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## Preface

## Fisheries Economics of the United States, 2017

Fisheries Economics of the United States, 2017, is the twelfth volume in this annual series, which is intended to provide the public with easily accessible economic information about the nation's commercial and recreational fishing activities and fishing-related industries. Summary data is available online in the FEUS tool, available from https://www.st.nmfs.noaa. gov/data-and-tools/FEUS/explore-the-data.

This year's report covers the years 2008 to 2017 and provides descriptive statistics for the following categories: economic impacts of the commercial fishing and seafood industry; commercial fisheries landings, revenue, and price trends; saltwater angler expenditures and economic impacts of marine recreational fishing; recreational fishing catch, effort, and participation rates; and employer and nonemployer establishments, payroll, employees, and annual receipt information for fishing-related industries.

The report also provides management highlights for each region that include a summary of stock status, updates on catch share programs, and other selected management issues. Economic performance indicators for catch share programs are reported.

## Sources of Data

Information in this report came from many sources. Commercial landings, revenue, and price data, as well as recreational fishing effort and participation data, were primarily obtained from the Fisheries Statistics Division, Office of Science and Technology, NOAA Fisheries. Other data sources included the NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center; Alaska Department of Fish and Game; California Department of Fish and Game; Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife; Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife; the Pacific Coast Fisheries Information Network (PacFIN); Texas Parks and Wildlife Department; and Western Pacific Fisheries Information Network (WPacFIN). Economic impacts from the commercial fishing and seafood industry and recreational fishing sectors are from two separate national IMPLAN models of the Economics and Sociocultural Analysis Division, Office of Science and

Technology, NOAA Fisheries. Fishing-related industry information was obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
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## Commercial Fisheries

## What Does the Term Mean?

Commercial fisheries, in this report, refers to fishing operations that sell their catch for profit. It does not include saltwater anglers who fish for sport or subsistence fishermen. It also excludes the for-hire sector, which earns its revenue from selling recreational fishing trips to saltwater anglers. The commercial fisheries section reports on economic impacts, landings revenue, landings, and ex-vessel prices of key species and species groups.

## Metrics Definitions ${ }^{1}$

## Economic Impacts

The employment, personal income, and output generated by the commercial harvest sector and other major components of the U.S. seafood industry.

## Landings

The poundage or number of fish unloaded by commercial fishermen or brought to shore.

## Landings Revenue

The price that fishermen are paid for their catch.

## Ex-vessel Prices

The price received by a captain, at the point of landing, for the catch.

## Frequently Asked Questions

What are fish caught with in commercial fishing?
Fish can be caught using a variety of gear, including potts and traps, trawls and seines, gillnets, dredges, and hooks and lines.

## What happens to seafood caught by commercial fishermen?

Fish caught by commercial fishermen are first processed and packaged. Then they are sold to various establishments for consumption, such as restaurants and supermarkets. They can also be used as animal food and for medical purposes (such as fish oil pills).

## Does the United States get seafood from anywhere else?

Not all fish are caught by U.S. commercial fishermen. A large percent of the seafood the U.S. receives is imported.


[^0]
## Recreational Fisheries

## What Does the Term Mean?

Recreational fisheries, or recreational fishing, refer to fishing for pleasure rather than selling the fish for profit (i.e., commercial fishing) or for subsistence. The recreational fisheries section of Fisheries Economics of the U.S. reports on angler trips, participation, expenditures and economic impacts, and catch of key species and species groups. Only saltwater, or marine, recreational fishing is included in FEUS.

## Metrics Definitions

## Economic Impacts and <br> Expenditures

The employment, sales, and personal income generated by expenditures on fishing trips and fishing-related durable goods (i.e. equipment used for recreational fishing).

## Fishing Trips/ Effort

The number of fishing trips taken by recreational fishermen (anglers).

## Participation

The number of anglers who fish in a given state or region. Anglers can be from in-state or out-of-state and from a coastal county or non-coastal county.

## Harvest and Release

The total number or fish either: 1) caught and kept (harvested), or 2) caught and released, by recreational anglers from an area over a period of time. Total catch is the sum of the number of fish harvested and released.

## Frequently Asked Questions

## How do anglers affect the fishing economy?

When anglers participate in fishing activities, they support sales and employment in recreational fishing and other types of businesses. Anglers buy fishing equipment from bait and tackle shops, rent or buy boats, or pay to have others take them on charter boats to fish. They may also pay for food and drink at local restaurants, purchase gas for their boat, and stay in hotels for overnight fishing


## Marine Economy

## What Does the Term Mean?

The "Marine Economy," in this report, refers to the economic activity generated by sectors of the economy that depend directly on oceans (or Great Lakes). We report on two industry sectors within the marine economy: 1) seafood sales and processing; and 2) transport, support, and marine operations. Information such as the number of establishments, number of employees, and annual payroll for these fishing and marine-related industries is used to determine their relative levels of economic activity in a state.

## Metrics Definitions

## Seafood Sales and Processing

These sectors are a direct representation of the Establishments, Employees, Sales, and Payroll for seafood processors, wholesalers, and retailers that buy fish from commercial fishermen and distribute to consumers.

## Transport, Support, and Marine Operations

The various sectors that contribute to the overall marine economy that may or may not support the fishing economy.

## Frequently Asked Questions

## Does the marine economy include commercial and recreational

 fisheries?Yes, commercial and recreational fisheries contribute to the overall marine economy.

What marine economy sectors, featured in the report, are related to commercial and recreational fisheries?
The seafood product preparation \& packaging, wholesale, and retail seafood sales sectors are major parts of the commercial fishing industry. The Marinas, Navigational Services, Port \& Harbor operations, and Ship \& Boat Building sectors provide goods and services used in both commercial and recreational fisheries.

## Why does the report include sectors that are independent of the fishing economy?

Information on sectors that are independent of the fishing economy, like freight transportation, provides context for how national and regional economies are affected by the use of ocean resources.


## National Overview



## MANAGEMENT CONTEXT

The authority to manage federal fisheries in the United States was granted to the Secretary of Commerce by the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (P.L. 94-265 as amended by P.L. 109-479). NOAA Fisheries is the federal agency with delegated authority from the Secretary of Commerce to oversee fishing activities in federal waters. Federal fisheries are generally defined as fishing activities that take place in the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ, between 3 and 200 nautical miles from the coastline). Generally, individual states retain management authority over fishing activities within three nautical miles of their coasts.

## Regional Fishery Management Councils

- North Pacific
- Mid-Atlantic
- Pacific
- South Atlantic
- Western Pacific
- Gulf of Mexico
- New England
- Caribbean

Nationwide, 46 fishery management plans (FMPs) provide a framework for managing the harvest of 474 fish stocks and stock complexes. ${ }^{1}$ These plans aim to manage the harvest of fish in U.S. and shared waters, using sound scientific research, to maximize fishing opportunity while ensuring the sustainability of fisheries and fishing communities. Regional Fishery Management Councils (FMCs) develop FMPs in eight regions nationwide: North Pacific, Pacific, Western Pacific, New England, Mid-Atlantic, South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean. After an FMP is developed, the Secretary of Commerce in consultation with NOAA Fisheries must approve it before it is implemented.

Fishery management plans must specify objective and measurable criteria to determine when a stock is overfished or subject to overfishing. Enough information exists to determine the overfishing status for 317 (or 67\%) of the 474 stocks and stock complexes. Of these 317, 30 stocks are subject to overfishing (or $9 \%$ of stocks with known status). The overfished status of 235 (or 50\%) of the 474 stocks and stock complexes is known. Of these 235 stocks, 35 (or $15 \%$ of stocks with known status) are
categorized as overfished. ${ }^{2}$

## Transboundary and International Fisheries

NOAA Fisheries is also actively involved in negotiating conservation and management measures including total allowable catch levels, fishery allocations, and monitoring and control schemes for internationally shared fisheries resources. Shared fisheries resources include those in areas where the EEZ of the United States overlaps with other nations (transboundary areas), and in areas beyond the U.S. EEZ, i.e., international waters or the high seas. The Gulf of Alaska and the Gulf of Maine are examples of these transboundary areas. An area in the Bering Sea outside the EEZs of Canada, Japan, and Russia, called the Donut Hole, is an example of international waters. Loss of sea ice will create new transboundary areas and international waters in the Arctic.

Regional Fishery Management Organizations (RFMOs) are multinational organizations with interests in internationally shared fish stocks and associated fishing activities. Primary objectives of these RFMOs are to research, assess, and adopt measures for the conservation and coordinated management of target species, such as bigeye tuna. Some RFMOs also collect data and evaluate and adopt measures for the conservation and scientific assessment of non-target species, also known as bycatch. Non-target species include seabirds, marine mammals, sea turtles, and fish species caught incidentally while fishing for target species. The commitment to conserving and protecting all species associated with, or affected by, fishing activities is outlined in the Food and Agriculture Organization's (FAO) Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries established in 1995.

## Regional Fishery Management Organizations: NOAA

 Fisheries participates in eight RFMOs globally. Each RMFO is listed by ocean basin on the following page. ${ }^{3}$[^1]
## Pacific

- Pacific Salmon Commission
- International Pacific Halibut Commission
- Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission
- Western and Central Pacific Fishery Commission


## Atlantic

- International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas
- North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization
- Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization


## Antarctic

- Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources

Another issue of particular concern for NOAA Fisheries is illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing activities. IUU fishing generally refers to fishing that violates national laws or internationally agreed conservation and management measures in effect in oceans around the world. IUU fishing can include fishing without a license or quota for certain species, unauthorized trans-shipments to cargo vessels, failing to report catches or making false reports, keeping undersized fish or fish that are otherwise protected by regulations, fishing in closed areas or during closed seasons, and using prohibited fishing gear.

NOAA Fisheries is actively collaborating with other federal agencies as part of the National Ocean Council Committee on IUU Fishing and Seafood Fraud. This network of agencies works together to implement measures outlined in an action plan developed by the Presidential Task Force on Combatting IUU Fishing and Seafood Fraud. As part of this effort, in December 2016 NOAA Fisheries issued the final rule establishing the Seafood Import Monitoring Program to further combat IUU fishing practices and to identify misrepresented seafood imports before they enter the U.S. market. The data collected under this program will allow certain priority species, identified as especially vulnerable to IUU fishing and seafood fraud, to be traced from the point of entry into U.S. commerce back to the point of harvest or production to verify whether it was lawfully harvested or produced. For 11 of the 13 species/species groups covered in the final
rule, the rule went into effect January 1, 2018; shrimp and abalone compliance will be mandatory by December 31, 2018. By not allowing IUU fish products into the U.S., the Seafood Import Monitoring Program helps level the playing field for commercial fishermen by reducing unfair competition in the marketplace.

## Threatened and Endangered Species

 NOAA Fisheries is also the lead agency for the conservation and protection of marine and anadromous species that fall within the purview of the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Currently, there are 160 threatened and endangered marine species under the ESA (see Table 1).Table 1. Endangered and Threatened Species under NOAA Fisheries Jurisdiction ${ }^{4}$

| Species Group | Number of Species |
| :--- | ---: |
| Marine and Anadromous Fish | 72 |
| Marine Mammals | 34 |
| Reptiles and Sea Turtles | 26 |
| Marine Invertebrates | 27 |
| Plants | 1 |
| Total Threatened and | 160 |
| Endangered Marine Species |  |

In addition to threatened and endangered marine and anadromous species, NOAA Fisheries also helps identify candidate and proposed species. Candidate species are actively being considered for listing as endangered or threatened under the ESA. These species also include those for which NOAA Fisheries has initiated a status review that it has announced in the Federal Register. Proposed species are candidate species that were found to warrant listing as either threatened or endangered. These species were officially proposed as such in a Federal Register notice after the completion of a status review and consideration of other protective measures. Currently, 12 candidate species and no proposed species are under consideration for listing.

NOAA Fisheries is also responsible for protecting marine mammals under the Marine Mammal Protection Act. ${ }^{5}$ In authorizing this act in 1972, Congress recognized that marine mammal species or stocks may be in danger of extinction or depletion as a result of human activities; marine mammal species or stocks should not be allowed to fall below their optimum sustainable population levels; measures should be taken to replenish marine mammal

[^2]species or stocks; there is inadequate knowledge of the marine mammal ecology and population dynamics; and marine mammals have proven to be resources of great international significance. NOAA Fisheries engages in activities such as preventing the harassment, capture, or killing of marine mammals; preparing marine mammal stock assessments; and studying interactions between marine mammals and fisheries.

## Essential Fish Habitats

Sustainable commercial and recreational fisheries depend on healthy habitats. These habitats include rivers, estuaries, coastal waters, and the open ocean where marine and anadromous species feed, grow, and reproduce. Consideration of these habitat areas is part of an ecosystem-based management approach for managing fisheries in a more sustainable and holistic manner. Since 1996, federal fishery management plans are required to identify and describe essential fish habitat (EFH) for all federally managed species. Habitat areas that are necessary for a fish species' growth, reproduction, and development are considered EFH. To the extent practicable, NOAA Fisheries and the FMCs must minimize adverse effects to EFH caused by fishing.

Though not required, Habitat Areas of Particular Concern (HAPC) can be identified to help focus EFH conservation efforts. The HAPC designation alone does not confer additional protection to or place restrictions on an area, but helps to focus EFH conservation, management, and research priorities. HAPC designation is a valuable way to acknowledge areas based on their ecological importance, rarity, and/or vulnerability, indicating a greater need for conservation and management. To date, 229 HAPCs have been designated, a combination of habitat types, discrete areas, and waterways. Some of these areas do overlap.

In order to help prioritize efforts related to EFH, NOAA Fisheries held an EFH Summit in 2016 and then published an updated Marine Fisheries Habitat Assessment Improvement Plan in 2018. ${ }^{6}$ Both efforts focused on identifying habitats that are most essential for sustaining federally managed species and supporting research
to understand how these habitats directly contribute to fisheries productivity. A continued priority is refining EFH and HAPC designations for habitat-limited species and habitats that play a key role in offshore stock productivity.

## Catch Share Programs

Market-based management tools are used by fishery managers to reduce over-capitalization, increase the economic viability of fisheries, and promote individual accountability for harvest and harvesting practices. Catch share programs are one of these tools, and they encompass a range of management strategies that share a common feature: A secure share of fish is dedicated to individual fishermen, cooperatives, fishing communities, and other entities for their exclusive use. In 2010, the NOAA catch share policy was released to encourage well-designed catch share programs to help maintain or rebuild fisheries. ${ }^{7}$ The policy also aims to sustain fishermen, communities, and vibrant working waterfronts, including the cultural and resource-access traditions that have been part of this country since its founding.

Currently, there are 17 federal catch share programs nationwide. These programs include limited access privilege programs (LAPPs), individual fishing quota programs (IFQs), individual transferable quota programs (ITQs), fishing community development quota programs (CDQs), fishing cooperatives, and fishing sectors. ${ }^{8}$ Implementation dates of these programs span three decades, with five programs established in the 1990s and six established since 2010 (see Table 2). Eleven programs manage a single species or, in some cases, two species but as separate management units; the other six programs manage multiple species. Seven of the programs operate in the North Pacific (Alaska) Region.

[^3]Table 2. Existing Catch Share Programs in Federal Fisheries, ${ }^{\text {,10 }}$

| Region | Program | Year Implemented |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Pacific | Western Alaska Community Development Quota (CDQ) Program | 1992 |
|  | Alaska Halibut and Sablefish IFQ Program | 1995 |
|  | American Fisheries Act (AFA) Pollock Cooperatives | 1998 |
|  | Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) King and Tanner Crab Rationalization | 2005 |
|  | Aleutian Islands Pollock Fishery | 2005 |
|  | Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) Non-Pollock Trawl Catcher/Processor Groundfish Cooperatives (Amendment 80) | 2008 |
|  | Central Gulf of Alaska (GOA) Rockfish Program (pilot implemented in 2007) | 2011 |
| Pacific | Pacific Coast Sablefish Permit Stacking Program | 2001 |
|  | Pacific Groundfish Trawl Rationalization Program (Whiting and Non-Whiting trawl) | 2011 |
| Northeast | Northeast Multispecies Sectors: Georges Bank Cod - Hook Gear (2004) and Georges Bank Cod - Fixed Gear (2007) | 2010 |
|  | Northeast General Category Sea Scallop IFQ Program | 2010 |
| Mid-Atlantic | Mid-Atlantic Surfclam and Ocean Quahog IFQ Program | 1990 |
|  | Mid-Atlantic Golden Tilefish IFQ Program | 2009 |
| Atlantic Highly |  |  |
| Migratory Species | Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Individual Bluefin Quota Program | 2015 |
| South Atlantic | South Atlantic Wreckfish ITQ Program | 1992 |
| Gulf of Mexico | Red Snapper IFQ Program | 2007 |
|  | Grouper and Tilefish IFQ Program | 2010 |

In 2010, NOAA Fisheries initiated an effort to track catch share program performance. ${ }^{11}$ Findings from the initial report show that existing catch share programs have ended the race to fish (in their respective fisheries) resulting in longer fishing seasons, safer working conditions, and improved management performance. The report also shows that existing catch share programs have resulted in reduced fishing capacity to better match stock size-a management objective in the majority of catch share programs evaluated. Economic performance for the vessels remaining in the program improved, as measured by such metrics as revenue per vessel and average price.

Updated information on selected performance indicators is provided in Table 3. Briefly, results show that inflation-adjusted revenue from catch share species increased in 8 of the 16 programs and/or sub-components of the programs since their implementation. In addition, the number of active vessels decreased in all but one program (Central Gulf of Alaska (GOA) Rockfish program), while inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel increased in all but two programs since their implementation (Mid-Atlantic Golden Tilefish IFQ program and Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Individual Bluefin Quota Program). Further, the results show that no stocks exceeded the annual catch limit (ACL) in 2016.

[^4]Table 3. Economic Performance Indicators for U.S. Federal Catch Share Programs ( 2016 dollars) ${ }^{12}$

| Region | Program | ACL <br> Exceeded <br> Baseline <br> 2016 |  | Number of Active Vessels |  | Total Revenue from Catch Share Species |  | Revenue per Active Vessel |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Baseline | 2016 | Baseline | 2016 | Baseline | 2016 |
| Alaska Halibut IFQ Program |  | Y | N | 3,432 | 863 | \$104,235,187 | \$108,551,827 | \$27,168 | \$122,867 |
|  | Alaska Sablefish IFQ Program | Y | N | 1,139 | 304 | \$76,304,219 | \$73,724,937 | \$82,467 | \$236,892 |
|  | American Fisheries Act (AFA) Pollock Cooperatives | Y | N | 147 | 102 | \$376,474,782 | \$344,219,874 | \$1,720,368 | \$3,296,437 |


| North Pacific | Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) King and Tanner Crab Rationalization | Y | N | 264 | 72 | \$249,522,884 | \$190,513,888 | \$706,482 | \$2,511,131 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) Non-Pollock Trawl Catcher/ Processor Groundfish Cooperatives (Amendment 80) | N | N | 22 | 19 | \$88,806,736 | \$97,197,440 | \$4,187,808 | \$5,513,528 |
|  | Central Gulf of Alaska (GOA) Rockfish Program | Y | N | 42 | 53 | \$6,828,753 | \$10,904,804 | \$162,589 | \$205,751 |
| Pacific | Pacific Coast Sablefish Permit Stacking Program | - | N | 135 | 85 | \$6,713,015 | \$9,125,751 | \$49,726 | \$107,361 |
|  | Pacific Groundfish Trawl Rationalization Program (Whiting and Non-Whiting trawl) | - | N | 124 | 95 | \$40,047,400 | \$44,000,623 | \$322,962 | \$463,164 |
| New England | Northeast Multispecies Sectors: Georges Bank Cod - Hook Gear (2004) and Georges Bank Cod Fixed Gear (2007) | Y | N | 417 | 198 | \$86,411,185 | \$46,478,813 | \$207,221 | \$234,741 |
|  | Northeast/Atlantic General Category Sea Scallop IFQ Program | - | - | 271 | 161 | \$28,413,936 | \$43,986,489 | \$104,848 | \$273,208 |
| Mid-AtIantic | Mid-Atlantic Ocean Quahog ITQ | N | N | 67 | 16 | \$29,456,676 | \$24,067,464 | \$439,651 | \$1,504,216 |
|  | Mid-Atlantic Surfclam ITQ | N | N | 137 | 38 | \$39,692,251 | \$29,247,462 | \$289,724 | \$769,670 |
|  | Mid-Atlantic Golden Tilefish IFQ | - | N | 14 | 12 | \$4,715,655 | \$3,962,827 | \$336,832 | \$330,235 |
| Atlantic <br> Highly <br> Migratory <br> Species | Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Individual Bluefin Quota Program | - | - | 116 | 85 | \$976,646 | \$706,981 | \$8,419 | \$8,317 |
| Gulf of Mexico | Red Snapper IFQ Program | Y | N | 482 | 430 | \$13,982,161 | \$26,849,941 | \$29,008 | \$62,441 |
|  | Grouper and Tilefish IFQ Program | Y | N | 630 | 441 | \$22,809,890 | \$28,248,052 | \$36,206 | \$64,054 |

[^5]
## Other Market-Based Management Tools

Vessel or permit buyback programs are another mar-ket-based tool used by fishery managers. Under these programs, the government purchases fishing vessels or permits. Doing so permanently decreases the number of participants in the fishery and eases fishing-related pressure on marine resources. Recent buyback programs include BSAI Crab, Pacific Coast Groundfish, Longline CP Non-Pollock Groundfish, Southeast Alaska Purse Seine Salmon, and AFA Pollock.

Limited Access Privilege Programs (LAPPs), also known as limited entry programs, are another management tool available to fishery managers. In these programs, the number of fishing vessels allowed to harvest a specific fish stock or stock complex is limited to fishermen or vessels with permission to fish. LAPPs have been implemented in almost all federally managed commercial fisheries and in every region except the Caribbean.

Ecolabels are market-based tools offered by third-party entities. An eco-labeling program entitles a fishery product to bear a distinctive logo or statement that certifies the fishery resource was harvested in compliance with specified conservation and sustainability standards. It allows the buyer to potentially influence the sustainable harvest of fishery resources through the purchase of such ecolabeled seafood products at a price premium. The Marine Stewardship Council (MSC) has one of the most recognizable ecolabeling programs in the world. Currently, nearly 300 fisheries worldwide meet MSC sustainability standards, 20 of which are U.S. fisheries (see Table 4). Fisheries obtaining MSC certification for the first time in 2016 include the U.S. Atlantic Surfclam and Ocean Quahog fishery and the Gulf of Maine Lobster fishery. ${ }^{13}$

Table 4. U.S. Fisheries with MSC Certification ${ }^{13}$

| Region | Fishery | Certified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alaska salmon | 2000 |
| North Pacific | Alaska pollock - Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands | 2005 |
|  | Alaska pollock - Gulf of Alaska | 2005 |
|  | Alaska North Pacific halibut | 2006 |
|  | Alaska North Pacific sablefish | 2006 |
|  | Alaska flatfish - Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands | 2010 |
|  | Alaska flatfish - Gulf of Alaska | 2010 |
|  | Alaska Pacific cod - Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands | 2010 |
|  | Alaska Pacific cod - Gulf of Alaska | 2010 |
|  | Annette Islands Reserve salmon | 2011 |
| Pacific | Oregon and Washington pink shrimp | 2007 |
|  | Pacific hake mid-water trawl | 2010 |
|  | West Coast limited entry groundfish trawl | 2014 |
| Northeast | Atlantic spiny dogfish, winter skate and little skate | 2012 |
|  | Atlantic sea scallop | 2013 |
|  | North Atlantic swordfish, yellowfin, and albacore tuna fishery | 2013 |
|  | Acadian redfish, pollock and haddock otter trawl fishery | 2016 |
|  | Atlantic surfclam and ocean quahog fishery | 2016 |
|  | Gulf of Maine lobster fishery | 2016 |
| Southeast | Louisiana blue crab | 2012 |

## COMMERCIAL FISHERIES NATIONAL OVERVIEW

In this report, commercial fisheries refer to fishing operations that sell their catch for profit. The term does not include subsistence fishermen or saltwater anglers who fish for sport. It also excludes the for-hire sector, which earns its revenue from selling recreational fishing trips to saltwater anglers. The commercial fisheries section reports on economic impacts, landings revenue, landings, and ex-vessel prices of key species/species groups.

## Key U.S. Commercial Species

- Alaska pollock
- Pacific salmon
- American lobster
- Sablefish
- Blue crab
- Sea scallop
- Menhaden
- Shrimp
- Pacific halibut
- Tunas


## Regional Highlights

At the national level, this report includes landings revenue, landings, and prices for 10 key species or species

[^6]groups, which were selected so that each region has at least one species in the top 10. Results show that commercial fishermen in Alaska caught the most salmon ( 985.9 million pounds) and earned $\$ 645.7$ million for their catch in 2017. Tunas were caught in large numbers in Hawai'i ( 25 million pounds) and generated $\$ 87.3$ million in landings revenue. Maine fishermen contributed the most to American lobster landings (108 million pounds) and earned $\$ 423$ million for their catch in 2017. In Massachusetts, sea scallopers harvested 32.4 million pounds of scallop and earned $\$ 330.2$ million for their catch. More blue crabs were caught in Louisiana ( 43.9 million pounds) than in any other state, earning more than $\$ 54.2$ million. Louisiana accounted for the greatest quantity of menhaden landed in 2017, with fishermen landing 716.1 million pounds worth $\$ 60.9$ million in dockside revenue. Sea scallop garnered the highest average ex-vessel price per pound (\$9.80) among the key species and species groups in 2017, with state-specific prices ranging from $\$ 8.50$ in New York to \$13.12 in New Hampshire.

## Economic Impacts

The premise behind economic impact modeling is that every dollar spent in a regional economy (direct impact) is either saved or re-spent on additional goods or services. If those dollars are re-spent on other goods and services in the regional economy, this spending generates additional economic activity in the region. ${ }^{14}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how commercial fisheries landings affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as commercial fishing. The category includes both the direct sales of fish landed and sales made between businesses and households resulting from the original sale. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the sales of seafood or purchases of inputs to commercial fishing. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in numbers of jobs. Note that these catego-
ries are not additive. The U.S. seafood industry is defined here as the commercial fishing sector, seafood processors and dealers, seafood wholesalers and distributors, importers, and seafood retailers. ${ }^{15}$

This report provides estimates of total economic impacts for the nation and for each of the 23 coastal states. Total economic impacts for each state and the nation represent the sum of direct impacts; indirect impacts (in this case, the impact from suppliers to the seafood industry); and induced impacts (spending by employees on personal and household expenditures, where employees of both the seafood industry and its full supply chain are included). That is, the total economic impact estimates reported here measure jobs, sales, value-added, and income impacts from the seafood industry as well as the economic activity generated throughout each region's broader economy from this industry.

In 2017, the seafood industry supported 1.2 million fulland part-time jobs and generated $\$ 170.3$ billion in sales, $\$ 44.6$ billion in income, and $\$ 69.2$ billion in value-added impacts nationwide (Table 5). The retail sector generated the largest employment impacts (549,922 jobs) and income impacts ( $\$ 13.3$ billion). The importers sector generated the largest sales impacts ( $\$ 81.1$ billion) and value-added impacts ( $\$ 24.7$ billion).


Graph 1. Jobs supported by the U.S. Seafood Industry (Jobs with and without Imports), 2017

[^7]Table 5. U.S. Seafood Industry Economic Impacts Trends (jobs, millions of dollars)

| Impacts | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jobs | $1,270,141$ | $1,350,627$ | $1,394,833$ | $1,179,848$ | $1,190,092$ | $1,246,366$ |
| Sales | $\$ 140,661$ | $\$ 142,249$ | $\$ 153,341$ | $\$ 144,194$ | $\$ 144,293$ | $\$ 170,314$ |
| Income | $\$ 38,722$ | $\$ 39,747$ | $\$ 41,956$ | $\$ 39,744$ | $\$ 39,905$ | $\$ 44,595$ |
| Value Added | $\$ 59,017$ | $\$ 60,309$ | $\$ 64,071$ | $\$ 60,566$ | $\$ 60,768$ | $\$ 69,177$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 5,099$ | $\$ 5,547$ | $\$ 5,473$ | $\$ 5,184$ | $\$ 5,337$ | $\$ 5,409$ |

Table 6. Sales, Income and Value-Added Impacts Generated by the U.S. Seafood Industry, 2017 (millions of dollars)

| State | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Total | \$170,314 | \$44,595 | \$69,177 |
| California | \$28,833 | \$6,158 | \$10,247 |
| Florida | \$19,677 | \$3,676 | \$6,578 |
| Massachusetts | \$14,144 | \$3,428 | \$5,367 |
| Washington | \$9,291 | \$2,411 | \$3,708 |
| New Jersey | \$7,328 | \$1,555 | \$2,589 |
| New York | \$6,119 | \$1,308 | \$2,165 |
| Alaska | \$4,398 | \$1,904 | \$2,377 |
| Maine | \$3,630 | \$1,016 | \$1,539 |
| Texas | \$3,254 | \$887 | \$1,352 |
| New Hampshire | \$2,503 | \$553 | \$902 |
| Georgia | \$2,193 | \$486 | \$800 |
| Louisiana | \$1,813 | \$665 | \$909 |
| Maryland | \$1,689 | \$417 | \$648 |
| Rhode Island | \$1,661 | \$393 | \$628 |
| Virginia | \$1,484 | \$455 | \$659 |
| Oregon | \$1,357 | \$440 | \$631 |
| Connecticut | \$1,087 | \$219 | \$372 |
| North Carolina | \$969 | \$268 | \$402 |
| Hawai'i | \$901 | \$275 | \$402 |
| Alabama | \$591 | \$235 | \$308 |
| Delaware | \$335 | \$60 | \$108 |
| Mississippi | \$234 | \$93 | \$121 |
| South Carolina | \$159 | \$50 | \$72 |

## Landings Revenue

Landings revenue in the United States totaled $\$ 5.4$ billion in 2017 (Table 7). This represented a 24\% increase in nominal value from 2008 levels (an 8\% increase in real terms after adjusting for inflation) and, year-over-year, a $1 \%$ increase from 2016 (Graph 2). Finfish landings revenue accounted for 47\% of all landings revenue. Pacific salmon had the highest landings revenue in 2017.

Table 7. Commercial Fisheries Landings Revenue by Region, 2017 (thousands of dollars)

| Region | Revenue <br> $\$ 5,409,361$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| U.S. Total | $\$ 1,764,462$ |
| North Pacific | $\$ 1,266,062$ |
| New England | $\$ 890,269$ |
| Gulf of Mexico | $\$ 670,651$ |
| Pacific | $\$ 508,063$ |
| Mid-Atlantic | $\$ 193,484$ |
| South Atlantic | $\$ 116,368$ |
| Western Pacific (Hawai'i) |  |



Graph 2. U.S. Commercial Fisheries Landings Revenue, 2008-2017 (nominal values, billions of dollars)

From 2008 to 2017, Pacific salmon ( $74 \%$, 52\% in real terms), American lobster ( $70 \%, 48 \%$ in real terms), and tunas (43\%, 25\% in real terms) had the largest increases, while Pacific halibut ( $-43 \%,-50 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decrease. From 2016 to 2017, Pacific salmon (63\%), sablefish (23\%), and shrimp (7\%) had the largest increases, while menhaden (-31\%), American lobster ( $-18 \%$ ), and blue crab ( $-8 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

## Commercial Revenue: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Pacific salmon ( $74 \%, 52 \%$ in real terms)
- American lobster ( $70 \%, 48 \%$ in real terms)
- Tunas ( $43 \%, 25 \%$ in real terms)

From 2016:

- Pacific salmon (63\%)
- Sablefish (23\%)
- Shrimp (7\%)


## Commercial Revenue: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Pacific halibut ( $-43 \%,-50 \%$ in real terms)

From 2016:

- Menhaden (-31\%)
- American lobster (-18\%)
- Blue crab (-8\%)

The North Pacific Region earned the greatest share of landings revenue in 2017 ( $\$ 1.8$ billion), contributing 33\% of the national total (Table 7). Massachusetts ( $\$ 508.8$ million, or $18 \%$ of U.S. shellfish revenue) and Maine (\$454.4 million, or $16 \%$ of U.S. shellfish revenue) earned the most ex-vessel revenue from shellfish landings.

## Landings

Landings volume in the United States totaled 9.9 billion pounds in 2017 (Table 8). This represented a 19\% increase from 2008 levels and, year-over-year, a 3\% increase from 2016 (Graph 3). Finfish landings revenue accounted for $89 \%$ of all landed weight. Alaska pollock had the highest landings volume in 2017.

From 2008 to 2017, Pacific salmon (53\%), American lobster (52\%), and Alaska pollock (49\%) had the largest increases, while Pacific halibut (-61\%), sablefish (-13\%), and blue crab ( $-9 \%$ ) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, Pacific salmon (80\%), sea scallop (27\%), and sablefish (13\%) had the largest increases, while menhaden (-20\%), American lobster (-17\%), and blue crab $(-10 \%)$ had the largest decreases.


Graph 3. U.S. Commercial Fisheries Landings, 2008-2017 (billions of pounds)

Table 8. Commercial Fisheries Landings by Region, 2017 (millions of pounds)

| Region | Landings Volume |
| :--- | ---: |
| U.S. Total | $9,905,033$ |
| North Pacific | $6,004,882$ |
| Gulf of Mexico | $1,402,221$ |
| Pacific | $1,177,043$ |
| Mid-Atlantic | 620,317 |
| New England | 555,661 |
| South Atlantic | 107,747 |
| Western Pacific (Hawai'i) | 37,162 |

## Commercial Landings: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Pacific salmon (53\%)
- American lobster (52\%)
- Alaska pollock (49\%)

From 2016:

- Pacific salmon (80\%)
- Sea scallop (27\%)
- Sablefish (13\%)


## Commercial Landings: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Pacific halibut (-61\%)
- Sablefish (-13\%)
- Blue crab (-9\%)

From 2016:

- Menhaden (-20\%)
- American lobster (-17\%)
- Blue crab (-10\%)


## Prices

Of all key species or species groups, sea scallop (\$9.84 per pound) had the highest national ex-vessel price. Menhaden ( $\$ 0.09$ per pound) had the lowest ex-vessel price of all key species nationally.

From 2008 to 2017, Pacific halibut (46\%, 27\% in real terms), sea scallop (42\%, 24\% in real terms), and blue crab ( $35 \%, 18 \%$ in real terms) had the largest increases, while Alaska pollock ( $-14 \%,-25 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decrease. From 2016 to 2017, sablefish (9\%), shrimp (6\%), and blue crab (2\%) had the largest increases, while sea scallop (-18\%), menhaden (-14\%), and Pacific salmon (-9\%) had the largest decreases.

## RECREATIONAL FISHERIES NATIONAL OVERVIEW

In this report, recreational fishing refers to fishing for leisure rather than to sell fish (commercial fishing) or for subsistence. The key species/species groups included in this report were chosen because they are caught in large numbers, highly prized by recreational anglers, associated with federal fishery management plans, or a combination of one or more of these factors. The recreational fisheries section reports on angler participation, trips, economic impacts and expenditures, and catch of key species/species groups. ${ }^{16,17}$

[^8]
## Key U.S. Recreational Species ${ }^{\mathbf{1 8}}$

- Dolphinfish (Western Pacific and Atlantic)
- Drum (Atlantic croaker and spot) (Atlantic regions)
- Drum (seatrouts) (Atlantic regions): sand seatrout, seatrout genus, silver seatrout, spotted seatrout, and weakfish
- Pacific halibut (North Pacific)
- Pacific salmon (Pacific and North Pacific): Chinook salmon, chum salmon, coho salmon, and pink salmon
- Rockfishes and scorpionfishes (Pacific and North Pacific)
- Striped bass (Atlantic regions)
- Summer flounder (Atlantic regions)
- Tunas (Atlantic regions): albacore, bigeye tuna, blackfin tuna, bluefin tuna, tuna genus, and yellowfin tuna
- Tunas (Pacific and Western Pacific regions): albacore, albacore and other tunas, bigeye tuna, bluefin tuna, frigate mackerel, mackerel family, and yellowfin tuna

The economic contributions for both trip and durable expenditures from recreational fishing in 2017 were estimated using IMPLAN version 3, with base year data from 2017. Models for each state and for the nation were created in IMPLAN using trip expenditures (based on 2016/2017 survey data on average trip expenditures and total 2017 trips) and for durable expenditures (based on 2014 survey data on average durable expenditures and 2017 participants).

