Nomenclature of the dolphins, porpoises, and small whales: a review and guide to the early taxonomic literature

**Thomas A. Jefferson** 



June 2021

# U.S. Department of Commerce

Gina M. Raimondo Secretary of Commerce

# National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Richard W. Spinrad Administrator

# National Marine Fisheries Service

Janet Coit Assistant Administrator for Fisheries



# NOAA Professional Papers NMFS

# Scientific Editor José I. Castro

National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA 263 13th Avenue South Saint Petersburg, Florida 33701

# Associate Editor Cara Mayo

National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA Scientific Publications Office 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, Washington 98115

### Managing Editor Shelley Arenas

National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA Scientific Publications Office 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, Washington 98115

The NOAA Professional Paper NMFS (ISSN 1931-4590) series is published by the Scientific Publications Office, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115.

The Secretary of Commerce has determined that the publication of this series is necessary in the transaction of the public business required by law of this Department. Use of funds for printing of this series has been approved by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget. The NOAA Professional Paper NMFS series carries peer-reviewed, lengthy original research reports, taxonomic keys, species synopses, flora and fauna studies, and dataintensive reports on investigations in fishery science, engineering, and economics. Copies of the NOAA Professional Paper NMFS series are available free in limited numbers to government agencies, both federal and state. They are also available in exchange for other scientific and technical publications in the marine sciences. Professional Papers are published online in PDF format at http://spo.nmfs.noaa.gov

**NOTICE:** This series was established in 2003 to replace the NOAA Technical Report NMFS series.

**NOAA Professional Paper NMFS 21** 

# Nomenclature of the dolphins, porpoises, and small whales: a review and guide to the early taxonomic literature

Thomas A. Jefferson

June 2021

**U.S. Department of Commerce** Seattle, Washington

# Cover image

Portrait of John Edward Gray by Thomas Herbert Maguire (left, in public domain); photo and illustration of holotype of *Globicephalus incrassatus* (upper right); and illustration of dolphin specimens from Jacquinot and Pucheran (1853). All copyrights expired.

# Suggested reference

Jefferson, Thomas A. 2021. Nomenclature of the dolphins, porpoises, and small whales: a review and guide to the early taxonomic literature. NOAA Professional Paper NMFS 21, 107 p. https://doi.org//10.7755/PP.21

# **Online dissemination**

This report is posted online in PDF format at http://spo.nmfs.noaa.gov (click on Professional Papers link).

# **Copyright law**

Although the contents of the Professional Papers have not been copyrighted and may be reprinted entirely, reference to source is appreciated.

# **Proprietary products**

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) does not approve, recommend, or endorse any proprietary product or proprietary material mentioned in this publication. No reference shall be made to NMFS, or to this publication furnished by NMFS, in any advertising or sales promotion which would indicate or imply that NMFS approves, recommends, or endorses any proprietary product or proprietary material mentioned herein, or which has as its purpose an intent to cause directly or indirectly the advertised product to be used or purchased because of this NMFS publication.

# Disclaimer

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA).

# CONTENTS

Abstract
Introduction
Materials and methods
History of the number of species recognized
Examination and documentation of type specimens 4
Scope and coverage of this review
Review of the named species of dolphins, porpoises, and small whales
Genus Monodon Linnaeus, 1758 – narwhals 6
Genus Delphinapterus Lacépède, 1804 – belugas 11
Genus Orcaella Gray, 1866 – Irrawaddy and snubfin dolphins
Genus Orcinus Fitzinger, 1860 – killer whales
Genus Globicephala Lesson, 1828 – pilot whales 16
Genus <i>Pseudorca</i> Reinhardt, 1862 – false killer whales 19
Genus Feresa Gray, 1870 – pygmy killer whales
Genus Peponocephala Nishiwaki and Norris, 1966 – melon-headed whales
Genus Grampus Gray, 1828 – grampus 21
Genus Sotalia Gray, 1866 – estuarine dolphins
Genus Steno Gray, 1846 – rough-toothed dolphins 23
Genus Sousa Gray, 1866 – humpback dolphins
Genus Tursiops Gervais, 1855 – bottlenose dolphins 29
Genus Stenella Gray, 1866 – spotted, spinner, and striped dolphins
Genus <i>Delphinus</i> Linnaeus, 1758 – common dolphins 40
Genus Lagenodelphis Fraser, 1956 – Fraser's dolphins 45
Genus Lagenorhynchus Gray, 1846 – white-sided and white-beaked dolphins
Genus Lissodelphis Gloger, 1841 – right whale dolphins 50
Genus Cephalorhynchus Gray, 1846 – piebald dolphins 53
Genus Phocoenoides Andrews, 1911 – Dall's porpoises 55
Genus Phocoena G. Cuvier, 1816 – common porpoises
Genus Neophocaena Palmer, 1899 – finless porpoises 57
Genus Platanista Wagler, 1830 – Ganges and Indus dolphins
Genus Inia d'Orbigny, 1834 – Amazon river dolphins 59
Genus Pontoporia Gray, 1846 – franciscanas
Genus Lipotes Miller, 1918 – baijis 60
Unknown genus/species – <i>incertae sedis</i>
Conclusions
Acknowledgments
Literature cited
Appendix A: A note on interpreting the early taxonomic literature
Appendix B: Short biographies of the major describers of delphinoid cetaceans
Appendix C: John Edward Gray (1800-1875): his contributions to marine mammal biology 90

Appendix D: Museum and collection acronyms	92
Appendix E: Glossary of taxonomic nomenclature terms	93
Appendix F: Species names originating in Schreber's Die Säugthiere in Abbildungen	95
Appendix G: List of named species of dolphins, porpoises, and small whales	97

Abstract—The taxonomy of several genera of small cetaceans has been confused for decades or even centuries. At least 358 species of dolphins, porpoises, and small whales have been given names, yet only 50 of these are currently recognized as valid species; the vast majority of the rest are junior synonyms. Recent taxonomic revisions of some genera have clarified things, but there are others that await taxonomic revision, which will probably recommend generic recombinations and new or resurrected species. As new taxonomic studies are resurrecting old species and sometimes describing new ones, an understanding of the available names is crucial to this task. This monograph comprehensively reviews all 358 valid and invalid species of dolphins, porpoises, and small whales; documents their type specimens; summarizes the history of each name; and assigns a status to each. In addition, appendices discuss the early taxonomic literature, historical notes, and museum acronyms, and provide a glossary of relevant taxonomic terms. Ultimate goals are to help identify as many of the nomina dubia as possible, and to facilitate the inclusion of data and material (both morphological and molecular) from relevant type specimens into future taxonomic studies.

# Nomenclature of the dolphins, porpoises, and small whales: a review and guide to the early taxonomic literature

# **Thomas A. Jefferson**

Email address: sclymene@aol.com

Clymene Enterprises 13037 Yerba Valley Way Lakeside, California 92040

# Introduction

In 1966, Phillip Hershkovitz published his review of nomenclature of extant species of cetaceans, Catalog of Living Whales. This impressive work was a comprehensive review of virtually every nominal species of whale, dolphin, or porpoise, and it has been an invaluable resource ever since, remaining indispensible to anyone wanting to investigate the history of cetacean taxonomic nomenclature or to construct a synonymy for these animals. After more than 54 years, however, Hershkovitz (1966) is dated, and while it is exceedingly thorough and comprehensive, it is not without errors.

With the advent and recent maturity of molecular phylogenetics in the past two decades, great strides have been made in our understanding of cetacean taxonomy and systematics. We have gone from a conservative phase of lumping to a more liberal phase of profound splitting. New species are being discovered, and old species (and even genera) that had been relegated to synonymy for decades or centuries are being resurrected with surprising frequency (SMM, 2020). This trend is likely to continue, and perhaps even intensify, over the next couple of decades. Taxonomic challenges that once seemed insurmountable are now feasible. For instance, it's no longer necessary to compile large sets of morphometric samples (primarily skulls), which were difficult to collect and maintain. We now only need to collect tiny bits of tissue (skin, muscle, bone, etc.) or even blood or feces (though permit issues can complicate matters). Although morphology remains important for making taxonomic decisions, we don't need to have access to dead specimens and can obtain samples from living animals. It is now possible to develop sampling programs, using environmental-DNA or biopsy sampling protocols, which will provide the large numbers of samples needed to address these taxonomic challenges (see Ma et al., 2016).

Nowhere has the problem of untangling the evolutionary history and developing an accurate systematic framework been more difficult than with the "dolphin-like" cetaceans (dolphins, porpoises, and small monodontid whales). The taxonomy of several genera of small cetaceans is still unresolved (see Perrin et al., 2013). Recent taxonomic revisions of some genera (e.g., Orcaella, Sotalia, Sousa, Lagenorhynchus) have clarified things to a great extent (Beasley et al., 2005; Caballero et al., 2007; Jefferson and Rosenbaum, 2014; Vollmer et al., 2019), but there are still other genera that await taxonomic revision, and which are sure to recommend generic recombinations and new or resurrected species (e.g., Orcinus, Tursiops, Stenella, Platanista).

	Listo	f exploring e	vneditions fr	Table 1   om which delph	unoid en	acies mer	e described
					No	o. of described	
Ship name(s)	Nation	Years	Destination	Leader	Valid	Invalid	References
Prinz Karl	Sweden	1750-1752	China	Unknown	1	0	Osbeck, 1771
L'Uraine and La Physicienne	France	1817-1820	Global	L. Freycinet	1	2	Quoy and Gaimard, 1824
La Coquille	France	1822-1825	Global	L. I. Duperrey	0	7	Lesson, 1826; Lesson and Garnot, 1827
l'Astrolabe	France	1826-1829	Antarctica	J. D. d'Urville	ů 0	2	Quoy and Gaimard, 1830; Fischer, 1876
La Meuse	France	1826-1833	S. America	N/A	ı 1	0	d'Orbigny and Gervais, 1847
H.M.S. Beagle l'Astrolabe and	Great Britain	1831-1836	S. America	R. Fitzroy	0	1	Waterhouse, 1838a; Darwin, 1860
La Zelee USS Vincennes	France	1837-1840	Antarctica	J. D. d'Urville	0	4	Jacquinot and Pucheran, 1853
and five others H.M.S. <i>Erebus</i>	United States	1838-1842	Global	C. Wilkes	2	5	Peale, 1849; Cassin, 1858
& Terror <sup>1</sup>	Great Britain	1839-1843	Antarctica	I. C. Ross	5	24	Gray, 1846; Ross, 1982
N/A	France	1843-1847	S. America	F. Castelnau	0	1	Gervais, 1855
N/A	British India	1868, 1875	S.E. Asia	E. B. Sladen and H. Browne	-	1	Anderson, 1879
Terra Nova	Great Britain	1910	Antarctica	R. F. Scott	0	1	Lillie, 1915

Impediments to such resolution include the challenge of identifying proper nomenclature when taxonomic revisions are made and when new taxa are proposed. There are currently *ca*. 360 nominal species of "delphinoid" cetaceans (most described in the nineteenth century), yet only 50 of these are currently recognized as valid species (SMM, 2020; Table 1). Many of the remainder of these names are currently considered to be *nomina dubia* (i.e., of questionable identity), yet information in the literature and examination of the relevant type specimens have often not been adequately pursued.

The set of nominal species that are currently not recognized as valid is a tangled mess, with many names based on a single specimen, often a skull or bone. Often there is little or no information on the external appearance, or worse yet, a description from an atsea sighting outlining body shape and color pattern, but providing no clues on the skeletal anatomy. Such was common practice for the naturalists and biologists of the nineteenth century, during zoology's great age of discovery (see True, 1889). Far-flung expeditions brought back biological material for the description of many new species (Table 2), but the extent of geographic variation within a species was generally not recognized. Many species were described as different simply because a specimen was a bit larger or smaller, or had more or less teeth, or sometimes just because it came from a different ocean basin.

In 2018, I felt the need for an updated, critical review of the nomenclature of delphinoid cetaceans. This project had as its main objective reviewing the status of all nominal species of dolphins, porpoises, and small whales, and documenting their type specimens. Ultimate goals were to identify as many of the *nomina dubia* as possible, and to facilitate the inclusion of data and material (both morphological and molecular) from relevant type specimens into future taxonomic studies.

# Materials and methods

# History of the number of species recognized

From Linneaus' initial four species described in 1758, the number of species of delphinoid cetaceans recognized expanded greatly in the middle of the nineteenth century (Fig. 1). This was due to the hundreds of specimens brought back from the various English, French, and American voyages of discovery, mostly focusing on the Southern Hemisphere and Antarctic. Examples were the French voyages of *La Coquille* (1822–1825 – Lesson, 1826; Lesson and Garnot, 1827), and the *Astrolabe* and *Zelee* (1826–1829, 1837–1840 – Quoy and Gaimard, 1830; Jacquinot and Pucheran, 1853);

3
-

	Table 2	
I	List of species covered in this re-	view.
Common name	Scientific name	Authority
Narwhal	Monodon monoceros	Linnaeus, 1758
Beluga or white whale	Delphinapterus leucas	Pallas, 1776
Australian snubfin dolphin	Orcaella heinsohni	Beasley et al., 2005
Irrawaddy dolphin	Orcaella brevirostris	Owen in Gray, 1866b
Killer whale	Orcinus orca	Linnaeus, 1758
Long-finned pilot whale	Globicephala melas	Traill, 1809
Short-finned pilot whale	Globicephala macrorhynchus	Gray, 1846
False killer whale	Pseudorca crassidens	Owen, 1846
Pygmy killer whale	Feresa attenuata	Gray, 1874
Melon-headed whale	Peponocephala electra	Gray, 1846
Risso's dolphin	Grampus griseus	G. Cuvier, 1812
Tucuxi	Sotalia fluviatilis	Gervais and Deville in Gervais, 1853
Guiana dolphin	Sotalia guianensis	P. J. Van Beneden, 1864
Rough-toothed dolphin	Steno bredanensis	Lesson, 1828
Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin	Sousa chinensis	Osbeck, 1765
Indian Ocean humpback dolphin	Sousa plumbea	G. Cuvier, 1829
Atlantic humpback dolphin	Sousa teuszii	Kükenthal, 1892
Australian humpback dolphin	Sousa sahulensis	Jefferson and Rosenbaum, 2014
Common bottlenose dolphin	Tursiops truncatus	Montagu, 1821
Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin	Tursiops aduncus	Hemprich and Ehrenberg, 1832
Pantropical spotted dolphin	Stenella attenuata	Gray, 1846
Atlantic spotted dolphin	Stenella frontalis	G. Cuvier, 1829
Spinner dolphin	Stenella longirostris	Gray, 1828
Clymene dolphin	Stenella clymene	Gray, 1850
Striped dolphin	Stenella coeruleoalba	Meyen, 1833
Common dolphin	Delphinus delphis	Linnaeus, 1758
Fraser's dolphin	Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser, 1956
White-beaked dolphin	Lagenorhynchus albirostris	Gray, 1846
Atlantic white-sided dolphin	Lagenorhynchus acutus	Gray, 1828
Pacific white-sided dolphin	Lagenorhynchus obliquidens	Gill, 1865
Dusky dolphin	Lagenorhynchus obscurus	Gray, 1828
Hourglass dolphin	Lagenorhynchus cruciger	Quoy and Gaimard, 1824
Peale's dolphin	Lagenorhynchus australis	Peale, 1849
Northern right whale dolphin	Lissodelphis borealis	Peale, 1849
Southern right whale dolphin	Lissodelphis peronii	Lacépède, 1804
Commerson's dolphin	Cephalorhynchus commersonii	Lacépède, 1804 D. L. Ven Dens den 1881
Hector's dolphin	Cephalorhynchus hectori Cephalorhynchus eutropia	P. J. Van Beneden, 1881
Chilean dolphin Heaviside's dolphin	Cephalorhynchus eutropia Cephalorhynchus heavisidii	Gray, 1846
Dall's porpoise	Phocoenoides dalli	Gray, 1828 True, 1885
Harbor porpoise	Phocoena phocoena	Linnaeus, 1758
Spectacled porpoise	Phocoena dioptrica	Lahille, 1912
Burmeister's porpoise	Phocoena spinipinnis	Burmeister, 1865a
Vaquita	Phocoena spinipinnis Phocoena sinus	Norris and McFarland, 1958
Indo-Pacific finless porpoise	Neophocaena phocaenoides	G. Cuvier, 1829
Narrow-ridged finless porpoise	Neophocaena asiaeorientalis	Pilleri and Gihr, 1972a
South Asian river dolphin	Platanista gangetica	Lebeck, 1801
Boto or Amazon river dolphin	Inia geoffrensis	Blainville in Desmarest, 1817
Franciscana	Pontoporia blainvillei	Gervais and d'Orbigny, 1844
Baiji or Yangtze river dolphin	Lipotes vexillifer	Miller, 1918

and the British voyages of the H.M.S. *Erebus* and *Terror* (1839–1843 – Gray, 1846; Ross, 1982) and *Beagle* (1832–1836 – Waterhouse, 1838a; Darwin, 1860). The United States contributed the U.S. Exploring Expedition (1838–1842), a global voyage of discovery involving

six vessels, the Sea Gull, Vincennes, Flying Fish, Peacock, Porpoise, and Relief (Peale, 1849; Cassin, 1858). The specimens brought back from these far-flung expeditions provided naturalists and museum curators a wealth of previously unknown cetacean specimens



(Table 2). The benefits to our knowledge of cetacean diversity were undeniable. However, the huge influx of materials and often incomplete or erroneous documentation also caused great confusion, resulting in the description of many nominal species that have not survived and have been relegated to junior synonymy. The result has been a tangled mess of names that must be sorted through new taxonomic work (see Hershkovitz, 1966 for a discussion). Appendix A provides a guide to interpreting the early taxonomic literature.

The peak of this heyday was in the 1840s through 1860s, during what Perrin (2009) called the "great Victorian Radiation" (Fig. 2). During these three decades, 41% (143/352) of all species of dolphins and porpoises were described. Most of the authors of these species descriptions were British or French, and while there were many naturalists who only described one or a couple of species, there were a few prolific scientists who described large numbers of species (Fig. 3; Appendix B). The most famous and important of these was John Edward Gray, who worked at the British Museum of Natural History from 1816 to 1875 and became its curator. He single-handedly described no less than 73 species of delphinoid cetaceans (21% of all

species described, and more than three times as many as any other author), in addition to many species of other plant and animal taxa. The highly prolific Gray was also largely responsible for the two peaks in delphinoid species described in the 1840s (Zoology of the Voyage of the H.M.S. Erebus and Terror; Gray, 1846) and 1860s (Catalogue of Seals and Whales in the British Museum; Gray, 1866a) – see Fig. 2. Gray's extensive contributions to the field of marine mammalogy, and the resulting problems they caused, are reviewed in Appendix C.

# Examination and documentation of type specimens

I contacted museums that had been reported in the literature as having type specimens, in order to determine their current status. However, it was not always possible to obtain the desired information. I visited museums that maintain collections of delphinoid cetacean specimens and hold significant numbers of type specimens (see Appendix D for a list of museum and collection acronyms). At each museum visited, I queried the relevant curators about what delphinoid type specimens





the institution maintained. Then, I attempted to document each type specimen identified. I collected the following kinds of information on each:

- Species identification Regardless of the original identification, each specimen was examined to determine the identification to species level, using the list of recognized marine mammal species maintained and regularly updated by the Society for Marine Mammalogy's Committee on Taxonomy (SMM, 2020).
- 2) Nature of material and condition Documentation was made of the kind of material comprising the type (e.g., skull, cranium, postcranial skeleton, stuffed skin, etc.), and the condition that it was in (e.g., undamaged, damaged, stored in alcohol, fixed in formalin, etc.).
- 3) History The museum's records and tags on specimens were consulted for information on the collector, collection details, and history of the specimen, to see if that information matched what is recorded in published literature.
- 4) Photographs Standard photographs were taken of each type specimen; for skulls, dorsal, ventral, lateral, and mandible views were taken.
- 5) Skull measurements An abbreviated set of standardized measurements was taken on skulls with vernier calipers (anthropometer), to allow for better identification and comparison, and to evaluate adulthood. Measurements that I took of type specimens are presented in Table 3.

For certain specimens, generally when the identity was not known, I asked the curatorial staff if a small sample of tissue could be collected for use in molecular genetic studies.

# Scope and coverage of this review

This paper attempts to review and evaluate every named species of recent "dolphin-like" cetacean (here defined as members of the families Delphinidae, Phocoenidae, Monodontidae, Platanistidae, Iniidae, Pontoporiidae, and Lipotidae). Although it is now known that platanistid river dolphins do not have a close evolutionary relationship with the other species covered in this review (see McGowen et al., 2020), I have chosen to include them because their nomenclatorial history is closely intertwined with that of the other species.

By nominal or named species, I mean each species name that was intended as a new binomen, whether it was for a species perceived by the describer as new, or was intended as a replacement name (see Appendix E for a glossary of taxonomic and nomenclatorial terms). However, with few exceptions, I do not include names from pre-Linnaean (1758) works, generic recombinations, subspecies or variety names, emendations (justified or unjustified), or various spelling errors, such as printing errors, or slips of the pen (i.e, *lapsus calami*). Thus, I make no attempt to produce full synonymies for the species covered. That will be left up to specialists on those species.

Published type descriptions of all relevant species were obtained and examined, either in hardcopy or electronic (pdf) form. The database Biodiversity Heritage Library (https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/) was invaluable in this endeavor. With the exception of English, I am not fluent in the languages that were used to describe many of the species in earlier days (e.g., French, German, Spanish, Danish, Dutch), and the website GoogleTranslate (https://translate.google.com/) was used to provide a rough translation so that relevant details could be extracted. I made use of published synonymies such as those in the Mammalian Species accounts (https://academic.oup.com/mspecies) and Hershkovitz's catalog of whales (Hershkovitz, 1966), but also attempted to go back to each primary reference to independently confirm details and identifications.

The appendices cover various topics of relevance to the review, but which would disrupt the flow of the document if not moved to separate sections (Appendix F provides a summary of the results of an exhaustive search for information on how to cite and reference relevant sections of Schreber's *Säugthiere*, an important early reference). The Fourth Edition of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN, 1999) was used as the nomenclatorial standard throughout. The taxonomic scheme used in this review is the latest version of the Society for Marine Mammalogy's Committee on Taxonomy webpage (SMM, 2020). Published taxonomic revisions (such as that by Vollmer et al., 2019) have not been incorporated, unless accepted by the Committee on Taxonomy.

# Review of the named species of dolphins, porpoises, and small whales

Genus Monodon Linnaeus, 1758 – narwhals Monodon monoceros Linnaeus, 1758 – narwhal Monodon monoceros Linnaeus, 1758

This was the first of 12 species of cetaceans described by Linnaeus (1758) in his 10th edition of *Systema Naturae*, the starting point of our modern system of binomial nomenclature. No type specimen was collected (the name was based on the narwhal, Norwegian for "corpse whale," known to ancient whalers and mariners), but Linnaeus stated that the species had a single tooth in the upper jaw, and was found in the oceans of America and Europe. This is the senior synonym and valid name of the narwhal.

(LR), zygomatic width (ZYGW), preorbital width (PREOW), width of rostrum at base (WRB), length of upper tooth row (LUTR), length of mandible (LMAN), upper tooth count (UTC), and lower tooth count (LTC). An asterisk indicates a measurement that is approximate, due to damage.	UTC), and low						יד זוזות ווות	C). All asterios indicates a incasurement that is approximate, due to damage.		10 10 MILLIO			
Type species	Spec. no.	Current species	Adult?	CBL	LR	ZYGW	PREOW	WRB	LUTR	LMAN	UTC	LTC	Comments
<i>Delphinus eutropia</i> Gray, 1846	NHMUK 1849.5.25.2	Cephalorhynchus eutropia	Υ?	363	196	167	151	82	176	300	32	31	
Delphinus heavisidii Gray. 1828	NHMUK 1841.17321	Cephalorhynchus heavisidii	Y	> 274	134	I	128	67	117	227	28	26	
Delphinus kingii Gray, 1827	NHMUK 368a	Delphinapterus leucas	Z	360	149	219	210	115	111	263	10	6	
<i>Delphinus bairdi</i> i Dall, 1873	n/a	Delphinus delphis	ο.	477	*302	177	I	86	I	I	53	47	Syntype (from Dall, 1874)
<i>Delphinus bairdi</i> i Dall, 1873	LACM 84240	Delphinus delphis	Υ	466	293	187	I	91	I	I	59	53	Neotype
Delphinus capensis Gray, 1828	NHMUK 1841.17.34	Delphinus delphis	Y	>485	325	188	165	88	283	431	53	52	
Delphinus longirostris G. Cuvier, 1829	MNHN A-3065	Delphinus delphis	Y	492	335	163	143	78	292	431	65	58	
Delphinus major Gray, 1866	NHMUK 1852.10.5.2	Delphinus delphis	Υ	523	338	199	175	105	285	443	53	49	
Delphinus pomeegra Owen, 1866	NHMUK 1866.2.5.5	Delphinus delphis	Z	ca. 405	244	172	154	88	201	I	44	I	
Delphinus attenuata Gray, 1874	NHMUK 1874.11.25.1	Feresa attenuata	Υ	350	175	219	201	109	129	280	11	13	
Delphinus intermedius Gray, 1827	NHMUK 362a	Feresa attenuata	Υ	357	171	235	208	118	133	289	11	11	
Globicephalus macro- rhynchus Gray, 1846	NHMUK 1846.8.9.2	Globicephala macrorhynchus	Υ	595	299	442	411	245	162	496	8	8	
Globicephalus incras- satus Gray, 1861	NHMUK 1853.1.5.1	Globicephala melas	<u>.</u>	708	371	431	I	270	I	I	I	I	
Globicephala leucosag- maphora Rayner, 1939	NHMUK 1992.78	Globicephala melas	Υ	614	314	402	359	229	193	488	12	10	
Lagenodelphis hosei Fraser, 1956	NHMUK 1895.5.9.1	Lagenodelphis hosei	Y	412	226	226	209	121	195	348	42	41	

				F	Table 3 (	Table 3 (continued)	(p						
Type species	Spec. no.	Current species	Adult?	CBL	LR	ZYGW	PREOW	WRB	LUTR	LMAN	UTC	LTC	Comments
Lagenorhynchus clancu- lus Gray, 1846	NHMUK 1849.5.25.3	Lagenorhynchus cruciger	Υ	349	175	187	168	102	155	287	32	29	
Clymene similis Gray, 1868	NHMUK 1509b	Lagenorhynchus obscurus	Y	372	199	I	169	91	167	I	30	I	
Delphinus (Grampus) obscurus Gray, 1828	NHMUK 1841.1733	Lagenorhynchus obscurus	Υ?	>350	206	176	159	88	171	314	29	29	
Delphinus fitzroyi Waterhouse, 1838	NHMUK 1939.2.18.1	Lagenorhynchus obscurus	<u>.</u>	I	I	I	I	I	175	I	31	29+	
Lagenorbynchus thico- lea Gray, 1846	NHMUK 1849.5.25.4	Lissodelphis borealis	Z	363	192	179	161	91	161	310	40	41	Possibly Lissodelphis peronii
<i>Delphinus melas</i> Schle- gel, 1841	RMNH 23079	Neophocaena asiaeorientalis	Y	226	81	144	117	66	72	170	18	17	
Neomeris asiaeorientalis Pilleri & Gihr, 1972	MCZ 19998	Neophocaena asiaeorientalis	Υ	212	77	138	108	62	61	157	19	17	
Neophocaena sunameri Pilleri & Gihr, 1975	SMNS 45698	Neophocaena asiaeorientalis	Υ	231	91	153	120	70	73	170	17	18	
Delphinus phocaenoides G. Cuvier, 1829	MNHN A.3086	Neophocaena phocaenoides	Z	185	65	120	103	60	61	132	20	19	
Phocaena (Orca) brevi- rostris Owen, 1866	NHMUK 1865.4.20.1	Orcaella brevirostris	<u>.</u> .	281	120	184	163	96	92	I	17	I	
Orca latirostris Gray, 1870	NHMUK 361a	Orcinus orca	Y	830	415	570	453	249	341	687	11	11	
Orca stenorbyncha Gray, 1870	NHMUK 361b	Orcinus orca	Y	876	423	528	437	248	341	715	12	12	
Delphinus (Lagenorhyn- chus) fusiformis Owen, 1866	NHMUK 1866.2.5.1	Peponocephala electra	Y	440	239	246	235	129	172	358	24	22	
Lagenorbynchus asia Gray, 1846	NHMUK 358a	Peponocephala electra	Y	422	228	236	223	124	176	345	26	23	
Lagenorbynchus electra Gray, 1846	NHMUK 1844.10.5.3	Peponocephala electra	Υ	456	245	255	249	138	I	373	27	25	
Phocaena tubercu- lifera Gray, 1865	NHMUK 1865.12.8.43	Phocoena phocoena	Z	220	90	121	94	53	79	I	25	I	
													Table continued

Type species	Spec. no.	Current species	Adult?	CBL	LR	ZYGW	PREOW	WRB	LUTR	LMAN	UTC	LTC	Comments
Orca meridionalis Flower, 1864	NHMUK 1946.8.9.3	Pseudorca crassidens	Y	583	286	322	300	182	248	479	8	10	
Steno tucuxi Gray, 1856	NHMUK 1856.8.2.2	Sotalia fluviatilis	Y	305	170	134	113	56	145	233	30	26+	
<i>Delphinus chinensis</i> Osbeck, 1765	NHMUK 1999.360	Sousa chinensis	Z	482	292	205	182	104	259	406	35	32	Neotype
Sotalia borneensis Lydekker, 1901	NHMUK 1901.2.16.1	Sousa chinensis	Z	419*	*252	173	160	88	211	414	36	36	
Delphinus (Steno?) lentiginosus Owen, 1866	NHMUK 1866.2.5.2	Sousa plumbea	Ŷ?	470	274	190	175	100	252	I	34	34	
Delphinus plumbeus G. Cuvier, 1829	MNHN 1.3503	Sousa plumbea	Y	548	345	215	190	106	307	425	38	34	
Sousa sabulensis Jef- ferson & Rosenbaum, 2014	MTQ MM1019	Sousa sahulensis	Y	507	308	219	187	106	268	432	31	33	
Sotalia teuszii Küken- thal, 1892	NHMUK 1893.8.1.1	Sousa teuszü	Y	500	295	220	207	121	353	241	28	28	
Steno attenuatus Gray, 1846	NHMUK 347b	Stenella attenuata	Y	382	230	162	146	83	195	326	42	42	
Steno capensis Gray, 1865	NHMUK 1869.4.5.5	Stenella attenuata	Y	410	250	174	157	06	210	347	41	37	
Delphinus clymene Gray, 1847	NHMUK 350a	Stenella clymene	Y	388	231	172	I	88	I	I	44	I	
Tursio dorcides Gray, 1866	NHMUK 1861.4.2.7	Stenella coeruleoalba	Y	433	254	199	187	111	220	373	45	46	
Delphinus euphrosyne Gray, 1846	NHMUK 1920.5.13.1	Stenella coeruleoalba	Y	465*	282*	213	193	109	250*	407*	47	46	
Clymenia euphrosyn- oides Gray, 1868	NHMUK 1844.7.19.23	Stenella coeruleoalba	Y	436	256	218	182	102	229	I	49	43	
Delphinus doris Gray, 1846	NHMUK 352a	Stenella frontalis	Y	398	236	178	160	06	203	336	38	35	

					Lable 3 (	Table 3 (continued)	d)						
Type species	Spec. no.	Current species	Adult?	CBL	LR	ZYGW	PREOW	WRB	LUTR	LMAN	UTC	LTC	Comments
Delphinus alope Gray, 1846	NHMUK 1847.7.6.2	Stenella longirostris	Y	410	268	168	155	80	240	361	I	49	
<i>Delphinus microps</i> Gray, 1846	NHMUK 349a	Stenella longirostris	Y	392	257	143	129	64	228	337	54	51	
Delphinus stenorhyn- chus Gray, 1866	NHMUK 1850.6.5.7	Stenella longirostris	Y	443	298	160+	147	78	260	397	56	54	
Steno compressus Gray, 1850	NHMUK 346a	Steno bredanensis	Y	510	325	I	156	87	268	434	25	26	
Delphinus (Steno?) gadamu Owen, 1866	NHMUK 1866.2.5.3	Tursiops aduncus	Y	446	262	203	183	98	216	377	27	28	
Tursiops catalania Gray, 1862	NHMUK 1862.6.6.13	Tursiops aduncus	Y	435	250	203	185	95	210	367	28	27	Syntype
Tursiops catalania Gray, 1862	NHMUK 1862.6.6.14	Tursiops aduncus	Y	420	I	I	I	I	I	I	24+	23+	Syntype
Delphinus cymodoce Gray, 1862	NHMUK 355a	Tursiops truncatus	Υ?	454	251	212	190	115	212	384	23	22	
<i>Delphinus truncatus</i> Montagu, 1821	NHMUK 353a	Tursiops truncatus	Y	542	301	>274	248	144	265	I	23	I	
<i>Tursiops dawsoni</i> Lydekker, 1909	NHMUK 1908.8.13.1	Tursiops truncatus	<b></b>	525	308	271	248	140	261	461	26	25	
Delphinus eurynome Gray, 1846	NHMUK 356a	Tursiops truncatus	Y	528	305	257	236	133	259	455	26	23	
Delphinus metis Gray, 1846 (No. 1)	NHMUK 357a	Tursiops truncatus	Y	522	291	257	232	137	252	437	24	22	

### Ceratodontis ceratodon Brisson, 1762

In a short, but fairly-detailed account, Brisson describes the narwhal under this name. The type locality was the seas around Iceland, Greenland, and Davis Strait, although apparently no type specimen was collected. The name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Monodon monoceros*.

#### Monodon narwhal Blumenbach, 1779

Blumenbach's short account uses the name *Monodon narwhal* for a cetacean with a long, straight spiral tooth. The type locality is unknown and it is not believed that a type specimen was obtained. The name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Monodon monoceros*.

#### Monodon narhval Borowski, 1781

While some might consider this a new name, Monodon narhval appears to be simply an emendation of Blumenbach's (1779) Monodon narwhal. The account is rather detailed, but with no figures. This is a junior synonym of Monodon monoceros.

# Narwalus vulgaris Lacépède, 1804

Lacépède's description of *Narwalus vulgaris* (apparently a new name for *Monodon monoceros*) is long on dramatic imagery, but short on details of the unique characters of this species (other than describing the tusk). The illustration of the form of the animal (plate 4, fig. 3) leaves no doubt about the identity of this nominal species. The type locality is unknown, and there was no type specimen collected. This is a junior synonym of *Monodon monoceros*.

#### Narwalus microcephalus Lacépède, 1804

Lacépède considered this a different species of narwhal, much smaller in size than the typical species, *Narwalus vulgaris*. The type locality was Boston (Lincolnshire, England), but it is unknown if a specimen was deposited into any collection. There are two illustrations of this species in his account (plate 5, fig. 2 and plate 9, fig. 1; the latter shows an animal with two tusks), which clearly indicate the species to be what we now consider to be the single species of narwhal, and the name is therefore a junior synonym of *Monodon monoceros*.

#### Narwalus andersonianus Lacépède, 1804

This short account by Lacépède describes what he considered a third narwhal species, one with very smooth tusks (these were probably older individuals, with the tusks smoothed by wear). The type locality is the Elbe River (presumably near its mouth in Germany), but it appears that no type specimen was deposited. The name is considered a junior synonym of *Monodon monoceros*.

### Ceratodon monodon Pallas, 1811

Pallas's *Ceratodon monodon* is apparently a renaming of *Monodon monoceros*. The account is fairly short, and there is no illustration of this species among his plates. Reeves and Tracey (1980) mention a type locality from the coast of Siberia. The name is considered a junior synonym of *Monodon monoceros*.

#### Tachynices megacephalus Brookes, 1828

In the catalog of Joshua Brookes' personal zoological collection, he listed seven specimens of this species under this name, which was apparently a renaming of *Monodon monoceros*. The description of the specimens and the mention of "tusks" make it quite clear what species he was referring to, even though there are no figures. The Brookes collection was later purchased by the RMNH in Leiden, and it is unknown if these specimens still reside in that collection. The name is a junior synonym of *Monodon monoceros*.

# Genus Delphinapterus Lacépède, 1804 – belugas

# *Delphinapterus leucas* (Pallas, 1776) – beluga or white whale

#### Cetus albicans Brisson, 1762

This short description by Brisson of a white whale (le chachalot blanc) found in the Davis Strait and vicinity ("Baye Meridionale, appellee Sud-Bucht") appears to have been largely overlooked in recent times. Brisson had earlier described this species in 1756, but that was pre-Linnaean. Brisson's species was said to be similar to the narwhal and about 15-16 feet in length (4.6-4.9 m), and it appears to be the first valid description of the beluga. There was no type specimen collected. The name has rarely or never been used in the last 120 years (I could find no such instances since 1900), and Hershkovitz (1966) incorrectly credited the name (as B[alaena] albicans) to Müller (1776), instead giving priority for the beluga to Pallas' Delphinus leucas (see below), a practice that has been erroneously followed ever since. Tomilin (1957) correctly showed Cetus albicans to be the senior synonym, but did not explain why this name was not used as the valid name of the beluga, instead again using *Delphinapterus leucas*. Therefore, in order to maintain stability, Cetus albicans should be declared a nomen oblitum. A proposal to designate the name a nomen oblitum (and thereby to protect Delphi*napterus leucas* – see next paragraph) has been made (Jefferson, 2021). Variant spellings include abians.

# Delphinus leucas Pallas, 1776

The short description in a footnote in Pallas has traditionally been viewed as the valid type description for the beluga. Although there was no illustration in the original, in a later publication, Pallas (1811, plate on p. 273) provided a very accurate drawing of his species (reproduced in Pilleri and Arvy, 1981), which is without a doubt a beluga (see also Pallas, 1778). The type locality is the Ob River in northeastern Siberia, but no type specimen was collected (and no figure was provided in the original account). However, it has been discovered that the name is preceded by Cetus albicans, which is actually the senior synonym. Since the name *leucas* has been in nearly constant use for the beluga in the last century or more, this name should be conserved as a nomen protectum (Jefferson, 2021). Variant spellings include leucaster, as used by Gray (1821).

#### D[elphinus] phocaena albus Kerr, 1792

This is not a new species name (as it has sometimes erroneously been considered), but a subspecies name, and therefore is outside the scope of this review.

#### Delphinapterus beluga Lacépède, 1804

In this fairly long account by Lacépède, he described the beluga under this new name. The type locality was said to be the Arctic Sea and North Atlantic Ocean, especially Davis Strait. No type specimen was collected, and the name is a junior synonym of *Delphinapterus leucas*.

### Delphinus canadensis Desmarest, 1822

In this short description of the beluga ("dauphin blanc"), Desmarest used the name *Delphinus canadensis* as a renaming. The type locality is the seas of Canada. The name is a junior synonym of *Delphinapterus leucas*.

# Delphinus (Delphinapterus?) kingii Gray, 1827

Gray provided a short description of what he considered a new species, based on a specimen obtained on a survey of the "coast of New Holland" (though the specific type locality is uncertain). The type specimen, collected by Captain King on his return trip from surveying the Australian coast, is still in the British Museum (NHMUK 368a; Table 3), but the account does not contain any illustrations of it. Hershkovitz (1966) cast some doubt about the origin of the specimen, supposedly collected by Captain King. The name is a junior synonym of *Delphinapterus leucas*.

# Beluga borealis Lesson, 1828

Lesson used this name in the table of contents for his book, listing p. 192. But on that page, although a be-

luga is described (under the name *Delphinus leucas*), the *borealis* name does not appear. There is no description of identifying features, nor illustration of *Beluga borealis* anywhere in the volume. Therefore, according to ICZN rules, this name should be viewed as a *nomen nudum*.

#### Beluga glacialis Lesson, 1838

According to Hershkovitz (1966), a description of this species appears on p. 194 of Lesson (1828), but in fact, there is no text description of this species in this book. The name *Beluga glacialis* apparently first appears in Lesson (1838) on plate 3, fig. 2, to illustrate the external appearance of a white small cetacean without a dorsal fin. Although there appears to be no text description under this name, the above-mentioned plate clearly shows this species to be a beluga. With this indication, the name is available, and therefore it is a junior synonym of *Delphinapterus leucas*.

# Beluga catodon Gray, 1846

The short account by Gray describes this species based upon a skull in the NHMUK (I did not locate the specimen when I visited in 2019), collected from Greenland. There are no figures, but the brief description is adequate to identify this as a beluga. The name is a junior synonym of *Delphinapterus leucas*.

# Beluga declivis Cope, 1865

This species is based on a very short description, which focuses mostly on perceived differences in the skeleton (Cope, 1865a). The type is a skeleton apparently in the MCZ (not confirmed), from an unknown locality (possibly Greenland). The name is a junior synonym of *Delphinapterus leucas*.

### Beluga concreta Cope, 1865

This species is based on a short description (Cope, 1865a), which focuses mostly on perceived differences in the skeleton from other belugas. There is a type specimen in the ANSP (No. 2598, skeleton; Koopman, 1976), from an unknown locality (possibly Greenland). The name is a junior synonym of *Delphinapterus leucas*.

# Beluga rhinodon Cope, 1865

This species was first mentioned in the species description for *Beluga concreta*, which focuses mostly on perceived differences in the skeleton (Cope, 1865a). There is a type specimen in the ANSP (No. 3011, skeleton; Koopman, 1976), which apparently comes from Upernavik, Greenland. The name is a junior synonym of *Delphinapterus leucas*.

### Beluga angustata Cope, 1866

Cope provided a short description of this new species of Arctic whale, possibly from Greenland. No illustrations were presented. Cope included a small table, which compared *Beluga angustata* with *Beluga catadon*. The type specimen is apparently kept at the ANSP (No. 3010, skeleton; Koopman, 1976). It appears that this is a beluga, and the name is therefore regarded as a junior synonym of *Delphinapterus leucas*.

#### Delphinapterus freimani Klumov, 1935

Klumov's species is based on a type locality in the White Sea. He considered that there were skull differences at the species level from other known beluga species. His account does include an outline drawing comparing the three species discussed, but these do not show any species-level differences. There are some comparative measurements presented in Barabash (1937). This name is a junior synonym of *Delphinapterus leucas*.

# Delphinapterus dorofeevi Barabash and Klumov, 1935

This beluga species was described in a moderate-length account, which did not include any figures showing the animal. The species was mainly distinguished by supposed differences in the skull. The type locality is in the Okhotsk Sea, but it is not known if a type specimen was deposited in any collection. The name is considered a junior synonym of *Delphinapterus leucas*.

# Genus Orcaella Gray, 1866 – Irrawaddy and snubfin dolphins

# Orcaella brevirostris (Owen in Gray, 1866) – Irrawaddy dolphin

# Phocaena (Orca) brevirostris Owen in Gray, 1866

Owen's very detailed description was used by Gray to designate this species from the type locality "east coast of India, the harbour of Vizgapatam" (Gray, 1866a). The type description of the skull is thorough and includes an illustration. The holotype specimen (a skull, NHMUK 1865.4.20.1) is still in the British Museum, and was recently examined by Beasley et al. (2005) and me (Table 3). See Arnold and Heinsohn (1996) for nomenclatorial history and proper authorship. This is the senior synonym and valid name of the Irrawaddy dolphin.

#### Orcaella fluminalis Anderson in Gray, 1871

This species was described from the "River Irawady" in a brief description by Gray. The authority for this species has been controversial, often cited as Anderson (1871); however, Beasley et al. (2005) made a strong case for citing Anderson in Gray (1871). The type specimen, an articulated skeleton, was earlier in the Indian Museum, Calcutta, in the late 1880s (Sclater, 1891). It was later moved to the British Museum (NHMUK 1454b or 77.12.10.17), though it was not found on a visit there in 2019 (the specimen may have been temporarily moved from the collection shelves). The name is a junior synonym of *Orcaella brevirostris*.

# Orcaella heinsohni Beasley et al., 2005 – Australian snubfin dolphin

# Orcaella heinsohni Beasley et al., 2005

The Australian snubfin dolphin was described in 2005, the type specimen being a skull (QM JM471 [JCU MM61]) in the Queensland Museum. The type specimen was taken in a shark net at Horseshoe Bay, Magnetic Island, Queensland, Australia, on 21 April 1972. The new species was described in detail (with measurements and photos) and compared in detailed fashion to *Orcaella brevirostris*, and many diagnostic characters were identified. The description includes molecular characters. There are no synonyms, and this is the valid name of the Australian snubfin dolphin.

#### Genus Orcinus Fitzinger, 1860 – killer whales

### Orcinus orca (Linnaeus, 1758) – killer whale

# [Delphinus] orca Linnaeus, 1758

Although Kinze (2018) cast some doubt on the identity of the original description by Linnaeus, arguing that the name Physeter microps was what Linnaeus was using when referring to the killer whale (this may stem from Fabricius' [1780] use of the name), stability seems to have prevailed in this case. Lacépède (1804) apparently considered *Delphinus* orca to be a killer whale. His description referred to illustrations in Rondelet (1554) and Gesner (1558), both of which show a robust cetacean with a large dorsal fin and flippers, short beak, and teeth in both jaws. It is not clearly recognizable, but seems to suggest the killer whale more than any other species. Virtually all marine mammal biologists over the last several centuries have considered Linnaeus' Delphinus orca to be the senior synonym of the killer whale. No type specimen was collected, but Linnaeus stated that the species had serrated teeth in both jaws, and was a small whale ("Balaena minor") from the "Oceano Europaeo." Schlegel's (1841a) use of *Delphinus* orca has been listed as a junior synonym of Grampus griseus G. Cuvier, 1812, but Linnaeus' description, although not at all clear, seems to better fit the killer whale. Therefore, and notwithstanding Kinze's expert opinion, I continue to follow tradition and precedent, and view Linnaeus' name [Delphinus] orca as the senior synonym and valid name of the killer whale.

# Delphinus serra Borowski, 1781

Borowski's description of the "saw-fisch" was a species described from Spitzbergen, Davis Strait, etc. However, no type specimen was collected; it was based on a description in Brisson (1756). No illustration was provided. The name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*.

#### Physeter microps Fabricius, 1780

Fabricius based this species name on killer whales from the Greenland seas. His description is rather detailed, though no illustration was given, and no type specimen was designated. This name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*.

# D[elphinus] gladiator Bonnaterre, 1789

Bonnaterre's description of "l'épée de mer" translates to "swordfish," an old name for killer whales. This species was based on travelers' accounts of killer whales in Spitzbergen, Davis Strait, and New England, especially that of Anderson (1746). No type specimen was collected, and the description is brief, with no illustration. The name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*.

### Delphinus duhameli Lacépède, 1804

The description of this species was based on an individual cetacean that was injured and spent a good deal of time around the mouth of the Loire River, France. No type specimen was collected, but the type description is detailed and unmistakably refers to the killer whale (Lacépède, 1804; Perrin<sup>1</sup>). The name is a junior synonym of Orcinus orca.

### Delphinus grampus Desmarest, 1817

Desmarest (often incorrectly cited as Blainville or Blainville in Desmarest) based this species, the "épaulard" (an old name for killer whale), on descriptions of North Atlantic killer whales, primarily the "grampus" of Hunter (1787). No type specimen was designated, but the type locality was "les mers du Nord." The name is now considered a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*, and also the senior homonym of *Delphinus grampus* Gray, 1846 (= *Globicephala*).

# Orca capensis Gray, 1846

Gray described this species from the Cape of Good Hope, southern Africa. The type specimen (skull purchased from B. M. Viney, Museum of the Royal College of Surgeons No. 1139) is presumably in the NHMUK (No. 1856.4.10.1 or No. 1165b), but I could not locate it on a visit in 2019. The skull is well illustrated (Gray, 1846, plate 9), making it clear that this is a killer whale, but the reported diagnostic characters of *capensis* are not reliable. The name is therefore considered a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*, and also the senior homonym of *Orca capensis* Van Beneden, 1873 (= *Cephalorhynchus heavisidii*).

#### Delphinus victorini Grill, 1858

This species was based on a specimen stranded at the Knysna River, South Africa, and its external appearance was well-depicted in a drawing by Victorini (and published in Grill, 1858), leaving no doubt that this was a killer whale. It is unclear if a type specimen was collected. The name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*.

### Orca eschrichtii Reinhardt in Eschricht, 1866

In 1866, J. Reinhardt (following Prof. Steenstrup) named this species in honor of Danish cetologist D. Eschricht, based on skulls and skeletons of 3-4 individuals stranded near Kollefjord, Stromo Island, Faroe Islands. The types are reported to be in the NHMD, Copenhagen, Denmark, but D. K. Johansson<sup>2</sup> reported that there is only one type specimen (a skull missing the lower jaw and all teeth) in the current collection (ZMUC CN15x). Although the original Danish paper was published in 1863 (Eschricht, 1863), it did not contain the note by J. Reinhardt, in which he published the name Orca eschrichtii (the latter was added as a short note to the 1866 English translation, after Eschricht's death). See Kinze (2011) for history. The name is a junior synonym of Orcinus orca. Variant spellings include eschricthi.

#### O[rca] schlegelii Lilljeborg, 1866

This species, Lilljeborg's lesser killer or "whale dog," was based upon two killer whale skeletons in the Bergen Museum, which were collected from an 1860 stranding near Bergen, Norway. The description is largely copied from Schlegel (1841a). Measurements are presented in the text, and it is unknown if the types are still extant. Perrin<sup>1</sup> speculated that the name may date from Lilljeborg (1861), but the name does not appear in that reference. The name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*.

# Orca magellanica Burmeister, 1866

The holotype specimen is a skull in the MACN-Ma. It was collected in 1866 at Rio de Christiano Muer-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Perrin, W. F. 2006. Review of the nomenclature of killer whales. Southwest Fish. Sci. Cent. Admin. Rep. LJ-06-01, 10 p. [Available from Southwest Fisheries Science Center, 8901 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA, 92037.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Johansson, D. K. 2020. Personal commun. Nat. Hist. Mus. Denmark, Øster Voldgade 5-7, 1350 Copenhagen, Denmark.

to, south of Cabo Corrientes, Buenos Aires, Argentina (38°50'S). The description is moderately detailed, and the illustration only includes the rostrum and nares regions of the dorsal part of the skull. The description includes comparisons to *Orca capensis* and *Orca gladiator* Van Beneden and Gervais, 1880. The name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*. Variant spellings include *magellanicus*.

### Orca ater Cope in Scammon, 1869

Cope described what he considered a new species of killer whale as occurring on the "northwest coast from Oregon to the Aleutian Islands" and based it on the animal shown in plate 17, fig. 2 of Scammon (1874). He thought that killer whales with shorter dorsal fins were a species distinct from those with taller dorsal fins (we now know the ones with tall dorsal fins are adult males). No specimen was collected, though his type description is from animals observed at sea in the Strait of Juan de Fuca, near Port Angeles, Washington. The name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*. Variant spellings include *atra*.

#### Orca rectipinna Cope in Scammon, 1869

Cope described this species as occurring off the "coast of California" and based it on the animal shown in plate 17, fig. 1 of Scammon (1874). He believed that killer whales with shorter and taller dorsal fins were separate species (we now know that individuals with tall dorsal fins are adult males). Apparently no type specimen was collected. It is likely that this nominal species corresponds to either Bigg's killer whale (transient form) or the resident killer whale of the Pacific Northwest, though this is not confirmed. The name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*. Variant spellings include *rectispina*.

#### Orca stenorhyncha Gray, 1870

Gray described this "North Sea" killer whale based on specimens collected from Weymouth and the "English coast." He distinguished it from *Orca latirostris* and *Orca pacifica* by supposed features of the skull that do not appear to be reliable. The syntypes (skull and complete skeleton) still exist in the NHMUK (1846.8.7.3 or 361b; and 1874.7.6.3 or 361c; Table 3). The name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*.

# Orca latirostris Gray, 1870

Gray described this "North Sea" killer whale based on skulls collected from the coast of Essex, England, U.K. The type still exists in the NHMUK (361a; Table 3). Gray distinguished it from *Orca stenorhyncha* and *Orca pacifica* by features of the skull that do not appear to be reliable. The name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*.

# Orca pacifica Gray, 1870

Gray described this "North Pacific" killer whale based on a skull collected by Captain Deville from an unknown locality in the North Pacific. The type specimen was originally in the Zoological Society of London's collection, but now exists in the NHMUK (No. 1165a; the previous number 1065a was an error). Gray distinguished this species from *Orca stenorhyncha* and *Orca latirostris* by features of the skull that do not appear to be taxonomically reliable. The name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*.

# Orca africana Gray, 1871

A cetacean skull from Algoa Bay, South Africa, and illustrated in Van Beneden and Gervais' (1880) atlas (plate 47, fig. 2) was used as the basis of this new species, presumably a "smaller" type of killer whale. The type description was very brief, and it is possible that this was just a young specimen. It is unknown if the type specimen still exists (it is not in the NHMUK). The name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*.

