252 tons of king salmon and 223 tons of the smaller varieties, or 475 tons altogether. This is held to be more than twice any possible estimate of the amount of dried salmon actually put up during that season on the entire river."

Fortunately, the 1920 salmon runs were greater than those of 1919, and the cannery operations affected the escapements far less than in the previous year. Gilbert and O'Malley (1921) commented on the conditions as follows:

In 1920 there was at least a fair average run of the better class, and not improbably it was one of the best runs that can be expected in the Yukon; but the cannery was unsuccessful, owing to adverse fishing conditions. It obtained a little more than half as many kings as in 1919 and less than half as many chums. Had the 58,000 kings and 155,000 chums been permitted to enter the river more salmon undoubtedly would have reached the spawning grounds, but the amount of dried salmon would not have been greatly increased. In the first place the number released would bear a small ratio to the total number running in so good a year; and, furthermore, along that section of the river which put up by far the larger amount of dried salmon, wheels, if operated more than a few hours each day during the height of the run, caught more fish than could be cleaned and prepared for drying. It does not then appear that with a large run of salmon and a relatively small cannery pack the latter has any recognizable effect in lessening the dried salmon supply of the Yukon, We are not prepared, however, to venture the assertion that such would have been the case had the cannery pack in 1920 reached as large proportions as it attained in 1919. But even had the cannery put up the full 60,000 cases in 1920, for which it made preparations, it would not have reproduced the severe conditions which existed on the river in 1919. These, as had been shown, were the result of a phenomenally poor season, made much worse by a large cannery pack.

A public hearing was held in Seattle, November 23, 1920, to consider the advisability of closing all commercial fishing on the Yukon River system. The report of this hearing (Bower, 1921) stated;

Satisfactory information was presented at that hearing in support of the opinion generally expressed that commercial fishing for export could not be continued without seriously depleting the runs of salmon and jeopardizing the lives of the native inhabitants of the region and their indispensable dogs. Accordingly the Secretary of Commerce issued an order on December 18, 1920, prohibiting the taking of salmon for other than local use from the Yukon River, its tributaries, and the waters within 500 yards of the mouth thereof after August 31, 1921.

Although the Yukon River has been opened for a limited commercial fishery upon king salmon for many years, chum salmon have been protected. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game liberalized the regulations in 1961 to allow for a greater number of salmon to be taken. Prior to August 1, only king salmon can be taken, but after that date chum salmon may be taken legally, as well as king and coho salmon. The latter two have quotas of 5,000 fish each in the area above Owl Slough. By August 1, most chum salmon have migrated through the fishing area and will not be available to the fishery.

The first estimate of the importance of chum salmon in the economy of the Yukon River region was recorded in 1918 (Bower, 1919) as follows: "Statistics compiled at the close of the season of 1918 indicated that exclusive of gear operated by the cannery and salteries near the mouth of the river, the whites and natives on the Yukon and tributary waters used 393 fish wheels, valued at \$19,650, and 130 gill nets aggregating 3,250 fathoms, valued at \$6,500. The estimated catch for local requirements was 1,400,000 salmon, which when dried represented approximately 700 tons of fish, valued at \$140,000." Chum salmon may have made up 90 percent of the total.

Gilbert and O'Malley (1921) evaluated the 1920 Yukon River fishery as follows: "Altogether, on the Yukon and the Tanana, 301 fish wheels were operated in 1920 and resulted in a take of 622 tons. Of this amount 8 percent were king salmon and 92 percent were chums. If an allowance of 100 tons is made for the tributaries not visited and for the later runs on the Yukon which were not seen--and this allowance is almost certainly inadequate-there would be a total provision of dried salmon for the Yukon and its tributaries in 1920 amounting to 722 tons," Based on their estimates stores of dried chum salmon amounted to 650 tons, which would equal 1,083,300 fish, using a conversion factor of 1.2 pounds dried salmon per fish.