## Regional Highlights

At the national level, the report includes fishing trips, participation, and the harvest and release numbers of 10 key species or species groups, which were selected so that each region has at least one species in the top 10. Results show that in 2017, recreational anglers in West Florida took the most trips ( 41.8 million trips) and spent the most on trips ( $\$ 87.5$ million). Alabama spent the second most on trips ( $\$ 724.7$ million). West Florida also had the most recreational anglers participate in fishing in their state, with 3.8 million anglers.

Virginia caught the most Atlantic croaker and spot (35.4 million fish), West Florida caught the most seatrouts (41 million fish), Massachusetts caught the most striped bass ( 13.3 million fish), and New York caught the most summer flounder ( 13.5 million fish). Alaska caught the most Pacific halibut (551,600 fish) and Pacific salmon (1 million fish).

## Economic Impacts and Expenditures

The economic contributions or impacts of recreational fishing activities in the United States is based on spending by recreational anglers. ${ }^{19}$ Total annual trip expenditures were estimated at the state level by multiplying mean trip expenditures by the estimated number of adult trips in each trip mode (for-hire, private boat, and shore) and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. Total annual durable expenditures were estimated by multiplying mean durable expenditures by the estimated annual number of adult participants in the United States and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. ${ }^{20}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how angler expenditures affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as recreational fishing. It includes both the direct sales made to the angler and sales made between businesses and households resulting from that original sale to the angler. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the purchases made by anglers. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in numbers of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. NOAA Fisheries uses a regional impact modeling software, called IMPLAN, to estimate these four types of impacts.

Economic impacts from recreational fishing activities supported 487,024 jobs across the United States in 2017 (Table 9). Recreational fishing also generated about

[^9]$\$ 73.8$ billion in sales impacts, $\$ 24.7$ billion in income impacts, and $\$ 41.5$ billion in value-added impacts.

Impacts from durable equipment expenditures (e.g., rods and reels, fishing-related equipment, boats, vehicles, and second homes) accounted for 66\% of total job impacts, $67 \%$ of sales impacts, $68 \%$ of income impacts, and $66 \%$ of value added impacts. Of the three fishing trip modes, shore-boat-based fishing trips had the greatest economic impact, accounting for $17 \%$ of employment, $16 \%$ of sales, $16 \%$ of income impacts, and $17 \%$ of value-added impacts.

Table 9. Recreational Economic Impacts Trends for the United States (millions of dollars) ${ }^{21}$

| Impacts | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Jobs | 486,164 | 487,024 |
| Sales | $\$ 72,757$ | $\$ 73,752$ |
| Income | $\$ 24,377$ | $\$ 24,684$ |
| Value Added | $\$ 40,885$ | $\$ 41,474$ |

The greatest employment impacts (Graph 4) and sales impacts (Table 10) from saltwater recreational fishing were both generated in West Florida, followed by East Florida and North Carolina.


Graph 4. Jobs supported by the U.S. Recreational Fishing Industry, 2017

Table 10. Sales, Income, and Value-Added Impacts Generated by the Recreational Fishing Industry, 2017 (millions of dollars)

| State | Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Total | 487,024 | \$73,752 | \$24,684 | \$41,474 |
| West Florida | 79,498 | \$9,142 | \$3,271 | \$5,535 |
| East Florida | 45,267 | \$5,137 | \$1,840 | \$3,159 |
| North Carolina | 30,170 | \$3,086 | \$1,112 | \$1,869 |
| Alabama | 23,721 | \$2,209 | \$802 | \$1,442 |
| California | 19,750 | \$2,483 | \$976 | \$1,567 |
| Louisiana | 16,853 | \$1,899 | \$625 | \$1,136 |
| New Jersey | 14,478 | \$1,876 | \$804 | \$1,255 |
| Texas | 13,583 | \$1,720 | \$643 | \$1,080 |
| New York | 11,410 | \$1,154 | \$496 | \$849 |
| South Carolina | 9,803 | \$902 | \$310 | \$557 |
| Washington | 9,533 | \$1,198 | \$459 | \$766 |
| Massachusetts | 8,469 | \$1,005 | \$466 | \$686 |
| Maryland | 8,048 | \$847 | \$335 | \$559 |
| Virginia | 7,176 | \$764 | \$296 | \$499 |
| Alaska | 5,550 | \$567 | \$198 | \$331 |
| Connecticut | 5,259 | \$608 | \$264 | \$425 |
| Mississippi | 5,162 | \$505 | \$171 | \$314 |
| Rhode Island | 4,046 | \$419 | \$178 | \$276 |
| Georgia | 3,865 | \$341 | \$121 | \$219 |
| Oregon | 3,548 | \$364 | \$157 | \$238 |
| Delaware | 1,672 | \$182 | \$68 | \$121 |
| Maine | 1,616 | \$160 | \$60 | \$98 |
| Hawai'i | 1,093 | \$146 | \$45 | \$81 |
| New Hampshire | 497 | \$49 | \$21 | \$33 |

[^10]In 2017, expenditures for fishing trips and durable goods equipment in the United States totaled $\$ 36.6$ billion.

Approximately $\$ 10.5$ billion of these expenditures were related to trip expenses. Total trip expenditures were composed of expenses on trips in the shore (47.9\%), private boat (40.2\%), and for-hire (11.9\%) sectors. Durable goods expenditures totaled $\$ 26.2$ billion in 2017, with the largest portion coming from Boat Expenses ( $\$ 15.1$ billion) (Graph 5).


Graph 5. Recreational Fishing Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures, 2017 (billions of dollars)

## Participation

Nationwide, 9.1 million recreational saltwater anglers fished in their home states in 2017. This number represented a $27 \%$ decrease from 2008 and a 9\% decrease from 2016. Coastal county residents made up $86 \%$ of this total while non-coastal county residents made up 14\%. West Florida had the highest participation of anglers (3.8 million), followed by East Florida and North Carolina.

## Fishing Trips

Nationwide, anglers took approximately 205.3 million saltwater fishing trips around the country (Table 11). This number represented a 6\% decrease from 2008 and a 3\% increase from 2016 (Graph 6). Approximately 62\% of fishing trips were taken via shore. West Florida anglers took the most fishing trips ( 41.8 million trips), followed by those in East Florida and North Carolina (Table 12).

Table 11. Recreational Fishing Trips by Region, 2017 (thousands of fishing trips)

| Region | Trips |
| :--- | ---: |
| U.S. Total | 205,385 |
| South Atlantic | 76,869 |
| Gulf of Mexico | 58,638 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 46,005 |
| New England | 16,750 |
| Pacific | 5,843 |
| Western Pacific (Hawai'i) | 1,280 |

Table 12. Recreational Fishing Trips by State, 2017 (thousands of trips)

| State | Trips |
| :--- | ---: |
| West Florida | 41,840 |
| East Florida | 40,404 |
| North Carolina | 22,452 |
| New York | 16,634 |
| New Jersey | 12,288 |
| South Carolina | 9,389 |
| Alabama | 8,493 |
| Maryland | 8,343 |
| Massachusetts | 7,775 |
| Virginia | 6,749 |
| Mississippi | 4,852 |
| Georgia | 4,624 |
| Connecticut | 3,937 |
| California | 3,542 |
| Rhode Island | 2,318 |
| Louisiana | 2,308 |
| Delaware | 1,991 |
| Maine | 1,748 |
| Washington | 1,608 |
| Hawai'i | 1,280 |
| Texas | 1,144 |
| New Hampshire | 972 |
| Oregon | 693 |



Graph 6. Recreational Fishing Trips, 2008-2017 (millions of angler trips)

## Harvest and Release

In 2017, drum (seatrouts) ( 85.9 million fish), drum (Atlantic croaker and spot) ( 81.3 million fish), and striped bass ( 44.7 million fish), were most frequently caught by recreational fishermen in the United States. The text box to the right shows the species with the largest percentage increases and decreases in the past 10 years and in the past year.

From 2008 to 2017, rockfishes and scorpionfishes (84\%), tunas (Pacific and Western Pacific regions) (72\%), and striped bass (43\%) had the largest increases, while Pacific halibut (-37\%), tunas (Atlantic regions) (-29\%), and summer flounder ( $-29 \%$ ) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, tunas (Pacific and Western Pacific regions) (84\%), dolphinfish (47\%), and Pacific salmon (41\%) had the largest increases, while Pacific halibut (-14\%) and summer flounder ( $-10 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

## Harvest and Release: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Rockfishes and scorpionfishes (84\%)
- Tunas (Pacific and Western Pacific regions) (72\%)
- Striped bass (43\%)

From 2016:

- Tunas (Pacific and Western Pacific regions) (84\%)
- Dolphinfish (47\%)
- Pacific salmon (41\%)


## Harvest and Release: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Pacific halibut (-37\%)
- Tunas (Atlantic regions) (-29\%)
- Summer flounder (-29\%)

From 2016:

- Pacific halibut (-14\%)
- Summer flounder (-10\%)


## MARINE ECONOMY - UNITED STATES

For this report, the marine economy refers to the economic activity generated by fishing and marine-related industries in a coastal state. The state marine economy consists of two industry sectors: 1) seafood sales and processing (employer establishments and non-employer firms); and 2) transport, support, and marine operations (employer establishments). These sectors include several different marine-related industries. ${ }^{22}$

[^11]Note that Census Bureau data for the Marine Economy section of this report are available only through 2016. Percentage changes in inflation adjusted (real dollar) terms are calculated using the annual Gross Domestic Product implicit price deflator published by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis and the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. ${ }^{23}$

The Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) measures the proportional size of this sector in a state's economy relative to the size of the commercial fishing sector in the national economy. ${ }^{24}$ The CFLQ is calculated as the ratio of the percentage of regional employment in the commercial fishing sector relative to the percentage of national employment in the commercial fishing sector. The U.S. CFLQ is 1 . If a state CFLQ is less than 1 , then less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. If a state CFLQ is greater than 1 , then more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.

In 2016, 7.8 million employer establishments operated throughout the entire United States (including marine and non-marine related establishments). These establishments employed 126.8 million workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 6.4$ trillion. The nation's gross domestic product was approximately $\$ 18.8$ trillion in 2016.

## Seafood Sales and Processing

Seafood Product Preparation and Packaging: In
2016, the United States had 2,208 non-employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (remains unchanged from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 176.6$ million (an 11\% decrease in real terms from 2008). There were 586 employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (a 12\% decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 30,554 workers (an 8\% decrease from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 1.4$ billion (a $6 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008).

Seafood Sales, Retail: In 2016, there were 2,392 non-employer firms engaged in retail sales of seafood in the nation (remains unchanged from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 207.4$ million (an 11\% decrease in real terms from 2008). There were

2,067 employer firms in the retail sales of seafood sector (a $1 \%$ increase from 2008). These establishments employed 12,114 workers (a $24 \%$ increase from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 312.2$ million (a $35 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008).

Seafood Sales, Wholesale: There were 2,176 employer firms in the wholesale sales of seafood sector in the nation in 2016 (a 5\% increase from 2008). These establishments employed 22,273 workers (an 11\% increase from 2008), and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 1$ billion (an $18 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008).

## Transport, Support, and Marine Operations

## Coastal and Great Lakes Freight Transportation:

There were 603 employer firms providing coastal and Great Lakes freight transportation (an 18\% increase from 2008). These establishments employed 19,004 workers (a $10 \%$ decrease from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of about $\$ 1.7$ billion (a 12\% decrease in real terms from 2008). Louisiana (104), Alaska (79), and New York (73) had the greatest number of these employer establishments.

Deep Sea Freight Transportation: There were 313 employer firms providing deep sea freight transportation (a 14\% decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 7,009 workers (a 31\% decrease from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of about $\$ 638.9$ million. Florida (65), California (45), and Texas (36) had the greatest number of these employer establishments.

Deep Sea Passenger Transportation: There were 62 employer firms in the deep sea passenger transportation sector (a 13\% decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 14,596 workers and had a total annual payroll of about $\$ 1.2$ billion. Florida (33), California (7), and Washington (4) had the greatest number of these employer establishments.

Marinas: There were 3,826 employer firms classified as marinas (a 4\% decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 27,471 workers (a $4 \%$ decrease from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of about $\$ 1.1$ billion (a $1 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). Florida (458),

[^12]New York (422), and California (243) had the greatest number of these employer establishments.

Marine Cargo Handling: There were 492 employer firms providing marine cargo handling services (an 8\% decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 62,680 workers (a $2 \%$ decrease from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of about $\$ 4.4$ billion (a 19\% increase in real terms from 2008). California (70), Florida (63), and Texas (57) had the greatest number of these employer establishments.

Navigational Services to Shipping: There were 877 employer firms providing navigational services to the shipping industry (a $1 \%$ increase from 2008). These establishments employed 12,457 workers (a $7 \%$ decrease from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of about $\$ 920.5$ million (a 3\% decrease in real terms from 2008). Florida (194), Louisiana (144), and Texas (80) had the greatest number of these employer establishments.

Port and Harbor Operations: There were 332 employer firms in the port and harbor operations sector (a $24 \%$ increase from 2008). These establishments employed 8,003 workers (a 43\% increase from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of about $\$ 424.4$ million (a $34 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). Florida (54), California (30), and Texas (26) had the greatest number of these employer establishments.

Ship and Boat Building: There were 1,508 employer firms in the ship and boat building sector (a 15\% decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 140,179 workers (an 11\% decrease from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of about $\$ 8$ billion (a 3\% decrease in real terms from 2008). Florida (281), Washington (129), and Louisiana (105) had the greatest number of these employer establishments.

## Tables | National Overview



## United States | Commercial Fisheries

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 1,246,366 | 170,314,474 | 44,594,835 | 69,177,123 | 708,388 | 53,568,226 | 19,679,153 | 27,888,194 |
| Commercial Havesters | 168,746 | 14,310,038 | 4,825,989 | 7,471,652 | 168,746 | 14,310,038 | 4,825,989 | 7,471,652 |
| Seafood Processors and Dealers | 201,273 | 31,539,936 | 9,953,798 | 13,836,893 | 53,765 | 8,425,141 | 2,658,920 | 3,696,196 |
| Importers | 257,503 | 81,098,206 | 12,997,535 | 24,722,284 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers and Distributors | 68,922 | 10,701,815 | 3,516,691 | 5,031,905 | 24,932 | 3,871,311 | 1,272,140 | 1,820,258 |
| Retail | 549,922 | 32,664,480 | 13,300,822 | 18,114,389 | 460,945 | 26,961,737 | 10,922,104 | 14,900,088 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (millions of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 4,377 | 3,913 | 4,507 | 5,357 | 5,139 | 5,509 | 5,517 | 5,275 | 5,332 | 5,409 |
| Finfish and Other | 2,242 | 1,863 | 2,153 | 2,572 | 2,396 | 2,621 | 2,413 | 2,391 | 2,291 | 2,524 |
| Shellfish | 2,135 | 2,051 | 2,354 | 2,785 | 2,743 | 2,888 | 3,104 | 2,885 | 3,041 | 2,885 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Alaska pollock | 323 | 271 | 282 | 363 | 343 | 406 | 400 | 442 | 417 | 413 |
| American lobster | 325 | 311 | 404 | 423 | 431 | 461 | 567 | 622 | 670 | 552 |
| Blue crab | 161 | 163 | 205 | 184 | 193 | 192 | 215 | 220 | 214 | 197 |
| Menhaden | 91 | 90 | 93 | 133 | 126 | 125 | 114 | 179 | 181 | 125 |
| Pacific halibut | 217 | 140 | 207 | 213 | 152 | 117 | 115 | 118 | 125 | 124 |
| Pacific salmon | 396 | 370 | 555 | 619 | 489 | 757 | 617 | 461 | 421 | 688 |
| Sablefish | 125 | 129 | 124 | 184 | 141 | 102 | 111 | 114 | 117 | 143 |
| Sea scallop | 370 | 376 | 456 | 585 | 559 | 467 | 424 | 440 | 487 | 507 |
| Shrimp | 445 | 379 | 409 | 538 | 510 | 597 | 702 | 503 | 511 | 546 |
| Tunas | 107 | 96 | 108 | 137 | 164 | 146 | 135 | 137 | 154 | 153 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (millions of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Landings | 8,342 | 7,883 | 8,027 | 9,888 | 9,472 | 9,748 | 9,518 | 9,742 | 9,604 | 9,905 |
| Finfish and Other | 7,287 | 6,613 | 6,719 | 8,514 | 8,150 | 8,481 | 8,249 | 8,613 | 8,487 | 8,783 |
| Shellfish | 1,056 | 1,270 | 1,308 | 1,373 | 1,322 | 1,267 | 1,269 | 1,129 | 1,117 | 1,122 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Alaska pollock | 2,276 | 1,866 | 1,948 | 2,811 | 2,872 | 3,003 | 3,146 | 3,263 | 3,355 | 3,389 |
| American lobster | 88 | 101 | 118 | 126 | 151 | 151 | 148 | 147 | 159 | 133 |
| Blue crab | 162 | 176 | 199 | 202 | 185 | 136 | 140 | 161 | 164 | 148 |
| Menhaden | 1,344 | 1,407 | 1,260 | 1,899 | 1,598 | 1,341 | 1,232 | 1,631 | 1,756 | 1,413 |
| Pacific halibut | 67 | 60 | 56 | 43 | 34 | 30 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| Pacific salmon | 660 | 705 | 788 | 780 | 636 | 1,070 | 721 | 1,067 | 561 | 1,008 |
| Sablefish | 43 | 43 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 39 | 35 | 35 | 34 | 38 |
| Sea scallop | 53 | 58 | 58 | 59 | 57 | 41 | 34 | 36 | 41 | 51 |
| Shrimp | 249 | 305 | 249 | 312 | 309 | 293 | 326 | 339 | 289 | 291 |
| Tunas | 48 | 49 | 48 | 50 | 60 | 56 | 58 | 57 | 56 | 55 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Alaska pollock | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| American lobster | 3.71 | 3.09 | 3.44 | 3.35 | 2.86 | 3.06 | 3.83 | 4.23 | 4.20 | 4.15 |
| Blue crab | 0.99 | 0.93 | 1.03 | 0.91 | 1.05 | 1.41 | 1.53 | 1.36 | 1.31 | 1.34 |
| Menhaden | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.09 |
| Pacific halibut | 3.25 | 2.35 | 3.67 | 4.98 | 4.48 | 3.92 | 4.97 | 4.88 | 5.03 | 4.74 |
| Pacific salmon | 0.60 | 0.52 | 0.70 | 0.79 | 0.77 | 0.71 | 0.86 | 0.43 | 0.75 | 0.68 |
| Sablefish | 2.88 | 3.01 | 3.09 | 4.46 | 3.41 | 2.58 | 3.13 | 3.23 | 3.48 | 3.80 |
| Sea scallop | 6.93 | 6.48 | 7.92 | 9.89 | 9.83 | 11.40 | 12.54 | 12.32 | 12.00 | 9.84 |
| Shrimp | 1.79 | 1.24 | 1.64 | 1.72 | 1.65 | 2.04 | 2.16 | 1.48 | 1.77 | 1.88 |
| Tunas | 2.23 | 1.96 | 2.26 | 2.74 | 2.75 | 2.62 | 2.30 | 2.40 | 2.76 | 2.81 |

[^13]2017 Economic Impacts of Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | For-Hire | 24,221 | $2,948,145$ | 981,981 | $1,678,969$ |
| Trip Impacts by | Private Boat | 59,362 | $9,654,003$ | $3,029,178$ | $5,480,283$ |
| Fishing Mode | Shore | 83,535 | $11,821,369$ | $3,924,656$ | $6,863,200$ |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 319,906 | $49,328,910$ | $16,748,277$ | $27,451,473$ |
| Total Impacts | 487,024 | $73,752,427$ | $24,684,092$ | $41,473,925$ |  |

## 2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 1,243,022 | Fishing Tackle | 3,660,934 |
| Private Boat | 4,199,394 | Other Equipment | 1,898,847 |
| Shore | 5,009,745 | Boat Expenses | 15,091,348 |
| Total | 10,452,161 | Vehicle Expenses | 3,397,285 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 2,120,768 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 26,169,183 |
| Total State Trip | enditures |  | 36,621,344 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) ${ }^{1,2}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 10,898 | 9,571 | 9,839 | 9,446 | 9,461 | 9,821 | 9,593 | 8,491 | 8,752 | $\mathbf{7 , 8 9 9}$ |
| Non-Coastal | 1,564 | 1,445 | 1,489 | 1,420 | 1,436 | 1,419 | 1,373 | 1,319 | 1,326 | 1,247 |
| Total Anglers | 12,462 | 11,016 | 11,328 | 10,866 | 10,896 | 11,240 | 10,966 | 9,809 | 10,079 | 9,146 |


| Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| For-Hire | 3,464 | 3,377 | 2,731 | 3,359 | 3,529 | 4,024 | 4,262 | 4,216 | 3,459 | 3,660 |
| Private | 86,494 | 87,561 | 92,313 | 88,468 | 87,684 | 84,259 | 78,292 | 73,480 | 73,280 | 74,403 |
| Shore | 129,535 | 129,906 | 134,069 | 131,902 | 130,631 | 129,575 | 124,779 | 120,663 | 122,822 | 127,322 |
| Total Trips | 219,493 | 220,844 | 229,113 | 223,729 | 221,844 | 217,858 | 207,333 | 198,359 | 199,560 | 205,385 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) ${ }^{5,6}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dolphinfish | H | 2,386 | 2,424 | 1,851 | 3,080 | 2,509 | 2,460 | 2,586 | 4,080 | 1,963 | 2,546 |
|  | R | 1,025 | 340 | 496 | 1,356 | 496 | 3,372 | 1,338 | 1,952 | 341 | 839 |
| Drum (Atlantic croaker and spot) | H | 46,357 | 42,568 | 40,953 | 43,579 | 42,048 | 53,580 | 56,250 | 35,598 | 29,356 | 38,128 |
|  | R | 50,582 | 53,837 | 47,751 | 56,743 | 63,520 | 81,918 | 56,454 | 41,335 | 41,899 | 43,208 |
| Drum (seatrouts) | H | 37,437 | 40,051 | 37,342 | 43,229 | 45,404 | 36,529 | 17,051 | 19,386 | 25,143 | 27,322 |
|  | R | 66,106 | 61,616 | 64,045 | 72,817 | 78,095 | 64,490 | 38,680 | 41,357 | 56,323 | 58,564 |
| Pacific halibut | H | 516 | 440 | 398 | 394 | 388 | 454 | 408 | 420 | 400 | 352 |
|  | R | 359 | 321 | 304 | 311 | 324 | 324 | 251 | 271 | 244 | 199 |
| Pacific salmon ${ }^{7}$ | H | 622 | 889 | 632 | 708 | 639 | 948 | 937 | 902 | 562 | 809 |
|  | R | 349 | 448 | 280 | 357 | 273 | 484 | 291 | 444 | 276 | 370 |
| Rockfishes and scorpionfishes | H | 2,162 | 2,439 | 2,448 | 3,116 | 3,677 | 4,160 | 4,380 | 4,215 | 3,830 | 3,943 |
|  | R | 537 | 534 | 617 | 698 | 773 | 1,024 | 986 | 931 | 891 | 1,021 |
| Striped bass | H | 4,415 | 4,746 | 5,430 | 5,049 | 4,077 | 5,217 | 4,055 | 3,141 | 3,528 | 3,008 |
|  | R | 26,948 | 21,880 | 19,850 | 17,032 | 21,049 | 26,985 | 24,521 | 25,991 | 34,183 | 41,718 |
| Summer flounder | H | 3,804 | 3,715 | 3,540 | 4,366 | 5,758 | 6,625 | 5,373 | 4,051 | 4,306 | 3,228 |
|  | R | 35,704 | 47,039 | 55,389 | 51,722 | 38,969 | 38,362 | 39,214 | 30,141 | 26,951 | 24,878 |
| Tunas (Atlantic regions) | H | 429 | 247 | 225 | 302 | 386 | 383 | 209 | 224 | 280 | 312 |
|  | R | 93 | 46 | 50 | 116 | 55 | 26 | 52 | 22 | 71 | 58 |
| Tunas (Pacific and Western Pacific regions) | H | 701 | 530 | 646 | 424 | 853 | 889 | 962 | 953 | 556 | 992 |
|  | R | 28 | 89 | 47 | 98 | 32 | 38 | 216 | 150 | 124 | 264 |
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## United States | Marine Economy

## 2016 United States Economy

| \#Non-Employer Firms (millions) | \#Establishments (millions) | \#Employees (millions) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Annual } \\ \text { Payroll } \\ \text { (\$ trillions) } \end{array}$ | Employee Compensation (\$ trillions) | Gross Domestic Product (\$ trillions) | Commercial Location Quotient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24.8 | 7.8 | 127 | 6.4 | 10.0 | 18.8 | 1 |

Seafood Sales and Processing - Non-Employer Firms (thousands of dollars)

|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Seafood product | Firms | 1,308 | 1,395 | 1,617 | 1,757 | 1,766 | 1,812 | 1,947 | $\mathbf{2 , 1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 0 8}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| prep. and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| packaging | Receipts | 89,670 | 95,219 | 104,990 | 110,745 | 115,167 | 128,927 | 146,626 | 163,625 | 176,593 |  |
| Seafood sales, | Firms | 2,522 | 2,455 | 2,513 | 2,514 | 2,657 | 2,497 | 2,557 | 2,471 | 2,392 |  |
| retail | Receipts | 233,002 | 207,139 | 199,810 | 212,679 | 217,702 | 205,555 | 203,459 | 206,676 | 207,428 |  |

Seafood Sales and Processing - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. and packaging | Establishments | 663 | 645 | 638 | 620 | 589 | 604 | 640 | 618 | 586 |
|  | Employees | 33,323 | 30,894 | 31,789 | 31,261 | 30,988 | 31,390 | 32,180 | 30,708 | 30,554 |
|  | Payroll | 1,161,637 | 1,091,727 | 1,116,305 | 1,200,263 | 1,196,207 | 1,228,826 | 1,311,910 | 1,354,572 | 1,380,087 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 2,063 | 2,099 | 2,183 | 2,287 | 1,954 | 2,098 | 2,100 | 2,132 | 2,176 |
|  | Employees | 20,116 | 19,290 | 19,386 | 20,622 | 20,030 | 20,367 | 21,155 | 22,060 | 22,273 |
|  | Payroll | 782,178 | 758,332 | 798,794 | 848,454 | 867,179 | 884,645 | 910,527 | 999,264 | 1,036,051 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 2,044 | 1,967 | 1,982 | 1,972 | 1,957 | 1,995 | 2,015 | 2,059 | 2,067 |
|  | Employees | 9,732 | 9,439 | 9,857 | 10,006 | 10,293 | 10,631 | 11,037 | 11,443 | 12,114 |
|  | Payroll | 205,423 | 211,264 | 219,045 | 222,508 | 237,619 | 253,490 | 271,732 | 292,726 | 312,224 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 1,782 | 1,615 | 1,540 | 1,497 | 1,560 | 1,514 | 1,524 | 1,541 | 1,508 |
|  | Employees | 157,512 | 137,759 | 127,691 | 127,522 | 136,365 | 135,287 | 138,687 | 143,287 | 140,179 |
|  | Payroll | 7,269,306 | 6,674,187 | 6,529,523 | 6,845,322 | 7,543,402 | 7,556,373 | 7,882,846 | 8,030,983 | 7,951,338 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 365 | 376 | 372 | 378 | 375 | 305 | 332 | 350 | 313 |
|  | Employees | 10,231 | 11,180 | 10,288 | 10,362 | 12,375 | 8,704 | 8,646 | 8,014 | 7,009 |
|  | Payroll | 852,063 | 863,363 | 867,797 | 921,990 | 1,073,529 | 703,003 | 683,281 | 671,624 | 638,900 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 71 | 78 | 56 | 55 | 58 | 62 | 56 | 61 | 62 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 15,157 | 14,596 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 1,246,384 | 1,155,308 |
| Coastal and Great Lakes | Establishments | 513 | 513 | 547 | 549 | 496 | 497 | 598 | 593 | 603 |
|  | Employees | 21,019 | 20,919 | 17,528 | 18,590 | 19,099 | 18,659 | 20,884 | 19,983 | 19,004 |



| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 268 | 258 | 287 | 255 | 525 | 383 | 351 | 337 | 332 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employees | 5,608 | 5,100 | 4,844 | 4,933 | 25,396 | 7,000 | 6,769 | 7,855 | 8,003 |
|  | Payroll | 282,671 | 250,358 | 290,467 | 306,882 | 1,345,857 | 420,664 | 399,502 | 434,209 | 424,370 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 532 | 541 | 507 | 545 | 343 | 458 | 482 | 492 | 492 |
|  | Employees | 63,736 | 56,386 | 57,275 | 59,517 | 43,824 | 66,301 | 69,830 | 66,414 | 62,680 |
|  | Payroll | 3,272,723 | 2,776,791 | 3,026,861 | 3,159,964 | 2,601,146 | 4,086,182 | 4,406,525 | 4,334,958 | 4,392,350 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 868 | 846 | 847 | 836 | 850 | 847 | 881 | 889 | 877 |
|  | Employees | 13,419 | 12,689 | 13,529 | 13,441 | 12,532 | 12,485 | 12,148 | 11,864 | 12,457 |
|  | Payroll | 847,938 | 826,384 | 937,980 | 893,889 | 838,959 | 929,419 | 907,763 | 923,303 | 920,450 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 3,972 | 3,891 | 3,937 | 3,896 | 3,782 | 3,844 | 3,811 | 3,881 | 3,826 |
|  | Employees | 28,686 | 26,643 | 26,657 | 26,557 | 25,764 | 26,373 | 26,709 | 26,999 | 27,471 |
|  | Payroll | 954,032 | 905,488 | 927,499 | 953,497 | 913,140 | 951,123 | 995,248 | 1,036,253 | 1,081,496 |
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## North Pacific Region

## - Alaska



Recreational fishing charter in South Central Alaska. Photo: North Pacific Fishery Management Council/Andy Mezirow

## MANAGEMENT CONTEXT

The North Pacific Region includes the fisheries in the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) off the state of Alaska. Federal fisheries in this region are managed by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (NPFMC) and NOAA Fisheries under six fishery management plans (FMPs).

## North Pacific Region FMPs

- Bering Sea/ Aleutian Islands (BSAI) groundfish
- Gulf of Alaska (GOA) groundfish
- BSAI king and tanner crabs
- Alaska scallop
- Salmon in the EEZ
- Arctic

Of the stocks or stock complexes covered in these FMPs, only the blue king crab (Pribilof Islands stock) is listed as overfished. No stocks were listed as subject to overfishing. In 2017, the blue king crab (Pribilof Islands stock) was removed from the overfishing list. Enough information was acquired to determine, for the first time, that the golden king crab (Aleutian Islands stock) is not being overfished.

## Catch Share Programs

The North Pacific Region has seven catch share programs, more than any other region. These are the: 1) Western Alaska CDQ Program; 2) Alaska Halibut and Sablefish IFQ Program; 3) American Fisheries Act (AFA) Pollock Cooperatives; 4) Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) King and Tanner Crab Rationalization Program; 5) Aleutian Islands Pollock Fishery; 6) Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) Non-Pollock Trawl Catcher/Processor Groundfish Cooperatives (Amendment 80); and 7) Central Gulf of Alaska Rockfish Program (pilot implemented in 2007). The landings revenues for these programs totaled $\$ 810.5$ million in 2016, exceeding the total landings revenue of any other state. The following are descriptions of these catch share programs and their performance.

## Western Alaska Community Development Quota

 (CDQ) Program: The program was originally implemented in 1992 as part of a restructuring of the BSAI groundfish fishery. Under this program, a percentage of the total allowable catch for groundfish, prohibited spe-cies, halibut, and crab is apportioned to 65 eligible villages in Western Alaska that are organized into six CDQ groups. The program has the following goals: 1) Provide eligible Western Alaska villages with the opportunity to participate and invest in fisheries in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area; 2) Support economic development in Western Alaska; 3) Alleviate poverty and provide economic and social benefits to residents; and 4) Achieve a sustainable and diversified local economy.

Alaska Halibut and Sablefish IFQ Program: The program was implemented in 1995. The primary objectives of this IFQ program include the following: 1) Eliminate gear conflicts; 2) Address safety concerns; and 3) Improve product quality. The 2016 key performance indicators of the halibut program show that relative to the baseline period, quota, landings, and the number of active vessels decreased, while inflation-adjusted landings revenue and inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel increased. The 2016 key performance indicators of the sablefish program show that relative to the baseline period, quota, landings, the number of active vessels and inflation-adjusted landings revenue decreased while inflation-adjusted landings revenue per active vessel increased.

## American Fisheries Act (AFA) Pollock Coopera-

tives: The program was established in 1999 and 2000 with the goals of settling allocation disputes between inshore (catcher vessels), offshore (catcher/processors), and mothership sectors and ending the race for fish. The 2016 key performance indicators of the program show that relative to the baseline period the number of active vessels and inflation-adjusted revenue decreased, while quota, landings, inflation-adjusted landings revenue, and inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel increased.

## Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) King and

 Tanner Crab Rationalization Program: The program was implemented for the 2005-2006 crab fishing season to address the race to harvest; high bycatch and discard mortality; and product quality issues. The program also aims to balance the interests of those who depend on crab fisheries. This program includes share allocations to harvesters and processors. Processor quota was incorporated to preserve the viability of processing facilities in dependent communities and, partic-ularly, to maintain competitive conditions in ex-vessel markets. The CDQ and Adak Community allocations, regional landings and processing requirements, and several community protection measures protect community interests. The 2016/2017 key performance indicators of the program show that relative to the baseline period, quota, landings, and the number of active vessels decreased, while inflation-adjusted landings revenue and inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel increased.

Aleutian Islands Pollock Fishery: In 2005, Amendment 82 to the Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area established a framework for the management of the Aleutian Islands subarea (AI) directed pollock fishery. The FMP Amendment was proposed by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council to implement a provision of the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2004 (Public Law 108-199, Sec. 803), which requires that the AI directed pollock fishery be allocated to the Aleut Corporation for the purpose of economic development in Adak, Alaska.

## BSAI Non-Pollock Trawl Catcher/Processor Ground-

 fish Cooperatives (Amendment 80): The program, commonly referred to as the Amendment 80 Program, was implemented in 2008 to create economic incentives that would improve retention of all fish caught. The cooperatives also seek to reduce bycatch by commercial fishing vessels using trawl gear in the non-pollock groundfish fisheries. The 2016 key performance indicators of the program show that relative to the baseline period the number of active vessels decreased, while quota, landings, inflation-adjusted landings revenue, and inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel increased.Central Gulf of Alaska Rockfish Program: The program was initially established as a 2-year (2007-2008) pilot program by the U.S. Congress and was later extended to 5 years. NOAA Fisheries implemented this catch share program in 2012. The objectives of this program are to reduce bycatch and discards, encourage conservation-minded practices, improve product quality and value, and provide stability to the processing labor
force. The 2016 key performance indicators for the program show that relative to the baseline period, quota, landings, the number of active vessels, inflation-adjusted landings revenue and inflation-adjusted revenue per vessel all increased.

## Policy Updates

In March of 2016, the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), on behalf of the International Pacific Halibut Commission (IPHC), published annual management measures governing the Pacific halibut fishery recommended as regulations by the IPHC and accepted by the Secretary of State. ${ }^{1}$ This action was intended to enhance the conservation of Pacific halibut and further the goals and objectives of the Pacific Fishery Management Council and the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (NPFMC). This rule was effective until superseded by an interim final rule published in 2018 and the new management measures final rule in 2019. Thus, this rule was effective in 2017.

NMFS issued a final rule, effective January 1, 2017 to modify the recordkeeping and reporting requirements for the groundfish fisheries in the Gulf of Alaska and the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands management areas. ${ }^{2}$ This rule is organized into four actions. Under the first action, NMFS implemented a requirement for tender vessel operators to use the applications software "tLandings" to prepare electronic landing reports. Under the second action, NMFS modified the definition of a buying station. Under the third action, NMFS removed the requirement for buying stations to complete the buying station report because this report is no longer necessary. Under the fourth action, NMFS revised the definition of a mothership to remove unnecessary formatting without changing the substance of the definition.

Effective January 19, 2017, NMFS issued regulations to implement Amendment 47 to the Fishery Management Plan for Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands King and Tanner Crabs (Crab FMP) and to make minor clarifications to regulations implementing the Crab FMP. ${ }^{3}$ This final rule addressed how individual processing quota (IPQ) use caps apply to the Bering Sea Chionoecetes bairdi (Tan-

[^16]ner crab) fisheries: the eastern C. bairdi Tanner (EBT) and the western C. bairdi Tanner (WBT).