#### Orca tasmanica Gray, 1871

Gray described another species of killer whale in a very brief account, with no illustration. The type locality was from Tasmania, Australia, and the very minimal description was based on a 32-inch (0.8 m) long skull depicted in Van Beneden and Gervais' (1880) atlas (plate 47, fig. 1). It is not known if the type is still in existence. The name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*.

### Orca minor Malm, 1871

Malm described this new species of killer whale from the North Atlantic, based upon a skeleton collected at Warberg, Sweden. The holotype is reportedly in the Goteborg Museum, collected or donated on 17 July 1849. Malm also described several other specimens in his detailed account, which included measurements, and provided an illustration of a vertebra. The name is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*.

# Orca antarctica Fischer, 1876

Although no type specimen was designated, there is a detailed description of this species in Fischer's (1876) account. The species is based upon a description and drawing by M. Dumoutier of killer whales observed at sea during the "Voyage au Pole Sud" on the ships *Astrolabe* and *Zelee*. No specimens were collected. From

Dumoutier's notes: "We estimate that their length is 14 to 15 feet (5 m); the head, very short, is rounded forward into a quarter-circle; the dorsal fin very large, triangular, very acute, is planted in the middle of the length of the back; its height is 2 or 3 feet. The coloring of these cetaceans is remarkable. A large spot of a beautiful golden yellow, of almost trapezoidal shape, is seen on the neck behind and above the eye..." From this description, this nominal species could correspond with the type-B killer whale, but all killer whale ecotypes in Antarctica acquire diatoms and can end up with yellow eyepatches (Pitman<sup>3</sup>). The name is a junior synonym of Orcinus orca.

# Orcinus nanus Mikhalev et al., 1981

Mikhalev and co-authors described a new species of killer whale, a "dwarf" form, based upon animals killed in Russian whaling operations in the Amundsen Sea, Crozet Islands, and southwestern Atlantic. External measurements are given in the account, but there are no illustrations and no type specimens were designated. Mitchell (1985) considered this name to be a *nomen nudum*, but the description is adequate to determine that this is a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*. It is possible that this nominal species corresponds to the type-C killer whale.

# Orcinus mörzer-bruynsus Heintzelman, 1981

Mörzer Bruyns (1971) described the "Alula whale," based on several at-sea sightings in the Gulf of Aden of what might have been killer whales with anomalous color patterns (sepia brown, with star-shaped patches on the body). These animals were later designated with the name *Orcinus mörzer-bruynsus* by Heintzelman (1981), which would be amended to *Orcinus moerzer-bruynsus*. It is unclear exactly what species this was, and therefore the name would have to be considered a *nomen dubium*. However, since there was no formal designation of a name-bearing type, and the name was not accompanied by a formal designation as a new species, it does not subscribe to ICZN rules, and therefore the name is not available.

#### Orcinus glacialis Berzin and Vladimirov, 1982

This "yellow" type killer whale of the high latitudes of the Indian Ocean sector of the Antarctic was described as a new species by Berzin and Vladimirov from a holotype (No. 4 in the TINRO Museum, Vladivostok); however, the type specimen has since been discarded after being damaged in a storm (Berzin<sup>4</sup>). Perrin<sup>1</sup> stated that the tooth shown in a photo in the published type description may qualify as a type specimen if it still exists. Despite the fact that Mitchell (1985) considered this name to be a *nomen nudum*, I disagree, as the account contains a detailed description with identifying features. I consider the name to be a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*. Berzin and Vladimirov described *Orcinus glacialis* as a smaller, fish-eating killer whale, often covered with yellowish diatoms, that lived in the pack ice. They cited differences in body proportions (e.g., smaller flukes) and skull proportions that strongly suggest *Orcinus glacialis* as the type-C killer whale.

# Genus Globicephala Lesson, 1828 – pilot whales

# *Globicephala melas* (Traill, 1809) – long-finned pilot whale

### Delphinus melas Traill, 1809

Based on a mass stranding of 92 pilot whales at Scapay Bay, Pomona, in the Orkney Islands, Traill described this new species in 1809. The account provides details on the external appearance (including a good illustration of body shape and color pattern) and behavior of the animals, which were said to abound around the Orkney and Shetland Isles. However, there is no description of the skull or skeleton, and no type specimen is mentioned. A lectotype has been designated in the NHMUK (No. 44.12.3.2; Hershkovitz, 1966), apparently from among the 92 specimens that were mass stranded. This name is the senior synonym and valid name of the long-finned pilot whale, and also the senior homonym of Delphinus melas Schlegel, 1841b (= Neophocaena asiaeorientalis). Although the gender emendation melaena was used for many years (after Thomas, 1898), the original spelling *melas* is in current use, following Jones et al. (1986). Variant spellings include melaena and mela.

# Delphinus globiceps G. Cuvier, 1812

Cuvier based his description of *Delphinus globiceps* (the dolphin of St.-Brieux) on characteristics of pilot whales from European waters. The description is rather brief, but there is a very accurate illustration of the external appearance of a long-finned pilot whale (middle two illustrations in his plate 1). It is unclear if any type specimen was collected or deposited. This name is a junior synonym of *Globicephala melas*.

# Delphinus deductor Scoresby, 1820

Scoresby appears to have used this name as a replacement name for the European pilot whale already known

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Pitman, R. L. 2019. Personal commun. Southwest Fish. Sci. Cent., Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., 8901 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA 92037.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Berzin, A. 2019. Personal commun. to R. L. Pitman, Southwest

Fish. Sci. Cent., Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., 8901 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA 92037.

as *Delphinus melas*. Scoresby described the general appearance and ecology of this species, but provided little detail on the skeleton. This name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Globicephala melas*.

# Delphinus grinda Lyngbye, 1826

Lyngbye described a species of small whale taken in the "grind," the traditional pilot whale drive fishery of the Faroe Islands. He included a detailed description of the animals in this account, but with no illustrations. However, paintings of the animals have been discovered in his unpublished notebooks (Lyngbye<sup>5</sup>), which clearly show that these are long-finned pilot whales. No type specimens appear to have been collected or deposited. This name is a junior synonym of *Globicephala melas*.

#### Delphinus intermedius Harlan, 1827

The type specimen was harpooned at Salem, Massachusetts, and the holotype is supposedly still preserved at the ANSP (this needs to be checked, and the specimen is not listed in Koopman, 1976). Although sometimes considered a *nomen dubium*, the detailed description and illustration provided by Harlan leave little doubt that this was a long-finned pilot whale, and I place the species firmly in the synonymy of *Globicephala melas*. This name is also the senior homonym of *Delphinus intermedius* Gray, 1827 (= *Feresa attenuata*).

# Phocaena globiceps Lesson, 1827

Lesson described this species from an unknown locality in the Atlantic Ocean, presumably near Europe. A type specimen was apparently collected, but it is not known if it still exists (it could not be located in the NHMUK in 2019). The general description could apply to either species of pilot whale, but Lesson's comments on the number of teeth: "there are 9 to 13 teeth on each side and up and down" suggest that he was describing a long-finned pilot whale. The name is therefore provisionally considered to be a junior synonym of *Globicephala melas*.

# Phocaena edwardii Smith, 1834

The short account by Smith mainly describes the external appearance from animals observed near the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa. There are no illustrations, and the description could refer to one of several species of "blackfish." There is apparently a type specimen in the Museum of Natural History, Bordeaux; it needs to be examined to confirm identity. This name is tentatively considered a junior synonym of *Globicephala melas*, and the senior synonym of the subspecies *Globicephala melas edwardii* (SMM, 2020). Variant spellings include *edwardi* and *edwardsii*.

#### Globicephalus conductor Rapp, 1837

Rapp used this species name as a new name for the "globicephale conducteur" of Lesson (1828, p. 278). It is unknown if a type specimen was collected. The description is rather brief, with no illustrations. This name is a junior synonym of *Globicephala melas*.

### Globicephalus affinis Gray, 1846

Gray's typically-short description says that this may be the young of *Globicephalus svineval*; nonetheless he gave it a new name. The type specimen was said to have been in the Museum of the Royal College of Surgeons (No. 2999), but its current whereabouts is not known. Gray (1866a) caused some confusion when he listed the same specimen (Museum of College of Surgeons No. 1138) under two different genera and three different species: *Globicephalus affinis*, *Globicephalus svineval*, and *Grampus* sp. This name is regarded as a junior synonym of *Globicephalas melas*.

# Globicephalus svineval Gray, 1846

Gray used this species name as a new name for the "*Cachelot svinewal*" of Lacépède (1804). Gray's brief account described several specimens of this new species, supposedly from the coast of North America, presumably the east coast. The type or types were in the Museum of the Royal College of Surgeons, possibly later transferred to the NHMUK (though I could not find them there in 2019). This name is a junior synonym of *Globicephala melas*.

### Delphinus grampus Gray, 1846

Gray used this name in the synonymy of *Globicephalus svineval*. However, the name is a junior homonym of *Delphinus grampus* Desmarest, 1817 (=*Orcinus orca*), and therefore cannot be a valid name.

# Globiocephalus incrassatus Gray, 1861

Gray's detailed description and multiple illustrations of the skull of this nominal species place it firmly in the genus *Globicephala*, though the skull is somewhat damaged and worn, making it challenging to tell if it is a long- or short-finned pilot whale (Fig. 4). However,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Lyngbye, H. C. Unpubl. notebooks. [Available from http://faeroensis. blogspot.com/2017/07/da-hc-lyngbye-var-i-grind-i-hvalba-1817. html.]

based on the position of the maxillae and premaxillae and the length/ width ratio of the rostrum, it is confirmed to be a specimen of *Globicephala melas* (Fig. 4). The stated habitat of the species is the "British seas" and the tag lists Bridgeport. The type specimen is still at the NHMUK (No. 1853.1.5.1; Table 3). This name is a junior synonym of *Globicephala melas*.

# *Globicephalus chiliensis* Philippi, 1895

Philippi described this species, based on a specimen from Chile in the MNHNS in Santiago. The very detailed and accurate skull illustrations in the accompanying plate (plate 1, figs. 3, 4) show that this specimen is a long-finned pilot whale. The name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Globicephala melas*.

# Delphinus melaena Thomas, 1898

This was an unjustified emendation of the original species name *Delphinus melas*. It is listed here, since it is different enough from the original name to appear as if it is a separate species name. In fact, this unjustified spelling was used extensively in the latter part of the twentieth century. However, in recent years the valid name of the short-finned pilot whale has reverted back to *Globicephala melas*, following Jones et al. (1986).

# Globicephala leucosagmaphora Rayner, 1939

Rayner's short description of this new species of pilot whale is based on a specimen harpooned 40 miles (64 km) SSW of the Cape of Good Hope. It was thought to be a unique species due to perceived coloration pattern differences. The account does not include any illustrations, but does mention that a skeleton was being prepared. The type specimen (skull and skeleton) is in the NHMUK (No. 1992.78; Table 3); a note in the account says that a more detailed description will be forthcoming, but I am unaware of such ever being published. This name is a junior synonym of *Globicephala melas*.



Figure 4

The type specimen of *Globicephala incrassatus* Gray, 1861 (NHMUK 1853.1.5.1). The upper photo was taken by the author in 2019, and the lower illustration is from Gray (1861).

# *Globicephala macrorhynchus* Gray, 1846 – short-finned pilot whale

# Globicephalus macrorhynchus Gray, 1846

Gray's short account of this species mostly focused on the anatomy of the skull. It was not illustrated. Years later, Gray (1871) gave *macrorhynchus* priority over *sieboldii*, which were both described in the same publication. The type specimen (skull), which was originally in the Royal College of Surgeons (No. 3000), is now in the NHMUK (No. 1846.8.9.2; Table 3), but the exact collection locality in the "South Seas" is unknown. Although perhaps not the first name used for the short-finned pilot whale, this name is currently the valid name for that species. Variant spellings include *macrorhyncha*.

# Globicephalus sieboldii Gray, 1846

Gray named this species, based on syntype specimens collected in 1827 from Nagasaki, Japan. The lecto-type specimen (skeleton) is still in the collection of the RMNH (No. 21648). Earlier authors who described it (e.g., Schlegel, 1844) did not regard the specimen as different enough from European pilot whales to justify a new species, but Gray's tendency toward splitting resulted in this nominal species. The name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Globicephala macro-rhynchus* (see van Bree, 1971a).

#### Delphinus fuscus Reichenbach, 1846

Reichenbach's very short account introduces this name (credited to Jardine), but provides little detail and no illustrations. Apparently, no type specimen was collected. This name was supposedly based on "blackfish" described by Lesson (1826), and is thus tentatively considered a junior synonym of *Globicephala macrorhynchus*, according to van Bree (1971a).

# Globicephalus indicus Blyth, 1852

Blyth provided a short description of this species. It was based on syntype specimens killed "in the Hugly" near Serampore, Bay of Bengal, India. A stuffed specimen was created and deposited in the Indian Museum, Calcutta (it is unknown if it still exists). This name is a junior synonym of *Globicephala macrorhynchus*.

#### Globicephalus scammonii Cope in Scammon, 1869

In a rather short description (including no illustrations), Cope described this species of pilot whale from the eastern Pacific (16 km off the coast of Baja California, Mexico, at 31°N). Scammon (1874) in his classic book, *The Marine Mammals of the Northwestern Coast of North America*, provided much more detail on the anatomy (including illustrations of external appearance) and habits of this species. A lectotype specimen (skull, USNM 238167, earlier cataloged as USNM 9074) was designated by True (1889), and is housed in the USNM (see Fisher and Ludwig, 2016, for history). The name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Globicephala macrorhynchus*. Variant spellings include *scamonii* and *scammoni*.

#### Globiocephalus propinquus Malm, 1871

Malm provided a long description of this new species of pilot whale, complete with various measurements and detailed anatomical description. It is based on a fetus preserved in alcohol, apparently from somewhere in the Atlantic Ocean, and the type specimen is in the Goteborg Museum. The only illustration provided shows the bones of the pectoral fin. The name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Globicephala macrorhynchus*.

### Globiocephalus guadaloupensis Gray, 1871

Gray named this species, apparently based on a specimen stranded on the coast of Guadaloupe (French Antilles) and residing in the MNHN (No. A.3215). There is no description of identifying features, and no illustration; however, Robineau (1990) later provided a brief description. Although the name has been considered to be a junior synonym of *Globicephala macrorhynchus* (van Bree, 1971a), the lack of description indicates that this name should actually be considered a *nomen nudum*.

# Globicephalus sibo Gray, 1871

Gray recognized this new species as a type of pilot whale from Japan (and called "Sibo golo" by the Japanese). It supposedly was distinguished by being "purple, with a white spot behind the dorsal fin," the latter of which probably refers to the post-dorsal fin saddle. Apparently, no type specimen was collected, and there is not an adequate description, so this name might be considered by some to be a *nomen nudum*. However, based on the collection locality, it is here tentatively viewed as a junior synonym of *Globicephala macrorhynchus*.

# Globicephalus brachypterus Cope, 1876

Cope described this species based on syntype specimens from Delaware Bay, which are supposedly stored in the ANSP (this needs to be checked, and the specimens are not listed in Koopman, 1976). The description is quite detailed, and there are very accurate illustrations of several views of the skull, which show clearly that this is a short-finned pilot whale. The name is considered to be a junior synonym of *Globicephala macrorhynchus*. Variant spellings include *brachyptera* and *brachycephala*.

# Genus *Pseudorca* Reinhardt, 1862 – false killer whales

# *Pseudorca crassidens* (Owen, 1846) – false killer whale

### Delphinus dalippus Rafinesque Schmaltz, 1814

This long-forgotten name was recently shown by Woodman et al. (2020) to be the senior synonym for the false killer whale. The name actually antedates *Pseudorca crassidens*, the valid name, by 32 years. However, *Delphinus dalippus* has not been used for the false killer whale, apparently due to the relative obscurity of the indication (a short description and illustration) that it was based on. In order to maintain stability, *Delphinus dalippus* should be designated a *nomen oblitum*, thereby protecting *Pseudorca crassidens* as the valid name.

# Phocaena crassidens Owen, 1846

Owen described this species in his book on fossil mammals and birds from the U.K., from a subfossil specimen collected in 1843 from "Lincolnshire Fens, near Stamford, England." Owen was not sure if the species was extinct, but he suspected it might still be extant (see also Pilleri and Arvy, 1981). His description contains measurements and illustrations of the skull and cervical vertebrae. The type specimen was deposited in the Museum of Stamford Institute, later apparently moved to the Royal College of Surgeons and then the Cambridge University Museum, but apparently was eventually lost. The species, of course, is still extant, and this is now the valid name for the false killer whale (see Reinhardt, 1866).

#### Orca meridionalis Flower, 1864

Flower, originally thinking this was a type of killer whale, provided a detailed description of this species from two specimens (skulls) collected from Tasmania. He included measurements and illustrations of the skulls, which were in the Royal College of Surgeons (No. 2986), London, and now reside in the NHMUK (No. 1946.8.9.3; Table 3). He later (Flower, 1865) moved the species to the genus *Pseudorca*, allying it with the false killer whale. The name is considered a junior synonym of *Pseudorca crassidens*.

# Orca destructor Cope, 1866

Cope published a short description of the skull of this species. The type locality of this species was from the southern Pacific, off Paita, Peru. The holotype composed of a rostrum and mandible is in the USNM (No. 3679), but I have not examined it. The name is considered a junior synonym of *Pseudorca crassidens*.

#### Globicephalus grayi Burmeister, 1868

Burmeister first described this species in a short paper published in 1868, in which he characterized and illustrated the skull from a specimen found on shore at Buenos Aires, Argentina. In a more detailed account the following year, Burmeister (1869) re-described the species, including a sighting at sea from a locality reportedly in the mid-Atlantic off Brazil (8°S, 22.5°W). Burmeister's (1869) plate 1 shows an illustration of the school at sea, an outline of the body, and several views of the skull. The illustrations of the external appearance suggest pilot whales (*Globicephala* sp.), but the skull illustrations clearly indicate that this was a false killer whale. A group of five cetaceans sighted were included in this original description, but Burmeister (1872) later reported that those cetaceans observed atsea were not the ones he was describing as *Globicephala grayi*, but were indeed pilot whales. He also stated that his *Globicephala grayi* was in fact a representative of the genus *Pseudorca*. The type specimen is thought to still exist in the MACN-Ma, and the name is considered a junior synonym of *Pseudorca crassidens*.

### Pseudorca? mediterranea Giglioli, 1882

The species was described from two skulls collected in the Mediterranean Sea (unknown location in Italy/Sicily). Giglioli provided a description with some details of the skull and comparisons to other known species. The type was deposited in the Florence Museum, though it is not known if it still exists to this day. The name is considered a junior synonym of *Pseudorca crassidens*.

# Genus Feresa Gray, 1870 – pygmy killer whales

# Feresa attenuata Gray, 1874 – pygmy killer whale

# Delphinus intermedius Gray, 1827

This name is a junior homonym of *Delphinus intermedius* Harlan, 1827 (= *Globicephala melas*) (Hershkovitz, 1966), and therefore cannot be a valid name. Gray's name is based on a specimen from an unknown locality, which is still in the British Museum (NHMUK 362a; Table 3). The type description is not very detailed and no illustrations are presented. Variant spellings include *intermedia*.

# Feresa attenuata Gray, 1874

This species was described from a specimen in the NHMUK (skull still held as No. 1874.11.25.1; Table 3), collected from an unknown location in the "South Seas." The original description is very short on details and contains no illustrations. A follow-up paper was published the next year (Gray, 1875), and though not much more detailed, was accompanied by several illustrations of the skull and earbones. Because the older name, *Delphinus intermedius* (a junior homonym), was preoccupied, *Feresa attenuata* is the valid name for the pygmy killer whale.

#### Feresa occulta Jones and Packard, 1956

Jones and Packard proposed this name as a replacement name for *Delphinus intermedius* (this name was found to be preoccupied – see above), which they considered to be a separate species from *Feresa attenuata*. However, there is currently considered to be only a single species of pygmy killer whale, and thus *Feresa occulta* becomes a junior synonym of *Feresa attenuata*.

# Genus *Peponocephala* Nishiwaki and Norris, 1966 – melon-headed whales

# Peponocephala electra (Gray, 1846) – melonheaded whale

# Lagenorhynchus electra Gray, 1846

Gray provided a short description of this species from a skull in the British Museum (NHMUK 1844.19.5.3; Table 3), which came from an unknown locality. The accompanying illustration of the type specimen (plate 13) clearly shows the skull of a melon-headed whale, and this name is thus the senior synonym and valid name of *Peponocephala electra*.

# Lagenorhynchus asia Gray, 1846

Gray described this species from a skull, which is still in the British Museum (NHMUK 358a; Table 3), and which came from an unknown locality. Gray's (1846) description was brief, but his illustration of the type (plate 14) shows the skull of what is without a doubt a melon-headed whale, and this name is thus a junior synonym of *Peponocephala electra*.

# Phocoena pectoralis Peale, 1849

Peale described this species from a specimen harpooned at the bow of the U.S.S. *Peacock* (on the U.S. Exploring Expedition) at 27°16′S, 75°30′W (note that Peale's volume was later withdrawn, due to a belief that it contained too many taxonomic errors; see p. 343 in Philbrick, 2003). In the later re-issued version of this volume (Cassin, 1858), virtually the same description appears under the name *Delphinus pectoralis*. The holotype specimen is a mandible in the USNM (No. 4108). The description and illustrations of external appearance (which appeared in Cassin, 1858, plate 5, fig. 2) definitely show a melon-headed whale, and this name is thus a junior synonym of *Peponocephala electra*.

# Delphinus (Lagenorhynchus) fusiformis Owen, 1866

This species was described from a specimen collected off Waltair, India, by Walter Elliot. The type specimen is in the British Museum (NHMUK 1866.2.5.1; Table 3). Although Owen's description and illustration of the external form of the body show a beaked dolphin (possibly *Tursiops* or *Delphinus*), the description and illustrations of the skull (Owen, 1866, plate 7) identify this as a melon-headed whale, and this name therefore is a junior synonym of *Peponocephala electra*. It is possible that the drawings of the external body (which were prepared by an Indian artist under Walter Elliot's supervision) were mixed up before being received by Owen at the British Museum.

# Electra obtusa Gray, 1868

This is a renaming of *Lagenorhynchus electra*. Gray's (1868a) description is quite brief, with little new information and no illustrations provided, though description of the type can be found in Gray (1846) under *Lagenorhynchus electra*. This name is a junior synonym of *Peponocephala electra*.

# Genus Grampus Gray, 1828 – grampus

# Grampus griseus (G. Cuvier, 1812) – Risso's dolphin

# Delphinus griseus G. Cuvier, 1812

Cuvier provided a very short description and a rather crude illustration of this new species of dolphin from Brest, France, which served as the type description for Risso's dolphin. There is a type specimen (skull and postcranial skeleton) in the MNHN (JAC: 1944-307, 1945-4; CAG: B II/156). The external illustration in Cuvier's account is not clearly identifiable to species, but this is generally considered the senior synonym and valid name of Risso's dolphin. Robineau (1990) published an illustration of the type skull, clearly showing what is known today as *Grampus griseus*.

# [Delphinus] aires G. Cuvier, 1812

In another brief description, Cuvier introduced this new species, though it is never described using this name in the text of the paper (he only calls it "*l'aries marinus*," which Hershkovitz, 1966, interpreted as *Delphinus aires*). Cuvier did, however, provide an illustration of the species (labeling it "*Delphinus aires*?" in the bottom illustration of his plate 1); this shows an animal that can be clearly identified as a Risso's dolphin (the only major flaw being the flippers placed too far back on the body). No type specimen was identified. This name is regarded as a junior synonym of *Grampus griseus*.

# Delphinus rissoanus Desmarest, 1822

Desmarest called this "dauphin de Risso" and he was clearly describing what we know today as Risso's dolphin. Although no type specimen was specifically designated (and there is no illustration), he identifies this species as found in the Mediterranean, in the vicinity of Nice. This is a junior synonym of *Grampus griseus*. Variant spellings include *rissonus*.

# D[elphinus] risso Risso, 1826

This nominal species was based on a specimen from Nice, France, collected some time before 1811 by Risso (probably the same specimen used by Cuvier, 1812). There is a short description of the species, which was said to be about 3 m in length, and the color plate leaves no doubt about the species involved. Risso credited the name to Cuvier, though Cuvier's published names for Risso's dolphins were *griseus* and *aries*. No preserved type specimen is known. The name is a junior synonym of *Grampus griseus*. Variant spellings include *rissoi*.

# Globicephalus rissii Hamilton, 1837

Hamilton provided a brief description and beautiful color plate of the external appearance of this species, and he obviously based this on Risso's species (see *D[elphinus] risso*), modifying the name. The plate makes clear the species involved is a Risso's dolphin. The name is considered a junior synonym of *Grampus* griseus. Variant spellings include rissonus.

# Grampus cuvieri Gray, 1846

Gray introduced this species name as a replacement name for Cuvier's *Delphinus griseus*, largely repeating information on its characters from previous work by the Cuvier brothers (Georges and Frederic) and d'Orbigny. The name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Grampus griseus*.

# Grampus sakamata Gray, 1846

This is a replacement provided by Gray for a species originally mentioned by Schlegel (1844) from Japan (Gray calls it *Sakamata kuzira*, but in fact Schlegel never formally named it in the short footnote where he introduced it). There is a holotype specimen (donated by the Japanese government) in the MNHN (No. JAC: 1877-276), and Robineau (1990) presented measurements of the skull and history of the specimen. He also stated that the skull figured by Gervais in the Van Beneden and Gervais atlas (1880) (plate LXIV, fig. 5, p. 568) is the type of *Grampus sakamata*. The name *Grampus sakamata* is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Grampus griseus*. Variant spellings include *sakata*.

# Grampus richardsoni Gray, 1850

Gray provided a very short description of a specimen in the NHMUK (No. 1626a; previously in the Haslar Hospital Museum) from an unknown locality, and it represents the type description for this species. The type consists only of the lower jaw. In 1866, Gray provided a more detailed description, with some measurements, and stated that the species came from the Cape of Good Hope. The name is a junior synonym of *Grampus griseus*.

### Grampus stearnsii Dall, 1873

Dall proposed this new species of *Grampus*, from specimens observed off the coast of California, near Monterey (and described as the "whiteheaded or mottled grampus" by Scammon, 1874). Although no formal holotype specimen was designated by Dall (1873), a description of the jaws of a specimen collected by Scammon are provided, and a more detailed description with measurements is provided in Dall (1874). A lectotype was designated by F. W. True (USNM 13021), and is currently in the Smithsonian's USNM (see Fisher and Ludwig, 2016, for details). The name is a junior synonym of *Grampus griseus*.

# Grampus sowerbianus Fischer, 1881

Fischer provisonally described this species of *Grampus*, which he thought might be new, based on a skull preserved in the Bordeaux Museum, France, and whose origin was unknown. His short description provides skull measurements, but no illustrations. The species is not considered valid, and the name is a junior synonym of *Grampus griseus*.

# Grampidelphis kuzira Iredale and Troughton, 1933

This name was proposed as a replacement name for *Grampus sakamata* (Iredale and Troughton stated that it was the name of Van Beneden and Gervais, 1880, and not of Gray, 1846). The account was rather detailed, but did not provide any illustrations. The name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Grampus griseus*.

# Grampidelphis exilis Iredale and Troughton, 1933

The authors provided a detailed description, measurements, and several skull photos of the types for this new nominal species of *Grampus*. These types were deposited in the Australian Museum (including a holotype AM S.1776, cast and complete skeleton from Ocean Beach, Sydney, Australia; and "paratype" AM S.1832, skull from Dee Why Beach, north of Manly, Australia; Parnaby et al., 2017). The name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Grampus griseus*.

# Genus Sotalia Gray, 1866 – estuarine dolphins

# *Sotalia fluviatilis* (Gervais and Deville in Gervais, 1853) - tucuxi

# Delphinus fluviatilis Gervais and Deville in Gervais, 1853

Gervais and Deville in Gervais (1853) introduced this species name in a short footnote, with very little in the way of description and no figures. Gervais (1855) gave a much more detailed description, providing the diagnostic features and also a painting of the body showing external features. There has been some controversy as to the authorship of the type description, which is discussed in van Bree (1974a). The holotype specimen consists of a rostrum and mandibles held at the MNHN (JAC: 1880-550), collected from near Pebas, Marañon River, Peru (upper Amazon basin). Robineau (1990) provided a photo of the holotype, as well as some measurements. This name might be considered a *nomen nudum* by some (as it has by Cabrera, 1957), and some have regarded Gervais as the sole author of the description. However, I have followed tradition by crediting the name, which is the senior synonym and valid name for the tucuxi, to Gervais and Deville in Gervais (1853).

# Delphinus pallidus Gervais, 1855

This species was based on a specimen apparently collected from Loreto or Nauta, in the Peruvian Amazon (though a label on the skull indicates Fonte Boa, Brazil, according to Robineau, 1990). In the original reference, a detailed description was provided with some measurements and illustrations of the whole body. The type specimen still exists in the MNHN (skeleton: JAC: 1880-549; stuffed skin: CGZ No. 29), and details and measurements were provided by Robineau (1990). Apparently, this was just a color variant of *Delphinus fluviatilis*, and the name is now considered to be in the synonymy of *Sotalia fluviatilis*. Variant spellings include *pallida*.

#### Steno tucuxi Gray, 1856

This species was based on male and female skulls in the NHMUK (No. 1189a and 1189b, respectively; one of these also has the number BMNH 1856.8.2.2; Table 3), collected by H. W. Bates in the Amazon River of Brazil, apparently near Santarem. The type description is short, with some discussion and measurements of the skull, but no figures or illustrations. The name is now considered a synonym of *Sotalia fluviatilis*. Variant spellings include *tuchuschi* and *tucaxi*.

# Sotalia guianensis (P. J. Van Beneden, 1864) – Guiana dolphin

# Delphinus guianensis P. J. Van Beneden, 1864

The two syntypes include a skull and complete skeleton (Nos. 1516, 2349) held at the Musee Royal d'Histoire Naturelle de Belgique, Brussels, Belgium, previously held at the Stuttgart Museum, Germany. They were collected from the mouth of the Maroni River (or Marowijne River), near the border between French Guiana and Surinam. The type description is quite detailed, and it includes an illustration of the complete skeleton. This is the senior synonym and valid name for the recently resurrected Guiana dolphin (or "costero"). Variant spellings include guyanensis.

# Sotalia brasiliensis E. Van Beneden, 1875

Edouard (not the more well-known P. J.) Van Beneden described this species from a specimen collected at the Bay of Rio de Janeiro (Baia de Guanabara), Brazil. He provided a very detailed description of the animal, including measurements, and illustrations of the external appearance, skull, and postcranial skeleton. The holotype specimen (skin and complete skeleton) is thought to reside in the Louvain Museum, Brussels, Belgium. This name is considered to be a synonym of *Sotalia guianensis*. Variant spellings include *braziliensis*.

# Genus Steno Gray, 1846 – rough-toothed dolphins

# Steno bredanensis (Lesson, 1828) – roughtoothed dolphin

#### Delphinus bredanensis Lesson, 1828

This species of dolphin was described from a specimen collected from the River Scheldt (Belgium). Lesson had drawings available to him that depicted this dolphin and its skull, preserved in the Ghent University Museum, which were also published by the collector, J. G. S. Van Breda (1829). The drawings have apparently not been recently examined and may have been lost. Bekker et al. (2016) recently rediscovered the skull that the species description was based on (Ghent University Museum, No. MDV50426). Several undocumented skulls in Paris were considered by Smeenk (2018) to be syntypes (or more accurately, paratypes). This name is the senior synonym of the rough-toothed dolphin. The author of this species is sometimes incorrectly cited as G. Cuvier or G. Cuvier in Lesson. See Smeenk (2018) for a detailed history of this name, its history, and related nomenclature. Variant spellings include bredansis and bredaensis.

# Delphinus chamissonis Wiegmann, 1840

Wiegmann published a plate (plate 359) depicting this species, which represents the first use of this species name. However, since there was no description of distinctive features (and no reference to such), nothing was known of its external appearance. To some, the name might not appear to be available, but under ICZN Article 12.2.7, the name is indeed available, and is therefore a junior synonym of *Steno bredanensis*.

### Delphinus planiceps Schlegel, 1841

This name was applied to the rough-toothed dolphin by Schegel (1841b), who erroneously attributed the name to Van Breda (1829). It is essentially a renaming of *Delphinus bredanensis*. Schlegel copied the name from an undocumented specimen in the RMNH (see Smeenk, 2018, for detailed history). The name is considered an objective junior synonym of *Steno bredanensis*.

# Delphinus reinwardtii Schlegel, 1841

This species was described from two syntype specimens in the RMNH (skull: No. 31179; incomplete skull: No. 31180), which were collected by C. G. C. Reinwardt from the island of Java, Indonesia. The illustrations of the skull and description in Schlegel (1841b) clearly indicate that this is a rough-toothed dolphin, and the name is considered a junior synonym of *Steno bredanensis*.

# Delphinus compressus Gray, 1843

Gray (1843a) introduced this name for a skull in the NHMUK (No. 346a), but there was no description or illustration of identifying characters. In fact, the only thing said about this species was that there was a "skull" in the collection (I confirmed this in 2019). Therefore, the name is considered a *nomen nudum*, and is not available. The type locality is unknown.

#### Delphinus chamissonis Wagner, 1847

The authorship of Wagner's species *Delphinus chamissonis* is sometimes cited as Wiegmann, 1840; this is likely because Wiegmann prepared the plate for this species some time earlier than the time of Wagner's 1847 publication. In Wagner's book, he provides a brief description, which together with the relevant illustration (plate 359) indicates that this is a roughtoothed dolphin. The name is a junior synonym of *Steno bredanensis*.

#### Steno compressus Gray, 1850

Gray made this name available again (see above under *Delphinus compressus*) by finally describing the type skull, and stating that it was the specimen figured in Gray (1846, plate 27). The type specimen (No. 346a; Table 3) is still in the collection of the NHMUK. The information presented in Gray (1850) and the plate in Gray (1846) make it clear that this is a rough-toothed dolphin, and the name is therefore a junior synonym of *Steno bredanensis*.

# Delphinus (Steno) perspicillatus Peters, 1877

This species was based on a specimen (complete skeleton) in the Berlin Museum (ZMB No. 5097) from the

Atlantic Ocean, harpooned at the reported position of 32°29'S, 2°1'W. While the illustration of the external appearance of the harpooned specimen provided by Peters does not look at all like a rough-toothed dolphin (it shows a beak distinctly separated from the forehead), the detailed illustrations of the skull clearly show several unmistakeable diagnostic features of Steno bredanensis. This dilemma was recognized and discussed by True (1889), who clearly saw that something was not right, but did not feel he had the information to resolve it. It now seems likely that there was some mix-up, and the illustration of the external appearance (Peters, 1877, plate 2) was from a specimen of the common dolphin (Delphinus delphis), which was the other species of dolphin caught on the same voyage (see Fraser, 1966). The skull (plate 3), which is archived and available for study in the Berlin Museum, is thus taken as the correct type specimen, and so the name is considered to be a junior synonym of Steno bredanensis (see West et al., 2011).

# Genus Sousa Gray, 1866 – humpback dolphins

# Sousa chinensis (Osbeck, 1765) – Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin

### Delphinus chinensis Osbeck, 1765

Pehr Osbeck (a student of Linnaeus) based this species on a sighting of live animals observed in the Canton (Pearl) River, Guangdong Province, China, in 1751. The stated white color of the dolphins and their location leave little doubt that this is what today we know as the Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin (locally called "Chinese white dolphin" in southern China). No type specimen was designated, as the original publication date of Osbeck's description was 1757, the year before the starting point of modern taxonomic nomenclature (1 January 1758; Linnaeus, 1758). Therefore, the translation of Osbeck's description into German (Osbeck, 1765) is used as the official description of the species (see Hershkovitz, 1963; Pilleri, 1979). The description was later translated into English (Osbeck, 1771). The detailed description of a specimen by Flower (1870) was viewed as a "substitute" for an official type specimen, but unfortunately a bombing raid in World War II resulted in the destruction of that skeletal material (Pilleri, 1979). Porter (2002) designated a neotype (skull and skeleton) from Hong Kong and deposited it in the NHMUK (ZD 1999.360; Fig. 5). Porter's (1998, 2002) descriptions of the neotype specimen contain a number of serious errors, it has thus been suggested that Porter's measurements not be used for comparative purposes (see Jefferson and Karczmarski, 2001; Jefferson and Rosenbaum, 2014). I examined the specimen in 2019, and the skull measurements I took



are presented in Table 3. The correct vertebral counts are C7, Th12, L+Ca30 (with 2-3 missing at the end), for a total count of 51-52. *Sousa chinensis* is the senior synonym of the Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin, as redescribed in Jefferson and Rosenbaum (2014). Variant spellings include *sinensis*.

### Sotalia borneensis Lydekker, 1901

Lydekker described this species from the skin and skeleton of a dolphin collected at "Sipang," near the mouth of the Sarawak River, in what is present-day Malaysian Borneo. The type specimen is in the Natural History Museum, London (skull and skeleton: NHMUK 1901.2.16.1; Table 3, Fig. 6); it was measured for Jefferson and Van Waerebeek (2004). Lydekker's type description is fairly detailed and includes illustrations of the skull and external appearance. The general consensus is that Sousa borneensis is not a valid species, and is a junior synonym of Sousa chinensis (see Jefferson and Van Waerebeek, 2004), and I consider it to be in the synonymy of that species. However, very few specimens of this form of humpback dolphin have been examined, and future studies may show this to be a valid subspecies of Sousa chinensis.

#### Sousa huangi Wang, 1999

Wang described a young specimen of humpback dolphin from Behai, southern China, as the new species, Sousa huangi. Earlier, Huang and Fu (1984) had described a specimen from this area in an abstract, but it appears their work was never published. There was no official declaration of a type specimen, but Wang (1999) provided a detailed description of the skeleton of the animal he obtained. His description of the species' supposedly unique characters is dubious, and there is little doubt that any reported differences from Sousa chinensis were simply a result of developmental and individual variation (see Jefferson et al., 2012, for a description of the age- and sex-related variation of these animals). The validity of this species has been soundly rejected (Jefferson and Rosenbaum, 2014), and the name has been placed in the synonymy of Sousa chinensis.

# Sousa plumbea (G. Cuvier, 1829) - Indian Ocean humpback dolphin

#### Delphinus plumbeus G. Cuvier, 1829

The two syntype specimens – one adult of 226 cm and one young calf of 98 cm – from "Malabar" (India, Bay of Bengal) are stored in the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle [Paris Museum] (skulls: MNHN A.3051, A.3053; stuffed skin: CGZ No. 31; Robineau, 1990). Cuvier's type description is quite brief and does not include illustrations. However, figures of the external appearance of the syntype specimens, showing the prominent dorsal hump, were reproduced in Arvy (1972a) and Robineau (1990, 2005). I measured the complete adult skull for the Jefferson and Van Waerebeek (2004) study (Table 3). *Sousa plumbea* is a valid species, based on the results and conclusions of Jefferson and Rosenbaum (2014), and *Delphinus plumbeus* is the senior synonym of the newly-resurrected Indian Ocean humpback dolphin. Variant spellings include *plumbea*.

# Delphinus (Steno?) lentiginosus Owen, 1866

The type specimen of this species was captured at "Waltair" (in present-day Visakhapatnam, at about 18°N in central eastern India). The type skull is still in the collection of the Natural History Museum, London (NHMUK 1866.2.5.2), where it was measured in 1999 as part of the Jefferson and Van Waerebeek (2004) study (Table 3, Fig. 7). Hershkovitz (1966) credited the name to Gray (1866a) for reasons that are not clear to me. It has been challenging to ascertain the affinity of the type specimen of Steno lentiginosa, since it was collected within the currently-understood range of both the *plumbea*-type and the chinensis-type. A plate illustrating the external appearance of the 2.4-m specimen in Owen's paper shows extensive spotting on the body. It does not give much indication of the prominent dorsal hump that is characteristic of the *plumbea*-type, although there does appear to be evidence of a keel at the posterior of the dorsal fin (Owen, 1866, fig. 1). Fraser (1966), noted that a taxonomic review of humpback dolphins was "badly needed" and conducted a detailed examination this putative species. His analysis appeared to support Lydekker's (1909) earlier suggestion that Steno lentiginosa is most likely allied with the plumbea-type and not the chinensis-type. Therefore, I tentatively consider this name to be a junior synonym of Sousa plumbea. New molecular evidence suggests that humpback dolphins in the Bay of Bengal are distinct from both *chinensis* and *plumbea*, possibly at the species level (Amaral et al., 2017). If Owen's type specimen is found to cluster with Amaral's Bay of Bengal specimens in future molecular studies, then the name lentiginosa/lentiginosus would be associated with any species or subspecies proposed to describe the Bay of Bengal animals. Variant spellings include lentiginosa.

#### Sotalia fergusoni Lydekker, 1904

This species was based on the carcass of a very small (ca. 1 m) dolphin collected at "Trevandrum," India. The specimen consists of the skull and postcranial





skeleton of an obviously immature specimen. The type description is moderately detailed, and includes an illustration which shows an apparent dorsal hump. The specimen (skull and skeleton) may have been previously kept in the Trivandrum (Travancore) Museum, but it is now in the holdings of the British Museum (NHMUK 1903.9.12.2). It was examined as part of the Jefferson and Van Waerebeek (2004) study. I consider this name to be a junior synonym of *Sousa plumbea*.

### Stenopontistes zambezicus Miranda-Ribeiro, 1936

For some years, this species was erroneously considered to be a synonym of *Steno bredanensis*; however, Brownell (1975) re-examined the skull and placed it in the synonymy of the humpback dolphins (*Sousa* spp.). The species was described from a specimen (MN 131) in the Museu Nacional, Rio de Janiero, Brazil, which was collected in "Zambezi" (also spelled Zembeze, presumably Zambezia, in present-day Mozambique, on the east coast of central/southern Africa). The type description is detailed and includes multiple photos of the skull. Notwithstanding the objections of Pilleri and Gihr (1976), I consider this name to be a synonym of *Sousa plumbea*.

# Sousa teuszii (Kükenthal, 1892) – Atlantic humpback dolphin

#### Sotalia teuszii Kükenthal, 1892

Hershkovitz (1966) stated that the holotype specimen (a skull from Cameroon, West Africa) was "presumably still in the Jena Natural History Museum." However, it is now located in the collection of the Natural History Museum, London (NHMUK 1893.8.1.1), where I re-examined it (for Jefferson and Van Waerebeek, 2004; Table 3, Fig. 8). A photograph of the skull was published with the type description, and Pilleri and Gihr (1972a) provided additional photos of the type specimen. This name is the senior synonym of the Atlantic humpback dolphin, from West African waters. Variant spellings include *teuszi*.

# Sousa sahulensis Jefferson and Rosenbaum, 2014 – Australian humpback dolphin

#### Sousa queenslandensis Gaskin, 1972

Though seemingly based on scant evidence, in 1972 Gaskin correctly speculated that Australian humpback dolphins might eventually be found to be a distinct species or subspecies. He offered the name *Sousa queenslandensis* if that were indeed found to be the case. However, Gaskin provided no description of characters that would differentiate the taxon. As such, this name violates Article 13 of the International Code of Taxonomic Nomenclature (ICZN, 1999). It is considered to be a *nomen nudum*, and is therefore not available.

# Sousa sahulensis Jefferson and Rosenbaum, 2014

The Australian humpback dolphin was described by Jefferson and Rosenbaum, with a type specimen (skull) housed at the MTQ (MTQ/JM20036; Table 3). The description is detailed, conducted as part of a global revision of the genus *Sousa*. It included photographs of the skull and external appearance, measurements with comparisons to other species in the genus, as well as molecular characters and comparisons. Gaskin (1972) had previously suggested that Australian humpback dolphin may turn out to be a separate species, but his name is a *nomen nudum* (see *Sousa queenslandensis* Gaskin, 1972). *Sousa sahulensis* is thus the valid name for the Australian humpback dolphin.

# Genus *Tursiops* Gervais, 1855 – bottlenose dolphins

# *Tursiops truncatus* (Montagu, 1821) – common bottlenose dolphin

### Delphinus tursio Gunnerus, 1768

This species was named and described by Gunnerus in 1768, with reference to the illustrations in Klein (1741) which clearly show a common bottlenose dolphin. The name appears to have long been overlooked and forgotten, until it was "rediscovered" and proposed by Kinze (2018) as the valid name for the common bottlenose dolphin. It is the senior homonym of *Delphinus* tursio Fabricius, 1780, the latter of which has been used occasionally in the twentieth century. However, True (1903) convincingly argued that this latter name was not a bottlenose dolphin (see Delphinus tursio Fabricius, 1780). Assuming that these are in fact two different names (which is not 100% clear), Gunnerus' Delphinus tursio does not appear to have been used for the bottlenose dolphin since 1899, thereby satisfying the condition of Article 23.9.2 of the ICZN (1999), and making it appropriate to consider it a nomen oblitum. An explicit proposal to do so (and thereby to conserve Delphinus truncatus Montagu, 1821) has been made (Jefferson, 2021).

#### Delphinus nesarnack Lacépède, 1804

Although the Greenlandic name "nesernack" originally meant the "other porpoise" and could refer to several delphinid species, Lacépède's description includes a painting that shows what appears to be a bottlenose dolphin. *Delphinus nesarnack* had previously been considered to be the senior synonym for the common


bottlenose dolphin, but it had rarely been used for this species since being published in 1804 and seems to have been forgotten. The type specimen was supposedly in the École Nationale Veterinaire d'Alfort (Veterinary School of Alford, near Paris), but it has not been subsequently found, and is presumed lost. Although Hershkovitz (1961) made a case for resurrecting this name for the common bottlenose dolphin, he later changed his mind (Hershkovitz, 1966). Rice (1984) petitioned the ICZN to have this name placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology, and the suppressed name is now considered to be a *nomen oblitum*. Variant spellings include *nesarnak*.

## Delphinus truncatus Montagu, 1821

The species is based upon a detailed description of a skull from a specimen collected in 1814 from Duncannon Pool, about 5 miles (8 km) up the Dart River, in the region of Totness, England. The description contains an illustration of the skull (reproduced in Pilleri and Arvy, 1981), and the type specimen is still present in the NHMUK (No. 353a; Table 3). Although not the senior synonym, this species name has been in almost universal use for the common bottlenose dolphin in the twentieth and twenty-first centuries, since the note by True (1903) was published stating that this was probably the "correct" name of the common bottlenose dolphin. Although antedated by two other names, Rice (1984) petitioned the ICZN to have this name conserved, and the name is now considered to be a nomen conservandum (Tubbs, 1986). It is the valid name for the common bottlenose dolphin. Variant spellings include troncatus.

### Delphinus compressicauda Lesson, 1828

Lesson described *Delphinus compressicauda* from a specimen harpooned in the central tropical Atlantic Ocean (off the coast of Brazil at approximately 4°S, 26°W); it is uncertain if the specimen was preserved. The holotype description is rather detailed, but there are no accompanying figures. Tooth counts (44 upper, 46 lower) suggest that this was a bottlenose dolphin, and the name is considered to be in the synonymy of *Tursiops truncatus*.

### Delphinus eurynome Gray, 1846

This species was briefly described by Gray from a skull from an unknown locality (although NHMUK records list it as the Bay of Bengal) in the NHMUK (No. 356a; Table 3), and illustrated clearly in his 1846 monograph (plate 17). The illustration shows a common bottlenose dolphin skull, and recently Kurihara and Oda (2007) confirmed it as a specimen of *Tursiops truncatus*. The name is considered to be a junior synonym of *Tursiops truncatus*.

#### Delphinus metis [No. 1] Gray, 1846

Gray described and illustrated the skull of this species in 1846 under the name Delphinus metis. He listed the type locality as unknown, but later (Gray, 1871) listed it as coming from "West Africa." Unfortunately, on the following page in the original publication (Gray, 1846), he used the same name for another species of dolphin (the Clymene dolphin [Stenella clymene]). This makes Delphinus metis [No. 1] the senior homonym, and Delphinus metis [No. 2] a junior homonym (see below under Stenella clymene for a detailed history of these names). The type specimen of *Delphinus metis* [No. 1] is still in the NHMUK (No. 357a; Table 3). The drawings of the skull (plate 18) make it clear that Delphinus metis [No. 1] is a common bottlenose dolphin. The name is considered to be a junior synonym of Tursiops truncatus.

#### Delphinus cymodoce Gray, 1846

Gray described this species very briefly in 1846, based on a skull in the NHMUK (No. 355a; Table 3) from an unknown locality. He included a plate with drawings of the skull, which allow identification as a common bottlenose dolphin. In a later revision of the cetaceans (Gray, 1871), he listed the origin of the specimen as "River Uragua. Mus. Buenos Ayres" (the River Uragua is in Uruguay). This name is considered to be a junior synonym of *Tursiops truncatus*. Variant spellings include *symodoce, cymodice*, and *symodice*.

#### Delphinus tursio obtusus Schlegel, 1862

Although this name is sometimes considered to be a junior synonym of *Tursiops truncatus*, it is not a new species name (as it has sometimes erroneously been treated), but a subspecies name, and therefore is outside the scope of this review.

#### Delphinus erebennus Cope, 1865

Cope (1865a) described this species of bottlenose dolphin primarily from perceived vertebral differences, based on a skeleton collected from the coast at Red Bank, near Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The holotype specimen is in the ANSP (No. 3020, partial postcranial skeleton; Koopman, 1976). The name is considered a junior synonym of *Tursiops truncatus*.

## Tursiops gillii Dall, 1873

In a very brief account, Dall described this species from a specimen collected from Monterey, California. The type specimen, the mandible only (along with outline drawings of the external appearance by Capt. C. M. Scammon), is preserved in the USNM (No. 13022). Although the name has been used in numerous publications on Pacific bottlenose dolphins, it is not considered a valid species, and the name is regarded as a junior synonym of *Tursiops truncatus*. See Fisher and Ludwig (2016) for history. Variant spellings include *gilli*.

### Tursiops subridens True, 1884

True introduced this name for U.S. east coast bottlenose dolphins, without much description; although he did mention casts of two specimens (USNM 13317 and 13318). In Flower's (1884) monograph on delphinids, the species was described from a specimen from Cherry Point, Virginia. The description is extremely brief and no illustrations were provided in either paper. Interestingly, True (1889) does not mention the species in his comprehensive review of the Delphinidae, published five years later. There are two syntypes in the USNM (No. 16504 - skull and mounted skeleton, and 16505 - skull and mounted skeleton), as well as several casts (probably USNM 13317 and 13318). Although this species usually appears in the synonymy of Tursiops truncatus, True's (1884) original name is probably best considered a nomen nudum.

#### Tursiops parvimanus P. J. Van Beneden, 1886

Van Beneden introduced this name for a dolphin specimen in the NHMD in Copenhagen (No. 4327). However, the species was not described and the account only said that there was a skeleton from the Adriatic and apparently a stuffed skin. No illustrations were provided. Lütken (1887) believed it to be a bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops* sp.), but due to the lack of identifying information, this name should actually be considered a *nomen nudum*.

### Tursiops parvimanus Lütken, 1887

Lütken provided a description of this species, giving measurements of what is considered the type specimen, which was presumably the same one earlier mentioned by Van Beneden (1886). The specimen from the Adriatric Sea was said to be a small form, and several supposedly diagnostic skeletal characters were given, prompting True (1889) to consider this a valid species. It is no longer recognized, and is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Tursiops truncatus*.

#### Tursiops gephyreus Lahille, 1909

Lahille provided a detailed description with measurements, multiple illustrations, and skull photos of this proposed new species from two syntypes - male and female specimens collected by Nicolas Antieri at Rio de la Plata and Punta Lara, Argentina. A lectotype (skull and mounted skeleton of the female specimen; the male has been lost) has been designated and is still present in the MACN-Ma (No. 54.113; Varela et al., 2010). The name has been used periodically at the species level by South American researchers, and there have been several recent suggestions that the form is either a valid species or subspecies. Wickert et al. (2016) and Hohl et al. (2020) suggested that there are indeed species-level differences and that the name should be resurrected. However, this has not been accepted by the Committee on Taxonomy (SMM, 2020), and the name is currently considered to be a junior synonym of Tursiops truncatus and the senior synonym of the subspecies Tursiops truncatus gephyreus (the coastal form of bottlenose dolphin from the western South Atlantic - see Costa et al., 2016, 2021; Wang et al., 2021).

### Tursiops dawsoni Lydekker, 1909

A fairly detailed description serves to identify this species, which includes measurements of two specimens and a painting of the external appearance. The specimens were collected from Trivandrum, Travancore coast, India, and the skeleton of one of them was sent to the British Museum to serve as the type for the species (NHMUK 1908.8.13.1; Table 3). The other specimen might be in the Trivandrum Museum, India (needs to be confirmed). Ostelogical differences are used to distinguish the new species from others known at the time, but these are not convincing. According to Lydekker, the two specimens measured 2.74 m and 2.85 m in total length, both above the known maximum for Tursiops aduncus (Wang, 2018). Based on these measurements, the features in the illustration of the body, and examination of one of the syntypes in the NHMUK, this species is a junior synonym of Tursiops truncatus. Kurihara and Oda (2007) also confirmed NHMUK1908.8.13.1 as Tursiops truncatus.