Yukon River catches have been compiled from data in the annual reports on Alaska fishery and fur-seal industries for the period 1922-43 (table 5). The annual catches were listed as tons of dried salmon, but these were converted into numbers of fish. The average catch in numbers of chum salmon for this period was 558,800. During this period the numbers of fish wheels fluctuated yearly, but

| 215 358,300 231 1,225,000 735 1,225,000 735 1,225,000 400 565,800 400 565,800 234 736 2367 602,500 2324 726,700 3324 581,700 3249 581,700 3249 581,700 3249 540,000 3249 540,000 3249 540,000 2255 375,000 126 1,136,700 128 1,136,700 128 1,136,700 128 1,136,700 128 1,136,700 | fish wheels 168 168 188 182 212 212 226 211 221 221 221 221 221 22 | gill nets 600 896 769 661 911 655 1,022 1,226 1,226 1,244 1,212 2,110 1,297 3,110 | Catch reported smallest in history, poor weather also. Salmon reported abundant. Heavy loss due to spoilage. Shortage of salmon and poor fishing conditions pre- vailed. Fair run of chums reported. Salmon run reported good. Catch reported in demand for dog food. Chum runs low, poor fishing conditions reduced take. Upper river runs light, poor fishing conditions. Catches near mouth light, better upper river. Runs irregular, but catches fair. Inght catches due to high river levels. Chum quality poor, sveraged below 1 lb. dried. Extremely heavy runs and good escapements. Reduced effort, no agent on duty. Reduced effort, no agent on duty. |
|--|--|---|---|
| Average 558,800 | 2 212 | ² 1,391 | |

Table 5.--Yukon River chum salmon estimated catches and units of gear operated for the period 1922-43

² Averages for period 1924-41, as data for 1922, 1923, 1942, and 1943 were not included in the annual reports.

Source: Annual reports of Alaska fishery and fur-seal industries, 1922-43.

Table 6.--Estimates of total salmon caught for personal use in the Yukon River by districts in 1958

| District | Total families | Species of salmon | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|---------|------|-------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | fishing | King | Chum | Coho | Pink | Red | | | | | | |
| No. 1. Yukon River mouth to Mountain Village | 149 | 329 | 99,563 | | 1,050 | 500 | | | | | | |
| No. 2. Mountain Village through Holy Cross | 104 | 198 | 60,164 | | 1,500 | 0 | | | | | | |
| No. 3. Holy Cross through Koyukuk | 63 | 57 | 174,655 | | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Koyukuk through Eagle | 66 | 11,306 | | | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Total | 382 | 11,890 | 334,382 | | 2,550 | 500 | | | | | | |

Source: Knapp, 1958.

there was no definite trend. The fathoms of gill nets increased in later years (table 5). These data do not include Canadian catches of salmon, which are unknown for this period.

A recent estimate of the Yukon River salmon catches was made by Knapp (1958) (table 6). (The table does not include 63,666 king salmon, which were taken in the Yukon River commercial fishery.) Chum salmon, with an estimated catch of 334,382 in that year, are the most important species in the extensive personal-use fishery of this river system. The natives, who depend upon salmon for their livelihood, agree that there has been a gradual decrease in the catches of chum salmon.

The amount of fishing gear may be used as an index of fishing effort. The average number of fish wheels used during the period 1924-41 was 212, while in 1956 there were 115 and in 1958, 127. Within the past 2 decades the numbers of fish wheels used annually has been reduced roughly by one-half. On the other hand, the number of gill nets has increased sharply. The 1924-41 average was only 1,391 fathoms, while Knapp's studies recorded a total of 15,475 fathoms. This was the amount registered for gill netting of king salmon during the commercial fishing period, and only 50 fathoms of the total was recorded from the river above Anvik. No report was made of the later use of this gear for chum salmon fishing, but presumably some of it was used.

The fishing effort in Canadian waters of the Yukon River and tributaries is much less than in Alaska. The Branch of River Basin Studies reported the 1955 and 1956 salmon catches of the upper Yukon River (table 7). King salmon outnumbered chum salmon in this region in both years. Interviews with local residents of the Canadian territories definitely indicated a much wider distribution of king than chum salmon. Chum salmon apparently are limited mainly to the main stem of the Yukon River, to the mouth of the Teslin River, and up the Teslin to Teslin Crossing, Yukon Territory, Canada. They also have been reported up the White River to the Donjek River and within the lower Donjek (fig. 1).

Table 7.--Catch of king and chum salmon in the upper Yukon River for the years 1955 and 1956

| Location | King | salmon | Chum salmon | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Loodolon | 1955 ¹ | 1956 | 1955 ¹ | 1956 | | | | |
| Eagle | 1,138 | 1,163 | 235 | 1,939 | | | | |
| Moosehide | 8 | 595 | 136 | 786 | | | | |
| Dawson | 1,395 | 3,651 | 985 | 986 | | | | |
| Pelly Crossing | 819 | 670 | | | | | | |
| Fort Selkirk | 150 | | 700 | 600 | | | | |
| Kirkland Creek | 48 | 40 | | | | | | |
| Minto | 110 | 17 | | | | | | |
| Carmacks | 410 | 345 | | | | | | |
| Total | 4,078 | 6,481 | 2,056 | 4,311 | | | | |

¹ Data for 1955 known to be incomplete.