Amendment 101 to the Gulf of Alaska Groundfish FMP took effect in January 2017, authorizing the use of longline pot gear in the GOA sablefish IFQ fishery. ${ }^{4}$ The same rule established measures to minimize conflict between gear types in the sablefish fishery and to authorize harvest of halibut caught incidentally in the sablefish fishery.

NMFS renewed two prohibited species donation (PSD) permits to SeaShare, authorizing this organization to distribute Pacific salmon and Pacific halibut to economically disadvantaged individuals under the PSD program. ${ }^{5}$ Salmon and halibut are caught incidentally during directed fishing for groundfish with trawl gear off Alaska. This action is necessary to comply with provisions of the PSD program and is intended to promote the goals and objectives of the North Pacific Fishery Management Council. These permits are valid through June 15, 2020.

NMFS issued a rule in September 2017 to implement amendments to the Groundfish FMPs for the Bering Sea / Aleutian Islands (A114) and the Gulf of Alaska (A104). ${ }^{6}$ The amendments integrated electronic monitoring into these FMPs. The rule specified processes by which owners or operators using non-trawl gear request participation in the electronic monitoring selection pool.

In February 2017, NMFS announced final 2017 and 2018 harvest specifications and prohibited species catch allowances for the groundfish fishery of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands management area (BSAI). ${ }^{7}$ This action is necessary to establish harvest limits for groundfish during the 2017 and 2018 fishing years, and to accomplish the goals and objectives of the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area (FMP). The intended effect
of this action is to conserve and manage the groundfish resources in the BSAI in accordance with the Magnu-son-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act). [Specification Tables] ${ }^{8}$

In December 2017, NMFS issued a rule to implement Amendment 48 to the Crab Fishery Management Plan and to revise regulations implementing the American Fisheries Act (AFA) Program and the Crab Rationalization (CR) Program. ${ }^{9}$ In particular, the rule revised the Crab FMP and associated regulations that govern how NMFS determines the amount of limited access privileges held and used by groups in the Western Alaska Community Development Quota Program for the purposes of monitoring excessive share limits under the AFA Program and CR Program.

## COMMERCIAL FISHERIES NORTH PACIFIC REGION

In this report, commercial fisheries refer to fishing operations that sell their catch for profit. The term does not include subsistence fishermen or saltwater anglers who fish for sport. It also excludes the for-hire sector, which earns its revenue from selling recreational fishing trips to saltwater anglers. The commercial fisheries section reports on economic impacts, landings revenue, landings, and ex-vessel prices of key species/species groups.

## Key North Pacific Commercial Species

- Alaska pollock
- Pacific halibut
- Atka mackerel - Pacific herring
- Crab • Rockfish
- Flatfish - Sablefish
- Pacific cod - Salmon

The North Pacific groundfish fishery is different from

[^17]most other United States fisheries in that a large portion of the fishery is processed at sea and, therefore, no landings revenues are reported. The landings revenue for the species landed and processed at sea is estimated by using prices obtained from the shore-side sector. These species include Atka mackerel, flatfish, Pacific cod, rockfish, sablefish, and Alaska pollock. When data from the shore-side sector are inadequate, historical information about the relationship between the ex-vessel price and the wholesale price of finished products is used to estimate ex-vessel prices and revenue for portions of the fishery mostly processed at sea.

## Economic Impacts

The premise behind economic impact modeling is that every dollar spent in a regional economy (direct impact) is either saved or re-spent on additional goods or services. If those dollars are re-spent on other goods and services in the regional economy, this spending generates additional economic activity in the region. ${ }^{10}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how commercial fisheries landings affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as commercial fishing. The category includes both the direct sales of fish landed and sales made between businesses and households resulting from the original sale. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the sales of seafood or purchases of inputs to commercial fishing. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in numbers of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. The United States seafood industry is defined here as the commercial fishing sector, seafood processors and dealers, seafood wholesalers and distributors, importers, and seafood retailers. ${ }^{11}$

This report provides estimates of total economic impacts for the nation and for each of the 23 coastal
states. Total economic impacts for each state and the nation represent the sum of direct impacts; indirect impacts (in this case, the impact from suppliers to the seafood industry); and induced impacts (spending by employees on personal and household expenditures, where employees of both the seafood industry and its full supply chain are included). That is, the total economic impact estimates reported here measure jobs, sales, value-added, and income impacts from the seafood industry as well as the economic activity generated throughout each region's broader economy from this industry.

In 2017, the commercial fishing and seafood industry supported 53,543 full- and part-time jobs and generated $\$ 4.4$ billion in sales, $\$ 1.9$ billion in income, and $\$ 2.4$ billion in value-added impacts in the North Pacific Region. Commercial harvesters generated the largest sales impacts ( $\$ 3.1$ billion), value-added impacts ( $\$ 1.7$ billion), income impacts ( $\$ 1.3$ billion), and employment impacts (38,272 jobs).

## Landings Trends

Alaska landings in 2017 increased 8\% to 6 billion pounds. Among Alaska's key species: Alaska pollock landings, which accounted for 56\% of Alaska's landings volume, were at a decadal high (3.4 billion pounds) with high abundance. Salmon catches were strong (986 million pounds) as pink salmon landings are typically higher in "odd years" due to their biennial cycle. Pacific cod landings ( 657 million pounds) were down with a prominent decrease in the Gulf of Alaska landings from poor fishing conditions and low abundance. Crab landings ( 39 million pounds) were down due to a closure in the Bering Sea tanner crab fishery and lower than average landing of Bering Sea snow crab.

Landings revenues increased $14 \%$ to $\$ 1.76$ billion in 2017, which was the combined effect of the increase in landings and a $6 \%$ increase in the average price across species. Alaska pollock revenues decreased marginally to $\$ 413$ million but remained strong with high landings, though ex-vessel prices have been low compared to the last 10 years. Low pollock fillet and head and gut prices were contributing factors in the low ex-vessel price. Salmon revenues increased 70\%, which was largely the

[^18]result of the increased landings from the biennial cycle as ex-vessel prices were down 7\%. Pacific cod revenues decreased $9 \%$ to $\$ 156$ million with the decrease in landings, as ex-vessel prices were stable. Crab revenues decreased $30 \%$ to $\$ 152$ million, as the decrease in landings was partially offset by the 24\% increase in crab ex-vessel prices as reduced supply put upward pressure on prices. Other species with notable percent changes in revenues were Pacific herring (up 48\%), sablefish (up 28\%), and rockfish (down 17\%).

In contrast to ex-vessel value, first-wholesale value of the 2017 groundfish catch was $\$ 2.52$ billion, an increase of $3.4 \%$ in real terms from 2016. This change was primarily the result of an increase in the real aggregate 2017 first-wholesale price, up $5.6 \%$ to $\$ 1.20$ per pound while aggregate production volumes decreased $1.4 \%$ to 959 thousand mt. In the BSAI, aggregate first-wholesale value increased $6.1 \%$ and value was increasing for all species with the exception of pollock, where aggregate value, price, and volume showed little change. In the Gulf of Alaska (GOA), aggregate first-wholesale value increased only slightly (1.5\%). First-wholesale value in the GOA was increasing for flatfish and sablefish with increases in both first-wholesale prices and production volume. The decrease in GOA cod value was the result of decreased production volume. The decrease in the value of GOA pollock was largely the result of a decrease in the average price of products.

## Landings Revenue

In 2017, landings revenue in North Pacific totaled $\$ 1.8$ billion, a 4\% increase from 2008 (a 9\% decrease in real terms after adjusting for inflation) and a 14\% increase from 2016.

Finfish and other landings revenue accounted for 91\% of all landings revenue. In 2017, salmon ( $\$ 645.7$ million), Alaska pollock ( $\$ 413.3$ million), and Pacific cod ( $\$ 156$ million) had the highest landings revenue in this region. Together, these top three species accounted for $69 \%$ of total landings revenue.

From 2008 to 2017, salmon ( $75 \%$, 53\% in real terms), Atka mackerel ( $74 \%, 52 \%$ in real terms), and Alaska pollock ( $28 \%, 12 \%$ in real terms) had the largest
increases, while Pacific herring ( $-65 \%,-70 \%$ in real terms), Pacific halibut ( $-44 \%,-51 \%$ in real terms), and Pacific cod ( $-43 \%,-50 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, salmon (70\%), Pacific herring (48\%), and sablefish (28\%) had the largest increases, while crab ( $-30 \%$ ), rockfish ( $-17 \%$ ), and Pacific $\operatorname{cod}(-9 \%)$ had the largest decreases.

## Commercial Revenue: Largest Increases

## From 2008:

- Salmon (75\%,53\% in real terms)
- Atka mackerel ( $74 \%, 52 \%$ in real terms)
- Alaska pollock ( $28 \%, 12 \%$ in real terms)

From 2016:

- Salmon (70\%)
- Pacific herring (48\%)
- Sablefish (28\%)

Commercial Revenue: Largest Decreases
From 2008:

- Pacific herring ( $-65 \%,-70 \%$ in real terms)
- Pacific halibut ( $-44 \%,-51 \%$ in real terms)
- Pacific cod $(-43 \%,-50 \%$ in real terms)

From 2016:

- Crab (-30\%)
- Rockfish (-17\%)
- Pacific cod (-9\%)


## Landings

In 2017, North Pacific Region commercial fishermen landed over 6 billion pounds of finfish and shellfish. This represents a $32 \%$ increase from 2008 and an 8\% increase from 2016. Alaska pollock contributed the highest landings volume in the region, accounting for $56 \%$ of total landing weight.

From 2008 to 2017, rockfish (54\%), salmon (54\%), and Alaska pollock (49\%) had the largest increases, while Pacific halibut (-62\%), crab (-61\%), and Pacific herring (-18\%) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, salmon (82\%), Pacific herring (32\%), and Atka mackerel (18\%) had the largest increases, while crab (-44\%), Pacific cod (-7\%), and rockfish (-6\%) had the largest decreases.

## Commercial Landings: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Rockfish (54\%)
- Salmon (54\%)
- Alaska pollock (49\%)

From 2016:

- Salmon (82\%)
- Pacific herring (32\%)
- Atka mackerel (18\%)


## Commercial Landings: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Pacific halibut (-62\%)
- Crab (-61\%)
- Pacific herring (-18\%)

From 2016:

- Crab (-44\%)
- Pacific cod (-7\%)
- Rockfish (-6\%)


## Prices

In 2017, Pacific halibut ( $\$ 4.74$ per pound) received the highest ex-vessel price in the region. Landings of Pacific herring ( $\$ 0.12$ per pound) had the lowest ex-vessel price. From 2008 to 2017 , crab ( $63 \%, 42 \%$ in real terms), Atka mackerel (55\%, 35\% in real terms), and Pacific halibut ( $47 \%, 28 \%$ in real terms) had the largest increases, while Pacific herring ( $-58 \%,-63 \%$ in real terms), Pacific cod ( $-57 \%,-63 \%$ in real terms), and flatfish ( $-24 \%,-33 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, crab (24\%), Pacific herring (12\%), and sablefish (9\%) had the largest increases, while rockfish (-12\%), Atka mackerel (-9\%), and salmon (-7\%) had the largest decreases.

## RECREATIONAL FISHERIES NORTH PACIFIC REGION

In this report, recreational fishing refers to fishing for leisure rather than to sell fish (commercial fishing) or for subsistence. This recreational fisheries section reports on economic impacts and expenditures, angler participation, fishing trips, and catch of key species/species groups. ${ }^{12}$

## Key North Pacific Recreational Species ${ }^{13}$

- Chinook salmon
- Pink salmon
- Chum salmon
- Coho salmon
- Lingcod
- Pacific cod
- Pacific halibut

[^19]The economic contributions for both trip and durable expenditures from recreational fishing in 2017 were estimated using IMPLAN version 3, with base year data from 2017. Models for each state and for the nation were created in IMPLAN using trip expenditures (based on 2016/2017 survey data on average trip expenditures and total 2017 trips) and for durable expenditures (based on 2014 survey data on average durable expenditures and 2017 participants).

In 2017, economic impacts from recreational fishing activities in the North Pacific Region generated 5,550 jobs, $\$ 566.7$ million in sales, $\$ 197.7$ million in income, and $\$ 330.8$ million in value-added impacts. Impacts from durable equipment expenditures (e.g., rods and reels, fishing-related equipment, boats, vehicles, and second homes) accounted for $28 \%$ of employment, $21 \%$ of sales, $24 \%$ of income, and $22 \%$ of value-added impacts.

Expenditures for fishing trips and durable equipment across the North Pacific Region in 2017 totaled $\$ 467.5$ million. This total included $\$ 126.2$ million in durable goods expenditures, with the largest portion coming from boat expenses ( $\$ 57.7$ million).

## Participation

In 2017, there were 295,247 recreational anglers who fished in the North Pacific Region. This number represented a 4\% decrease from 2008 and remains unchanged from 2016. The anglers are categorized as either out-of-state anglers (60\%) or residents of coastal/ non-coastal county (40\%).

## Days Fished

The state of Alaska records recreational fishing effort in terms of the number of days fished, rather than the number of fishing trips. Anglers who fished in Alaska spent approximately 896,749 days fishing in 2017. This number represented a 4\% decrease from the days spent fishing in 2008. From 2016 to 2017, there was a 4\% increase in the number of days fished.

## Harvest and Release Trends

Of the North Pacific Region's key species and species groups, Pacific halibut (551,600 fish), coho salmon (539,119 fish), and rockfish species (407,200 fish), were
most frequently caught by recreational fishermen.

The text box below shows the species with the largest percentage increases and decreases in the past 10 years and in the past year.

## Harvest and Release: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Chinook salmon (27\%)
- Sockeye salmon (18\%)
- Pink salmon (13\%)

From 2016:

- Pink salmon (19\%)
- Sockeye salmon (12\%)
- Lingcod (2\%)

Harvest and Release: Largest Decreases
From 2008:

- Razor clams (-97\%)
- Shark species (-82\%)
- Lingcod (-52\%)

From 2016:

- Razor clams (-80\%)
- Pacific cod (-50\%)
- Shark species ( $-41 \%$ )

From 2008 to 2017, Chinook salmon (27\%), sockeye salmon (18\%), and pink salmon (13\%) had the largest increases, while razor clams ( $-97 \%$ ), shark species (-82\%), and lingcod (-52\%) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, pink salmon (19\%), sockeye salmon (12\%), and lingcod (2\%) had the largest increases, while razor clams (-80\%), Pacific cod (-50\%), and shark species ( $-41 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

There was approximately a $50 \%$ decrease in the amount of Pacific cod harvested and released from 2016 to 2017. There is no bag, possession, size, or seasonal limit for recreational Pacific cod fisheries in the North Pacific Region. Low population abundances and small sized individuals may be a result of an expanse of exceptionally warm water, commonly referred to as the "blob," that was first detected in 2013 and persisted through 2016. ${ }^{16}$ Despite no policy changes, the amount of coho salmon harvested and released in the North Pacific Region increased from 2016 to 2017. Run forecasts and harvest

[^20]projections conducted by ADFG showed that coho salmon abundances were projected to increase in the year 2017 based on the review of the 2016 season. ${ }^{17}$

## MARINE ECONOMY - NORTH PACIFIC REGION

For this report, the marine economy refers to the economic activity generated by fishing and marine-related industries in a coastal state. The national marine economy consists of two industry sectors: 1) seafood sales and processing (employer establishments and non-employer firms); and 2) transport, support, and marine operations (employer establishments). These sectors include several different marine-related industries. ${ }^{18,19}$

To measure the size of the commercial fishing sector in a state's economy relative to the size of the commercial fishing sector in the national economy, researchers use an index called the Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ). ${ }^{20,21}$ The CFLQ is calculated as the ratio of the percentage of regional employment in the commercial fishing sector relative to the percentage of national employment in the commercial fishing sector. The United States CFLQ is 1 . If a state CFLQ is less than 1 , then less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. If a state CFLQ is greater than 1 , then more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics suppressed the CFLQ value for Alaska for 2016.

In 2016, 21,077 employer establishments operated throughout the entire North Pacific Region (including marine and non-marine related establishments). These establishments employed 266,072 workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 15.2$ billion. The combined gross state product of Alaska, was approximately $\$ 51.3$ billion in 2016.

## Seafood Sales and Processing

## Seafood Product Preparation and Packaging: In

2016, the North Pacific Region had 22 non-employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging
sector (a 29\% decrease from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 1.7$ million (a $7 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). There were 104 employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (a 15\% decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 8,654 workers (a 12\% increase from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 355.1$ million (a $24 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008).

Seafood Sales, Retail: In 2016, there were 13 non-employer firms engaged in retail sales of seafood in the North Pacific region (remains unchanged from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 1.5$ million (an 8\% decrease in real terms from 2008). There were 16 employer firms in the retail sales of seafood sector (a $78 \%$ increase from 2008). These establishments employed 77 workers (a $108 \%$ increase from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 2.5$ million (a $23 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008).

Seafood Sales, Wholesale: There were 33 employer firms in the wholesale sales of seafood sector in the North Pacific Region in 2016 (a 42\% decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 79 workers (a $45 \%$ decrease from 2008), and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 6$ million (a 36\% decrease in real terms from 2008).

## Transport, Support, and Marine Operations

Data for the transport, support, and marine operations sector of North Pacific Region's economy were largely suppressed for confidentiality reasons. It is clear, however, that these sectors play an important role in the regional economy. For example, in 2016, the coastal and Great Lakes freight transportation sector in the North Pacific Region accounted for $\$ 86.8$ million in payroll (a $128 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008).

[^21]
## Tables | Alaska



## Alaska | Commercial Fisheries

2016 Economic Impacts of the Alaska Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| Total Impacts | 53,543 | 4,398,212 | 1,904,219 | 2,376,629 | 53,418 | 4,373,511 | 1,898,777 | 2,367,828 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 38,272 | 3,083,903 | 1,339,107 | 1,670,140 | 38,272 | 3,083,903 | 1,339,107 | 1,670,140 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 11,972 | 1,100,912 | 480,414 | 595,635 | 11,935 | 1,097,443 | 478,897 | 593,757 |
| Importers | 60 | 18,980 | 3,042 | 5,786 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 366 | 43,729 | 14,973 | 19,552 | 355 | 42,410 | 14,521 | 18,962 |
| Retail | 2,873 | 150,687 | 66,684 | 85,517 | 2,857 | 149,755 | 66,252 | 84,970 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (millions of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 1,701 | 1,334 | 1,584 | 1,893 | 1,692 | 1,878 | 1,712 | 1,763 | 1,551 | 1,764 |
| Finfish \& Other | 1,449 | 1,138 | 1,377 | 1,626 | 1,406 | 1,638 | 1,464 | 1,470 | 1,321 | 1,598 |
| Shellfish | 252 | 196 | 207 | 267 | 286 | 240 | $\mathbf{2 4 8}$ | 294 | 230 | 166 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Alaska pollock | 323 | 271 | 282 | 363 | 343 | 406 | 400 | 442 | 417 | 413 |
| Atka mackerel | 20 | 27 | 28 | 23 | 15 | 15 | 22 | 42 | 32 | 34 |
| Crab | 241 | 180 | 190 | 249 | 276 | 230 | 238 | 284 | 219 | 153 |
| Flatfish | 110 | 83 | 78 | 85 | 95 | 122 | 99 | 69 | 68 | 71 |
| Pacific cod | 274 | 133 | 147 | 203 | 186 | 156 | 153 | 257 | 171 | 156 |
| Pacific halibut | 209 | 135 | 200 | 205 | 145 | 111 | 107 | 111 | 117 | 116 |
| Pacific herring | 23 | 29 | 23 | 12 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 8 |
| Rockfish | 20 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 27 | 35 | 28 | 33 | 30 | 25 |
| Sablefish | 97 | 94 | 88 | 139 | 113 | 82 | 86 | 85 | 86 | 110 |
| Salmon | 368 | 345 | 506 | 565 | 441 | 680 | 546 | 413 | 381 | 646 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (millions of pounds)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 4,534 | 4,064 | 4,347 | 5,353 | 5,344 | 5,792 | 5,671 | 6,038 | 5,586 | 6,005 |
| Finfish \& Other | 4,427 | 3,968 | 4,262 | 5,267 | 5,228 | 5,701 | 5,580 | 5,909 | 5,513 | 5,961 |
| Shellfish | 107 | 96 | 86 | 86 | 116 | 91 | 91 | 129 | 73 | 44 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Alaska pollock | 2,276 | 1,866 | 1,948 | 2,811 | 2,872 | 3,003 | 3,146 | 3,263 | 3,355 | 3,388 |
| Atka mackerel | 127 | 157 | 145 | 113 | 104 | 51 | 70 | 118 | 121 | 143 |
| Crab | 99 | 90 | 80 | 80 | 112 | 87 | 85 | 121 | 69 | 39 |
| Flatfish | 595 | 493 | 551 | 640 | 635 | 657 | 661 | 506 | 517 | 499 |
| Pacific cod | 494 | 491 | 539 | 663 | 717 | 681 | 717 | 697 | 707 | 657 |
| Pacific halibut | 65 | 58 | 55 | 41 | 32 | 29 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 25 |
| Pacific herring | 84 | 87 | 108 | 99 | 75 | 85 | 97 | 68 | 52 | 68 |
| Rockfish | 89 | 84 | 100 | 106 | 114 | 123 | 133 | 142 | 146 | 138 |
| Sablefish | 30 | 27 | 25 | 27 | 30 | 30 | 26 | 24 | 22 | 26 |
| Salmon | 640 | 671 | 757 | 738 | 611 | 1,013 | 683 | 1,041 | 543 | 986 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Alaska pollock | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| Atka mackerel | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 0.24 |
| Crab | 2.42 | 2.01 | 2.37 | 3.09 | 2.46 | 2.64 | 2.79 | 2.35 | 3.19 | 3.95 |
| Flatfish | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| Pacific cod | 0.55 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.37 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| Pacific halibut | 3.23 | 2.33 | 3.65 | 4.97 | 4.47 | 3.89 | 4.93 | 4.85 | 5.03 | 4.74 |
| Pacific herring | 0.27 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.12 |
| Rockfish | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.18 |
| Sablefish | 3.21 | 3.49 | 3.50 | 5.13 | 3.79 | 2.72 | 3.37 | 3.57 | 3.93 | 4.29 |
| Salmon | 0.58 | 0.51 | 0.67 | 0.77 | 0.72 | 0.67 | 0.80 | 0.40 | 0.70 | 0.65 |

2016 Economic Impacts of Alaska Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by | For-Hire | 3,040 | 301,617 | 104,574 | 169,395 |
| Fishing Mode | Private Boat | 901 | 137,271 | 42,124 | 83,530 |
|  | Shore | 68 | 9,096 | 3,045 | 5,654 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 1,541 | 118,749 | 47,954 | 72,183 |
| Total State Economic Impacts | 5,550 | 566,733 | 197,697 | 330,762 |  |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 209,465 | Fishing Tackle | 27,578 |
| Private Boat | 123,861 | Other Equipment | 36,172 |
| Shore | 7,957 | Boat Expenses | 57,675 |
| Total | 341,283 | Vehicle Expenses | 4,768 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 0 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 126,194 |
| Total State Trip | enditures |  | 467,477 |


| Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| Out-of-State | 119 | 127 | 122 | 124 | 118 | 129 | 122 | 128 | 115 | 117 |
| Coastal/Non-Coastal | 190 | 158 | 159 | 161 | 160 | 178 | 170 | 181 | 181 | 178 |
| Total Anglers | 309 | 284 | 281 | 286 | 278 | 307 | 292 | 309 | 296 | 295 |


|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Days Fished | 935 | 914 | 811 | 812 | 808 | 980 | 960 | 975 | 864 | 897 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) 2,3,4

|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Chinook | H | 71 | 89 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 85 | 63 | 81 | 111 | 111 | 101 | 85 |
| salmon | R | 80 | 96 | 66 | 95 | 62 | 120 | 94 | 116 | 87 | 106 |
| Chum | H | 12 | 22 | 11 | 21 | 11 | 25 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 10 |
| salmon | R | 28 | 34 | 19 | 38 | 20 | 39 | 19 | 25 | 22 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| Coho | H | 404 | 418 | 350 | 386 | 263 | 493 | 390 | 479 | 263 | 468 |
| salmon | R | 89 | 94 | 74 | 88 | 50 | 122 | 60 | 99 | 41 | 71 |
| Lingcod | H | 37 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 32 | 28 | 26 | 22 |
|  | R | 65 | 46 | 39 | 36 | 36 | 33 | 29 | 27 | 23 | 27 |
| Pacific cod | H | 25 | 36 | 37 | 48 | 42 | 38 | 61 | 58 | 44 | 20 |
| Pacific | R | 39 | 63 | 81 | 76 | 50 | 48 | 73 | 75 | 43 | 24 |
| halibut | R | 516 | 440 | 398 | 394 | 388 | 454 | 408 | 420 | 400 | 352 |
| Pink | H | 88 | 321 | 304 | 311 | 324 | 324 | 251 | 271 | 244 | 199 |
| salmon | R | 152 | 224 | 121 | 135 | 141 | 203 | 118 | 204 | 126 | 170 |
| Razor | H | 593 | 556 | 357 | 436 | 324 | 291 | 90 | 39 | 77 | 15 |
| clams | R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | $<1$ |
| Rockfish | H | 226 | 209 | 224 | 211 | 230 | 256 | 335 | 332 | 347 | 279 |
| species | R | 171 | 149 | 151 | 122 | 121 | 121 | 148 | 143 | 157 | 129 |
| Shark | H | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ | 2 | $<1$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| species | R | 52 | 33 | 29 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 28 | 20 | 16 | 10 |
| Sockeye | H | 29 | 34 | 28 | 31 | 28 | 40 | 35 | 33 | 34 | 36 |
| salmon | R | 10 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 10 |

[^22]\section*{2017 Alaska State Economy (\% of national total) ${ }^{1}$ <br> | \#Non-Employer Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual Payroll (\$ billions) | Employee Compensation (\$ billions) | Gross State Product (\$ billions) | Commercial Fishing Location Quotient ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56,946 (0.2\%) | 21,077 (0.3\%) | 266,072 (0.2\%) | 15.2 (0.2\%) | 27.2 (0.3\%) | 51.3 | ds |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 31 | 32 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 35 | 31 | 30 | 22 |
|  | Receipts | 1,455 | 1,693 | 2,482 | 2,882 | 2,708 | 3,268 | 2,472 | 4,091 | 1,743 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 13 | 16 | 23 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 17 | 11 | 13 |
|  | Receipts | 1,431 | 1,350 | 1,595 | 903 | 1,626 | 1,458 | 1,539 | 761 | 1,483 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 122 | 121 | 119 | 122 | 116 | 115 | 108 | 109 | 104 |
|  | Employees | 7,707 | 7,572 | 8,074 | 8,578 | 8,289 | 8,638 | 9,115 | 8,472 | 8,654 |
|  | Payroll | 254,894 | 255,403 | 268,208 | 296,851 | 297,284 | 308,961 | 337,171 | 356,855 | 355,129 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 57 | 54 | 52 | 48 | 47 | 43 | 43 | 37 | 33 |
|  | Employees | 143 | ds | ds | 159 | 143 | 102 | 120 | 94 | 79 |
|  | Payroll | 8,389 | 8,445 | 9,141 | 9,985 | 10,943 | 7,205 | 7,024 | 7,306 | 6,037 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
|  | Employees | 37 | 44 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 64 | 77 |
|  | Payroll | 1,839 | 1,824 | 1,986 | 2,487 | 2,019 | 2,337 | 2,687 | 2,498 | 2,549 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 17 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 20 | 27 | 23 | 23 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 335 | 344 | 394 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 15,845 | 17,748 | 18,762 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 1 | 1 | NA | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | NA | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | NA | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Coastal and Great Lakes Freight Transportation | Establishments | 49 | 50 | 55 | 63 | 47 | 53 | 72 | 74 | 79 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 1,067 | 966 |
|  | Payroll | 33,888 | 33,132 | ds | ds | ds | 82,692 | 89,020 | 89,281 | 86,849 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | 582 | ds | ds | 0 | 14 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | 1,790 | 25,545 | ds | ds | 0 | 904 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | 334 | ds | ds | 437 | 410 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | 26,481 | ds | ds | 32,326 | 32,171 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 25 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 25 | 24 | 23 |
|  | Employees | 296 | 312 | 303 | 321 | 97 | 103 | 138 | 140 | 126 |
|  | Payroll | 23,233 | 25,630 | 27,543 | 27,156 | 9,938 | 10,805 | 13,015 | 13,596 | 14,221 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 14 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 |
|  | Employees | 66 | 56 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 30 | 33 |
|  | Payroll | 2,303 | 2,181 | 1,932 | 2,053 | 1,613 | 1,449 | ds | 1,423 | 1,568 |

[^23]
## Pacific Region

- California - Oregon - Washington



## MANAGEMENT CONTEXT

The Pacific Region includes California, Oregon, and Washington. Federal fisheries in this region are managed by the Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC) and NOAA Fisheries under four fishery management plans (FMPs).

## Pacific Region FMPs

- Coastal pelagic species
- Pacific coast salmon
- Pacific coast groundfish
- West Coast highly migratory species

In 2017, the only stock/complex managed under these FMPs remaining on the overfished list was Pacific bluefin tuna (Pacific stock). ${ }^{1}$ Pacific ocean perch (Pacific Coast stock) and yelloweye rockfish (Pacific Coast stock) were removed from the overfished list. Three stocks/complexes were subject to overfishing in 2017: Coho salmon (Puget Sound: Stillaguamish stock, newly added to the list in 2017); ${ }^{1}$ Pacific bluefin tuna (Pacific stock); ${ }^{1}$ and swordfish (Eastern Pacific stock). ${ }^{1,2}$ Coho salmon (Puget Sound: Hood Canal stock) ${ }^{1}$ was removed from the overfishing list. Additionally, for the first time, spiny dogfish (Pacific coast stock) stock status was determined to be not subject to overfishing.

Also in 2017, three groundfish stocks were declared rebuilt - bocaccio (Southern Pacific Coast stock), darkblotched rockfish (Pacific Coast stock), and Pacific ocean perch (Pacific Coast stock). Pacific ocean perch has been overfished since the mid-1960s when foreign fleets targeted groundfish stocks off the U.S. West Coast. Under its rebuilding plan, Pacific ocean perch was not projected to be rebuilt until 2051; achieving rebuilt status in 2017 puts this achievement 34 years ahead of schedule.

Overall, management strategies have been used to successfully rebuild eight groundfish stocks, including Pacific whiting, lingcod, canary rockfish, widow rockfish, petrale sole, and, in 2017, bocaccio (Southern Pacific Coast stock), darkblotched rockfish (Pacific Coast stock), and Pacific ocean perch (Pacific Coast stock). Only two overfished groundfish stocks-cowcod and yelloweye rock-fish-continue to be managed under rebuilding plans. Cowcod is projected to be rebuilt by 2019 and yelloweye
rockfish as soon as 2027.

Conservative management techniques are employed in the Pacific Region's fisheries. For example, the Pacific groundfish and salmon fisheries are subject to "weak stock management" where access to the surplus of healthier stocks that can be harvested is often restricted to protect weaker stocks with which they commingle in the ocean. These weaker stocks include seven rebuilding groundfish stocks, salmon (listed under the Endangered Species Act), and other non-listed stocks that constrain the fishery.

Salmon management is further complicated by the need to ensure equal allocation of harvest among diverse user groups and coordination with other entities that have jurisdiction over various aspects of salmon management. Decades of habitat modification, hatchery practices, harvest, and growing competition for water have affected the viability of salmon stocks and made them more vulnerable to adverse environmental conditions. These conditions include the prolonged drought and adverse ocean conditions experienced in recent years. Low returns of salmon to the Klamath River in 2006, and to the Sacramento River in 2008 and 2009, resulted in unprecedented closures of ocean and in-river fisheries, leading to federal disaster relief for affected entities.

Coastal pelagic species (CPS) are highly variable, environmentally sensitive stocks that provide food for marine mammals, birds, and fish. These species include Pacific sardine, northern anchovy, Pacific and jack mackerel, and market squid. Of these species, Pacific sardine is the most commonly targeted CPS finfish and is managed according to an innovative harvest control rule: Allowable harvest varies with sea surface temperature. Because the geographic range of sardine tends to expand with abundance, harvest allocation between the California and Pacific Northwest fisheries is an ongoing and dynamic issue. The annual guideline for sardine harvest is allocated coast-wide on a seasonal basis. Recent decreases in harvest guideline limits have contributed to the development of an intense derby fishery.

Catch limits for Pacific halibut, a transboundary fish stock, are set in January by the International Pacific

[^24]Halibut Commission (IPHC). This bilateral commission between the United States and Canada determines total allowable catch levels (TACs) for Pacific halibut that will be caught in the United States and Canadian exclusive economic zones (EEZs). After catch levels are determined, the PFMC develops a catch-sharing plan for tribal and non-tribal (i.e., commercial and recreational) fisheries in the federal waters of California, Oregon, and Washington. Pacific Halibut is targeted only with hook gear, but there are allocations to the trawl sector for bycatch, including individual bycatch quotas, in the Pacific groundfish trawl IFQ.

The Highly Migratory Species (HMS) FMP includes tunas, billfish, and pelagic sharks as managed species. The albacore surface hook-and-line fishery is by far the most economically important commercial HMS fishery, followed by the drift gillnet fishery for swordfish and thresher shark. HMS is also a very important component of the catch for the Pacific Region's commercial passenger fishing vessel fleet and the private recreational boat fleet.

## Catch Share Programs

The Pacific Region has two catch share programs: 1) the Pacific Coast Sablefish Permit Stacking Program; and 2) the Pacific Groundfish Trawl Rationalization Program (Whiting and Non-Whiting trawl). The landings revenues for these programs totaled more than $\$ 53.1$ million in 2016. The following are descriptions of these catch share programs and their performance.

## Pacific Coast Sablefish Permit Stacking Program:

This program was implemented in 2001 and allows vessels to stack multiple vessel permits on a single vessel. The goal of this approach is to improve economic efficiency through rationalization of the fixed gear fleet, increase benefits for fishing communities, promote equity, lessen reallocation effects of previous harvest regulations, promote safety, and improve product quality and value. The 2016 key performance indicators of the program show that relative to the baseline period, landings and the number of active vessels decreased, while in-flation-adjusted landings revenue and inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel increased. Baselines for quota were not calculated and therefore cannot be compared.

A recent study ${ }^{3}$ of this fleet demonstrated that after the catch share program was implemented, the probability of fishermen taking a fishing trip in high wind conditions decreased $82 \%$. This provides evidence that institutional changes can significantly reduce risk taking behavior and result in safer fisheries.

## Pacific Groundfish Trawl Rationalization Program

 (Whiting and Non-Whiting trawl): This program was implemented by the PFMC in January 2011. This program involves individual fishing quotas (IFQs) for non-whiting groundfish and whiting trawlers delivering to shoreside plants, and cooperatives for whiting mothership and catcher processor sectors. The objectives of this program are to provide a mechanism for total catch accounting; provide a viable, profitable, and efficient groundfish fishery; promote practices that reduce bycatch and discard mortality and minimize ecological impacts; increase operational flexibility; minimize adverse effects from the IFQ program on fishing communities and other fisheries; promote measurable economic and employment benefits through the seafood catching, processing, distribution, and support sectors of the industry; provide quality product for the consumer; and increase safety in the fishery.In 2017, the Council review of the Program ${ }^{4}$ found that overall average annual net revenue for all sectors of the fishery from 2011 through 2015 was $\$ 54$ million, which was over twice the 2009-2010 average of $\$ 25$ million. Shorebased processors saw the greatest increase in net benefits between the pre-catch share and catch share periods (over $\$ 13$ million) while net benefits nearly tripled in the shoreside catcher vessel sector and increased by a lesser percentage for the catcher-processor sector. The at-sea mothership sector, however, saw a slight decrease in net benefits during this period.

Expanded observer coverage and dockside monitoring, which were implemented with the catch share program, coupled with long-term adherence to catch targets and improved stock assessment models, have to varying degrees also contributed to improved fishery performance. For example, in the first three years of catch shares, the total catch of rebuilding stocks (of which two-canary rockfish and petrale sole-are now declared rebuilt) was 50\% lower than in the previous three years.