#### Tursiops nuuanu Andrews, 1911

This species was based on a bottlenose dolphin specimen collected from the eastern tropical Pacific (from 12°N, 120°W), as well as several skulls found on beaches in the Gulf of California, Mexico (Andrews, 1911a). The type description is detailed, including measurements, and the holotype skull is still in the American Museum (AMNH 35045; Goodwin, 1953). However, the species is not considered valid. The name is considered to be a junior synonym of *Tursiops truncatus*.

#### Tursiops maugeanus Iredale and Troughton, 1934

Iredale and Troughton named this species from a specimen from Tasmania, southern Australia. There is no description of identifying features, and no photos or illustrations were provided. There apparently is a type specimen in the QVMAG. The name has generally been considered a junior synonym of *Tursiops truncatus*. However, since there is not an adequate description, it should be considered a *nomen dubium* until the type can be identified with a particular species of bottlenose dolphin.

## *Tursiops aduncus* (Hemprich and Ehrenberg, 1832) – Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin

#### Delphinus aduncus Hemprich and Ehrenberg, 1832

The type description is in a short footnote on the last page of an unpaginated fascicle with the subtitle "HERPESTES leucurus H. et E" (Hemprich and Ehrenberg, 1832). Although the species is sometimes credited to W. F. Ehrenberg alone, the footnote lists the species as "Delphinus aduncus H. et E.," which explicitly indicates that the proper authorship is Hemprich and Ehrenberg (note that nineteenth-century authors consistently listed it this way - see Gray, 1846, 1850, 1866a; Van Beneden and Gervais, 1880; Flower, 1884; True, 1889). The year has also been controversial (variously listing 1832 or 1833); however, despite claims to the contrary, 1832 has been settled on as the correct year of publication (see Perrin et al., 2007a), making the full citation "Hemprich and Ehrenberg, 1832." For a long time it was believed that no type specimen existed, but the holotype specimen, a skull, was discovered by P. J. H. van Bree, and a detailed description (complete with photos, illustrations, measurements, and molecular data) was published by Perrin et al. (2007a). The type was recently in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, Germany (ZMB 66400), but could not be found by the curator in 2019 (Christiane Funk, in litt.). The type specimen was collected on Belhosse Island of the Dahlak Archipelago of Ethiopia, in the Red Sea, at approximately 15°25'N, 40°43'E. This name is the senior synonym and valid name for the Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin.

#### Delphinus hamatus Wiegmann, 1840

Wiegmann published a plate showing two views of the skull of this species (plate 369), which he credited to Hemprich and Ehrenberg. This plate apparently represents the first use of this species name. The skull is that of a bottlenose dolphin, almost certainly *Tursiops aduncus*, showing a distinct premaxillary "pinch" or compression (diagnostic of *Tursiops aduncus*). However, since there was no description of distinctive features (and no reference to such), it might appear not to be available. But under ICZN Article 12.2.7, the name is indeed available.

#### Delphinus abusalam Rüppell, 1842

The detailed description, including measurements and tooth counts, and accompanying illustration of the external appearance and skull leave no doubt that this nominal species is an example of *Tursiops aduncus*. The type specimen (a female) was collected from the Red Sea, and is represented by a skin and skull in the Seckenberg Museum (SMF 4337). This name belongs in the synonymy of the Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin. Variant spellings include *salam*.

## Delphinus hamatus Wagner, 1847

Wagner provided this name for a dolphin species as a replacement for *Delphinus abusalam* (= *Tursiops aduncus*). It is sometimes cited as Wiegmann, 1840, apparently because the illustration of the species by Wiegmann (plate 369) was available to some people before the description by Wagner was published. Based on the paintings of external appearance and the skull, this species is what is now known as the Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin; therefore this name is a junior synonym of *Tursiops aduncus*.

#### Tursiops catalania Gray, 1862

Gray described this species from two syntype skulls in the British Museum (NHMUK 1862.6.6.13 and 1862.6.6.14; Table 3). They were collected from Cape Melville, within the Great Barrier Reef, and from Cape Flattery, northeastern Australia. The description is not very detailed (but does contain some measurements), and there are no illustrations provided. Although the name might be considered a *nomen dubium* by some, it has been confirmed that these skulls are examples of the Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin (Kurihara and Oda, 2007). The name is here considered a junior synonym of *Tursiops aduncus*.

### Delphinus (Steno?) maculiventer Owen, 1866

The holotype specimen collected by Walter Elliot from Waltair, India, is well illustrated and described in detail in Owen's monograph. The type specimen may still be at the NHMUK, but it could not be located in the collection in 2019. Owen's description and illustration of the external form and coloration make it clear that this species corresponds to *Tursiops aduncus*, and the name is a junior synonym of that species.

## Delphinus (Steno?) gadamu Owen, 1866

Owen described *Delphinus gadamu* from a specimen collected at Vizagapatam, India, by W. Elliot. Owen's type description is quite detailed, with measurements, tooth counts, and illustrations of the whole animal and details of the skull. The skull is still in the NHMUK (No. 1866.2.5.3; Table 3), and recently Kurihara and Oda (2007) confirmed it as an Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin. The illustrations and described details show that this species is a junior synonym of *Tursiops aduncus*. Variant spellings include *gudamu*.

#### Delphinus salam P. J. Van Beneden, 1886

Van Beneden used this name with no description or illustration, only saying that it occurs in the "mer Rouge" (Red Sea). Often considered a *nomen nudum*, this name is apparently a *lapsus calami* for *Delphinus abusalam*, and therefore belongs in the synonymy of the Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin.

#### Tursiops fergusoni Lydekker, 1903

Lydekker provided a fairly detailed description of this species of dolphin, based on a specimen collected from Trivandrum, India. Some measurements were given, as well as a detailed color painting of the external appearance. The description indicates that the under-surface was "plumbeous" in color and marked with "streaks instead of spots." This suggests that this name is associated with the Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin, and I therefore tentatively consider this name to be a junior synonym of *Tursiops aduncus*. The skull was supposedly being sent to the NHMUK, but it remains to be confirmed whether the specimen is part of that collection (it was not located there on a visit in 2019).

### Tursiops australis Charlton-Robb et al., 2011

This new species was proposed for a geographical form of bottlenose dolphin endemic to the coastal waters of southern Australia, called the Burrunan dolphin. A detailed description and comparison with other bottlenose dolphins from the region was presented, and it included photos, measurements, and molecular information. The type specimen is identified as QVMAG 1360. Although there is little doubt that this animal represents a well-marked geographic form of bottlenose dolphin, the evidence presented for it being a distinct species has so far been considered inadequate and unconvincing. The species has not been accepted by the Committee on Taxonomy (SMM, 2020). Proper global comparisons with other *Tursiops* spp. have recently been made, and these indicate that *Tursiops australis* should be considered to be a junior synonym of *Tursiops aduncus* (Moura et al., 2020).

## Genus Stenella Gray, 1866 – spotted, spinner, and striped dolphins

# Stenella longirostris (Gray, 1828) – spinner dolphin

## Delphinus longirostris Gray, 1828

This is not Delphinus longirostris G. Cuvier, 1829, which is in the synonymy of the common dolphin (Delphinus delphis). Gray described this species from a type specimen obtained from an unknown locality. Although there is a label on the skull suggesting it came from the Cape of Good Hope, there may have been confusion with its homonym, Delphinus longirostris Cuvier (which was indeed collected from the Cape of Good Hope). So, this location is not considered to be reliable, and the type locality of *Delphinus capensis* Gray is thus uncertain (see van Bree and Perrin [1977] and Smeenk et al. [1996] for history). Gray (1846) caused great confusion when he erroneously synonymized several nominal species, including his Delphinus longirostris and Delphinus longirostris Cuvier. The holotype specimen of Gray's species (a skull) was originally in the private collection of D. Brookes in London (see Brookes, 1828), but was sold and is now in the Leiden Museum (RMNH 8676). Van Bree and Perrin (1977) provided a detailed description, sorted-out history, and illustration of the holotype. This name is the senior synomym and valid name of the spinner dolphin.

## Delphinus roseiventris Wiegmann, 1844

Wiegmann published a plate of the external body, which represents the first use of this species name (plate 360, fig. 1). The painting in the plate is a good rendition of a spinner dolphin. Since there was no description of distinctive features (and no reference to such), it might appear to be not available, but under ICZN Article 12.2.7, the name is indeed available. There is a detailed description of this species in Jacquinot and Pucheran (1853), which includes an accurate illustration of the body form and coloration (plate 22, fig. 2). This species represents a dwarf form of spinner dolphin, based on several specimens collected in the northern Arafura Sea in 1839 and deposited in the MNHN in Paris (skull: CAC: A.3026; skeleton: JAC: 1880-553; Robineau, 1990). One of these skulls in the Paris Museum (MNHN 1882-104) was formerly considered the holotype, but the specimen has been lost or cannot be currently identified. Perrin et al. (1999) reviewed the complex nomenclatorial history of these specimens and provided a detailed summary. Although they erroneously credited Wagner (1847) for the species name (a text description of *roseiventris* was not included in that volume), they helped to stabilize the taxonomy by designating a neotype specimen (skull, MNHN A-3026). The name is a junior synonym of *Stenella longirostris* and this form now is also recognized as a subspecies, the dwarf spinner dolphin (*Stenella longirostris roseiventris*) (Perrin et al., 2007b). Variant spellings include *roseiventer*.

### Delphinus alope Gray, 1846

Gray described this species from a skull collected at an unknown locality. There is no text description of the species, but there is a good illustration (plate 32) that can be used to identify the skull as that of a spinner dolphin. The type specimen was in the Haslar Hospital Museum, London, but is now in the NHMUK (No. 1847.7.6.2; Table 3). The species is now considered a synonym of *Stenella longirostris*.

## Delphinus microps Gray, 1846

Gray described this species in a brief account from a skull reportedly at the Haslar Hospital Museum, London, and collected from an unknown locality. The skull was accurately illustrated in Gray (1846), providing good evidence that this species name is a synonym of the spinner dolphin. The type specimen has been transferred to the British Museum (NHMUK 349a; Table 3), where it still resides. The name is a junior synonym of *Stenella longirostris*.

#### Delphinus stenorhynchus Gray, 1866

This species was described by Gray (1866a) from a skull in the NHMUK (No. 1850.6.5.9 or 1471a; Table 3) from an unknown locality. The description is very brief and there is no illustration, thereby suggesting that it perhaps should be considered a *nomen nudum*. However, Perrin and Gilpatrick (1994) considered it to be in the synonymy of the spinner dolphin, apparently based on the description of the very long rostrum and stated similarity to *Delphinus microps* (known to be a spinner dolphin), and I confirmed it as a spinner dolphin specimen in 2019. Therefore this name is a junior synonym of *Stenella longirostris*. Variant spellings include *stenorhyncha*.

## *Stenella attenuata* (Gray, 1846) – pantropical spotted dolphin

### Delphinus velox G. Cuvier, 1829

This species was based on a dolphin captured by J. J. Dussumier in the Indian Ocean between Sri Lanka (then called Ceylon) and the equator. The holotype (a mounted skin) is in the Paris Museum (MNHN CGZ No. 17 of the Catalogue de la Galerie de Zoologie). Robineau (1990) provided measurements of the stuffed skin, and an unpublished manuscript by J. J. Dussumier (MNHN manuscript No. 894; Arvy, 1972b) provides an outline of the type specimen, which clearly shows it to be a species of *Stenella*. Although Perrin et al. (1987) suggested that it is a representative of the pantropical spotted dolphin (and therefore would technically be the senior synonym of that species), because the name has not been used since the 1800s, they considered it should represent a *nomen oblitum*. The name has since been suppressed by the ICZN (1991).

#### Delphinus brevimanus Wiegmann, 1840

Wiegmann published a plate showing what appears to be the skull of a pantropical spotted dolphin, which represents the first use of this species name (plate 361, fig. 2). However, since there was no description of distinctive features (and no reference to such), the name might appear to be unavailable. But under ICZN Article 12.2.7, the name is available. The name has since been suppressed by the ICZN (1991) and is a *nomen oblitum*.

#### Delphinus pseudodelphis Wiegmann, 1840

Wiegmann published a plate showing various views of the skull (plate 358). The holotype, apparently a specimen of *Stenella attenuata*, is in the ZMB, Berlin (No. 12009). Since the plate did not include any description of distinctive features (and no reference to such), some might think that the name is not available, but under ICZN Article 12.2.7, the name is indeed available. The name has since been suppressed by the ICZN (1991), however, and is now a *nomen oblitum*. Variant spellings include *pseudo-delphis* and *pseudodelphinus*.

#### Delphinus pseudodelphis Schlegel, 1841

This species was originally based upon a plate by Wiegmann (1840, plate 358), which is part of the series that ultimately resulted in the publication of the text in Wagner (1847). Therefore the date associated with this species has been confusing and controversial. There was no description in Wiegmann, but a short description of the skull of the species did appear in Schlegel (1841b). Wagner (1847) did later discuss the species in some detail and referenced the plate from Wiegmann. After thorough consideration, Perrin et al. (1987) considered the species to be a representative of the pantropical spotted dolphin, but because the name has only been used once since 1900 (by Oliver, 1922), they proposed that the name be considered a *nomen obli*- *tum*. The name has since been suppressed by the ICZN (1991). Spelling variations include *pseudo-delphis*.

#### Delphinus attenuatus Gray, 1843

Gray (1843a) listed this name in his 1843 list of mammalian species in the British Museum, but with essentially no description and no illustration, and therefore the name should be considered a *nomen nudum*.

## Steno attenuatus Gray, 1846

In this publication, Gray finally provided a description of the species he earlier called *Delphinus attenuatus* but with no description, and by doing so made the name available. The holotype skull is in the British Museum (NHMUK 347b; Table 3) and is from an unknown locality. It is clearly allied with the pantropical spotted dolphin, and Perrin et al. (1987) felt that the specimen may have been from India. In any event, this name is the valid name used for the pantropical spotted dolphin. Although there are several names that antedate it (Delphinus velox, Delphinus pseudodelphis, and possibly Delphinus brevimanus), Perrin et al. (1987) proposed that Stenella attenuata be used as the valid name for the pantropical spotted dolphin. It has been retained by the ICZN as a nomen conservandum. Gray's (1843a) earlier use of Delphinus attenuatus, without any description, is a nomen nudum. Spelling variations include attenuata.

## Delphinus albirostratus Peale, 1849

This species was described from a holotype specimen collected near the Phoenix Islands (2°47'S, 174°13'W) during the U.S. Exploring Expedition of 1838–1842 (note that Peale's volume was later withdrawn, due to a belief that it contained too many taxonomic errors; see p. 343 in Philbrick, 2003). In the later re-issued version of this volume (Cassin, 1858, plate 6, fig. 2), virtually the same description appears under the name *Lagenorhynchus coeruleoalbus*. The type was apparently destined for the USNM, but was not on Peale's list of specimens (Fisher and Ludwig, 2016); it is no longer known to exist. The painting in Cassin's plate shows that this name should be regarded as a junior synonym of *Stenella attenuata*.

## Delphinus? microbrachium Gray, 1850

This species was based on a specimen collected near Singapore, which was described briefly by Gray. The holotype skull is still in the Paris Museum (MNHN 1882-113, the same specimen on which *Delphinus brevimanus* Jacquinot and Pucheran, 1853 was based). The specimen is a pantropical spotted dolphin; since the name has not been used since 1900, Perrin et al. (1987) considered it a *nomen oblitum*. Since *Stenella attenuata* is the valid name of the pantropical spotted dolphin, *Delphinus microbrachium* is a junior synonym of *Stenella attenuata*.

#### Delphinus brevimanus Jacquinot and Pucheran, 1853

This species was based on a specimen collected near Singapore or in the Strait of Banka, from a very short description (but including a good figure of the external appearance). The holotype (stuffed skin) is still in the Paris Museum (MNHN CGZ No. 21 or MNHN 1882-113, the same specimen on which *Delphinus? microbrachium* Gray, 1850 was based). The specimen is a pantropical spotted dolphin, but since the name has not been used since 1900, Perrin et al. (1987) considered it a *nomen oblitum*. The name has been suppressed by the ICZN (1991).

### Steno capensis Gray, 1865

This is not *Delphinus capensis* Gray, 1828, which is in the synonymy of the common dolphin. The type specimen of this species was collected off the Cape of Good Hope, and the type (skull) is still in the British Museum (NHMUK 1869.4.5.5; Table 3; Gray, 1865a). Perrin et al. (1987) confirmed that this is a specimen of the pantropical spotted dolphin, and the name is a junior synonym of *Stenella attenuata*.

## Clymene punctata Gray, 1866

Gray (1866c) provided a short account that mostly described the skull of this species, but also included a crude drawing of the external form and coloration. The type specimen of this species was collected from Cape Verde, off western Africa (reported to be 16°40'N, 21°W). It was present in the Liverpool Public Museum (Walker's No. 3) in the 1880s (True, 1889). Tooth counts and color pattern information in True (1889) and the type description identify it as a pantropical spotted dolphin (Perrin et al., 1987). Unfortunately, the holotype skull, transferred to Berlin, was destroyed during World War II. Variant spellings include *punctatus*.

### Steno consimilis Malm, 1871

Although sometimes considered erroneously to be in the synonymy of the spinner dolphin, the excellent illustrations of the skull in Malm's account show it clearly to be a specimen of the pantropical spotted dolphin (Perrin et al., 1987). The skull from Madagascar is apparently still in the Technological Institute, Norrkoping, Sweden, though it does not appear to have been examined recently. This name is considered a synonym of *Stenella attenuata*.

#### Prodelphinus graffmani Lönnberg, 1934

Lönnberg provided a detailed description of this new species. The type specimen was collected off Acapulco, Mexico, and is in the State Museum of Natural History, Stockholm. It consists of the mounted skin and a badly damaged skull (from a gunshot used to kill the specimen in 1933). This name is a synonym of the pantropical spotted dolphin. It is now recognized as a subspecies, the coastal form of the pantropical spotted dolphin, *Stenella attenuata graffmani* (see Perrin, 1975).

# Stenella frontalis (G. Cuvier, 1829) – Atlantic spotted dolphin

## Delphinus frontalis G. Cuvier, 1829

A short description by Cuvier, with no illustrations, serves as the type description for this species. The holotype specimen was harpooned off Cape Verde, western Africa (approximately 15°N, 24°W) by J. J. Dussumier in 1825, and for many years its affinity remained controversial. The type specimen is still in the Paris Museum (skull: MNHN A3035; stuffed mounted skin: CGZ No. 25). Robineau (1990) provided photos of the skull and measurements of the stuffed skin. Perrin et al. (1987) reviewed the history of this species and confirmed that it represents an Atlantic spotted dolphin. The name *Delphinus frontalis* is therefore considered the senior synomym and valid name of the Atlantic spotted dolphin.

#### Delphinus froenatus F. Cuvier, 1836

In 1836, F. Cuvier (note that his brother, Georges Cuvier, is sometimes incorrectly given credit for the species; also the year is sometimes incorrectly cited as 1829) described this species from a holotype specimen harpooned by J. J. Dussumier off Cape Verde in 1827. The collection locality was approximately 15°N, 24°W. For many years its exact affinity remained controversial. The type specimen (skull) is still in the Paris Museum (MNHN A.3034). An unpublished manuscript by Dussumier (MNHN manuscript No. 894 - Arvy, 1972b) shows an outline of the type specimen, clearly a species of Stenella. True (1889) and Fraser (1950) provided measurements of the holotype skull. Perrin et al. (1987) and Robineau (1990) both reviewed the history of this species, and confirmed that it is an Atlantic spotted dolphin. The name Delphinus froenatus is therefore a junior synomym of Stenella frontalis. Variant spellings include fraenatus, frenatus, and fraenata.

### Delphinus doris Gray, 1846

Gray provided a short account of this new species, which includes good drawings of the skull (plate 20).

The holotype skull (in the British Museum, NHMUK 352a; Table 3) is from an unknown location. It was identified by Perrin et al. (1987) as an Atlantic spotted dolphin, and this species name is therefore a junior synonym of *Stenella frontalis*.

#### Delphinus plagiodon Cope, 1866

Cope provided a moderately-detailed account of this species, focusing on the characters of the skull, including some measurements. The type specimen (a skull) was obtained from an unknown locality (possibly the U.S. east coast), and is still in the Smithsonian collection (USNM 3884). Perrin et al. (1987) included the type in their discriminant analysis, and found that it was a specimen of *Stenella frontalis*. This name is a junior synonym of *Stenella frontalis*.

## *Stenella coeruleoalba* (Meyen, 1833) – striped dolphin

## Delphinus coeruleo-albus Meyen, 1833

Meyen described this species in a somewhat detailed account, based on a specimen harpooned from the region of the Rio de la Plata in Argentina or Uruguay. The accompanying illustration of the external appearance (reproduced in Pilleri and Arvy, 1981) is very detailed and though the body proportions are a bit off, the color pattern is shown quite accurately, depicting a striped dolphin without doubt. The complete skeleton of the holotype was deposited in the Berlin Royal Museum of Anatomy, but is now in the ZMB, Berlin (No. 51226). This name is therefore the senior synonym and valid name of the striped dolphin. Variant spellings are *caeruleo-albus, caerulo-albus, caeruleoalbus, caeruleoalba,* and *coeruleoalbus.* The species name is now spelled *coeruleoalba.* 

#### Delphinus styx Gray, 1846

Gray described this species in a short account, based upon a skull in the NHMUK, apparently collected from an unknown location in southern or western Africa. The type specimen is well illustrated, clearly indicating that it is a striped dolphin. Gray stated that it was "very like *D. Euphrosyne*." The type may have subsequently been lost, as I could not locate it in the collection during a 2019 visit. The name is a junior synonym of *Stenella coeruleoalba*. Variant spellings include *stylx*.

## Delphinus euphrosyne Gray, 1846

This species was described from a skull collected from an unknown locality (NHMUK records list it as from near Rio de la Plata). Gray said the type specimen was in the Museum of Norwich (no number), but was apparently later transferred to the Hunterian Museum, and is now in the NHMUK (No. 1920.5.13.1; Table 3). Although the description is not highly detailed, there is a plate (plate 22) that shows the skull well, indicating it is a striped dolphin. The name is now a junior synonym of *Stenella coeruleoalba*. Variant spellings include *euphrosine*.

## Delphinus holböllii Nilsson, 1847

Although apparently first mentioned in a lecture by D. Eschricht in 1847, it was not until later that year that the name was published with a description by S. Nilsson. It was based on the complete mounted skeleton of a young dolphin specimen from southwestern Greenland. Van Bree (1973a) provided a detailed account of the history of this nominal species, and redescribed and photographically illustrated the skull of the type specimen, which at the time was still in the collection of the ZMA (UZM-Kobenhavn CN 16\*). The type is now housed in the NHMD, Copenhagen (No. 4326). There is also a good photo of the skull, as well as historical notes, in Kinze (2011). The name is a junior synonym of Stenella coeruleoalba. The proper spelling of the species name, according to ICZN rules, would be *holboellii* (without the umlaut, but adding the "e"). Variant spellings include holbollii.

#### Delphinus lateralis Peale, 1849

This species was based on a specimen collected at sea in the North Pacific during the U.S. Exploring Expedition, at 13°58'N, 161°22'W, southwest of the Hawaiian Islands (note that Peale's volume was later withdrawn, due to a belief that it contained too many taxonomic errors – see p. 343 in Philbrick, 2003). In the later reissued version of this volume (Cassin, 1858), virtually the same description appears under the name *Lagenorhynchus lateralis*. The description and figure published in Cassin (1858, plate 7, fig. 1) leave no doubt that this is a junior synonym of *Stenella coeruleoalba*. The type specimen, likely originally intended to be deposited in the USNM, apparently was lost. It was not on Peale's list of specimens from the voyage (see Fisher and Ludwig, 2016).

## Delphinus tethyos Gervais, 1853

Gervais described this species from a specimen collected from Valreas, at the mouth of the Orb River, Herault, France, in 1852. The type description is not very detailed, but there is a good illustration showing several views of the skull, including a view of the underside of the cranium. This indicates that the skull is of a striped dolphin. The type specimen is still in the MNHN (No. A.3021). The name is considered a junior synonym of *Stenella coeruleoalba*.

## Delphinus marginatus Duvernoy in Desmarest, 1856

This species was named from several specimens collected from a stranding of a large dolphin group at Dieppe, France, in 1854. There was little in the way of description in Duvernoy's account, but the figure of external appearance (plate 29, fig. 1) clearly shows a striped dolphin (Desmarest, 1856, 1874). In the same year, Pucheran (1856) provided a detailed description (credited to Duvernov) with a very detailed and accurate illustration (plate 25), which confirms the species as a striped dolphin. Duvernoy mentioned four specimens "sent to the museum," but there are only two represented in the Paris Museum collection. The two syntypes are at the MNHN (stuffed skin: CGZ No. 9; skull and skeleton: CAC: A.7946; Robineau, 1990). There has been some controversy over the authority for this species, but Robineau (1990) made a convincing case that the Desmarest (1856) reference appeared before Pucheran (1856), the latter of which was published in December of that year. The name represents a junior synonym of Stenella coeruleoalba, and it is also the senior homonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868.

### Delphinus mediterraneus Loche, 1860

This species is based on a specimen captured in 1860 in the harbor of Algiers, Algeria. Although there has been some controversy about the affinity of this species, Loche's fairly detailed color pattern description clearly identifies it as a striped dolphin (Perrin et al., 1987). In addition, Loche included a plate that shows a lateral view of the external morphology and coloration, and there is no mistaking that this is a striped dolphin. The type (skull and complete skeleton) remains in the MNHN (JAC: 1860-132), and Robineau (1990) provided some measurements of the skull. Variant spellings include *mediterranea*.

#### Delphinus asthenops Cope, 1865

Cope's (1865b) moderately detailed original description of the skull allies this species with the striped dolphin. There are no illustrations provided. Examination of the syntypes from an unknown location, said to be from the "Morton Coll" (syntypes in the Museum of the Peabody Academy, National Academy of Sciences, Philadelphia, ANSP 2595 and 2596, both skulls; Koopman, 1976) by Perrin et al. (1987) confirmed this. The name is a junior synonym of *Stenella coeruleoalba*. Variant spellings include *esthenops* and *aesthenops*.

#### Delphinus crotaphiscus Cope, 1865

Cope's (1865b) rather short description (with no illustrations) states that this species is in the "same groups as *styx*, *euphrosyne*, and *asthenops*" (all known to be striped dolphins). Perrin et al. (1987) confirmed that it was not a spotted dolphin, as had earlier been assumed. It seems likely that this species was indeed a striped dolphin. The type specimen from an unknown locality (formerly at the Museum of Peabody Academy, Salem, and later at the MCZ) has been lost, so it is no longer possible to confirm the species identity. Therefore it might be best that this species be considered a *nomen dubium*. Variant spellings include *crotaphisca*.

#### Tursio dorcides Gray, 1866

Gray introduced this species in the Additions and Corrections section of his 1866 British Museum catalog (Gray, 1866a). The species was based on a skull in the NHMUK (No. 1861.4.1.7; Table 3) from an unknown locality. Gray said that the skull was "like *D. doris.*" The type specimen is still in the NHMUK, and I recently examined it. The name is considered to be a junior synonym of *Stenella coeruleoalba*. Variant spellings include *dorides* and *doriedes*.

### Clymenia euphrosynoides Gray, 1868

Gray (1868a) proposed this as a replacement name for *Delphinus euphrosyne* Gray, 1846, which he illustrated in his plate 31. True (1889) stated that there is a type specimen of this species in the NHMUK (No. 1844.7.19.23), and I recently confirmed this (Table 3). The name is a junior synonym of *Stenella coeruleoalba*. Variant spellings include *euphrosinoides*.

#### Clymenia burmeisteri Malm, 1871

Malm described this species from a specimen (skull) from Brazil, apparently in the Rijkmuseum, Stockholm. His account is quite detailed and includes measurements and meristics of the skull. The very accurate skull illustrations in Malm's account (plate 6, figs. 54a,b) show this species to be either a striped dolphin or a Clymene dolphin; however, the condylobasal length reported (426 mm) is beyond the known range for the Clymene dolphin (see Jefferson, 1996). Therefore, the species name is considered to be a synonym of *Stenella coeruleoalba*.

## Clymenia novae-zealandiae Hector, 1873

In a short but detailed account that includes measurements, Hector described this species from a specimen from Waikanae, New Zealand. No type specimen is known to be extant. The skull description and illustrations (plate 2) in Hector's account associate this species with the striped dolphin. Therefore, the name is a junior synonym of *Stenella coeruleoalba*. The proper spelling is *novaezealandiae*.

#### Delphinus amphitriteus Philippi, 1893

The species was described from a specimen collected in the South Atlantic Ocean at 29°15'S. The type description is short, but includes some detail and external measurements. It is unknown if the type specimen still exists. The illustration of external appearance makes it clear that this was a striped dolphin, and the name is a junior synonym of *Stenella coeruleoalba*.

#### Stenella clymene (Gray, 1850) – Clymene dolphin

#### Delphinus metis [No. 2] Gray, 1846

Gray described this species from a specimen (*Delphinus metis* [No. 2], skull in the NHMUK) from an unknown locality, presumably somewhere in the tropical/ temperate Atlantic Ocean. In the same publication (on the previous page), Gray also described another species of dolphin using the same name (*Delphinus metis* [No. 1]), which is now considered to belong in the genus *Tursiops*. Thus, *Delphinus metis* [No. 2], although being the earliest name used for the Clymene dolphin, can not be a valid name, since it is a junior homonym of *Delphinus metis* [No. 1]. The complicated taxonomic history of the Clymene dolphin is summarized in Jefferson and Curry (2003).

#### Delphinus clymene Gray, 1847

This is the first use of the name *clymene* for the Clymene dolphin, and the presumed type specimen (skull in the British Museum, NHMUK 350a) was measured by me (Table 3; Fig. 9). However, because no type specimen was originally designated and no description was provided by Gray, this name is technically a *nomen nudum* and is therefore unavailable for the Clymene dolphin (see Jefferson and Curry, 2003).

#### Delphinus clymene Gray, 1850

In this publication, Gray provided a more detailed description of the skull morphology of his *Delphinus clymene*, including some measurements. No illustrations are given, but Gray explained that this species was the same as his earlier described *Delphinus metis* [No. 2]. The name *clymene* could still be made available for use, and since this publication finally provided an available name along with a detailed description and designation of a type, Gray (1850) is the appropriate authority for the valid name of the Clymene dolphin. The type specimen is the same as for *Delphinus metis* [No. 2] (i.e.,

### Clymene normalis Gray, 1866

Though it is unclear why Gray (1866a) did not retain the species name *clymene* when he placed this species in the new genus *Clymene*, this is simply a renaming of *Delphinus clymene* Gray, 1850. Therefore the name is a junior synonym of *Stenella clymene*.

## Genus Delphinus Linnaeus, 1758 – common dolphins

## Delphinus delphis Linnaeus, 1758 – common dolphin

### Delphinus delphis Linnaeus, 1758

Among the three species of "*Delphinus*" that Linnaeus included in his 10<sup>th</sup> edition, which is the starting point of modern taxonomy, was this species of common dolphin. Linnaeus based the type description on the information from *Oceano Europaeo* by Artedi (1738). It was described briefly, with no details or illustration. No type specimen was collected. This is considered the senior synonym and valid name of the common dolphin.

## Delphinus vulgaris Lacépède, 1804

The species is based on a general description that includes an illustration of a whole specimen, which Heyning and Perrin (1994) considered not even referable to genus. Fortunately, there was also a skull illustration and enough details to identify this as a common dolphin (Lacépède's plate 14, fig. 1). Apparently, no type

specimen was collected. The published tooth counts are associated with the short-beaked form of *Delphinus*. Though some might think it best to consider this name a *nomen dubium*, I consider it to be a junior synonym of *Delphinus delphis*.

### Delphinus capensis Gray, 1828

The species was based on a specimen collected off the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa. It was a stuffed whole specimen, with the skull inside; the skull was later extracted. The type specimen is in the NHMUK (No. 1841.17.34, whole mount with skull; Table 3) and was measured by me for Jefferson and Van Waerebeek (2002). The illustrations and measurements of the type



**Figure 9** The type specimen of *Delphinus clymene* Gray, 1847 (NHMUK 350a).

make it clear that it is a member of the long-beaked population of common dolphins found off the South African coast. The species was resurrected in 1994 by Heyning and Perrin, and until recently, all long-beaked common dolphin populations around the world were thought to be of this species. However, it has been shown recently that the long-beaked condition has arisen independently several times during *Delphinus* evolution, and this type specimen (although from a long-beaked population) groups genetically with other short-beaked populations around the world (Cunha et al., 2015). *Delphinus capensis* is no longer recognized as a valid species, and the name is a junior synonym of *Delphinus delphis* (SMM, 2020).

#### Delphinus longirostris G. Cuvier, 1829

In 1827, J. J. Dussumier collected a specimen of a longbeaked common dolphin from the Malabar coast of India, which Cuvier (1829) described as a new species, *Delphinus longirostris*. The holotype is in the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (Paris Museum, MNHN A.3065; Table 3). I measured the specimen for Jefferson and Van Waerebeek (2002). However, this name cannot be used for a valid species, since it is preoccupied by *Delphinus longirostris* Gray, 1828, which is now a synonym of *Stenella longirostris* (the pantropical spinner dolphin; see Perrin, 1998). Therefore, *Delphinus longirostris* G. Cuvier is considered a junior synonym of *Delphinus delphis*, and also a junior homonym of *Delphinus longirostris* Gray.

### Delphinus novae-zelandiae Quoy and Gaimard, 1830

A dolphin was collected off New Zealand on 4 February 1827. The type locality was the "eastern coast of New Zealand, near Cape Gable," not far from Tolaga Bay (which is on the central coast of the North Island). The illustration provided by Quoy and Gaimard in their atlas (plate 28) shows a dolphin that could be either a *Stenella* or *Delphinus*, but with white patches on the dorsal fin and flippers it appears to be a common dolphin. The type specimen consists of a skull which confirms that this is a common dolphin. External and skull measurements for the type specimen have been published, associating this species with a short-beaked form of *Delphinus* (Heyning and Perrin, 1994). The current location of the type skull is not known. Variant spellings include *zelandae*, *zeelandae*, and *zealandiae*.

#### Delphinus loriger Wiegmann, 1840

Wiegmann published a plate, which represents the first use of this species name (plate 362). However, since there was no description of distinctive features (and no reference to such), it might appear to be not available, but under ICZN Article 12.2.7, the name is available. Heyning and Perrin (1994) discussed the confusion about the date of this species name in their monograph, but were not able to resolve the issue. The holotype specimen (a skull) is in the ZMB, Berlin (No. 66429). See Appendix F for an explanation of the relationship between Wiegmann (1840) and Wagner (1847).

### Delphinus zelandae Gray, 1843

This is simply a renaming of *Delphinus novae-zelandiae* Quoy and Gaimard. That name is in the synonymy of *Delphinus delphis*, the common dolphin. Little additional information is given by Gray (1843b), other than to say that the species inhabits "Cooks Straits."

#### Delphinus janira Gray, 1846

Gray described and illustrated this species from a Canadian dolphin skull. The type specimen is a skull from Newfoundland, Canada, reportedly originally in the Museum of Bristol (Heyning and Perrin, 1994). The skull is described and illustrated in the type description. True (1889) stated that the type was in the NHMUK (No. 1470a) when he visited in the 1880s, and NHMUK records show it still present in the collection. Low tooth counts associated this species with the short-beaked form of the common dolphin, as does the type locality (only short-beaks are found off eastern Canada). The name is a junior synonym of *Delphinus delphis*.

#### Delphinus forsteri Gray, 1846

Gray based this species on a description and unpublished illustration in Forster (1844). Apparently, no type specimen was collected (Heyning and Perrin, 1994), and the species may have been based on specimens seen swimming at sea. Gray listed them as inhabiting the "Pacific Ocean, between New Caledonia and Norfolk Island." The excellent illustration of external appearance in plate 24 clearly shows what appears to be a short-beaked common dolphin, and the low tooth counts and color pattern further associate it with the short-beaked form. The name is a junior synonym of *Delphinus delphis*.

### Delphinus loriger Wagner, 1847

The species is based on a description and illustration of a whole specimen from an unknown locality. The type description is short and uninformative. Apparently, no type specimen was retained. Fortunately, there is a plate that shows the external form and color pattern (plate 362), and this clearly indicates a common dolphin (*Delphinus* sp.). The date associated with this species has been controversial, as the plates showing the illustration by Wiegmann (plate 362 – usually listed as Wiegmann, 1840 or 1841) may have been published (and were sometimes bound) separately from the description by Wagner (1847). Heyning and Perrin (1994) considered this species to be a junior synonym of *Delphinus delphis*, and the illustration confirms this.

### Delphinus albimanus Peale, 1849

This species is based on a specimen reportedly harpooned at the bow of the U.S.S. *Peacock* ca. 190 miles (306 km) off the coast of northern Chile (27°16'S, 75°30'W) during the U.S. Exploring Expedition (Peale, 1849; Cassin, 1858). The illustration of the external shape and coloration of the type (Cassin, 1858, plate



The type specimen of *Delphinus albimanus* Peale, 1849 (USNM 3743). Only the mandibles still exist for this specimen.

6, fig. 1) make it clear that it is a common dolphin. Though Heyning and Perrin (1994) considered it tentatively to be a short-beaked form, some aspects depicted in the painting do not seem to fit, and it is not clear whether it is of a long- or short-beaked form (Cassin, 1858). The type specimen is in the USNM (Type No. 3743), and True (1889) examined it and removed a portion of the mandible (all that he could find of the skull) from the mounted skin. In 2018, I examined what remains of the type. Unfortunately, only incomplete portions of the mandibles are still in existence (Fig. 10). Other samples (mounted skin) have been lost (Mead<sup>6</sup>). Tooth counts that I took are lower left = 46 + 3-4, lower right = 47 + 3-4, which are in the range of overlap of long- and short-beaked forms, as currently recognized. See Fisher and Ludwig (2016) for history. The name is currently a synonym of Delphinus delphis.

#### Delphinus fulvifasciatus Jacquinot and Pucheran, 1853

This species is described from a specimen collected from Hobart, Tasmania, Australia. Jacquinot and Pucheran included a short description of supposedly diagnostic features, but the color plate shows only a dolphin of the *Stenella/Delphinus* group, but which cannot be identified to species. The type specimen, including the stuffed skin and cranium, is in the MNHN (No. CGZ No. 20, CAC A.3025), and Robineau (1990) examined and identified it as a common dolphin, *Delphinus delphis*. The measurements and tooth counts published by Robineau (1990) refer this specimen to the shortbeaked form, the only one known to occur off southern Australia. Variant spellings include *fulvofasciatus*.

## Delphinus frithii Blyth, 1859

Blyth's description lacks details, and there are no illustrations. The type specimen was collected at an unspecified locality during a voyage from the United Kingdom to India, so it may be from within the range

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Mead, J. G. 2019. Personal commun. Natl. Mus. Nat. Hist., Smithson. Inst., Washington, D.C.

of the Indo-Pacific common dolphin. Published tooth counts of 55/50 prompted Heyning and Perrin (1994) to associate it with a long-beaked form of common dolphin. Sclater (1891) reported that the holotype was in the Calcutta Museum. However, the skull could not be found in either the collection of the Indian Museum (the new name for the Calcutta Museum), nor that of the Zoological Survey of India (where many of the specimens from the old Indian Museum are now housed), when I visited Calcutta in April 2000. If more than one species of *Delphinus* is eventually recognized, *Delphinus frithii* might be considered a *nomen dubium*, but in the current one-species system, the name is a junior synonym of *Delphinus delphis*.

## Delphinus algeriensis Loche, 1860

The type description has a reasonable amount of detail, and there is an accurate illustration of the body shape and color pattern. The type specimen is reportedly in the Natural History Museum of Algiers, and consists of a skin with the skull and possibly partial skeleton, presumably from the coast of Algeria (Heyning and Perrin, 1994). Although the illustration shows characteristics that could suggest either *Delphinus* or *Stenella coeruleoalba*, the long, prominent grooves on the palate and the color pattern components shown in the illustration indicate *Delphinus*. Tooth counts associate it with the short-beaked form. The short-beaked form is the only one found in the Mediterranean Sea, adjacent to Algeria, and therefore this name is a junior synonym of *Delphinus delphis*.

#### Delphinus moorei Gray, 1866

The type specimen is a skull from southwest of the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa (34°S, 7°3'W), reportedly in the Liverpool Free Museum (Walkeri No. 1). Gray's (1866c) account is brief, but does include a crude illustration of external appearance. The palatal grooves are reported to be deep, a diagnostic character of *Delphinus* sp. Although some measurements are within the range of overlap for both forms of common dolphin, the balance of information suggests that this is associated with the long-beaked form of common dolphin that is very common off the coast of southern Africa (Heyning and Perrin, 1994). The name would therefore be a synonym of *Delphinus delphis*.

### Delphinus walkeri Gray, 1866

In a slightly longer description than for the previous nominal species, Gray (1866c) introduced this name, and included a rather crude illustration of the external body. The type specimen is reportedly a skull in the Liverpool Free Museum (Walkeri No. 2). The palatal grooves are reported to be deep. The published illustration and measurements do not allow it to be confidently associated with either form of common dolphin, and Heyning and Perrin (1994) considered it to be unidentifiable to either long- or short-beaked. However, based on the reported type locality (35°38'S, 10°E; in the South Atlantic, ca. 500 km from the coast of South Africa) and Gray's remark that the skull was very similar to that of *Delphinus delphis*, I believe this nominal species may be associated with an oceanic short-beaked population of *Delphinus delphis*.

#### Delphinus microps Burmeister, 1866

In a moderate-length account, but with no illustrations, Burmeister described the species based on skulls of three specimens from off the coast of Brazil (Heyning and Perrin, 1994); the syntype specimens (skulls) are reportedly in the MACN-Ma. However, True (1889) stated that there was a type of this species in the NHMUK (No. 349a), and this was confirmed in 2019. But this specimen is the type for Gray's species (see *Delphinus microps* Gray, 1846). Measurements and tooth counts suggest that this name may be referable to an Atlantic long-beaked form of common dolphin (Heyning and Perrin, 1994). The name is a junior homonym of *Delphinus microps* Gray (recognized as a spinner dolphin) and is currently considered to be in the synonymy of *Delphinus delphis*.

#### Delphinus major Gray, 1866

Gray (1866a) based his short account on a skull in the British Museum, and the type specimen is still in the NHMUK (No. 1852.10.5.2; Table 3). It is a skull from an unknown locality. The description is brief, with no illustrations, but the skull is said to have wide, but "rather shallow" palatal grooves. Heyning and Perrin (1994) examined the type and collected tooth counts, confirming it as a common dolphin. Measurements provided by Gray (1866a) of the skull, including the rostral length/zygomatic width ratio, and Heyning and Perrin's tooth counts indicate that this specimen may be associated with a relatively long-beaked form of common dolphin (Heyning and Perrin, 1994).

#### Delphinus pomeegra Owen, 1866

The type skull was reportedly collected by W. Elliot from the coast of India (Madras), and is in the collection of the Natural History Museum, London (NHMUK 1866.2.5.5). Owen's illustration of the external appearance is not identifiable to species (the color pattern shown is solid black), but the skull illustrations indicate it to be a common dolphin. I examined and measured the type skull in 1999, confirming that it is the skull of a long-beaked common dolphin (Table 3). The specimen was immature (condylobasal length = ca. 405 mm) and the skull is badly damaged, which prompted Heyning and Perrin (1994) to suggest that the name should be considered a *nomen dubium*. However, the type is identifiable with certainty as an example of the Indo-Pacific common dolphin, and thus I consider this name to be in the synonymy of *Delphinus delphis* (*tropicalis* subspecies).

## Delphinus bairdii Dall, 1873

The nominal species Delphinus bairdii was described from two female specimens caught off Point Arguello, California, by whaling captain Charles M. Scammon. The type specimens were prepared and one was reportedly sent to the USNM. It had a place in the register as No. 13802 (True, 1889), but there is no record of this specimen having arrived at the Smithsonian (Fisher and Ludwig, 2016). A neotype specimen has been designated (LACM 84240), and is in the collection of the Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History (Heyning and Perrin, 1994). Measurements were provided by Heyning and Perrin, and I also measured it in 2018 (Table 3). Measurements and tooth counts of the syntypes by Dall, as well as illustrations of one of the specimens from Scammon (1874), clearly associate this species with the long-beaked form found off the California coast (and further south in the eastern Pacific). If that form is eventually found to be a good species, then Delphinus bairdii will be the valid name of that species. It is currently a junior synonym of Delphinus delphis, and the senior synonym of the subspecies Delphinus delphis bairdii (SMM, 2020). Variant spellings include bairdi.

#### Lagenorhynchus de castelnau Van Beneden, 1873

Van Beneden described this type of dolphin from a specimen captured off the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa, in November 1856. The description does not contain much detail, but there is a watercolor painting (fig. 2 in Van Beneden's single plate), which clearly shows the general body shape and coloration pattern of a common dolphin (Delphinus), and this species is known to be common off South Africa. The main issue is whether Van Beneden intended his name Lagenorhynchus de castelnau to be a scientific name or a common name. This is somewhat ambiguous, as in the text it is presented in italics, but in the figure caption, only Lagenorhynchus is in italics. True (1889) considered that the name was not intended as a "formal scientific appellation." Whatever Van Beneden's intention was, this name does not strictly conform to binomial structure and does not have a latinized ending, and therefore I do not consider this to be a true scientific name.

## *E[udelphinus] tasmaniensis* Van Beneden and Gervais, 1880

The species was based on a skull collected from Tasmania, Australia, in 1843 or 1853. The type specimen is reportedly in the MNHN, but Robineau (1990) reported that the mandible has the number A.3071 and the cranium could not be found (True [1889] was able to examine and measure the cranium). No type description was provided, though there is an illustration of the palate and pterygoid region in Van Beneden and Gervais (1880), clearly showing the very deep palatal grooves and narrow rostrum diagnostic of *Delphinus*. Only short-beaked common dolphins are known from Tasmania. Heyning and Perrin (1994) suggested that the name should be considered a *nomen dubium*, but I consider the name to be a junior synonym of *Delphinus delphis*.

## Delphinus dussumieri Blanford, 1891

This is a new name proposed by Blanford for *Delphinus longirostris* G. Cuvier, 1829, which was found to be preoccupied. No type specimen was collected. However, this name also can't be used, as it is preoccupied by *Delphinus dussumieri* Fischer, 1829, which is considered to be a junior synonym of *Cephalorhynchus heavisidii* (Gray, 1828; Hershkovitz, 1966). Blanford's name is considered to be a junior synonym of *Delphinus delphis* (van Bree, 1971b,c). Available tooth counts and measurements do not allow it to be confidently referred to any specific form of common dolphin, although only the long-beaked *tropicalis* subspecies is known from the tropical Indian Ocean (see below).

## Delphinus tropicalis van Bree, 1971

Van Bree (1971c) proposed this new name for the extremely long-beaked Indo-Pacific common dolphin, when he found that both *Delphinus longirostris* G. Cuvier, 1829 and *Delphinus dussumieri* Blanford, 1891 were preoccupied. *Delphinus tropicalis* has been used since 1971 to refer to the Indo-Pacific common dolphin by authors who considered it to be a valid species (e.g., Pilleri and Gihr, 1972a,b; van Bree and Gallagher, 1978). However, based on the analyses of Jefferson and Van Waerebeek (2002), this form is now recognized as a subspecies of *Delphinus delphis* (*Delphinus delphis tropicalis*) (SMM, 2020). The holotype specimen is the same as for *Delphinus longirostris* G. Cuvier, 1829 (MNHN A-3065).

## Genus *Lagenodelphis* Fraser, 1956 – Fraser's dolphins

## Lagenodelphis hosei Fraser, 1956 – Fraser's dolphin

### Lagenodelphis hosei Fraser, 1956

This species was described by Fraser from a skull and skeleton found by Ernest Hose at the mouth of the Lutong River, Baram, Borneo, Indonesia. The skull and skeleton were described in detail, and Fraser also included photos of osteological characters. However, for 17 years the external appearance was completely unknown, until the species was "rediscovered" in the early 1970s from the collection of several whole specimens from different parts of the tropical ocean, and its external morphology and coloration were described for the first time (Perrin et al., 1973). The type specimen is still in the NHMUK (No. 1895.5.9.1; Table 3) in London. No other species have been described in the genus, and this name is the valid name of Fraser's dolphin.

## Genus *Lagenorhynchus* Gray, 1846 – whitesided and white-beaked dolphins

## Lagenorhynchus albirostris (Gray, 1846) – whitebeaked dolphin

### Lagenorhynchus albirostris Gray, 1846

Gray described and named this species in his 1846 report on the cetaceans of the voyage of the H.M.S. *Erebus* and *Terror*. It is based on the type specimen of Brightwell's (1846) Delphinus tursio (a case of mistaken identity that I do not consider to be a new name), which was pre-occupied by Delphinus tursio Gunnerus, 1768 (a synonym of Tursiops truncatus). Gray included good illustrations of the external appearance (plate 10) and skull (plate 11). The origin of the type is from England (stated as the coast of Norfolk and later clarified as Yarmouth; Gray, 1850), and the specimen was in the Norwich Museum in the 1880s (True, 1889), sometimes said to be in the NHMUK (though it was not located upon my visit in 2019). This is the senior synonym and valid name for the white-beaked dolphin.

#### Delphinus pseudotursio Reichenbach, 1846

This species was introduced without a description of diagnostic characters, but only from a drawing of its external appearance. The painting (referencing Brightwell's specimen) is presented in Reichenbach's plate 24, fig. 76, with no relevant description in the text. No type specimen is known to exist, although Reichenbach apparently intended Brightwell's specimen to be considered the type – see Galatius and Kinze (2016) for history. Although some might view it as a *nomen nudum*, the name is available. Because no specific date can be determined for Reichenbach's name, it is considered a junior synonym of *Lageno*-

#### Delphinus ibsenii Eschricht, 1846

rhynchus albirostris.

Eschricht described this species in a short account from a specimen from Denmark (apparently Agger Tange; see Galatius and Kinze, 2016), but with few details and no illustrations. His intention to publish a more detailed description was never realized. Fortunately, the holotype, the complete skeleton of a dolphin stranded around 1845, is still housed in the NHMD in Copenhagen (No. 4324); see Kinze (2011) for details and a photograph of the type. Apparently Eschricht's name was preceded by Gray's *Lagenorhynchus albirostris* by eight months, making *Delphinus ibsenii* a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus albirostris* (see Kinze, 2011). Variant spellings include *ibseni*.

# Lagenorhynchus acutus (Gray, 1828) – Atlantic white-sided dolphin

#### Delphinus (Grampus) acutus Gray, 1828

This species was described in a very short section (with no illustrations), from a skull obtained from the Orkney Islands, originally in the Museum of D. Brookes (Brookes, 1828), but obtained by C. J. Temminck for the Leiden Museum in 1828, and now housed in the RMNH (No. 18281). In 1846, Gray described the species more thoroughly, and stated that the species was very much like *Delphinus leucopleurus* Rasch, 1843. This is the senior synonym and valid name of the Atlantic white-sided dolphin. Variant spellings include *acuta*.

#### Delphinus eschrichtii Schlegel, 1841

*Delphinus eschrichtii* was named by Schlegel (1841b), with a type locality in the Faroe Islands. The holotype specimen, a skeleton that had been obtained from Copenhagen in 1838, is in the RMNH (No. 31210). The type description contains a great amount of detail. Useful illustrations of the skull are presented in plates 1 and 2 of the type description. This name is a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus acutus*.

#### Delphinus leucopleurus Rasch, 1843

Rasch named this species from specimens collected in the Gulf of Christiana, Norway (previously known as Drøbak, Oslo Fjord). The syntype specimens (a skeleton, skin, and mounted skull) were obtained from a school of 23 animals driven ashore in 1842 (Smeenk<sup>7</sup>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Smeenk, C. 2018. Personal commun. Deceased 2018.

The syntype specimens were in the Christiana Museum, but now are housed in the Leiden Museum (RMNH 31209, 31211) and the Natural History Museum, University of Oslo (NHMO 2645; Wiig and Bachmann, 2013). See Kinze (2011) for history. This name is a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus acutus*.

### Leucopleurus arcticus Gray, 1868

Gray (1868a) used this name as a replacement name for *Lagenorhynchus leucopleurus* Gray, 1846 (= *Delphinus leucopleurus* Rasch). His very short account includes plates showing the external appearance of a fetus and several views of the skull. These are clearly Atlantic white-sided dolphins. This name is a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus acutus*.

## Lagenorhynchus perspicillatus Cope, 1876

This was a species described in a detailed account (with measurements) and based on types collected off Portland, Maine. There is a good illustration of the external appearance in plate 4. True (1889) later clarified that the type locality was actually Cape Cod or Woods Hole, Massachussetts (see Vollmer et al., 2019). The lectotype specimen is in the USNM (No. 14244). The name is a junior synonym of *Lagenorbynchus acutus*.