Source: Progress Report No. III, 1956 Field Investigations, Fishery Resources of the upper Yukon River Basin, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Juneau, Alaska, 31 p.

Fishing effort data for the upper Yukon River for 1956 (table 8) show that gill nets are the preferred type of gear, although fish wheels are used to some extent.

YUKON RIVER TO SEWARD PENINSULA

The coastal area extending northward from the mouth of the Yukon River to the mouth of the Unalakleet River contains small streams of limited watersheds which are of minor importance with respect to salmon resources. The Unalakleet, Shaktolik, Ungalik, and Inglutalik Rivers (locations shown in figure 4) are the larger systems (table 2). Raleigh reported catches from all, except the Ungalik River. The Unalakleet River is the major chum salmon stream in this area and is exceeded in importance only by the Yukon, Kuskokwim, and Kobuk Rivers. Pink salmon are also abundant. Knapp estimated a pink salmon escapement in the Unalakleet River of 300,000 to 500,000 in 1958.

Raleigh estimated the annual chum salmon catches in excess of 67,000 (table 3). The villages of Unalakleet and Shaktolik were rated as high on salmon dependency. Stebbins and St. Michael, which are not as advantageously located on productive salmon streams, rated as medium and low respectively.

SEWARD PENINSULA FROM KOYUK TO BUCKLAND

The Seward Peninsula region has, in addition to a large number of small rivers and streams, two rather large river systems, the Koyuk and Kuzitrin, on the Peninsula proper, and the Buckland River entering from the mainland

Table 8.--Number of fishermen operating gill nets and fish wheels in the upper Yukon River basin and the number of humans and dogs partially dependent upon the salmon resources, 1956

| Location | Fishe | ermen | Resource-dependent | | | | | |
|---------------|------------|----------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Fish wheel | Gill net | Humans | Dogs | | | | |
| Eagle | 3 | l | 21 | 15 | | | | |
| Moosehide | 1 | | 7 | 4 | | | | |
| Dawson (area) | 5 | 2 | 44 | 23 | | | | |
| Stewart River | | l | (1) | (¹) | | | | |
| Kirkman River | | 1 | (1) | (¹) | | | | |
| Pelly Creek | | 12 | 39 | 50 | | | | |
| Fort Selkirk | | l | 3 | 6 | | | | |
| Minto | | (2) | 6 | 4 | | | | |
| Carmacks | | 5 | 24 | 18 | | | | |
| Total | 9 | 25 | 144 | 120 | | | | |

¹ Data not available.

² An additional four fishermen at Minto could not be contacted.

Source: Progress Report No. III, 1956 Field Investigations, Fishery Resources of the upper Yukon River Basin, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Juneau, Alaska, 31 p.

(fig. 4). Fourteen of the 44 streams in this area have been verified to contain stocks of chum salmon. Six of these have estimated annual catches exceeding 10,000 salmon (table 2). The major streams are the Koyuk, Kwiniuk, Niuklik, Kuzitrin, Immacheuk, and Buckland Rivers. Information was lacking for many of the minor streams, some of which may produce fair runs of fish.

Ralcigh estimated the annual chum salmon catches for 18 villages to be approximately 200,000 (table 3). Eight villages were rated as highly dependent on salmon, five as medium, and five as low. He calculated salmon utilization only for the native populations and did not consider the consumption by white residents, which may increase the estimates some. The locations of the villages are shown in figure 5.

BUCKLAND TO POINT HOPE

The area from Buckland to Point Hope contains two major river systems, the Kobuk and Noatak, and four smaller rivers, the Kauk, Selawik, Wulik, and Kukpuk (table 2, fig. 4). In addition, there are some 23 smaller rivers and streams, but these have not been included in the table and figure owing to their minor importance or to the lack of information concerning them. The Kobuk River was rated by Raleigh as being exceeded only by the Yukon and Kuskokwim Rivers in size of chum salmon catches. More recent information indicates that chum salmon may be in even greater abundance in the Noatak River than in the Kobuk River.

Raleigh estimated that annual catches of chum salmon were in excess of 264,000 for the nine villages listed (table 3). Six of these were rated as having medium to high dependency on salmon resources. This area ranks fourth in utilization of chum salmon, being exceeded by the Yukon and Kuskokwim Rivers and the Bristol Bay area.