[^25]
## Policy Updates

In June 2017, bocaccio and darkblotched rockfish were declared rebuilt, both well before their original target dates. Later in the year, Pacific ocean perch, which has been overfished since the mid-1960s when foreign fleets targeted groundfish stocks, was also declared rebuilt. This follows on the heels of two other important West Coast groundfish stocks-canary rockfish and petrale sole—being declared rebuilt in June 2015. These stocks had been subject to strict rebuilding plans that severely constrained West Coast fisheries for more than a decade: bocaccio was declared overfished in 1999, darkblotched rockfish and canary rockfish were declared overfished in 2000, and petrale sole was declared overfished in 2010. Overall, these strategies were successfully used to rebuild eight groundfish stocks by 2017, including Pacific whiting, lingcod, and widow rockfish in addition to the five stocks cited here. ${ }^{5}$

Another bright spot in 2017, the Pacific whiting stock assessment estimated that the stock was at its highest level since the 1980s. Based on this assessment and recommendations of the Joint Management Committee, ${ }^{6}$ the U.S. and Canada unadjusted total allowable catch (TAC) for 2017 Pacific whiting fisheries was set at 531,501 metric tons (mt). The unadjusted U.S. share of the TAC $(392,673 \mathrm{mt})$ is 6.8 percent greater than in $2016 .{ }^{7}$

An emerging success story is the use of deep-set buoy gear in the swordfish fishery to reduce bycatch. In 2014, the Council approved a preliminary exempted fishing permit to test the efficacy of alternative gear types at reducing bycatch in the swordfish fishery relative to the drift gillnet gear type. Only a limited number of permits were issued in 2015 and 2016 but in 2017, having proved successful at minimizing bycatch, the number of deep set buoy gear EFPs was significantly expanded. ${ }^{8}$

At the April 2017 Council meeting, the PFMC recommended closing the directed commercial sardine fishery for the third year in a row based upon an estimated a biomass of 86,586 metric tons, an $18 \%$ decline from the
previous year. The fishery was first closed in 2015 as a result of the biomass declining below a precautionary biomass threshold that automatically results in the closure of the fishery well in advance of population reaching an overfished condition.

In July 2017, the United States and the 20 other member nations of the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC) adopted new science-based conservation and management measures for tropical tuna (bigeye, yellowfin, and skipjack tuna) from 2018 to 2020 to support continued conservation of these tuna stocks.

In December 2017, parties of the United States v. Oregon agreement, which provides the framework for fisheries and hatchery programs on the Columbia River Basin, agreed on a new ten-year framework. ${ }^{9}$ NMFS, Washington State, and tribal co-managers began development of a comprehensive ten-year management plan for Puget Sound chinook salmon. ${ }^{10}$

There were a number of changes affecting California recreational fisheries in 2017. California regulations for chinook salmon divide the state into five areas, each of which can have different season lengths. ${ }^{11}$ In 2017, the two regions that encompass most of the coastline north of San Francisco had significantly shorter seasons or were closed entirely compared to 2016. The northernmost of these two regions were completely closed in 2017 but was open for 68 days in 2016 between May and September and accounted for $10 \%$ of all open days in 2016 across the state. The other area saw a 33\% decrease in season length in 2017 and accounted for 34\% of the 2016 open dates across the state. The central and southern areas saw minor changes in season lengths from 2016 to 2017.

For the California recreational groundfish fishery, the canary rockfish daily sub-bag limit changed from zero to one and the bocaccio sub-bag limit went from 3 to 10 fish as a result of canary rockfish being declared rebuilt in 2015 and bocaccio rockfish declared rebuilt in 2017.

[^26]Anglers had not been able to retain canary rockfish since 2003, and the bocaccio bag limit had been three or less since 1999. Additionally, recreational fishing depth limits increased by 10 fathoms along a large part of the north and central coast. The extra 10 fathoms of fishable waters had not been open to recreational anglers since 2003. However, due to high catches of yelloweye rockfish during the summer, depth restrictions were reverted to the 2016 depths in October 2017. ${ }^{12}$

In Oregon, the 2017 Chinook and coho salmon seasons were largely unchanged from 2016, except for the complete closure of one of the five management areas. For Chinook, the season was open for 75 days in 2016 in this area and accounted for $19 \%$ of all open season dates in the state in 2016; for coho, the season was open 44 days in 2016 and represented $22 \%$ of the open dates in 2016. ${ }^{13}$

In Washington, the 2017 Chinook salmon season was open for an additional 21 days in the two most northern management areas compared to 2016, whereas the other two management regions had very minor changes. However, for coho, three out of four management areas that were closed in 2016 were open in 2017. The season was open for 73 days in each of two areas and 53 days in the third area. ${ }^{14}$

## COMMERCIAL FISHERIES PACIFIC REGION

In this report, commercial fisheries refer to fishing operations that sell their catch for profit. The term does not include subsistence fishermen or saltwater anglers who fish for sport. It also excludes the for-hire sector, which earns its revenue from selling recreational fishing trips to saltwater anglers. The commercial fisheries section reports on economic impacts, landings revenue, landings, and ex-vessel prices of key species/species groups.

## Key Pacific Region Commercial Species

```
- Albacore tuna
- Rockfish
- Crab
- Sablefish
- Flatfish
- Salmon
- Other shellfish
- Shrimp
- Pacific hake
- Squid
(whiting)
```


## Economic Impacts

The premise behind economic impact modeling is that every dollar spent in a regional economy (direct impact) is either saved or re-spent on additional goods or services. If those dollars are re-spent on other goods and services in the regional economy, this spending generates additional economic activity in the region. ${ }^{15}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how commercial fisheries landings affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as commercial fishing. The category includes both the direct sales of fish landed and sales made between businesses and households resulting from the original sale. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the sales of seafood or purchases of inputs to commercial fishing. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in numbers of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. The United States seafood industry is defined here as the commercial fishing sector, seafood processors and dealers, seafood wholesalers and distributors, importers, and seafood retailers. ${ }^{16}$

This report provides estimates of total economic impacts for the nation and for each of the 23 coastal states. Total economic impacts for each state and the nation represent the sum of direct impacts; indirect impacts (in this case,

[^27]the impact from suppliers to the seafood industry); and induced impacts (spending by employees on personal and household expenditures, where employees of both the seafood industry and its full supply chain are included). That is, the total economic impact estimates reported here measure jobs, sales, value-added, and income impacts from the seafood industry as well as the economic activity generated throughout each region's broader economy from this industry.

In 2017, the commercial fishing and seafood industry in California generated the largest employment impacts in the Pacific region with 152,508 full- and part-time jobs. California also generated the largest sales impacts ( $\$ 28.8$ billion), value-added impacts ( $\$ 10.2$ billion), and income impacts ( $\$ 6.2$ billion).

## Landings Trends

Landings revenue in the Pacific Region declined $\$ 18.3$ million (-3\%) from 2016 to 2017, with each state declining 3\%. In California, the sizable increase in squid landings revenue (up $\$ 29.4$ million year over year) was more than offset by the decline in crab landings revenue (down $\$ 36.1$ million, largely due to Dungeness crab landings revenue decline of $\$ 21.0$ million). Squid is California's largest fishery by both value and volume and represented $67 \%$ of U.S. squid harvest in 2017. Squid landings increased 64\% following two El Niño years, which significantly depressed landings. Strong global and domestic demand for squid buoyed prices, which were up 5\% despite the increase in production and a 22\% increase in squid imports. Nationally, squid exports increased $24 \%$ from 2016 to 2017. China's import of squid from the United States increased 46\% in 2017 relative to the previous year. Overall, China purchased 54\% of U.S. squid exports in $2017 .{ }^{17}$

In Oregon and Washington, the increase in Pacific hake (whiting) landings revenue from 2016 to 2017 could not offset the decline in pink shrimp landings revenue in Oregon and the decline in pink shrimp and oyster landings revenue in Washington for this time period. The decline in pink shrimp landings revenue has been attributed to a decline in landings during the latter portion of the season, which coincided with a hypoxic event off of these two states. ${ }^{18}$

Rockfish landings revenue was up $\$ 17.2$ million (164\%) in 2017 relative to 2016 levels. The recently rebuilt rockfish stocks-bocaccio, darkblotched, and canary rock-fish-all showed sizable gains.

## Landings Revenue

In 2017, landings revenue in the Pacific region totaled $\$ 670.7$ million, a $34 \%$ increase from 2008 (a $17 \%$ increase in real terms after adjusting for inflation) and a 3\% decrease from 2016. Landings revenue was highest in Washington ( $\$ 277.7$ million), followed by California ( $\$ 209.8$ million).

Shellfish landings revenue accounted for 63\% of all landings revenue. In 2017, crab ( $\$ 208.8$ million), other shellfish ( $\$ 113.6$ million), and squid ( $\$ 68.6$ million) had the highest landings revenue in this region. Together, these top three species accounted for 58\% of total landings revenue.

From 2008 to 2017, squid (158\%, 125\% in real terms), crab (95\%, 70\% in real terms), and rockfish (62\%, 42\% in real terms) had the largest increases, while other shellfish ( $-8 \%,-19 \%$ in real terms) and flatfish ( $-4 \%$, $-16 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, squid (70\%), rockfish (58\%), and Pacific hake (whiting) (29\%) had the largest increases, while shrimp ( $-38 \%$ ), other shellfish ( $-27 \%$ ), and albacore tuna $(-8 \%)$ had the largest decreases.
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## Commercial Revenue: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Squid ( $158 \%, 125 \%$ in real terms)
- Crab (95\%, 70\% in real terms)
- Rockfish (62\%, 42\% in real terms)

From 2016:

- Squid (70\%)
- Rockfish (58\%)
- Pacific hake (whiting) (29\%)


## Commercial Revenue: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Other shellfish ( $-8 \%,-19 \%$ in real terms)
- Flatfish ( $-4 \%,-16 \%$ in real terms)

From 2016:

- Shrimp (-38\%)
- Other shellfish (-27\%)
- Albacore tuna (-8\%)


## Landings

In 2017, Pacific Region commercial fishermen landed over 1.2 billion pounds of finfish and shellfish. This represents an 8\% increase from 2008 and a 26\% increase from 2016. Pacific hake (whiting) contributed the highest landings volume in the region, accounting for $66 \%$ of total landing weight.

From 2008 to 2017, rockfish (192\%), squid (62\%), and Pacific hake (whiting) (46\%) had the largest increases, while other shellfish (-53\%), flatfish (-38\%), and albacore tuna (-33\%) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, rockfish (164\%), squid (62\%), and Pacific hake (whiting) (39\%) had the largest increases, while other shellfish (-47\%), shrimp (-36\%), and albacore tuna (-29\%) had the largest decreases.

## Commercial Landings: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Rockfish (192\%)
- Squid (62\%)
- Pacific hake (whiting) (46\%)

From 2016:

- Rockfish (164\%)
- Squid (62\%)
- Pacific hake (whiting) (39\%)


## Commercial Landings: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Other shellfish (-53\%, -59\% in real terms)
- Flatfish ( $-38 \%,-46 \%$ in real terms)
- Albacore tuna ( $-33 \%,-41 \%$ in real terms) From 2016:
- Other shellfish (-47\%)
- Shrimp (-36\%)
- Albacore tuna (-29\%)


## Prices

In 2017, other shellfish ( $\$ 13.85$ per pound) received the highest ex-vessel price in the region. Landings of Pacific hake (whiting) ( $\$ 0.08$ per pound) had the lowest ex-vessel price. From 2008 to 2017, other shellfish ( $96 \%$, $71 \%$ in real terms), albacore tuna ( $80 \%, 58 \%$ in real terms), and squid ( $60 \%, 40 \%$ in real terms) had the largest increases, while rockfish ( $-44 \%,-51 \%$ in real terms) and Pacific hake (whiting) (-29\%, $-38 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, other shellfish (37\%), albacore tuna (29\%), and flatfish (9\%) had the largest increases, while rockfish (-40\%), salmon ( $-12 \%$ ), and Pacific hake (whiting) ( $-7 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

## RECREATIONAL FISHERIES PACIFIC REGION

In this report, recreational fishing refers to fishing for leisure rather than to sell fish (commercial fishing) or for subsistence. This recreational fisheries section reports on economic impacts and expenditures, angler participation, fishing trips, and catch of key species/species groups. ${ }^{19}$

[^29]
## Key Pacific Region Recreational Species ${ }^{20}$

- Albacore and other tunas
- Barracuda, bass and bonito
- Croakers
- Flatfishes
- Greenlings
- Mackerel
- Rockfishes and scorpionfishes
- Salmon: Chinook salmon and coho salmon
- Sculpins
- Surfperches


## Economic Impacts and Expenditures

The economic contribution of recreational fishing activities in the Pacific Region is based on spending by recreational anglers. ${ }^{21}$ Total annual trip expenditures are estimated at the state level by multiplying mean trip expenditures by the estimated number of adult trips in each trip mode (for-hire, private boat, and shore) and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. Total annual durable expenditures are estimated by multiplying mean durable expenditures in each state by the estimated annual number of adult participants for each state and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. ${ }^{22}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how angler expenditures affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as recreational fishing. The category includes both the direct sales made to the angler and sales made between businesses and households resulting from that original sale to the angler. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the purchases made by anglers. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in number of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. NOAA Fisheries uses a regional impact modeling software, called IMPLAN, to estimate these four types of impacts.

The economic contributions for both trip and durable expenditures from recreational fishing in 2017 were estimated using IMPLAN version 3, with base year data from 2017. Models for each state and for the nation were created in IMPLAN using trip expenditures (based on 2016/2017 survey data on average trip expenditures and total 2017 trips) and for durable expenditures (based on 2014 survey data on average durable expenditures and 2017 participants).

The greatest employment impacts from expenditures on saltwater recreational fishing in the Pacific Region were generated in California (19,750 jobs), followed by Washington ( 9,533 jobs) and Oregon (3,548 jobs).The largest sales impacts were observed in California (\$2.5 billion), followed by Washington ( $\$ 1.2$ billion) and Oregon ( $\$ 364.2$ million). The biggest income impacts were generated in California ( $\$ 976$ million), followed by Washington ( $\$ 458.7$ million) and Oregon ( $\$ 156.6$ million). The greatest value-added impacts were in California (\$1.6 billion), followed by Washington (\$766 million) and Oregon ( $\$ 238.2$ million).

Expenditures for fishing trips and durable equipment across the Pacific Region in 2017 totaled $\$ 3.1$ billion. This total included $\$ 2.2$ billion in durable goods expenditures, with the largest portion coming from boat expenses (\$1.2 billion).

## Participation

In 2017, there were 1.3 million recreational anglers who fished in the Pacific Region. This number represented a 15\% decrease from 2008 and an 11\% increase from 2016. The anglers are categorized as either residents from coastal (73\%) or non-coastal (27\%) counties.

## Fishing Trips

In 2017, recreational fishermen took 5.8 million fishing trips in the Pacific Region. This number represented a $1 \%$ increase from 2008 and a 12\% increase from 2016. The largest proportions of trips were taken in the shore mode (53\%) and private boat (33\%). States with the highest number of recorded trips in the Pacific Region were California ( 3.5 million trips) and Washington (1.6 million trips).

[^30]
## Harvest and Release Trends

Of the Pacific Region's key species and species groups, rockfishes and scorpionfishes ( 4.6 million fish), mackerel (2.2 million fish), and surfperches (2 million fish), were most frequently caught by recreational fishermen. The text box below shows the species with the largest percentage increases and decreases in the past 10 years and in the past year.

## Harvest and Release: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Albacore and other tunas (452\%)
- Salmon (205\%)
- Greenlings (93\%)

From 2016:

- Albacore and other tunas (209\%)
- Salmon (72\%)
- Flatfishes (30\%)


## Harvest and Release: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Croakers (-52\%)
- Sculpins (-16\%)

From 2016:

- Greenlings (-15\%)
- Barracuda, bass and bonito (-9\%)
- Croakers (-4\%)

From 2008 to 2017, albacore and other tunas (452\%), salmon (205\%), and greenlings (93\%) had the largest increases, while croakers (-52\%) and sculpins ( $-16 \%$ ) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, albacore and other tunas (209\%), salmon (72\%), and flatfishes (30\%) had the largest increases, while greenlings ( $-15 \%$ ), barracuda, bass and bonito ( $-9 \%$ ), and croakers ( $-4 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

## MARINE ECONOMY - PACIFIC REGION

For this report, the marine economy refers to the economic activity generated by fishing and marine-related industries in a coastal state. The national marine economy consists of two industry sectors: 1) seafood sales and processing (employer establishments and non-employer firms); and
2) transport, support, and marine operations (employer establishments). These sectors include several different marine-related industries. ${ }^{23,24}$

To measure the size of the commercial fishing sector in a state's economy relative to the size of the commercial fishing sector in the national economy, researchers use an index called the Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ). ${ }^{25,26}$ The CFLQ is calculated as the ratio of the percentage of regional employment in the commercial fishing sector relative to the percentage of national employment in the commercial fishing sector. The United States CFLQ is 1 . If a state CFLQ is less than 1, then less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. If a state CFLQ is greater than 1 , then more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics suppressed the CFLQ value for Washington for 2016. Of the remaining states, Oregon had the highest CFLQ at 3.33. California had a CFLQ value of 0.57 .

In 2016, 1.2 million employer establishments operated throughout the entire Pacific Region (including marine and non-marine related establishments). These establishments employed 18.8 million workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 1.1$ trillion. The combined gross state product of California, Oregon, and Washington was approximately \$3.4 trillion in 2016.

## Seafood Sales and Processing

Seafood Product Preparation and Packaging: In 2016, the Pacific Region had 253 non-employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (a 25\% increase from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 21.2$ million (a $7 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). There were 144 employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (a $12 \%$ decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 7,792 workers (an 11\% decrease from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 457.8$ million (a $2 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in California (256), followed by Washington (231) and Oregon (54).

[^31]Seafood Sales, Retail: In 2016, there were 275 non-employer firms engaged in retail sales of seafood in the states that make up the Pacific Region (a 6\% increase from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 22.7$ million (a $15 \%$ decrease in real terms from 2008). There were 231 employer firms in the retail sales of seafood sector (a $2 \%$ increase from 2008). These establishments employed 1,742 workers (a 28\% increase from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 48$ million (a 34\% increase in real terms from 2008). The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in California (570), followed by Washington (107) and Oregon (60).

Seafood Sales, Wholesale: There were 518 employer firms in the wholesale sales of seafood sector in the Pacific Region in 2016 (a 29\% increase from 2008). These establishments employed 5,579 workers, and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 282.8$ million. ${ }^{27}$ The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in California (371), followed by Washington (120) and Oregon (27).

## Transport, Support, and Marine Operations

Data for the transport, support, and marine operations sector of Pacific Region's economy were largely suppressed for confidentiality reasons. It is clear, however, that these sectors play an important role in the regional economy. For example, in 2016, the ship and boat building sector in the Pacific Region accounted for $\$ 901.2$ million in payroll (a 16\% decrease in real terms from 2008).

[^32] and thus cannot be compared.

## Tables | Pacific Region



Pacific Region | Commercial Fisheries

2017 Economic Impacts of the Pacific Seafood Industry (jobs, thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  | Landings Revenue | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| California | 209,846 | 152,508 | 28,832,650 | 6,157,762 | 10,246,589 | 14,188 | 1,191,943 | 447,758 | 616,143 |
| Oregon | 147,058 | 15,803 | 1,357,124 | 439,829 | 631,161 | 13,026 | 775,319 | 320,793 | 430,857 |
| Washington | 277,740 | 64,017 | 9,290,973 | 2,411,092 | 3,708,329 | 20,051 | 1,490,096 | 610,753 | 830,633 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 500,447 | 501,938 | 566,579 | 729,785 | 674,465 | 814,834 | 776,098 | 557,669 | 688,918 | 670,651 |
| Finfish \& Other | 218,718 | 170,610 | 206,161 | 267,963 | 252,144 | 282,370 | 265,357 | 203,535 | 227,249 | 249,978 |
| Shellfish | 281,729 | 331,327 | 360,418 | 461,821 | 422,321 | 532,464 | 510,742 | 354,134 | 461,669 | 420,673 |

Key Species

| Albac | 28,845 | 27,541 | 28,780 | 43,347 | 45,827 | 41,930 | 32,792 | 29,387 | 37,744 | 34,875 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crab | 107,107 | 123,865 | 132,843 | 182,085 | 176,880 | 249,579 | 199,222 | 105,053 | 216,733 | 208,778 |
| Flatfish | 18,016 | 16,716 | 12,828 | 13,377 | 13,492 | 17,417 | 15,664 | 16,751 | 17,791 | 17,250 |
| Other shellfis | 122,905 | 133,940 | 134,460 | 172,541 | 141,221 | 166,551 | 177,487 | 137,035 | 156,483 | 113,633 |
| Pacific hake (whiting) | 58,492 | 14,104 | 27,316 | 52,869 | 47,054 | 61,321 | 58,630 | 24,109 | 46,639 | 60,373 |
| Rockfish | 9,257 | 8,974 | 9,226 | 9,446 | 9,421 | 9,872 | 9,820 | 10,531 | 9,526 | 15,031 |
| Sablefish | 27,279 | 34,481 | 35,977 | 44,873 | 28,108 | 19,559 | 24,178 | 28,719 | 31,346 | 33,573 |
| Salmon | 27,548 | 25,549 | 49,534 | 54,267 | 48,197 | 77,754 | 71,416 | 48,157 | 40,453 | 42,330 |
| Shrimp | 25,132 | 16,594 | 21,941 | 40,638 | 40,326 | 42,614 | 61,100 | 87,556 | 48,139 | 29,627 |
| Squid | 26,585 | 56,928 | 71,173 | 66,557 | 63,894 | 73,720 | 72,932 | 24,491 | 40,315 | 68,636 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | $1,091,673$ | 899,043 | $1,065,499$ | $1,176,780$ | $1,070,065$ | $1,255,594$ | $1,208,811$ | 747,113 | 937,751 | $1,177,043$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 908,242 | 583,273 | 652,515 | 758,522 | 721,080 | 850,058 | 816,757 | 526,113 | 719,615 | 935,607 |
| Shellfish | 183,431 | 315,771 | 412,984 | 418,258 | 348,985 | 405,537 | 392,053 | 221,000 | 218,136 | 241,436 |

Key Species

| Albacore tuna | 24,507 | 27,055 | 25,477 | 24,284 | 30,638 | 28,471 | 27,247 | 24,821 | 23,010 | 16,431 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crab | 45,075 | 59,158 | 61,668 | 66,518 | 52,860 | 87,157 | 52,133 | 22,745 | 62,945 | 60,570 |
| Flatfish | 37,852 | 41,192 | 33,785 | 25,959 | 24,779 | 29,106 | 24,188 | 24,861 | 26,508 | 23,618 |
| Other shellfish | 17,357 | 17,513 | 16,446 | 17,072 | 14,819 | 16,509 | 17,107 | 11,805 | 15,466 | 8,206 |
| Pacific hake | 531,277 | 253,053 | 355,216 | 496,363 | 347,171 | 505,614 | 574,921 | 333,290 | 558,047 | 773,885 |
| (whiting) | 9,469 | 10,458 | 11,038 | 9,910 | 10,406 | 10,794 | 10,720 | 11,913 | 10,489 | 27,665 |
| Rockfish | 12,978 | 15,822 | 15,055 | 14,139 | 11,580 | 9,159 | 9,633 | 11,377 | 11,799 | 12,194 |
| Sablefish | 19,503 | 34,132 | 31,107 | 42,224 | 24,619 | 56,892 | 37,187 | 26,134 | 18,757 | 22,376 |
| Salmon | 35,799 | 33,456 | 46,191 | 66,686 | 66,319 | 71,505 | 93,150 | 105,324 | 55,017 | 35,019 |
| Shrimp | 85,200 | 205,643 | 288,678 | 267,983 | 214,988 | 230,365 | 229,664 | 81,127 | 84,708 | 137,641 |
| Squid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albacore tuna | 1.18 | 1.02 | 1.13 | 1.78 | 1.50 | 1.47 | 1.20 | 1.18 | 1.64 | 2.12 |
| Crab | 2.38 | 2.09 | 2.15 | 2.74 | 3.35 | 2.86 | 3.82 | 4.62 | 3.44 | 3.45 |
| Flatfish | 0.48 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.73 |
| Other shellfish | 7.08 | 7.65 | 8.18 | 10.11 | 9.53 | 10.09 | 10.38 | 11.61 | 10.12 | 13.85 |
| Pacific hake (whiting) | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| Rockfish | 0.98 | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.91 | 0.54 |
| Sablefish | 2.10 | 2.18 | 2.39 | 3.17 | 2.43 | 2.14 | 2.51 | 2.52 | 2.66 | 2.75 |
| Salmon | 1.41 | 0.75 | 1.59 | 1.29 | 1.96 | 1.37 | 1.92 | 1.84 | 2.16 | 1.89 |
| Shrimp | 0.70 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 0.66 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 0.85 |
| Squid | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.50 |

[^33]2017 Economic Impacts of the Pacific Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars, trips)

|  | Trips | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| California | 3,542 | 19,750 | $2,483,373$ | 976,025 | $1,567,340$ |
| Oregon | 693 | 3,548 | 364,171 | 156,642 | 238,219 |
| Washington | 1,608 | 9,533 | $1,198,318$ | 458,671 | 766,018 |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 275,797 | Fishing Tackle | 506,447 |
| Private Boat | 391,418 | Other Equipment | 255,408 |
| Shore | 249,523 | Boat Expenses | 1,163,688 |
| Total | 916,739 | Vehicle Expenses | 290,592 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 4,327 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 2,220,464 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 3,137,203 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers)\#

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 1,183 | 1,203 | 1,297 | 1,193 | 1,056 | 1,382 | 1,307 | 1,236 | 849 | $\mathbf{9 6 6}$ |
| Non-Coastal | 358 | 336 | 371 | 382 | 346 | 384 | 429 | 426 | 332 | 350 |
| Total Anglers | 1,541 | 1,539 | 1,668 | 1,575 | 1,402 | 1,766 | 1,736 | 1,662 | 1,181 | 1,316 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 415 | 442 | 457 | 681 | 689 | 753 | 1,085 | 881 | $\mathbf{7 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 4}$ |
| Private | 1,517 | 2,114 | 1,726 | 1,832 | 1,972 | 2,070 | 1,991 | 1,876 | 1,341 | 1,940 |
| Shore | 3,859 | 4,345 | 3,770 | 3,791 | 4,973 | 4,859 | 4,352 | 3,131 | 3,123 | 3,119 |
| Total Trips | 5,791 | 6,901 | 5,953 | 6,304 | 7,634 | 7,682 | 7,427 | 5,888 | 5,223 | 5,843 |



[^34]
## Tables | California



## California | Commercial Fisheries

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| Total Impacts | 152,508 | 28,832,650 | 6,157,762 | 10,246,589 | 14,188 | 1,191,943 | 447,758 | 616,143 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 3,917 | 423,090 | 144,567 | 212,334 | 3,917 | 423,090 | 144,567 | 212,334 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 5,246 | 614,206 | 227,752 | 302,205 | 1,622 | 189,904 | 70,418 | 93,438 |
| Importers | 69,369 | 21,847,058 | 3,501,408 | 6,659,940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 13,732 | 2,216,363 | 718,878 | 1,004,322 | 556 | 89,742 | 29,108 | 40,666 |
| Retail | 60,245 | 3,731,934 | 1,565,157 | 2,067,789 | 8,093 | 489,207 | 203,666 | 269,706 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |
| Total Revenue | 120,861 | 159,253 | 187,263 | 222,160 | 243,963 | 266,488 | $\mathbf{2 5 3 , 7 6 8}$ | 129,143 | 216,139 | 209,846 |  |
| Finfish \& Other | 48,671 | 47,738 | 45,558 | 59,289 | 57,103 | 66,416 | 61,163 | 54,526 | 50,101 | 53,850 |  |
| Shellfish | 72,190 | 111,515 | 141,704 | 162,871 | 186,860 | 200,071 | 192,605 | 74,617 | 166,038 | 155,996 |  |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Crab | 24,227 | 32,508 | 43,016 | 53,762 | 88,207 | 91,851 | 70,563 | 20,467 | 85,620 | 49,498 |  |
| Pacific sardine | 7,575 | 5,544 | 4,366 | 4,398 | 4,249 | 1,510 | 2,003 | 343 | 95 | 61 |  |
| Rockfish | 5,781 | 5,330 | 5,453 | 5,644 | 5,170 | 5,748 | 5,604 | 5,797 | 5,400 | 6,812 |  |
| Sablefish | 6,224 | 9,765 | 11,491 | 15,121 | 8,988 | 7,047 | 8,945 | 8,870 | 8,804 | 9,039 |  |
| Salmon | 6 | NA | 1,215 | 5,096 | 12,850 | 22,957 | 12,127 | 8,058 | 5,277 | 4,794 |  |
| Sea urchins | 6,550 | 7,806 | 7,413 | 8,102 | 8,320 | 9,832 | 9,057 | 6,879 | 7,269 | 6,373 |  |
| Shrimp | 5,696 | 5,462 | 4,951 | 8,598 | 8,492 | 9,520 | 11,791 | 13,769 | 11,107 | 9,644 |  |
| Spiny lobster | 8,008 | 7,934 | 11,386 | 12,972 | 13,749 | 13,842 | 18,238 | 15,806 | 13,731 | 13,333 |  |
| Squid | 26,477 | 56,877 | 71,165 | 66,546 | 63,886 | 73,701 | 72,903 | 24,458 | 39,194 | 68,635 |  |
| Swordfish | 2,365 | 1,932 | 2,203 | 3,350 | 2,090 | 2,699 | 3,049 | 3,628 | 3,717 | 3,890 |  |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 323,884 | 376,053 | 439,440 | 409,837 | 353,875 | 364,790 | 361,290 | 186,418 | 176,403 | 214,663 |
| Finfish \& Other | 224,763 | 148,478 | 120,700 | 108,999 | 102,261 | 90,128 | 98,771 | 89,788 | 59,908 | 56,768 |
| Shellfish | 99,121 | 227,575 | 318,740 | 300,838 | 251,614 | 274,661 | 262,518 | 96,630 | 116,495 | 157,896 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Crab | 9,845 | 16,660 | 23,352 | 22,206 | 27,589 | 33,094 | 20,888 | 5,412 | 28,135 | 14,288 |
| Pacific sardine | 126,945 | 82,842 | 73,814 | 60,993 | 50,660 | 15,636 | 17,112 | 3,724 | 913 | 744 |
| Rockfish | 3,933 | 3,984 | 3,949 | 3,450 | 3,457 | 3,862 | 3,555 | 3,239 | 2,530 | 3,200 |
| Sablefish | 3,507 | 5,089 | 5,501 | 5,646 | 3,916 | 3,291 | 3,960 | 4,033 | 3,858 | 3,816 |
| Salmon | 1 | NA | 255 | 1,133 | 2,862 | 4,337 | 2,558 | 1,339 | 709 | 568 |
| Sea urchins | 10,283 | 12,205 | 11,230 | 11,465 | 11,443 | 12,945 | 11,833 | 8,106 | 5,885 | 4,183 |
| Shrimp | 3,011 | 3,596 | 4,522 | 8,217 | 7,255 | 9,712 | 9,873 | 9,443 | 4,818 | 4,443 |
| Spiny lobster | 741 | 706 | 716 | 751 | 876 | 764 | 951 | 768 | 680 | 703 |
| Squid | 84,071 | 205,278 | 288,497 | 267,890 | 214,867 | 230,061 | 229,466 | 80,968 | 81,751 | 137,483 |
| Swordfish | 1,168 | 898 | 815 | 1,365 | 887 | 1,174 | 1,252 | 1,358 | 1,364 | 1,482 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crab | 2.46 | 1.95 | 1.84 | 2.42 | 3.20 | 2.78 | 3.38 | 3.78 | 3.04 | 3.46 |
| Pacific sardine | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.08 |
| Rockfish | 1.47 | 1.34 | 1.38 | 1.64 | 1.50 | 1.49 | 1.58 | 1.79 | 2.13 | 2.13 |
| Sablefish | 1.77 | 1.92 | 2.09 | 2.68 | 2.29 | 2.14 | 2.26 | 2.20 | 2.28 | 2.37 |
| Salmon | 4.16 | NA | 4.76 | 4.50 | 4.49 | 5.29 | 4.74 | 6.02 | 7.44 | 8.44 |
| Sea urchins | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.77 | 0.85 | 1.24 | 1.52 |
| Shrimp | 1.89 | 1.52 | 1.09 | 1.05 | 1.17 | 0.98 | 1.19 | 1.46 | 2.31 | 2.17 |
| Spiny lobster | 10.80 | 11.24 | 15.91 | 17.27 | 15.69 | 18.11 | 19.17 | 20.59 | 20.19 | 18.96 |
| Squid | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.50 |
| Swordfish | 2.03 | 2.15 | 2.70 | 2.46 | 2.36 | 2.30 | 2.44 | 2.67 | 2.72 | 2.62 |

[^35]|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 3,264 | 366,220 | 140,152 | 224,213 |
|  | Private Boat | 895 | 140,953 | 46,545 | 88,474 |
|  | Shore | 2,152 | 287,571 | 102,841 | 185,787 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 13,439 | 1,688,629 | 686,487 | 1,068,866 |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  | 19,750 | 2,483,373 | 976,025 | 1,567,340 |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 211,542 | Fishing Tackle | 357,031 |
| Private Boat | 93,861 | Other Equipment | 177,628 |
| Shore | 183,361 | Boat Expenses | 568,641 |
| Total | 488,765 | Vehicle Expenses | 179,852 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 0 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 1,283,153 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 1,771,918 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 913 | 812 | 992 | 863 | 722 | 1,024 | 964 | 893 | 591 | 576 |
| Non-Coastal | 215 | 177 | 220 | 230 | 190 | 222 | 264 | 263 | 182 | 189 |
| Out-of-State | 82 | 206 | 221 | 183 | 215 | 87 | 94 | 121 | 96 | 77 |
| Total Anglers | 1,210 | 1,195 | 1,433 | 1,276 | 1,127 | 1,333 | 1,322 | 1,277 | 869 | 842 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 305 | 308 | 334 | 554 | 557 | 613 | 929 | 727 | 632 | 636 |
| Private | 640 | 681 | 690 | 683 | 800 | 786 | 785 | 676 | 522 | 533 |
| Shore | 3,113 | 3,599 | 3,024 | 3,045 | 4,227 | 4,113 | 3,606 | 2,385 | 2,377 | 2,373 |
| Total Trips | 4,058 | 4,588 | 4,048 | 4,282 | 5,585 | 5,512 | 5,320 | 3,787 | 3,531 | 3,542 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) ${ }^{1,2,3,4}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albacore and other tunas | H | 13 | 23 | 11 | 9 | 37 | 32 | 65 | 158 | 24 | 291 |
|  | R | 2 | 2 | $<1$ | $<1$ | 2 | 1 | 4 | 8 | < 1 | 1 |
| Barracuda, bass and bonito ${ }^{5}$ | H | 411 | 387 | 389 | 425 | 354 | 153 | 384 | 367 | 276 | 254 |
|  | R | 1,127 | 1,236 | 998 | 747 | 792 | 1,173 | 1,727 | 1,277 | 1,674 | 1,515 |
| Croakers | H | 355 | 499 | 248 | 132 | 302 | 201 | 168 | 110 | 151 | 151 |
|  | R | 242 | 290 | 270 | 93 | 185 | 229 | 148 | 123 | 148 | 136 |
| Flatfishes | H | 298 | 300 | 351 | 541 | 490 | 640 | 921 | 333 | 280 | 295 |
|  | R | 303 | 199 | 231 | 175 | 248 | 405 | 294 | 193 | 153 | 293 |
| Greenlings | H | 48 | 63 | 60 | 123 | 143 | 176 | 229 | 286 | 250 | 197 |
|  | R | 53 | 84 | 92 | 169 | 183 | 160 | 169 | 153 | 156 | 110 |
| Mackerel | H | 1,907 | 1,357 | 1,176 | 1,108 | 835 | 572 | 1,016 | 1,681 | 1,010 | 1,419 |
|  | R | 827 | 664 | 581 | 532 | 409 | 331 | 728 | 532 | 591 | 772 |
| Rockfishes and scorpionfishes | H | 1,445 | 1,670 | 1,639 | 2,379 | 2,871 | 3,229 | 3,326 | 3,000 | 2,650 | 2,869 |
|  | R | 311 | 320 | 383 | 506 | 583 | 823 | 752 | 674 | 635 | 760 |
| Salmon ${ }^{6,7}$ | H | $<1$ | $<1$ | 15 | 50 | 124 | 116 | 75 | 38 | 38 | 62 |
|  | R | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Sculpins | H | 37 | 27 | 19 | 62 | 39 | 37 | 32 | 34 | 30 | 19 |
|  | R | 69 | 50 | 47 | 82 | 74 | 147 | 48 | 35 | 29 | 33 |
| Surfperches | H | 685 | 537 | 470 | 823 | 1,027 | 809 | 992 | 1,226 | 817 | 871 |
|  | R | 554 | 510 | 223 | 714 | 984 | 819 | 1,002 | 912 | 520 | 700 |