### Lagenorhynchus gubernator Cope, 1876

Cope described this species from a specimen collected near Portland, Maine. The species description contains moderate detail, and the illustration that is apparently erroneously cited for *gubernator* (plate 4) is actually of *Lagenorhynchus perspicillatus* (an Atlantic white-sided dolphin). No holotype is known to exist, but there is a cast of the type at the USNM (No. 12306). The name is a synonym of *Lagenorhynchus acutus*.

### L[agenorhynchus] bombifrons Cope, 1876

This name is considered to represent a *nomen nudum*, as it was mentioned in the account on *Lagenorhynchus gubernator*, but with no description or illustration. This nominal species was compared to *Lagenorhynchus gubernator* Cope (see above). The name has sometimes been regarded as a synonym of *Lagenorhynchus acutus*, but by ICZN rules, the name *bombifrons* as a *nomen nudum* is unavailable.

## *Lagenorhynchus cruciger* (Quoy and Gaimard, 1824) - hourglass dolphin

## Delphinus cruciger Quoy and Gaimard, 1824

Based on a description of animals observed at sea between New Holland, Australia, and Cape Horn, Chile, in January 1820, a fairly detailed description and drawings are provided (the drawing is not very accurate, but shows a distinctly-colored black and white dolphin). No specimen was collected. A specimen was later collected by d'Orbigny and Gervais (1847), which was deposited in the MNHN (No. CAC: A.3045/1880-647). Robineau (1990) includes a dorsal photo of the cranium. However, see the complicated history of this specimen in Vollmer et al. (2019). This is the senior synonym and valid name of the hourglass dolphin (*Lagenorhynchus cruciger*). Variant spellings include *crucigera*.

## Delphinus albigena Quoy and Gaimard, 1824

This species was based on a sighting a few days later than that describing *Delphinus cruciger* (see above), and therefore likely in the same general area. No type specimen was collected, and Quoy and Gaimard (1824) thought that this was a different species or a younger form of *Delphinus cruciger*. The animals were probably not seen very clearly, and the illustrations of external appearance do not show an hourglass pattern very well, but they do indicate a small cetacean with a distinct black and white lobed pattern. The name is considered a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus cruciger*.

#### Delphinus bivittatus Lesson in Lesson and Garnot, 1827

Lesson described this species from an at-sea sighting around Cape Horn, about 140 leagues (778 km) from the Falkland Islands. No specimen was collected. The description and illustration (plate 9, fig. 3) in the accompaying atlas, although not very accurate, indicate a black-backed dolphin with a white hourglass-like pattern, and make it clear that these were hourglass dolphins. They were thought to be distinct from *Delphinus cruciger*, because of perceived coloration differences. The name is a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus cruciger*. *Delphinus livitatus* F. Cuvier, 1836 is simply a mis-spelling (*lapsus*) of *Delphinus bivittatus*. Variant spellings include *livitatus* and *livittatus*.

#### Phocaena homeii Smith, 1829

Smith based this species on a black and white dolphin found around the Cape of Good Hope, and which he said was often caught in Table Bay (Cape Town). His account of it is short and only includes details on the external appearance. Apparently, there is a type specimen in the SAM (unconfirmed). The name is considered a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus cruciger*.

### Lagenorhynchus clanculus Gray, 1846

This species was named by Gray, who provided good illustrations of the skull, but with no accompanying description in the text. Fraser and Noble (1968) identified the skull as being an example of *Lagenorhynchus cruciger*, and the name is now considered a junior synonym of that species. No type locality was specified for the type skull, which is still in the NHMUK (No. 1849.5.25.3; Table 3; see Vollmer et al., 2019). Gray (1850) later noted that the type skull was from the Pacific Ocean. Variant spellings include *clancula*.

#### Delphinus obscurus Gray, 1850

Gray used this name (not *Delphinus obscurus* Gray, 1828) as a replacment name for a group of dolphin species that he synonymized (including *Delphinua cruciger* Quoy and Gaimard, 1824; *Delphinus bivittatus* Lesson in Lesson and Garnot, 1827; and *Delphinus albigena* Quoy and Gaimard, 1824). He identified a skull (though not specifically designated as a holotype) at the MNHN, and this appears to be MNHN 1880-646 (Robineau, 1990). This name was considered a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus cruciger* by Vollmer et al. (2019); however, it is also a junior homonym of Gray's 1828 name, and therefore cannot be valid.

### Electra clancula Gray, 1868

Gray (1868a) introduced this name as a renaming of his *Lagenorhynchus clanculus* Gray, 1846. The name is known to be a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus cruciger*, and also the senior homonym of *Electra clancula* Hector, 1873.

#### Lagenorhynchus latifrons True, 1889

True used this name in discussing a species based on a skull from New Zealand in the Paris Museum (MNHN a3041), which had this species name listed on the label. No more specific type locality is given, and there is no description of identifying features, and no figures presented. True did state that he could not find a description of the species in the literature, and he does give a few measurements of the type. Vollmer et al. (2019) regarded the name as a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus cruciger*, but in keeping with the ICZN rules (since there was no description of characters that were purported to distinguish it), the name should be viewed as a *nomen nudum*, and therefore unavailable.

### Phocaena d'orbignyi Philippi, 1893

This is a replacement name for *Delphinus cruciger* d'Orbigny and Gervais, 1847, as Philippi thought that this was a different species. No type specimen was collected. Philippi's account contains a moderate level of detail, and the illustration of external appearance (plate 2, fig. 2) is a stylized, but clearly identifiable, hourglass dolphin. The name is now considered a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus cruciger*.

#### Lagenorhynchus wilsoni Lillie, 1915

Lillie based this species on animals observed swimming at sea from the vessel *Discovery*, and earlier described, but not named, by Wilson (1907). Lillie included nine sighting records of the species in his account. Wilson's observations took place between 1901 and 1904, in the Southern Ocean between 54° and 65°S (just north of the pack ice). No specimens were collected. Lillie does not include any figures, though he refers to fig. 7 of Wilson (1907), which clearly shows hourglass dolphins. The name is now considered a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus cruciger*.

## *Lagenorhynchus obscurus* (Gray, 1828) – dusky dolphin

## Delphinus (Grampus) obscurus Gray, 1828

This species was introduced from several specimens collected off the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa. Gray's short account includes a useful illustration of external appearance. The type specimens (an adult and young) were stuffed skins, with the skulls inside. The skull of the adult has been extracted, and is now recognized as the lectotype specimen. It was originally in the Museum of the Royal College of Surgeons, but is now in the NHMUK (No. 1841.1733; Table 3). This name is the senior synonym and valid name of the dusky dolphin. Variant spellings include *obscura* and *obscurum*.

### Delphinus fitzroyi Waterhouse, 1838

Waterhouse (1838a,b) described a dolphin specimen harpooned in the Bay of St. Joseph, Patagonia, Argentina (42°30'S), apparently collected and examined by Charles Darwin on the voyage of the H.M.S. *Beagle*, as a distinct species. External measurements are included in the description, which are also repeated in the official report of the voyage (Waterhouse, 1838b). The illustration in both accounts shows this to be a dusky dolphin, and the name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus obscurus*, and the senior synonym of the subspecies *Lagenorhynchus obscurus fitzroyi* (SMM, 2020). The type specimen (rostrum and anterior part of the mandibles only — Fig. 11) is housed in the NHMUK (1939.2.18.1; Table 3). Variant spellings include *fitzroy*.

#### Delphinus breviceps Wiegmann, 1840

Wiegmann published a plate (plate 368), which represents the first use of this species name. The painting is very similar to that published later in Jacquinot and Pucheran (1853), and resembles a dusky dolphin, though not very accurately. Despite the fact that there was no description of distinctive features (and no reference to such), the name is available under ICZN rules



#### Figure 11

The type specimen of *Delphinus fitzroyi* Waterhouse, 1838 (NHMUK 1939.2.18.1). This specimen consists of only the anterior portions of the rostrum and mandibles, and was collected by Charles Darwin on the voyage of H.M.S. *Beagle*.

and is considered a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus* obscurus.

#### Delphinus breviceps Jacquinot and Pucheran, 1853

Although sometimes erroneously credited to Wagner (1847), Jacquinot and Pucheran provided a very short description of this species, but their account included an illustration of the external form (not highly accurate) and good views of the skull, which allow it to be identified as a dusky dolphin. The holotype of this nominal species was obtained from Rio de la Plata, Argentina (about 20 leagues [111 km] from the mouth of the river). The type specimen is apparently still in the MNHN (JAC:1880-646). Robineau (1990) provides a photo and some measurements of the holotype skull. The name is listed in the appendix of Wagner (1847),

referring to Wiegmann's (1840) plates, but with no description. The name is considered to be a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus obscurus*. See Vollmer et al. (2019) for a summary of the history of this species and its type specimen.

### Clymene similis Gray, 1868

In a somewhat-detailed (for Gray) description, Gray (1868b) described this as a new species, from a holotype specimen (skull), which is in the NHMUK (No. 1509b; Table 3). The type was collected from off the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa, and Gray listed features of the skull that he thought differentiated it from *Lagenorhynchus obscurus*. He did not illustrate the whole skull, but only the pterygoid region. Despite some past uncertainty about the identity, the name is considered to be a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus* obscurus.

#### Prodelphinus petersii Reinhardt in Lütken, 1889

Reinhardt described this species from a holotype specimen collected from near Amsterdam Island, in the southern Indian Ocean (37°55'S, 77°40'E). The description is not very long, but does include a small illustration of the skull. The type is still in the collection of the Zoological Museum, Copenhagen (NHMD 4325). It was recently examined by Van Waerebeek et al. (1995), and was confirmed to be a specimen of *Lagenorhynchus obscurus*, and so the name is a junior synonym of that species.

#### Phocaena posidonia Philippi, 1893

Based on a specimen harpooned off Chile (48°10'S, 77°W), Philippi described this species in 1893. His description includes measurements and information on external appearance, and there is an illustration of the body shape and coloration (plate 2, fig. 1), which shows this to be a dusky dolphin. The holotype specimen (a skin and skull) apparently still exists at the Natural History Museum, Santiago (NMH-S). This name is considered a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus obscurus*, and the senior synonym of the subspecies *Lagenorhynchus obscurus posidonia* (SMM, 2020).

## Tursio? panope Philippi, 1895

In a very brief account with no illustrations, Philippi (1895, 1896) described Tursio panope from a skull from Chile at the NHM, Santiago (No. 584). There has long been controversy about its true identity. The type was examined by K. S. Norris, and by R. N. P. Goodall and I. S. Cameron, and it has generally been considered to be a junior synonym of Cephalorhynchus eutropia. However, due to dubious characters, Goodall et al. (1988a) suggested that it should be considered a nomen dubium. Later, Pichler and Olavarria (2001) conducted genetic analyses that suggested it was synonymous with Cephalorhynchus eutropia. However, there may have been some mix-up of samples, due to loose teeth. Based on thorough assessments, the identification was confirmed as Lagenorhynchus obscurus by Brownell and Mead (1989) and Canto (2014), and Tursio panope therefore belongs in the synonymy of that species.

#### [Lagenorhynchus] thicolea Trouessart, 1899

This has been considered to be a renaming/new name combination, synonymizing *Delphinus breviceps* Jac-

quinot and Pucheran, 1853 with Lagenorhynchus thicolea Gray, 1846 and other names (see Vollmer et al., 2019 for a full explanation). They considered the name to be a junior synonym of Lagenorhynchus obscurus. However, Trouessart's intention was not fully clear, and based on the way he listed the name I think that he used Lagenorhynchus thicolea simply in reference to Gray's species (which is a junior synonym of Lissodelphis borealis – see below). Thus Trouessart's name would not be a new species name.

## *Lagenorhynchus australis* (Peale, 1849) – Peale's dolphin

## Phocoena australis Peale, 1849

This species was based on a brief description by Peale of a specimen harpooned in the South Atlantic Ocean off the coast of Patagonia during the U.S. Exploring Expedition of 1838-1842 (note that Peale's volume was later withdrawn, due to a belief that it contained too many taxonomic errors - see p. 343 in Philbrick, 2003). In the later re-issued version of this volume (Cassin, 1858), virtually the same description appears under the name *Delphinus* obscurus. Peale refers to a plate of external appearance (plate 6, fig. 2) of his Phocoena australis, but that particular volume of plates apparently never was published (a common issue with the "Ex Ex" reports - see Philbrick, 2003). A plate volume did accompany Cassin's (1858) reissue, and I believe that plate 5, fig. 1 of that volume corresponds to the animal that Peale originally named Phocoena australis (although it is labeled as Delphinus obscurus in that volume). The painting is realistic, and the specimen is undoubtedly a Peale's dolphin. There is some evidence that the type collection locality can be more specifically given as between Staten Island and Cape San Diego, in Tierra del Fuego (Argentina), though this information is not provided in the original type description (see Kellogg, 1941). The type specimen was likely originally intended to be archived in the USNM, but now appears to have been lost. This name is the senior synonym and valid name of Peale's dolphin.

## Delphinus obscurus (in part) Cassin, 1858

This is a replacement name for *Phocoena australis*. Cassin primarily used Peale's description, and synonymized *Phocoena australis* with *Delphinus obscurus* (= *Lagenorhynchus obscurus*). The painting he provides (plate 5, fig. 1) very clearly shows a Peale's dolphin, and therefore this name can be considered a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus australis*. However, the name is also a junior homonym of *Delphinus obscu*- *rus* Gray, and therefore cannot be valid. The type may still be at the USNM, but this needs to be confirmed.

### Sagmatias amblodon Cope, 1866

The type specimen is a skull in the USNM (No. 3887), collected at sea on the U.S. Exploring Expedition in 1839, of which Cope (1866) provided a description and measurements. His description is moderately detailed, but there are no figures. Cope also specified no type locality, but Kellogg (1941) stated that the type was collected between Staten Island and Cape San Diego, Tierra del Fuego, which suggests that the type may have been the same as that for *Phocoena australis*, though this is uncertain (see Goodall et al., 1997). Kellogg also provides, for the first time, a detailed description of the species that includes measurements, photos of the skull and external appearance, and comparisons to other, related species. The name is considered to be a junior synonym of Lagenorhynchus australis. See Vollmer et al. (2019) for more detailed historical information.

## Tursio chiloensis Philippi, 1900

In a moderately-detailed section, Philippi described this species based on an incomplete skull collected near Ancud, on Chiloe Island, Chile. He provided more information in a follow-up paper (Philippi, 1901). The type is apparently still maintained at the NHM, Santiago (MNHN-S 586). Although the illustration provided in the type description shows what would appear to be the underside of the rostrum of a phocoenid, the name was actually determined to be a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus australis* by Goodall (1986). I provisionally follow her recommendation.

## *Lagenorhynchus obliquidens* Gill, 1865 – Pacific white-sided dolphin

## Lagenorhynchus obliquidens Gill, 1865

The Pacific white-sided dolphin was described by Gill from three skulls (syntypes) collected at San Francisco, California, and which are still located at the USNM (Nos. 1961, 1962, 1963). The short type description did not include any illustrations, but the species was described in much more detail and illustrated in Scammon's (1874) classic book, *The Marine Mammals of the Northwestern Coast of North America*. This is the senior synonym and valid name of the Pacific white-sided dolphin.

#### Delphinus longidens Cope, 1866

Cope described this nominal species of dolphin from a type specimen (skull), which is still in the USNM (No. 3886). The account is brief, and contains no illustra-

tions. The type locality is not precisely known, but it presumably came from somewhere in the North Pacific. The name is a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus* obliquidens.

## Lagenorhynchus ognevi Sleptsov, 1955

This new species was described in a detailed account (with multiple illustrations and measurements) of four syntype specimens (three complete skeletons with skulls, and one skull) from the western Pacific Ocean (in the Nemoro Sea, 15-20 miles east of the South Kuril Cape, Kunashir Island, Russia). The syntypes are reportedly in the Zoological Museum of Moscow State University, although Perrin et al. (2004) listed only a single skull of this species in the collection (ZMMGU S-60152); it is unknown if this specimen is one of the syntypes. Although a number of differences were proposed, these animals are now considered conspecific with the Pacific white-sided dolphin of the central and eastern North Pacific (Lagenorhynchus obliquidens), and therefore this name is a junior synonym of that species.

# Genus *Lissodelphis* Gloger, 1841 – right whale dolphins

# *Lissodelphis peronii* (Lacépède, 1804) – southern right whale dolphin

## Delphinus peronii Lacépède, 1804

Francois Peron observed black and white dolphins of an unknown species on the expedition of Baudin to Australia, and sent his notes to the Paris Museum (MNHN). Based on those notes, this species was described by Lacépède from a specimen collected south of Van Diemen's Land (Tasmania) in 1802, and may be represented by a skull in the Paris Museum (needs to be confirmed). A plate (Gray, 1846) showing the external appearance makes it clear that this is a southern right whale dolphin, and this name is therefore the senior synonym and valid name of that species. Variant spellings include *peroni*.

#### Delphinus leucorhamphus Peron in Lacépède, 1804

According to Newcomer et al. (1996), Lacépède changed Peron's name *leucorhamphus* to *peronii*, when describing this species. The description was apparently based on specimens observed at sea south of Tasmania on a French expedition to the Antarctic. No type was designated, but an unpublished manuscript by the collector, J. J. Dussumier (MNHN manuscript No. 894 – Arvy, 1972b), shows an outline of a specimen he obtained, which clearly shows it to be a southern right



## Figure 12

The type specimen of *Lagenorhynchus thicolea* Gray, 1846 (NHMUK 1849.5.25.4). This specimen is known to be of the genus *Lissodelphis*. Based on its reported collection locality (off the west coast of North America), it would be *Lissodelphis borealis*, but some uncertainty remains about which species of *Lissodelphis* it represents.

whale dolphin. Variant spellings include *leucoramphus* and *leucorrhamphus*.

#### D[elphinus] bicolor Gray, 1846

Gray listed this species as a synonym of *Delphinapterus* (=*Delphinus*) *peronii*, crediting "Stephenson MSS Icon. ined." The type locality is apparently from the South Pacific, reportedly at 46°48'S, 142°W. The plate (plate 15 in Gray, 1846) showing the external appearance of *Delphinus peronii* makes it clear that this is a southern right whale dolphin, and *Delphinus bicolor* should therefore be considered a junior synonym of that species. Although there was no description of identifying characters, by ICZN rules, the presence of an "indication" (the illustration in the plate) dictates that the name could still be considered available.

## Delphinapterus lessonii Philippi, 1893

This was a new name proposed for *Delphinus peronii* of Lesson and Garnot (1827), according to Newcomer et al. (1996). No type specimen was designated. Philippi's plate of external appearance (plate 4, fig. 3) shows a southern right whale dolphin. The name is a junior synonym of *Lissodelphis peronii*.

#### Prodelphinus gervaisi Trouessart, 1899

This is a new name based on *Prodelphinus leucorhampus* of Van Beneden and Gervais (1880), according to Newcomer et al. (1996). The name is thus considered to be a junior synonym of *Lissodelphis peronii*.

## *Lissodelphis borealis* (Peale, 1849) – northern right whale dolphin

#### Lagenorhynchus thicolea Gray, 1846

This species was based upon a skull illustrated (but not described in the text) in plate 36 of Gray (1846). The type skull is in the NHMUK (1849.5.25.4; Table 3, Fig. 12). The illustration shows the skull of a right whale dolphin (genus *Lissodelphis*), but it could be either species, and the lack of designated type locality did not allow it to be identified to species based on known distribution. However, in a later publication, Gray (1849) stated that the specimen was collected in the North Pacific Ocean ("West Coast of America"), thus suggesting that this name should be an example of the northern right whale dolphin. Both tags (old and new) on the skull also indicate "N. America," and if this is correct, it has serious implications for the nomenclature of the northern right whale dolphin. As this name predates Peale's (1849) Delphinapterus borealis (see next paragraph), thicolea would technically be the valid name of that species. However, this would upset longstanding usage, since the name thicolea has not been used for this species in over a century (past use of the name erroneously considered it to be a species of the genus Lagenorhynchus or possibly Lissodelphis peronii see for example Rice and Scheffer, 1968; Rice, 1977; Vollmer et al., 2019). There is an even more pressing issue, though. Doubts have been raised about this being a northern right whale dolphin, as the collector, "Dr. Dickie," was known to have collected cetacean specimens in the Southern Hemisphere, but not in the North Pacific where the northern right whale dolphin is exclusively found (see Vollmer et al., 2019). Therefore, some have opted that Lagenorhynchus thicolea should be considered to be a nomen dubium. However, I believe that unless specific information can be found indicating that the North Pacific collection locality is incorrect, the information on the tags and in the published literature must be trusted, associating this species with the northern right whale dolphin. A proposal to designate this name as a nomen oblitum has been made (Jefferson, 2021). Trouessart's (1899) use of this name appears to be in reference to Gray's species, and thus is not a new species name (as has sometimes been assumed).

## Delphinapterus borealis Peale, 1849

Peale described this species from a specimen collected at 46°06'50"N, 134°05'W, about 800 km off the coast of Astoria, Oregon, during the U.S. Exploring Expedition of 1838–1842 (note that Peale's volume was later withdrawn, due to a belief that it contained too many taxonomic errors - see p. 343 in Philbrick, 2003). In the later re-issued version of this volume (Cassin, 1858), virtually the same description appears under the name Delphinus borealis. The plate that Peale referred to (plate 8, fig. 2) was not published as such, but did appear in Cassin's (1858) atlas as plate 7, fig. 2, under the name Delphinapterus borealis. Both that plate and the text description clearly indicate a northern right whale dolphin. The specimen on which this species was based was not included in Peale's list of specimens supplied to the USNM, and therefore no holotype specimen is known to exist (Fisher and Ludwig, 2016). This name has traditionally been considered the senior synonym of the northern right whale dolphin, but it is predated by Lagenorhynchus thicolea (see above). However, since the latter name has not been used for the species in over a century, and reverting to it would upset longstanding usage, it is suggested that borealis be retained, and that Delphinapterus borealis be conserved as a nomen protectum and thus the valid name of the northern right whale dolphin.

# Genus Cephalorhynchus Gray, 1846 – piebald dolphins

## Cephalorhynchus commersonii (Lacépède, 1804) – Commerson's dolphin

### Delphinus commersonii Lacépède, 1804

Lacépède described Commerson's dolphin from an unpublished manuscript by Philibert Commerson on a dolphin sighting at sea during Bougainville's expedition around the world in 1766–1769. The sighting took place around Tierra del Fuego and the Strait of Magellan in 1767. The description mainly talks about the body shape, coloration, and behavior of the dolphins. There was no type specimen collected, but the information presented by Lacépède allies this with what is known today as Commerson's dolphin. This name is the senior synonym and valid name of the Commerson's dolphin. Variant spellings include *commersoni*.

#### Lagenorhynchus floweri Moreno, 1892

Moreno provided a detailed description of this species, which included measurements and excellent illustrations of the skull and external appearance. From two specimens obtained, he was the first to describe the skull of this species, which is without a doubt what we now know as Commerson's dolphin. One of the types from Bahia de Santa Cruz, Argentina, is still in the Museo de la Plata, Argentina (MLP 1480; Olivares et al., 2016), and Brownell and Praderi (1985) "rediscovered" it, publishing photos and comparative measurements. The other type specimen may be lost. The name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Cephalorhynchus commersonii*.

#### L[agenorhynchus] burmeisteri Moreno, 1892

Moreno used this name as a substitute or replacement for *Lagenorhynchus floweri*, in the same publication. Apparently this name was his first choice for the new species he described as *Lagenorhynchus floweri*, and Goodall et al. (1988b) considered *Lagenorhynchus burmeisteri* to be a *nomen lapsus* for that species (however, this is not a term officially recognized by the ICZN). There is no separate account and no illustration of this species in Moreno's paper. Thus, the name is a junior synonym of *Cephalorhynchus commersonii*.

## Cephalorhynchus heavisidii (Gray, 1828) – Heaviside's dolphin

### Delphinus (Grampus) heavisidii Gray, 1828

In a short account, this species was described by Gray from a mounted specimen from the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa, in the Museum of the Royal College of Surgeons (later the NHMUK). The skull of the type specimen collected by Captain Haviside was later removed from the mounted skin; the whereabouts of the skin is not known, but the skull is still in the NHMUK (No. 1841.1732; Table 3). A decent illustration of the whole body (plate 2, fig. 6) shows a Heaviside's dolphin, and this is the senior synonym and valid name of *Cephalorhynchus heavisidii*. Variant spellings include *heavisidei* and *headivisii*.

### D[elphinus] capensis Dussumier in G. Cuvier, 1829

In 1829, G. Cuvier described this species (credited to the collector, J. J. Dussumier); his "marsouin du Cap" was from a specimen collected from near the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa (identified as the "Cap de Bonne-Esperance" by Robineau, 1990). The specimen is known to be still present in the MNHN as a stuffed skin with skull (CGZ No. 26 or A.3063). See detailed description with measurements of the skin in Robineau (1990), who lists it as "Phocaena capensis G. Cuvier, 1829." This is considered to be a Heaviside's dolphin, based on information contained in a rediscovered sketch of the type (in an unpublished manuscript by the collector J. J. Dussumier, MNHN manuscript No. 894 – Arvy, 1972b), as well as the clearly-identifiable stuffed skin (see Best [1988] and Robineau [1990] for detailed history). This name is considered a junior synonym of Cephalorhynchus heavisidii.

#### Delphinus dussumieri Fischer, 1829

This was a replacement name for *Delphinus capensis*, which was found to be pre-occupied. This name is the senior homonym of *Delphinus dussumieri* Blanford, 1891 (which, in turn, is a junior synonym of the common dolphin [*Delphinus delphis*]).

## Delphinus cephalorhynchus F. Cuvier, 1836

F. Cuvier used this name for what is thought to be Heaviside's dolphin, apparently as a replacement name for *Delphinus capensis* Dussumier in G. Cuvier, 1829, a species that G. Cuvier called "marsoiun du Cap" (note that in an alternate, presumably earlier, version of F. Cuvier's book, the name "*D. capensis*" is used instead of "*D. cephalorhynchus*"). The type specimen is presumably the same as for *Delphinus capensis*. This name is considered a junior synonym of *Cephalorhynchus heavisidii*.

#### Delphinus hastatus F. Cuvier, 1836

This species was described by F. Cuvier, from two different descriptions by different people, accompanied by figures. The first, by J. R. C. Quoy, was from a specimen collected near the Cape of Good Hope. No type specimen is thought to exist, and Cuvier did not include a figure of this new species in his monograph. The second is a "rediscovered" painting of this species from an unpublished manuscript (reproduced in Arvy, 1972a, fig. 7; Arvy, 1977, fig. 7; MNHN manuscript No. 109), which shows a quite accurate rendition of a dolphin that is undoubtedly a Heaviside's dolphin. The name is thus a junior synonym of *Cephalorhynchus heavisidii*.

#### Delphinus tridens Castelnau in P. J. Van Beneden, 1873

This nominal species was introduced by Van Beneden, from a specimen originating from South Africa. In his paper, Van Beneden described and figured the type, which is clearly a Heaviside's dolphin, but he did so mistakenly under the name *Orca capensis* (he probably meant *Delphinus capensis* G. Cuvier). However, he also introduced for the species the new name *Delphinus tridens*, which he obtained from an unpublished manuscript by F. Castelnau. The latter name is now considered a junior synonym of *Cephalorhynchus heavisidii*. No existing type is known.

#### Orca capensis P. J. Van Beneden, 1873

See above explanation under *Delphinus tridens* for the origin of this name. The name, however, is a junior homonym of *Orca capensis* Gray, 1846 (now a junior synonym of *Orcinus orca*), and therefore cannot be a valid name.

## *Cephalorhynchus eutropia* (Gray, 1846) – Chilean dolphin

#### Delphinus lunatus Lesson, 1826

This is apparently the earliest description of the Chilean dolphin. It was based on an observation at sea in Bahia de Concepcion, Chile, and the description is quite brief and somewhat generic. No type specimen was collected. Lesson and Garnot (1827) redescribed the species, mentioning a brown crescent behind the blowhole. The associated atlas includes a plate showing the supposed external appearance of this species (plate 4, fig. 4, and reproduced in Goodall et al., 1988a), and this does not look much like a Chilean dolphin. However, the dark crescent is clearly shown, and Goodall et al. (1988a) interpreted this as indicating it to be recognizable as a Chilean dolphin. Since the name has apparently not been used for this species since at least 1858, it has been considered a nomen oblitum, though without proper justification (Goodall et al., 1988a). A specific proposal to do so, with appropriate documentation according to the current ICZN rules, has been made (Jefferson, 2021).

### Delphinus eutropia Gray, 1846

Gray illustrated, but did not describe in the text, this species, from a skull in the British Museum, collected by Dr. Dickie. The type is still in the NHMUK (No. 1849.5.25.2; Table 3). The illustration of the skull (plate 34, labeled as "*Delphinus eutropia*") is consistent with the Chilean dolphin (and I confirmed on a visit to the NHMUK in 2019). Although not the earliest name, this name has been in more-or-less continuous use for this species for many decades, and the name is to be considered a *nomen protectum* and the valid name for the Chilean dolphin. See Goodall et al. (1988a) for history.

### Eutropia dickiei Gray, 1866

Gray (1866b) used this name for what is now considered to be a Chilean dolphin. The name is a replacement name and is now regarded as a junior synonym of *Delphinus eutropia*. Variant spellings include *dickii*.

# *Phocaena (Hyperoodon?) albiventris* Perez Canto in Philippi, 1893

This new species was based on a specimen collected near Valparaiso, Chile, in 1882 (Philippi, 1893; Perez Canto, 1896). The type specimen may still exist at the NMH, Santiago. Perez Canto's description and the somewhat-crude illustrations of the external appearance clearly indicate the Chilean dolphin (see Goodall et al., 1988a). The name is a junior synonym of *Cephalorhynchus eutropia*.

## Tursio? platyrrhinus Philippi, 1895

This is a species described by Philippi, based on skulls at the NMH, Santiago. His rather detailed account includes measurements and various views of the skull, which appear to be from a Chilean dolphin. This name is now considered to be a junior synonym of *Cephalorhynchus eutropia*. Variant spellings include *platyrhinus*.

## Cephalorhynchus hectori (P. J. Van Beneden, 1881) – Hector's dolphin

#### Electra clancula Hector, 1873

Hector described a new species of New Zealand dolphin in 1873, the type specimen of which is in the Colonial Museum, Wellington (now Museum of New Zealand Te Papa Tongarewa). He provided illustrations of the skull and external appearance, and in another paper (Hector, 1872) he provided measurements and illustrations. These show that the species he described is what is now known as Hector's dolphin. However, the name cannot be used for Hector's dolphin, as it is a junior homonym of *Electra clancula* Gray, 1868 (Gray, 1868a) (now considered to be in the synonymy of *Lagenorhynchus cruciger*). Variant spellings include *clancula*.

## Electra hectori P. J. Van Beneden, 1881

In a detailed species account, P. J. Van Beneden provided a description of the New Zealand endemic dolphin, based on a type specimen (skin and skeleton) at the Louvain Museum, Brussels, or possibly the Museum Royale d'Histoire Naturelle, Brussels. He included several illustrations showing the body and color pattern of this unique dolphin. Although this is not the earliest name used for Hector's dolphin, it is in fact the valid name of the species, since the earlier name was shown to be a junior homonym and therefore cannot be a valid name.

#### Cephalorhynchus albifrons True, 1889

True provided a description of what he considered a new nominal species from New Zealand. The illustrations of the skull and external appearance (which are reproduced from Hector, 1872, 1873), combined with the known range in New Zealand, make it clear that this is what we now know as Hector's dolphin. This name is considered a junior synonym of *Cephalorhynchus hectori*. No type specimen is known to exist.

## Genus *Phocoenoides* Andrews, 1911 – Dall's porpoises

## Phocoenoides dalli (True, 1885) - Dall's porpoise

## Phocaena dalli True, 1885

This porpoise species was described from a specimen collected in the "strait west of Adakh (Adak) Island" in the Aleutian Islands, Alaska, in 1873 by W. H. Dall. The type description contains measurements and reasonably accurate illustrations of external appearance and color pattern. The type (a skull only) is in the USNM (No. 21762). This is the senior synonym and valid name of Dall's porpoise.

#### Phocoenoides truei Andrews, 1911

Andrews (1911b) erected the genus *Phocoenoides* and described this new species, based upon an adult male specimen collected by a local whaling ship from Ai-kawahama, Rikuzen Province, Japan. The detailed type description has measurements and includes photos of the skull and external appearance. The holotype specimen, a complete skull and skeleton, resides in the AMNH (No. 31425; Goodwin, 1953). The validity of the species has been controversial, being at various times considered a full species, a subspecies, or just a

geographic form/color morph. However, based mostly on the assessment by Escorza-Treviño et al. (2004), this nominal species is now considered a subspecies of *Phocoena dalli (Phocoenoides dalli truei)*.

# Genus *Phocoena* G. Cuvier, 1816 – common porpoises

# *Phocoena phocoena* (Linnaeus, 1758) – harbor porpoise

## Delphinus phocoena Linnaeus, 1758

Linnaeus described this cetacean in his 10th edition of *Systema Naturae*, as the common porpoise of the "Oceano Europaeo, & Balthico." No type specimen was designated, but it was clear that he was describing what we now know as the harbor porpoise. This is the senior synonym and valid name for that species. Variant spellings include *phocaena* and *phocena*.

#### D[elphinus] phocaena fuscus Kerr, 1792

Although this name is sometimes considered to be a junior synonym of *Phocoena phocoena*, it is not a new species name (as it has sometimes erroneously been treated), but a subspecies name, and therefore is outside the scope of this review.

## Delphinus ventricosus Lacépède, 1804

Lacépède's nominal species was described from the River Thames, England. No type specimen was designated. Based on the description and the painting included in Lacépède's volume, the name is generally considered to be a junior synonym of *Phocoena phocoena*. Variant spellings include *ventricosa*.

#### Phocaena communis Lesson, 1827

This was a replacement name for *Delphinus phocoena*. The account is quite short, with no illustrations of the appearance of the species. The name is a junior synonym of *Phocoena phocoena*.

## Phocaena tuberculifera Gray, 1865

Gray (1865b) described a supposedly new species of harbor porpoise from a specimen collected at the mouth of the Thames River, England, and stored at the Royal College of Surgeons (No. 2965). The type is now in the NHMUK (No. 1865.12.8.43; Table 3) and consists of a skull and full skeleton (along with the skin preserved "in spirits"). The name is considered to be a junior synonym of *Phocoena phocoena*.

#### Phocoena vomerina Gill, 1865

In a brief account, with no figures, Gill described this species of porpoise from two skulls (syntypes), one from Puget Sound, Washington, and the other from San Francisco, California, both stored at the USNM (No. 4149, 4746/4402). The name is considered to be a junior synonym of *Phocoena phocoena*, and the senior synonym of the recognized subspecies *Phocoena phocoena vomerina* (SMM, 2020). See history in Fisher and Ludwig (2016).

#### Phocaena brachycium Cope, 1865

This species was based on two skulls from the Museum of Essex, Salem, Massachussetts (Cope, 1865a). The specimens were collected in the nearby harbor. Hershkovitz (1966) speculated that these may now be in the USNM, but they may be lost as they are not listed in Poole and Schantz (1942), nor in Fisher and Ludwig (2016). The descriptive account is moderately detailed, including some measurements. The name is now considered a junior synonym of *Phocoena phocoena*. Variant spellings include *brachcium*.

#### Phocaena americana J. A. Allen, 1869

Allen introduced this name in a very brief account, with little description, saying that he did not know if this species was separate from *Phocaena brachycium* Cope, 1865 (Cope, 1865a). Allen mentioned that the species was common in Massachussetts. No formal type specimen was designated, but he did say that there are several specimens in the MCZ. The name is a synonym of *Phocoena phocoena*.

#### Phocoena rondeletti Giglioli, 1870

This species was described by Giglioli in a very brief account. He mentioned that it was a "common species on the western coasts of Europe, it rarely seems to venture into the Mediterranean." No type specimen was designated, and the name is now considered a junior synonym of *Phocoena phocoena*.

## Phocaena lineata Cope, 1876

Cope described this nominal species from a specimen collected at the harbor of New York. The specimen was sent to the USNM, where a plaster cast of it is still preserved (USNM 12481, 15781). Several additional specimens, consisting of skeletal material, were also obtained. His account is quite detailed, with information on both skeletal and external morphology, but no figures. See history of the holotype specimen in Fisher and Ludwig (2016). The name is a junior synonym of *Phocoena phocoena*.

### Phocoena relicta Abel, 1905

This new species was described in a very detailed account, from syntypes collected on the Crimean coast of the Black Sea. Abel compared his Black Sea harbor porpoise with other known species of harbor porpoise. His account includes two very accurate drawings of the external appearance of the species. The two type skeletons are reportedly in the Academy of Science, Sebastopol, Russia. The name is a junior synonym of *Phocoena phocoena*, and the senior synonym of the subspecies *Phocoena phocoena relicta* (Viaud-Martínez et al., 2007; SMM, 2020).

## Phocoena spinipinnis Burmeister, 1865 – Burmeister's porpoise

## Phocaena spinipinnis Burmeister, 1865

The well-known naturalist Hermann Burmeister described this porpoise species from a 162 cm specimen collected near the mouth of the Rio de la Plata, Argentina (Burmeister, 1865a,b). Burmeister's species account is moderately detailed, with good illustrations of the unique dorsal fin and the skull of this species. The mounted skin of the type specimen still exists at MACN-Ma (No. 20810; Vaccaro and Piantanida, 1998), but the skull and postcranail skeleton are missing. Gallardo (1917) presented a photograph of the mounted, stuffed skin of the holotype. This is the senior synonym and valid name for Burmeister's porpoise. Variant spellings include *spinipennis*.

#### Phocaena philippii Philippi, 1893

This nominal species was described by Philippi in 1893. His account is short, but includes information on coloration and external form. Philippi included a plate (plate 3, fig. 2), which shows an animal that can only be what we now know as Burmeister's porpoise. No type specimen is known. The name is considered a junior synonym of *Phocoena spinipinnis*.

# *Phocoena dioptrica* Lahille, 1912 – spectacled porpoise

#### Phocoena dioptrica Lahille, 1912

This species was described by Lahille from a pregnant female specimen stranded alive at Punta Colares, near the Rio de la Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina. His species description is very complete, and includes infomation on both external and skeletal anatomy, measurements, comparisons to related phocoenid species, and photos of the adult specimen and its fetus. Unfortunately, the skeleton of the adult female has been lost, but the fetus is still preserved in alcohol at the MACN-Ma (No. 12.14; Varela et al., 2010). This is the senior synonym and valid name of the spectacled porpoise.

#### Phocaena stornii Marelli, 1922

In 1922, Marelli described *Phocaena stornii* from a skull collected in Tierra del Fuego. His account is very thorough, with multiple photos of the skull, measurements, and comparisons to other species of porpoise. The holotype skull is still present at MACN-Ma (No. 16407; Varela et al., 2010). This name is a junior synonym of *Phocoena dioptrica*.

## *Phocoena sinus* Norris and McFarland, 1958 – vaquita

## Phocoena sinus Norris and McFarland, 1958

Norris and McFarland described this new species of Gulf of California porpoise from three skulls found on the dunes and beaches near San Felipe, Baja California, Mexico, in 1950 and 1951. The holotype is in the MVZ (No. 120933, skull only), and the two paratypes are labeled MVZ 120934 and USNM 303308. Their paper presented a very detailed description, including comparative measurements and multiple photographs of the skull. The external appearance of the species was not known at the time, and it was assumed that it was very similar to that of *Phocoena phocoena*. It was not until 1987 that the examination of several fresh specimens allowed the external form and coloration to be described (Brownell et al., 1987). This is the valid name for the vaquita.

### Genus Neophocaena Palmer, 1899 – finless porpoises

## Neophocaena phocaenoides (G. Cuvier, 1829) – Indo-Pacific finless porpoise

### Delphinus phocaenoides G. Cuvier, 1829

The type specimen was supposedly collected from the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa, by French naturalist J. J. Dussumier in 1827. Although there has been a good deal of controversy about the collection locality of the type specimen (see Jefferson, 2002), it is now generally accepted that the specimen was actually collected along the Malabar coast of India. The holotype skull is preserved in the MNHN (No. A.3086), and an unpublished manuscript by the collector J. J. Dussumier (MNHN manuscript No. 894; Arvy, 1972b) shows an outline of the type specimen, which clearly shows it to be a finless porpoise. Robineau (1990) also provided photos of the skull and an outline drawing of the body. I examined and meausured the holotype skull (Jefferson, 2002; Table 3). This is the senior synonym and valid name of the Indo-Pacific finless porpoise.

#### Delphinapterus molagan Owen, 1866

Owen described this species based on a drawing in the possession of Mr. W. Elliot, of a young specimen (32 inches, or 81 cm, long) from India. Apparently, no type specimen was collected. It is clearly a finless porpoise, owing to the description. Based on the locality of origin of the drawn specimen, this name is considered a junior synonym of *Neophocaena phocaenoides*.

#### Neomeris kurrachiensis Murray, 1884

Murray provided a short, but detailed description, lacking any illustrations. The type specimen was collected from Kurrachee (Karachi, in present-day Pakistan) (Murray, 1884). There is apparently a finless porpoise skull in the Karachi Museum, which is presumably the type of this species, but as far as I know, it has not been examined since being collected in the late 1800s. This name is considered to be a junior synonym of *Neophocaena phocaenoides*.

## Neophocaena asiaeorientalis (Pilleri and Gihr, 1972) – narrow-ridged finless porpoise

#### Delphinus melas Schlegel, 1841

This species was described by Schlegel (1841b) based on a specimen from Nagasaki, Japan, and the holotype (an incomplete skeleton) is in the collection of the RMNH (No. 23079). I examined it and measured the skull for Jefferson (2002; Table 3). Schlegel's (1841b) brief description was followed by a more detailed description (Schlegel, 1844, often erroneously cited as Temmink and Schlegel, 1844). Van Bree (1973b) clarified that the name was pre-occupied by *Delphinus melas* (= *Globicephala melas*). Schlegel's name is thus a junior primary homonym of *Delphinus melas* Traill, 1809, and therefore cannot be used for the narrow-ridged finless porpoise. Variant spellings include *melus*.

#### Neomeris asiaeorientalis Pilleri and Gihr, 1972

Pilleri and Gihr (1972a) provided a detailed description, with photos and measurements, describing this species of narrow-ridged finless porpoise from a specimen from the Yangtze River in the collection of the MCZ, Harvard University (MCZ 19998). I examined the type for Jefferson (2002; Table 3). This is the senior synonym and valid name of the narrow-ridged finless porpoise. If future studies show the Yangtze River form to be a separate species (see Zhou et al., 2018), the name *asiaeorientalis* would apply to that species.

### Neophocaena sunameri Pilleri and Gihr, 1975

This species is a renaming of *Delphinus melas* Schlegel, 1841 (Schlegel, 1841a), the species earlier described from Japanese waters, but later found to be pre-occupied (see above). I examined and measured the holotype, a specimen in the RMNH (No. 23079), for Jefferson (2002; Table 3). The same holotype is used for *Delphinus melas*. The name is a junior synonym of *Neophocaena asiaeorientalis*, and this form is currently recognized as a valid subspecies, *Neophocaena asiaeorientalis sunameri* (Jefferson and Wang, 2011; SMM, 2020).

# Genus *Platanista* Wagler, 1830 – Ganges and Indus dolphins

## Platanista gangetica (Lebeck, 1801) – South Asian river dolphin

## Delphinus gangeticus Lebeck, 1801

This river dolphin species was described in 1801 in two separate publications, one by Heinrich Julius Lebeck and the other by William Roxburgh. There has been much controversy about which author should be credited with the type description and which reference has priority. Pilleri (1972, 1978) made an elaborate case for Roxburgh being the original describer, largely based on the notion that Lebeck had seen Roxburgh's early account and plagiarized much of it. However, after extensive research, Kinze (2000) found original elements in both papers and rejected the argument of plagiarism; he further deduced a publication date of 24 August 1801 for Lebeck's account. No date could be ascertained for Roxburgh's, and thus priority was awarded to Lebeck. Lebeck's type description is based on an adult male specimen collected in November 1797 about 11 km from Calcutta, and it is thought that this is the same material that Roxburgh based his description on (thereby making Roxburgh's name a junior objective synonym). This type specimen was presented to the Royal College of Surgeons, but was apparently destroyed in World War II. Fortunately there is a cast of the rostrum and part of the mandible still in the NHMUK (No. 1884.5.3.1 or No. 1939.4523). Delphinus gangeticus is considered the senior synonym and valid name of the Ganges river dolphin.

#### Delphinus gangeticus Roxburgh, 1801

See detailed description above under *Delphinus gangeticus* Lebeck, 1801. The Roxburgh type description is moderately detailed, and includes an illustration of the external features. All that remains is a cast of the rostrum and part of the mandible (NHMUK 1884.5.3.1 or 1939.4523). Roxburgh's name represents an unusual case, which is considered both a junior objective synonym and junior objective homonym of *Delphinus gangeticus* Lebeck, 1801.

## Susu platanista Lesson, 1828

This name was cited in Hershkovitz (1966) as a synonym for the South Asian river dolphin, but in Lesson's monograph, he used "sousou plataniste" only as a common name, and the Latin name he used in the description on p. 215 is *Delphinus gangeticus* Lebeck. "*Susu platanista*" only appears in the index on p. 440 (perhaps a printing error?). Therefore, *Susu platanista* Lesson is not a true scientific name, and should thus be considered a *nomen nudum*.

## Platanista minor Owen, 1853

Owen described a new type of river dolphin from "the Indus" based on a skull collected by David Wallich (specimen No. 2481 in the Royal College of Surgeons, London). He described it as a minor variety, Platanista gangetica, var. minor, and not a species or subspecies. But despite this fact, the ICZN has determined that such names published before 1961 are available in zoological nomenclature (see Articles 10.2 and 45.6). The type specimen in the Hunterian Museum was apparently destroyed during a World War II German bombing raid, and thus no longer exists (Pilleri and Gihr, 1977). There has been much controversy over the years as to whether this is a valid species, though new evidence using a variety of markers indicates that it should be considered a distinct species (Braulik et al., 2021). However, until it is officially recognized as such, this name is considered a junior synonym of *Platanista gangetica*, and the senior synonym of the subspecies *Platanista gangetica minor* (van Bree, 1976; SMM, 2020).

#### Platanista indi Blyth, 1859

In 1859, Blyth described *Platanista indi* as a new species, based on a skull collected by Sir Alexander Burnes. The disposition of the type is not mentioned in the original publication, but both Sclater (1891) and Hershkovitz (1966) stated that the type skull was in the Asiatic Society Museum, Calcutta (now known as the Indian Museum). Pilleri and Gihr (1977) stated that neither Blyth's nor Owen's types could be found in the 1970s, and therefore used this as a justification to designate a neotype for the Indus river dolphin (No. 623 in the Pilleri collection, which is now in the possession of the Staatliches Museum für

Naturkunde Karlsruhe, Stuttgart, Germany, where it has the number SMNS 45643; see Dieterlen et al., 2013). However, this neotype has no standing in zoological nomenclature (Rice, 1998), and for the reasons discussed above *Platanista indi* is considered to be a junior synonym of *Platanista gangetica*.

## Genus Inia d'Orbigny, 1834 – Amazon river dolphins

## Inia geoffrensis (Blainville in Desmarest, 1817) – boto or Amazon river dolphin

#### Delphinus rostratus Shaw, 1801

George Shaw described this species in 1801 based on a type that was possibly in the possession of the Hunterian Museum (Eschricht, 1851, 1852), which has also been known as the Museum of the Royal College of Surgeons. Its current location is not known, though it is not in the NHMUK. The exact type locality is unknown, but the species was "supposed to inhabit the Indian Seas." The name has in the past erroneously been considered to be a synonym of Steno bredanensis (see West et al., 2011) or Platanista gangetica, and its questionable identity might lead some to consider this a nomen dubium. However, recently Smeenk (2018), in a very detailed and exhaustive historical review of the name *Delphinus rostratus*, showed that the type is actually an example of the Amazon river dolphin. Therefore, this is the senior synonym of that species and should be used as its name. However, as Shaw's name has not been in use for over 120 years, Smeenk (2018) suggested that a request to suppress the name (and thereby to conserve geoffrensis) should be made to the ICZN. However, a ruling of the ICZN should not be required in this case, and a request to designate this name as a nomen oblitum has been made (Jefferson, 2021). Variant spellings include rostrats.

### Delphinus geoffrensis Blainville in Desmarest, 1817

The Amazon river dolphin was known to science well before Linnaeus' (1758) binomial system of nomenclature came into use (see Romero et al., 1997), but names used before 1758 have no standing in modern taxonomic nomenclature. A. G. Desmarest, in the encyclopedia he edited, described this species based on material at the MNHN in Paris (No. 1870-274). There has been quite a bit of confusion about the authorship of this name, as it is not always clear who wrote what sections of the encyclopedia. However, the relevant text was apparently written by Desmarest, who credited the name to Blainville; therefore the authorship should be listed as "Blainville in Desmarest, 1817." The type specimen is a mounted skin (painted to simulate natural color) with skull, from either the Rio Negro or from the lower Amazon and its affluents, but supposedly brought to Paris from "Portugal" (presumably Brazil) by Professor G. Saint-Hilaire. It is illustrated in Robineau (1989). The skull has been extracted and studied by van Bree and Robineau (1973), who also included measurements and photos. Although this is not the oldest name for the Amazon river dolphin, it has been in nearly continuous use for that species for over 100 years. Therefore, a specific proposal has been made to conserve this name (a *nomen protectum*) as the valid name for the Amazon river dolphin (see Smeenk, 2018, for further justification). Variant spellings include *geoffroyensis*, *geoffroyii*, and *geoffroyi*.

#### Delphinus shawensis Blainville in Desmarest, 1817

Desmarest examined two river dolphin skulls from an unknown location (but thought to be from the "upper Amazon of Brazil") in the Museum of the Royal College of Surgeons in London (current location not known). He described them as a new species, Delphinus shawensis, in honor of George Shaw, who had apparently examined the same specimens (Eschricht, 1851, 1852). Smeenk (2018) provided an English translation of the type description, and found that the holotype (an incomplete skull) is the same as for Delphinus rostratus Shaw, 1801. This name is considered a new name for Delphinus rostratus, and thus the name should technically be viewed as a junior objective synonym of Inia geoffrensis (see above under Delphinus rostratus Shaw, 1801). Variant spellings include schawensis.

#### [Delphinus] frontatus G. Cuvier, 1823

Cuvier described this species from an unknown locality in South America, presumably somewhere in Brazil (though Lisbon, Portugal is listed in the account). The type material is thought to be currently kept at the MNHN in Paris (needs to be confirmed). This species may have originally been based on composite material (a skin and series of undocumented skulls in the MNHN in Paris, including some material from a specimen of Steno bredanensis). But Cuvier later, in the seventh part of this work (published in Cuvier, 1825), restricted the name Delphinus frontatus to the mounted skin only. The skull referred to in Cuvier's "Addition Importante" note on p. 400 (shown in plate 21, figs. 7, 8) appears to be a rough-toothed dolphin skull (and in fact, Del*phinus frontatus* has sometimes been considered to be a synonym of Steno bredanensis - see West et al., 2011). With this restriction of the type material to exclude the skull mentioned above, Delphinus fron*tatus* has now been identified by Smeenk (2018) as a junior synonym of *Inia geoffrensis*.

#### Delphinus amazonicus von Spix and von Martius, 1831

Von Spix and von Martius introduced this nominal species from material collected from the lower reaches of the Rio Negro in the Amazon River basin. The type (skin and skull?) originally may have been in the Munich Zoological Collection, but appears to have been lost, most likely during World War II (see Pilleri and Arvy, 1981). It is clear that the type material is associated with the Amazon river dolphin. The name is therefore considered a junior synonym of *Inia geoffrensis*.

#### Inia boliviensis d'Orbigny, 1834

D'Orbigny described a new species of Amazon river dolphin from type material collected from Fort du Principe de Beira, along the Itenez River, Bolivia. The holotype skull is still housed in the MNHN (No. A.11478). The original account by d'Orbigny is rather detailed, with some measurements and crude illustrations of the skull and external appearance (figs. 1-3). Van Bree and Robineau (1973) also provided a very detailed description, measurements, and photos of the skull of the type specimen. Although sometimes viewed as a valid species, it has not been accepted as such by the Committee on Taxonomy (SMM, 2020). This name is a junior synonym of Inia geoffrensis, and the senior synonym of the subspecies Inia geoffrensis boliviensis (SMM, 2020).

#### Delphinus inia Rapp, 1837

This short account by Rapp provides a replacement name for *Inia bolivensis* d'Orbigny. There is no type specimen, and no figures are provided. The name is thus a junior synonym of *Inia geoffrensis*.