OFFSHORE ISLANDS

The offshore islands of the Bering Sea include the Pribilof Islands and St. Mathew, Nunivak, St. Lawrence, Little Diomede, and King Islands. Raleigh estimated 25,000 salmon (species unknown) for Nunivak Island in 1952. Data available for St. Lawrence Island give 800 pink salmon for the village of Gambell in 1955, but the catch for the whole island would be somewhat larger. Data available for King Island give 1,100 salmon (species unknown) for 1950. No estimates have been obtained for the other islands. Salmon resources are limited on most of the islands and generally furnish a minor part of the native livelihood.

SUMMAR Y

Chum salmon are the most abundant species of salmon in the region north of Bristol Bay. They are present in all of the major and many of the minor streams as far north as Point Hope. Although their distribution is known to extend north and east to the Mackenzie River, reliable information on abundance and escapements is lacking in many cases.

The Yukon River system has a resident subsistence fishery that utilizes approximately 500,000 to 1,000,000 chum salmon annually. Chum salmon are known to ascend the river as far as Teslin Crossing, Canada, on the Teslin River.

The Kuskokwim River system ranks second in importance with regard to chum salmon utilization. Recent estimates of annual subsistence fisheries indicate catches in excess of 511,000.

The Bristol Bay area ranks third in importance with respect to utilization of this species. The commercial catch alone ranges from 150,000 to as high as 400,000. The subsistence fishery utilizes a large number of salmon in addition to those used in the commercial pack.

The area from Seward Peninsula to Point Hope is fourth in utilization of this species with an estimated annual catch in excess of 264,000 fish. The Kobuk River is the major salmon stream in this area.

Chum salmon catches from the various coastal areas, excluding Bristol Bay and the Kuskokwim and Yukon Rivers, may range up to 200,000 fish annually, with the largest catches in the Seward Peninsula area and the smallest in the area from Cape Newenham to the Kuskokwim River. Salmon catches, including all species, for the Bering Sea Islands are of minor importance and form a small portion of the native's diet.

LITERATURE CITED

BOWER, WARD T.

- 1919. Alaska fisheries and fur industries in 1918. [U.S.] Bureau of Fisheries, Report of the United States Commissioner of Fisheries for the fiscal year 1918, appendix 7, 128 p. [Bureau of Fisheries Document No. 872.]
- 1921. Alaska fishery and fur-seal industries in 1920. [U.S.] Bureau of Fisheries, Report of the United States Commissioner of Fisheries for the fiscal year 1921, appendix 6, 154 p. [Bureau of Fisheries Document No. 909.]
- 1923. Alaska fishery and fur-seal industries in 1922. [U.S.] Bureau of Fisheries, Report of the United States Commissioner of Fisheries for fiscal year 1923, appendix 4, 118 p. [Bureau of Fisheries Document No. 951.]

BOWER, WARD T.

1929. Alaska fishery and fur-seal industries in 1928. [U. S.] Bureau of Fisheries, Report of the United States Commissioner of Fisheries for the fiscal year 1929, appendix 6, p. 191-332.

GILBERT, CHARLES R., and HENRY O'MALLEY.

1921. Investigation of the salmon fisheries of the Yukon River. In Ward T. Bower, Alaska fishery and fur-seal industries in 1920, p. 128-154. [U. S.] Bureau of Fisheries, Report of the United States Commissioner of Fisheries for the fiscal year 1921, appendix 6. [Bureau of Fisheries Document No. 909.]

KNAPP, LAWRENCE.

1958. Reconnaissance of salmon fisheries, Yukon River, 1958. Report of Operations, 1958, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Western Alaska Salmon Investigations, Juneau, Alaska, 14 p. [Multilithed.]

RALEIGH, ROBERT F.

1958. Reconnaissance of salmon fisheries between Cape Newenham and Point Hope, Alaska, 1957. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Western Alaska Salmon Investigations, Juneau, Alaska, 28 p. [Multilithed.]

SIMPSON, ROBERT R.

1960. Alaska commercial salmon catch statistics, 1951-1959. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Statistical Digest 50, 115 p.

MS #1131





Created in 1849, the Department of the Interior--America's Department of Natural Resources--is concerned with the management, conservation, and development of the Nation's water, fish, wildlife, mineral, forest, and park and recreational resources. It also has major responsibilities for Indian and Territorial affairs.

As the Nation's principal conservation agency, the Department works to assure that nonrenewable resources are developed and used wisely, that park and recreational resources are conserved for the future, and that renewable resources make their full contribution to the progress, prosperity, and security of the United States--now and in the future.