[^36]
## California | Marine Economy

## 2016 California State Economy (\% of national total)

\#Non-Employer

Firms \#Establishments $\quad$ \#Employees $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
Annual <br>
Payroll <br>
(\$ billions)

 

Employee <br>
Compensation <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Gross State <br>
Product <br>
(\$ billions)

 

Commercial

 

Fishing <br>
Location <br>
Quotient
\end{tabular}

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 139 | 159 | 184 | 187 | 151 | 157 | 164 | 169 | 174 |
|  | Receipts | 11,460 | 10,852 | 9,695 | 9,788 | 9,283 | 9,866 | 11,112 | 12,978 | 14,725 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 210 | 202 | 203 | 209 | 236 | 218 | 227 | 221 | 228 |
|  | Receipts | 19,892 | 17,095 | 19,021 | 18,006 | 18,238 | 18,581 | 17,055 | 17,896 | 19,375 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 45 | 47 | 48 | 48 | 41 | 44 | 53 | 48 | 41 |
|  | Employees | 2,024 | 2,167 | 1,820 | 1,842 | 1,668 | 1,871 | 1,799 | 1,661 | 1,549 |
|  | Payroll | 65,215 | 69,529 | 62,480 | 60,411 | 52,977 | 57,603 | 60,762 | 59,829 | 64,374 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 278 | 289 | 314 | 404 | 275 | 320 | 341 | 349 | 371 |
|  | Employees | 3,321 | 3,183 | 3,223 | 3,505 | 3,441 | 3,671 | 3,912 | 4,170 | 4,250 |
|  | Payroll | 132,139 | 128,813 | 137,810 | 149,302 | 173,959 | 181,698 | 175,927 | 201,903 | 212,079 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 161 | 153 | 158 | 157 | 149 | 155 | 167 | 170 | 171 |
|  | Employees | 932 | 976 | 985 | 1,088 | 1,043 | 1,119 | 1,124 | 1,208 | 1,272 |
|  | Payroll | 20,585 | 21,785 | 22,718 | 25,168 | 24,221 | 26,702 | 28,044 | 28,437 | 31,722 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{2}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 136 | 123 | 117 | 108 | 120 | 113 | 108 | 103 | 104 |
|  | Employees | 11,630 | 10,483 | 9,720 | 9,165 | 12,681 | 12,651 | 9,814 | 11,379 | 11,236 |
|  | Payroll | 477,300 | 460,239 | 448,338 | 434,449 | 544,819 | 537,438 | 534,787 | 583,717 | 548,198 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 43 | 41 | 54 | 51 | 45 | 34 | 43 | 56 | 45 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | 2,562 | 2,464 | 2,431 | 2,073 | 2,467 | 2,554 | 2,399 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | 236,235 | 256,962 | 236,423 | 218,054 | 187,383 | 235,546 | 230,946 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 |  |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 |  |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 28 | 30 | 25 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 30 | 34 | 32 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | 554 | 395 | ds | ds | ds | 851 | 759 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | 30,431 | 24,708 | ds | ds | ds | 70,978 | 62,151 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 17 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 59 | 31 | 33 | 30 | 30 |
|  | Employees | 256 | 345 | 435 | 508 | ds | 651 | 535 | 570 | 742 |
|  | Payroll | 23,316 | 26,889 | 37,560 | 41,688 | ds | 52,401 | 33,599 | 40,887 | 46,859 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 61 | 62 | 63 | 71 | 38 | 64 | 64 | 67 | 70 |
|  | Employees | 22,086 | 17,428 | 18,449 | 18,812 | 18,759 | ds | ds | 18,859 | 20,694 |
|  | Payroll | 1,453,281 | 1,211,572 | 1,273,268 | 1,333,805 | 1,351,874 | ds | ds | 1,761,284 | 1,898,249 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 40 | 39 | 41 | 45 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 37 |
|  | Employees | 815 | 804 | 765 | 760 | 800 | 805 | 634 | 587 | 1,221 |
|  | Payroll | 65,225 | 61,720 | 58,899 | 62,065 | 61,166 | 67,665 | 59,927 | 60,228 | 68,514 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 277 | 276 | 270 | 269 | 251 | 250 | 249 | 258 | 243 |
|  | Employees | 2,652 | 2,514 | 2,390 | 2,401 | 2,237 | 2,199 | 2,332 | 2,439 | 2,432 |
|  | Payroll | 85,315 | 78,890 | 80,631 | 82,958 | 71,777 | 72,737 | 79,840 | 84,427 | 86,510 |

[^37]
## Tables | Oregon



Oregon | Commercial Fisheries
2017 Economic Impacts of the Oregon Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  |  |  | Without Imports |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |
| Total Revenue | 103,042 | 106,959 | 106,378 | 148,354 | 128,222 | 179,215 | 158,080 | 113,990 | 151,707 | $\mathbf{1 4 7 , 0 5 8}$ |  |
| Finfish \& Other | 56,912 | 52,750 | 58,730 | 76,718 | 72,329 | 81,445 | 78,214 | 60,860 | 64,925 | 71,673 |  |
| Shellfish | 46,130 | 54,210 | 47,648 | 71,636 | 55,893 | 97,770 | 79,866 | 53,130 | 86,782 | $\mathbf{7 5 , 3 8 5}$ |  |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Albacore tuna | 10,666 | 10,191 | 12,425 | 18,766 | 15,168 | 16,085 | 11,023 | 9,212 | 12,502 | 10,803 |  |
| Crab | 29,168 | 42,413 | 32,757 | 44,696 | 29,189 | 71,208 | 48,149 | 11,935 | 55,737 | 58,728 |  |
| Flatfish | 9,163 | 8,468 | 6,861 | 6,779 | 7,315 | 9,854 | 8,651 | 9,765 | 10,716 | 10,418 |  |
| Oysters | 2,748 | 4,506 | 3,317 | 1,869 | 1,661 | 1,798 | 1,774 | NA | 3,615 | 3,102 |  |
| Pacific hake | 6,830 | 3,783 | 5,414 | 16,518 | 14,611 | 20,405 | 18,274 | 7,146 | 8,601 | 16,369 |  |
| (whiting) | 5,665 | 5,291 | 5,252 | 3,192 | 8,979 | 6,299 | 3,522 | 813 | 0 | NA |  |
| Pacific sardine | 2,610 | 2,500 | 2,520 | 2,473 | 2,661 | 3,023 | 3,246 | 3,744 | 3,589 | 7,419 |  |
| Rockfish | 13,737 | 15,919 | 15,069 | 17,351 | 11,530 | 7,595 | 8,076 | 12,807 | 15,086 | 15,508 |  |
| Sablefish | 4,166 | 3,546 | 7,698 | 6,737 | 6,950 | 12,422 | 20,115 | 11,864 | 8,311 | 5,549 |  |
| Salmon | 14,056 | 6,994 | 11,313 | 24,901 | 24,848 | 24,430 | 29,605 | 40,634 | 25,245 | 12,859 |  |
| Shrimp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 195,688 | 199,458 | 201,974 | 274,533 | 296,091 | 339,589 | 291,655 | 194,575 | 209,486 | $\mathbf{2 9 6 , 4 8 5}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 155,837 | 154,147 | 153,588 | 208,445 | 237,822 | 265,454 | 227,318 | 138,601 | 153,909 | 253,358 |
| Shellfish | 39,851 | 45,310 | 48,386 | 66,088 | 58,269 | 74,136 | 64,337 | 55,974 | 55,578 | 43,127 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Albacore tuna | 8,876 | 10,082 | 10,703 | 9,682 | 9,938 | 10,209 | 8,767 | 7,574 | 7,250 | 4,744 |
| Crab | 13,875 | 21,848 | 15,817 | 17,240 | 8,681 | 26,016 | 11,910 | 2,284 | 15,702 | 18,965 |
| Flatfish | 23,842 | 26,047 | 22,226 | 15,957 | 15,322 | 18,965 | 15,955 | 16,722 | 18,640 | 18,380 |
| Oysters | 162 | 1,127 | 829 | 467 | 415 | 449 | 443 | NA | 743 | 560 |
| Pacific hake | 55,511 | 53,466 | 57,017 | 142,092 | 102,651 | 160,098 | 161,589 | 88,728 | 98,003 | 198,643 |
| (whiting) | 49,298 | 45,902 | 44,743 | 23,479 | 91,459 | 57,022 | 16,938 | 4,688 | 2 | NA |
| Pacific sardine | 3,820 | 4,207 | 4,533 | 3,819 | 3,918 | 4,745 | 5,293 | 6,628 | 6,324 | 19,680 |
| Rockfish | 6,514 | 7,219 | 6,269 | 5,074 | 4,739 | 3,840 | 3,293 | 5,002 | 5,502 | 5,490 |
| Sablefish | 1,860 | 2,311 | 2,765 | 2,386 | 1,918 | 3,505 | 6,373 | 3,142 | 1,838 | 1,190 |
| Salmon | 25,433 | 22,085 | 31,516 | 48,276 | 49,054 | 47,535 | 51,835 | 53,457 | 35,344 | 23,079 |


|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albacore tuna | 1.20 | 1.01 | 1.16 | 1.94 | 1.53 | 1.58 | 1.26 | 1.22 | 1.72 | 2.28 |
| Crab | 2.10 | 1.94 | 2.07 | 2.59 | 3.36 | 2.74 | 4.04 | 5.22 | 3.55 | 3.10 |
| Flatfish | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.58 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| Oysters | 16.96 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | NA | 4.87 | 5.53 |
| Pacific hake (whiting) | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Pacific sardine | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.18 | NA |
| Rockfish | 0.68 | 0.59 | 0.56 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.61 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.38 |
| Sablefish | 2.11 | 2.21 | 2.40 | 3.42 | 2.43 | 1.98 | 2.45 | 2.56 | 2.74 | 2.82 |
| Salmon | 2.24 | 1.53 | 2.78 | 2.82 | 3.62 | 3.54 | 3.16 | 3.78 | 4.52 | 4.66 |
| Shrimp | 0.55 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.57 | 0.76 | 0.71 | 0.56 |

[^38]2017 Economic Impacts of Oregon Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | For-Hire | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by | Private Boat | 414 | 38,671 | 13,597 | 22,904 |  |
| Fishing Mode | Shore | 720 | 73,733 | 30,371 | 46,868 |  |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 256 | 25,803 | 10,342 | 16,107 |  |
| Total State Economic Impacts | 2,158 | 225,964 | 102,332 | 152,340 |  |  |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 25,117 | Fishing Tackle | 50,323 |
| Private Boat | 65,026 | Other Equipment | 28,045 |
| Shore | 21,697 | Boat Expenses | 83,900 |
| Total | 111,840 | Vehicle Expenses | 64,924 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 4,327 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 231,520 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 343,360 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 79 | 85 | 83 | 82 | 86 | 90 | 92 | 90 | 86 | 87 |
| Non-Coastal | 121 | 129 | 126 | 125 | 129 | 134 | 137 | 135 | 129 | 130 |
| Out-of-State | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Total Anglers | 214 | 229 | 224 | 222 | 230 | 240 | 245 | 241 | 230 | 232 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 48 | 55 | 51 | 51 | 57 | 64 | 67 | 71 | 63 | 65 |
| Private | 357 | 402 | 385 | 380 | 402 | 424 | 440 | 416 | 388 | 395 |
| Shore | 233 | 233 | 233 | 233 | 233 | 233 | 233 | 233 | 233 | 233 |
| Total Trips | 638 | 690 | 669 | 664 | 692 | 721 | 740 | 720 | 684 | 693 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) ${ }^{1,2,3,4}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albacore tuna | H | 24 | 42 | 38 | 29 | 63 | 22 | 48 | 35 | 37 | 16 |
|  | R | <1 | <1 | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | <1 | <1 | < 1 |
| Baitfishes | H | 221 | 221 | 223 | 221 | 220 | 220 | 221 | 221 | 220 | 220 |
|  | R | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 |
| Flatfishes | H | 20 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 33 |
|  | R | 8 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Greenlings | H | 92 | 90 | 99 | 108 | 120 | 142 | 119 | 130 | 114 | 128 |
|  |  | 70 | 72 | 82 | 88 | 85 | 90 | 74 | 85 | 84 | 81 |
| Rockfishes ${ }^{5}$ | H | 266 | 317 | 332 | 251 | 278 | 361 | 376 | 516 | 443 | 503 |
|  | R | 30 | 36 | 44 | 34 | 33 | 42 | 42 | 75 | 56 | 89 |
| Salmon ${ }^{6,7}$ | H | 14 | 91 | 23 | 24 | 35 | 45 | 118 | 38 | 13 | 26 |
|  | R | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Sculpins | H | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 18 |
|  | R | 58 | 58 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 63 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 63 |
| Sturgeon | H | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
|  | R | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Surfperches | H | 118 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 118 |
|  | R | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 |

[^39]

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 19 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 14 |
|  | Receipts | 957 | 466 | 510 | 467 | 346 | 319 | 484 | 1,088 | 1,776 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 16 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 11 | ds | 16 | 15 | 14 |
|  | Receipts | 2,101 | 1,140 | 1,907 | 1,896 | 1,600 | ds | 1,036 | 841 | 1,379 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 23 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
|  | Employees | 850 | 812 | 806 | 805 | 934 | 907 | 980 | 916 | 989 |
|  | Payroll | 27,616 | 26,202 | 27,007 | 32,438 | 31,970 | 37,265 | 39,290 | 41,181 | 42,832 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 18 | 19 | 22 | 27 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 24 | 27 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | 180 | 189 | 192 | 196 | 187 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | 7,602 | 8,065 | 8,601 | 9,121 | 9,892 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 21 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 23 | 25 | 23 |
|  | Employees | 178 | 151 | 162 | 163 | 126 | 147 | 170 | 181 | 174 |
|  | Payroll | 3,370 | 3,515 | 3,651 | 3,613 | 2,851 | 4,238 | 4,440 | 4,951 | 5,239 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 41 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 29 | 26 |
|  | Employees | 1,692 | 1,886 | 980 | 1,179 | 1,504 | 1,406 | ds | 1,506 | 1,278 |
|  | Payroll | 74,583 | 90,446 | 42,004 | 55,068 | 77,718 | 79,913 | ds | 94,956 | 83,079 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 12 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 437 | 506 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 40,746 | 47,896 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | 90 | ds | ds | 49 | 45 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | 6,512 | ds | ds | 3,437 | 2,686 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 20 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 17 |
|  | Employees | 200 | 189 | 144 | 152 | 176 | 81 | 67 | 74 | 69 |
|  | Payroll | 11,808 | 10,154 | 9,577 | 9,592 | 12,219 | 6,534 | 3,958 | 3,998 | 4,789 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 37 | 33 | 30 | 33 | 32 | 34 | 34 | 36 | 35 |
|  | Employees | 106 | 109 | 102 | 102 | 119 | 104 | 113 | 119 | 137 |
|  | Payroll | 2,178 | 2,602 | 2,290 | 2,382 | 3,034 | 3,148 | 3,584 | 3,643 | 3,550 |
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## Washington | Commercial Fisheries

2017 Economic Impacts of the Washington Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 64,017 | 9,290,973 | 2,411,092 | 3,708,329 | 20,051 | 1,490,096 | 610,753 | 830,633 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 5,818 | 556,076 | 231,772 | 330,152 | 5,818 | 556,076 | 231,772 | 330,152 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 17,742 | 1,925,187 | 723,072 | 956,875 | 2,195 | 238,215 | 89,470 | 118,400 |
| Importers | 16,894 | 5,320,487 | 852,709 | 1,621,917 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 2,738 | 401,588 | 134,548 | 183,568 | 730 | 107,039 | 35,862 | 48,928 |
| Retail | 20,825 | 1,087,636 | 468,990 | 615,817 | 11,307 | 588,766 | 253,649 | 333,153 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 232,841 | 227,773 | 255,332 | 329,785 | 275,585 | 335,450 | 329,109 | 299,952 | $\mathbf{2 8 7 , 5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 7}, \mathbf{7 4 0}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 69,445 | 62,173 | 84,269 | 102,481 | 96,026 | 100,844 | 90,855 | 73,583 | 78,694 | 88,448 |
| Shellfish | 163,396 | 165,600 | 171,063 | 227,305 | 179,560 | 234,606 | 238,254 | 226,368 | 208,849 | 189,292 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Albacore tuna | 17,225 | 16,390 | 14,575 | 22,253 | 28,440 | 24,745 | 21,177 | 19,961 | 24,769 | 23,494 |
| Clams | 64,142 | 72,647 | 73,625 | 88,774 | 69,445 | 83,788 | 83,643 | 75,342 | 82,882 | 67,021 |
| Crab | 53,712 | 48,944 | 57,070 | 83,627 | 59,485 | 86,520 | 80,509 | 72,651 | 75,376 | 100,553 |
| Halibut | 7,525 | 4,879 | 5,764 | 6,740 | 6,122 | 4,929 | 6,985 | 6,199 | 6,896 | 6,795 |
| Mussels | 5,293 | 4,851 | 4,318 | 4,740 | 6,065 | 9,253 | 6,830 | 7,704 | 6,452 | 2,465 |
| Oysters | 34,794 | 34,993 | 30,370 | 43,021 | 37,576 | 46,378 | 47,555 | 37,507 | 32,353 | 12,125 |
| Pacific hake | 7,249 | 2,334 | 4,105 | 7,183 | 5,882 | 7,452 | 5,431 | 2,563 | 4,509 | 7,996 |
| (whiting) | 7,312 | 8,796 | 9,402 | 12,378 | 7,578 | 4,888 | 7,098 | 7,020 | 7,456 | 9,025 |
| Sablefish | 23,376 | 22,003 | 40,622 | 42,434 | 28,398 | 42,376 | 39,174 | 28,235 | 26,866 | 31,987 |
| Salmon | 5,380 | 4,139 | 5,677 | 7,140 | 6,986 | 8,664 | 19,704 | 33,152 | 11,786 | 7,124 |
| Shrimp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 173,176 | 163,937 | 189,486 | 210,282 | 213,578 | 263,639 | 191,391 | $\mathbf{1 5 3 , 5 6 8}$ | 168,136 | 215,976 |  |
| Finfish \& Other | 128,825 | 121,060 | 143,705 | 159,034 | 174,597 | 207,194 | 126,364 | 85,300 | 122,279 | 175,718 |  |
| Shellfish | 44,351 | 42,877 | 45,782 | 51,248 | 38,982 | 56,445 | 65,027 | 68,268 | 45,856 | 40,258 |  |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Albacore tuna | 14,801 | 16,112 | 13,148 | 13,209 | 19,275 | 17,552 | 18,039 | 17,133 | 15,500 | 11,421 |  |
| Clams | 4,071 | 4,267 | 3,876 | 4,038 | 3,677 | 3,978 | 4,320 | 4,262 | 3,355 | 2,126 |  |
| Crab | 21,355 | 20,651 | 22,500 | 27,072 | 16,590 | 28,046 | 19,335 | 15,048 | 19,109 | 27,317 |  |
| Halibut | 2,055 | 1,731 | 1,371 | 1,301 | 1,295 | 1,065 | 1,284 | 1,157 | 1,370 | 1,433 |  |
| Mussels | 593 | 568 | 589 | 547 | 559 | 734 | 579 | 600 | 2,790 | 227 |  |
| Oysters | 10,258 | 9,386 | 8,650 | 9,389 | 8,143 | 9,420 | 9,329 | 5,911 | 5,748 | 3,071 |  |
| Pacific hake | 67,159 | 36,378 | 58,900 | 73,494 | 38,524 | 58,696 | 49,654 | 32,977 | 77,808 | 128,888 |  |
| (whiting) | 2,954 | 3,514 | 3,277 | 3,410 | 2,916 | 2,006 | 2,345 | 2,317 | 2,391 | 2,661 |  |
| Sablefish | 17,641 | 31,821 | 28,086 | 38,706 | 19,839 | 49,050 | 28,256 | 21,654 | 16,211 | 20,618 |  |
| Salmon | 7,355 | 7,775 | 10,153 | 10,193 | 10,009 | 14,259 | 31,441 | 42,423 | 14,855 | 7,496 |  |
| Shrimp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Albacore tuna | 1.16 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.68 | 1.48 | 1.41 | 1.17 | 1.17 | 1.60 | 2.06 |
| Clams | 15.76 | 17.03 | 18.99 | 21.98 | 18.89 | 21.06 | 19.36 | 17.68 | 24.70 | 31.53 |
| Crab | 2.52 | 2.37 | 2.54 | 3.09 | 3.59 | 3.08 | 4.16 | 4.83 | 3.94 | 3.68 |
| Halibut | 3.66 | 2.82 | 4.20 | 5.18 | 4.73 | 4.63 | 5.44 | 5.36 | 5.03 | 4.74 |
| Mussels | 8.93 | 8.54 | 7.33 | 8.66 | 10.85 | 12.60 | 11.79 | 12.85 | 2.31 | 10.88 |
| Oysters | 3.39 | 3.73 | 3.51 | 4.58 | 4.61 | 4.92 | 5.10 | 6.34 | 5.63 | 3.95 |
| Pacific hake | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| (whiting) |  | 2.48 | 2.50 | 2.87 | 3.63 | 2.60 | 2.44 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 3.12 |
| Sablefish | 1.33 | 0.69 | 1.45 | 1.10 | 1.43 | 0.86 | 1.39 | 1.30 | 1.66 | 1.59 |
| Salmon | 0.73 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 0.95 |
| Shrimp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 2017 Economic Impacts of Washington Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \# |  | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts For-Hire | 606 | 63,000 | 21,720 | 37,514 |  |  |
| by Fishing Mode | Private Boat | 2,133 | 310,044 | 101,224 | 185,541 |  |
|  | Shore | 480 | 61,608 | 21,650 | 39,028 |  |
| Total Durable Expenditures | 6,314 | 763,666 | 314,077 | 503,935 |  |  |
| Total State Economic Impacts | 9,533 | $1,198,318$ | 458,671 | 766,018 |  |  |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 39,138 | Fishing Tackle | 99,093 |
| Private Boat | 232,531 | Other Equipment | 49,735 |
| Shore | 44,465 | Boat Expenses | 511,147 |
| Total | 316,134 | Vehicle Expenses | 45,816 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 0 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 705,791 |
| Total State Trip | enditures |  | 1,021,925 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 191 | 306 | 222 | 248 | 248 | 268 | 251 | 253 | 172 | 303 |
| Non-Coastal | 22 | 30 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 21 | 31 |
| Out-of-State | 17 | 24 | 19 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 17 | 24 |
| Total Anglers | 230 | 360 | 266 | 296 | 296 | 318 | 301 | 303 | 210 | 358 |


| Recreational Fishing | Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| For-Hire | 62 | 79 | 72 | 76 | 75 | 76 | 88 | 83 | 64 | 83 |
| Private | 520 | 1,031 | 651 | 770 | 770 | 860 | 766 | 784 | 431 | 1,012 |
| Shore | 513 | 513 | 513 | 513 | 513 | 513 | 513 | 513 | 513 | 513 |
| Total Trips | 1,095 | 1,623 | 1,236 | 1,358 | 1,358 | 1,449 | 1,367 | 1,381 | 1,008 | 1,608 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albacore tuna | H | 22 | 25 | 31 | 15 | 51 | 54 | 75 | 79 | 47 | 30 |
|  | R | < 1 | 0 | < 1 | 0 | 0 | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 |
| Baitfishes | H | 2,486 | 2,486 | 2,486 | 2,486 | 2,486 | 2,486 | 2,486 | 2,486 | 2,486 | 2,486 |
|  | R | 126 | 126 | 126 | 126 | 126 | 126 | 126 | 126 | 126 | 126 |
| Flatfishes | H | 50 | 51 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 55 | 54 | 59 | 58 |
|  | R | 40 | 42 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 41 |
| Greenlings | H | 24 | 26 | 35 | 46 | 46 | 44 | 45 | 42 | 56 | 54 |
|  | R | 14 | 16 | 25 | 31 | 25 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 21 | 12 |
| Rockfishes ${ }^{5}$ | H | 179 | 198 | 208 | 229 | 253 | 268 | 298 | 322 | 345 | 247 |
|  | R | 9 | 13 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 21 | 26 | 23 | 25 | 26 |
| Salmon ${ }^{6,7}$ | H | 34 | 151 | 73 | 69 | 65 | 75 | 163 | 114 | 33 | 56 |
|  | R | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Sculpins | H | 15 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
|  | R | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Sharks and skates | H | $<1$ | < 1 | $<1$ | <1 | $<1$ | $<1$ | < 1 | < 1 | $<1$ | $<1$ |
|  | R | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Sturgeon ${ }^{8}$ | H | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Surfperches | H | 134 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 133 | 137 | 137 |
|  | R | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 121 | 123 | 122 |

[^41]
## Washington | Marine Economy

2016 Washington State Economy (\% of national total) ${ }^{1}$
\#Non-Employer

Firms \#Establishments $\quad$ \#Employees $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
Annual <br>
Payroll <br>
(\$ billions)

 

Employee <br>
Compensation <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Gross State <br>
Product <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Commercial <br>
Fishing <br>
Location <br>
Quotient ${ }^{2}$
\end{tabular}

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Seafood Sales and Processing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Non-Employer Firms (thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| Seafood product | Firms | 44 | 47 | 39 | 37 | 42 | 42 | 51 | 59 | 65 |
| prep. \& packaging | Receipts | 5,167 | 5,022 | 4,228 | 3,859 | 4,377 | 4,094 | 5,270 | 3,555 | 4,697 |
| Seafood sales, | Firms | 33 | 42 | 30 | 34 | 42 | 41 | 36 | 35 | 33 |
| retail | Receipts | 1,807 | 2,462 | 1,273 | 2,370 | 1,871 | 3,017 | 2,559 | 2,071 | 1,991 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 96 | 86 | 93 | 90 | 90 | 86 | 90 | 85 | 83 |
|  | Employees | 5,893 | 4,860 | 5,296 | 5,387 | 6,118 | 6,224 | 5,945 | 5,753 | 5,254 |
|  | Payroll | 306,213 | 232,543 | 254,592 | 293,112 | 326,827 | 315,379 | 329,739 | 325,389 | 350,599 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 107 | 108 | 105 | 107 | 101 | 116 | 119 | 118 | 120 |
|  | Employees | 996 | 1,103 | 970 | 911 | 1,085 | 999 | 1,098 | 1,077 | 1,142 |
|  | Payroll | 48,251 | 48,044 | 45,871 | 45,543 | 51,508 | 49,683 | 52,761 | 54,339 | 60,854 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 44 | 43 | 47 | 44 | 40 | 35 | 33 | 39 | 37 |
|  | Employees | 247 | 239 | 282 | 253 | 256 | 266 | 276 | 279 | 296 |
|  | Payroll | 7,947 | 8,324 | 9,098 | 7,786 | 8,210 | 9,069 | 9,938 | 10,865 | 11,059 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 169 | 162 | 152 | 135 | 141 | 138 | 131 | 143 | 129 |
|  | Employees | 8,067 | 6,710 | 5,406 | 5,232 | 5,294 | 5,387 | 5,060 | 4,653 | 4,930 |
|  | Payroll | 402,253 | 312,240 | 284,759 | 276,402 | 290,400 | 273,825 | 262,730 | 265,732 | 269,879 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 21 | 25 | 20 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 |
|  | Employees | 263 | 305 | 209 | ds | ds | 200 | 204 | 194 | 170 |
|  | Payroll | 24,843 | 28,897 | 24,711 | ds | 14,014 | 14,892 | 14,991 | 13,981 | 13,822 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 1,412 | 1,277 | 1,151 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 54,346 | 73,134 | 72,462 |
| Coastal and Great | Establishments | 24 | 24 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 35 | 38 | 35 | 41 |
| Lakes Freight | Employees | 2,222 | 2,245 | 1,731 | 1,684 | 1,557 | 2,186 | 2,020 | 1,879 | 1,956 |
| Transportation | Payroll | 168,832 | 168,783 | 130,398 | 132,068 | 126,401 | 170,003 | 163,075 | 162,635 | 163,240 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 48 | 28 | 27 | 23 | 23 |
|  | Employees | 111 | 118 | 74 | 75 | 1,509 | 181 | 304 | 250 | 226 |
|  | Payroll | 6,359 | 6,437 | 4,662 | 4,937 | 85,042 | 11,894 | 16,449 | 14,278 | 14,169 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 25 | 27 | 26 | 32 | 13 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 30 |
|  | Employees | 4,821 | 2,953 | ds | 3,910 | ds | ds | ds | 3,966 | 4,143 |
|  | Payroll | 334,193 | 239,490 | ds | 323,286 | ds | ds | ds | 424,469 | 436,086 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 76 | 69 | 79 | 78 | 72 | 73 | 71 | 68 | 76 |
|  | Employees | 1,213 | 1,168 | 1,225 | 1,207 | ds | ds | 1,297 | 1,176 | 1,175 |
|  | Payroll | 100,542 | 102,934 | 102,766 | 94,781 | ds | ds | 101,251 | 88,363 | 88,045 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 116 | 110 | 117 | 114 | 100 | 110 | 106 | 102 | 97 |
|  | Employees | 573 | 570 | 560 | 517 | 479 | 529 | 530 | 588 | 525 |
|  | Payroll | 18,931 | 18,811 | 18,783 | 18,364 | 18,038 | 18,914 | 20,348 | 21,944 | 21,809 |
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## Western Pacific Region

## - Hawaii



An opah (fish) found at the Honolulu Fish Auction.
Photo: NOAA Fisheries Office of Science and Technology/Noelle Olsen

## c, 24

## MANAGEMENT CONTEXT

The U.S. Pacific Islands Region includes the state of Hawai'i; the territories of American Samoa and Guam; the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI); and the Pacific Remote Island Areas. Federal fisheries in this region are managed by the Western Pacific Fishery Management Council (WPFMC) and NOAA Fisheries under five fishery ecosystem plans (FEPs). These plans focus on place-based rather than species- or fishery-based management.

## Western Pacific Fishery Ecosystem Plans

- American Samoa
- Hawai'i
- Mariana Archipelago (Guam and the CNMI)

Three of the stocks or stock complexes covered in these FMPs were listed as overfished in 2017: Pacific bluefin tuna (Pacific stock), ${ }^{1}$ striped marlin (Western and Central Pacific stock), ${ }^{1}$ and seamount groundfish complex (Hancock seamount stock). ${ }^{1}$ Bluefin tuna (Western Atlantic) was removed from the overfished list because the stock's overfished status was determined to be unknown. Four stocks/complexes were subject to overfishing in 2017: Pacific bluefin tuna (Pacific stock), ${ }^{1}$ swordfish (Eastern Pacific stock), ${ }^{1,2}$ striped marlin (Western and Central Pacific stock), and bigeye tuna (Western and Central Pacific stock). ${ }^{1}$

Because fishery data are limited in most of these areas, only information for the Hawai'i and Western Pacific Pelagics fisheries is reported here. No catch share programs operate in this region.

Hawai'i FEP: NOAA Fisheries, the WPFMC, and the State of Hawai'i collaborate to manage fisheries across the Hawai'i Archipelago. The major fisheries in Hawai'i include trolling for pelagic species such as tuna, marlin, wahoo, and mahimahi; deepwater hook-and-line bottom fishing; and various forms of net fishing that target nearshore pelagic and reef fish species. Under this FEP, the Hancock Seamount groundfish complex is currently overfished. This fishery has been closed since 1986.

Western Pacific Pelagics FEP: The management species covered under this FEP include tunas, billfishes, sharks, squids, and an assortment of other species. These species include mahimahi, wahoo, moonfish, and pomfret caught by the Hawai'i longline fishery and smaller boats that use diverse gears including trolling, handline, and traditional fishing methods. Of these species, bigeye tuna, Pacific bluefin tuna, swordfish, and the Central Western Pacific striped marlin stock are considered subject to overfishing. The Central Western Pacific striped marlin stock and Pacific bluefin tuna stock are also listed as overfished.

In addition to management by the WPFMC and NOAA Fisheries, pelagic fish, such as bigeye and yellowfin tunas, are managed by two regional fishery management organizations (RFMOs). The Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC) has authority to manage pelagic fisheries in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean, while the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC) manages pelagic fisheries in the Eastern Pacific Ocean. Fish species and fisheries under the purview of both RFMOs migrate across national boundaries and between RFMO areas, requiring coordinated management. Since 2009, the annual bigeye tuna catch limit has been recommended by the WCPFC and implemented by NOAA Fisheries for the U.S. Iongline fleet in the Western and Central Pacific. The IATTC establishes the harvest limit for bigeye tuna for U.S. longline vessels longer than 24 meters in the Eastern Tropical Pacific.

## Policy Updates

The Hawai'i-based pelagic longline fleet accounts for most of the U.S. longline catch of bigeye tuna in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean (WCPO). Under the authority of the WCPFC Implementation Act, the 2017 bigeye catch limit for U.S. Iongline vessels was set at 3,138 metric tons, a 12\% reduction from the 2016 levels due to an overage in 2016. Based upon its own prediction that the bigeye catch limit ( 3,138 metric tons) would be reached by September 1, 2017, NMFS closed the U.S. deep-set longline fishery in WCPO on that date (82 FR 37824, August 14, 2017). However, during the closure, if a Hawai'i longline vessel also holds a valid American Samoa longline permit (dual permitted vessels), the bigeye tuna caught by these vessels may still be landed in Ha-

[^43]wai'i, as long as the fish were not caught in the portion of the U.S. EEZ surrounding the Hawai'ian Archipelago. On October 10, 2017, the fishery was reopened.

In 2017, the bigeye tuna catch limit in the Eastern Pacific Ocean (EPO) was 500 mt for all U.S. vessels greater than 24 meters (vessels equal to or under 24 meters are not subjected to any catch limit). Because the catch limit of 500 mt was expected to be reached on September 8, 2017 (based on the PIFSC prediction model), NMFS closed the U.S. longline fishery for bigeye tuna for vessels over 24 meters in EPO starting from September 8, 2017 until the end of the year ( 82 FR 41562, September 1, 2017).

On February 3, 2016, NOAA Fisheries published a final rule allowing large federally permitted U.S. longline vessels to fish in certain areas of the American Samoa Large Vessel Prohibited Area (LVPA). The LVPA was established in 2002 to prevent the potential for gear conflicts and catch competition between large and small fishing vessels. However, by 2016 the American Samoa pelagic fisheries had changed so that the conditions that led to the establishment of the LVPA appeared no longer existed. The final rule allowed fishing in an additional 16,817 square nautical miles of federal waters. On March 20, 2017, however, a U.S. federal judge in American Samoa v. National Marine Fisheries Service, 16-cv-00095 (D.Haw) issued an order vacating this regulation, barring large federally permitted U.S. longline vessels from fishing within the LVPA.

## COMMERCIAL FISHERIES WESTERN PACIFIC (HAWAI'I) REGION

In this report, commercial fisheries refer to fishing operations that sell their catch for profit. The term does not include subsistence fishermen or saltwater anglers who fish for sport. It also excludes the for-hire sector, which earns its revenue from selling recreational fishing trips to saltwater anglers. The commercial fisheries section reports on economic impacts, landings revenue, landings, and ex-vessel prices of key species/species groups.

## Key Western (Hawai`i) Pacific Commercial Species

- Dolphinfish (mahi- - Scad (opelu) mahi) • Snappers
- Lobsters (ula) - Swordfish (meka-
- Marlin (a'u) jiki)
- Moonfish (opah)
- Pomfrets (mon-
- Tunas (aku) chong)
- Wahoo (ono)


## Economic Impacts

The premise behind economic impact modeling is that every dollar spent in a regional economy (direct impact) is either saved or re-spent on additional goods or services. If those dollars are re-spent on other goods and services in the regional economy, this spending generates additional economic activity in the region. ${ }^{3}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how commercial fisheries landings affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, val-ue-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as commercial fishing. The category includes both the direct sales of fish landed and sales made between businesses and households resulting from the original sale. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the sales of seafood or purchases of inputs to commercial fishing. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in numbers of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. The United States seafood industry is defined here as the commercial fishing sector, seafood processors and dealers, seafood wholesalers and distributors, importers, and seafood retailers. ${ }^{4}$

This report provides estimates of total economic impacts for the nation and for each of the 23 coastal states. Total economic impacts for each state and the nation represent the sum of direct impacts; indirect impacts (in this

[^44]case, the impact from suppliers to the seafood industry); and induced impacts (spending by employees on personal and household expenditures, where employees of both the seafood industry and its full supply chain are included). That is, the total economic impact estimates reported here measure jobs, sales, value-added, and income impacts from the seafood industry as well as the economic activity generated throughout each region's broader economy from this industry.