#### Inia araguaiaensis Hrbek et al., 2014

Hrbek and colleagues reported the discovery of a supposedly new species of river dolphin from the Araguaia basin of Brazil. The type description is rather detailed and uses both morphological and molecular markers. The focus is on the genetic information, and the morphological analyses are based on a very few data (though they do provide photos of the skull). The type material was deposited at the Mammal Collection of the National Institute for Amazonian Research, in Manaus, Brazil (No. INPA MA 44). However, the sample sizes for some of the analyses were extremely small, and there are methodological problems with some analyses. As a result, the species has not been accepted by the Committee on Taxonomy (SMM, 2020), and the name is at present considered a junior synonym of *Inia geoffrensis*.

## Genus Pontoporia Gray, 1846 - franciscanas

## *Pontoporia blainvillei* (Gervais and d'Orbigny, 1844) – franciscana

#### Delphinus blainvillei Gervais and d'Orbigny, 1844

This species of river dolphin was described by Gervais and d'Orbigny from a skull collected by M. Freminville, and deposited in the MNHN. The type specimen was collected near the mouth of Rio de la Plata, near the city of Montevideo, Uruguay. Gervais and d'Orbigny's account is rather brief, with no illustrations accompanying it. In a later publication, d'Orbigny and Gervais (1847) provided a more detailed description of the species, in addition to useful figures showing the skull and external appearance. A detailed history and discussion of the type is provided in Robineau (1989). This is the senior synonym and valid name for the franciscana. Variant spellings include *blainvillii*.

### Pontoporia tenuirostris Malm, 1871

Malm described this nominal species from a mounted, stuffed skin and skull in the Goteborg Naturhistoriska Museum, in Sweden. The type was collected from near Montevideo, Uruguay. Malm's description is very thorough, with extensive information on the skull and skeleton, associated measurements, and excellent figures showing the external appearance and various views of the skull (plate 2, fig. 10). The information and figures provided show clearly that the type is what we now know as the franciscana. This name is thus considered a junior synonym of *Pontoporia blainvillei*.

## Genus Lipotes Miller, 1918 – baijis

## Lipotes vexillifer Miller, 1918 - baiji

### Lipotes vexillifer Miller, 1918

Miller erected the new river dolphin genus *Lipotes* and described this species from an adult male specimen collected from Tung Ting Lake, about 600 miles up the Yangtze River, People's Republic of China. The specimen was collected by Charles M. Hoy in February 1916, and the skull and cervical vertebrae are preserved in the USNM (No. 21293 or 218293). Detailed information, including photographs and measurements, were presented in Miller's (1918) paper (see also van Bree, 1975), and no other nominal species have been described for the genus *Lipotes*, which regrettably became extinct some time in the first decade of the 2000s (Turvey et al., 2007).

## Unknown genus/species - incertae sedis

## Monodon spurius Fabricius, 1780

Based on a short but moderately detailed description, with no illustrations, Fabricius used this name to describe the "anarnak" of Greenland, a term now generally considered to refer to the northern bottlenose whale (*Hyperoodon ampullatus*) (see Hershkovitz, 1966). No type specimen was collected, and the name, while very likely referring to the narwhal, is now considered to be a *nomen dubium* (Hershkovitz, 1966).

### Delphinus tursio Fabricius, 1780

Fabricius introduced this name for the "nesarnack" of Greenland (see also under *Delphinus nesarnack* Lacépède, 1804), and although no type specimen was collected, it is thought to have been based on a composite description of a number of North Atlantic delphinid species (see Hershkovitz, 1966). The name may have been intended to refer to a bottlenose dolphin, perhaps following Gunnerus (1768) and it was used for that species frequently in the 1800s. But True (1903) showed that the description does not match the bottlenose dolphin. The name is now considered to be a *nomen dubium*. This name is also a junior homonym of *Delphinus tursio* Gunnerus, 1768.

#### D[elphinus] feres Bonnaterre, 1789

This name was used by Bonnaterre to describe a dolphin collected from the mouth of the Gulf of Grimaud, southeastern France (in the Mediterranean Sea). The type was a skeleton, reportedly in the museum of the seminary of Frejus, France. However, it is unknown if the type still exists, and as the description is unclear and there is no illustration, the species is considered unidentifiable, a *nomen dubium*. True (1903) suggested that it might have been a pilot whale (*Globicephala* sp.).

#### Delphinapterus senedetta Lacépède, 1804

The moderate-length description of this nominal species may have been referring to a beluga (e.g., lack of dorsal fin, 8-9 teeth in each tooth row), but some characters do not fit (e.g., long, pointed muzzle and occurrence in the Mediterranean). No type specimen was deposited, and so it is considered to be unidentifiable, a *nomen dubium*. In fact, Hershkovitz (1966) remarked that it may be mythical.

#### Anarnack groenlandicus Lacépède, 1804

This is a new name for Monodon spurius Fabricius, which is considered to be a nomen dubium (though

probably referring to the narwhal). The account is short, and does not include any figures of the animal. This name is also a *nomen dubium*.

#### D[elphinus] bonnaterrei Tiedemann, 1808

This very brief account with no illustrations provides a new name for *Delphinus feres* Bonnaterre (which may have been a pilot whale). Due to uncertainty about its true identity, it is a *nomen dubium*.

#### Delphinus siculus Rafinesque Schmaltz, 1810

The short description of this species (with no illustration) does not permit identification, though True (1903) suggested that it might have been a bottlenose dolphin. It was described from Sicily, in the Mediterranean Sea, but no type specimen was collected. The name is a *nomen dubium*.

## D[elphinus] dubius G. Cuvier, 1812

The very brief description of this species, consisting of only three lines of text (with no illustrations), is not sufficient for identification. When describing this nominal species of dolphin, a type specimen was not designated by Cuvier in his original account of 1812. Cuvier's (1823) later description of Delphinus dubius was based on several skulls in the Paris Museum (MNHN) from an unknown locality in the Atlantic Ocean, and included a table with measurements of one of the skulls. Perrin et al. (1987) stated that a skull in the Paris Museum (A-3033, labeled Delphinus froenatus) appeared to fit with the dubius syntype measurements, but Robineau (1990) found that at least one other skull in the MNHN collection (JAC 1972-184) was also very close, and therefore that it was impossible to designate a lectotype from the series. Perrin et al. (1987) found that the type series was likely a composite that applied to both species of spotted dolphins (Stenella attenuata and Stenella frontalis). As there is uncertainty about the original material on which Cuvier based this species, Robineau (1990) considered *Delphinus dubius* to be a nomen dubium, and I concur. Variant spellings include dubia.

### [Delphinus] coronatus Freminville, 1812

Freminville's rather short description and illustration were based on animals observed at sea in the North Atlantic off Spitzbergen, north of 74°N. In his outline figure of the species (plate 1, fig. 11; also reproduced in Arvy, 1972b), the long beak and dorsal fin set far back on the body suggest a possible beaked whale species, as does the stated length of about 10 m. However, the information indicating 48 conical and very sharp teeth in the upper jaw and 30 in the lower is in conflict with this, and this information does suggest a species of dolphin. All considered, the description and drawing are not identifiable to species or even genus. No type specimen was collected. The name is therefore a *nomen dubium*.

## Delphinus pernettensis Blainville in Desmarest, 1817

Giving credit to Blainville, A. G. Desmarest based this species on a drawing published by Pernety (1769) of a dolphin captured, apparently off the Cape Verde Islands at 6°43'N, 25°17'W (note that a position of 16°44'S, 35°10'W given by Hershkovitz [1966] is off Brazil and is not the type locality, according to van Bree [1971d]). Pernety's description of the dolphin school from which this specimen was collected may have been of a mixed school containing both spotted and spinner dolphins. No type specimen was retained or deposited. The sketch (plate 2, fig. 1 in the original French 1769 edition, and plate 14, fig. 34 in the English translation in Pernety [1771]; reproduced in van Bree [1974b] and in Pilleri and Arvy [1981]), while somewhat primitive, shows obvious diagnostic features of the pantropical spotted dolphin (Stenella attenuata). Although Perrin et al. (1987) suggested that the animal drawn (effectively the type specimen) was likely a pantropical spotted dolphin, without a specimen to examine, the specific identity cannot be certain. It is best considered a nomen dubium. In any event, the name has been suppressed by the ICZN and is no longer available (van Bree, 1974b,c; Melville, 1977). Variant spellings include *pernetyi*, *pernettyi*, and *per*nettyensis (note that Delphinus pernettyi Desmarest, 1822 appears to be simply an emendation of his earlier name).

## Delphinus bertini Duhamel in Desmarest, 1817

The brief description does not permit identification with any certainty (and could even refer to a pygmy or dwarf sperm whale), and no illustration was provided in the reference by Desmarest. This species was based on the dauphin de Bertin of Duhamel du Monceau (1782, plate 10, fig. 3). The illustration in that reference shows the head of a long-beaked dolphin, which could be any of several species (though Hershkovitz [1966] suggested that it might be a Risso's dolphin). The name is therefore a *nomen dubium*.

## Delphinus niger Lacépède, 1818

Lacépède apparently based this species on a Japanese drawing of a dolphin, which was mostly black with

a smooth rostrum. It cannot be identified to species. No type specimen was designated, and there are no illustrations included in the description. The name is considered a *nomen dubium*.

## Delphinus boryi Desmarest, 1822

Desmarest (1822) provided a fairly detailed description of this species, but with no illustrations. It was based on animals of an unrecognized species observed at sea between Madagascar and the Mascarene Islands, and no type specimen was obtained. The description is not diagnostic, though the mention of the lower body of a light gray with spots suggests possibly the pantropical spotted dolphin. The name represents a *nomen dubium*.

## Delphinus anarnacus Desmarest, 1822

This is another name for *Monodon spurius* Fabricius, which is considered to be a *nomen dubium*. This name is therefore also a *nomen dubium*.

## Delphinus epiodon Desmarest, 1822

This is another species based on a description that does not allow identification. No illustrations were provided. Desmarest described it as having a lower jaw shorter than the upper, and stated that it is found in the seas of Sicily in his account. No type specimen was collected, and the name is considered a *nomen dubium*.

## Delphinus rhinoceros Quoy and Gaimard, 1824

This species was based on animals observed at sea between Hawaii and Australia at 5°28'N. It was described as twice the size of a "common porpoise" (probably referring to *Tursiops truncatus*, which would make it about 5-7 m). The illustration in Quoy and Gaimard's atlas (plate 2, fig. 1) of this animal swimming at sea shows what looks like a dolphin with two dorsal fins, and a black body with large white blotches. No cetacean species has two dorsal fins. It cannot be identified (it was possibly a shark or mythical creature), and the name is a *nomen dubium*.

## Delphinus leucocephalus Lesson, 1826

This is another species Lesson presumably based on at-sea sightings, this time in the South Pacific near the Tuamotus Islands (Dangereux Archipel). The characteristics given in the short account are quite generic and not identifiable, and there is no illustration. Lesson and Garnot (1827) redescribed it, but provided little else that would help identify it. As suggested by Hershkovitz (1966), I consider this name to be a nomen dubium.

#### Delphinus malayanus Lesson, 1826

This species was based on a specimen captured between Java and Borneo, Indonesia. The original description was quite brief with no illustrations. Although no type specimen was mentioned by Lesson (1826), Lesson and Garnot (1827) described a harpooned 5'11" (1.8 m) specimen, which they illustrated to show the perceived external characters of this species. Van Bree<sup>8</sup> considered *Delphinus malayanus* to be synonymous with Sousa plumbea, due to the presence of "ridges" on the tail stock, and made an argument suggesting that Delphinus malayanus would actually have taxonomic priority over Sousa plumbea. He then further suggested that Delphinus malayanus should be suppressed by the ICZN, but this proposal seems to have not gotten anywhere. The illustration in the atlas for Lesson and Garnot's voyage shows a longbeaked dark dolphin, which could be a humpback dolphin (but does not exclude other species), although the dorsal fin does not resemble any from the genus Sousa. Schlegel (1841b) was able to obtain three specimens of what he considered this species (one from Borneo and two from Java), and his illustration (plate 1, fig. 2) of the skull of one of them (presumably at the RMNH) and description of the specimen, including tooth counts, can only be a humpback dolphin of the genus Sousa. I here disagree with van Bree<sup>8</sup>, who stated that this specimen was an example of the species Stenella attenuata. Lesson's Delphinus malayanus has in the past variously been considered to be synonymous with either Stenella dubia or Sousa plumbea (Hershkovitz, 1966). Perrin et al. (1987) earlier reviewed the controversy surrounding this name, and considered it a nomen nudum. I feel reasonably confident that Lesson's Delphinus malayanus corresponds to a humpback dolphin (and based on currently-understood distribution, this would be Sousa chinensis; see Jefferson and Rosenbaum, 2014), and that the name could be considered a synonym of that species. However, taking a conservative approach, and recognizing the residual uncertainty about its true identity, I agree with Kinze (2015), and think it better to consider the name a nomen dubium.

#### Delphinus minimus Lesson and Garnot, 1827

The identity of this nominal species cannot be ascertained. The description was based on a large school (thousands) seen at sea near the Solomon Islands, and they were said to be all less than 2 feet (0.6 m) in length. No dolphin species is known to be this small as adults, and no illustration was provided. Therefore, the name is a *nomen dubium*.

#### Delphinus maculatus Lesson and Garnot, 1827

There is a short account of this species, based on atsea sightings, this time in the South Pacific near the Tuamotus Islands, 18°S, 137°W. The characteristics given are not identifiable, and there is no illustration. No type specimen was collected. The name is a *nomen dubium*.

#### Delphinus superciliosus Lesson and Garnot, 1827

Lesson and Garnot provided a description of a dolphin harpooned at 44°S near Cape Diemen (southern Tasmania). Although their description was brief, the illustration of this species in the accompanying atlas (plate 9, fig. 2) shows what resembles a dusky dolphin. However, the species is not identifiable with any certainty and may possibly be an hourglass dolphin. The type specimen was not preserved, or was discarded or lost. In their taxonomic revision of the genus *Lagenorhynchus*, the name was regarded as a *nomen dubium* by Vollmer et al. (2019), and I follow their lead here. Variant spellings include *supercillosus*.

#### Delphinus nesarnac Lesson, 1827

This is another name for *Delphinus tursio* Fabricius, which is considered to be a *nomen dubium*. The description is short, with few details and no figures. Lesson's name is also a *nomen dubium*.

## [Delphinus] fabricii Billberg, 1827

The brief description provides a new name for *Delphinus tursio* Fabricius, which is considered to be a *nomen dubium*, and therefore this species would also be considered a *nomen dubium*. No type specimen is known, though it is suspected that a bottlenose dolphin was being described. The date of this reference is in question, as there appear to be two versions, one with 1827 and the other with an 1828 publication date (I assume the former is correct).

#### C[atodon] sibbaldi Fleming, 1828

The very short description of this species, with no figures included, is not adequate to identify this species, described from the Orkney Islands. The animals were said to be 2-24 feet in length (0.6-7.3 m). There is no mention of the deposition of a type specimen. Although it has been viewed as a synonym of *Del*-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Van Bree, P. J. H. 1986. On *Delphinus malayanus* Lesson, 1826 and on skulls of *Delphinus malayanus* Schlegel, 1841 (Cetacea, Delphinoides). Unpubl. manuscript, 5 p.

phinapterus leucas, the name should be considered a nomen dubium.

## Delphinus harlani Fischer, 1829

This is a new name for *Delphinus intermedius* Harlan (which was based on a misidentification). The brief description of this species does not allow an identification to be made, although Hershkovitz (1966) considered it a synonym of the long-finned pilot whale. No illustration was included, and the species is now considered a *nomen dubium*. The page number of the description is imprinted incorrectly – it is imprinted with 456, but it is actually page 656.

## Delphinorhynchus santonicus Lesson, 1836

Lesson described this species in 1836, from a specimen stranded at the mouth of the Charente River, France, but no holotype specimen is known to exist (van Bree and Duguy, 1972). The name has been considered to be a synonym of the rough-toothed dolphin (Hershkovitz, 1966; West et al., 2011). However, I question that identification, based on Lesson's stated tooth counts (33-38 in each tooth row) and his observation that the muzzle was separated from the rising forehead. There are thus strong doubts about its identity, and the illustration of the type (also reproduced in Lesson, 1841) shows a generic long-beaked dolphin that cannot be identified with any certainty, but is clearly not a rough-toothed dolphin (see also van Bree and Duguy, 1972). Therefore, taking a conservative view, the name is here considered a nomen dubium.

## Delphinus fulvifasciatus Wiegmann, 1840

Wiegmann published a plate showing the external appearance (plate 361, fig. 1) of what could be a species of *Delphinus* or *Stenella*. This represents the first use of this species name (it is sometimes erroneously credited to Wagner, 1847, who only made reference to Wiegmann's plate in his list of plates). Since there was no description of distinctive features (and no reference to such), it might appear to be not available, but under ICZN Article 12.2.7, the name is indeed available.

## Delphinus carbonarius Wiegmann, 1844

Wiegmann published a plate (plate 352, fig. 1) showing the external appearance of this nominal species, which represents the first use of this species name. Since there was no description or reference to distinctive features, it might appear to be unavailable; however, under ICZN Article 12.2.7, the name is indeed available. The illustration makes it clear that this is a pilot whale (*Globicephala* sp.), but it is not possible to determine of which species, thus the name is a *no-men dubium*.

## Delphinus rappii Reichenbach, 1846

The type specimen was collected from the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa. It is reportedly in the Stuttgart Museum, but recent records do not mention it (Dieterlen et al., 2013). Reichenbach's very brief description, and accompanying illustration of external appearance, do not allow this species to be identified with any certainty. The illustration (plate 18, fig. 57) shows a dark dolphin with a long beak and whitish belly, which could be any of several species of delphinids. The skull does not appear to have been recently examined, and there is much uncertainty about its affinity. Since the name has not been used since 1846, it would technically qualify as a nomen oblitum. However, this is a moot point, as Perrin et al. (1987) considered that the name should remain incertae sedis (i.e., a nomen dubium).

## Delphinus sao Gray, 1846

Supposedly a common dolphin, the type locality for Delphinus sao is Madagascar, which is potentially in the range of the Indo-Pacific common dolphin. No figures are provided with the short description. Gray stated that the type was located in the Paris Museum, and in fact there are currently two skulls in the Paris Museum (MNHN A 3037 and MNHN 1928-162) that could correspond to the type. I examined these skulls and found both of them to be examples of pantropical spotted dolphin (Stenella attenuata), and tooth counts of neither skull match those reported for the type of Delphinus sao. Delphinus sao is often listed as a junior synonym of *Delphinus delphis*. However, due to the uncertainties described above, D. Robineau (footnote 11 in Jefferson and Van Waerebeek, 2002) has suggested that *Delphinus sao* Gray, 1846 be considered a nomen dubium (ICZN, 1999), and I concur.

## D[elphinus] carbonarius Wagner, 1847

Wagner's description of this species is primarily based on the observations of Bennett (1840), who sighted these animals on a whaling voyage in the early 1800s. This animal was known as the blackfish of the South Seas, and Bennett called them "*Phocaena* sp." There is much information provided on the habits and external anatomy, but none on the skull or skeleton. Tooth counts suggest *Globicephala macrorhynchus*, but other information suggests *Globicephala melas*. Wagner references the illustration in Wiegmann (1844, plate 352, fig. 1). No type specimen was deposited in any museum. This name is a *nomen dubium*.

#### Delphinus perniger Blyth, 1848

Blyth provided a brief description with measurements for this new species from the Bay of Bengal. No illustration was provided. There is apparently a stuffed specimen in the Indian Museum, Calcutta, which has not been recently examined. Although this species may correspond to *Tursiops* sp., until the type is reexamined, there is uncertainty about the identification, and thus the name should be considered a *nomen dubium*.

### D[elphinus] oxyrhynchus Gray, 1850

This name was used by Gray as a synonym for *Steno?* rostratus (= Steno bredanensis), without description (and with no corresponding illustration), but erroneously attributing it to Jardine. The name is considered a nomen nudum and a nomen dubium.

## Delphinus obtusus Schlegel, 1862

There is supposedly an illustration of this species in plate 13 of Schlegel (1862), but that plate in fact contains only an illustration of a subspecies of the bottlenose dolphin labeled "*Delphinus tursio obtusus.*" Although according to the ICZN (see Articles 10.2 and 45.6) such subspecific names published before 1961 can be available, this review generally does not deal with subspecies. Thus, this taxon is not considered further.

## Lagenorhynchus? nilssonii Gray, 1864

Gray introduced this name for a dolphin species described by Nilsson as *Delphinus obscurus*, but occurring in the Swedish North Sea of Scandinavia. No type specimen was designated by Gray, but Nilsson (1847) based his description on a specimen (skull?) in the Museum of Lund. Lilljeborg (1866) rightly doubted the presence of *Delphinus obscurus* (a Southern Hemisphere endemic species) in Scandinavia. This name has at times been considered a junior synonym of *Stenella frontalis*, *Lagenorhynchus obscurus*, or *Lagenorhynchus cruciger* (see Hershkovitz, 1966), but the true identity is in question. Therefore, it is best to view this name as a *nomen dubium*.

## Globicephalus chinensis Gray, 1866

Gray (1866a) made the brief description from observations at sea, based on the "Chinese Globicephala" of Blyth (1859). A type specimen obtained in the "China sea, near Luechen" was described as being black with a lighter belly, and having a very rigid dorsal fin. The description suggests that it was possibly a Risso's dolphin or pilot whale. It is believed that no type specimen is preserved, and the description is not adequate to confidently identify it to species or even genus. The name is a *nomen dubium*.

### Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868

According to Hershkovitz (1966), this species was based on a misidentification, and therefore is not a new species name (Heyning and Perrin, 1994). No type specimen was collected, and there is essentially no description and no illustration of any identifying characters, so if it does represent a species of animal, it would be considered a *nomen dubium*. The name is also a junior homonym of *Delphinus marginatus* Duvernoy in Desmarest, 1856, and therefore cannot be valid.

#### Delphinus caerulescens Giglioli, 1874

Giglioli's description is rather detailed, but there is no illustration provided. The type description is based on specimens observed at sea between Kyushu and Tanega Islands, Japan. The information contained is not sufficient to identify it to species with any certainty. It is unknown if a type specimen exists, but it does not seem likely. This species may correspond to *Tursiops aduncus*, but the name should be considered a *nomen dubium*.

#### Phocaena obtusata Philippi, 1893

Philippi provided a moderately detailed description with measurements, and an illustration of the external appearance of this species. The illustration shows a blunt-headed small cetacean, with a dark back and white on the undersides, extending in lobes onto the flanks. It is not identifiable to species, although it most closely resembles a spectacled porpoise (*Phocoena dioptrica*). The type (skin only) may still exist at the NHM, Santiago, though this has not been recently confirmed. There have been suggestions that it is actually a specimen of *Cephalorhynchus eutropia* (Goodall et al., 1988b), but considering the lingering uncertainty, the name should be considered a *nomen dubium*.

#### Delphinus chilensis Philippi, 1895

Philippi's brief description with no illustration does not allow an identification to be made. It is likely a species of either *Stenella* or *Delphinus* (Hershkovitz, 1966). The type specimen is a fetus in alcohol, from an unknown locality, and it is uncertain if it still exists. The name is considered a *nomen dubium*.

## Lagenorhynchus fitzroyi Lahille, 1899

Lahille used this name from Waterhouse (1838a,b), and mistakenly thought his species was a dusky dolphin (now known as *Lagenorhynchus obscurus*). No type specimen was collected for this nominal species. The name has usually been viewed as a junior synonym of *Lagenorhynchus cruciger*, but considering the confusion about its identity, this name is probably best considered a *nomen dubium*.

## Conclusions

Since the initiation of our binomial system of zoological nomenclature in 1758, 358 apparent scientific names have been proposed for species of dolphins, porpoises, and monodontid small cetaceans (however, for various reasons, five of them are not really species names). All 358 names are reviewed in this paper, and currently there are 50 species of dolphins, porpoises, and mondontid whales recognized as valid species. Of the remaining 308 names, most (231) are junior synonyms; however, there are 7 junior homonyms, 40 *nomina dubia*, 11 *nomina nuda*, 13 *nomina oblita*, and one simple emendation (see Appendix G for a summary).

Most of the 50 valid species are recognized under their senior synonyms, however there are four instances in which the senior synonym is a junior homonym of another species, thus precluding use of that name. In another six cases, preservation of stability dictates that the senior synonym should not be used as the valid name. These consist of cases in which a *nomen conservandum* has been recognized by the ICZN as the valid name, or a *nomen protectum* has been favored over the relevant senior synonym (which then becomes a *nomen oblitum*). By recognition of these arrangements, stability is maintained in small cetacean taxonomy, a high priority of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature.

## Acknowledgments

Thanks to the museum curators who accomodated me and assisted with finding specimens and their associated data, especially R. Sabin of the NHMUK and J. G. Mead and M. McGowen of the USNM. Discussions with several colleagues were influential in forming many of the ideas presented herein, in particular R. L. Brownell, C. Kinze, J. G. Mead, W. F. Perrin, and J. Y. Wang. B. Würsig assisted with translating several passages from German. I thank the editors, R. L. Brownell, M. McGowen, and an anonymous reviewer for their suggestions on improving the manuscript.

## Literature cited

Abel, O. V.

1905. Eine stammtype der delphiniden aus dem Miocän der halbinsel Taman. Jahrb. K. K. Geol. Reichsanstalt 55:375-392.

Allen, J. A.

- 1869. Catalogue of the mammals of Massachusetts: with a critical revision of the species. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Harvard Univ. 1:143–252.
- Amaral, A. R., B. D. Smith, R. M. Mansur, R. L. Brownell Jr., and H. C. Rosenbaum.
  - 2017. Oceanographic drivers of population differentiation in Indo-Pacific bottlenose (*Tursiops aduncus*) and humpback (*Sousa* spp.) dolphins of the northern Bay of Bengal. Conserv. Genet. 18:371–381. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10592-016-0913-7

Anderson, J.

1746. Nachrichten von Island Groenland und der strasse Davis, 328 p. Verlegis Georg Christian Grund, Hamburg, Germany.

Anderson, J.

1879. Anatomical and zoological researches: comprising an account of the zoological results of the two expeditions to western Yunnan in 1868 and 1875; and a monograph of the two cetacean genera, *Platanista* and *Orcella*, 2 vols. Bernard Quartich, London. [Apparently published in 1879, not 1878.]

- 1911a. Description of an apparently new porpoise of the genus *Tursiops*, with remarks upon a skull of *Tursiops* gillii Dall. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 30:233–237.
- 1911b. A new porpoise from Japan. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 30:31-51.
- Arnold, P. W., and G. E. Heinsohn.

1996. Phylogenetic status of the Irrawaddy dolphin Orcaella brevirostris (Owen in Gray): a cladistic analysis. Mem. Qld. Mus. 39:141–204.

Artedi, P.

1738. Ichthyologia: sive, opera omnia de piscibus, scilicet: bibliotheca ichthyologica. Philosophia ichthyologica. Genera piscium. Synonymia specierum. Descriptiones specierum: omia in hoc genere perfectoria, quam, antea, ulla, 104 p. Apud Conradum Wishoff, Lugduni Batavorum.

- 1972a. Jean-Jacques Dussumier, master mariner and cetologist (1792-1883). Invest. Cetacea 4:263–269.
- 1972b. The cetological gleanings of Christophe Paulin de la Poix, Compte de Freminville, naval commander (1787-1848). Invest. Cetacea 4:270–274.

1977. Cetology and naturalists in France at the beginning of the nineteenth century. Invest. Cetacea 8:323–339.

Barabash, I. I.

1937. Taxonomic observations on white whales. J. Mammal. 18:507-509. https://doi.org/10.2307/1374345

Andrews, R. C.

Arvy, L.
Barabash, I. I., and S. K. Klumov.

- 1935. The Pacific form of the beluga. [In Russian.] Sea Fish. Econ. U.S.S.R. 11:24.
- Beasley, I., K. M. Robertson, and P. Arnold.
- 2005. Description of a new dolphin, the Australian snubfin dolphin Orcaella heinsohni sp. n. (Cetacea, Delphinidae). Mar. Mamm. Sci. 21:365–400. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2005.tb01239.x
- Bekker, J. P., M. E. J. Bosselaers, and G. R. Heerebout. 2016. Supposedly lost syntype of the rough-toothed dolphin (*Steno bredanensis* (Lesson, 1828)) traced back at the Ghent University Museum. Lutra 59:65–73.
- Bennett, F. D.
- 1840. Narrative of a whaling voyage round the globe, from the year 1833 to 1836, 2 vols. Richard Bentley, London. Berzin, A. A., and V. L. Vladimirov.
- 1982. Novyi vid kosatok iz Antarktiki [A new species of killer whale from the Antarctic] (S. Pearson, trans.). Priroda 6:31.
- Best, P. B.
  - 1988. The external appearance of Heaviside's dolphin, *Cephalorhynchus heavisidii* (Gray, 1828). Rep. Int. Whal. Comm. (special issue) 9:279–299.

Billberg, G. J.

- 1827. Synopsis faunae Scandinaviae, tom. 1, pars 1, Mammalia, 55 p. Ex Officina Typogr. Caroli Deleen, Holmiae, Sweden.
- Blanford, W. T.
  - 1891. The fauna of British India including Ceylon and Burma: Mammalia, 617 p. Taylor and Francis, London.
- Blumenbach, J. F.
  - 1779. Handbuch der naturgeschichte, vol. 1, 448 p. Johann Christian Dieterich, Göttingen, Germany.
- Blyth, E.
  - 1848. Report of Curator, Zoological Department. J. Asiatic Soc. Bengal 17:247–255.
  - 1852. Report of Curator, Zoological Department. J. Asiatic Soc. Bengal 21:341–358.
  - 1859. On the great rorqual of the Indian Ocean, with notices of other cetals, and of the syrenia or marine pachyderms. J. Asiatic Soc. Bengal 28:481–498.

Bonnaterre, P. J.

1789. Tableau encyclopédique et méthodique des trois règnes de la nature. Cétologie, 28 p., 12 plates. Pandkoucke, Paris.

- 1781. Gemeinnüzzige naturgestchichte des thierreichs, vol. 1, Text, 332 p., Plates, 38 plates. Gottlieb August Lange, Berlin and Stralsund, Germany. [The plates volume was published in 1780, but the text volume was published in 1781.]
- Braulik, G. T., F. I. Archer, U. Khan, M. Imran, R. K. Sinha, T. A. Jefferson, and J. A. Graves.
  - 2021. Revision of the South Asian River dolphin (genus *Platanista*): Ganges and Indus River dolphins are separate species. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 2021:1–38. https://doi.org/10.1111/mms.12801

Brightwell, T.

1846. Observations on a specimen of the bottle-nosed dolphin, *Delphinus tursio*, Fabr., taken at Great Yarmouth, October 1845. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 17:21–22. Brisson, M.-J.

- 1756. Le regne animal divisé en IX classes, ou, méthode contenant la division generale des animaux en IX classes: & la division particuliere des deux premieres classes, sçavoir de celle des quadrupedes & de celle des cetacées, en ordres, sections, genres & espéces: aux quelles on a joint une courte description de chaque espéce, avec les citations des auteurs qui en ont traité, les noms qu'ils les différentes nations, & les noms vulgaires, 384 p. Cl. Jean-Baptiste Bauche, Paris.
- 1762. Regnum animale in classes IX. Distributum, sive, synopsis methodica: sistens generalem animalium distributionem in classes IX, & duarum primarum classium, quadrupedum scilicet & cetaceorum, particularem divisionem in ordines, sectiones, genera & species: cum brevi cujusque speciei descriptione, citationibus auctorum de iis tractantium, nominibus eis ab ipsis & nationibus impositis, nominibusque vulgaribus, 296 p. Apud Theodorum Haak, Lugduni Batavorum.

Brookes, J.

- 1828. A catalogue of the anatomical and zoological museum of Joshua Brookes, Esq., F.R.S. F.L.S. &c, 40 p. Richard Taylor, London.
- Brownell, R. L., Jr.

1975. Taxonomic status of the dolphin *Stenopontistes zambezicus* Miranda-Ribeiro, 1936. Z. Saug. 40:173–176.

Brownell, R. L., Jr., and R. Praderi.

1985. Distribution of Commerson's dolphin, *Cephalorhynchus commersonii*, and the rediscovery of the type of *Lagenorhynchus floweri*. Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst. 36:153-164.

Brownell, R. L., Jr., and J. G. Mead.

- 1989. Taxonomic status of the delphinid (Mammalia: Cetacea) *Tursio? panope* Philippi, 1895. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 102:532–534.
- Brownell, R. L., Jr., L. T. Findley, O. Vidal, A. Robles, and N. S. Manzanilla.
  - 1987. External morphology and pigmentation of the vaquita, *Phocoena sinus* (Cetacea: Mammalia). Mar. Mamm. Sci. 3:22–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692. 1987.tb00149.x
- Burmeister, H.
  - 1865a. Description of a new species of porpoise in the Museum of Buenos Ayres. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 33:228-231. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1865. tb02325.x
  - 1865b. Description of a new species of porpoise in the Museum of Buenos Ayres. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 16:132–134.
  - 1866. On some cetaceans. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (Ser. 3) 18:99–103.
  - 1868. On *Globicephalus grayi*, nov. spec. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 1:52–54.
  - 1869. Descripción de cuatro especies de delfinides de la costa Argentina del Océano Atlántico. An. Mus. Nac. Hist. Nat. Buenos Aires 1:367–442.
  - 1872. On my so-called *Globicephalus grayi*. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.10:51-54.

Caballero, S., F. Trujillo, J. A. Vianna, H. Barrios-Garrido, M.

G. Montiel, S. Beltrán-Pedreros, M. Marmontel, M. C. Santos, M. Rossi-Santos, F. R. Santos, et al.

2007. Taxonomic status of the genus *Sotalia*: species level ranking for "tucuxi" (*Sotalia fluviat*lis) and "costero"

Borowski, G. H.

(Sotalia guianensis) dolphins. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 23:358–386. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2007.00110.x

Cabrera, A.

1957. Catalogo de los mamiferos de America del Sur. I: Metatheria-Unuiculata-Carnivora. Rev. Mus. Argent. Cienc. Nat. Bernardino Rivadavia Inst. Nac. Invest. Cienc. Nat., Cienc. Zool. 4(1-2):301-307, 309-311, 603-625.

2014. On the taxonomic identity of the holotype of *Tursio? Panope* Philippi, 1895. Bol. Mus. Nac. Hist. Nat., Chile 63:51–59.

- 1858. United States Exploring Expedition. During the years 1838, 1839, 1840, 1841, 1842. Under the command of Charles Wilkes, U.S.N. Mammalogy and ornithology. With a folio atlas, vol. 8, bk. 1, Text, 466 p., bk. 2, Atlas, 53 plates. Lippincott and Co., Philadelphia, PA.
- Charlton-Robb, K., L. Gershwin, R. Thompson, J. Austin, K. Owen, and S. McKechnie.
  - 2011. A new dolphin species, the Burrunan dolphin *Tursiops australis* sp. nov., endemic to southern Australian coastal waters. PLoS ONE 6(9):e24047. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024047

Cope, E. D.

- 1865a. Second contribution to a history of the Delphinidae. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 17:278–281.
- 1865b. A contribution to a knowledge of the Delphinidae. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 17:198–204.
- 1866. Third contribution to the history of the Balaenidae and Delphinidae. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 18:293-300.
- 1876. Fourth contribution to the history of the existing Cetacea. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 28:129–139.
- Costa, A. P. B., P. E. Rosel, F. G. Daura-Jorge, and P. C. Simões-Lopes.
  - 2016. Offshore and coastal common bottlenose dolphins of the western South Atlantic face-to-face: what the skull and the spine can tell us. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 32:1433– 1457. https://doi.org/10.1111/mms.12342
- Costa, A. P. B., P. F. Fruet, E. R. Secchi, F. G. Daura-Jorge, P. C. Simões-Lopes, J. C. Di Tullio, and P. E. Rosel.
  - 2021. Ecological divergence and speciation in common bottlenose dolphins in the western South Atlantic. J. Evol. Biol. 34:16–32. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13575
- Cunha, H. A., R. L. de Castro, E. R. Secchi, E. A. Crespo,
- J. Lailson-Brito, A. F. Azevedo, C. Lazoski, and A. M. Solé-Cava.
  - 2015. Molecular and morphological differentiation of common dolphins (*Delphinus* sp.) in the Southwestern Atlantic: testing the two species hypothesis in sympatry. PLoS ONE 10(11):e0140251. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0140251

Cuvier, F.

1836. De l'histoire naturelle des cétacés, ou recueil et examen des faits dont se compose l'histoire naturelle de ces animaux, 416 p., 22 plates. Libraire Encyclopedique de Roret, Paris.

Cuvier, G.

1812. Rapport fait à la classe des sciences mathématiques et physiques, sur divers cétacés pris sur les côtes de France,

principalement sur ceux qui sont échoués près de paimpol, le 7 Janvier 1812. Ann. Mus. Hist. Nat. 19:1-16.

- 1816. Le règne animal distribué d'après son organsation, pour servir de base à l'histoire naturelle des animaux et d'introduction à l'anatomie comparée. Les reptiles, les poissons, les mollusques et les annélides, vol. 2, 532 p. Deterville, Paris.
- 1823. Recherches sur les ossemans fossiles, où l'on rétablit les caractères de plusieurs animaux dont les révolutions du globe ont détruit les espèces, nouvelle édition, vol. 5, part 1, 405 p., 27 plates. G. Dufour et E. d'Ocague, Paris.
- 1825. Recherches sur les ossemens fossiles, où l'on rétablit les caractères de plusieurs animaux dont les révolutions du globe ont détruit les espèces, nouvelle édition, vol. 7. G. Dufour et E. d'Ocague, Paris.
- 1829. Le règne animal distribué d'après son organsation, pour servir de base à l'histoire naturelle des animaux et d'introduction à l'anatomie comparée, nouvelle édition, vol. 1, 584 p. Deterville, Paris.

d'Orbigny, A.

1834. Notice sur un nouveau genre de cetacé des riviéres du centre de l'Amérique méridionale. Nouv. Ann. Mus. d'Hist. Nat. 3:28–36.

d'Orbigny, A., and P. Gervais.

1847. Voyage dans l'Amérique méridionale: (le Brésil, la république orientale de l'Uruguay, la République Argentine, la Patagonie, la République du Chili, la République de Bolivia, la République du Pérou), exécuté pendant les années 1826, 1827, 1828, 1829, 1830, 1831, 1832, et 1833, vol. 4, part 2: mammifères, 32 p. P. Bertrand, Paris.

Dall, W. H.

- 1873. Descriptions of three new species of Cetacea, from the coast of California. Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci. 5:12–14.
- 1874. Catalogue of the Cetacea of the North Pacific Ocean, with osteological notes, and descriptions of some new forms. *In* The marine mammals of the North-western coast of North America together with an account of the American whale-fishery (C. M. Scammon, ed.), p. 281– 308. Manessier Publishing Co., San Francisco, CA.

Darwin, C.

1860. Journal of researches during the voyage of H.M.S. "Beagle", 2nd ed., 512 p. Collins' Clear-Type Press, London.

Desmarest, A. G.

- 1817. Dauphin. In Nouveau dictionnaire d'histoire naturelle, appliquée aux arts, à l'agriculture, à l'économie rurale et domestique, à la médicine, etc. par une société de naturalistes et d'agriculteurs, nouvelle édition, vol. 9, p. 146–180. Deterville, Paris.
- 1822. Part 2: contenant les ordres des rongeurs, des édentés, des pachydermes, des ruminans et des cétacés. In Mammalogie ou description des espèces de mammifères, p. 277-555. Mme. Veuve Agasse, Paris.

Desmarest, E.

1856. Deuxième sous-ordre. Cétacés proprement dits. Cetae. Linné. *In* Encyclopédie d'histoire naturelle ou traité complet de cette science: pachydermes, ruminants, édentés, cétacés, marsupiaux et monotrèmes (J. C. Chenu, ed.), p. 276–310. Marescq et Compagnie and G. Havard, Paris.

Canto, H. J.

Cassin, J.

- 1874. Cétacés. *In* Encyclopédie d'histoire naturelle ou traité complet de cette science: pachydermes, ruminants, édentés, cétacés, marsupiaux et monotrèmes (J. C. Chenu, ed.), p. 255–310. Firmin Didot et Cie, Paris.
- Dieterlen, F., H. Turni, and K. Marquart.
  - 2013. Type specimens of mammals in the collection of the Museum of Natural History Stuttgart. Stuttg. Beitr. Nat. kd. A, n.s. 6:291–303.
- Duhamel du Monceau, M.
  - 1782. Traité général de peches, et histoire des poissons qu'elles fournissent. Suite de la seconde partie, tom. 4, 73 p. Veuve Desaint, Paris.

- 1846. Undersögelser over hvaldyrne. Femte afhandling. Finhvalernes Osteologie og Artsadskillelse. Kongelige Danske Vid. Selsk. Naturvid.og Mathem. Afh. 12:225–396.
- 1851. Om Gangesdelphinen. Kongelige Danske Vidensk. Selsk. Skr. 5 Raekke, Naturv. og Math. Afd. 2:345–387.
- 1852. On the Gangetic dolphin. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 9:161-188, 279-293.
- 1863. Om spaekhuggeren (*Delphinus orca* L.). Oversigt over det Kongelige Danske Vid. Selsk. Forhandlinger 1862:65–91, 234–264. [Although usually cited as published in 1862, Eschricht's monograph on the killer whale/orca (with Reinhardt's note introducing the relevant scientific name) was actually published in 1863.]
- 1866. On the species on the genus *Orca* inhabiting the northern seas. *In* Recent memoirs on the Cetacea (W. H. Flower, ed.), p. 151–188. R. Hardwicke, London.
- Escorza-Treviño, S., A. E. Dizon, and L. A. Pastene.
- 2004. Molecular analyses of the *truei* and *dalli* morphotypes of Dall's porpoise (*Phocoenoides dalli*). J. Mammal. 85:347-355. https://doi.org/10.1644/1545-1542(2004)085<0347:MAOTTA>2.0.CO;2

Fabricius, O.

1780. Fauna Groenlandica: Systematice Sistens Animalia Groenlandiae Occidentalis Hactenus Indagata, quad Nomen Specificum, Triviale, Vernaculumque: Synonyma Auctorum Plurium, Descriptionem, Locum, Victum, Generationem, Mores, Usum, Capturamque Singuli, Prout Detegendi Occasio Fuit: Maximaque Parte Secundum Proprias Observationes, p. 29–52. Ioannis Gottlob Rothe, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Fischer, J. B.

1829. Synopsis Mammalium, 752 p. Suntibus J. G. Cottae, Stuttgardtiae, Germany.

Fischer, P.

- 1876. Sur une espèce de cétacé (Orca antarctica) observée durant le voyage de l'Astrolabe et de la Zélée dans les parages des Îles Powell et des Nouvelles-Shetland méridionales. J. Zool. 5:146–151.
- 1881. Cétacés du sud-ouest de la France. Actes Soc. Linn. Bordx. 35:1–220.

Fisher, R. D., and C. A. Ludwig.

2016. Catalog of type specimens of recent mammals: Order Carnivorna, Perrisodactyla, Artiodactyla, and Cetacea in the National Museum of Natural History. Smithson. Contrib. Zool. 646, 112 p.

Fitzinger, L. J.

1860. Wissenschaftlich-populäre naturgeschichte der säugethiere in ihren sämmtlichen hauptformen: nebst einer einleitung in die naturgeschichte uberhaupt und in die Lehre von den Thieren insbesondere, vol. 6, 364 p. Aus der Kaiserlich-königlichen hof- und staatsdrucherei, Wien (Vienna), Austria.

- Fleming, J.
  - 1828. A history of British animals: exhibiting the descriptive characters and systematical arrangement of the genera and species of quadrupeds, birds, reptiles, fishes, mollusca, and radiata of the United Kingdom; including the indigenous, extirpated, and extinct kinds, together with periodical and occasional visitants, 565 p. Bell & Bradfute, Edinburgh, Scotland.

Flower, W. H.

- 1864. On a new species of *Grampus* (*Orca meridionalis*) from Tasmania. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 32:420–426. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1864.tb00409.x
- 1865. Note on *Pseudorca meridionalis*. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 33:470–471. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1865. tb02374.x
- 1870. Description of the skeleton of the Chinese white dolphin (*Delphinus sinensis*, Osbeck). Trans. Zool. Soc. Lond. 7:151–160.
- 1884. On the characters and divisions of the family Delphinidae. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1883:466–513. [Although the date imprinted on the header of the paper is 20 November 1883, a handwritten note by the author (Flower) on a reprint sent to Agassiz indicates that it was actually published on 1 April 1884.]

Forster, J. R.

1844. Descriptiones animalium quae in itinere ad maris australis terras per annos 1772, 1773 et 1774 suscepto collegit, 424 p. Ex Officina academica, Berolini.

Fraser, F. C.

- 1950. Description of a dolphin *Stenella frontalis* (Cuvier) from the coast of French Equatorial Africa. *In* Atlantide report 1. Scientific results of the Danish expedition to the coasts of tropical West Africa 1945-1946, p. 61–84. Danish Science Press, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- 1956. A new Sarawak dolphin. Sarawak Mus. J., 7(8, n.s.):478-503.
- 1966. Comments on the Delphinoidea. *In* Whales, dolphins, and porpoises (K. S. Norris, ed.), p. 7–31. Univ. Calif. Press, Berkeley, CA.

Fraser, F. C., and B. A. Noble.

1968. Skull of *Lagenorhynchus cruciger* from Livingston Island, South Shetland Islands. Br. Antarct. Surv. Bull. 15:29-38.

Freminville, M.

1812. Notice sur espèce de dauphin observée dans la mer glaciale. Nouv. Bull. Sci., Soc. Philom., Paris 3(56):71.

Galatius, A., and C. C. Kinze.

2016. Lagenorhynchus albirostris (Cetacea: Delphinidae). Mammal. Species 48:35-47. https://doi.org/10.1093/ mspecies/sew003

Gallardo, A.

1917. Sobre el tipo de la *Phocaena spinipinnis* Burmeister. Physis, Buenos Aires 3:83–84.

Gaskin, D. E.

1972. Whales, dolphins, and seals, with special reference to the New Zealand region, 200 p. Heineman Educational Books, London.

Eschricht, D. F.

Gervais, P.

- 1853. Remarques sur les mammifères marins qui frequentent les côtes de la France et plus particulièrement sur une nouvelle espèce de dauphins propre à la Méditerranée. Bull. Soc. Cent. Agric. Comices Agric. Dép. l'Hérault, Montpelier 40:140–156.
- 1855. Description des trois espèces de dauphins qui vivent dans la region du haut Amazone. *In* F. de Castelnau, Expédition dans les parties centrales de l'Amérique du Sud, de Rio de Janeiro a Lima, et de Lima au Para, 7, Zoologie, p. 89–94. P. Bertrand, Paris.

Gervais, P., and A. d'Orbigny.

- 1844. Mammalogie. Bull. Soc. Philom., Paris 1844:38-40. Gesner, C.
  - 1558. Historiæ animalivm liber IV. Qui est de piscium & aquatilium animantium natura. Cum iconibus singulorum ad viuum expressis ferè omnibus 712, 1052 p. In Bibliopolio Andrae Cambieri, Francofurti.

Giglioli, E. H.

- 1870. Mammiferi. In Note Intorno Alla Distribuzione della Fauna Vertebrata Nell'Oceano Prese Durante un Viaggio Intorno al Globo 1865-68, p. 71–82. G. Civelli, Firenze.
- 1874. I Cetacei Osservati Durante IL Viaggio Intorno Al Globo Della R. Pirocorvetta Magenta 1865-68, 105 p. Stamperia della R. Univ., Napoli, Italy.
- 1882. Note intorno un nuovo Cetaceo nel Mediteraneo da riferersi probabilemente al genere *Pseudorca*. Zool. Anz. 5:288–290.
- Gill, T.
  - 1865. On two species of Delphinidae, from California, in the Smithsonian Institution. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 17:177–178.
- Gloger, C. W. L.
  - 1841. Gemeinnütziges hand- und hilfsbuch der naturgeschichte. Für gebildete leser aller stände, besonders für die reifere Jugend und ihre lehrer, vol. 1, 495 p. Berlag von Aug, Schulz and Comp., Breslau.

#### Goodall, R. N. P.

- 1986. On the identity of *Tursio chiloensis* Philippi 1900. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 2:230–232. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.1986.tb00044.x
- Goodall, R. N. P., K. S. Norris, A. R. Galeazzi, J. A. Oporto, and I. S. Cameron.
  - 1988a. On the Chilean dolphin, *Cephalorhynchus eutropia* (Gray, 1846). Rep. Int. Whal. Comm. (special issue) 9:197-257.
- Goodall, R. N. P., A. P. Sobral, M. Labal de Vinuesa, and I. S. Cameron.
  - 1988b. On *Phocoena obtusata*, with a comparison of certain diagnostic characters in South American phocoenids and the genus *Cephalorhynchus*. Rep. Int. Whal. Comm. (special issue) 9:259–276.
- Goodall, R. N. P., K. S. Norris, W. E. Schevill, F. Fraga, R. Praderi, M. A. Iñiguez, Jr., and J. C. de Haro.
  - 1997. Review and update on the biology of Peale's dolphin, *Lagenorhynchus australis*. Rep. Int. Whal. Comm. 47:777-796.

1953. Catalogue of the type specimens of recent mammals in the American Museum of Natural History. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 102:207–411. Gray, J. E.

- 1821. On the natural arrangement of vertebrose animals. Lond. Med. Repos. 15:296-310.
- 1827. Description of the skulls of two apparently undescribed species of dolphins, which are in the British Museum. Philos. Mag. 2:375-376. https://doi. org/10.1080/14786442708674500
- 1828. Spicilegia zoologica; or original figures and short systematic descriptions of new and unfigured animals, 5 p. Treuttel, Wurtz and Co., London.
- 1843a. List of the specimens of Mammalia in the collection of the British Museum, 216 p. Order of the Trustees, London.
- 1843b. List of Mammalia hitherto recorded as found in New Zealand. *In* Travels in New Zealand with contributions to the geography, geology, botany, and natural history of that country, vol. 2, (E. Dieffenbach, ed.), p. 181–185. J. Murray, London.
- 1846. On the cetaceous animals. *In* The zoology of the voyage of H.M.S. *Erebus* and *Terror*, under the command of Captain Sir James Clark Ross, R.N., FR.S., during the years 1839 to 1843. Volume 1: Mammalia, birds (J. Richardson and J. E. Gray, eds.), p. 13–53. E. W. Janson, London.
- 1847. List of the osteological specimens in the collection of the British Museum, 147 p. Order of the Trustees, London.
- 1849. Descriptions of three new species of Delphinidae. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 17:1-3.
- 1850. Catalogue of the specimens of Mammalia in the collection of the British Museum. Part 1, Cetacea, 153 p. Order of the Trustees, London.
- 1856. Description of a new species of dolphin (*Steno*) from the upper parts of the River Amazon. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 18:157-159. https://doi. org/10.1080/00222935608697602
- 1861. Notice of a new species of pilot-whale (*Globicephalus*), from the coast of Dorsetshire. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1861:309–313.
- 1862. Notice of a new species of dolphin (*Delphinus catalania*), discovered in North Australia by Mr. John Macgillivray. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1862:143–145.
- 1864. On the Cetacea which have been observed in the seas surrounding the British Islands. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1864:195-248.
- 1865a. Notices of a new genus of delphinoid whales from the Cape of Good Hope, and of other cetaceans from the same seas. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1865:522–529.
- 1865b. Notice of a new species of porpoise (*Phocaena tuberculifera*) inhabiting the Mouth of the Thames. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1865:318–321.
- 1866a. Catalogue of seals and whales in the British Museum, 2nd ed., 402 p. Order of the Trustees, London.
- 1866b. Notes on the skulls of dolphins, or bottlenose whales, in the British Museum. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1866:211-216.
- 1866c. Description of three species of dolphins in the Free Museum at Liverpool. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1865:735-739.
- 1868a. Synopsis of the species of whales and dolphins in the collection of the British Museum, 10 p., 37 plates. Bernard Quartitch, London.

Goodwin, G. G.

- 1868b. Notice of *Clymene similis*, a new dolphin sent from the Cape by Mr. Layard. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1868:146–149.
- 1870. Notes on the skulls of the genus *Orca* in the British Museum, and notice of a specimen of the genus from the Seychelles. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1870:70–77.
- 1871. Supplement to the catalogue of seals and whales in the British Museum, 103 p. Order of the Trustees, London.
- 1874. Description of the skull of a new species of dolphin (*Feresa attenuata*). Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (Ser. 4) 14:238– 239. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222937408680959
- 1875. Feresa attenuata. J. Mus. Godeffroy 8:184.

Grill, J. W.

1858. Zoologiska Anteckningar under en resa i södra delarne af Caplandet åren 1853–1855; af J. F. Victorin. Ur den aflidnes papper samlade och ordade af J. W. Grill. Kongliga Svenska Vetenskaps-Akademiens Handlingar 2(10):1–62.

Gunnerus, J. E.

1768. Om stour-vagnen eller de Gamles Orca. Det Kongelige Norske Videnskabers Selskabs Skrifter 4:99–112.

Hamilton, R.

1837. The naturalist's library: Mammalia, whales, etc, vol. 26, 264 p. W. H. Lizars and H. G. Bohn, London. [Al-though an 1852 reprint/facsimile edition of this book is often cited, the book was originally published in 1837.]

Harlan, R.

1827. Description of a new species of *Grampus*, (*Delphinus*, Cuv.) inhabiting the coast of New England. J. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 6:51–53. [Although the imprint date on the journal title page is 1829, the date 1827 imprinted on the paper is considered the correct publication date for this article.]

Hector, J.

- 1872. On the New-Zealand bottlenose (*Lagenorhynchus clanculus*, Gray). Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 9:436–438. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222937208696613
- 1873. On the whales and dolphins of the New Zealand seas. Trans. N. Z. Inst. 5:154–170.

Heintzelman, D. S.

1981. A world guide to whales, dolphins, and porpoises, 156 p. Winchester Press, Tulsa, OK.

Hemprich, C. G., and W. F. Ehrenberg.

1832. Symbolae Physicae. Mammalia, 2. Herpestes *leucurus* H. et E., 6 p., unpaginated. Berolini Ex Officina Academica, Berlin, Germany. [This work was published between the years of 1828 and 1845. Description of *Tursiops aduncus* in footnote by Ehrenberg on last page of unpaginated fascicle headed *Herpestes leucurus* H. et E. Two versions of this work were published in 1832, one with and one without the footnote.]

Hershkovitz, P.

- 1961. On the nomenclature of certain whales. Fieldiana Zool. 39:547-565.
- 1963. Notes on the South American dolphins of the genera, *Inia*, *Sotalia*, and *Tursiops*. J. Mammal. 44:98–103. https://doi.org/10.2307/1377172
- 1966. Catalog of living whales. Bull. U.S. Natl. Mus. 246, 259 p.

Heyning, J. E., and W. F. Perrin.

1994. Evidence for two species of common dolphins (genus *Delphinus*) from the eastern North Pacific. Nat. Hist. Mus. Los Ang. Cty., Contrib. Sci. 442, 35 p.

Hohl, L. S. L., F. L. Sicuro, J. C. Wickert, I. B. Moreno, O. Rocha-Barbosa, and A. S. Barreto.

- 2020. Skull morphology of bottlenose dolphins from different ocean populations with emphasis on South America. J. Morphol. 281:564–577. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jmor.21121
- Hrbek, T., V. M. F. da Silva, N. Dutra, W. Gravena, A. R. Martin, and I. P. Farias.
  - 2014. A new species of river dolphin from Brazil or: how little do we know of our biodiversity. PLoS ONE 9(1):e83623. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0083623

Huang, W., and B. Fu.

1984. A new species of genus *Sousa. In* Collected abstracts of the fiftieth anniversary of the founding of China Zoological Society and eleventh representative conference (Anonymous, ed.), p. 446. China Zool. Soc., Shanghai, China.

Hunter, J.

- 1787. Observations on the structure and oeconomy of whales. By John Hunter, Esq. F. R. S. communicated by Sir Joseph Banks, Bart. F. R. S. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. 77:371-450. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rstl.1787.0038
- ICZN (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature). 1991. Opinion 1659. Steno attenuatus (Gray), 1846 (currently Stenella attenuata; Mammalia, Cetacea): Specific name conserved. Bull. Zool. Nomencl. 48:277–278.

1999. The International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, 4th ed., 306 p. Int. Trust Zool. Nomencl., Nat. Hist. Mus., London.

Iredale, T., and E. L. G. Troughton.

- 1933. The correct generic names for the grampus or killer whale, and the so called grampus or Risso's dolphin. Rec. Aust. Mus. 19:28–36. https://doi.org/10.3853 /j.0067-1975.19.1933.689
- 1934. A check-list of the mammals recorded from Australia. Aust. Mus. Mem. 6:1–122. https://doi.org/10.3853 /j.0067-1967.6.1934.516

Jacquinot, H., and J. Pucheran.

1853. Mammiferes et oiseaux. *In* Voyage au pole sud et dans l'Océanie sur les corvettes *L'Astrolabe* et la *Zélée*, Zoologie, vol. 3, part 1 (J. Hombron and H. Jacquinot, eds.), p. 7–166. Gide et J. Baudry, Paris.

- 1996. Morphology of the Clymene dolphin (*Stenella clymene*) in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Aquat. Mamm. 22:35–43.
- 2002. Preliminary analysis of geographic variation in cranial morphometrics of the finless porpoise (*Neophocaena phocaenoides*). Raffles Bull. Zool., Suppl. 10:3–14.
- 2021. Proposal to retain the scientific names of five species of small cetaceans (*Delphinapterus leucas*, *Tursiops truncatus*, *Lissodelphis borealis*, *Cephalorhynchus eutropia*, and Inia geoffrensis) by designating their unused senior synonyms as nomina oblita. Aquatic Mammals 47(3):257–267.

Jefferson, T. A.

Jefferson, T. A., and L. Karczmarski.

- 2001. Sousa chinensis. Mammal. Species 655:1–9. https:// doi.org/10.2307/0.655.1
- Jefferson, T. A., and K. Van Waerebeek.
- 2002. The taxonomic status of the nominal dolphin species Delphinus tropicalis van Bree, 1971. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 18:787–818. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2002. tb01074.x
  - 2004. Geographic variation in skull morphology of humpback dolphins (*Sousa* spp.). Aquat. Mamm. 30:3–17. https://doi.org/10.1578/AM.30.1.2004.3
- Jefferson, T. A., and B. E. Curry.
  - 2003. Stenella clymene. Mammal. Species 726:1-5. https:// doi.org/10.1644/726
- Jefferson, T. A., and J. Y. Wang.
  - 2011. Revision of the taxonomy of finless porpoises (genus *Neophocaena*): the existence of two species. J. Mar. Anim. Ecol. 4(1):3–16.

Jefferson, T. A., and H. C. Rosenbaum.

- 2014. Taxonomic revision of the humpback dolphins (*Sousa* spp.), and description of a new species from Australia. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 30:1494–1541. https://doi. org/10.1111/mms.12152
- Jefferson, T. A., S. K. Hung, K. M. Robertson, and F. I. Archer. 2012. Life history of the Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin in the Pearl River Estuary, southern China. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 28:84–104. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692. 2010.00462.x
- Jones, J. K., and R. L. Packard.
  - 1956. Feresa intermedia (Gray) preoccupied. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 69:167–168.
- Jones, J. K., D. C. Carter, H. H. Genoways, R. S. Hoffman, D. W. Rice, and C. Jones.
  - 1986. Revised checklist of North American mammals north of Mexico, 1986. Occas. Pap., Mus. Texas Tech. Univ. 107:1–22.
- Kellogg, R.
  - 1941. On the identity of the porpoise *Sagmatias amblodon*. Field Mus. Nat. Hist., Zool. Ser. 27:293–311.

Kerr, R.

- 1792. The animal kingdom, or zoological system, of the celebrated Sir Charles Linnaeus. Class 1. Mammalia, 644 p. A. Strahan and T. Cadwell, Edinburgh.
- Kinze, C. C.
  - 2000. Rehabilitation of *Platanista gangetica* (Lebeck, 1801) as the valid scientific name of the Ganges dolphin. Zool. Meded. 74:193–203.
  - 2011. Daniel Frederik Eschricht (1798-1863), his investigations on cetaceans and the nordic whales in particular. Hist.-meereskund. Jahrb. (Hist. Oceanogr. Yearb.) 17:65-96.
  - 2015. Comments on *Sousa* nomenclature: a response to Jefferson and Rosenbaum. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 31:833–836. https://doi.org/10.1111/mms.12223
  - 2018. A case for *Tursiops tursio* (Gunnerus, 1768). Lutra 61:189–197.

Klein, J. T.

1741. Historiae Piscium Naturalis Promovendae. Missus Secondus de Piscibus Per Pulmones Spirantibus ad Iustum Numerum et Ordinem Redigendis, 39 p., 4 plates. Litteris Schreiberianis, Gedani, Germany. Klumov, S. K.

- 1935. A new form of the beluga [In Russian]. Sea Fish. Econ. U.S.S.R. 7:26-28.
- Koopman, K. F.
  - 1976. Catalog of type specimens of recent mammals in the Academy of Natural Sciences at Philadelphia. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 128:1–24.

Kükenthal, W.

1892. *Sotalia teuszii* n. sp. ein pflanzenfressender (?) delphin aus Kamerun. Zool. Jahrb. Abt. Syst. Oekol. Geogr. Tiere. 6:442–446.

Kurihara, N., and S. Oda.

- 2007. Cranial variation in bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops* spp. from the Indian and western Pacific Oceans: additional evidence for two species. Acta Theriologica 52:403–418. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03194238
- Lacépède, B. G. E.
  - 1804. Histoire naturelle des cétacées, 329 p. Plassan, Paris.1818. Note sur des cétacées des mers voisines du Japon. Mem. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat. 4:467–475.

Lafont, A.

1868. Note pour servir à la faune de la Giron, contenant la liste des animaux marins dont la présence à Arachon a été constatée pendant les années 1867 et 1868. Actes Soc. Linn. Bordx. 26:518–531.

Lahille, F.

- 1899. Ensayo sobre la distribución geográfica de los mamiferos en la República Argentina. Primera Reun. Congr. Cient. Latino Am. 3:165–206.
- 1908. Nota sobre un delfin (*Tursiops gephyreus* Lah.). An. Mus. Nac. Hist. Nat. Buenos Aires (Ser. 3) 9:347–365.
- 1912. Nota preliminar sobre una nueva especie de marsopa del Rio de la Plata (*Phoocena dioptrica*). An. Mus. Nac. Hist. Nat. Buenos Aires 23:269–278.

Lebeck, H. J.

- 1801. *Delphinus gangeticus* beschrieben von Herrn Heinrich Julius Lebeck. Der Ges. Nat. Forsch. Fruende zu Berlin 3:280–282.
- Lesson, R. P.
  - 1826. Indication de quelques cétacés nouveaux observés dans le voyage autour du monde de la Corvette *La Co-quille*. Bull. Sci. Nat. Geol. 7:373–374.
  - 1827. Manuel de mammalogie, ou histoire naturelle des mammifères, 442 p. J. B. Bailliere et Fils, Paris.
  - 1828. Histoire naturelle générale et particulière des mammifères et des oiseaux décoverts depuis la mort de buffon. Volume 1: Cétacés, 442 p. Pourrat Frères, Paris.
  - 1836. Histoire naturelle générale et particulière des mammifères et des oiseaux. Volume 10: oiseaux et mammifères, 414 p. Pourrat Frères, Paris.
  - 1838. Livre 11: les mammifères cétacés. *In* Compléments de Buffon, vol. 1, p. 555–660. P. Pouttat Freres, Paris.
  - 1841. Catalogue d'une faune du département de la Charente-Inférieure. Actes Soc. Linn. Bordeaux 12:1-66.

Lesson, R. P., and P. Garnot.

1827. Observations générales sur quelques cétacées. In Voyage autour du monde, éxecuté par ordre du Roi, sur la corvette de Sa Majesté, la Coquille, pendant les années 1822, 1823, 1824 et 1825. Zoologie, vol. 1, part 1 (R. P. Lesson, and P. Garnot, eds.), p. 177–186. Arthus Bertrand, Paris. [Tome Premier, 1re partie, p. 49–216 published in 1827, not 1826.] Lillie, D. G.

1915. Cetacea. British Antarctic ("Terra Nova") Expedition, 1910. Nat. Hist. Rep., Zool. 1:85-124.

Lilljeborg, W.

- 1861. Öfversigt af de inom Skandinavien (Sverige och Norrige) anträffade Hvalartade Däggdjur (Cetacea), 80 p. Upsala Univ. Arsskrift, Sweden.
- 1866. Synopsis of the cetaceous Mammalia of Scandinavia (Sweden and Norway). *In* Recent memoirs on the Cetacea (W. H. Flower, ed.), p. 219–312. R. Hardwicke, London.

- 1758. Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secumdum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, stnontmis, locis, (10th revised ed.), vol. 1, 824 p. Holmiae, Laurtenii Salvii.
- Loche, G. G.
  - 1860. Description de deux nouvelles espèces du genre dauphin. Rev. Mag. Zool. (Ser. 2) 12:473–479.

Lönnberg, E.

1934. *Prodelphinus graffmani* n. sp. a new dolphin, from the Pacific coast of Mexico. Arkiv fur Zool. 26A:1–11.

Lütken, C. F.

- 1887. Kritiske studier over nogle tandhvaler af slaegterne *Tursiops*, Orca og Lagenorhynchus. Danske Vidensk. Selsk. Skr. (Ser. 6) 6:337-397.
- 1889. Spolia Atlantica. Bidrag til kundskab de tre pelagiske tanhvalslaegter *Steno*, *Delphinus* og *Prodelphinus*. Danske Vidensk. Selsk. Skr. 6:61.
- Lydekker, R.
  - 1901. Notice of an apparently new estuarine dolphin from Borneo. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1:88–91.
  - 1903. Notes on the Trivandrum cetaceans. J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 15:40-41.
  - 1904. On two dolphins from Madras. J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 15:408–414. [Actual publication is 1904.]
  - 1909. On an Indian dolphin and porpoise. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1908:802–808.
- Lyngbye, H. C.
  - 1826. Om grindefangsten paa Færøerne, tilligemed bidrag til grindens naturhistorie. Tidsskr. Nat. 1826:204–232.
- Ma, H., K. Stewart, S. Lougheed, J. Zheng, Y. Wang, and J. Zhao.
  - 2016. Characterization, optimization, and validation of environmental DNA (eDNA) markers to detect an endangered aquatic mammal. Conserv. Genet. Resour. 8:561–568. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-016-0597-9

1871. Hvaldjur i sveriges museer, år 1869. Kongliga Svenska Vetenskaps-Akademiens Handlingar, vol. 9, no. 2, 104 p., 6 plates. P. A. Norstedt & Söner, Stockholm, Sweden.

Marelli, C. A.

1922. Résultados de la primera expedición a Tierra del Fuego (1921). *Phocaena stornii* sp. n. una especie de marsopa del mar austral Argentino. An. Soc. Cient. Argentina 94:229–240.

- McGowen, M. R., G. Tsagkogeorga, S. Álvarez-Carretero, M. dos Reis, M. Struebig, R. Deaville, P. D. Jepson, S. Jarman, A. Polanowski, P. A. Morin, et al.
  - 2020. Phylogenomic resolution of the cetacean tree of life using target sequence capture. Syst. Biol. 69:479–501. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syz068

Melville, R. V.

1977. Opinion 1067. Suppression of *Delphinus pernettensis* de Blainville, 1817 and *Delphinus pernettyi* Desmarest, 1820 (Cetacea). Bull. Zool. Nomencl. 33:157–158.

Meyen, F. J. F.

- 1833. Beiträge zur zoologie, gesammelt auf einer reise um die erde. Verh. Kaiserlichen-Carolinischen Akad. Nat. 16:549–610.
- Mikhalev, Y. A., M. V. Ivashin, V. P. Savusin, and F. E. Zelemaya.
  - 1981. The distribution and biology of killer whales in the Southern Hemisphere. Rep. Int. Whal. Comm. 31:551-566.
- Miller, G. S., Jr.
  - 1918. A new river-dolphin from China. Smithson. Misc. Coll. 68(9):1-12.
- Miranda-Ribeiro, A. de
  - 1936. Cetological notes (The genera: "Steno", "Sotalia" and "Stenopontistes"). Bol. Mus. Nac. Rio de Janiero 12:25-46.

Mitchell, E.

1985. Relationships of killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) within Delphinidae. *In* Sixth biennial conference on the biology of marine mammals, abstracts; Vancouver, 22–26 November, p. 56. Soc. Mar. Mammol., Lawrence, KS.

Montagu, G.

1821. Description of species of *Delphinus*, which appears to be new. Mem.Wernerian Nat. Hist. Soc. 3:75-82.

Moreno, F. P.

1892. Noticias sobre algunos cetáceos fósiles y actuales de la República Argentina. Rev. Mus. La Plata 3:383–392.

Mörzer Bruyns, W. F. J.

1971. Field guide of whales and dolphins, 258 p. Uitgeverij Tor, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

- Moura, A. E., K. Shreves, M. Pilot, K. R. Andrews, D. M. Moore, T. Kishida, L. Möller, A. Natoli, S. Gaspari, M. Mc-Gowen, et al.
  - 2020. Phylogenomics of the genus *Tursiops* and closely related Delphininae reveals extensive reticulation among lineages and provides inference about eco-evolutionary drivers. Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 146:106756. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106756

Müller, O. F.

1776. Zoologiae Danicae prodromus, seu Animalium Daniae et Norvegiae indigenarum characteres, nomina, et symonyma imprimis popularium, 282 p. Havniae, Typis Hallageriis, Havniæ (Copenhagen), Denmark.

Murray, J. A.

- 1884. A contribution to the knowledge of the marine fauna of Kurrachee. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 13:348–352.
- Newcomer, M. W., T. A. Jefferson, and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 1996. Lissodelphis peronii. Mammal. Species 531:1–5.

Nilsson, S.

1847. Skandinavisk fauna. Volume 1: Daggdjuren, 656 p. C. W. K. Gleerups, Lund, Sweden.

Linnaeus, C.

Malm, A. W.

- 1966. A new genus, *Peponocephala*, for the odontocete cetacean species *Electra electra*. Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst. 20:95–100.
- Norman, J. R., and F. C. Fraser.
  - 1937. Giant fishes, whales and dolphins, 361 p. Putnam, London.
- Norris, K. S., and W. N. McFarland.
  - 1958. A new harbor porpoise of the genus *Phocoena* from the Gulf of California. J. Mammal. 39:22–39. https://doi.org/10.2307/1376606
- Olivares, A. I., R. Bastida, M. C. Loza, A. C. Rodríguez, J. B. Desojo, L. H. Soibelzon, and H. López.
  - 2016. Catalogue of marine mammals of the mammalogical collection of the Museo de La Plata, Argentina. Rev. Mus. La Plata 1:57-82.
- Oliver, W. R. B.
  - 1922. A review of the Cetacea of the New Zealand seas. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 92:557–585. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1922.tb02157.x
- Osbeck, P.
  - 1765. Reise Nach Ostindien und China, 552 p. Johann Christian Koppe, Rostock, Germany.
  - 1771. A voyage to China and the East Indies, together with a voyage to Suratte, and an account of the Chinese husbandry, vol. 2 (J. R. Forster, trans.), 367 p. Benjamin White, London.
- Owen, R.
  - 1846. A history of British fossil mammals, and birds, 560 p. John van Voorst, London.
  - 1853. Descriptive catalogue of the osteological series contained in the Museum of the Royal College of Surgeons of England. Volume 2: Mammalia Placentalia, p. 351– 914. Taylor and Francis, London.
  - 1866. On some Indian Cetacea collected by Walter Elliot, Esq. Trans. Zool. Soc. Lond. 6:17–47. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1866.tb00570.x

- 1776. Reise durch verschiedene Provinzen des Rußischen Reichs, vol. 3, bk. 1, p. 1–454, bk. 2, p. 455–760. Kayserliche Academie der Wissenschaften, St. Petersburg.
- 1778. Reise durch verschiedene Provinzen des Rußischen Reichs in einem ausfuhrlichen auszuge, 635 p. Fleischer, Frankfurt, Germany.
- 1811. Zoographia Rosso-Asiatica : sistens omnium animalium in extenso Imperio Rossico, et adjacentibus maribus observatorum recensionem, domicilia, mores et descriptiones, anatomen atque icones plurimorum, vol. 1, p. 271–296. *In* officina Caes. Acadamiae Scientiarum Impress, Petropoli. [The original publication date is 1811, the 1831 version is a reprint.]
- Palmer, T. S.
  - 1899. Notes on three genera of dolphins. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 13:23-24.
- Parnaby, H. E., S. Ingleby, and A. Divljan.
  - 2017. Type specimens of non-fossil mammals in the Australian Museum, Sydney. Rec. Aust. Mus. 69:277–420.

Peale, T. R.

1849. United States Exploring Expedition. During the years1838, 1839, 1840, 1841, 1842. Under the command ofCharles Wilkes, U.S.N. Volume 8: Mammalia and orni-

- thology, 338 p. C. Sherman, Philadelphia. [Although imprinted with 1848, the actual publication date is 1849.] Perez Canto, C.
- 1896. Description de deux nouveaux cétacés de la côte du Chili. Actes Soc. Sci. Chili 5:227–229. [Although the date imprinted at the end of the paper is 14 October 1895, the date imprinted on the journal title page is 4 April 1896.] Pernety, A. J.
  - 1769. Journal historique d'un voyage fait aux Îles Malouines en 1763 & 1764, pour les reconnoître & y former un établissement; et de deux voyages au Détroit de Magellan, avec une rélation sur les Patagons, vol. 2, 386 p. Etienne de Bourdeaux, Berlin, Germany.
    - 1771. The history of a voyage to the Malouine (or Falkland) Islands made in 1763 and 1764, under the command of M. de Bougainville, in order to form a settlement there: and of two voyages to the Streights of Magellan, with an account of the Patagonians: translated from Dom Pernety's Historical Journal written in French, 294 p. T. Jefferys, London.
- Perrin, W. F.
  - 1975. Variation of spotted and spinner porpoise (genus *Stenella*) in the eastern Pacific and Hawaii. Bull. Scripps Inst. Oceanogr. Univ. Calif. 21:1–206.
  - 1998. Stenella longirostris. Mammal. Species 599:1-7.
  - 2009. Species. *In* Encyclopedia of marine mammals, 2nd ed. (W. F. Perrin, B. Würsig, and J. G. M. Thewissen, eds.), p. 1084–1087. Academic Press, Burlington, MA.

Perrin, W. F., and J. W. Gilpatrick.

- 1994. Spinner dolphin *Stenella longirostris* (Gray, 1828). *In* Handbook of marine mammals. Volume 5: the first book of dolphins (S. H. Ridgway and R. Harrison, eds.), p. 99–128. Academic Press, London.
- Perrin, W. F., P. B. Best, W. H. Dawbin, K. C. Balcomb, R. Gambell, and G. J. B. Ross.
  - 1973. Rediscovery of Fraser's dolphin Lagenodelphis hosei. Nature 241:345-350. https://doi.org/10.1038/ 241345a0
- Perrin, W. F., E. D. Mitchell, J. G. Mead, D. K. Caldwell, M. C. Caldwell, P. J. H. van Bree, and W. H. Dawbin.
  - 1987. Revision of the spotted dolphins, *Stenella* spp. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 3:99–170. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.1987.tb00158.x

Perrin, W. F., M. L. L. Dolar, and D. Robineau.

1999. Spinner dolphins (*Stenella longirostris*) of the western Pacific and southeast Asia: pelagic and shallow-water forms. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 15:1029–1053. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.1999.tb00876.x

Perrin, W. F., G. E. Zubtsova, and A. A. Kuz'min.

- 2004. Partial catalog of cetacean osteological specimens in Russian museums. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-SWFSC 364, 26 p.
- Perrin, W. F., K. M. Robertson, P. J. H. van Bree, and J. G. Mead.
  - 2007a. Cranial description and genetic identity of the holotype specimen of *Tursiops aduncus* (Ehrenberg, 1832).
    Mar. Mamm. Sci. 23:343–357. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1748-7692.2007.00119.x

Pallas, P. S.

- Perrin, W. F., M. T. Aquino, M. L. L. Dolar, and M. N. R. Alava.
  - 2007b. External appearance of the dwarf spinner dolphin Stenella longirostris roseiventris. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 23:464-467. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.2007.00117.x

Perrin, W. F., P. E. Rosel, and F. Cipriano.

2013. How to contend with paraphyly in the taxonomy of the delphinine cetaceans? Mar. Mamm. Sci. 29:567–588. https://doi.org/10.1111/mms.12051

Peters, W.

- 1877. Mittheilung uber die von S. M. S. *Gazelle* gesammelten säugethiere aus den abtheilungen de nager, hufthiere, sirenen, cetaceen und beutelehiere. Monatsberichte der Königlichen Preussische Akademie des Wissenschaften zu Berlin 1876:355–366. [Although the imprint date is 1876, the correct publication date is 1877.]
- Philbrick, N.
  - 2003. Sea of glory: America's voyage of discovery, the U.S. Exploring Expedition, 1838-1842, 452 p. Viking Press, New York.
- Philippi, R. A.
  - 1893. Los delfines de la punta austral de la América del Sur. An. Mus Nac. Chile, Zool., 6:5–17.
  - 1895. Los delphines Chilenos. An. Univ. Chile, Santiago 90:281–285.
  - 1896. Los cráneos de los delfines Chilenos. An. Mus. Nac. Chile, Zool. 12:4–18.
  - 1900. Contribucion a la osteolojía de *Grypotherium domesticum* Roth i un nuevo delfin, 12 p. Imprenta Cervantes, Santiago, Chile.
  - 1901. Tursio? chiloensis Ph. eine neue Art chilenischer delphine. Arch. Naturgesch. 1:276–278.
- Pichler, F. B., and C. Olavarria B.
  - 2001. Resolving Chilean dolphin (*Cephalorhynchus eutropia*, Gray 1846) synonymy by sequencing DNA extracted from teeth of museum specimens. Rev. Biol. Mar. Oceanogr. 36:117–121. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-19572001000100012
- Pilleri, G.
  - 1972. Original description of the Gangetic dolphin, *Plat-anista gangetica* attributed to William Roxburgh. Bull. Br. Mus. Nat. Hist. (Zool.) 21:345-348.
  - 1978. William Roxburgh (1751-1815), Heinrich Julius Lebeck († 1801) and the discovery of the Ganges dolphin (*Platanista gangetica* Roxburgh, 1801). Invest. Cetacea 9:11–21.
  - 1979. Pehr Osbeck (1723-1805) and the discovery of the Chinese white dolphin (*Sousa chinensis* Osbeck, 1765). Invest. Cetacea 10:333–334.

Pilleri, G., and M. Gihr.

- 1972a. Contribution to the knowledge of the cetaceans of Pakistan with particular reference to the genera *Neomeris, Sousa, Delphinus* and *Tursiops* and description of a new Chinese porpoise (*Neomeris asiaorientalis*). Invest. Cetacea 4:107–162.
- 1972b. A rare species of dolphin *Delphinus tropicalis* Van Bree, 1971 (= *dussumieri* Blanford, 1891) from the coast of Pakistan. Mammalia 36:406–413. https://doi. org/10.1515/mamm.1972.36.3.406

- 1975. On the taxonomy and ecology of the finless black porpoise, *Neophocaena* (Cetacea, Delphinidae). Mammalia 39:657-674. https://doi.org/10.1515/ mamm.1975.39.4.657
- 1976. Osteological differences in the cervical vertebrae of *Platanista indi* and *gangetica*. Invest. Cetacea 7:105–108.
  1977. Neotype for *Platanista indi* Blyth, 1859. Invest. Cetacea 8:77–81.

1981. The precursors in cetology from Guillaume Rondelet to John Anderson. Invest. Cetacea 12:11–18.

Poole, A. J., and V. S. Schantz.

1942. Catalog of the type specimens of mammals in the United States National Museum, including the biological surveys collection. Bull. U.S. Natl. Mus. 178:703.

Porter, L. J.

- 1998. The taxonomy, ecology and conservation of *Sousa chinensis* (Osbeck, 1765) (Cetacea: Delphinidae) in Hong Kong waters. Ph.D. diss., 202 p. Univ. Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China.
- 2002. A redescription of *Sousa chinensis* (Osbeck, 1765) (Mammalia, Delphinidae) and designation of a neotype. Bull. Nat. Hist. Mus. Lond. (Zool.) 68:27–37.

1856. Notices mammalogiques. Cétacés. Rev. Mag. Zool. 8:145-149, 315-321, 362-369, 449-460, 545-552.

Quoy, J. R. C., and J. P. Gaimard.

- 1824. Des cétacés. *In* Voyage autour du monde, exécuté sur les corvettes du S. M. *l'Uranie* et la *Physicienne* pendant les années 1817, 1818, 1819 et 1820, par M. Louis de Freycinet, Zoologie, p. 76–89. Pillet Aîné, Paris.
- 1830. Zoologie. In Voyage de decouvertes l'Astrolabe exécuté par ordre du Roi, pendant les années 1826– 1827–1828–1829, sous le Commandement de M. J. Dumont d'Urville, vol. 1, 268 p. J. Tatsu, Paris.

Rafinesque Schmaltz, C. S.

- 1810. Caratteri di Alcuni Nuovi Generi e Nuove Specie di Animali e Piante della Sicilia: con varie osservazioni sopra i medesimi, 105 p. Per de Stampe di Sanfilippo, Palermo, Italy.
- 1814. Précis des découvertes et travaux somiologiques de m.r C. S. Rafinesque-Schmaltz entre 1800 et 1814 ou choix raisonné de ses principales découvertes en zoologie et en botanique, pour servir d'introduction à ses ouvrages futurs, 55 p. Royale Typographie Militaire, Palermo, Italy.

Rapp, W.

1837. Die Cetaceen Zoologisch-Anatomisch Dargestellt,182 p. Stuttgart und Tübingen, Stuttgart, Germany.

Rasch, H.

1843. Beskrivelse over en I Christianiafjorden fanget nye delphinart. Nyt Mag. Nat. 4:97–125. [Although usually cited as published in 1845 (which is the date imprinted on the journal), the actual publication date is 1843.]

Rayner, G. W.

1939. Globicephala leucosagmaphora, a new species of the genus Globicephala. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 4:543–544. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933908527019

Reeves, R. R., and S. Tracey.

1980. Monodon monoceros. Mammal. Species 127:1-7. https://doi.org/10.2307/3503952

Pilleri, G., and L. Arvy.

Pucheran, M.

- Reichenbach, H. G. L.
  - 1846. Die cetaceen oder walthiere. Abt. 1 Saugethiere. In Die Vollstandigste Naturgesichte des In- und Auslandes. Expedition der Vollstandigsten Naturgesichte (H. G. L. Reichenbach, ed.), vol. 1, p. 53–132. Expedition der Vollfandigten Naturgeichichte, Dresden und Leipzig.
- Reinhardt, J.
  - 1862. Om en for den Danske Fauna ny Delphinart (*Pseudorca crassidens* Owen). Oversigt over det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskabs Forhandlinger 1862: 103–152.
  - 1866. *Pseudorca crassidens*, a cetacean hitherto unknown in the Danish fauna. *In* Recent memoirs on the Cetacea (W. H. Flower, ed.), p. 189–218. R. Hardwicke, London.
- Rice, D. W.
  - 1977. A list of the marine mammals of the world, 3rd ed. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS SSRF-711, 15 p.
  - 1984. Delphinus truncatus Montagu, 1821 (Mammalia, Cetacea): proposed conservation by suppression of Delphinus nesarnack Lacépède, 1804. Z.N.(S.)2082. Bull. Zool. Nomencl. 41:274–275.
  - 1998. Marine mammals of the world: systematics and distribution. Spec. Publ. 4, 231 p. Soc. Mar. Mammal, Lawrence, KS.
- Rice, D. W., and V. B. Scheffer.
  - 1968. A list of marine mammals of the world. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Special Scientific Report - Fisheries 579, 16 p.
- Risso, A.
  - 1826. Histoire naturelle des principales productions de l'Europe méridionale et particulièrement de celles des environs de Nice et des Alpes Maritimes, vol. 3, 480 p. F.-G. Levrault, Paris.
- Robineau, D.
  - 1989. Les types de cétacés actuels du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle. I. Balaenidae, Balaenopteridae, Kogiidae, Ziphiidae, Iniidae, Pontoporiidae. Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., 4A 11:271–289.
  - 1990. Les types de cétacés actuels du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle. II. Delphinidae, Phocoenidae. Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., 4A 12:197–238.
  - 2005. Cétacés de France. Collection faune de France 89, 646 p. Fed. Fr. Soc. Sci. Nat., Paris.
- Romero, A., A. I. Agudo, and S. J. Blondell de Agudo.
  - 1997. The scientific discovery of the Amazon river dolphin Inia geoffrensis. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 13:419–426. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-7692.1997.tb00649.x
- Rondelet, G.
  - 1554. Libri de piscibus marinis, in quibus veræ piscium effigies expressae sunt. Quæ in tota piscium historia continentur, indicat elenchus pagina nona et decima, 583 p. Matthiam Bonhomme, Lugduni.
- Ross, M. J.
  - 1982. Ross in the Antarctic: the voyage of James Clark Ross in Her Majesty's ships *Erebus* & *Terror*, 1839-1843, 276 p. Caedmon of Whitby, Yorkshire, England.
- Roxburgh, W.
  - 1801. An account of a new species of *Delphinus*, an inhabitant of the Ganges. Asiatic Researches. Trans. Asiatick Soc. 7:170–174.

Rüppell, E.

1842. Beschreibung mehrere neuer sauethiere, in de zoologischen Sammlung der Senckenbergischen anutforshenden Gesellschaft befindlich. Museum Senckenbergianim: Abhandlungen aus dem Gebiete der beschreibenden Naturgeschichte 3:129–144.

Scammon, C. M.

- 1869. On the cetaceans of the western coast of North America. Edited by Edward D. Cope. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 21:13-63.
- 1874. The marine mammals of the north-western coast of North America together with an account of the American whale-fishery, 319 p. John H. Carmany and Co., San Francisco, CA.

Scheffer, V. B., and D. W. Rice.

1963. A list of the marine mammals of the world. USFWS SSR-F 431, 12 p.

Schlegel, H.

- 1841a. Abhandlungen aus dem gebiete der zoologie und vergleichenden anatomie. Part 2. Weitere beiträge zur naturgeschichte der Cetaceen, 12 p. A. Arnz and Company, Leiden.
- 1841b. Abhandlungen aus dem gebiete der zoologie und vergleichenden anatomie. Part 1. Beiträge zur charackteristik der Cetaceen, 44 p. A. Arnz and Company, Leiden.
- 1844. Les cétacés. *In* Fauna Japonica, vol. 5, (C. J. Temminck and H. Schlegel, eds.), p. 13–17. Apud Auctorem, Lugduni Batovorum. [Although various dates were imprinted on the title page of this work, and usually 1842 is cited, the actual date of publication for this work was determined to be 1844.]

1862. Naturrlijke Historie van Nederland. De Dieren van Nederland, 133 p., 20 plates. Haarlem, A. C. Kruseman. Sclater, W. L.

- 1891. Catalogue of Mammalia in the Indian Museum, Calcutta. Part 2. Rodentia, Ungulata, Proboscidea, Hyracoidea, Carnivora, Cetacea, Sirenia, Marsupialia, Monotrema, 375 p. Trustees of the Indian Museum, Calcutta, India.
- Scoresby, W.
  - 1820. An account of the Arctic regions, with a history and description of the northern whale-fishery, 2 vols. Archiblad Constable and Co., Edinburgh, Scotland.

Shaw, G.

- 1801. General zoology or systematic natural history, vol. 2, part 2, Mammalia, 560 p. G. Kearley, London.
- Sleptsov, M. M.
  - 1955. Novyi vid delfina dal'nevostochnykh morei *Lageno-rhynchus ognevi* sp. nov. [A new species of dolphin from the far eastern seas *Lagenorhynchus ognevi* species nova]. Tr. Inst. Okeanol. 18:60–68.

Smeenk, C.

- 2018. A chronological review of the nomenclature of *Delphinus rostratus* Shaw, 1801 and *Delphinus bredanensis* (Lesson, 1828). Lutra 61:197–214.
- Smeenk, C., M. J. Addink, A. B. van der Berg, C. A. W. Bosman, and G. C. Cadée.
  - 1996. Sightings of *Delphinus* cf. *tropicalis* Van Bree, 1971 in the Red Sea. Bonn. Zool. Beitr. 46:389–398.

Smith, A.

1829. Contributions to the natural history of South Africa. Zool. J. 4:433–443. SMM (Society for Marine Mammalogy, Committee on Taxonomy).

2020. List of marine mammal species and subspecies. [Available from https://marinemammalscience.org/species-information/list-marine-mammal-species-subspecies/, accessed 2 November 2020.]

1898. The technical names of British mammals. Zoologist 2:97–103.

Tiedemann, D. F.

1808. Zoologie. Zu seinen voreseungren entworfen, 610 p. Landshut, in der Weberschen Buchhandlung.

Tomilin, A. G.

- 1957. Mammals of the U.S.S.R. and Adjacent Countries. Volume 9: Cetacea. [In Russian] Izd. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Mosk., 756 p. (Translated by Isr. Program Sci. Transl., Jerusalem, 1967, 717 p.)
- Traill, T. S.
  - 1809. Description of a new species of whale, *Delphinus melas*. In a letter from Thomas Steward Traill, M. D. to Mr. Nicholson. J. Nat. Philos., Chem. Arts 22:81-83.

Trouessart, E. L.

1899. Catalogus mammalium tam viventium quam fossilum, vol. 2, 664 p. R. Friedländer and Sohn, Berolini. [Although the imprint date on the title page is 1898– 1899, the correct publication date for this volume is 1899.]

- 1884. Catalogue of the aquatic mammals exhibited by the United States National Museum. Bull. U.S. Natl. Mus. 27:623-644.
- 1885. On a new species of porpoise, *Phocaena dalli*, from Alaska. Proc. U.S. Natl. Mus. 8:95–98.
- 1889. Contributions to the natural history of the cetaceans. A review of the family Delphinidae. Bull. U.S. Natl. Mus. 36:1–191.
- 1903. A note on the common bottlenosed porpoise of the North Atlantic, *Tursiops truncatus* (Montagu). Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 55:313–314.

- 1986. Opinion 1413. *Delphinus truncatus* Montagu, 1821 (Mammalia, Cetacea): Conserved. Bull. Zool. Nomencl. 43:256–257.
- Turvey, S. T., R. L. Pitman, B. L. Taylor, J. Barlow, T. Akamatsu, L. A. Barrett, X. Zhao, R. R. Reeves, B. S. Stewart, K. Wang, et al.
  - 2007. First human-caused extinction of a cetacean species? Biol. Lett. 3:537–540. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rsbl.2007.0292
- Vaccaro, O. B., and M. J. Piantanida.
  - 1998. Type specimens of recent mammals housed in national collections of Argentina. Iheringia, Ser. Zool., Porto Alegre 85:67–73.

Van Beneden, E.

1875. Mémoire sur un dauphin nouveau de la Baie de Rio de Janeiro, désigné sous le nom de *Sotalia brasiliensis*. Mem. Acad. R. Sci., Lett. Beaux-Arts Belg. 41:3–44.

Van Beneden, P. J.

- 1864. Sur un dauphin nouveau et un ziphioïde rare. Mem. Couronnés Autres Mem., Acad. R. Sci. Belles-Lettres Belg. 16:27–45.
- 1873. Sur deux dessins de cétacés du cap de Bonne-Espérance. Acad. Sci. Lett. Beaux-Arts Belg. (Ser. 2) 36:32-40.
- 1881. Notice sur un nouveau dauphin de la Nouvelle-Zélande. Bull. l'Acad. Roy. Belg. 3:877-887.
- 1886. Description des ossements fossiles des environs d'Anvers. Part 5: Cétacés. Ann. Mus. R. Hist. Nat. Belg., Paleontol. 13:1-139.
- Van Beneden, P. J., and P. Gervais.

1880. Ostéographie des cétacés vivants et fossiles comprenant la description et l'iconongraphie du squelette et du système dentaire de ces animaux ainsi que des documents relatifs à leur histoire naturelle, 634 p., 114 plates. Arteus Bertrand, Paris. [The complete work was published between 1868 and 1880.]

Van Breda, J. G. S.

- 1829. Aanteekening omtrent eene nieuwe soort van dolfijn. Nieuwe Verh. 2:235–238.
- van Bree, P. J. H.
  - 1971a. On *Globicephala sieboldii* Gray, 1846, and other species of pilot whales (notes on Cetacea, Delphinoidea III). Beaufortia 19:79–87.
  - 1971b. On two skulls of *Delphinus dussumieri* Blanford, 1891 (notes on Cetacea, Delphinoidea I). Beaufortia 18:169–172.
  - 1971c. Delphinus tropicalis, a new name for Delphinus longirostris G. Cuvier, 1829. Mammalia 35:345-346.
  - 1971d. On the taxonomic status of *Delphinus pernettensis* de Blainville, 1817 (Notes on Cetacea, Delphinoidea II). Beaufortia 19:21–25.
  - 1973a. On the description and the taxonomic status of *Delphinus holboellii* Nilsson, 1847 (notes on Cetacea, Delphinoidea VI). Beaufortia 20:129–134.
  - 1973b. Neophocaena phocaenoides asiaorientalis (Pilleri and Gihr, 1973), a synonym of the preoccupied name *Delphinus melas* Schlegel, 1841 (notes on Cetacea, Delphinoidea 7). Beaufortia 21:17–24.
  - 1974a. On the diagnosis of the South American dolphin Sotalia fluviatilis and its author. Ziet. Saug. 39:57–58.
  - 1974b. Application for the suppression of *Delphinus pernettensis* de Blainville, 1817 and *Delphinus pernettyi* Desmarest, 1820, Z.N.(S.) 1974. Bull. Zool. Nomencl. 31:44–48.
  - 1974c. On the taxonomic status of *Delphinus pernettenisis* de Blainville, 1817 (Notes on Cetacea, Delphinoidea II). Bull. Zool. Nomencl. 31:44–48.
  - 1975. Over de skeletten van twee grieden, *Globicephala melaena* (Traill, 1809), afkomstig van de massastranding op tholen in 1825. Lutra 17:6–8.
  - 1976. On the correct Latin name of the Indus susu (Cetacea, Platanistoidea). Bull. Zool. Mus. Univ. Amsterdam 5:139–140.

van Bree, P. J. H., and R. Duguy.

1972. Remarques sur quelques delphinides de Museum de la Rochelle et sur la taxomonie du *Delphinorhynchus santonicus*. Ann. Soc. Sci. Nat. Charente-Marit. 5:171–176.

Thomas, O.

True, F. W.

Tubbs, P. K.

- van Bree, P. J. H., and D. Robineau.
  - 1973. Notes sur le holotypes de Inia geoffrensis geoffrensis (de Blainville, 1817) et de Inia geoffrensis boliviensis d'Orbigny, 1834 (Cetacea, Platanistidae). Mammalia 37:658–668. https://doi.org/10.1515/mamm.1973. 37.4.658
- van Bree, P. J. H., and W. F. Perrin.
- 1977. On the diagnosis of the spinner dolphin, *Stenella longirostris* (Gray, 1828) and its holotype. Zool. Meded. 52:255–259.
- van Bree, P. J. H., and M. D. Gallagher.
  - 1978. On the taxonomic status of *Delphinus tropicalis* van Bree, 1971. (Notes on Cetacea, Delphinoidea IX). Beaufortia 28:1–8.
- Van Waerebeek, K., P. J. H. van Bree, and P. B. Best.
- 1995. On the identity of *Prodelphinus petersii* Lütken, 1889 and records of dusky dolphin *Lagenorhynchus obscurus* (Gray, 1828) from the southern Indian and Atlantic oceans. S. Afr. J. Mar. Sci. 16:25–35. https://doi. org/10.2989/025776195784156584
- Varela, E. A., G. A. Daneri, M. N. P. Viola, M. F. Negri, C. C. Di Martino, A. Harrington, R. A. Montiel, M. M. Zambrana, R. L. Bustos, and O. B. Vaccaro.
  - 2010. Revisión y actualización de la colección de mamíferos marinos del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia" (Buenos Aires, Argentina). Mastozool. Neotrop. 17:213–218.
- Viaud-Martínez, K. A., M. M. Vergara, P. E. Gol'din, V. Ridoux, A. A. Öztürk, B. Öztürk, P. E. Rosel, A. Frantzis, A. Komnenou, and A. J. Bohanak.
  - 2007. Morphological and genetic differentiation of the Black Sea harbour porpoise *Phocoena phocoena*. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 338:281–294. https://doi.org/10.3354/ meps338281
- Vollmer, N. L., E. Ashe, R. L. Brownell Jr., F. Cipriano, J. G. Mead, R. R. Reeves, M. S. Soldevilla, and R. Williams.
  - 2019. Taxonomic revision of the dolphin genus Lagenorhynchus. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 35:957-1057. https://doi. org/10.1111/mms.12573
- von Spix, J. B., and C. F. P. von Martius.
  - 1831. Reise in Brasilien auf Befehl Sr. Majestät Maximilian Joseph I. Königs von Baiern, in den Jahren 1817 bis 1820 gemacht und beschrieben, vol. 3, p. 888–1388. Fleischer, München, Germany.
- Wagler, J.
  - 1830. Natürliches system der amphibien, mit vorangehender classification der säugthiere und vögel ein beitrag zur vergleichenden zoologie, 3654 p. J. G. Cotta'schen Buchhandlung, München, Stuttgart und Tubingen.
- Wagner, J. A.
  - 1847. Die Säugethiere in Abbildungen nach der Natur, mit Berschreibungen, vol. 7, 427 p. Erlangen, Leipzig, Germany. [While some of the text was available in 1846, the volume that contains both text and plates was apparently published in 1847.]
- Wang, J. Y.
  - 2018. Bottlenose dolphin, Tursiops aduncus, Indo-Pacific

bottlenose dolphin. *In* Encyclopedia of marine mammals, 3rd ed., (B. Würsig, J. G. M. Thewissen, and K. M. Kovacs, eds.), p. 125–130. Academic Press, London.

Wang, J. Y., A. P. B. Costa, and T. A. Jefferson.

2021. The correct name of Lahille's bottlenose dolphin, *Tursiops truncatus gephyreus* Lahille, 1908. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 37(2):696–701. https://doi.org/10.1111/ mms.12751

- 1999. Chinese cetaceans, 325 p. Ocean Enterprises, Ltd., Hong Kong, China.
- Waterhouse, G. R.
  - 1838a. Family—Delphinidae. *In* The zoology of the voyage of H.M.S. *Beagle* under the command of Captain Fitzroy, R.N., during the years 1832 to 1836. Part 2: Mammalia (C. Darwin, ed.), p. 25–26. Smith, Elder & Co., London. [Although the title page says 1839, the actual date of publication has been determined to be May 1838.]
  - 1838b. On a new species of the genus *Delphinus*. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1838(part 6):23–24.
- West, K. L., J. G. Mead, and W. White.
  - 2011. *Steno bredanensis* (Cetacea: Delphinidae). Mammal. Spec. 43(886):177-189. https://doi.org/10.1644/886.1
- Wickert, J. C., S. M. von Eye, L. R. Oliviera, and I. B. Moreno. 2016. Revalidation of *Tursiops gephyreus* Lahille, 1908 (Cetartiodactyla: Delphinidae) from the southwestern Atlantic Ocean. J. Mammal. 97:1728–1737. https://doi. org/10.1093/jmammal/gyw139

Wiegmann, A. F. A.

- 1840, 1844. Die Säugethiere in Abbildungen nach der Natur, mit Berschreibungen (Plates 281–385), 105 plates. Erlangen, Leipzig, Germany. [This publication has two correct publication dates, 1840 and 1844. See Appendix F for details.]
- Wiig, Ø., and L. Bachmann.
  - 2013. The mammal type specimens at the Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Norway. Zootaxa 3736:587-597. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3736.5.9
- Wilson, E. A.
  - 1907. Mammalia. In National Antarctic expedition 1901-1904. Natural history. Volume 2: zoology (Vertebrata: Molusca: Crustacea) (F. J. Bell, and L. Fletcher, eds.), p. 1–69. Order of the Trustees of the British Museum, London.

Woodman, N., J. G. Mead, and M. R. McGowen.

- 2020. "Mostri Marini": Constantine S. Rafinesque's names for three of Antonino Mongitore's Sicilian whales. Arch. Nat. Hist. 47:344–355.
- Zhou, X., X. Guang, D. Sun, S. Xu, M. Li, I. Seim, W. Jie, L. Yang, Q. Zhu, J. Xu, et al.
  - 2018. Population genomics of finless porpoises reveal an incipient cetacean species adapted to freshwater. Nat. Commun. 9:1276. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-018-03722-x

Wang, P.

## Appendix A: A note on interpreting the early taxonomic literature

Many biologists become confused when examining details in the early taxonomic literature, especially those from the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. In taxonomic studies, the older books, monographs, and papers are extremely important because they contain many of the original descriptions of nominal species (both valid and invalid). This appendix provides guidance for interpreting this older literature.

#### **Publication dates**

Date of publication is exceedingly important in taxonomic literature, as this determines priority and precedence of names. Determining this can be very challenging in the older literature. One must be careful accepting the year of publication on the title page of a book or journal as accurate, because the true date of publication may be different from this. Thus it is important to do some "detective work" and try to find actual publication dates. Fortunately, others may have already determined the true publication dates for important works on cetacean taxonomic history (e.g., Gray and Saunders, 1875; Sherborn, 1891, 1897; Sherborn and Woodward, 1893, 1901a,b,c; Poche, 1911; Smith, 1993; Cretella, 2010; Kinze, 2011; Evenhuis, 2015). In particular, the series of papers by Sherborn provide publication dates for quite a number of the most important taxonomic references of the nineteenth century. These should be consulted, where relevant.

However, it is important also to verify and confirm the true date of publication, as some of the above references contain errors. For instance, J. E. Gray's classic "On the cetaceous animals," which has always been believed to have been published in 1846, was claimed by Evenhuis (2015) to have been published in 1844. However, this is clearly not the case, as a detailed examination of Gray's monograph shows that it contains no less than 14 references to other published references and even specific events (such as whale strandings) that occurred in 1845 or 1846 (these occur on Gray's pages 15, 26, 27, 28, 31, 35, 46, 47, 49, 51, and 52). Therefore, the actual publication date cannot be 1844 and is confirmed to be 1846.

#### Authorship

Similar to date of publication, authorship can sometimes be difficult to ascertain. In older publications it was common to include passages written by a different author than the one listed on the title page. This fact needs to be considered carefully, and every attempt should be made to determine the true authorship of type descriptions (which may have to be cited as something like "Owen in Gray, 1866" for the case in which Richard Owen penned the relevant description of *Orcaella brevirostris* in John E. Gray's 1866 catalog.

In other cases, credit for the naming of a species may be explicitly given to a different person than the one who actually authored the relevant account, and this should be considered as well (Article 50.1.1 of the Code – ICZN, 1999). An example of this is the case of *Delphinus geoffrensis*, in which Desmarest explicitly credited authorship to Blainville, in Desmarest (1817).

#### Anatomical descriptions

Anatomical descriptions in the early literature can be quite difficult to decipher. Besides the use of old fonts and challenges associated with inaccurate translations, many older references use terms that are now outdated and which may be quite unfamiliar to the modern biologist. For instance, in the literature of the 1800s, the blowholes of a cetacean may be referred to as "spiracles" or even called "blowers."

### Measurements

Measurements provided in older literature should also be subject to scrutiny, as units of measurement in the past were not always as well standardized as they are today, and in any event could vary from country to country. For instance, the English foot of 12 inches (30.48 cm) was slightly different in Scandinavian countries. As an illustration of this, Flower (1866) provided a table in his Preface which gave the Danish (1.0298) and Swedish (0.9742) equivalents of the English foot (1.0). This is just one example of where a foot is not always a foot (12 inches).

### Illustrations

While some early illustrations did an admirably accurate job of reflecting the recognizable features of the species we recognize today (see Figs. A1, A2 lower three, A3), others are simply not identifiable to species (Figs. A2 top, A4, and A5 top), or sometimes even to genus or family (Fig. A6). Yet others were not very accurate at all, but nevertheless show diagnostic features that allow them to be identified (Fig. A5 lower three). Thus, while illustrations are often extremely useful in determining the true identification of animals involved in these early type descriptions, some skepticism must be applied to many of the features shown.

Early illustrations of cetaceans often showed the animals with spouts of water jetting from the blowhole(s), or with gills, or fin spines (Fig. A7), all of which are not accurate, and which originated from a time when it was not widely recognized that cetaceans are mammals, not fish. These elements in an illustration indicate that such renderings were often made from memory or from crude descriptions after the specimen was examined, and may be more reflective of the artist's image of what the animal was, rather than a true sketch of what was really there. They also remind us that other elements of these old illustrations must often be taken with a large "grain of salt."

#### Literature cited

Cretella, M.

2010. The complete collation and dating of the section Zoologie of the Coquille voyage. Boll. Malacol. 46:83–103. Cuvier, G.

1812. Rapport fait à la classe des sciences mathématiques et physiques, sur divers cétacés pris sur les côtes de France, principalement sur ceux qui sont échoués près de paimpol, le 7 Janvier 1812. Ann. Mus. Hist. Nat. 19:1–16.

Desmarest, A. G.

1817. Dauphin. In Nouveau dictionnaire d'histoire naturelle, appliquée aux arts, à l'agriculture, à l'économie rurale et domestique, à la médicine, etc. par une société de naturalistes et d'agriculteurs, nouvelle édition, vol. 9, p. 146–180. Deterville, Paris.

Evenhuis, N. L.