In 2017, the commercial fishing and seafood industry supported 9,827 full- and part-time jobs and generated $\$ 900.6$ million in sales, $\$ 275$ million in income, and $\$ 402.2$ million in value-added impacts in the Western Pacific (Hawai'i) Region. Importers generated the largest sales impacts ( $\$ 350.8$ million). Retail generated the largest value-added impacts ( $\$ 132.1$ million), income impacts (\$101.4 million), and employment impacts (4,023 jobs).

## Landings Trends

Landings revenue in Hawai'i decreased $\$ 1.7$ million from 2016 to 2017, or about 1.5\%. In inflation-adjusted terms (using 2015 dollars), landings revenue fluctuated within a range from $\$ 100-\$ 120$ million from 2012 to 2017, a leveling off after a period of increase from the previous decade. Tuna revenue, which accounted for $75 \%$ of the 2017 total, fell 1\% from 2016. Notable changes among other species included a $21 \%$ increase in swordfish revenue and a $24 \%$ decrease in dolphinfish revenue.

In recent years, Hawai'i's landings and landings revenue trends largely reflect the growth of the tuna fishery. From 2008 to 2017, bigeye tuna dominated Hawai'i's landings revenue, accounting for between $55 \%$ and $68 \%$ of annual state landings revenue. Bigeye tuna revenues reached a record high of $\$ 72$ million in 2016 before falling $10 \%$ to $\$ 65$ million in 2017. This decrease in bigeye tuna revenue was largely offset by a $\$ 7$ million increase in revenues for the second largest tuna fishery, yellowfin. This 50\% increase in yellowfin tuna revenues over the previous year was due almost entirely to increased landings rather than a price change. Overall, Hawai'i accounted for $57 \%$ of U.S. tuna landings revenue in 2017. The state's share of national tuna landings revenue ranged
between $49 \%$ and $60 \%$ during the previous decade.

## Landings Revenue

In 2017, landings revenue in the Western Pacific (Hawai'i) region totaled $\$ 116.4$ million, a $37 \%$ increase from 2008 (a 20\% increase in real terms after adjusting for inflation) and an 1\% decrease from 2016.

## Commercial Revenue: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Pomfrets (monchong) (98\%, 73\% in real terms)
- Marlin ( $a^{\prime} u$ ) ( $85 \%, 61 \%$ in real terms)
- Snappers ( $53 \%, 34 \%$ in real terms)

From 2016:

- Swordfish (mekajiki) (21\%)
- Snappers (16\%)


## Commercial Revenue: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Lobsters (ula) ( $-83 \%,-85 \%$ in real terms)
- Swordfish (mekajiki) (-19\%, $-29 \%$ in real terms)
From 2016:
- Lobsters (ula) (-27\%)
- Dolphinfish (mahimahi) (-24\%)
- Scad (opelu) (-15\%)

From 2008 to 2017, pomfrets (monchong) (98\%, 73\% in real terms), marlin ( $a^{\prime} u$ ) ( $85 \%, 61 \%$ in real terms), and snappers (53\%, $34 \%$ in real terms) had the largest increases, while lobsters (ula) ( $-83 \%,-85 \%$ in real terms) and swordfish (mekajiki) (-19\%, -29\% in real terms) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, swordfish (mekajiki) (21\%) and snappers (16\%) had the largest increases, while lobsters (ula) (-27\%), dolphinfish (mahimahi) (-24\%), and scad (opelu) (-15\%) had the largest decreases.

## Landings

In 2017, Western Pacific (Hawai'i) Region commercial fishermen landed over 37.2 million pounds of finfish and shellfish. This represents a $21 \%$ increase from 2008 and a 6\% increase from 2016. Tunas (aku) contributed the
highest landings volume in the region, accounting for $67 \%$ of total landing weight.

From 2008 to 2017, pomfrets (monchong) (46\%), moonfish (opah) (38\%), and tunas (aku) (37\%) had the largest increases, while lobsters (ula) (-68\%), swordfish (mekajiki) (-33\%), and dolphinfish (mahimahi) (-24\%) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, swordfish (mekajiki) (56\%), snappers (11\%), and marlin ( $a^{\prime} u$ ) (11\%) had the largest increases, while dolphinfish (mahimahi) (-20\%), scad (opelu) (-17\%), and pomfrets (monchong) (-16\%) had the largest decreases.

## Commercial Landings: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Pomfrets (monchong) (46\%)
- Moonfish (opah) (38\%)
- Tunas (aku) (37\%)

From 2016:

- Swordfish (mekajiki) (56\%)
- Snappers (11\%)
- Marlin ( $a^{\prime} u$ ) (11\%)


## Commercial Landings: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Lobsters (ula) (-68\%)
- Swordfish (mekajiki) (-33\%)
- Dolphinfish (mahimahi) (-24\%)

From 2016:

- Dolphinfish (mahimahi) (-20\%)
- Scad (opelu) (-17\%)
- Pomfrets (monchong) (-16\%)


## Prices

In 2017, lobsters (ula) ( $\$ 6.48$ per pound) received the highest ex-vessel price in the region. Landings of marlin ( $a^{\prime} u$ ) ( $\$ 1.48$ per pound) had the lowest ex-vessel price. From 2008 to 2017, dolphinfish (mahimahi) (42\%, 24\% in real terms), marlin ( $a^{\prime} u$ ) ( $40 \%, 22 \%$ in real terms), and snappers ( $37 \%, 20 \%$ in real terms) had the largest increases, while lobsters (ula) ( $-47 \%,-53 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, pomfrets (monchong) (12\%), wahoo (ono) (10\%), and
snappers (4\%) had the largest increases, while lobsters (ula) (-24\%), swordfish (mekajiki) (-23\%), and marlin ( $\left.a^{\prime} u\right)(-15 \%)$ had the largest decreases.

## RECREATIONAL FISHERIES WESTERN PACIFIC (HAWAI'I) REGION

In the Western Pacific (Hawai'i) Region, recreational fishing includes all non-commercial fishing, which is fishing that does not meet the definition of commercial fishing in the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, and includes, but is not limited to, sustenance, subsistence, traditional indigenous, and recreational fishing. ${ }^{5}$ This recreational fisheries section reports on economic impacts and expenditures, angler participation, fishing trips, and catch of key species/species groups. ${ }^{6}$

## Key Western Pacific (Hawai'i) Recreational Species ${ }^{7}$

- Bigeye (akule) and mackerel (opelu) scad
- Blue marlin (a'u)
- Dolphinfish (mahimahi)
- Goatfishes: bandtail goatfish, blue goatfish, doublebar goatfish, goatfish family, goatfishes, manybar goatfish, pflugers goatfish, whitesaddle goatfish, yellowfin goatfish, and yellowstripe goatfish
- Jacks (trevallys and other jacks): African pompano, bigeye trevally, black jack, black trevally, bluefin trevally, giant
trevally, greater amberjack, island jack, jack family, and jack genus
- Skipjack tuna (aku)
- Smallmouth bonefish (o'io)
- Snappers: Binghams snapper, blacktail snapper, bluestipe snapper, green jobfish, ironjaw snapper, longtailed red snapper, pink snapper, ruby snapper, smalltooth jobfish, snapper family, snapper genus, and von Siebolds snapper
- Wahoo (ono)
- Yellowfin tuna ('ahi)


## Economic Impacts and Expenditures

The economic contribution of recreational fishing activities in the Western Pacific (Hawai'i) Region is based on

[^45]spending by recreational anglers. ${ }^{8}$ Total annual trip expenditures are estimated at the state level by multiplying mean trip expenditures by the estimated number of adult trips in each trip mode (for-hire, private boat, and shore) and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. Total annual durable expenditures are estimated by multiplying mean durable expenditures in each state by the estimated annual number of adult participants for each state and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. ${ }^{9}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how angler expenditures affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as recreational fishing. The category includes both the direct sales made to the angler and sales made between businesses and households resulting from that original sale to the angler. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the purchases made by anglers. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in number of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. NOAA Fisheries uses a regional impact modeling software, called IMPLAN, to estimate these four types of impacts.

The economic contributions for both trip and durable expenditures from recreational fishing in 2017 were estimated using IMPLAN version 3, with base year data from 2017. Models for each state and for the nation were created in IMPLAN using trip expenditures (based on 2016/2017 survey data on average trip expenditures and total 2017 trips) and for durable expenditures (based on 2014 survey data on average durable expenditures and 2017 participants).

In 2017, economic impacts from recreational fishing activities in Hawai'i generated 1,093 jobs, $\$ 145.9$ million in sales, $\$ 44.9$ million in income, and $\$ 80.8$ million in value-added impacts.

Data for the for-hire mode is not available in Hawai'i. Of the two remaining fishing trip modes, shore fishing trips had the greatest economic impact, accounting for 65\% of employment impacts. Trip expenditures for shore and private boat modes totaled $\$ 120.5$ million, with a large portion of these trip expenditures coming from trips in the shore ( $60 \%$ ) mode. Data for durable expenditures is not available due to unavailable participation estimates.

## Participation

Participation estimates for Hawai'i are not available.

## Fishing Trips

In 2017, recreational fishermen took 1.3 million saltwater fishing trips in the state of Hawai'i. This number represented a 49\% decrease from 2008 and a 25\% increase from 2016. Of all fishing trips, 80\% were taken from the shore sector.

## Harvest and Release Trends

Of the Western Pacific (Hawai'i) Region's key species and species groups, bigeye (akule) and mackerel (opelu) scad ( 1.2 million fish), goatfishes (438,939 fish), and jacks (trevallys and other jacks) (268,935 fish), were most frequently caught by recreational fishermen. The text box below shows the species with the largest percentage increases and decreases in the past 10 years and in the past year.

## Harvest and Release: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- There were no percent increases (in nominal dollar values).
From 2016:
- Blue marlin ( $a^{\prime} u$ ) ( $80 \%$ )
- Skipjack tuna (aku) (29\%)
- Dolphinfish (mahimahi) (7\%)


## Harvest and Release: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Yellowfin tuna ('ahi) (-82\%)
- Skipjack tuna (aku) (-80\%)
- Dolphinfish (mahimahi) (-74\%)

From 2016:

- Wahoo (ono) (-28\%)
- Yellowfin tuna ('ahi) (-4\%)

[^46]From 2008 to 2017, there were no increases (in nominal dollar values). Yellowfin tuna ('ahi) (-82\%), skipjack tuna (aku) (-80\%), and dolphinfish (mahimahi) (-74\%) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, blue marlin (a'u) (80\%), skipjack tuna (aku) (29\%), and dolphinfish (mahimahi) (7\%) had the largest increases, while wahoo (ono) (-28\%) and yellowfin tuna ('ahi) (-4\%) had the largest decreases.

## MARINE ECONOMY WESTERN PACIFIC (HAWAI'I) REGION

For this report, the marine economy refers to the economic activity generated by fishing and marine-related industries in a coastal state. The national marine economy consists of two industry sectors: 1) seafood sales and processing (employer establishments and non-employer firms); and 2) transport, support, and marine operations (employer establishments). These sectors include several different marine-related industries. ${ }^{10,11}$

To measure the size of the commercial fishing sector in a state's economy relative to the size of the commercial fishing sector in the national economy, researchers use an index called the Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ). ${ }^{12,13}$ The CFLQ is calculated as the ratio of the percentage of regional employment in the commercial fishing sector relative to the percentage of national employment in the commercial fishing sector. The United States CFLQ is 1 . If a state CFLQ is less than 1 , then less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. If a state CFLQ is greater than 1 , then more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.

## Hawai'i had a CFLQ value of 4.33 .

In 2016, 32,350 employer establishments operated throughout the entire Western Pacific (Hawai'i) region (including marine and non-marine related establishments). These establishments employed 528,415 workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 22.9$ billion. The combined gross state product of Hawai'i was approximately $\$ 85.6$ billion in 2016.

## Seafood Sales and Processing

## Seafood Product Preparation and Packaging: In

2016, the Western Pacific (Hawai'i) Region had 12 non-employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (a 33\% increase from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 1.1$ million (a $7 \%$ decrease in real terms from 2008). There were 2 employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (a 100\% increase from 2008). The Census Bureau suppressed 2016 employment and payroll data for this sector in this region.

Seafood Sales, Retail: In 2016, there were 31 non-employer firms engaged in retail sales of seafood in the Western Pacific (Hawai'i) region (a 16\% decrease from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 4$ million (an 18\% decrease in real terms from 2008). There were 22 employer firms in the retail sales of seafood sector (a 12\% decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 313 workers (an $81 \%$ increase from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 7.8$ million (a $90 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008).

Seafood Sales, Wholesale: There were 30 employer firms in the wholesale sales of seafood sector in the Western Pacific (Hawai'i) region in 2016 (a 19\% decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 697 workers (unchanged from 2008), and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 26.3$ million (a $13 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008).

## Transport, Support, and Marine Operations

Data for the transport, support, and marine operations sector of Western Pacific (Hawai'i) Region's economy were largely suppressed for confidentiality reasons. It is clear, however, that these sectors play an important role in the regional economy. For example, in 2016, the marine cargo handling sector in the Western Pacific (Hawai'i) region accounted for $\$ 115.6$ million in payroll (a $15 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008).

[^47]
## Tables | Hawai'i



Hawai'i | Commercial Fisheries

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| Total Impacts | 9,827 | 900,570 | 274,994 | 402,195 | 7,528 | 462,739 | 183,308 | 248,970 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 3,566 | 202,681 | 73,978 | 106,346 | 3,566 | 202,681 | 73,978 | 106,346 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 578 | 57,558 | 22,784 | 29,385 | 413 | 41,113 | 16,274 | 20,989 |
| Importers | 1,114 | 350,823 | 56,226 | 106,946 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 546 | 58,868 | 20,647 | 27,466 | 313 | 33,700 | 11,820 | 15,724 |
| Retail | 4,023 | 230,639 | 101,359 | 132,052 | 3,236 | 185,245 | 81,236 | 105,911 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 84,877 | 71,202 | 84,044 | 91,565 | 112,300 | 107,979 | 101,249 | 103,399 | 118,134 | 116,368 |
| Finfish \& Other | 84,556 | 70,856 | 83,700 | 91,274 | 111,865 | 107,413 | 100,754 | 103,341 | 117,832 | 116,124 |
| Shellfish | 321 | 347 | 343 | 291 | 435 | 567 | 495 | 58 | 302 | $\mathbf{2 4 4}$ |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Dolphinfish (mahimahi) | 3,174 | 2,853 | 3,303 | 4,314 | 5,309 | 4,130 | 4,412 | 3,427 | 4,512 | 3,451 |
| Lobsters (ula) | 120 | 136 | 117 | 104 | 98 | 95 | 105 | NA | 28 | 21 |
| Marlin (a'u) | 2,072 | 2,142 | 1,756 | 2,375 | 2,888 | 2,802 | 3,197 | 3,015 | 4,064 | 3,830 |
| Moonfish (opah) | 2,198 | 2,409 | 2,591 | 2,853 | 3,163 | 3,203 | 2,910 | 3,151 | NA | 3,203 |
| Pomfrets (monchong) | 1,662 | 1,381 | 1,549 | 1,449 | 2,097 | 2,576 | 2,466 | 2,874 | 3,502 | 3,287 |
| Scad (opelu) | 889 | 1,198 | 1,251 | 964 | 1,181 | 1,147 | 1,128 | 108 | 1,173 | 996 |
| Snappers | 1,715 | 1,860 | 1,681 | 1,415 | 1,738 | 2,003 | 2,223 | 1,124 | 2,272 | 2,625 |
| Swordfish (mekajiki) | 7,177 | 7,336 | 7,303 | 6,669 | 6,693 | 4,493 | 5,405 | 4,629 | 4,813 | 5,823 |
| Tunas (aku) | 60,863 | 47,710 | 59,775 | 66,628 | 83,298 | 81,819 | 73,657 | 81,576 | 88,467 | 87,285 |
| Wahoo (ono) | 2,225 | 1,673 | 1,746 | 1,806 | 2,330 | 2,375 | 2,800 | 2,328 | 3,279 | 3,066 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 30,652 | 26,906 | $\mathbf{2 8 , 0 6 9}$ | 29,289 | 31,048 | 32,447 | 33,474 | 34,623 | 35,051 | $\mathbf{3 7 , 1 6 2}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 30,599 | 26,849 | 28,007 | 29,240 | 30,968 | 32,346 | 33,387 | 34,612 | 34,999 | 37,123 |
| Shellfish | 52 | 57 | 62 | 49 | 79 | 101 | 86 | 11 | 52 | 39 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dolphinfish (mahimahi) | 1,250 | 1,287 | 1,518 | 1,423 | 1,746 | 1,515 | 1,689 | 1,132 | 1,193 | 954 |
| Lobsters (ula) | 10 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 10 | NA | 3 | 3 |
| Marlin (a'u) | 1,952 | 1,677 | 1,221 | 1,826 | 1,459 | 1,935 | 2,318 | 2,616 | 2,327 | 2,580 |
| Moonfish (opah) | 1,313 | 1,884 | 1,824 | 1,564 | 1,549 | 2,072 | 2,004 | 2,067 | NA | 1,812 |
| Pomfrets (monchong) | 671 | 627 | 593 | 427 | 731 | 1,142 | 1,243 | 1,339 | 1,166 | 980 |
| Scad (opelu) | 318 | 405 | 460 | 323 | 383 | 361 | 356 | 36 | 368 | 306 |
| Snappers | 378 | 391 | 342 | 269 | 308 | 357 | 369 | 178 | 380 | 422 |
| Swordfish (mekajiki) | 3,835 | 3,881 | 3,153 | 2,592 | 2,381 | 1,674 | 2,480 | 2,044 | 1,640 | 2,561 |
| Tunas (aku) | 18,295 | 14,594 | 16,706 | 18,519 | 20,147 | 20,900 | 20,296 | 22,932 | 23,507 | 25,028 |
| Wahoo (ono) | 849 | 605 | 600 | 564 | 652 | 744 | 1,056 | 993 | 1,144 | 973 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dolphinfish (mahimahi) | 2.54 | 2.22 | 2.18 | 3.03 | 3.04 | 2.73 | 2.61 | 3.03 | 3.78 | 3.62 |
| Lobsters (ula) | 12.14 | 12.37 | 12.36 | 10.39 | 11.84 | 10.71 | 10.21 | NA | 8.56 | 6.48 |
| Marlin (a'u) | 1.06 | 1.28 | 1.44 | 1.30 | 1.98 | 1.45 | 1.38 | 1.15 | 1.75 | 1.48 |
| Moonfish (opah) | 1.67 | 1.28 | 1.42 | 1.82 | 2.04 | 1.55 | 1.45 | 1.52 | NA | 1.77 |
| Pomfrets (monchong) | 2.48 | 2.20 | 2.61 | 3.39 | 2.87 | 2.25 | 1.98 | 2.15 | 3.00 | 3.35 |
| Scad (opelu) | 2.80 | 2.95 | 2.72 | 2.98 | 3.08 | 3.18 | 3.17 | 2.99 | 3.19 | 3.25 |
| Snappers | 4.54 | 4.76 | 4.92 | 5.26 | 5.65 | 5.60 | 6.03 | 6.31 | 5.98 | 6.22 |
| Swordfish (mekajiki) | 1.87 | 1.89 | 2.32 | 2.57 | 2.81 | 2.68 | 2.18 | 2.26 | 2.93 | 2.27 |
| Tunas (aku) | 3.33 | 3.27 | 3.58 | 3.60 | 4.13 | 3.91 | 3.63 | 3.56 | 3.76 | 3.49 |
| Wahoo (ono) | 2.62 | 2.77 | 2.91 | 3.20 | 3.57 | 3.19 | 2.65 | 2.34 | 2.87 | 3.15 |

[^48]2017 Economic Impacts of Hawai`i Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Private Boat | 385 | 58,767 | 16,494 | 30,404 |
|  | Shore | 708 | 87,151 | 28,424 | 50,346 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  | 1,093 | 145,918 | 44,918 | 80,750 |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | NA | Fishing Tackle | NA |
| Private Boat | 48,756 | Other Equipment | NA |
| Shore | 71,698 | Boat Expenses | NA |
| Total | 120,454 | Vehicle Expenses | NA |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | NA |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | NA |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 120,454 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Private Boat | 564 | 441 | 484 | 224 | 325 | 297 | 324 | 273 | 235 | 261 |
| Shore | 1,966 | 1,722 | 1,907 | 1,158 | 1,195 | 1,216 | 1,051 | 1,158 | 790 | 1,019 |
| Total Trips | 2,531 | 2,163 | 2,390 | 1,382 | 1,519 | 1,513 | 1,374 | 1,431 | 1,024 | 1,280 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bigeye (akule) and mackerel (opelu) scad | H | 402 | 1,102 | 840 | 662 | 608 | 889 | 899 | 1,245 | 690 | 1,172 |
|  | R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | < 1 | 4 | 7 |
| Blue marlin (a'u) | H | 11 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
|  | R | 0 | < 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $<1$ | 0 | 0 | < 1 |
| Dolphinfish (mahimahi) | H | 184 | 103 | 164 | 63 | 163 | 94 | 92 | 78 | 44 | 47 |
|  | R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | < 1 | 0 | < 1 | < 1 |
| Goatfishes | H | 468 | 712 | 270 | 173 | 158 | 873 | 537 | 1,052 | 246 | 420 |
|  | R | 6 | 7 | 18 | 13 | 13 | 3 | 22 | 15 | 16 | 18 |
| Jacks (trevallys and other jacks) | H | 277 | 123 | 140 | 99 | 110 | 144 | 156 | 170 | 112 | 115 |
|  | R | 120 | 85 | 126 | 59 | 129 | 126 | 263 | 319 | 122 | 154 |
| Skipjack tuna (aku) | H | 568 | 230 | 289 | 125 | 197 | 380 | 199 | 268 | 88 | 113 |
|  | R | 2 | 0 | 0 | $<1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | < 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Smallmouth bonefish (o'io) | H | 50 | 37 | 55 | 13 | 27 | 23 | 29 | 26 | 26 | 19 |
|  | R | 4 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 17 | 9 | 17 |
| Snappers | H | 138 | 147 | 340 | 113 | 195 | 152 | 220 | 119 | 119 | 126 |
|  | R | 7 | 24 | 25 | 14 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 9 | 14 | 10 |
| Wahoo (ono) | H | 78 | 61 | 41 | 15 | 32 | 37 | 43 | 55 | 45 | 32 |
|  | R | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | < 1 | <1 | < 1 | 0 |
| Yellowfin tuna ('ahi) | H | 461 | 198 | 302 | 141 | 182 | 150 | 220 | 292 | 85 | 82 |
|  | R | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | < 1 | 1 | < 1 | 0 |
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## 2016 Hawai'i State Economy (\% of national total)

| \#Non-Employer Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual Payroll (\$ billions) | Employee Compensation (\$ billions) | Gross State Product (\$ billions) | Commercial Fishing Location Quotient ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108,308 (0.4\%) | 32,350 (0.4\%) | 528,415 (0.4\%) | 22.9 (0.4\%) | 46.1 (0.5\%) | 85.6 | 4.33 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 9 | 7 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 12 |
|  | Receipts | 1,020 | 712 | 741 | 866 | 965 | 821 | 1,048 | 1,271 | 1,071 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 37 | 35 | 37 | 39 | 42 | 40 | 38 | 39 | 31 |
|  | Receipts | 4,394 | 3,666 | 4,124 | 3,558 | 4,086 | 3,764 | 3,727 | 4,053 | 4,025 |

Seafood Sales and Processing - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{3}$


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 14 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 660 | 727 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 46,560 | 45,051 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 1 | NA | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Employees | ds | NA | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | NA | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
|  | Employees | 478 | 475 | ds | ds | 431 | ds | ds | 452 | 425 |
|  | Payroll | 34,544 | 34,367 | ds | ds | 34,538 | ds | ds | 36,675 | 50,267 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | NA |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | NA |
|  | Payroll | 3,218 | 2,031 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | NA |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 11 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | Employees | 1,098 | 1,075 | 1,236 | 1,278 | 664 | 709 | 700 | 782 | 846 |
|  | Payroll | 89,104 | 87,833 | 109,059 | 109,134 | 54,309 | 61,651 | 66,034 | 83,408 | 115,582 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 11 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 11 |
|  | Employees | 105 | 120 | 90 | 105 | 97 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 69 |
|  | Payroll | 5,846 | 5,258 | 5,113 | 5,310 | 5,567 | 6,518 | 5,416 | 4,463 | 5,697 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 9 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | Employees | 156 | 164 | 189 | 208 | 162 | 166 | 153 | 120 | 113 |
|  | Payroll | 4,317 | 4,368 | 5,362 | 5,237 | 3,779 | 4,003 | 3,304 | 3,412 | 3,421 |

[^50]
## New England Region

- Connecticut
- Maine
- Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island


## MANAGEMENT CONTEXT

The New England Region includes Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island. Federal fisheries in this region are managed by the New England Fishery Management Council (NEFMC) and NOAA Fisheries under nine fishery management plans (FMPs). Two of these FMPs, monkfish and spiny dogfish, are developed in conjunction with the Mid-Atlantic Fisheries Management Council (MAFMC). The MAFMC is the lead council for the Spiny Dogfish FMP; the NEFMC is the lead for the Monkfish FMP.

## New England Regional FMPs

- Northeast
multi-species
- Sea scallops
- Monkfish (with the MAFMC)
- Atlantic herring
- Small mesh multi-species
- Spiny dogfish (with the MAFMC)
- Red crab
- Northeast skate complex
- Atlantic salmon

Fourteen of the stocks or stock complexes covered in these FMPs were listed as overfished in 2017: Atlantic cod (Georges Bank stock and Gulf of Maine stock), windowpane (Gulf of Maine/Georges Bank stock), witch flounder, yellowtail flounder (Cape Cod/Gulf of Maine stock, Georges Bank stock, and Southern New England/ Mid-Atlantic stock), thorny skate (Gulf of Maine stock), Atlantic halibut, Atlantic salmon, Atlantic wolffish, ocean pout, winter flounder (Southern New England stock), red hake (Southern Georges Bank/Mid-Atlantic stock, newly added to the overfished list in 2017). Bluefin tuna (Western Atlantic stock) was removed from the overfished list because the status is now unknown.

Six stocks/complexes were subject to overfishing in 2017: Atlantic cod (Georges Bank stock and Gulf of Maine stock), yellowtail flounder (Cape Cod/Gulf of Maine stock, Georges Bank stock, and Southern New England/ Mid-Atlantic stock), and red hake (Southern Georges Bank/Mid-Atlantic stock; newly added to the overfishing list in 2017). Two stocks/complexes were removed from the overfishing list in 2017: winter flounder (Georges Bank stock) and witch flounder (Northwestern Atlantic Coast stock; because the status is now unknown.

## Catch Share Programs

Two catch share programs operate in the New England Region: 1) Northeast Multispecies Sectors: Georges Bank Cod - Hook Gear (2004) and Georges Bank Cod - Fixed Gear (2007); and 2) Northeast General Category Sea Scallop Individual Fishing Quota (IFQ) Program. The landings revenues for these programs totaled more than $\$ 90.5$ million in 2016. The following are descriptions of these catch share programs and their performance.

Northeast Multispecies Sectors: This program was developed between 2004 and 2006 and included two pilot sectors that operated with an allocation of Georges Bank cod. The program was expanded in 2010 to 17 sectors, and approximately 55\% of eligible, limited-access permit holders joined a sector. At the same time, annual catch limits were implemented for the first time and sharply reduced the available quota for fishermen. The 2016 key performance indicators of the program show that relative to the baseline period (the 3-year period prior to implementation), quota, landings, the number of active vessels, and inflation-adjusted landings revenue decreased, while inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel increased.

## Northeast General Category Sea Scallop IFQ Pro-

gram: This program began in 2010 with two primary objectives: 1) Control capacity and mortality in the General Category Scallop fishery; and 2) Allow better and timelier integration of sea scallop assessment results in management. The 2016 key performance indicators of the program show that relative to the baseline period, landings and the number of active vessels decreased, while quota, inflation-adjusted landings revenue, and inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel increased.

## Policy Updates

In March of 2017, under Framework Adjustment 28 to the Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery Management Plan developed by the New England Fishery Management Council, specifications were set for the 2017 fishing year which included the opening of two areas to rotational harvest: the "Nantucket Lightship Closed Area" and the "Closed Area II". ${ }^{1}$

In April 2016, NMFS issued a final rule to implement various recreational fishing management recommendations

[^51]made in Framework 55 of the Multispecies Fishery Management Plan. In particular, the final rule established a one fish per day possession limit for Gulf of Maine Atlantic cod. This final rule included a minimum size of 24 inches and a seasonal limit of August 1 to September 30. Prior to this ruling, there was a year-round prohibition on recreational Gulf of Maine cod fishing due to the 2015 stock assessment for Gulf of Maine cod finding the stock to be overfished and experiencing overfishing. In July 2017, however, NMFS prohibited anglers from retaining cod, reduced the haddock bag limit, and also implemented a new closed season for haddock because the measures currently in place for these species were not expected to constrain fishing year 2017 catch to the sub-ACLs.

Also in 2017, Framework Adjustment 56 to the Northeast Multispecies Fisheries Management Plan was implemented, which set catch limits for 4 of 20 stocks and adjusted other allocations and accountability measures. Framework 10 to the Monkfish Plan set specifications through fishing year 2019. The Atlantic deep-sea red crab specifications were also set for the 2017 fishing year.

## COMMERCIAL FISHERIES NEW ENGLAND REGION

In this report, commercial fisheries refer to fishing operations that sell their catch for profit. The term does not include subsistence fishermen or saltwater anglers who fish for sport. It also excludes the for-hire sector, which earns its revenue from selling recreational fishing trips to saltwater anglers. The commercial fisheries section reports on economic impacts, landings revenue, landings, and ex-vessel prices of key species/species groups.

## Key New England Region Commercial Species

- American lobster
- Flounders
- Atlantic herring
- Atlantic mackerel
- Goosefish
- Quahog clam
- Bluefin tuna
- Cod and haddock
- Sea scallop
- Squid


## Economic Impacts

The premise behind economic impact modeling is that every dollar spent in a regional economy (direct impact)
is either saved or re-spent on additional goods or services. If those dollars are re-spent on other goods and services in the regional economy, this spending generates additional economic activity in the region. ${ }^{2}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how commercial fisheries landings affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as commercial fishing. The category includes both the direct sales of fish landed and sales made between businesses and households resulting from the original sale. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the sales of seafood or purchases of inputs to commercial fishing. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in numbers of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. The United States seafood industry is defined here as the commercial fishing sector, seafood processors and dealers, seafood wholesalers and distributors, importers, and seafood retailers. ${ }^{3}$

This report provides estimates of total economic impacts for the nation and for each of the 23 coastal states. Total economic impacts for each state and the nation represent the sum of direct impacts; indirect impacts (in this case, the impact from suppliers to the seafood industry); and induced impacts (spending by employees on personal and household expenditures, where employees of both the seafood industry and its full supply chain are included). That is, the total economic impact estimates reported here measure jobs, sales, value-added, and income impacts from the seafood industry as well as the economic activity generated throughout each region's broader economy from this industry.

In 2017, the commercial fishing and seafood industry in Massachusetts generated the largest employment impacts in the New England region with 127,563 full- and part-time jobs. Massachusetts also generated the largest sales impacts (\$14.1 billion), value-added impacts (\$5.4

[^52]billion), and income impacts (\$3.4 billion).

## Landings Trends

New England landings revenue was down $\$ 65.1$ million in 2017 from the previous year, with lobster (down $\$ 118.3$ million) and squid (down $\$ 10.3$ million) comprising the majority of this decrease. The lobster fishery is New England's largest fishery in terms of landings revenue. In 2016 it reached a maximum of $\$ 666.9$ million over the 10-year period (2008-2017). In 2017, this fishery experienced its first landings revenue decline since 2009, falling $18 \%$ from 2016 levels. This decline was due to a large decline in landings (19\%) in Maine that caused landings revenue to decline $22 \%$. Despite the 2017 decline, landings revenue is $73 \%$ higher than it was in 2008. This is due to a $53 \%$ increase in landings during this period.

Lobster prices were off 2016 levels by only $1 \%$, declining from $\$ 4.20 /$ pound to $\$ 4.15 /$ pound. Strong demand has kept prices well above the 10 -year average (up $12 \%$ ). The higher landings trend is due to record abundance levels of Gulf of Maine lobsters, which have comprised between 85$90 \%$ of landings in recent years. Indeed, average annual landings in the past five years are more than three times the average annual landings for the previous 60 years. On average, Maine has accounted for 80\% of New England's lobster landings revenue since 2007.

Sea scallop landings value was up 21\% from 2016 (from $\$ 304.8$ million to $\$ 368.6$ million). Landings were up $46 \%$, reversing a downward trend from 2012 to 2016. Sea scallop landings had declined primarily due to a $35 \%$ reduction in the catch limit that was implemented in 2012 to protect young sea scallops and prevent localized overfishing. In 2017, two scallop fishing areas that were previously closed were opened to fishing: 1) the "Nantucket Lightship Closed Area" and the "Closed Area II," which are off the coasts of Massachusetts and Rhode Island. Increased landings accounted for the value increase since prices declined by $17 \%$ from $\$ 12.27 /$ pound in 2016 to $\$ 10.19 /$ pound in 2017. Sea scallop landings in Rhode Island increased nearly three-fold between 2016 and 2017. Despite the $14 \%$ price decrease, Rhode Island's sea scallop landings value increased by $131 \%$.

Rhode Island is the center of the New England squid
fishery, which comprised 28\% of Rhode Island's total landings revenue in 2017. Overall, squid landings in New England decreased 9\% from 2016 to 2017. Prices were down $17 \%$ from $\$ 1.06 /$ pound in 2016 to $\$ 0.88$ in 2017. While landings were up slightly in Rhode Island (3\%), the $18 \%$ decline in squid prices accounted for Rhode Island's $17 \%$ decline in landings value. Squid price declines may have been driven by the increase in global production of squid, which ticked up $7 \%$ from 2016 levels.

Massachusetts' two largest fisheries are American lobster and sea scallops. Lobster landings value remained essentially unchanged while sea scallop values increased $17 \%$ due to the opening of nearby sea scallop areas.

## Landings Revenue

In 2017, landings revenue in New England totaled $\$ 1.3$ billion, a 57\% increase from 2008 (a 37\% increase in real terms after adjusting for inflation) and a 5\% decrease from 2016. Landings revenue was highest in Massachusetts ( $\$ 605.3$ million), followed by Maine ( $\$ 511.3$ million).

Shellfish landings revenue accounted for $85 \%$ of all landings revenue. In 2017, American lobster (\$548.6 million), sea scallop ( $\$ 368.6$ million), and squid ( $\$ 31.5$ million) had the highest landings revenue in this region. Together, these top three species accounted for $75 \%$ of total landings revenue.

From 2008 to 2017, bluefin tuna ( $152 \%, 120 \%$ in real terms), sea scallop ( $81 \%, 58 \%$ in real terms), and American lobster ( $73 \%, 51 \%$ in real terms) had the largest increases, while cod and haddock ( $-65 \%,-70 \%$ in real terms), Atlantic mackerel ( $-36 \%,-44 \%$ in real terms), and goosefish ( $-23 \%,-33 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, sea scallop (21\%), Atlantic mackerel ( $7 \%$ ), and goosefish ( $2 \%$ ) had the largest increases, while squid ( $-25 \%$ ), bluefin tuna ( $-21 \%$ ), and American lobster ( $-18 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

## Commercial Revenue: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Bluefin tuna ( $152 \%, 120 \%$ in real terms)
- Sea scallop ( $81 \%, 58 \%$ in real terms)
- American lobster (73\%, 51\% in real terms)

From 2016:

- Sea scallop (21\%)
- Atlantic mackerel (7\%)
- Goosefish (2\%)


## Commercial Revenue: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Cod and haddock (-65\%, -70\% in real terms)
- Atlantic mackerel (-36\%, $-44 \%$ in real terms)
- Goosefish ( $-23 \%,-33 \%$ in real terms)

From 2016:

- Squid (-25\%)
- Bluefin tuna (-21\%)
- American lobster (-18\%)


## Landings

In 2017, New England Region commercial fishermen landed over 555.7 million pounds of finfish and shellfish. This represents an 8\% decrease from 2008 and a 7\% decrease from 2016. American lobster contributed the highest landings volume in the region, accounting for $24 \%$ of total landing weight.