2015. Publication and dating of the "Zoology of the Voyage of the H.M.S. *Erebus* and *Terror*." Sherbornia 2:9–20.

Flower, W. H. (ed.).

1866. Recent memoirs on the Cetacea by Professors Eschricht, Reinhardt and Lilljeborg, 312 p., 6 plates. R. Hardwicke, London.

Freminville, M.

1812. Notice sur espèce de dauphin observée dans la mer glaciale. Nouv. Bull. Sci., Soc. Philom., Paris 3(56):71.

Gervais, P.

1853. Remarques sur les mammifères marins qui frequentent les côtes de la France et plus particulièrement sur une nouvelle espèce de dauphins propre à la Méditerranée. Bull. Soc. Cent. Agric. Dép. l'Hérault, Montpelier 40:140–156.

Gray, J. E.

1846. On the cetaceous animals. *In* The zoology of the voyage of H.M.S. *Erebus* and *Terror*, under the command of Captain Sir James Clark Ross, R.N., FR.S., during the years 1839 to 1843. Volume 1: Mammalia, birds (J. Richardson and J. E. Gray, eds.), p. 13–53. E. W. Janson, London.

1866. Catalogue of seals and whales in the British Museum, 2nd ed., 402 p. Order of the Trustees, London.

Gray, J. E., and J. Saunders.

1875. List of the books, memoirs, and miscellaneous papers by Dr. John Edward Gray, F.R.S., 58 p. Printed for private distribution, London. [Although the imprint date on the title page is 1872, Saunders later corrected the publication date to be 1875.]

ICZN (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature).1999. The international code of zoological nomenclature,4th ed., 306 p. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London.

Jacquinot, H., and J. Pucheran.

1853. Mammifères et oiseaux. In Voyage au pole sud et dans l'Océanie sur les corvettes L'Astrolabe et la Zélée, Zoologie, vol. 3, part 1 (J. Hombron and H. Jacquinot, eds.), p. 7–166. Gide et J. Baudry, Paris.

Kinze, C. C.

2011. Daniel Frederik Eschricht (1798-1863), his investigations on cetaceans and the nordic whales in particular. Hist.-meereskund. Jahrb. (Hist. Oceanogr. Yearb.) 17:65–96.

Poche, F.

1911. Über den Inhalt und die Erscheinungszeiten der einzelnen Teile, Hefte etc. und die verscheidenen Ausgaben des Schreber'schen Säugetierwerkes (1775–1855). Arch. fur Naturgesh. 77(Band 1, Suppl. 4):124–183.

Quoy, J. R. C., and J. P. Gaimard.

- 1824. Des cétacés. *In* Voyage autour du monde, exécuté sur les corvettes du S. M. *l'Uranie* et la *Physicienne* pendant les années 1817, 1818, 1819 et 1820, par M. Louis de Freycinet, Zoologie, p. 76–89. Pillet Aîné, Paris.
- 1830. Voyage de decouvertes l'Astrolabe exécuté par Ordre du Roi, pendant les années 1826–1827–1828–1829, sous le Commandement de M. J. Dumont d'Urville. Zoologie, 268 p. J. Tatsu, Paris.

Reichenbach, H. G. L.

1846. Die cetaceen oder walthiere. Abt. 1 Saugethiere. In Die Vollstandigste Naturgesichte des In- und Auslandes. Expedition der Vollstandigsten Naturgesichte (H. G. L. Reichenbach, ed.), vol. 1, p. 53–132. Expedition der Vollfandigten Naturgeichichte, Dresden und Leipzig.

Sherborn, C. D.

- 1891. On the dates of the parts, plates, and text of Schreber's 'Säugthiere'. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1891:587-592.
- 1897. Note on the dates of "The Zoology of the 'Beagle'". Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 20:483. https://doi. org/10.1080/00222939708680665

Sherborn, C. D., and B. B. Woodward.

1893. On the dates of the 'Encyclopédie Méthodique' (Zoology). Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1893:582–584.

- 1901a. Notes on the dates of publication of the natural history portions of some French voyages.—Part I. 'Amérique méridionale'; 'Indes orientales'; 'Pôle Sud' ('Astrolabe' and 'Zélée'); 'Las Bonite'; 'La Coquille'; and 'L'Uranie et physicienne'. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 7:388–392. https:// doi.org/10.1080/00222930108678490
- 1901b. Dates of publication of zoological and botanical portions of some French voyages, part 2. Ferret and Galinier's 'Voyage en Abyssinie'; 'Exploration scientificue de l'Algerie'; Castelnau's 'Amerique du Sud'; Dumont d'Urville's 'Voyage de l'Astrolabe'; ... Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (Ser. 7) 8(no. 44):161–164.
- 1901c. Dates of publication of zoological and botanical portions of some French voyages, part 2. Ferret and Galinier's 'Voyage en Abyssinie'; 'Exploration scientificue de l'Algerie'; Castelnau's 'Amerique du Sud'; Dumont d'Urville's 'Voyage de l'Astrolabe'; ... (continued). Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 1901:333–336.

Smith, J. C.

1993. Georges Cuvier: an annotated bibliography of his published works, 251 p. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C.



Plate from Jacquinot and Pucheran (1853), showing accurate paintings of a common dolphin (*Delphinus delphis*) (upper) and a postmortem-darkened pantropical spotted dolphin (*Stenella attenuata*) (lower).



Plate from Cuvier (1812), showing an unrecognizable cetacean (top), accurate drawings of a long-finned pilot whale (*Globicephala melas*) (upper middle, lower middle), and a reasonably-accurate drawing of a Risso's dolphin (*Grampus griseus*) (bottom).



Plate from Gervais (1853), showing accurate and recognizable paintings of *Sotalia* spp. (upper and middle), and an Amazon river dolphin (*Inia* sp.) (lower). While the proportions are a bit off, these are all easily identifiable to at least genus level.





Plate from Quoy and Gaimard (1824). The top illustration is of a species of dolphin (with two dorsal fins) that is unrecognizable and probably mythical. The upper middle drawing is not identifiable to species, but probably is a species of *Lagenorhynchus*. The two lower drawings show the body shape, but a poor representation of the color pattern of an hourglass dolphin (*Lagenorhynchus cruciger*). Fortunately, the location of capture and general pattern of white and black allow it to be identified to species.



Plate from Freminville (1812), with fig. II showing an unrecognizable cetacean that is supposedly a dolphin, but shows more characteristics of a beaked whale (e.g., long, spindle-shaped body, un-notched flukes, dorsal fin set well back on the body). The presence of many teeth in the jaws, however, does not suggest a beaked whale.



## Figure A7

Plate from Quoy and Gaimard (1830), showing dolphins with fin rays. The top painting could be of either the genus *Delphinus* or *Stenella*, but other information allowed it to be identified as a common dolphin (*Delphinus delphis*). The bottom painting, while not very accurate, shows enough diagnostic features to be identifiable as a dusky dolphin (*Lagenorhynchus obscurus*).

# Appendix B: Short biographies of the major describers of delphinoid cetaceans

A large proportion of the 350 or so species of dolphins and porpoises have been described by a few biologists. As these men had a great impact on this field, it is instructive to know about their work and their professional (and personal) lives. The short biographies below provide some background on 11 of those that I perceive to be the most important such natural historians.

## Edward Drinker Cope (1840-1897)

One of the premier American zoologists of the nineteenth century, Edward Cope was well known, sometimes revered and other times despised, for his strongly-held intellectual and socio-political views. At the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia, he studied nearly all aspects of zoology, but was best known for his work on fishes, amphibians, and reptiles, including studies of both extant and fossil species. Despite his almost superhuman publication record (with over 1,400 papers, books, and monographs), he did not publish extensively on living marine mammals (and he apologized for this in one of his late-career papers, blaming "incompetence" at his institution for this shortcoming). Nevertheless, he described more species of dolphins and porpoises (20) than anyone else, other than John Gray. None of his species of delphinoid cetaceans are recognized today as valid.

## Jean Léopold Nicolas Frédéric, Baron Cuvier (known as Georges Cuvier) (1769-1832)

Perhaps one of the two greatest biologists of the nineteenth century (the other being Charles Darwin), French zoologist Georges Cuvier<sup>1</sup> had extensive influence in several areas of natural history, including through his revolutionary (but ultimately, largely wrong) ideas about the evolution of life and the extinction of species. He published massive numbers of papers and books; his bibliography lists 908 of them. Most importantly, he produced several of the most influential treatises of the day on the animal kingdom, with detailed coverage of both living and extinct species. He is still known today as the founder of comparative anatomy. Although he did not describe a large number of dolphin and porpoise species, a higher proportion of Cuvier's species are considered valid today than those of any other biologist (4 of 11).

## John Edward Gray (1800-1875)

British biologist John Gray described more than three times as many dolphins and porpoises (73 species in total) than any other person. Gray was associated with the British Museum (Natural History) for his entire career and was Keeper of Zoology from 1840 until his death in 1875. He published extensively, producing at least 1,164 publications on a wide variety of natural history topics, with marine mammals as a major focus of his later career. Gray was not a theorist, nor a field biologist, and largely stayed at his post in the museum compiling lists and catalogs of specimens that were being collected by British colonial expeditions and voyages. He is remembered as a highly productive, but somewhat sloppy and ornery, naturalist of the Victorian era. See Appendix C for a more detailed and thorough biography of Gray.

## Bernard Germain Etienne de Laville-sur-llon Lacépède (1756-1826)

Lacépède was a French zoologist who wrote one of the very first compilations and reviews of the cetaceans covering those species known at the start of the nineteenth century. This book, *Histoire Naturelle des Cetacees*, was first published in 1804 and was widely cited and reprinted in various forms over the following decades. It provided color illustrations of the known species, and Lacépède added several new species that he described himself. Most of his 13 species are no longer valid, and his species accounts tended to be very flowery and somewhat fanciful; nonetheless his works form an important part of the early taxonomic history of the Cetacea.

## Rene Primevere Lesson (1794-1849)

Rene Lesson was a French naturalist and surgeon of the nineteenth century. His specialties were ornithology and herpetology, but he also published several books and monographs on mammals, including cetaceans. Early in his career, he served as a naturalist on the global voyage of the French vessel, *La Coquille* (1822–1825), on which he collected many natural history specimens. A fairly large number (15) of small cetaceans were among the species he described (some from the voyage of *La Coquille*), but the vast majority of them are no longer considered valid species.

#### Sir Richard Owen (1804-1892)

Perhaps best known for his work on terrestrial mammals, British biologist Sir Richard Owen was a super-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Georges Cuvier's younger brother, Frederic, also published important works on cetaceans.

star of nineteenth-century natural history. He had a long career and died at the ripe old age of 89 years. Owen was a proponent of the practice and promise of comparative anatomy, and served at the Royal College of Surgeons and later the British Museum for many years. His biological worldview, based on comparative anatomy, was largely replaced with the advent of evolutionary biology resulting from the publication of Darwin's On the Origin of Species in 1859. Owen famously feuded with several contemporaries over both scientific and administerial approaches and interpretations. He described the false killer whale (Phocaena [now Pseudorca] crassidens), based on a subfossil specimen, and thought the species likely extinct (he was wrong). His greatest contribution to the field was a monograph on small cetaceans of India, which gave us several new species of cetaceans, some of which are still valid (and one of which may soon be resurrected). Overall, he described nine species, with three of them still valid.

# Titian Peale (1799-1885)

American Titian Peale, an artist and naturalist, was the son of the famous museum founder Charles Wilson Peale. Titian Peale's single contribution to the taxonomy of the cetaceans was a monograph on the birds and mammals collected during the U.S. Exploring Expedition (known as the "Ex Ex"), which took place using a fleet of vessels from 1838 to 1842. Six new species of dolphins and porpoises were described therein, and two are still recognized as valid. Peale's monograph was perceived to contain too many taxonomic errors and was thus withdrawn (making it now very rare in its original form). A decade later it was replaced by a similar volume authored by John Cassin that included changes to many of the scientific names, but much of the text was nearly identical to Peale's. Although not individually credited, the plates illustrating the cetaceans were likely prepared by Peale.

## Rodolfo Armando Philippi (1808-1904)

Rodolfo Philippi was a Chilean paleontologist and zoologist of German descent. He conducted studies of the cetaceans and pinnipeds of South America while at his museum post in Santiago, Chile. Philippi published a number of important papers on South American dolphins and porpoises. He described eleven new species, none of which is considered valid today.

## Hermann Schlegel (1804-1884)

Although mostly known for his work in ornithology and herpetology, Hermann Schlegel also conducted important studies on marine mammals, small cetaceans prominently among them. Much of his career was spent working in Austria and later in the Netherlands. In 1858 he became the curator of the natural history museum in Leiden (Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie, today known as "Naturalis"). This institute held the third largest collection of marine mammal specimens in Europe at the time, after London and Paris. Schlegel published two important monographs on cetaceans in 1841, naming six new species (though none of these is considered valid today).

## Pierre Joseph Van Beneden (1809-1894)

The Belgian biologist is best known for his massive classic monograph, co-authored with French zoologist Paul Gervais, which included an extensive and beautifullyillustrated atlas of large plates of the skeletal structures of both living and fossil cetaceans (it was published in parts between 1868 and 1880). That monograph has remained invaluable to any person interested in the detailed structure of cetacean bones and skulls. Van Beneden wrote and published extensively on cetaceans, mostly in French, though most of his work is not well known to scientists today. He described seven species of dolphins and porpoises, but only two of them are still considered valid.

## Arend Friedrich August Wiegmann (1802-1841)

Wiegmann was a German zoologist who mainly studied reptiles and amphibians, but is also well known for founding the journal Archiv für Naturgeschichte. As far as I know, Wiegmann never did any work on marine mammals, but he was nonetheless assigned to complete the cetacean sections of Schreber's Die Saugethiere (after the death of lead author, Schreber). However, Wiegmann was unable to complete the task, as he also died at an early age (38 years). Before he died, though, he commissioned several plates introducing new species names. Under the rules of the ICZN, these names established before 1931 with an "indication" (the illustrations in the plates) are available. Nine dolphin and porpoise names are therefore credited to him, though none of these is still considered valid. See Appendix F for more details on the authorship and dating of relevant marine mammal sections of Schreber's Die Saugethiere.

# Appendix C: John Edward Gray (1800–1875): his contributions to marine mammal biology

#### Introduction

No one has described more nominal species of delphinoid cetaceans than John Edward Gray (Fig. C1). Gray spent his entire career at the British Museum (Natural History). He was Assistant in the Department from 1824 to 1840, and then Keeper of Zoology from 1840 until his death in 1875, a period in which British exploring expeditions were bringing back zoological specimens at a pace that had never been seen before (Gunther, 1975). He is, without a doubt, one of the most important scientists in the early history of marine mammal research. Early in his career, Gray stated that one of his main aims at the British Museum was to amass the largest and best collection of zoological specimens in the world. In this, he was undoubtedly successful, because during his tenure the British Museum grew from a poorly organized and undocumented small collection to the largest set of zoological material in the world. This accomplishment was due to Gray's efficiency, ambition, and boundless energy (Gunther, 1975). He is credited by many for a ceaseless push to advance the natural history department of the museum, while battling the museum trustees, who were more interested in developing other areas at the time. Gray was not a field biologist and did not have a graduate degree, but having grown up in a family with many naturalists, was an expert on multiple taxa.

### Importance of Gray's work on marine mammals

Gray published extensively throughout his career. The published list of his books, memoirs, and papers lists 1,162 references, and I know of two additional ones that seem to have been inadvertently left off the list, making the total 1,164 (Gray and Saunders, 1875).

His most important contributions are the monograph "On the cetaceous animals," contained in the zoology section of Ross' voyage of the H.M.S. *Erebus* and *Terror* (Gray, 1846)<sup>1</sup>, and five catalogs that documented and described the marine mammal specimens in the British Museum (Gray, 1850, 1866, 1868, 1871, 1874). These publications provided type descriptions of a large proportion of the nominal species of marine mammals, and some are still recognized as valid species. He also authored many of the genera of marine mammals. As a colleague, Gray could, at times, be very kind and supportive, but at other times, was a brash, arrogant antagonist, often willing to indulge his desire to say whatever popped into his head, no matter how degrading. Sir Joseph Hooker, the naturalist on the voyage of the H.M.S. *Erebus* and *Terror*, who was well acquainted with Gray, captured it well when he said (Huxley, 1918, p. 73): "Dr. Gray had a loosetongued habit, if anyone came under his criticism, of heaping reckless abuse upon him, quite out of proportion and often self-contradictory... Dr. Gray is not really malignant... he has all the attributes of malignancy, except malignance – there then!"

#### Over-splitting, errors, and other problems

Gray was prolific, and he made many contributions to marine mammal biology. But, he had a mixed reputation among his contemporaries, as well as among later workers, because: 1) His contributions were mainly descriptive, and he contributed little to hypotheses or general concepts of marine mammal biology, and 2) Gray was primarily concerned with quickly documenting and revealing to a larger audience the massive collections that were being acquired by the British Museum. Many believe that he was concerned more with quantity of publications than with quality. His papers and catalogs show evidence of this, containing a surprising number of errors, contradictions, and other blunders.

Although there is little doubt that his colleagues were well aware of these deficiencies, it was not until eight years after Gray's death (in 1875) that an honest criticism of his work with marine mammals was published. This came from his colleague, William Henry Flower, who had taken over curatorship of marine mammal specimens at the British Museum, and found them in a state of "chaos" (Flower, 1884, p. 467): "[Gray's] tendency to multiply divisions and impose names almost at random, his want of accuracy in description, and his defective anatomical knowledge, are exhibited in his writings on this group in their fullest development. Individual peculiarities, or such as are the effects of immaturity ... or of accidental mutilation ... or of mistaken impressions gathered from imperfect photographic representations ... are made the foundations of generic distinctions, which are maintained in successive catalogues and lists, notwithstanding the exposure of the errors upon which they were based. Specimens between which no one else finds any specific distinction are placed in different genera... Even the same individual specimen occurs twice over in the same list in different genera...".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It is noteworthy, considering the number of new species described therein, that Gray's "On the cetaceous animals" (1846), though published in the official results of the 1838-1843 voyage of the H.M.S. *Erebus* and *Terror* (known as the Ross Antarctic Expedition), contains virtually no information about specimens collected during that voyage. In fact, it is possible that none of the new cetacean species described in that paper were actually collected during the voyage.

It seems likely that American zoologist Frederick W. True (1889) had Gray in mind when he vented his frustration about the state of dolphin taxonomy. True clearly recognized that some variation associated with each character will be natural in any population, and that these do not necessarily indicate separate species. Gray, on the other hand, was well known for describing new species based on a single specimen, sometimes quite incomplete or damaged. He seemed to have had little appreciation for the concepts of sexual, developmental, or individual variation in characters, much less of intraspecific geographic variation (which we now recognize as the raw material of evolution). The latter may be partly accounted for by his devout religious faith and strong conviction, maintained until his death, that species did not evolve. He rejected and argued against Darwin's theory of evolution by natural selection (Darwin, 1859).

#### Conclusion

Gray's work on cetaceans gets mixed reviews overall, but the assessment of Gunther (1975) that "his descriptions of cetaceans, derived from single specimens that had not turned up for over a hundred years, have proved correct" is not accurate (see above). In fact, most of Gray's described cetacean species are no longer recognized as valid. Today, it can be extremely frustrating to go through all of the nominal species that he introduced with minimal description, and based on only one or a few specimens. It has made determining proper nomenclature for many cetaceans a thorny mess. Nonetheless, we owe the type descriptions of many species of cetaceans to his efforts, and his work remains a crucial part of the early history of marine mammal taxonomy.

#### Literature cited

#### Darwin, C.

- 1859. On the origin of species by means of natural selection, or the preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life, 513 p. J. Murray, London.
- Flower, W. H.

1884. On the characters and divisions of the family Delphinidae. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1883:466–513. [Although the date imprinted on the header of the paper is 20 November 1883, a handwritten note by the author (Flower) on a reprint sent to Agassiz indicates that it was actually published on 1 April 1884.]

Gray, J. E.

- 1846. On the cetaceous animals. *In* The zoology of the voyage of H.M.S. *Erebus* and *Terror*, under the command of Captain Sir James Clark Ross, R.N., FR.S., during the years 1839 to 1843. Volume 1: Mammalia, birds (J. Richardson and J. E. Gray, eds.), p. 13–53. E. W. Janson, London.
- 1850. Catalogue of the specimens of Mammalia in the collection of the British Museum. Part 1, Cetacea, 153 p., part 2, seals, 48 p. Order of the Trustees, London.



Painting by Thomas Herbert Maguire, of John Edward Gray, at age 51. [Source: Wikimedia Commons.]

- 1866. Catalogue of seals and whales in the British Museum, 2nd ed., 402 p. Order of the Trustees, London.
- 1868. Synopsis of the species of whales and dolphins in the collection of the British Museum, 10 p., 37 plates. Bernard Quartitch, London.
- 1871. Supplement to the catalogue of seals and whales in the British Museum, 103 p. Order of the Trustees, London.
- 1874. Hand-list of seals, morses, sea-lions, and sea-bears in the British Museum, 43 p., 30 plates. Order of the Trustees, London.

Gray, J. E., and J. Saunders.

1875. List of the books, memoirs, and miscellaneous papers by Dr. John Edward Gray, F.R.S., 58 p. Printed for private distribution, London. [Although the imprint date on the title page is 1872, Saunders later corrected the publication date to be 1875.]

Gunther, A. E.

1975. A century of zoology at the British Museum through the lives of two keepers, 1815-1914, 533 p. Dawsons of Pall Mall, London.

Huxley, L.

1918. Life and letters of Sir Joseph Dalton Hooker, O.M., G.C.S.I., based on materials collected and arranged by Lady Hooker, 2 vols. J. Murray, London.

True, F. W.

1889. Contributions to the natural history of the cetaceans. A review of the family Delphinidae. Bull. U.S. Nat. Mus. 36:1–191.

# Appendix D: Museum and collection acronyms

AM: Australian Museum, Sydney, Australia

AMNH: American Museum of Natural History, New York City, USA

ANSP: Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, PA, USA

FMNH: Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, IL, USA

IFAN: Institut Fondamental d'Afrique Noir, Dakar, Senegal

LACM: Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History, Los Angeles, CA, USA

MACN-Ma: Museo Argentino de Ciências Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia," Buenos Aires, Argentina

MCZ: Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

MLP: Museo de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina

MNHN: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France

MNHNS: Museo Nacional de Historia Natural, Santiago, Chile

MTQ: Museum of Tropical Queensland, Townsville, Australia

NHMD: Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen

NHMO: Natural History Museum, Oslo, Norway

NHMUK: Natural History Museum, London, UK (formerly the British Museum of Natural History, BMNH)

NSMT: National Science Museum, Tokyo, Japan

PEM: Port Elizabeth Museum, South Africa

QM: Queensland Museum, Brisbane, Australia

**RMHNB:** Musée Royal d'Histoire Naturelle de Belgique, Brussels, Belgium

RMNH: Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, Netherlands

SMNS: Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde, Stuttgart, Germany

SWFSC: Southwest Fisheries Science Center (Marine Mammal Synoptic Collection), La Jolla, CA, USA

USNM: National Museum of Natural History (formerly United States National Museum), Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA

WAM: Western Australian Museum, Perth, Australia

ZAM: South African Museum, Capetown, South Africa

ZMA: Zoological Museum, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

ZMB: Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, Germany

**ZRC**: Zoological Record Collection, National University of Singapore, Singapore

# Appendix E: Glossary of taxonomic nomenclature terms<sup>1</sup>

Availability: a kind of status that requires that a name must be taken into account as a part of zoological nomenclature. Names that are not available effectively do not exist for the purposes of zoological nomenclature, and cannot enter into synonymy or homonymy, nor can they be used as the names of taxa. For instance, a *nomen nudum* or any name published before 1758 is considered unavailable.

**Basionym:** the original name on which a new name is based; also called original combination or protonym.

**Emendation:** an intentional change in the original spelling of an available name. The change must be consciously made, along with justification for altering the spelling originally used by the taxon author while describing the species. Any other spelling changes are considered to be unjustified. Valid emendations include changes made to correct.

Holotype: a single type specimen upon which the description and name of a new species is based.

**Homonym:** a name that is spelled the same as another name, which is in common use, but established for a different nominal taxon. A junior homonym cannot be a valid scientific name for a taxon.

*Incertae sedis:* Latin for "of uncertain placement." This is a term used for a taxonomic group where its broader relationships are unknown or undefined. Alternatively, such groups are frequently referred to as "enigmatic taxa." In a synonymy, such a species name is usually called a *nomen dubium*.

**Indication:** in the case of a name proposed before 1931 in which no description or type specimen was provided, a reference to previously published information or an act, which serves to satisfy the conditions of ICZN Articles 10 and 11, making the name available (i.e., not a *nomen nudum*).

**Junior synonym:** a name that describes the same taxon as a previously published name; the later published of two or more names based on specimens considered to be conspecific. Lapsus calami: literally "slip of the pen." Similar to *lapsus manus* (slip of the hand), these terms are used to refer to situations in which an unintended spelling error is made in the use of scientific names in published literature. Although they may be listed in synonymies, these are not considered to be new scientific names, as they are variations that were introduced unintentionally.

Lectotype: a specimen later selected to serve as the single type specimen for species originally described from a set of syntypes. In zoology, a lectotype is a kind of name-bearing type.

*Nomen conservandum* (or conserved name): a name otherwise unavailable or invalid that the ICZN, by the use of its plenary power (through a vote of the commission members), has enabled to be used as a valid name by removal of the known obstacles to such use.

*Nomen correctum:* a name with change of spelling, because of incorrect spelling of stem form (rarely used in cetology).

*Nomen dubium:* a name that is of unknown or doubtful application. It may be impossible to determine whether a specimen belongs to that group or not. This may happen if the original type series is lost or destroyed, or if its name-bearing type is fragmentary or lacking important diagnostic features.

*Nomen inquirendum:* name that should be investigated (rarely used in cetology).

*Nomen novum:* new replacement name, proposed as a direct substitute for an invalid existing name.

*Nomen nudum:* a name that looks like a scientific name, and may have originally been intended to be one, but fails to be one because it has not (or has not yet) been published with an adequate description. Note that names published before 1931 can be accompanied by an "indication" instead of a description, which can simply be a reference to a previously-published description, or even just an illustration. A *nomen nudum* is a "bare" or "naked" name, and is unavailable. It can be made available if it is published again in a way that meets the criteria of availability; however, if this is done it is attributed to the author who first made it available, not the person who first used it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Definitions adapted from Wikipedia <a href="https://www.wikipedia.org/">https://www.wikipedia.org/</a> and *The International Code of Zoological Nomenclature*, Fourth Edition. 1999. 366 p. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature.

*Nomen oblitum:* a name shown not to have been used as a valid name within the scientific community since 1899, and when it is either a senior synonym or a homonym, and when the preferred junior synonym or homonym has been shown to have been in wide use in 25 or more publications in the past few decades. Once formally declared to be a *nomen oblitum*, the disused name is to be "forgotten." By the same act, the next available name must be declared to be a *nomen protectum*; from then on, the latter takes precedence.

*Nomen protectum:* a specific kind of conserved name, which is a junior synonym given precedence over a senior synonym, primarily when the senior name has not been used since 1899, and the junior name is in common use. The older name becomes a *nomen oblitum*, and the junior name is declared a *nomen protectum*. A ruling of the ICZN is not required.

**Objective synonym:** one based on the same type material as another (earlier) named form. Because it is based on type material, it cannot be disputed. This may be species-group taxa of the same rank with the same type specimen, genus-group taxa of the same rank with the same type species, or, if their type species are themselves objective synonyms, family-group taxa with the same type genus.

**Paratype:** a specimen of an organism that helps define what the scientific name of a species and other taxon actually represents, but it is not the holotype. Often there is more than one paratype, and they are usually held in museum research collections.

Principle of homonymy: this is the principle that the

name of each taxon must be unique. Consequently, a name that is a junior homonym of another name must not be used as a valid name.

**Principle of priority:** this is the principle that the correct formal scientific name for an animal taxon, the valid name, is the oldest available name that applies to it. It is the most important principle — the fundamental guiding precept that preserves zoological nomenclature stability.

Senior synonym: the earliest published name of a taxon. In most cases, this is the specific name applied to the taxon.

**Subjective synonym:** one of two or more different names that a specialist considers to belong to the same taxon. Because they do not share a type, the synonymy is open to taxonomic judgment, or opinion, they may be disputed or changed.

**Syntype:** each of a set of type specimens of equal status, upon which the description and name of a new species is based; sometimes called co-types.

**Type series:** the full set of type specimens of equal status, upon which the description and name of a new species is based.

Validity: a valid name is the correct name for a taxon, i.e., the oldest potentially valid name of a name-bearing type that falls within an author's concept of the taxon. "Potentially valid" means the name must be available, but not otherwise invalid for any other reason, such as being a junior homonym.

# Appendix F: Species names originating in Schreber's Die Säugthiere in Abbildungen

Several small cetacean species names originated from a 7-volume (plus supplements and plates) semipopular German series on the mammals of the world published between 1774 and 1855. The reference for the complete set of volumes is:

Schreber, J. C. D., G. A. Goldfuss, and J. A. Wagner. 1774–1855. Die Säugthiere in Abbildungen nach der Natur, mit Beschreibungen, 7 vols. Erlangen, Leipzig, Germany.

The marine mammal species names appear in the final volume of the main 7-volume set (Vol. 7, authored by Wagner and published in 1847, although the title page lists 1846; Fig. F1), but the authorship and dates associated with the names have been confused in the marine mammal literature for many decades. Usually the text volume is cited incorrectly as Wagner (1846). The text of Wagner may have been available to some in 1846, but the volume that contains both text and plates was apparently published in 1847 (Poche, 1911).

This treatise on mammals was started by J. C. D. Schreber in 1774, but he died in 1810 before it could be completed. Work on the final volume covering pinnipeds and cetaceans (including sirenians) was then undertaken by J. A. Wagner, but he transferred some of the work to Prof. A. F. A. Wiegmann of Berlin, who commissioned preparation of the plates that were to accompany the text. Wiegmann also died (in 1841), before completing his work, and so it eventually fell back to Wagner. Volume 7 was finally published in 1847 with Wagner as sole author, but also listing Schreber as the main author of the series. However, several of the plates for this volume (which are referenced in Volume 7 as part of the same work) were apparently available as early as 1840 in a separate release (Poche, 1911).

As was often the case in the nineteenth century, the plates were issued and distributed at different times. There has been much confusion ever since, due to the fact that in some versions, the plates for marine mammals were not published in the same volume as the text; this is indeed the case in the version in the Biodiversity Heritage Library archives. Plates were probably issued and distributed as they became ready, and with whatever text was being published at the time, thus often appearing out of sequence (see Perrin, 2001), and this has made determining the specific publication dates for these very challenging. It is clear that some of the relevant plates were seen and referenced by a number of authors at various times between 1840 and 1846. Poche (1911) was only able to identify dates ranges (not exact years) for many of these plates, and I have used the earliest year in the range as the assumed date of publication for each. With this in mind, the actual publication of the plates for *Delphinus breviceps, brevimanus, chamissonis, fulvifasciatus, hamatus, loriger,* and *pseudodelphis* dates to 1840 and should be credited to Wiegmann (1840); however, *Delphinus carbonarius* and *roseiventris* should be dated as Wiegmann (1844) (Poche, 1911).

In conclusion, there are two published volumes in this series that deal with the delphinoid cetaceans. They should be cited as follows, and are treated as such in the present work:

- Wiegmann, A. F. A. 1840, 1844. Die Säugethiere in Abbildungen nach der Natur, mit Beschreibungen (Plates 281-385), 105 plates. Erlangen, Leipzig, Germany. (This is the batch containing the marine mammal plates for Delphinus breviceps, brevimanus, carbonarius, chamissonis, fulvifasciatus, hamatus, loriger, pseudodelphis, and roseiventris [plates 352, 358-362, 368, 369] with no text.)
- Wagner, J. A. 1847. Die Säugethiere in Abbildungen nach der Natur, mit Berschreibungen, vol. 7, 427 p. Erlangen, Leipzig, Germany. (This is the marine mammal volume with species text descriptions; the relevant plates are referenced in an appendix herein, and were included in this final version published in 1847.)

#### Literature cited

#### Perrin, W. F.

2001. *Stenella attenuata*. Mammal. Species 2001 (683):1– 8. https://doi.org/10.1644/1545-1410(2001)683<0001: SA>2.0.CO;2

Poche, F.

1911. Über den Inhalt und die Erscheinungszeiten der einzelnen Teile, Hefte etc. und die verscheidenen Ausgaben des Schreber'schen Säugetierwerkes (1774–1855). Arch. fur Naturgesch. 77 (Band 1, Suppl. 4):124–183.



Erlangen,

in ber Erpedition bes Schreber'schen Saugthier = und bes Esper'schen Schmetterlingswerkes, und in Commission ber Bog'schen Buchhandlung in Leipzig.

1846.

#### **Figure F1**

The title page of Wagner's volume covering the marine mammals (cetaceans, pinnipeds, and sirenians), bearing the date 1846, but actually published in 1847.

# Appendix G: List of named species of dolphins, porpoises, and small whales

Current species	Nominal species	Authority	Page #	Avail?	Valid?	Status	SPEC	Type dispos.	Notes
Narwhal – Monodon monoceros	Monodon monoceros	Linnaeus, 1758	75	Х	X	Valid name	M MONO	No type	
monoceroe	Ceratodontis ceratodon	Brisson, 1762	231	Х		Junior synonym	M MONO	No type?	
	Monodon narwhal	Blumenbach, 1779	142	X		Junior synonym	M MONO	No type?	
	Monodon narhval	Borowski, 1781	8	X		Junior synonym	M MONO	No type?	
	Narwalus vulgaris	Lacépède, 1804	142	X		Junior synonym	M MONO	No type?	
	Narwalus microcephalus	Lacépède, 1804	159	X		Junior synonym	M MONO	No type?	
	Narwalus andersonianus	Lacépède, 1804	163	X		Junior synonym	M MONO	No type?	
	Ceratodon monodon	Pallas, 1811	295	X		Junior synonym	M MONO	No type?	
	Tachynices megacephalus	Brookes, 1828	40	X		Junior synonym	M MONO	No type?	
Beluga – Delphin- apterus leucas	Cetus albicans	Brisson, 1762	359	X		Nomen oblitum	D LEU	No type	
apterus leucas	Delphinus leucas	Pallas, 1776	85(fn)	X	X	Nomen protectum	D LEU	No type	
	D[elphinus] phocaena albus	Kerr, 1792	363	X		Not a species name	D LEU	No type?	Subspecies/variety name
	Delphinapterus beluga	Lacépède, 1804	243	X		Junior synonym	D LEU	?	
	Delphinus canadensis	Desmarest, 1822	516	X		Junior synonym	D LEU	2	
	Delphinus (Delphinapterus?) kingii	Gray, 1827	375	X		Junior synonym	D LEU	NHMUK	
	Beluga borealis	Lesson, 1828	440			Nomen nudum	D LEU	No type	
	Beluga glacialis	Lesson, 1838	plate 3, fig. 2	Х		Junior synonym	D LEU	No type	
	Beluga catodon	Gray, 1846	29	Х		Junior synonym	D LEU	NHMUK	
	Beluga declivis	Cope, 1865a	278	Х		Junior synonym	D LEU	MCZ	
	Beluga concreta	Cope, 1865a	278	X		Junior synonym	D LEU	ANSP	
	Beluga rhinodon	Cope, 1865a	278	X		Junior synonym	D LEU	ANSP	
	Beluga angustata	Cope, 1866	293	X		Junior synonym	D LEU	ANSP	
	Delphinapterus freimani	Klumov, 1935	255	X		Junior synonym	D LEU	?	
	Delphinapterus dorofeevi	Barabash & Klumov, 1935	24	X		Junior synonym	D LEU	?	
Irrawaddy dolphin – Orcaella brevirostris	Phocaena (Orca) brevirostris	Gray, 1866a (Owen in)	285	Х	X	Valid name	O BRE	NHMUK	
Creating Creatingship	Orcaella fluminalis	Gray, 1871 (Anderson in)	80	Х		Junior synonym	O BRE	Indian Muse- um, Calcutta	
Australian snubfin dolphin – Orcaella heinsohni	Orcaella heinsohni	Beasley et al., 2005	378	Х	X	Valid name	O HEI	QM	
Killer whale – Orcinus orca	[Delphinus] orca	Linnaeus, 1758	77	Х	Х	Valid name	O ORC	No type	See Kinze (2018) for contro- versy over this name
	Delphinus serra	Borowski, 1781	38	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	No type	
	Physeter microps	Fabricius, 1780	44	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	No type	
	D[elphinus] gladiator	Bonnaterre, 1789	23	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	NHMUK Lost?	No type?
	Delphinus duhameli	Lacépède, 1804	314	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	No type	
	Delphinus grampus	Desmarest, 1817	168	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	No type	Homonym of <i>Delphinus</i> grampus Gray, 1846
	Orca capensis	Gray, 1846	34	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	NHMUK Lost?	
	Delphinus victorini	Grill, 1858	21	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	No type?	
	Orca eschrichtii	Eschricht, 1866 (Rein- hardt in)	188	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	NHMD	Eschricht (1866) is Engl. Transl. of original from 1862
	O[rca] schlegelii	Lilljeborg, 1866	235	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	Bergen Mus	
	Orca magellanica	Burmeister, 1866	99	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	MACN-Ma -Lost?	
	Orca ater	Scammon, 1869 (Cope in)	57	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	No type	

Current species	Nominal species	Authority	Page #	Avail?	Valid?	Status	SPEC	Type dispos.	Notes
-	Orca rectipinna	Scammon, 1869 (Cope in)	55	X		Junior synonym	O ORC	No type	
	Orca stenorhyncha	Gray, 1870	71	X		Junior synonym	O ORC	NHMUK	
	Orca latirostris	Gray, 1870	76	X		Junior synonym	O ORC	NHMUK	
	Orca pacifica	Gray, 1870	76	X		Junior synonym	O ORC	NHMUK	
	Orca africana	Gray, 1871	91	X		Junior synonym	O ORC	No type?	
	Orca tasmanica	Gray, 1871	92	X		Junior synonym	O ORC	No type?	
	Orca minor	Malm, 1871	81	X		Junior synonym	O ORC	Goteborg Mus	
	Orca antarctica	Fischer, 1876	146	X		Junior synonym	O ORC	No type	
		11schc1, 1876	140	Λ		Junior synonym	O OKC	Notype	Inadequate description;
	Orcinus nanus	Mikhalev et al., 1981	564	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	No type	Mitchell (1985) and Rice (1998) considered it a nomen nudum
	Orcinus mörzer-bruynsus	Heintzelman, 1981	24			Not available name	O ORC	No type	Based on description in Mörzer Bryuns (1971); name not properly designated
	Orcinus glacialis	Berzin & Vladimirov, 1982	31	Х		Junior synonym	O ORC	TINRO Mus -discarded	Mitchell (1985) considered it a <i>nomen nudum</i>
Long-finned pilot whale – <i>Globicephala</i> <i>melas</i>	Delphinus melas	Traill, 1809	31	Х	Х	Valid name	G MEL	NHMUK	And senior homonym of Delphinus melas Schlegel, 1841
	Delphinus globiceps	G. Cuvier, 1812	14	Х		Junior synonym	G MEL	?	
	Delphinus deductor	Scoresby, 1820	496	Х		Junior synonym	G MEL	?	
	Delphinus grinda	Lyngbye, 1826	232	Х		Junior synonym	G MEL	No type?	
	Delphinus intermedius	Harlan, 1827	51	Х		Junior synonym	G MEL	Acad Nat Sci, Philadelphia	Homonym of Delphinus intermedius Gray, 1827
	Phocaena globiceps	Lesson, 1827	416	Х		Junior synonym	G MEL	NHMUK	Date is uncertain; pos- sibly Globicephalus macrorhynchus
	Phocaena edwardii	Smith, 1834	239	Х		Junior synonym	G MEL	NHMUK or Mus Hist Nat Bordeaux	
	Globicephalus conductor	Rapp, 1837	34	Х		Junior synonym	G MEL	?	
	Globicephalus affinis	Gray, 1846	32	Х		Junior synonym	G MEL	Hunterian Museum	
	Globicephalus svineval	Gray, 1846	32	Х		Junior synonym	G MEL	Hunterian Museum	
	Delphinus grampus	Gray, 1846	32			Junior homonym	G MEL	Hunterian Museum	Unavailable – junior hom- onym of <i>Delphinus gram-</i> <i>pus</i> Desmarest, 1817
	Globiocephalus incrassatus	Gray, 1861	309	Х		Junior synonym	G MEL	NHMUK	
	Globicephalus chiliensis	Philippi, 1895	7	Х		Junior synonym	G MEL	NHM, Santiago	
	Delphinus melaena	Thomas, 1898	99	Х		Emendation	G MEL	?	
	Globicephala leucosagmaphora	Rayner, 1939	543	X		Junior synonym	G MEL	NHMUK	
Short-finned pilot whale – Globicephala macrorhynchus	Globicephalus macrorhynchus	Gray, 1846	33	Х	Х	Valid name	G MAC	NHMUK	Gray later gave <i>macrorhyn-</i> <i>chus</i> priority over <i>sieboldii</i>
	Globicephalus sieboldii	Gray, 1846	32	Х		Junior synonym	G MAC	RMNH	
	Delphinus fuscus	Reichenbach, 1846	77	Х		Junior synonym	G MAC	No type?	
	Globicephalus indicus	Blyth, 1852	358	Х		Junior synonym	G MAC	Indian Muse- um, Calcutta	
	Globicephalus scammonii	Scammon, 1869 (Cope in)	21	Х		Junior synonym	G MAC	USNM	
	Globiocephalus propinquus	Malm, 1871	85	Х		Junior synonym	G MAC	Goteborg Mus	
G	Globiocephalus guadaloupensis	Gray, 1871	84			Nomen nudum	G MAC	MNHN	

Current species	Nominal species	Authority	Page #	Avail?	Valid?	Status	SPEC	Type dispos.	Notes
	Globicephalus sibo	Gray, 1871	85	Х		Junior synonym	G MAC	No type?	
	Globicephalus brachypterus	Cope, 1876	129	Х		Junior synonym	G MAC	Acad Nat Sci, Philadelphia	
False killer whale – Pseudorca crassidens	Delphinus dalippus	Rafinesque Schmaltz, 1814	13	Х		Senior synonym	P CRA	No type	Name needs to be declare a nomen oblitum
	Phocaena crassidens	Owen, 1846	516	Х	X	Valid name	P CRA	Lost	
	Orca meridionalis	Flower, 1864	420	Х		Junior synonym	P CRA	NHMUK	
	Orca destructor	Cope, 1866	293	Х		Junior synonym	P CRA	USNM	
	Globicephalus grayi	Burmeister, 1868	367	Х		Junior synonym	P CRA	MACN-Ma -Lost?	
	Pseudorca? mediterranea	Giglioli, 1882	289	Х		Junior synonym	P CRA	Florence Mus; Zool. Mus. Palermo	
Pygmy killer whale – Feresa attenuata	Delphinus intermedius	Gray, 1827	376	Х		Junior homonym	F ATT	NHMUK	Junior homonym of <i>Delphinus intermedius</i> Harlan, 1827
	Feresa attenuata	Gray, 1874	184	Х	X	Valid name	F ATT	NHMUK	
	Feresa occulta	Jones & Packard, 1956	167	Х		Junior synonym	F ATT	No type	
Melon-headed whale – Peponocephala electra	Lagenorhynchus electra	Gray, 1846	35	Х	X	Valid name	P ELE	NHMUK	
	Lagenorhynchus asia	Gray, 1846	35	Х		Junior synonym	P ELE	NHMUK	
	Phocoena pectoralis	Peale, 1849	32	Х		Junior synonym	P ELE	USNM	
	Delphinus (Lageno- rhynchus) fusiformis	Owen, 1866	22	Х		Junior synonym	P ELE	NHMUK	
	Electra obtusa	Gray, 1868	7	Х		Junior synonym	P ELE	No type	
Risso's dolphin – Grampus griseus	Delphinus griseus	G. Cuvier, 1812	14	X	X	Valid name	G GRI	MNHN	
5.11.1.p. 10 8.1111	[Delphinus] aires	G. Cuvier, 1812	12, P.1	Х		Junior synonym	G GRI	No type?	
	Delphinus rissoanus	Desmarest, 1822	519	X		Junior synonym	G GRI	No type?	
	D[elphinus] risso	Risso, 1826	23	X		Junior synonym	G GRI	No type?	
	Globicephalus rissii	Hamilton, 1837	219	X		Junior synonym	G GRI	No type?	
	Grampus cuvieri	Gray, 1846	31	X		Junior synonym	G GRI	No type	
	Grampus sakamata	Gray, 1846	31	Х		Junior synonym	G GRI	MNHN?	
	Grampus richardsoni	Gray, 1850	85	Х		Junior synonym	G GRI	NHMUK	
	Grampus stearnsii	Dall, 1873	13	X		Junior synonym	G GRI	USNM	
	Grampus sowerbianus	Fischer, 1881	210	X		Junior synonym	G GRI	Bordeaux Mus.	
	Grampidelphis kuzira	Iredale & Troughton, 1933	34	Х		Junior synonym	G GRI	No type?	
	Grampidelphis exilis	Iredale & Troughton, 1933	32	Х		Junior synonym	G GRI	AM	
Fucuxi – Sotalia Iuviatilis	Delphinus fluviatilis	Gervais, 1853 (Gervais & Deville in)	148	Х	X	Valid name	S FLU	MNHN	
	Delphinus pallidus	Gervais, 1855	94	Х		Junior synonym	S FLU	MNHN	
	Steno tucuxi	Gray, 1856	158	Х		Junior synonym	S FLU	NHMUK	
Guiana dolphin – Sotalia guianensis	Delphinus guianensis	P. J. Van Beneden, 1864	27	Х	X	Valid name	S GUI	MRHNB	
	Sotalia brasiliensis	E. Van Beneden, 1875	8	Х		Junior synonym	S GUI	Louvain Mus., Brussels	
Rough-toothed dolphin - Steno bredanensis	Delphinus bredanensis	Lesson, 1828	206	Х	х	Valid name	S BRE	Ghent Univ. Mus.; syntypes at MNHN?	See Smeenk (2018) for details
	Delphinus chamissonis	Wiegmann, 1840	Pl. 359	Х		Junior synonym	S BRE	No type	No description
	Delphinus planiceps	Schlegel, 1841b	27	Х		Junior synonym	S BRE	RMNH, lost?	
	Delphinus reinwardtii	Schlegel, 1841b	27	Х		Junior synonym	S BRE	RMNH	
	Delphinus compressus	Gray, 1843	105			Nomen nudum	S BRE	NHMUK	
	Delphinus chamissonis	Wagner, 1847	366, pl. 359	Х		Junior synonym	S BRE	?	
	Steno compressus	Gray, 1850	129	Х		Junior synonym	S BRE	NHMUK	

Current species	Nominal species	Authority	Page #	Avail?	Valid?	Status	SPEC	Type dispos.	Notes
	Delphinus (Steno) perspicillatus	Peters, 1877	360	Х		Junior synonym	S BRE	ZMB, Berlin	
Indo-Pacific hump- back dolphin – <i>Sousa</i> <i>chinensis</i>	Delphinus chinensis	Osbeck, 1765	337	Х	Х	Valid name	S CHI	No type; neotype at NHMUK	
	Sotalia borneensis	Lydekker, 1901	88	Х		Junior synonym	S CHI	NHMUK	
	Sousa huangi	Wang, 1999	309	Х		Junior synonym	S CHI	No type	
Indian Ocean hump- back dolphin – <i>Sousa</i> plumbea	Delphinus plumbeus	G. Cuvier, 1829	288	Х	х	Valid name	S PLU	MNHN	
	Delphinus (Steno?) lentiginosus	Owen, 1866	20	Х		Junior synonym	S PLU	NHMUK	
	Sotalia fergusoni	Lydekker, 1904	411	Х		Junior synonym	S PLU	NHMUK	
	Stenopontistes zambezicus	Miranda-Ribiero, 1936	3	Х		Junior synonym	S PLU	Mus. Nac., Rio de Janiero	
Atlantic humpback dolphin – Sousa teuszii	Sotalia teuszii	Kükenthal, 1892	442	Х	Х	Valid name	S TEU	NHMUK	
Australian humpback dolphin – <i>Sousa</i> <i>sahulensis</i>	Sousa queenslandensis	Gaskin, 1972	124			Nomen nudum	S SAH	No type	Name unavailable
	Sousa sahulensis	Jefferson & Rosenbaum, 2014	1526	Х	Х	Valid name	S SAH	MTQ	
Common bottlenose dolphin – <i>Tursiops</i> <i>truncatus</i>	Delphinus tursio	Gunnerus, 1768	111	Х		Nomen oblitum	T TRU	Town Hall of Gdansk -Lost?	Proposed by Kinze (2018) as valid name for common BND; homonym of <i>Delphinus tursio</i> Fabricius, 1780
	Delphinus nesarnack	Lacépède, 1804	307	Х		Nomen oblitum	T TRU	Vet School of Alford?	Suppressed by ICZN
	Delphinus truncatus	Montagu, 1821	75	Х	Х	Nomen conservandum	T TRU	NHMUK	Retained by ICZN
	Delphinus compressicauda	Lesson, 1828	272	Х		Junior synonym	T TRU	NHMUK	
	Delphinus eurynome	Gray, 1846	38	Х		Junior synonym	T TRU	NHMUK	
	Delphinus metis [No. 1]	Gray, 1846	38	Х		Junior synonym	T TRU	NHMUK	Not <i>Delphinus metis</i> [No. 2], for which it is a homonym
	Delphinus cymodoce	Gray, 1846	38	Х		Junior synonym	T TRU	NHMUK	Or Burmiester, 1867
	Delphinus tursio obtusus	Schlegel, 1862	Pl. 13	Х		Not a species name	T TRU	RMNH	Subspecies/variety name
	Delphinus erebennus	Cope, 1865a	281	Х		Junior synonym	T TRU	ANSP	
	Tursiops gillii	Dall, 1873	13	Х		Junior synonym	T TRU	USNM	
	Tursiops subridens	True, 1884	16	Х		Junior synonym	T TRU	USNM	
	Tursiops parvimanus	P. J. Van Beneden, 1886	100			Nomen nudum	T TRU	NHMD	
	Tursiops parvimanus	Lütken, 1887	20			Junior synonym	T TRU	NHMD	
	Tursiops dawsoni	Lydekker, 1909	802	Х		Junior synonym	T TRU	NHMD	
	Tursiops gephyreus	Lahille, 1909	347	Х		Junior synonym	T TRU	MACN-Ma	
	Tursiops nuuanu	Andrews, 1911a	233	Х		Junior synonym	T TRU	AMNH	
	Tursiops maugeanus	Iredale & Troughton, 1934	68	Х		Junior synonym	T TRU	QVMAG	
Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin – <i>Tursiops</i> <i>aduncus</i>	Delphinus aduncus	Hemprich & Ehrenberg, 1832	on p. 6 of	Х	Х	Valid name	T ADU	ZMB, Berlin	Pages are un-numbered
	Delphinus hamatus	Wiegmann, 1840	Pl. 369	Х		Junior synonym	T ADU	No type	No description
	Delphinus abusalam	Rüppell, 1842	140	Х		Junior synonym	T ADU	Senckenberg Mus	
	Delphinus hamatus	Wagner, 1847	324, pl. 369	Х		Junior synonym	T ADU	?	
	Tursiops catalania	Gray, 1862	143	Х		Junior synonym	T ADU	NHMUK	
	Delphinus (Steno?) maculiventer	Owen, 1866	21	Х		Junior synonym	T ADU	NHMUK?	

Security Longituding security Longituding convergence behaves acciventingConvergence preserve in security Longituding convergence security Longituding convergence behaves acciventingConvergence preserve in convergence security Longituding convergence security Longituding security Longituding convergence security Longituding security	Current species	Nominal species	Authority	Page #	Avail?	Valid?	Status	SPEC	Type dispos.	Notes
Immery frageom         Lybelse, PEI         A1         X         Jone spaces         TAUL         MINUP           Immery and all         Database Robbert all         Database Ro		Delphinus (Steno?) gadamu	Owen, 1866	17	Х		Junior synonym	T ADU	NHMUK	
Image		Delphinus salam	P. J. Van Beneden, 1886	111	Х		Junior synonym	T ADU	?	
Internet and the seriesJunt1.5XJunorysongI.RUUPAR.6Delphane longeratinGey, 18281XXValua meSLONBusNPBeorgeratio Covier. 18Semila longicotinGey, 186641.2XMinter symmsSLONNobultxeNobultxeDelphane ancoreGey, 186641.2XMinter symmsSLONNiDAKIntersectingDelphane ancoreGey, 186642.0XMinter symmsSLONNiDAKIntersectingInternetDelphane ancoreGey, 1866356XMinter symmsSLONNiDAKIntersectingInternetDelphane ancoreGey, 1864PL 351XNomen oblumSATTNopelSepresel by CZNInternetDelphane postaduktyWeggeram, 1840PL 351XNomen oblumSATTNopelSepresel by CZNInternetDelphane postaduktyWeggeram, 1840PL 351XNomen oblumSATTNopelSepresel by CZNInternetDelphane postaduktyWeggeram, 1840PL 351XNomen oblumSATTNopelSepresel by CZNInternetDelphane postaduktyWeggeramSATNoneSATTNopelSepresel by CZNInternetDelphane postaduktyGey, 1846PL 30Nomen oblumSATTNopelSepresel by CZNInternetDelphane domanturGey, 1846PL 30NoSepresel by CZNNomen oblumSATTNopelSepresel by CZ		Tursiops fergusoni	Lydekker, 1903	41	Х		Junior synonym	T ADU	NHMUK?	
Security Longituding security Longituding convergence behaves acciventingConvergence preserve in security Longituding convergence security Longituding convergence behaves acciventingConvergence preserve in convergence security Longituding convergence security Longituding security Longituding convergence security Longituding security		Tursiops australis	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13	Х		Junior synonym	T TRU	QVMAG	
Delphane intervention         Weighting, 1944         PL 30         X         Junice synapsis         SLON         No. No. No.           Delphane microteristing         Gay, 1866         42         X         Junice synapsis         SLON         NIMUK         Image synapsis           Delphane microteristing         Gay, 1866         42         X         Junice synapsis         SLON         NIMUK         Image synapsis           Delphane stores/price         Gay, 1866         36         X         Junice synapsis         SLON         NIMUK         Image synapsis           Barbine stores/price         Gay, 1866         36         X         Junice synapsis         SLON         NIMUK         Supression 40 ft CZM           Internation         Delphane paradolighting         Keganan, 1840         PL 333         X         Nonce obliam         SATT         No type         No type         Nonce obliam         SATT         No type         No type         Nonce obliam         SATT         No type         No type         Nonce obliam         SATT         No type         No type         N	Spinner dolphin – Stenella longirostris	Delphinus longirostris	Gray, 1828	1	Х	Х	Valid name	S LON	RMNH	Homonym of Delphinus longirostris G. Cuvier, 1829
Delphana incoga         Gar, 1846         42         X         Jusic space         Italia         Product space         Product space           Delphana incorp_males         Gr, 186a         366         X         Jusic space         SION         NHMUK           Delphana incorp_males         G. Cmier, 1829         288         X         Nome oblim         SATT         NNTN         Supersoid by ICZN           Delphana production         Weigmann, 1840         PL 351         X         Nome oblim         SATT         Notes oblima         SATT         Notes oblima         Nodescription           Delphana productifyitis         Stategal, 1840         PL 351         X         Nomero oblima         SATT         Notes oblicat         SATT <t< td=""><td></td><td>Delphinus roseiventris</td><td>Wiegmann, 1844</td><td>Pl. 360</td><td>Х</td><td></td><td>Junior synonym</td><td>S LON</td><td>No holotype</td><td>No description; neotype in MNHN</td></t<>		Delphinus roseiventris	Wiegmann, 1844	Pl. 360	Х		Junior synonym	S LON	No holotype	No description; neotype in MNHN
Delphone stemulynchae         Gray, 1866a         396         X         Janiar synonym         \$1.0N         NHUK           Partorpical poted dolphin - Sendi termata         Delphinus selva         G. Cavier, 1829         28.8         X         Noneco obliam         SATT         MNIN         Supressed by ICZN           Delphinus previousliphi         Wigmann, 1840         P. 351         X         Noneco obliam         SATT         No ype         No description           Delphinus previousliphi         Gray, 1840         P. 351         X         Noneco obliam         SATT         No ype         No description           Delphinus previousliphi         Gray, 1840         P. 351         X         Noneco obliam         SATT         No ype         No description           Delphinus functional         Gray, 1840         44         X         Noneco obliam         SATT         NMIK         Rescription           Delphinus functional         Gray, 1850         119         X         Jamier synonym         SATT         MNIK         Rescription           Gray, 1865a         S22         X         Jamier synonym         SATT         MNIK         Supressed by ICZN           Stemo capensi         Gray, 1865a         S22         X         Jamier synonym         SATT		Delphinus alope	Gray, 1846	Pl. 32	Х		Junior synonym	S LON	NHMUK	
Partopical sported diplim-Sported         Delphone velox         G. Carier, 1829         288         X         Nomen oblitum         S ATT         MNIN         Supressed by ICZN           Delphone previous         Wegmann, 1840         P1.361         X         Nomen oblitum         S ATT         No type         No description           Delphones previous         G. arier, 1829         1.83         X         Nomen oblitum         S ATT         No type         No description           Delphones previous delphones         G. ary, 1840         1.83         X         Nomen oblitum         S ATT         No type         No description           Delphones attematic         Gen, 1843         105         Nomen oblitum         S ATT         No type         Retained by ICZN           Steno attematic         Gen, 1843         105         Nomen oblitum         S ATT         NHUK         Retained by ICZN           Delphones brevinums         Gen, 1850         119         X         Janier synony         S ATT         NHW         Supressed by ICZN           Steno capersis         Gen, 1855         S 22         X         Janier synony         S ATT         NHW         Supressed by ICZN           Steno capersis         Gen, 1855         S 22         X         Janier synony		Delphinus microps	Gray, 1846	42	Х		Junior synonym	S LON	NHMUK	
absistspipessedCaver, 1829288XNome of the section		Delphinus stenorhynchus	Gray, 1866a	396	Х		Junior synonym	S LON	NHMUK	
Delphinus pendiodelphisWagmann, 1840PI, 388XNomen obliumS ATTZMB, BerlinNo docurptionDelphinus pendiodelphisSchlagel, 1441b22XNomen obliumS ATTNo type12Spressed by ICZNDelphinus attemantsGray, 1843144XXNomenS ATTNo type12Delphinus attemantsGray, 1843144XXNomenS ATTNo type12Delphinus attemantsGray, 184644XXNomenS ATTNo type12Delphinus attribustratusPals, 184934XJunior synonyS ATTNNHNGraidered a nomen obliumDelphinus tracionardaGray, 1850119XJunior synonyS ATTMNHNGraidered a nomen obliumStew capersisGray, 1865a522XJunior synonyS ATTInstrument obliumS attrInstrument obliumStew capersisGray, 1865a738XJunior synonyS ATTIcatIcatProdelphinus graffmariLomberg, 19141XJunior synonyS ATTSura MuNHNSura MuNHNDelphinus frontalisG. Cuvier, 1829288XXValid nameSFROMNHNIcatDelphinus frontalisGray, 186639XJunior synonySROSIMTSura MuNHNIcatStewid coernalidaGray, 186639XJunior synonySROMNHNIcatDelphinus forotalisGray, 186639X	dolphin – Stenella	Delphinus velox	G. Cuvier, 1829	288	Х		Nomen oblitum	S ATT	MNHN	Supressed by ICZN
Delphinus pseudodelphisSchlegel, 1841b22XNomen obliumSATTNor speeTort?Sperseed by ICZNDelphinus attenutursGray, 1843a105Nomen nalumSATTNor spe?Nomen nalumSATTNor spe?Delphinus attenutursGray, 184644XXNomen nalumSATTNor spe?Retained by ICZNDelphinus albivortariusPale, 184934XJunior synomySATTUSNM LostConsidered a nomen obligmin by PerrinDelphinus directoriumJacquino & Puberani, 15338XNomen obliumSATTMNHNSpressed by ICZNStero capensisGray, 1850a522XJunior synomySATTINSNM LostConsidered a nomen obligmin by PerrinStero capensisGray, 1865a522XJunior synomySATTINSNM Spressed by ICZNAtlantic sponted dol- plina - SecontialisMalm, 1871104XJunior synomySATTStere MaxNM Spressed by ICZNAtlantic sponted dol- plina - SecontialisG. Cavier, 1829288XXJunior synomySATTStere MaxNM Spressed by ICZNDelphinus forontalisG. Cavier, 1829286XJunior synomySFROMNHNIncreaseDelphinus forontalisG. Cavier, 1829286XJunior synomySFROMNHNIncreaseDelphinus forontalisG. Cavier, 1829286XJunior synomySFROMNHNIncreaseDelphinus forontalisG. Cavier, 1836		Delphinus brevimanus	Wiegmann, 1840	Pl. 361	Х		Nomen oblitum	S ATT	No type	No description
Delphinus pseudodelphisSchlegel, 1841b22XNomen obliumSATTNor speeTort?Sperseed by ICZNDelphinus attenutursGray, 1843a105Nomen nalumSATTNor spe?Nomen nalumSATTNor spe?Delphinus attenutursGray, 184644XXNomen nalumSATTNor spe?Retained by ICZNDelphinus albivortariusPale, 184934XJunior synomySATTUSNM LostConsidered a nomen obligmin by PerrinDelphinus directoriumJacquino & Puberani, 15338XNomen obliumSATTMNHNSpressed by ICZNStero capensisGray, 1850a522XJunior synomySATTINSNM LostConsidered a nomen obligmin by PerrinStero capensisGray, 1865a522XJunior synomySATTINSNM Spressed by ICZNAtlantic sponted dol- plina - SecontialisMalm, 1871104XJunior synomySATTStere MaxNM Spressed by ICZNAtlantic sponted dol- plina - SecontialisG. Cavier, 1829288XXJunior synomySATTStere MaxNM Spressed by ICZNDelphinus forontalisG. Cavier, 1829286XJunior synomySFROMNHNIncreaseDelphinus forontalisG. Cavier, 1829286XJunior synomySFROMNHNIncreaseDelphinus forontalisG. Cavier, 1829286XJunior synomySFROMNHNIncreaseDelphinus forontalisG. Cavier, 1836			Wiegmann, 1840	Pl. 358	Х		Nomen oblitum	S ATT		-
Delphinu attenuatus         Gar., 1843.a         105         Nones nudus         S ATT         No pye?           Stero attenuatus         Gar., 1846         44         X         X         Nomes nudus         S ATT         Ni pye?         Delphinus albirostratus         Reained by ICZN           Delphinus albirostratus         Peale, 1849         34         X         Jusior synonym         S ATT         USNM-Lost         Considered a nomen oblirum by Perin           Delphinus crimerohum         Gray, 1850         119         X         Jusior synonym         S ATT         MNHN         Spressel by ICZN           Sterio captersis         Gray, 1865         738         X         Jusior synonym         S ATT         NHMUK (maybe a cust)         SATT         Series captersis         S ATT         Series captersis         S ATT         Series captersis         S ATT         NHMUK (maybe a cust)         S ATT         Series captersis         S ATT         Series captersis         S ATT         S ATT         S ATT         S ATT		1 1 1							,	*
And Seton atternatusGray, 184644XXNomen conservationSATTNHMURRetuined by ICZNDelphima altirostratusPale, 184934XIunior synonymSATTUSNM-LoxtInside regional and the participant of		1 1 1	0,							
Delphinus albirostratusPeake, 184934XJunior synonymS ATTUSNM-LostDelphinus zimicrobrachumGray, 1850119XJunior synonymS ATTMNHNConsidered a nonen oblic hurby PerinSteno captentisJacquinot & Pucheran, 1853J38XJunior synonymS ATTMNHNSupressed by ICZNSteno captentisGray, 1865a522XJunior synonymS ATTNMUK (maybe a cast)Supressed by ICZNClymene punctataGray, 1865a522XJunior synonymS ATTNMUK (maybe a cast)Clymene punctataGray, 1866c7.38XJunior synonymS ATTToh IntSteno consimilisMalm, 1871104XJunior synonymS ATTStend MNH, SocelenProdolphinus grafinamiLönnberg, 19341XJunior synonymS ATTStend MNH, SocelenDelphinus forotalisG. Cavier, 1829288XXJunior synonymS FROMNHNDelphinus grafinamiGray, 184619XJunior synonymS FROMNHNDelphinus grafinamiGray, 184619XJunior synonymS FROMNHKStreed dolphina Streed dolphinus grafinamiKeyn, 1833669XXJunior synonymS COEZMHDelphinus steryGray, 184649XJunior synonymS COENHKUInternoticDelphinus steryGray, 184640XJunior synonymS COE <t< td=""><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td>X</td><td>Х</td><td>Nomen</td><td></td><td></td><td>Retained by ICZN</td></t<>		•			X	Х	Nomen			Retained by ICZN
Delphimus? microbrachiumGray, 1850119XJunior synonymS ATTMNHNConsidered a nomen obli- um by PerrinDelphinus brevinnantsJaquinot & Pucheran, 185338XNomen oblitum?S ATTMNHNSupressed by ICZNSteno capenusisGray, 1865a522XJunior synonymS ATTMNHNSupressed by ICZNClymene punctataGray, 1865a522XJunior synonymS ATTIMWY and a cast1Steno consimilisMalm, 1871104XJunior synonymS ATTState MusNH, StockholmEvodephinus graffmaniLönnberg, 19341XJunior synonymS ATTState MusNH, Stockholmphin - Strend Lobinus frontalisG. Curvier, 1829288XXValid nameS FROMNHNDelphinus fornatusF. Curvier, 1836155XJunior synonymS FROMNHNDelphinus fornatusG. Curvier, 1836155XJunior synonymS FRONMHNDelphinus fornatusF. Curvier, 1836155XJunior synonymS FRONMHKDelphinus plajoidonGray, 184639XJunior synonymS FRONMHKSterell coernicoallisMeyen, 1833609XXValid nameS COEZMR, BerlinDelphinus supproseGray, 1846400XJunior synonymS COELotr2Delphinus supproseGray, 1846400XJunior synonymS		Delphinus alhirostratus	Peale 1849	34	x			SATT	USNM-Lost	
Jacquinot & Pucheran, 1833Jacquinot & Pucheran, 183338XNomen oblitum?SATTMNHNSupressed by ICZNSteno capensisGray, 1865a522XJunior synonymSATTNHNUK Imaybe a cast)Cymene punctataGray, 1866c738XJunior synonymSATTLostSteno consimilisMalm, 1871104XJunior synonymSATTLostProdelphinus graffmaniLönnberg, 19341XJunior synonymSATTStere MuSH, Steedenpino-Steneld formalisDelphinus frontalisG. Cavier, 1829288XXValid nameSFROMNHNDelphinus forentalisG. Cavier, 1829288XXValid nameSFROMNHNDelphinus forentalisG. Cavier, 1829288XXValid nameSFROMNHNDelphinus forentalisG. Cavier, 1829288XXValid nameSFROMNHNDelphinus forentalisG. Cavier, 1836155XJunior synonymSFROMNHNStriped Jolphin- Stenella coenlecolubusMeyen, 1833669XXValid nameSCOEZMR, BeriniaDelphinus stryxGray, 184639XJunior synonymSCOEIsotIsotDelphinus strysGray, 1847595XJunior synonymSCOEMRHDelphinus steralisPelenses telefinaStripedJunior synonymSCOEZMR, BeriniaDelphinus steralis <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			,							
Meno capensisGray, 1865a522XJunior synonymSAT1(maybe a cast)Clymene punctataGray, 1866c738XJunior synonymSATTTech Inst, SwedenSteno consinilisMalm, 1871104XJunior synonymSATTTech Inst, SwedenProdelphinus graffmaniLönberg, 19341XJunior synonymSATTState MusNH, StockholmAtlanic spotted dol- phin - Stendla frontalisG. Cavier, 1829288XXJunior synonymSFROMNHNDelphinus forontalisG. Cavier, 1836155XJunior synonymSFROMNHNDelphinus dorisGray, 184639XJunior synonymSFROWNHNStriped dolphinu phinus corrello- albusMeyen, 1833609XJunior synonymSFROUSNAStenella condleasingDelphinus corrello- albusMeyen, 1833609XJunior synonymSCOEZMR, BerlinStenella condleasingDelphinus corrello- albusMeyen, 1833150XJunior synonymSCOENHMUKCorrella condleasingDelphinus solubiliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymSCOENHMNStenella condleasingDelphinus solubiliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymSCOENHMNCorrella colphinus solubiliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymSCOENHMNDelphinus solubiliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonym </td <td></td> <td>Delphinus brevimanus</td> <td>- · ·</td> <td>38</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> <td>Nomen oblitum?</td> <td>S ATT</td> <td>MNHN</td> <td></td>		Delphinus brevimanus	- · ·	38	X		Nomen oblitum?	S ATT	MNHN	
Stene consimilisMalm, 1871104XJunior synonymS ATTTech Inst. SwedenProdelphinus graffmaniLönnberg, 19341XJunior synonymS ATTState MusNH, StockholmAtlantic spotted dol- phin - Stenella frontalisDelphinus frontalisG. Cuvier, 1829288XXValid nameS FROMNHNDelphinus frontalisG. Cuvier, 1836155XJunior synonymS FROMNHNDelphinus droisGray, 184639XJunior synonymS FROUSNMDelphinus droisCope, 1866296XJunior synonymS COEZMB, BerlinStenella coeruleo-albusMeyen, 1833609XXValid nameS COEZMB, BerlinDelphinus styxGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELostZMB, BerlinDelphinus statealisPale, 1833150XJunior synonymS COELost </td <td></td> <td>Steno capensis</td> <td>Gray, 1865a</td> <td>522</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> <td>Junior synonym</td> <td>S ATT</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Steno capensis	Gray, 1865a	522	X		Junior synonym	S ATT		
Steno consimilisMalm, 1871104XJunior synonymS ATTTech Inst. SwedenProdelphinus graffmaniLönnberg, 19341XJunior synonymS ATTState MusNH, SockolmAtlantic spotted dol- phin - Stenella frontalisDelphinus frontalisG. Cuvier, 1829288XXValid nameS FROMNHNDelphinus frontalisG. Cuvier, 1836155XJunior synonymS FROMNHNDelphinus dorisGray, 184639XJunior synonymS FROUSNMDelphinus dorisCope, 1866296XJunior synonymS FROUSNMStenella coendeoalbusMeyen, 1833609XXValid nameS COEZMB, BerlinStenella coendeoalbusDelphinus styxGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus eupbrosyneGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus eupbrosyneGray, 184640XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus eupbrosyneGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus eupbrosyneGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus eupbrosyneGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus eupbrosyneGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COEMHUKDelphinus eupbrosyneGray, 184640XJunior synony		Clymene punctata	Gray, 1866c	738	Х		Junior synonym	S ATT		
Prodelphinus graffmaniLönnberg, 19341XJunior synonymS ATTState MusNH, StockholmAtlanici spotted dol- phin - Stenella fontalisDelphinus frontalisG. Cuvier, 1829288XXValid nameS FROMNHNDelphinus frontalisF. Cuvier, 1836155XJunior synonymS FROMNHNDelphinus dorisGray, 184639XJunior synonymS FRONHMUKDelphinus plagiodonCope, 1866296XJunior synonymS FROUSNMStenella coeruleoalbaDelphinus coeruleo-albusMeyen, 1833609XXValid nameS COEZMR, BerlinStenella coeruleoalbaDelphinus styxGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELostImage: Stanella coeruleoalbaDelphinus styxGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELostImage: Stanella coeruleoalbaS COEMHNUKDelphinus styxGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELostImage: Stanella coeruleoalbaS COENHMUKDelphinus bibilitiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymS COELostImage: Stanella coeruleoalbaDelphinus lateralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COELostImage: Stanella coeruleoalbaDelphinus diateralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus marginatusDesarest, 1853150XJunior synony				104	X			S ATT	· · ·	
phin - Stenella frontalisDelphinus frontalisG. Cuvier, 18292.88XXValid nameSFROMNHNDelphinus froenatusF. Cuvier, 1836155XJunior synonymS FROMNHNDelphinus dorisGray, 184639XJunior synonymS FRONHMUKDelphinus plagiodonCope, 1866296XJunior synonymS FROUSNMStriped dolphin - Stenella coeruleo-albusMeyen, 1833609XXValid nameS COEZMB, ErelinDelphinus styxGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus blobiliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus tethyosGeray, 184640XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus blobiliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus tethyosGeray, 1836150XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus tethyosGeray, 184640XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus tethyosGeray, 184640XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus tethyosGeray, 1845150XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus tethyosGeray, 184640XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus tethyosGorey, 1865201		Prodelphinus graffmani	Lönnberg, 1934	1	X		Junior synonym	S ATT	State MusNH,	
Delphinus dorisGray, 184639XJunior synonymS FRONHMUKDelphinus plagiodonCope, 1866296XJunior synonymS FROUSNMStriped dolphin - Stenella coeruleo.albusDelphinus coeruleo.albusMeyen, 1833609XXValid nameS COEZMB, BerlinDelphinus styxGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus styxGray, 184640XJunior synonymS COENHMUKDelphinus bolbölliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus bolbölliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymS COELostDelphinus bolbölliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymS COELostDelphinus bolbölliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymS COELostDelphinus lateralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COELostDelphinus marginatusGervais, 1853150XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus marginatusDesmarest, 1856 (Du- vernoy in)284XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus marginatusCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus asthenopsCope, 1865b203XJunior synonymS COELostTursio dorcidesGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENot ypeC	Atlantic spotted dol- phin – <i>Stenella frontalis</i>	Delphinus frontalis	G. Cuvier, 1829	288	Х	Х	Valid name	S FRO	MNHN	
Delphinus plagiodonCope, 1866296XJunior synonymS FROUSNMStriped dolphin - Stenella coeruleoalbaDelphinus coeruleo-albusMeyen, 1833609XXValid nameS COEZMB, BerlinDelphinus styxGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus euphrosyneGray, 184640XJunior synonymS COENHMUKDelphinus bolbölliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymS COEZMA, NHMDDelphinus lateralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COELostDelphinus attralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus attralisPeale, 1856201XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus marginatusDesmarest, 1856 (Duvernoy in)284XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus marginatusDesmarest, 1856201XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus asthenopsCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEANSPDelphinus cotaphiscusGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENSPDelphinus asthenopsGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENSPDelphinus asthenopsGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENSPDelphinus asthenopsGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENtHNUK		Delphinus froenatus	F. Cuvier, 1836	155	Х		Junior synonym	S FRO	MNHN	
Striped dolphin - Stenella coeruleoalbasMeyen, 1833609XXValid nameS COEZMB, BerlinDelphinus soyreGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus euphrosyneGray, 184640XJunior synonymS COENHMUKDelphinus holbölliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymS COEZMA, NHMDDelphinus lateralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COELostDelphinus stethyosGervais, 1853150XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus marginatusDesmarest, 1856 (Duverony or nonymin)284XJunior synonymS COEMNHN?Homonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus anditerraneusLoche, 1860475XJunior synonymS COEMNHN?Homonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus asthenopsCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEANSPDelphinus crotaphiscusGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENetWithTursio dorcidesGray, 1868a6XJunior synonymS COENot typeClymenia burmeisteriMalm, 187163XJunior synonymS COERijkmuseum, stockholmClymenia novae-zealandiaeHector, 1873159XJunior synonymS COE?		Delphinus doris	Gray, 1846	39	Х		Junior synonym	S FRO	NHMUK	
Striped dolphin - Stenella coeruleoalbasMeyen, 1833609XXValid nameS COEZMB, BerlinDelphinus soyreGray, 184639XJunior synonymS COELost?Delphinus euphrosyneGray, 184640XJunior synonymS COENHMUKDelphinus holbölliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymS COEZMA, NHMDDelphinus lateralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COELostDelphinus stethyosGervais, 1853150XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus marginatusDesmarest, 1856 (Duverony or nonymin)284XJunior synonymS COEMNHN?Homonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus anditerraneusLoche, 1860475XJunior synonymS COEMNHN?Homonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus asthenopsCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEANSPDelphinus crotaphiscusGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENetWithTursio dorcidesGray, 1868a6XJunior synonymS COENot typeClymenia burmeisteriMalm, 187163XJunior synonymS COERijkmuseum, stockholmClymenia novae-zealandiaeHector, 1873159XJunior synonymS COE?		Delphinus plagiodon	Cope, 1866	296	Х		Junior synonym	S FRO	USNM	
Delphinus euphrosyneGray, 184640XJunior synonymS COENHMUKDelphinus bolbölliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymS COEZMA, NHMDDelphinus lateralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COELostDelphinus lateralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus tethyosGervais, 1853150XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus marginatusDesmarest, 1856 (Duvernov in)284XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus mediterraneusLoche, 1860475XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus sethenopsCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEANSPDelphinus crotaphiscusCope, 1865b203XJunior synonymS COELostTursio dorcidesGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENtHMUKClymenia burmeisteriMalm, 187163XJunior synonymS COE20Clymenia novae-zealandiaeHector, 1873159XJunior synonymS COE2	Striped dolphin – Stenella coeruleoalba		Meyen, 1833	609	Х	Х	Valid name	S COE		
Delphinus holbölliiNilsson, 1847595XJunior synonymS COEZMA, NHMDDelphinus lateralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COELostDelphinus lateralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus tethyosGervais, 1853150XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus marginatusDesmarest, 1856 (Duvernoy in)284XJunior synonymS COEMNHN?Homonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus mediterraneusLoche, 1860475XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus asthenopsCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEANSPDelphinus crotaphiscusCope, 1865b203XJunior synonymS COELostTursio dorcidesGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENHMUKClymenia euphrosynoidesGray, 1868a6XJunior synonymS COENo typeClymenia burmeisteriMalm, 187163XJunior synonymS COERijkmuseum, stockholmClymenia novae-zealandiaeHector, 1873159XJunior synonymS COE?		Delphinus styx	Gray, 1846	39	X		Junior synonym	S COE	Lost?	
Delphinus lateralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COELostDelphinus tethyosGervais, 1853150XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus marginatusDesmarest, 1856 (Duvernoy in)284XJunior synonymS COEMNHN?Homonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus mediterraneusLoche, 1860475XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus asthenopsCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEANSPDelphinus crotaphiscusCope, 1865b203XJunior synonymS COELostTursio dorcidesGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENHMUKClymenia euphrosynoidesGray, 1868a6XJunior synonymS COENo typeClymenia burmeisteriMalm, 187163XJunior synonymS COERijkmuseum, stockholmClymenia novae-zealandiaeHector, 1873159XJunior synonymS COE?		Delphinus euphrosyne	Gray, 1846	40	Х		Junior synonym	S COE	NHMUK	
Delphinus lateralisPeale, 184935XJunior synonymS COELostDelphinus tethyosGervais, 1853150XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus marginatusDesmarest, 1856 (Duvernoy in)284XJunior synonymS COEMNHN?Homonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus mediterraneusLoche, 1860475XJunior synonymS COEMNHNHomonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus asthenopsCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEANSPDelphinus crotaphiscusCope, 1865b203XJunior synonymS COELostTursio dorcidesGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENHUKClymenia burmeisteriMalm, 187163XJunior synonymS COERijkmuseum, StockholmClymenia novae-zealandiaeHector, 1873159XJunior synonymS COE?		Delphinus holböllii	Nilsson, 1847	595	Х		Junior synonym	S COE	ZMA, NHMD	
Delphinus tethyosGervais, 1853150XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus marginatusDesmarest, 1856 (Du- vernoy in)284XJunior synonymS COEMNHN?Homonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus mediterraneusLoche, 1860475XJunior synonymS COEMNHNHomonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus asthenopsCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEMNHNHomonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus cortaphiscusCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEANSPImaginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus cortaphiscusCope, 1865b203XJunior synonymS COELostImaginatusImaginatusTursio dorcidesGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENHMUKImaginatusImaginatusImaginatusClymenia euphrosynoidesGray, 1868a6XJunior synonymS COENo typeImaginatusClymenia burmeisteriMalm, 187163XJunior synonymS COERijkmuseum, StockholmStockholmClymenia novae-zealandiaeHector, 1873159XJunior synonymS COE?Imaginatus		Delphinus lateralis						S COE		
Delphinus marginatusDesmarest, 1856 (Du- vernoy in)284XJunior synonymS COEMNHN?Homonym of Delphinus marginatus Lafont, 1868Delphinus mediterraneusLoche, 1860475XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus asthenopsCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEANSPDelphinus crotaphiscusCope, 1865b203XJunior synonymS COELostTursio dorcidesGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENHMUKClymenia euphrosynoidesGray, 1868a6XJunior synonymS COENo typeClymenia burmeisteriMalm, 187163XJunior synonymS COERijkmuseum, StockholmClymenia novae-zealandiaeHector, 1873159XJunior synonymS COE?			,							
Delphinus mediterraneusLoche, 1860475XJunior synonymS COEMNHNDelphinus asthenopsCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEANSPDelphinus crotaphiscusCope, 1865b203XJunior synonymS COELostTursio dorcidesGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENHMUKClymenia euphrosynoidesGray, 1868a6XJunior synonymS COENo typeClymenia burmeisteriMalm, 187163XJunior synonymS COERijkmuseum, StockholmClymenia novae-zealandiaeHector, 1873159XJunior synonymS COE?			Desmarest, 1856 (Du-							
Delphinus asthenopsCope, 1865b201XJunior synonymS COEANSPDelphinus crotaphiscusCope, 1865b203XJunior synonymS COELostTursio dorcidesGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENHMUKClymenia euphrosynoidesGray, 1868a6XJunior synonymS COENo typeClymenia burmeisteriMalm, 187163XJunior synonymS COERijkmuseum, stockholmClymenia novae-zealandiaeHector, 1873159XJunior synonymS COE?		Delphinus mediterraneus		475	Х		Iunior synonym	S COF	MNHN	
Delphinus crotaphiscusCope, 1865b203XJunior synonymS COELostTursio dorcidesGray, 1866a400XJunior synonymS COENHMUKClymenia euphrosynoidesGray, 1868a6XJunior synonymS COENo typeClymenia burmeisteriMalm, 187163XJunior synonymS COERijkmuseum, stockholmClymenia novae-zealandiaeHector, 1873159XJunior synonymS COE?										
Tursio dorcides       Gray, 1866a       400       X       Junior synonym       S COE       NHMUK         Clymenia eupbrosynoides       Gray, 1868a       6       X       Junior synonym       S COE       No type         Clymenia burmeisteri       Malm, 1871       63       X       Junior synonym       S COE       Rijkmuseum, stockholm         Clymenia novae-zealandiae       Hector, 1873       159       X       Junior synonym       S COE       ?										
Clymenia euphrosynoides       Gray, 1868a       6       X       Junior synonym       S COE       No type         Clymenia burmeisteri       Malm, 1871       63       X       Junior synonym       S COE       Rijkmuseum, stockholm         Clymenia novae-zealandiae       Hector, 1873       159       X       Junior synonym       S COE       ?		1 1								
Clymenia burmeisteri     Malm, 1871     63     X     Junior synonym     S COE     Rijkmuseum, Stockholm       Clymenia novae-zealandiae     Hector, 1873     159     X     Junior synonym     S COE     ?										
Clymenia novae-zealandiae Hector, 1873 159 X Junior synonym S COE ?									Rijkmuseum,	
		Chumania anno 1 1'	Lipston 1072	150	v		Iunio	COF		
		Delphinus amphitriteus	Philippi, 1893	7	X		Junior synonym	S COE	?	

Current species	Nominal species	Authority	Page #	Avail?	Valid?	Status	SPEC	Type dispos.	Notes
Clymene dolphin – Stenella clymene	Delphinus metis [No. 2]	Gray, 1846	39	Х		Junior homonym	S CLY	No type	Junior homonym of <i>Del-</i> <i>phinus metis</i> [No. 1] Gray, 1846
	Delphinus clymene	Gray, 1847	35			Nomen nudum	S CLY	NHMUK	
	Delphinus clymene	Gray, 1850	115	Х	X	Valid name	S CLY	NHMUK	
	Clymene normalis	Gray, 1866a	214	Х		Junior synonym	S CLY	No type	
Common dolphin – Delphinus delphis	Delphinus delphis	Linnaeus, 1758	77	Х	Х	Valid name	D DEL	No type	
	Delphinus vulgaris	Lacépède, 1804	250	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	No type?	
	Delphinus capensis	Gray, 1828	2	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	NHMUK	
	Delphinus longirostris	G. Cuvier, 1829	288	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	MNHN	Homonym of Delphinus longirostris Gray, 1828
	Delphinus novae-zelandiae	Quoy & Gaimard, 1830	149	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	?	
	Delphinus loriger	Wiegmann, 1840	Pl. 362	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	ZMB, Berlin	No description
	Delphinus zelandae	Gray, 1843b	183	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	No type	
	Delphinus janira	Gray, 1846	41	X		Junior synonym	D DEL	NHMUK	
	Delphinus forsteri	Gray, 1846	42	X			D DEL	No type?	
						Junior synonym			
	Delphinus loriger	Wagner, 1847	335, pl. 362	X		Junior synonym	D DEL	No type?	
	Delphinus albimanus	Peale, 1849	33	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	USNM	
	Delphinus fulvifasciatus	Jacquinot & Pucheran, 1853	37	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	MNHN	
	Delphinus frithii	Blyth, 1859	492	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	Indian Muse- um, Calcutta; Lost	Probably long-beaked form
	Delphinus algeriensis	Loche, 1860	474	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	NH MusAlgiers	
	Delphinus moorei	Gray, 1866b	736	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	Liverpool Free Mus	
	Delphinus walkeri	Gray, 1866b	737	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	Liverpool Free Mus	
	Delphinus microps	Burmeister, 1866	101	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	MACN-Ma -Lost?	
	Delphinus major	Gray, 1866a	396	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	NHMUK	
	Delphinus pomeegra	Owen, 1866	23	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	NHMUK	
	Delphinus bairdii	Dall, 1873	12	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	USNM – Lost; neotype at LACM	
	Lagenorhynchus de castelnau	Van Beneden, 1873	38			Not a scientific name	D DEL	No type?	
	E[udelphinus] tasmaniensis	Van Beneden & Gervais, 1880	604	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	MNHN -lost?	Heyning & Perrin 1994 considered it a <i>nomen</i> <i>dubium</i>
	Delphinus dussumieri	Blanford, 1891	588			Junior homonym	D DEL	No type	Unavailable, as a junior homonym of <i>Delphinus</i> <i>dussumieri</i> Fischer, 1829
	Delphinus tropicalis	van Bree, 1971c	345	Х		Junior synonym	D DEL	No type	Delphinus delphis tropicalis subspecies
Fraser's dolphin – Lagenodelphis hosei	Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser, 1956	496	Х	Х	Valid name	L HOS	NHMUK	
White-beaked dolphin - Lagenorhynchus albirostris	Lagenorhynchus albirostris	Gray, 1846	84	Х	X	Valid name	L ALB	NHMUK?	
	Delphinus pseudotursio	Reichenbach, 1846	Pl. 24, Fig. 76	Х		Junior synonym	L ALB	No type	
	Delphinus ibsenii	Eschricht, 1846	297	Х		Junior synonym	L ALB	NHMD	
Atlantic white-sided dolphin – <i>Lagenorhyn-</i> <i>chus acutus</i>	Delphinus (Grampus) acutus	Gray, 1828	2	x	X	Valid name	L ACU	RMNH	

Current species	Nominal species	Authority	Page #	Avail?	Valid?	Status	SPEC	Type dispos.	Notes
	Delphinus eschrichtii	Schlegel, 1841b	23	Х		Junior synonym	L ACU	RMNH	
	Delphinus leucopleurus	Rasch, 1843	100	Х		Junior synonym	L ACU	RMNH, NHMO	
	Leucopleurus arcticus	Gray, 1868a	7	Х		Junior synonym	L ACU	No type?	
	Lagenorhynchus perspicillatus	Cope, 1876	136	Х		Junior synonym	L ACU	USNM	
	Lagenorhynchus gubernator	Cope, 1876	138	Х		Junior synonym	L ACU	Cast in USNM	
	L[agenorhynchus] bombifrons	Cope, 1876	138			Nomen nudum	L ACU	No type	
Hourglass dolphin – Lagenorhynchus cruciger	Delphinus cruciger	Quoy & Gaimard, 1824	87	Х	X	Valid name	L CRU	MNHN?	
	Delphinus albigena	Quoy & Gaimard, 1824	87	Х		Junior synonym	L CRU	No type?	
	Delphinus bivittatus	Lesson & Garnot, 1827 (Lesson in)	178, pl. 9	Х		Junior synonym	L CRU	No type	
	Phocaena homeii	Smith, 1829	440	Х		Junior synonym	L CRU	SAM	
	Lagenorhynchus clanculus	Gray, 1846	Pl. 35	Х		Junior synonym	L CRU	NHMUK	
	Delphinus obscurus	Gray, 1850	107	Х		Junior synonym	L CRU	MNHN?	
	Electra clancula	Gray, 1868a	7	Х		Junior synonym	L CRU	NHMUK	Senior homonym of <i>Electra</i> clancula Hector, 1872
	Lagenorhynchus latifrons	True, 1889	84			Nomen nudum	L CRU	MNHN?	, ,
	Phocaena d'orbignyi	Philippi, 1893	10	Х		Junior synonym	L CRU	No type?	
	Lagenorhynchus wilsoni	Lillie, 1915	123	Х		Junior synonym	L CRU	No type	
Dusky dolphin – Lagenorhynchus obscurus	Delphinus (Grampus) obscurus	Gray, 1828	2	Х	Х	Valid name	L OBS	NHMUK	
	Delphinus fitzroyi	Waterhouse, 1838a,b	23	Х		Junior synonym	L OBS	NHMUK	
	Delphinus breviceps	Wiegmann, 1840	Pl. 368	Х		Junior synonym	L OBS	No type	No description
	Delphinus breviceps	Jacquinot & Pucheran, 1853	39	Х		Junior synonym	L OBS	MNHN	A
	Clymene similis	Gray, 1868b	146	Х		Junior synonym	L OBS	NHMUK	Type specimen ID is uncertain
	Prodelphinus petersii	Lütken, 1889 (Reinhardt in)	43	Х		Junior synonym	L OBS	NHMD	
	Phocaena posidonia	Philippi, 1893	9	Х		Junior synonym	L OBS	NHM, Santiago	Some have considered a nomen dubium
	Tursio? panope	Philippi, 1895	284	Х		Junior synonym	L OBS	NHM, Santiago	See Canto, 2014 for history
	[Lagenorhynchus] thicolea	Trouessart, 1899	1038	Х		Junior synonym	L OBS	NHMUK	Questions about identity??
Peale's dolphin – Lagenorhynchus australis	Phocoena australis	Peale, 1849	33	Х	X	Valid name	L AUS	USNM – lost?	
	Delphinus obscurus (in part)	Cassin, 1858	27	Х		Junior synonym	L AUS	USNM?	
	Sagmatias amblodon	Cope, 1866	294	Х		Junior synonym	L AUS	USNM	
	Tursio chiloensis	Philippi, 1900	10	Х		Junior synonym	L AUS	NHM, Santiago	
Pacific white-sided dolphin – <i>Lagenorhyn-</i> <i>chus obliquidens</i>	Lagenorhynchus obliquidens	Gill, 1865	177	Х	X	Valid name	L OBL	USNM	
*	Delphinus longidens	Cope, 1866	295	Х		Junior synonym	L OBL	USNM	
	Lagenorhynchus ognevi	Sleptsov, 1955	60	Х		Junior synonym	L OBL	Zool Mus, Moscow State Univ	
Southern right whale dolphin – <i>Lissodelphis</i> <i>peronii</i>	Delphinus peronii	Lacépède, 1804	316	х	X	Valid name	L PER	MNHN?	
F · · · · · · · ·	Delphinus leucorhampus	Lacépède, 1804 (Peron in)	316	Х		Junior synonym	L PER	No type	Description from specimens observed at sea

Current species	Nominal species	Authority	Page #	Avail?	Valid?	Status	SPEC	Type dispos.	Notes
	D[elphinus] bicolor	Gray, 1846	36			Junior synonym	L PER	?	
	Delphinapterus lessonii	Philippi, 1893	17	X		Junior synonym	L PER	No type?	No description in Philippi (1893)?
	Prodelphinus gervaisi	Trouessart, 1899	1036	Х		Junior synonym	L PER	No type?	
Northern right whale dolphin – <i>Lissodelphis</i> <i>borealis</i>	Lagenorhynchus thicolea	Gray, 1846	Pl. 36	х		Nomen oblitum	L BOR	NHMUK	Some question the accuracy of the collection locality, which would make this name a <i>nomen dubium</i>
	Delphinapterus borealis	Peale, 1849	35	Х	Х	Nomen protectum	L BOR	USNM-Lost	
Commerson's dolphin – Cephalorhynchus commersonii	Delphinus commersonii	Lacépède, 1804	317	Х	X	Valid name	ССОМ	No type?	
	Lagenorhynchus floweri	Moreno, 1892	385	X		Junior synonym	C COM	MLP	
	L[agenorhynchus] burmeisteri	Moreno, 1892	390	X		Junior synonym	ССОМ	No type	
Heaviside's dolphin – Cephalorhynchus heavisidii	Delphinus (Grampus) heavisidii	Gray, 1828	2	X	X	Valid name	C HEA	NHMUK	
	D[elphinus] capensis	Cuvier, 1829 (Dussum- ier in)	289	X		Junior synomym	C HEA	MNHN	
	Delphinus dussumieri	Fischer, 1829	656	Х		Junior homonym	C HEA	MNHN?	
	Delphinus cephalorhynchus	F. Cuvier, 1836	158	Х		Junior synonym	C HEA	MNHN?	
	Delphinus hastatus	F. Cuvier, 1836	161	Х		Junior synonym	C HEA	?	
	Delphinus tridens	P. J. Van Beneden, 1873 (Castelnau in)	33	X		Junior synomym	C HEA	;	
	Orca capensis	P. J. Van Beneden, 1873	32	X		Junior homonym	C HEA	?	Junior homonym of Orca capensis Gray, 1846
Chilean dolphin – Cephalorhynchus eutropia	Delphinus lunatus	Lesson, 1826	373	х		Nomen oblitum	C EUT	No type?	No type collected; described from specimens seen at sea; see Goodall et al. (1988), p. 205
	Delphinus eutropia	Gray, 1846	Pl. 34	X	X	Nomen protectum	C EUT	NHMUK	
	Eutropia dickiei	Gray, 1866b	215	Х		Junior synonym	C EUT	No type?	
	Phocaena (Hyperoodon?) albiventris	Philippi, 1893 (Perez Canto in)	227	X		Junior synonym	C EUT	NHM, Santiago	
	Tursio? platyrrhinus	Philippi, 1895	16	Х		Junior synonym	C EUT	NHM, Santiago	
Hector's dolphin – Cephalorhynchus hectori	Electra clancula	Hector, 1873	160			Junior homonym	C HEC	Colonial Mus, Wellington	Junior homonym of <i>Electra</i> <i>clancula</i> Gray, 1868; type description contains mix of <i>Cephalorhynchus hec-</i> <i>tori</i> and <i>Lagenorhynchus</i> <i>obscurus</i>
	Electra hectori	P. J. Van Beneden, 1881	882	Х	Х	Valid name	C HEC	Louvain Mus, Brussels	Type possibly in Mus Roy d'Hist Nat, Brussells
	Cephalorhynchus albifrons	True, 1889	111	Х		Junior synonym	C HEC	No type?	
Dall's porpoise – Phocoenoides dalli	Phocaena dalli	True, 1885	95	X	X	Valid name	P DAL	USNM	
	Phocoenoides truei	Andrews, 1911b	32	X		Junior synonym	P DAL	AMNH	
Harbor porpoise – Phocoena phocoena	Delphinus phocoena	Linnaeus, 1758	77	X	X	Valid name	P PHO	No type	
	D[elphinus] phocaena fuscus	Kerr, 1792	363	Х		Not a species name	Р РНО	No type?	Subspecies/variety name
	Delphinus ventricosus	Lacépède, 1804	311	X		Junior synonym	P PHO	No type?	
	Phocaena communis	Lesson, 1827	413	X		Junior synonym	P PHO	No type	
	Phocaena tuberculifera	Gray, 1865b	320	X		Junior synonym	P PHO	NHMUK	
	Phocoena vomerina	Gill, 1865	178	Х		Junior synonym	P PHO	USNM	

Current species	Nominal species	Authority	Page #	Avail?	Valid?	Status	SPEC	Type dispos.	Notes
	Phocaena brachycium	Cope, 1865a	279	Х		Junior synonym	P PHO	Mus Essex Inst, Salem	
	Phocaena americana	J. A. Allen, 1869	206	Х		Junior synonym	P PHO	No type?	
	Phocoena rondeletti	Giglioli, 1870	78	Х		Junior synonym	P PHO	No type?	
	Phocaena lineata	Cope, 1876	134	Х		Junior synonym	P PHO	USNM	
	Phocoena relicta	Abel, 1905	388	X		Junior synonym	Р РНО	Acad Sci, Sebastopol, Russia	
Burmeister's porpoise – Phocoena spinipinnis	Phocaena spinipinnis	Burmeister, 1865a,b	228	Х	Х	Valid name	P SPI	MACN-Ma -Skull lost (mounted skin still present)	
	Phocaena philippii	Philippi, 1893	9	Х		Junior synonym	P SPI	No type?	
Spectacled porpoise – Phocoena dioptrica	Phocoena dioptrica	Lahille, 1912	271	Х	Х	Valid name	P DIO	Adult lost, but fetus at MACN-Ma	
	Phocaena stornii	Marelli, 1922	229	Х		Junior synonym	P DIO	MACN-Ma	
Vaquita – Phocoena sinus	Phocoena sinus	Norris & McFarland, 1958	24	Х	X	Valid name	P SIN	MVZ	
Indo-Pacific finless por- poise – Neophocaena phocaenoides	Delphinus phocaenoides	G. Cuvier, 1829	291	Х	х	Valid name	N PHO	MNHN	
	Delphinapterus molagan	Owen, 1866	24	Х		Junior synonym	N PHO	No type?	
	Neomeris kurrachiensis	Murray, 1884	351	Х		Junior synonym	N PHO	Kurrachee Mus	
Narrow-ridged finless porpoise – Neopho- caena asiaeorientalis	Delphinus melas	Schlegel, 1841b	32	х		Junior homonym	N ASI	RMNH	Junior homonym of <i>Delphi-</i> nus melas Traill, 1809
	Neomeris asiaeorientalis	Pilleri & Gihr, 1972a	118	Х	X	Valid name	N ASI	MCZ	
	Neophocaena sunameri	Pilleri & Gihr, 1975	668	Х		Junior synonym	N ASI	RMNH	
South Asian river dolphin – <i>Platanista</i> gangetica	Delphinus gangeticus	Lebeck, 1801	280	Х	X	Valid name	P GAN	Destroyed – cast of man- dible/rostrum at NHMUK	Senior homonym of <i>Delphi-</i> <i>nus gangeticus</i> Roxburgh, 1801
	Delphinus gangeticus	Roxburgh, 1801	171	Х		Junior synonym	P GAN	Destroyed -cast of man- dible/rostrum at NHMUK	Also, a junior homonym of <i>Delphinus gangeticus</i> Lebeck, 1801
	Susu platanista	Lesson, 1828	440			Nomen nudum	P GAN	No type	
	Platanista minor	Owen, 1853	448	Х		Junior synonym	P GAN	Hunterian Museum	
	Platanista indi	Blyth, 1859	493	Х		Junior synonym	P GAN	Indian Muse- um, Calcutta	There is a neotype in the SMNS, Stuttgart
Boto or Amazon river dolphin – <i>Inia</i> geoffrensis	Delphinus rostratus	Shaw, 1801	514	Х		Nomen oblitum	I GEO	NHMUK	Questionable species iden- tity – see Smeenk (2018) for details
	Delphinus geoffrensis	Desmarest, 1817 (Bla- inville in)	151	X	Х	Nomenprotectum	I GEO	MNHN	
	Delphinus shawensis	Desmarest, 1817 (Bla- inville in)	153	Х		Junior synonym	I GEO	Hunterian Museum	
	[Delphinus] frontatus	G. Cuvier, 1823	278	X		Junior synonym	I GEO	MNHN?	Possibly based on composite material
	Delphinus amazonicus	von Spix & von Martius, 1831	1118	X		Junior synonym	I GEO	Lost?	
	Inia boliviensis	D'Orbigny, 1834	31	Х		Junior synonym	I GEO	MNHN	
	Delphinus inia	Rapp, 1837	42	Х		Junior synonym	I GEO	No type?	

Current species	Nominal species	Authority	Page #	Avail?	Valid?	Status	SPEC	Type dispos.	Notes
	Inia araguaiaensis	Hrbek et al., 2014	8	Х		Junior synonym	I GEO	National Re- search Institute of the Amazon	
Franciscana – Pontopo- ria blainvillei	Delphinus blainvillei	Gervais & d'Orbigny, 1844	39	Х	X	Valid name	P BLA	MNHN	Or d'Orbigny, 1839:31
	Pontoporia tenuirostris	Malm, 1871	46	Х		Junior synonym	P BLA	Goteborg Nat Mus, Sweden	
Baiji – Lipotes vexillifer	Lipotes vexillifer	Miller, 1918	2	Х	X	Valid name	L VEX	USNM	
ncertae sedis	Monodon spurius	Fabricius, 1780	31	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	Probably Monodon monoceros
	Delphinus tursio	Fabricius, 1780	49	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	Junior homonym of Delphi nus tursio Gunnerus, 1768
	D[elphinus] feres	Bonnaterre, 1789	27	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	Mus Seminary of Frejus	
	Delphinapterus senedetta	Lacépède, 1804	249	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	
	Anarnak groenlandicus	Lacépède, 1804	164	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	Probably Monodon monoceros
	D[elphinus] bonnaterrei	Tiedemann, 1808	583	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	
	Delphinus siculus	Rafinesque Schmaltz, 1810	5	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	;	
	D[elphinus] dubius	G. Cuvier, 1812	14	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	MNHN	Name from a composite of both spotted dolphins
	[Delphinus] coronatus	Freminville, 1812	71	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	
	Delphinus pernettensis	Desmarest, 1817 (Bla- inville in)	154	Х		Nomen oblitum	UNKN	No type	Suppressed by ICZN; prob- ably Stenella attenuate or Stenella frontalis
	Delphinus bertini	Desmarest, 1817 (Du- hamel in)	163	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	3	
	Delphinus niger	Lacépède, 1818	475	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	
	Delphinus boryi	Desmarest, 1822	513	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	
	Delphinus anarnacus	Desmarest, 1822	520	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	
	Delphinus epiodon	Desmarest, 1822	521	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	
	Delphinus rhinoceros	Quoy & Gaimard, 1824	86	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type	Not likely a cetacean
	Delphinus leucocephalus	Lesson, 1826	373	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	
	Delphinus malayanus	Lesson, 1826	373	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type	Probably Sousa plumbea – see van Bree, 1986
	Delphinus minimus	Lesson & Garnot, 1827	185	X		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	
	Delphinus maculatus	Lesson & Garnot, 1827	183	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	
	Delphinus superciliosus	Lesson & Garnot, 1827	181	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	Type lost?	There are questions about identity of type specimen, possibly a dusky or hour- glass dolphin
	Delphinus nesarnac	Lesson, 1827	408	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	?	
	[Delphinus] fabricii	Billberg, 1827	34	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	?	Possibly a synonym of <i>Tursiops truncatus</i>
	C[atodon] sibbaldi	Fleming, 1828	39	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	May be a beluga
	Delphinus harlani	Fischer, 1829	656 (456)	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	;	
	Delphinorhynchus santonicus	Lesson, 1836	330	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type	Previously considered a syn onym of Steno bredanensis
	Delphinus fulvifasciatus	Wiegmann, 1840	Pl. 361	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type	No description
	Delphinus carbonarius	Wiegmann, 1844	Pl. 352	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type	Probably a pilot whale, <i>Globicephala</i> sp.
	Delphinus rappii	Reichenbach, 1846	117	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	Stuttgart Museum	
	Delphinus sao	Gray, 1846	41	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	MNHN	
	D[elphinus] carbonarius	Wagner, 1847	305, pl. 352	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	
	Delphinus perniger	Blyth, 1848	250	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	Indian Muse- um, Calcutta	Probably Tursiops

107

Current species	Nominal species	Authority	Page #	Avail?	Valid?	Status	SPEC	Type dispos.	Notes
	D[elphinus] oxyrhynchus	Gray, 1850	131	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	Possibly Steno bredanensis
	Delphinus obtusus	Schlegel, 1862	Pl. 13	X		Nomen dubium	UNKN	?	Possibly Tursiops
	Lagenorhynchus? nilssonii	Gray, 1864	238	X		Nomen dubium	UNKN	Museum of Lund	ID questionable; previously misidentified as <i>Delphinus</i> <i>obscurus</i>
	Globicephalus chinensis	Gray, 1866a	323	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	
	Delphinus marginatus	Lafont, 1868	518	X		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	Homonym of <i>Delphinus</i> <i>marginatus</i> Desmarest, 1856 (Duvernoy in)
	Delphinus caerulescens	Giglioli, 1874	88	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	?	
	Phocaena obtusata	Philippi, 1893	12	X		Nomen dubium	UNKN	NHM, Santiago	Probably Cephalorhynchus eutropia?
	Delphinus chilensis	Philippi, 1895	283	Х		Nomen dubium	UNKN	?	
	Lagenorhynchus fitzroyi	Lahille, 1899	200	X		Nomen dubium	UNKN	No type?	Possibly Lagenorhynchus obscurus