From 2008 to 2017, bluefin tuna (221\%), American lobster (53\%), and sea scallop (25\%) had the largest increases, while Atlantic mackerel (-68\%), cod and haddock ( $-58 \%$ ), and Atlantic herring ( $-38 \%$ ) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, sea scallop (46\%), goosefish (32\%), and flounders (10\%) had the largest increases, while Atlantic herring (-23\%), American lobster (-17\%), and bluefin tuna (-14\%) had the largest decreases.

## Commercial Landings: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Bluefin tuna (221\%)
- American lobster (53\%)
- Sea scallop (25\%)

From 2016:

- Sea scallop (46\%)
- Goosefish (32\%)
- Flounders (10\%)


## Commercial Landings: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Atlantic mackerel (-68\%)
- Cod and haddock (-58\%)
- Atlantic herring (-38\%)

From 2016:

- Atlantic herring (-23\%)
- American lobster (-17\%)
- Bluefin tuna (-14\%)


## Prices

In 2017, sea scallop ( $\$ 10.19$ per pound) received the highest ex-vessel price in the region. Landings of Atlantic herring ( $\$ 0.25$ per pound) had the lowest ex-vessel price. From 2008 to 2017, Atlantic herring (108\%, 81\% in real terms), Atlantic mackerel ( $101 \%, 75 \%$ in real terms), and quahog clam (49\%, 30\% in real terms) had the largest increases, while goosefish ( $-35 \%,-44 \%$ in real terms), bluefin tuna ( $-21 \%,-31 \%$ in real terms), and cod and haddock ( $-18 \%,-28 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, Atlantic herring (20\%), Atlantic mackerel (6\%), and quahog clam (3\%) had the largest increases, while goosefish (-23\%), squid (-17\%), and sea scallop ( $-17 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

## RECREATIONAL FISHERIES NEW ENGLAND REGION

In this report, recreational fishing refers to fishing for leisure rather than to sell fish (commercial fishing) or for subsistence. This recreational fisheries section reports on economic impacts and expenditures, angler participation, fishing trips, and catch of key species/species groups. ${ }^{4}$

[^53]
## Key New England Recreational Species ${ }^{5}$

- Atlantic cod
- Atlantic mackerel
- Bluefin tuna
- Bluefish
- Little tunny
- Porgies (scup)
- Striped bass
- Summer flounder
- Winter flounder
- Wrasses (tautog)


## Economic Impacts and Expenditures

The economic contribution of recreational fishing activities in the New England Region is based on spending by recreational anglers. ${ }^{6}$ Total annual trip expenditures are estimated at the state level by multiplying mean trip expenditures by the estimated number of adult trips in each trip mode (for-hire, private boat, and shore) and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. Total annual durable expenditures are estimated by multiplying mean durable expenditures in each state by the estimated annual number of adult participants for each state and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. ${ }^{7}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how angler expenditures affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as recreational fishing. The category includes both the direct sales made to the angler and sales made between businesses and households resulting from that original sale. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the purchases made by anglers. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in number of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. NOAA Fisheries uses a regional impact modeling software, called IMPLAN, to estimate these four types of impacts.

The economic contributions for both trip and durable expenditures from recreational fishing in 2017 were
estimated using IMPLAN version 3, with base year data from 2017. Models for each state and for the nation were created in IMPLAN using trip expenditures (based on 2016/2017 survey data on average trip expenditures and total 2017 trips) and for durable expenditures (based on 2014 survey data on average durable expenditures and 2017 participants).

The greatest employment impacts from expenditures on saltwater recreational fishing in the New England Region were generated in Massachusetts ( 8,469 jobs), followed by Connecticut (5,259 jobs) and Rhode Island (4,046 jobs).The largest sales impacts were observed in Massachusetts ( $\$ 1$ billion), followed by Connecticut (\$608.2 million) and Rhode Island ( $\$ 419$ million). The biggest income impacts were generated in Massachusetts (\$466.1 million), followed by Connecticut ( $\$ 264.1$ million) and Rhode Island ( $\$ 177.9$ million). The greatest value-added impacts were in Massachusetts ( $\$ 686.5$ million), followed by Connecticut ( $\$ 424.9$ million) and Rhode Island ( $\$ 276.4$ million).

Expenditures for fishing trips and durable equipment across the New England Region in 2017 totaled \$2.1 billion. This total included $\$ 1.6$ billion in durable goods expenditures, with the largest portion coming from boat expenses (\$955.4 million).

## Participation

In 2017, there were 968,664 recreational anglers who fished in the New England Region. This number represented a 39\% decrease from 2008 and a 19\% decrease from 2016. The anglers are categorized as either residents from coastal (95\%) or non-coastal (5\%) counties.

## Fishing Trips

In 2017, recreational fishermen took 16.7 million fishing trips in the New England Region. This number represented a $27 \%$ decrease from 2008 and a 4\% decrease from 2016. The largest proportions of trips were taken in the shore mode (59\%) and private boat mode (39\%). States with the highest number of recorded trips in the New England Region were Massachusetts ( 7.8 million trips) and Connecticut ( 3.9 million trips).

[^54]
## Harvest and Release Trends

Of the New England Region's key species and species groups, striped bass ( 24.1 million fish), Atlantic mackerel ( 20.5 million fish), and porgies (scup) ( 15.2 million fish), were most frequently caught by recreational fishermen. The text box below shows the species with the largest percentage increases and decreases in the past 10 years and in the past year.

## Harvest and Release: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Little tunny (631\%)
- Bluefin tuna (545\%)
- Wrasses (tautog) (185\%)

From 2016:

- Bluefin tuna (255\%)
- Wrasses (tautog) (27\%)
- Winter flounder (19\%)


## Harvest and Release: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Bluefish (-44\%)
- Summer flounder (-38\%)
- Winter flounder (-14\%)

From 2016:

- Little tunny (-65\%)
- Summer flounder (-24\%)
- Bluefish (-3\%)

From 2008 to 2017, little tunny (631\%), bluefin tuna (545\%), and wrasses (tautog) (185\%) had the largest increases, while bluefish (-44\%), summer flounder (-38\%), and winter flounder ( $-14 \%$ ) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, bluefin tuna (255\%), wrasses (tautog) ( $27 \%$ ), and winter flounder (19\%) had the largest increases, while little tunny (-65\%), summer flounder (-24\%), and bluefish ( $-3 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

The standard coastwide recreational possession limit for Atlantic striped bass of one fish, 28 inches or longer
has remained unchanged since it was established by the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC) in 2015. ${ }^{8,9}$ From 2016 to 2017, there was a 95\% increase in the total amount harvested and released combined. This represents a $55 \%$ increase in the total amount of striped bass harvested and released since 2008. Between 2016 and 2017, there was a $17 \%$ increase in the total amount of cod from both Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank harvested and released combined. ${ }^{10}$

## MARINE ECONOMY - NEW ENGLAND REGION

For this report, the marine economy refers to the economic activity generated by fishing and marine-related industries in a coastal state. The national marine economy consists of two industry sectors: 1) seafood sales and processing (employer establishments and non-employer firms); and 2) transport, support, and marine operations (employer establishments). These sectors include several different marine-related industries. ${ }^{11,12}$

To measure the size of the commercial fishing sector in a state's economy relative to the size of the commercial fishing sector in the national economy, researchers use an index called the Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ). ${ }^{13,14}$ The CFLQ is calculated as the ratio of the percentage of regional employment in the commercial fishing sector relative to the percentage of national employment in the commercial fishing sector. The United States CFLQ is 1 . If a state CFLQ is less than 1 , then less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. If a state CFLQ is greater than 1 , then more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics suppressed the CFLQ value for Connecticut, Massachusetts, and New Hampshire for 2016. Of the remaining states, Maine had the highest CFLQ at 21.54. Rhode Island had a CFLQ value of 3.59.

In 2016, 374,778 employer establishments operated throughout the entire New England Region (including

[^55]marine and non-marine related establishments). These establishments employed 6.3 million workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 370.1$ billion. The combined gross state product of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island, was approximately $\$ 964.3$ billion in 2016.

## Seafood Sales and Processing

Seafood Product Preparation and Packaging: In 2016, the New England Region had 101 non-employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector. Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 11.3$ million. ${ }^{15}$ There were 72 employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (a $21 \%$ decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 2,485 workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 127.6$ million. ${ }^{15}$ The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in Massachusetts (112), followed by Maine (85) and Connecticut (22).

Seafood Sales, Retail: In 2016, there were 148 non-employer firms engaged in retail sales of seafood in the states that make up the New England Region (a 13\% decrease from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 18.6$ million (a $17 \%$ decrease in real terms from 2008). There were 231 employer firms in the retail sales of seafood sector (a $2 \%$ decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 1,338 workers (a $24 \%$ increase from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 44.1$ million (a $38 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in Massachusetts (260), followed by Maine (165) and Connecticut (97).

Seafood Sales, Wholesale: There were 331 employer firms in the wholesale sales of seafood sector in the New England Region in 2016 (an 11\% decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 3,456 workers (a 9\% increase from 2008), and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 188.8$ million (a $31 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in Maine (150), followed by Massachusetts (128) and Rhode Island (26).

## Transport, Support, and Marine Operations

Data for the transport, support, and marine operations sector of New England Region's economy were largely suppressed for confidentiality reasons. It is clear, however, that these sectors play an important role in the regional economy. For example, in 2016, the ship and boat building sector in the New England Region accounted for $\$ 496.9$ million in payroll (in real terms from 2008). ${ }^{16}$

[^56]
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New England Region | Commercial Fisheries
2017 Economic Impacts of the New England Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)


Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 808,816 | $\mathbf{7 8 7 , 2 0 6}$ | 958,085 | $1,103,176$ | $1,192,359$ | $1,161,230$ | $1,198,744$ | $1,243,401$ | $1,331,209$ | $1,266,062$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 190,526 | 176,399 | 187,840 | 212,020 | 242,701 | 204,278 | 193,265 | 182,958 | 189,670 | 184,158 |
| Shellfish | 618,290 | 610,806 | 770,245 | 891,156 | 949,658 | 956,953 | $1,005,479$ | $1,060,443$ | $1,141,539$ | $1,081,904$ |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| American lobster | 317,909 | 305,195 | 397,818 | 418,105 | 426,223 | 456,654 | 563,341 | 618,839 | 666,917 | 548,635 |
| Atlantic herring | 20,507 | 24,459 | 20,686 | 24,751 | 28,548 | 31,365 | 27,947 | 24,279 | 28,613 | 26,566 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 5,265 | 7,892 | 3,458 | 294 | 3,480 | 1,738 | 3,111 | 3,355 | 3,149 | 3,364 |
| Bluefin tuna | 2,993 | 4,448 | 8,470 | 9,258 | 8,388 | 3,649 | 6,114 | 7,723 | 9,599 | 7,554 |
| Cod and had- | 47,166 | 38,745 | 49,698 | 48,745 | 29,666 | 16,278 | 20,307 | 18,898 | 19,189 | 16,368 |
| dock | 30,654 | 27,286 | 27,684 | 30,851 | 35,148 | 32,092 | 30,609 | 28,103 | 26,569 | 25,343 |
| Flounders | 19,945 | 14,321 | 14,064 | 19,791 | 19,675 | 13,575 | 14,101 | 14,628 | 15,041 | 15,305 |
| Goosefish | 8,901 | 9,002 | 9,713 | 8,316 | 9,276 | 9,075 | 9,973 | 11,286 | 11,935 | 11,332 |
| Quahog clam | 203,124 | 209,168 | 265,531 | 352,642 | 389,597 | 366,294 | 297,797 | 287,480 | 304,708 | 368,573 |
| Sea scallop | 20,848 | 16,696 | 14,788 | 22,889 | 18,187 | 15,547 | 21,411 | 24,263 | 41,859 | 31,539 |
| Squid | 19,848 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 602,950 | 648,988 | 581,193 | 612,922 | 664,960 | 634,600 | 647,779 | 599,191 | 596,382 | 555,661 |
| Finfish \& Other | 400,732 | 422,141 | 335,009 | 357,143 | 380,272 | 358,036 | 376,458 | 333,879 | 298,437 | 271,279 |
| Shellfish | 202,219 | 226,848 | 246,184 | 255,779 | 284,688 | 276,564 | 271,321 | 265,312 | 297,945 | 284,382 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| American lobster | 86,229 | 99,199 | 116,035 | 125,212 | 149,132 | 149,276 | 147,190 | 146,379 | 158,746 | 132,351 |
| Atlantic herring | 167,709 | 210,784 | 140,759 | 174,287 | 190,554 | 203,673 | 197,908 | 171,779 | 135,156 | 104,673 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 38,359 | 39,398 | 16,904 | 913 | 9,680 | 9,049 | 12,934 | 10,140 | 12,080 | 12,208 |
| Bluefin tuna | 447 | 772 | 1,201 | 1,085 | 914 | 523 | 971 | 1,502 | 1,663 | 1,437 |
| Cod and had- | 33,122 | 32,470 | 39,249 | 30,089 | 14,649 | 9,037 | 15,133 | 15,257 | 14,237 | 13,947 |
| dock | 15,501 | 16,232 | 14,530 | 17,913 | 18,349 | 16,320 | 14,270 | 12,304 | 8,866 | 9,784 |
| Flounders | 15,503 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goosefish | 17,757 | 14,256 | 12,378 | 14,699 | 16,406 | 14,320 | 14,557 | 15,272 | 15,983 | 21,083 |
| Quahog clam | 1,468 | 1,628 | 1,782 | 1,513 | 1,570 | 1,558 | 1,542 | 1,424 | 1,357 | 1,252 |
| Sea scallop | 28,867 | 31,604 | 32,888 | 35,286 | 39,212 | 32,093 | 23,490 | 23,343 | 24,833 | 36,168 |
| Squid | 28,615 | 28,014 | 21,722 | 27,909 | 16,153 | 14,575 | 28,781 | 23,698 | 39,376 | 35,851 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American lobster | 3.69 | 3.08 | 3.43 | 3.34 | 2.86 | 3.06 | 3.83 | 4.23 | 4.20 | 4.15 |
| Atlantic herring | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.25 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.28 |
| Bluefin tuna | 6.69 | 5.76 | 7.05 | 8.54 | 9.18 | 6.98 | 6.29 | 5.14 | 5.77 | 5.26 |
| Cod and haddock | 1.42 | 1.19 | 1.27 | 1.62 | 2.03 | 1.80 | 1.34 | 1.24 | 1.35 | 1.17 |
| Flounders | 1.98 | 1.68 | 1.91 | 1.72 | 1.92 | 1.97 | 2.15 | 2.28 | 3.00 | 2.59 |
| Goosefish | 1.12 | 1.00 | 1.14 | 1.35 | 1.20 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.73 |
| Quahog clam | 6.06 | 5.53 | 5.45 | 5.50 | 5.91 | 5.82 | 6.47 | 7.93 | 8.80 | 9.05 |
| Sea scallop | 7.04 | 6.62 | 8.07 | 9.99 | 9.94 | 11.41 | 12.68 | 12.32 | 12.27 | 10.19 |
| Squid | 0.69 | 0.60 | 0.68 | 0.82 | 1.13 | 1.07 | 0.74 | 1.02 | 1.06 | 0.88 |


| 2017 Economic Impacts of the New England Recreational | Fishing | Expenditures (thousands of dollars, trips) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Trips | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Connecticut | 3,937 | 5,259 | 608,157 | 264,087 | 424,856 |
| Maine | 1,748 | 1,616 | 160,121 | 60,337 | 98,136 |
| Massachusetts | 7,775 | 8,469 | $1,005,025$ | 466,082 | 686,460 |
| New Hampshire | 972 | 497 | 49,485 | 21,013 | 32,886 |
| Rhode Island | 2,318 | 4,046 | 418,996 | 177,906 | 276,443 |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 48,387 | Fishing Tackle | 355,465 |
| Private Boat | 282,747 | Other Equipment | 123,882 |
| Shore | 234,888 | Boat Expenses | 955,413 |
| Total | 566,023 | Vehicle Expenses | 115,221 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 1,387 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 1,551,366 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 2,117,389 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 1,389 | 1,222 | 1,317 | 1,156 | 1,171 | 1,043 | 1,080 | 924 | 1,104 | 916 |
| Non-Coastal | 187 | 165 | 169 | 131 | 144 | 100 | 99 | 95 | 94 | 53 |
| Total Anglers | 1,576 | 1,387 | 1,486 | 1,288 | 1,316 | 1,143 | 1,179 | 1,018 | 1,198 | 969 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 543 | 490 | 322 | 380 | 374 | 515 | 488 | 348 | 237 | 362 |
| Private | 8,006 | 8,331 | 8,982 | 8,888 | 8,347 | 7,962 | 7,552 | 7,017 | 6,625 | 6,580 |
| Shore | 14,553 | 15,053 | 15,550 | 14,004 | 13,818 | 11,272 | 10,690 | 9,581 | 10,620 | 9,808 |
| Total Trips | 23,102 | 23,874 | 24,855 | 23,271 | 22,538 | 19,749 | 18,730 | 16,945 | 17,482 | 16,750 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic cod | H | 688 | 726 | 957 | 967 | 690 | 842 | 408 | 59 | 167 | 87 |
|  | R | 1,505 | 1,670 | 2,350 | 1,684 | 991 | 1,799 | 1,168 | 1,074 | 1,787 | 2,200 |
| Atlantic mackerel | H | 9,149 | 6,150 | 16,156 | 15,554 | 10,443 | 9,986 | 8,440 | 15,579 | 16,577 | 17,361 |
|  | R | 1,629 | 1,080 | 1,447 | 1,867 | 1,456 | 716 | 1,253 | 3,194 | 2,027 | 3,155 |
| Bluefin tuna | H | 9 | 15 | 2 | 6 | 12 | < 1 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 14 |
|  | R | 1 | 7 | $<1$ | 11 | 5 | $<1$ | < 1 | 7 | 7 | 55 |
| Bluefish | H | 2,165 | 1,658 | 3,279 | 1,799 | 4,744 | 5,720 | 2,383 | 1,293 | 1,676 | 1,599 |
|  | R | 4,946 | 4,247 | 4,809 | 5,033 | 4,819 | 5,304 | 4,215 | 2,781 | 2,464 | 2,407 |
| Little tunny | H | < 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 54 | 70 | 28 |
|  | R | 42 | 95 | 42 | 85 | 202 | 26 | 1,034 | 159 | 811 | 285 |
| Porgies (scup) | H | 3,196 | 2,950 | 5,405 | 5,261 | 5,421 | 8,170 | 6,655 | 4,394 | 4,693 | 5,190 |
|  | R | 7,546 | 7,890 | 9,386 | 7,161 | 8,249 | 7,298 | 6,481 | 5,325 | 9,253 | 9,969 |
| Striped bass | H | 865 | 1,097 | 1,199 | 1,270 | 1,347 | 1,373 | 930 | 718 | 454 | 606 |
|  | R | 14,690 | 10,285 | 7,808 | 6,872 | 6,635 | 10,837 | 8,942 | 8,971 | 11,905 | 23,539 |
| Summer flounder | H | 735 | 281 | 568 | 663 | 592 | 844 | 878 | 686 | 556 | 343 |
|  | R | 2,571 | 1,566 | 1,854 | 3,143 | 2,138 | 2,765 | 3,101 | 1,947 | 2,153 | 1,705 |
| Winter flounder | H | 373 | 345 | 287 | 431 | 162 | 115 | 178 | 194 | 83 | 317 |
|  | R | 150 | 338 | 187 | 305 | 73 | 53 | 134 | 214 | 296 | 133 |
| Wrasses (tautog) | H | 605 | 820 | 798 | 294 | 849 | 1,087 | 1,199 | 873 | 730 | 995 |
|  | R | 1,115 | 1,513 | 1,488 | 1,369 | 2,481 | 3,081 | 5,498 | 3,045 | 3,124 | 3,906 |

[^57]
## Tables | Connecticut



## Connecticut | Commercial Fisheries

2017 Economic Impacts of the Connecticut Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 5,295 | 1,087,408 | 218,948 | 371,531 | 721 | 48,482 | 16,617 | 23,166 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 360 | 24,325 | 6,672 | 10,280 | 360 | 24,325 | 6,672 | 10,280 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 197 | 23,210 | 8,864 | 11,458 | 45 | 5,265 | 2,011 | 2,599 |
| Importers | 2,797 | 880,861 | 141,175 | 268,525 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 389 | 70,767 | 23,163 | 31,122 | 14 | 2,559 | 838 | 1,125 |
| Retail | 1,552 | 88,245 | 39,074 | 50,146 | 303 | 16,332 | 7,097 | 9,161 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 17,206 | 15,007 | 16,095 | 20,031 | 21,128 | 14,629 | $\mathbf{1 4 , 1 4 3}$ | 15,791 | 15,014 | 13,717 |
| Finfish \& Other | 3,962 | 3,108 | 3,698 | 4,818 | 5,467 | 5,122 | 4,428 | 5,411 | 4,475 | 4,051 |
| Shellfish | 13,243 | 11,899 | 12,397 | 15,213 | 15,662 | 9,507 | 9,715 | 10,380 | 10,539 | 9,666 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| American lobster | 2,102 | 1,763 | 1,894 | 943 | 1,057 | 577 | 608 | 1,073 | 1,298 | 700 |
| Goosefish | 551 | 591 | 564 | 976 | 1,040 | 1,022 | 510 | 680 | 467 | 360 |
| Loligo squid | 546 | 260 | 473 | 694 | 1,861 | 1,257 | 1,354 | 1,631 | 2,199 | 996 |
| Other flounders | 172 | 87 | 42 | 33 | 65 | 184 | 89 | 164 | 250 | 171 |
| Red hake | 181 | 137 | 76 | 89 | 88 | 115 | 104 | 112 | 109 | 88 |
| Scups or porgies | 383 | 196 | 272 | 408 | 837 | 705 | 573 | 820 | 779 | 565 |
| Sea scallop | 10,032 | 8,952 | 9,458 | 13,007 | 12,005 | 7,220 | 7,219 | 7,039 | 5,881 | 7,204 |
| Silver hake | 1,436 | 1,011 | 1,341 | 1,617 | 1,380 | 1,301 | 1,586 | 1,164 | 917 | 647 |
| Summer flounder | 680 | 649 | 850 | 1,005 | 940 | 902 | 921 | 1,078 | 808 | 673 |
| Whelks and conchs | 453 | 796 | 449 | 159 | 616 | 295 | 336 | 487 | 997 | 586 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 7,131 | 6,568 | 6,698 | 7,403 | 8,940 | 7,957 | 7,523 | 9,390 | 12,149 | 10,118 |
| Finfish \& Other | 4,520 | 4,155 | 4,409 | 5,218 | 5,756 | 5,874 | 5,221 | 7,110 | 9,235 | 8,251 |
| Shellfish | 2,611 | 2,414 | 2,288 | 2,186 | 3,184 | 2,082 | 2,302 | 2,280 | 2,914 | 1,867 |


| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American lobster | 426 | 412 | 442 | 199 | 248 | 127 | 127 | 205 | 254 | 126 |
| Goosefish | 424 | 546 | 358 | 630 | 765 | 967 | 493 | 605 | 431 | 398 |
| Loligo squid | 523 | 256 | 366 | 498 | 1,518 | 1,098 | 1,318 | 1,317 | 1,823 | 650 |
| Other flounders | 88 | 58 | 26 | 27 | 40 | 142 | 60 | 86 | 108 | 76 |
| Red hake | 284 | 310 | 176 | 158 | 185 | 173 | 167 | 146 | 164 | 133 |
| Scups or porgies | 282 | 204 | 324 | 644 | 907 | 1,195 | 811 | 983 | 942 | 752 |
| Sea scallop | 1,407 | 1,386 | 1,260 | 1,318 | 1,231 | 640 | 609 | 577 | 530 | 777 |
| Silver hake | 2,178 | 1,881 | 1,973 | 2,041 | 1,848 | 1,647 | 2,037 | 1,320 | 948 | 746 |
| Summer flounder | 221 | 251 | 308 | 401 | 315 | 284 | 253 | 287 | 191 | 134 |
| Whelks and conchs | 174 | 229 | 113 | 28 | 91 | 81 | 98 | 81 | 211 | 221 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American lobster | 4.93 | 4.27 | 4.29 | 4.74 | 4.26 | 4.53 | 4.78 | 5.23 | 5.10 | 5.55 |
| Goosefish | 1.30 | 1.08 | 1.58 | 1.55 | 1.36 | 1.06 | 1.04 | 1.12 | 1.08 | 0.90 |
| Loligo squid | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.29 | 1.39 | 1.23 | 1.15 | 1.03 | 1.24 | 1.21 | 1.53 |
| Other flounders | 1.96 | 1.50 | 1.60 | 1.23 | 1.60 | 1.29 | 1.49 | 1.91 | 2.33 | 2.27 |
| Red hake | 0.64 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.56 | 0.47 | 0.66 | 0.62 | 0.77 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| Scups or porgies | 1.36 | 0.96 | 0.84 | 0.63 | 0.92 | 0.59 | 0.71 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.75 |
| Sea scallop | 7.13 | 6.46 | 7.51 | 9.87 | 9.75 | 11.29 | 11.85 | 12.20 | 11.09 | 9.27 |
| Silver hake | 0.66 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.97 | 0.87 |
| Summer flounder | 3.08 | 2.59 | 2.76 | 2.50 | 2.98 | 3.18 | 3.63 | 3.76 | 4.23 | 5.02 |
| Whelks and conchs | 2.61 | 3.47 | 3.98 | 5.63 | 6.75 | 3.65 | 3.43 | 6.04 | 4.72 | 2.65 |

2017 Economic Impacts of Connecticut Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 55 | 5,980 | 2,351 | 3,870 |
|  | Private Boat | 354 | 44,460 | 18,667 | 33,756 |
|  | Shore | 504 | 55,727 | 24,083 | 44,181 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 4,346 | 501,990 | 218,986 | 343,049 |
| Total State Economic Impacts | 5,259 | 608,157 | 264,087 | 424,856 |  |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 4,111 | Fishing Tackle | 99,129 |
| Private Boat | 53,082 | Other Equipment | 26,715 |
| Shore | 54,264 | Boat Expenses | 294,314 |
| Total | 111,457 | Vehicle Expenses | 21,345 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 0 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 441,504 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 552,961 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 381 | 438 | 402 | 420 | 397 | 198 | 209 | 252 | 297 | $\mathbf{2 9 6}$ |
| Non-Coastal | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Out-of-State | 123 | 93 | 112 | 98 | 67 | 43 | 64 | 57 | 88 | 102 |
| Total Anglers | 504 | 531 | 514 | 518 | 464 | 240 | 273 | 309 | 385 | 398 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 73 | 43 | 42 | 45 | 27 | 64 | 62 | 77 | 38 | 36 |
| Private | 1,763 | 1,567 | 1,807 | 1,688 | 1,776 | 1,730 | 1,693 | 1,576 | 1,629 | 1,337 |
| Shore | 1,733 | 1,777 | 1,847 | 1,746 | 1,931 | 1,712 | 1,885 | 2,192 | 2,563 | 2,565 |
| Total Trips | 3,569 | 3,388 | 3,696 | 3,479 | 3,734 | 3,506 | 3,641 | 3,844 | 4,230 | 3,937 |


| Atlantic cod |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H | NA | NA | NA | NA | 2 | NA | NA | NA | 19 | 2 |
|  | R | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA | NA | 12 | < 1 |
| Bluefish | H | 833 | 564 | 1,482 | 697 | 1,399 | 3,476 | 1,179 | 501 | 554 | 584 |
|  | R | 1,599 | 654 | 1,552 | 1,958 | 1,495 | 1,594 | 1,062 | 890 | 818 | 1,763 |
| Hickory shad | H | 0 | 0 | 4 | 65 | 61 | 15 | 92 | 0 | 36 | 19 |
|  | R | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 29 | 7 | 40 | 22 |
| Little tunny | H | NA | 0 | 2 | 0 | < 1 | NA | 2 | 0 | < 1 | 14 |
|  | R | NA | 68 | 15 | 20 | 105 | NA | 17 | 3 | 45 | 50 |
| Porgies (scup) | H | 735 | 767 | 2,217 | 1,940 | 1,840 | 1,879 | 1,189 | 1,198 | 1,352 | 1,694 |
|  | R | 1,662 | 2,484 | 2,305 | 1,170 | 2,052 | 2,775 | 2,729 | 1,814 | 3,288 | 4,650 |
| Striped bass | H | 133 | 100 | 170 | 91 | 137 | 270 | 132 | 141 | 63 | 95 |
|  | R | 5,063 | 2,427 | 1,416 | 1,571 | 892 | 2,312 | 740 | 1,761 | 1,208 | 4,993 |
| Summer flounder | H | 188 | 62 | 73 | 99 | 135 | 529 | 281 | 252 | 338 | 121 |
|  | R | 1,248 | 614 | 801 | 778 | 650 | 1,684 | 1,544 | 1,075 | 1,409 | 811 |
| White perch | H | 8 | 135 | NA | 0 | 50 | 0 | 9 | < 1 | 22 | 114 |
|  | R | 87 | 144 | NA | 2 | 115 | 6 | 26 | < 1 | 29 | 5 |
| Winter flounder | H | NA | 20 | 39 | 44 | 52 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 1 | $<1$ |
|  | R | NA | 9 | 33 | 2 | 29 | 8 | 1 | 83 | 7 | $<1$ |
| Wrasses (tautog) | H | 245 | 357 | 274 | 42 | 411 | 307 | 516 | 389 | 312 | 219 |
|  | R | 407 | 337 | 576 | 72 | 1,287 | 1,276 | 2,908 | 1,260 | 1,809 | 1,473 |

[^58]\section*{2016 Connecticut State Economy (\% of national total) ${ }^{1}$ <br> | \#Non-Employer Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual Payroll (\$ billions) | Employee Compensation (\$ billions) | Gross State Product (\$ billions) | Commercial Fishing Location Quotient ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 277,699 (1.1\%) | 89,416 (1.2\%) | 1,533,879 (1.2\%) | 94.7 (1.5\%) | 138 (1.4\%) | 259 | ds |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 18 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 22 |
|  | Receipts | 2,375 | 2,550 | 1,518 | 1,066 | 882 | 3,058 | 3,969 | 2,692 | 1,635 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 25 | 23 | 25 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 33 |
|  | Receipts | 3,247 | 2,142 | 2,473 | 2,165 | 1,388 | 1,543 | 1,655 | 1,813 | 3,965 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | NA |
|  | Employees | 59 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | NA |
|  | Payroll | 1,040 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | NA |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 24 | 25 | 23 | 24 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 18 |
|  | Employees | 185 | 212 | 216 | 212 | 187 | 178 | 172 | 211 | 158 |
|  | Payroll | 8,551 | 8,842 | 9,219 | 9,224 | 8,237 | 7,920 | 8,174 | 20,558 | 18,205 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 35 | 36 | 39 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 32 |
|  | Employees | 203 | 205 | 204 | 171 | 233 | 218 | 244 | 230 | 261 |
|  | Payroll | 5,248 | 5,551 | 5,563 | 4,824 | 6,349 | 6,344 | 7,380 | 7,533 | 8,742 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1,3}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 15 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 10 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 12 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 |
|  | Employees | 243 | 222 | 225 | 225 | 297 | 184 | ds | 164 | 162 |
|  | Payroll | 46,595 | 45,045 | 29,407 | 41,302 | 37,711 | 28,513 | 26,891 | 26,880 | 27,211 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 1 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | 95 | 256 | ds | ds | 216 | 232 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | 8,148 | 7,856 | 32,789 | ds | ds | 27,698 | 34,550 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 8 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
|  | Employees | 179 | 166 | 122 | 34 | ds | ds | ds | 22 | 19 |
|  | Payroll | 6,136 | 5,787 | 2,162 | 848 | 1,414 | ds | ds | 1,142 | 1,465 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
|  | Employees | ds | 5 | ds | 5 | ds | ds | 3 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | 338 | 696 | 242 | 898 | ds | ds | 185 | 159 | 0 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 125 | 126 | 129 | 128 | 130 | 130 | 128 | 125 | 125 |
|  | Employees | 1,352 | 1,261 | 1,284 | 1,283 | 1,257 | 1,265 | 1,174 | 1,153 | 1,193 |
|  | Payroll | 60,016 | 58,065 | 58,877 | 59,851 | 60,803 | 63,211 | 59,054 | 59,526 | 62,504 |

[^59]
## Tables | Maine



## Maine | Commercial Fisheries

|  | \#Jobs | With Imports |  | Value <br> Added | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sales | Income |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| Total Impacts | 42,353 | 3,630,283 | 1,016,423 | 1,538,973 | 31,934 | 1,857,960 | 642,926 | 918,865 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 15,027 | 981,108 | 269,232 | 439,606 | 15,027 | 981,108 | 269,232 | 439,606 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 3,145 | 250,141 | 100,428 | 128,325 | 2,291 | 182,251 | 73,172 | 93,497 |
| Importers | 4,507 | 1,419,375 | 227,482 | 432,688 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 1,835 | 199,377 | 71,519 | 93,064 | 892 | 96,863 | 34,746 | 45,213 |
| Retail | 17,839 | 780,283 | 347,761 | 445,290 | 13,724 | 597,738 | 265,777 | 340,548 |


|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Revenue | 308,233 | 292,315 | 380,422 | 412,073 | 451,647 | 477,666 | 551,072 | 594,058 | 638,086 | 511,315 |
| Finfish \& Other | 37,440 | 30,367 | 30,185 | 43,794 | 77,524 | 72,881 | 50,904 | 49,607 | 60,190 | 56,880 |
| Shellfish | 270,793 | 261,948 | 350,237 | 368,279 | 374,123 | 404,785 | 500,168 | 544,450 | 577,896 | 454,435 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| American lobster | 245,146 | 237,519 | 318,299 | 334,688 | 342,519 | 371,080 | 461,897 | 502,565 | 540,962 | 422,961 |
| Atlantic cod and haddock | 5,257 | 1,752 | 1,520 | 1,653 | 1,337 | 951 | 1,267 | 1,069 | 886 | 770 |
| Atlantic herring | 8,396 | 7,867 | 8,643 | 14,395 | 14,494 | 15,492 | 16,212 | 13,526 | 19,488 | 18,086 |
| Bloodworms | 5,913 | 6,196 | 5,893 | 5,847 | 5,191 | 5,644 | 6,085 | 6,333 | 6,585 | 4,745 |
| Blue mussel | 1,627 | 2,203 | 2,074 | 1,969 | 1,930 | 2,341 | 2,153 | 2,458 | 2,422 | 1,915 |
| Goosefish | 1,478 | 526 | 393 | 578 | 1,059 | 773 | 566 | 616 | 459 | 623 |
| Ocean quahog clam | 2,195 | 1,821 | 1,721 | 2,117 | 1,737 | 1,378 | 1,238 | 1,311 | 1,299 | 1,203 |
| Pollock | 2,321 | 2,047 | 1,503 | 1,929 | 2,527 | 2,562 | 2,878 | 1,965 | 1,663 | 1,182 |
| Sea urchins | 5,410 | 5,866 | 5,490 | 5,113 | 5,024 | 5,781 | 5,282 | 5,387 | 6,619 | 6,118 |
| Softshell clam | 12,826 | 11,686 | 12,960 | 15,852 | 15,657 | 18,102 | 20,232 | 22,841 | 16,231 | 9,644 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 186,696 | 188,388 | 200,888 | 249,467 | 263,693 | 266,646 | 266,012 | 243,158 | 249,086 | 208,677 |  |
| Finfish \& Other | 98,951 | 82,505 | 79,361 | 122,883 | 121,327 | 120,461 | 127,697 | 104,434 | 97,608 | 79,332 |  |
| Shellfish | 87,745 | 105,883 | 121,526 | 126,583 | 142,366 | 146,185 | 138,315 | 138,723 | 151,478 | 129,345 |  |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| American lobster | 69,863 | 81,179 | 96,244 | 104,954 | 127,462 | 128,017 | 124,953 | 122,686 | 132,662 | 107,989 |  |
| Atlantic cod and | 2,455 | 1,401 | 869 | 835 | 536 | 400 | 685 | 658 | 489 | 449 |  |
| haddock | 67,731 | 64,606 | 57,557 | 97,066 | 92,528 | 98,769 | 103,530 | 86,441 | 78,425 | 66,600 |  |
| Atlantic herring | 637 | 574 | 534 | 526 | 457 | 470 | 448 | 401 | 413 | 294 |  |
| Bloodworms | 2,289 | 2,760 | 2,589 | 2,810 | 2,427 | 2,282 | 2,270 | 2,401 | 1,745 | 1,201 |  |
| Blue mussel | 1,178 | 603 | 404 | 533 | 1,075 | 874 | 633 | 740 | 542 | 883 |  |
| Goosefish | 669 | 556 | 549 | 645 | 699 | 557 | 438 | 416 | 367 | 346 |  |
| Ocean quahog clam | 4,064 | 3,040 | 1,640 | 2,325 | 2,666 | 2,227 | 2,319 | 1,381 | 1,049 | 848 |  |
| Pollock | 2,900 | 3,487 | 2,592 | 2,407 | 1,904 | 1,988 | 1,958 | 1,951 | 2,058 | 1,956 |  |
| Sea urchins | 1,998 | 1,902 | 2,077 | 2,365 | 2,258 | 2,297 | 2,080 | 1,891 | 1,569 | 1,109 |  |
| Softshell clam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| American lobster | 3.51 | 2.93 | 3.31 | 3.19 | 2.69 | 2.90 | 3.70 | 4.10 | 4.08 | 3.92 |
| Atlantic cod and | 2.14 | 1.25 | 1.75 | 1.98 | 2.50 | 2.38 | 1.85 | 1.62 | 1.81 | 1.71 |
| haddock | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.27 |
| Atlantic herring | 11.01 | 10.79 | 11.03 | 11.12 | 11.36 | 12.00 | 13.59 | 15.80 | 15.93 | 16.13 |
| Bloodworms | 0.71 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 1.03 | 0.95 | 1.02 | 1.39 | 1.60 |
| Blue mussel | 1.25 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 1.09 | 0.99 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.71 |
| Goosefish | 3.28 | 3.27 | 3.13 | 3.28 | 2.49 | 2.47 | 2.82 | 3.15 | 3.54 | 3.48 |
| Ocean quahog clam | 0.57 | 0.67 | 0.92 | 0.83 | 0.95 | 1.15 | 1.24 | 1.42 | 1.58 | 1.39 |
| Pollock | 1.87 | 1.68 | 2.12 | 2.12 | 2.64 | 2.91 | 2.70 | 2.76 | 3.22 | 3.13 |
| Sea urchins | 6.42 | 6.14 | 6.24 | 6.70 | 6.93 | 7.88 | 9.73 | 12.08 | 10.34 | 8.69 |
| Softshell clam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2017 Economic Impacts of Maine Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 52 | 4,725 | 1,644 | 2,747 |
|  | Private Boat | 138 | 15,957 | 5,353 | 9,009 |
|  | Shore | 524 | 54,603 | 20,012 | 32,799 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 902 | 84,836 | 33,328 | 53,581 |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  | 1,616 | 160,121 | 60,337 | 98,136 |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 2,863 | Fishing Tackle | 25,090 |
| Private Boat | 15,322 | Other Equipment | 9,161 |
| Shore | 40,223 | Boat Expenses | 45,080 |
| Total | 58,408 | Vehicle Expenses | 402 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 0 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 79,733 |
| Total State Trip | enditures |  | 138,141 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 121 | 117 | 122 | 85 | 116 | 102 | 79 | 67 | 114 | 114 |
| Non-Coastal | 9 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 10 |
| Out-of-State | 180 | 324 | 159 | 107 | 126 | 129 | 129 | 74 | 110 | 145 |
| Total Anglers | 310 | 453 | 290 | 198 | 248 | 235 | 213 | 145 | 237 | 269 |

## Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 27 | 28 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 30 | 27 | 23 | 17 | 16 |
| Private | 1,038 | 947 | 857 | 892 | 788 | 821 | 711 | 660 | 664 | 650 |
| Shore | 1,340 | 1,663 | 1,177 | 856 | 958 | 1,045 | 1,239 | 1,022 | 1,268 | 1,082 |
| Total Trips | 2,405 | 2,637 | 2,058 | 1,771 | 1,768 | 1,896 | 1,976 | 1,705 | 1,948 | 1,748 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) 1,2,3

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American shad | H | < 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
|  | R | 12 | 42 | 20 | 15 | 43 | 5 | 0 | 50 | 20 | 40 |
| Atlantic cod | H | 62 | 77 | 21 | 98 | 48 | 110 | 70 | 3 | 4 | < 1 |
|  | R | 71 | 57 | 97 | 309 | 207 | 157 | 147 | 225 | 148 | 128 |
| Atlantic mackerel | H | 2,554 | 3,462 | 3,402 | 5,416 | 3,917 | 2,268 | 2,331 | 3,172 | 4,929 | 1,934 |
|  | R | 1,000 | 625 | 643 | 1,215 | 739 | 214 | 603 | 488 | 963 | 221 |
| Blue shark | H | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
|  | R | NA | 3 | NA | 24 | 7 | 36 | 20 | 35 | 2 | NA |
| Bluefin tuna | H | 0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | R | < 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Bluefish | H | 78 | 10 | 26 | 2 | 22 | 67 | < 1 | 1 | $<1$ | $<1$ |
|  | R | 134 | 58 | 22 | 10 | 144 | 65 | 0 | 0 | $<1$ | 0 |
| Haddock | H | 34 | 18 | 5 | 25 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 36 | 45 | 60 |
|  | R | 2 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 30 | 94 | 212 | 122 | 166 | 179 |
| Pollock | H | 161 | 143 | 133 | 206 | 122 | 267 | 371 | 194 | 82 | 123 |
|  | R | 496 | 99 | 289 | 493 | 291 | 839 | 441 | 310 | 206 | 133 |
| Striped bass | H | 133 | 146 | 37 | 49 | 31 | 73 | 86 | 14 | 14 | 22 |
|  | R | 1,157 | 674 | 522 | 453 | 657 | 985 | 1,023 | 824 | 2,162 | 2,719 |
| Winter flounder | H | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 12 |
|  | R | 6 | 23 | NA | NA | NA | 2 | 17 | NA | 47 | 0 |

[^60]
## 2016 Maine State Economy (\% of national total)

\#Non-Employer

Firms \#Establishments $\quad$ \#Employees \begin{tabular}{rlrrr}
Annual <br>
Payroll <br>
(\$ billions)

 

Employee <br>
Compensation <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Gross State <br>
Product <br>
(\$ billions)

 

Commercial <br>
Fishing <br>
Location <br>
Quotient ${ }^{10}$
\end{tabular}

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 64 | 63 | 59 | 51 | 51 | 36 | 37 | 32 | 31 |
|  | Receipts | 4,261 | 6,605 | 4,480 | 3,077 | 3,294 | 2,757 | 4,142 | 2,583 | 3,070 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 46 | 48 | 47 | 48 | 46 | 49 | 57 | 50 | 47 |
|  | Receipts | 4,035 | 4,882 | 5,835 | 4,608 | 4,492 | 4,200 | 4,664 | 5,848 | 7,586 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 29 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 30 | 32 | 27 |
|  | Employees | 490 | 545 | 594 | 500 | 492 | 376 | 546 | 552 | 509 |
|  | Payroll | 9,288 | 10,427 | 12,851 | 10,353 | 12,011 | 11,797 | 18,713 | 18,506 | 18,774 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 168 | 164 | 164 | 152 | 136 | 150 | 142 | 146 | 150 |
|  | Employees | 1,210 | 1,126 | 1,153 | 1,109 | 1,047 | 1,340 | 1,047 | 1,123 | 1,174 |
|  | Payroll | 36,185 | 37,687 | 39,915 | 38,412 | 40,734 | 46,782 | 40,392 | 42,337 | 49,043 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 45 | 49 | 51 | 51 | 48 | 51 | 54 | 60 | 59 |
|  | Employees | 148 | 152 | 176 | 177 | 215 | 243 | 235 | 237 | 229 |
|  | Payroll | 4,148 | 4,481 | 5,126 | 5,108 | 6,902 | 7,618 | 7,558 | 9,601 | 9,162 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 90 | 82 | 75 | 76 | 76 | 79 | 84 | 84 | 83 |
|  | Employees | 6,930 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 6,654 | 7,091 |
|  | Payroll | 354,899 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 418,591 | 422,525 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 1 | 1 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Employees | ds | 22 | 28 | ds | ds | ds | ds | 17 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | 1,058 | 1,037 | 1,067 | 1,105 | ds | ds | ds | 1,071 | 0 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 2 | ds | 4 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 130 | 113 | 142 | 0 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 20 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 1,857 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 15 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 |
|  | Employees | 138 | 93 | 68 | 63 | 65 | 86 | 75 | 77 | 65 |
|  | Payroll | 6,148 | 5,369 | 4,928 | 4,776 | 4,730 | 5,660 | 5,243 | 4,752 | 3,852 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 87 | 89 | 86 | 84 | 80 | 79 | 79 | 80 | 79 |
|  | Employees | 411 | 376 | 395 | 349 | 428 | 403 | 435 | 430 | 471 |
|  | Payroll | 15,206 | 14,654 | 14,699 | 15,426 | 17,102 | 17,476 | 19,694 | 20,400 | 22,618 |
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Massachusetts | Commercial Fisheries

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| Total Impacts | 127,563 | 14,143,543 | 3,428,282 | 5,366,611 | 59,821 | 2,546,342 | 937,737 | 1,278,180 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 12,487 | 1,106,970 | 352,007 | 516,429 | 12,487 | 1,106,970 | 352,007 | 516,429 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 12,596 | 1,851,613 | 705,945 | 917,852 | 1,710 | 251,346 | 95,828 | 124,593 |
| Importers | 27,666 | 8,713,061 | 1,396,434 | 2,656,123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 4,826 | 869,370 | 284,096 | 385,475 | 1,082 | 194,837 | 63,670 | 86,390 |
| Retail | 69,988 | 1,602,529 | 689,800 | 890,733 | 44,542 | 993,189 | 426,232 | 550,768 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 399,822 | 400,473 | 478,247 | 571,661 | 615,212 | 562,707 | 522,799 | 523,637 | 550,857 | 605,250 |
| Finfish \& Other | 121,567 | 113,973 | 125,850 | 132,373 | 125,525 | 93,901 | 103,205 | 99,179 | 99,156 | 96,479 |
| Shellfish | 278,254 | 286,500 | 352,397 | 439,288 | 489,687 | 468,806 | 419,594 | 424,458 | 451,702 | 508,772 |

Key Species

| American lobster | 45,418 | 42,731 | 50,384 | 53,365 | 53,360 | 58,663 | 68,376 | 78,290 | 82,394 | 82,291 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atlantic herring | 11,342 | 15,062 | 10,251 | 8,802 | 11,529 | 10,750 | 9,432 | 8,787 | 7,589 | 6,719 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 4,265 | 4,528 | 1,486 | 137 | 654 | 1,223 | 2,421 | 1,949 | 2,600 | 2,756 |
| Clams, all other | 15,255 | 16,745 | 17,967 | 19,158 | 36,633 | 28,360 | 26,347 | 27,452 | 39,179 | 31,608 |
| Cod and haddock | 38,696 | 33,684 | 45,206 | 43,379 | 25,847 | 14,037 | 18,065 | 17,433 | 17,735 | 15,146 |
| Eastern oyster | 5,496 | 6,432 | 8,226 | 9,079 | 12,071 | 13,896 | 19,575 | 22,679 | 22,512 | 28,378 |
| Flounders | 20,924 | 19,645 | 19,975 | 22,025 | 25,051 | 20,612 | 17,949 | 17,340 | 17,201 | 17,486 |
| Goosefish | 14,035 | 9,902 | 9,922 | 13,429 | 13,578 | 8,870 | 10,028 | 10,251 | 11,291 | 11,838 |
| Ocean quahog clam | 9,575 | 10,710 | 8,974 | 7,995 | NA | 10,229 | 9,814 | 9,063 | NA | 10,719 |
| Sea scallop | 189,891 | 197,280 | 252,292 | 330,954 | 364,902 | 334,221 | 271,373 | 264,741 | 281,205 | 330,247 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 326,632 | 356,105 | 284,109 | 264,992 | 294,948 | 261,901 | 273,353 | 259,804 | 244,607 | $\mathbf{2 4 2 , 1 3 7}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 255,603 | 278,908 | 201,693 | 179,740 | 192,899 | 163,928 | 181,793 | 169,504 | 148,553 | 138,589 |
| Shellfish | 71,029 | 77,197 | 82,417 | 85,252 | 102,048 | 97,972 | 91,560 | 90,301 | 96,054 | 103,548 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| American lobster | 10,600 | 11,782 | 12,772 | 13,385 | 14,486 | 15,159 | 15,323 | 16,450 | 17,787 | 16,686 |
| Atlantic herring | 94,266 | 133,531 | 71,922 | 66,970 | 81,781 | 74,992 | 77,873 | 70,888 | 47,149 | 30,706 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 35,406 | 30,199 | 12,156 | 515 | 4,131 | 7,279 | 10,755 | 7,059 | 10,556 | 10,170 |
| Clams, all other | 4,376 | 6,552 | 10,518 | 13,352 | 34,453 | 22,502 | 20,685 | 20,135 | 33,504 | 20,351 |
| Cod and haddock | 28,537 | 28,515 | 36,457 | 27,153 | 13,028 | 8,107 | 13,977 | 14,393 | 13,445 | 13,296 |
| Eastern oyster | 138 | 159 | 215 | 231 | 310 | 328 | 444 | 528 | 570 | 618 |
| Flounders | 11,609 | 12,405 | 11,159 | 13,692 | 14,246 | 11,517 | 9,018 | 8,294 | 5,973 | 7,263 |
| Goosefish | 12,680 | 10,015 | 8,887 | 10,142 | 11,567 | 9,498 | 10,533 | 11,084 | 12,476 | 17,192 |
| Ocean quahog clam | 18,126 | 18,691 | 15,645 | 12,479 | $N A$ | 14,476 | 13,422 | 13,340 | $N A$ | 14,190 |
| Sea scallop | 27,011 | 29,782 | 31,160 | 33,093 | 36,722 | 29,253 | 21,335 | 21,491 | 22,845 | 32,395 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American lobster | 4.28 | 3.63 | 3.94 | 3.99 | 3.68 | 3.87 | 4.46 | 4.76 | 4.63 | 4.93 |
| Atlantic herring | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.22 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.27 |
| Clams, all other | 3.49 | 2.56 | 1.71 | 1.43 | 1.06 | 1.26 | 1.27 | 1.36 | 1.17 | 1.55 |
| Cod and haddock | 1.36 | 1.18 | 1.24 | 1.60 | 1.98 | 1.73 | 1.29 | 1.21 | 1.32 | 1.14 |
| Eastern oyster | 39.77 | 40.36 | 38.31 | 39.25 | 38.99 | 42.41 | 44.12 | 42.99 | 39.49 | 45.95 |
| Flounders | 1.80 | 1.58 | 1.79 | 1.61 | 1.76 | 1.79 | 1.99 | 2.09 | 2.88 | 2.41 |
| Goosefish | 1.11 | 0.99 | 1.12 | 1.32 | 1.17 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.69 |
| Ocean quahog clam | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.64 | NA | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.68 | NA | 0.76 |
| Sea scallop | 7.03 | 6.62 | 8.10 | 10.00 | 9.94 | 11.43 | 12.72 | 12.32 | 12.31 | 10.19 |

[^62]2017 Economic Impacts of Massachusetts Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 463 | 49,737 | 19,342 | 31,838 |
|  | Private Boat | 1,118 | 139,187 | 68,344 | 95,335 |
|  | Shore | 1,203 | 136,898 | 68,646 | 97,822 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 5,685 | 679,203 | 309,750 | 461,465 |
| Total State Economic Impacts | 8,469 | $1,005,025$ | 466,082 | 686,460 |  |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 30,563 | Fishing Tackle | 151,049 |
| Private Boat | 181,933 | Other Equipment | 62,123 |
| Shore | 100,756 | Boat Expenses | 415,930 |
| Total | 313,252 | Vehicle Expenses | 72,595 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 686 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 702,382 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 1,015,634 |


| Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| Coastal | 655 | 489 | 586 | 490 | 502 | 546 | 582 | 428 | $\mathbf{4 7 6}$ | 350 |
| Non-Coastal | 170 | 144 | 152 | 115 | 130 | 77 | 82 | 85 | 73 | 38 |
| Out-of-State | 469 | 421 | 433 | 293 | 309 | 275 | 532 | 199 | 289 | 211 |
| Total Anglers | 1,293 | 1,054 | 1,171 | 897 | 941 | 898 | 1,196 | 711 | 837 | 599 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 261 | 251 | 151 | 197 | 227 | 260 | 238 | 117 | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 4}$ |
| Private | 3,892 | 4,448 | 5,027 | 4,721 | 4,380 | 3,898 | 3,695 | 3,064 | 3,069 | 3,390 |
| Shore | 8,453 | 8,253 | 8,980 | 8,544 | 7,614 | 5,967 | 4,875 | 4,102 | 4,080 | 4,161 |
| Total Trips | 12,605 | 12,952 | 14,158 | 13,462 | 12,221 | 10,125 | 8,808 | 7,282 | 7,244 | 7,775 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) ${ }^{1,2}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic bonito | H | 8 | 12 | 4 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 31 | 12 | 1 | 3 |
|  | R | 9 | 2 | 15 | 0 | < 1 | 2 | 42 | 13 | 13 | $<1$ |
| Atlantic cod | H | 500 | 474 | 782 | 697 | 486 | 544 | 252 | 5 | 56 | 48 |
|  | R | 1,077 | 1,333 | 1,969 | 1,006 | 533 | 1,382 | 806 | 317 | 1,145 | 1,709 |
| Atlantic mackerel | H | 5,454 | 1,566 | 12,007 | 6,911 | 4,165 | 5,114 | 4,334 | 11,514 | 9,199 | 12,295 |
|  | R | 548 | 315 | 744 | 261 | 403 | 417 | 524 | 2,385 | 684 | 2,689 |
| Bluefish | H | 788 | 688 | 1,361 | 684 | 977 | 1,520 | 739 | 693 | 977 | 595 |
|  | R | 2,153 | 3,064 | 3,060 | 1,877 | 1,808 | 1,644 | 2,888 | 479 | 1,059 | 528 |
| Haddock | H | 393 | 361 | 318 | 123 | 189 | 189 | 153 | 74 | 741 | 1,435 |
|  | R | 299 | 105 | 63 | 41 | 215 | 583 | 666 | 213 | 2,487 | 2,026 |
| Porgies (scup) | H | 1,213 | 1,778 | 2,349 | 2,125 | 2,549 | 3,783 | 2,802 | 1,977 | 1,791 | 2,110 |
|  | R | 2,743 | 4,193 | 5,687 | 4,506 | 4,527 | 2,854 | 2,302 | 1,906 | 3,004 | 3,455 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Striped } \\ & \text { bass } \end{aligned}$ | H | 514 | 695 | 808 | 873 | 1,011 | 659 | 524 | 485 | 230 | 392 |
|  | R | 7,496 | 5,989 | 5,090 | 4,036 | 3,629 | 4,670 | 6,425 | 4,471 | 6,299 | 12,866 |
| Summer flounder | H | 323 | 91 | 149 | 184 | 233 | 80 | 256 | 213 | 106 | 65 |
|  | R | 335 | 171 | 460 | 594 | 560 | 144 | 643 | 242 | 267 | 110 |
| Winter flounder | H | 349 | 285 | 237 | 365 | 110 | 115 | 168 | 134 | 71 | 285 |
|  | R | 131 | 292 | 134 | 299 | 35 | 40 | 101 | 113 | 230 | 125 |
| Wrasses (tautog) | H | 72 | 66 | 154 | 173 | 96 | 240 | 444 | 188 | 74 | 636 |
|  | R | 138 | 384 | 533 | 817 | 348 | 1,012 | 2,168 | 670 | 261 | 1,890 |

[^63]Massachusetts | Marine Economy

2016 Massachusett State Economy (\% of national total) ${ }^{1}$

| \#Non-Employer Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual Payroll (\$ billions) | Employee Compensation (\$ billions) | Gross State Product (\$ billions) | Commercial Fishing Location Quotient ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 529,496 (2.1\%) | 177,631 (2.3\%) | 3,254,781 (2.6\%) | 205 (3.2\%) | 295 (3\%) | 511 | ds |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 26 | 22 | 27 | 36 | 25 | 28 | 33 | 38 | 38 |
|  | Receipts | 1,250 | 1,943 | 2,082 | 2,433 | 1,699 | 1,857 | 2,356 | 4,474 | 3,800 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 64 | 64 | 61 | 66 | 65 | 51 | 56 | 52 | 46 |
|  | Receipts | 7,982 | 7,686 | 6,287 | 7,640 | 5,213 | 3,842 | 5,782 | 5,154 | 4,566 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 39 | 40 | 42 | 41 | 37 |
|  | Employees | 2,355 | 2,396 | 2,159 | 2,214 | 1,638 | 1,755 | 1,819 | 1,948 | 1,967 |
|  | Payroll | 109,747 | 119,282 | 107,635 | 112,399 | 74,541 | 87,153 | 99,445 | 108,090 | 108,850 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 141 | 144 | 149 | 141 | 140 | 142 | 130 | 129 | 128 |
|  | Employees | 1,442 | 1,542 | 1,591 | 2,013 | 1,841 | 1,910 | 1,859 | 1,808 | 1,865 |
|  | Payroll | 68,898 | 70,864 | 83,467 | 94,105 | 100,801 | 104,637 | 101,512 | 102,009 | 107,494 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 118 | 115 | 112 | 106 | 114 | 114 | 114 | 106 | 107 |
|  | Employees | 549 | 542 | 584 | 576 | 576 | 708 | 647 | 641 | 690 |
|  | Payroll | 15,017 | 15,261 | 16,495 | 16,037 | 15,776 | 18,304 | 19,516 | 20,201 | 21,909 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1,3}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 43 | 38 | 37 | 37 | 40 | 41 | 43 | 39 | 38 |
|  | Employees | 603 | 579 | 535 | 445 | 446 | 463 | 623 | 576 | 525 |
|  | Payroll | 28,402 | 20,685 | 20,196 | 22,066 | 23,195 | 23,615 | 31,451 | 31,153 | 30,808 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 8 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
|  | Employees | 361 | ds | 313 | 381 | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | 38,908 | 35,473 | 36,069 | 38,797 | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | NA | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Employees | NA | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Payroll | NA | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 14 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 14 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 10 |
|  | Employees | 169 | 166 | ds | ds | ds | 22 | 25 | 36 | 34 |
|  | Payroll | 11,701 | 10,011 | ds | ds | 3,266 | 1,352 | 1,478 | 2,766 | 3,026 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 4 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Employees | 63 | 66 | 86 | 95 | 35 | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | 1,289 | 1,323 | 2,662 | 3,035 | 1,519 | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | 2,271 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 8 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 10 |
|  | Employees | 75 | 71 | 150 | 139 | 120 | 94 | 83 | 88 | 106 |
|  | Payroll | 4,355 | 4,342 | 9,413 | 6,980 | 5,965 | 6,578 | 6,645 | 7,311 | 8,984 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 175 | 177 | 175 | 176 | 172 | 178 | 177 | 178 | 175 |
|  | Employees | 1,138 | 1,188 | 1,150 | 1,125 | 977 | 1,054 | 1,161 | 1,076 | 1,143 |
|  | Payroll | 53,694 | 56,663 | 57,002 | 58,251 | 48,657 | 55,053 | 57,797 | 63,422 | 67,077 |

[^64]
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New Hampshire | Commercial Fisheries

|  |  | With Imp | orts |  |  | Without | ports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 15,287 | 2,503,450 | 552,670 | 902,419 | 2,665 | 168,700 | 62,428 | 85,371 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 920 | 61,406 | 17,355 | 26,952 | 920 | 61,406 | 17,355 | 26,952 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 958 | 118,174 | 46,435 | 59,875 | 211 | 26,033 | 10,230 | 13,190 |
| Importers | 5,931 | 1,867,906 | 299,368 | 569,420 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 996 | 144,505 | 50,939 | 67,035 | 82 | 11,885 | 4,190 | 5,513 |
| Retail | 6,482 | 311,459 | 138,573 | 179,138 | 1,452 | 69,376 | 30,654 | 39,715 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Revenue | 17,471 | 17,754 | 20,597 | 23,482 | 23,236 | 20,165 | 24,291 | 27,797 | 33,222 | 35,011 |
| Finfish \& Other | 4,824 | 5,569 | 5,119 | 6,146 | 5,574 | 2,903 | 2,899 | 2,715 | 2,431 | 3,148 |
| Shellfish | 12,647 | 12,186 | 15,478 | 17,336 | 17,662 | 17,262 | 21,392 | 25,081 | 30,791 | 31,864 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| American lobster | 12,267 | 11,919 | 14,836 | 16,343 | 17,169 | 16,602 | 20,751 | 24,544 | 30,373 | 31,704 |
| Atlantic cod | 2,311 | 2,587 | 2,186 | 2,500 | 1,750 | 546 | 571 | 93 | 109 | 149 |
| Atlantic herring | 134 | 271 | 375 | 208 | 349 | 216 | NA | 584 | NA | 815 |
| Goosefish | 290 | 280 | 212 | 207 | 153 | 186 | NA | 351 | 338 | 422 |
| Haddock | 89 | 68 | 29 | 35 | 91 | 20 | 18 | 8 | 14 | 22 |
| Hake | 167 | 215 | 237 | 445 | 475 | 374 | NA | 263 | 274 | 186 |
| Pollock | 1,093 | 1,283 | 839 | 1,355 | 1,224 | 1,133 | 860 | 356 | 207 | 188 |
| Sea scallop | 16 | 4 | 3 | 26 | 143 | 288 | 347 | 399 | 287 | 64 |
| Shrimp | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 23 | NA |
| Spiny dogfish | 419 | 557 | 291 | 451 | 420 | 94 | NA | NA | NA | 178 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 10,464 | 13,886 | 11,802 | 12,311 | 12,145 | 8,247 | 9,117 | 11,093 | 7,937 | 10,621 |
| Finfish \& Other | 7,180 | 10,093 | 7,018 | 7,140 | 7,543 | 3,985 | 4,302 | 6,148 | 1,972 | 4,984 |
| Shellfish | 3,284 | 3,793 | 4,784 | 5,171 | 4,603 | 4,262 | 4,815 | 4,946 | 5,965 | 5,637 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| American lobster | 2,567 | 2,985 | 3,648 | 3,919 | 4,229 | 3,818 | 4,375 | 4,722 | 5,782 | 5,510 |
| Atlantic cod | 1,479 | 1,984 | 1,226 | 1,286 | 725 | 230 | 263 | 45 | 55 | 71 |
| Atlantic herring | 1,198 | 3,120 | 2,830 | 1,514 | 2,391 | 1,579 | NA | 3,999 | NA | 2,789 |
| Goosefish | 250 | 250 | 172 | 153 | 126 | 162 | NA | 314 | 331 | 549 |
| Haddock | 53 | 45 | 18 | 19 | 43 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 18 |
| Hake | 222 | 423 | 322 | 587 | 1,136 | 393 | $N A$ | 309 | 330 | 267 |
| Pollock | 2,456 | 2,017 | 1,041 | 1,732 | 1,049 | 982 | 629 | 270 | 98 | 107 |
| Sea scallop | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 25 | 27 | 31 | 24 | 5 |
| Shrimp | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 4 | NA |
| Spiny dogfish | 1,370 | 2,073 | 1,207 | 1,643 | 1,788 | 508 | $N A$ | $N A$ | NA | 858 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American lobster | 4.78 | 3.99 | 4.07 | 4.17 | 4.06 | 4.35 | 4.74 | 5.20 | 5.25 | 5.75 |
| Atlantic cod | 1.56 | 1.30 | 1.78 | 1.94 | 2.41 | 2.38 | 2.17 | 2.09 | 1.97 | 2.10 |
| Atlantic herring | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.14 | NA | 0.15 | NA | 0.29 |
| Goosefish | 1.16 | 1.12 | 1.23 | 1.36 | 1.21 | 1.15 | NA | 1.12 | 1.02 | 0.77 |
| Haddock | 1.70 | 1.52 | 1.57 | 1.91 | 2.14 | 2.28 | 1.74 | 1.41 | 1.55 | 1.26 |
| Hake | 0.75 | 0.51 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 0.42 | 0.95 | NA | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.70 |
| Pollock | 0.45 | 0.64 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 1.17 | 1.15 | 1.37 | 1.32 | 2.12 | 1.76 |
| Sea scallop | 7.68 | 7.22 | 8.84 | 10.35 | 11.68 | 11.59 | 12.78 | 12.89 | 12.16 | 13.12 |
| Shrimp | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 5.85 | NA |
| Spiny dogfish | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.19 | NA | NA | NA | 0.21 |

[^65]|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 100 | 9,393 | 3,593 | 5,680 |
|  | Private Boat | 93 | 9,555 | 4,371 | 6,376 |
|  | Shore | 185 | 18,166 | 7,249 | 12,569 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 119 | 12,371 | 5,800 | 8,261 |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  | 497 | 49,485 | 21,013 | 32,886 |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 6,168 | Fishing Tackle | 4,933 |
| Private Boat | 12,176 | Other Equipment | 1,520 |
| Shore | 14,107 | Boat Expenses | 6,193 |
| Total | 32,451 | Vehicle Expenses | 657 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 0 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 13,302 |
| Total State Trip | enditures |  | 45,753 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 63 | 67 | 46 | 56 | 58 | 68 | 50 | 54 | 69 | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |
| Non-Coastal | 8 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 19 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 4 |
| Out-of-State | 46 | 58 | 33 | 30 | 54 | 66 | 58 | 54 | 57 | 19 |
| Total Anglers | 118 | 134 | 86 | 96 | 121 | 153 | 120 | 115 | 134 | 48 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 112 | 108 | 65 | 76 | 55 | 114 | 110 | 82 | 38 | 51 |
| Private | 299 | 313 | 313 | 341 | 375 | 404 | 395 | 407 | 438 | 430 |
| Shore | 455 | 414 | 410 | 393 | 427 | 389 | 449 | 492 | 585 | 492 |
| Total Trips | 865 | 835 | 788 | 810 | 858 | 906 | 954 | 981 | 1,061 | 972 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic cod | H | 124 | 170 | 148 | 165 | 97 | 188 | 66 | 3 | 12 | 32 |
|  | R | 357 | 273 | 247 | 333 | 248 | 259 | 209 | 499 | 423 | 363 |
| Atlantic | H | 1,142 | 1,122 | 746 | 3,227 | 2,360 | 2,537 | 1,768 | 880 | 2,431 | 3,090 |
| mackerel | R | 81 | 141 | 60 | 391 | 312 | 51 | 125 | 315 | 362 | 243 |
| Bluefin tuna | H | < 1 | < 1 | 0 | 0 | < 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | R | 0 | <1 | < 1 | 3 | 0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Bluefish | H | 9 | <1 | 4 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 8 | < 1 | NA |
|  | R | 5 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 16 | < 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Bottomfish, unidentified | H | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | R | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Haddock | H | 129 | 120 | 75 | 94 | 101 | 107 | 104 | 153 | 195 | 159 |
|  | R | 25 | 37 | 18 | 25 | 177 | 404 | 582 | 1,062 | 553 | 426 |
| Pollock | H | 93 | 57 | 135 | 186 | 119 | 228 | 268 | 149 | 213 | 260 |
|  | R | 35 | 59 | 197 | 243 | 282 | 469 | 459 | 1,273 | 294 | 316 |
| Striped bass | H | 12 | 17 | 21 | 54 | 37 | 63 | 17 | 10 | 18 | 38 |
|  | R | 197 | 124 | 161 | 191 | 164 | 295 | 316 | 262 | 819 | 1,418 |
| Unidentified flounder | H | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 0 |
|  | R | 6 | < 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 10 | < 1 | NA | 3 | 5 |
| Winter flounder | H | 20 | 20 | 5 | 21 | < 1 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 8 | 11 |
|  | R | 11 | 9 | 17 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 18 | 12 | 8 |

[^66]
## 2016 New Hampshire State Economy (\% of national total) ${ }^{1}$

| \#Non-Employer Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual Payroll (\$ billions) | Employee Compensation (\$ billions) | Gross State Product (\$ billions) | Commercial Fishing Location Quotient ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105,503 (0.4\%) | 37,868 (0.5\%) | 594,243 (0.5\%) | 29.2 (0.5\%) | 43.6 (0.4\%) | 77.5 | ds |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | ds | ds | 3 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
|  | Receipts | ds | ds | 687 | 856 | 1,166 | 1,239 | 1,019 | 1,411 | 1,435 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 17 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 9 | 8 |
|  | Receipts | 1,894 | 1,870 | 1,502 | 2,152 | 2,096 | 1,861 | 2,419 | 1,722 | 899 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
|  | Employees | ds | 115 | 292 | 231 | 229 | 225 | ds | 182 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | 3,234 | 10,971 | 12,010 | 12,181 | 13,751 | ds | 11,160 | 0 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
|  | Employees | 101 | 88 | 80 | 84 | 99 | 113 | 106 | 108 | 95 |
|  | Payroll | 4,142 | 4,268 | 4,171 | 4,123 | 5,738 | 4,562 | 4,271 | 4,543 | 5,480 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 14 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | Employees | 83 | 95 | 102 | 88 | 48 | 45 | ds | 57 | 58 |
|  | Payroll | 2,011 | 2,299 | 2,296 | 1,934 | 870 | 966 | 1,699 | 1,659 | 1,397 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1,3}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 181 | 190 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 9,800 | 9,413 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | NA | NA |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Employees | NA | NA | NA | NA | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Payroll | NA | NA | NA | NA | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | NA | NA | NA | NA | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Employees | NA | NA | NA | NA | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | NA | NA | NA | NA | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 18 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 1,920 | 0 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 37 | 37 | 35 | 34 | 31 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
|  | Employees | 173 | 146 | 135 | 139 | 131 | 155 | 144 | 153 | 162 |
|  | Payroll | 8,114 | 7,022 | 6,920 | 7,090 | 6,927 | 8,031 | 8,043 | 8,788 | 10,070 |

[^67]
## Tables | Rhode Island



## Rhode Island | Commercial Fisheries

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 12,031 | 1,661,409 | 393,191 | 627,780 | 5,539 | 357,456 | 130,592 | 182,284 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 2,509 | 174,328 | 53,931 | 83,187 | 2,509 | 174,328 | 53,931 | 83,187 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 474 | 56,469 | 21,882 | 28,435 | 343 | 40,908 | 15,852 | 20,599 |
| Importers | 3,440 | 1,083,375 | 173,631 | 330,260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 819 | 111,966 | 39,672 | 52,201 | 140 | 19,084 | 6,762 | 8,897 |
| Retail | 4,789 | 235,273 | 104,075 | 133,697 | 2,547 | 123,137 | 54,047 | 69,601 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 66,085 | 61,657 | 62,725 | 75,930 | 81,136 | 86,063 | 86,440 | 82,119 | 94,030 | 100,768 |
| Finfish \& Other | 22,732 | 23,383 | 22,988 | 24,890 | 28,611 | 29,470 | 31,829 | 26,045 | 23,418 | 23,601 |
| Shellfish | 43,353 | 38,274 | 39,737 | 51,040 | 52,525 | 56,593 | 54,610 | 56,074 | 70,612 | $\mathbf{7 7 , 1 6 8}$ |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| All other flounders | 2,171 | 1,455 | 593 | 806 | 1,025 | 2,124 | 2,945 | 1,771 | 1,464 | 1,547 |
| American lobster | 12,976 | 11,264 | 12,404 | 12,765 | 12,119 | 9,732 | 11,709 | 12,368 | 11,889 | 10,978 |
| Atlantic herring | 631 | 1,260 | 1,417 | 1,343 | 2,174 | 4,907 | 2,303 | 1,373 | 1,525 | 939 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 882 | 3,301 | 1,886 | 99 | 2,804 | 339 | 309 | 1,074 | 448 | 286 |
| Goosefish | 3,590 | 3,022 | 2,973 | 4,600 | 3,844 | 2,725 | 2,996 | 2,730 | 2,486 | 2,062 |
| Quahog clam | 3,273 | 2,849 | 3,293 | 3,920 | 5,169 | 4,727 | 5,099 | 5,453 | 5,612 | 5,005 |
| Scups or porgies | 2,324 | 2,640 | 2,833 | 3,312 | 3,904 | 3,666 | 4,118 | 4,278 | 4,053 | 3,070 |
| Sea scallop | 2,170 | 2,342 | 2,156 | 6,834 | 9,191 | 18,639 | 10,273 | 8,079 | 9,367 | 21,652 |
| Squid | 17,687 | 15,249 | 12,590 | 20,381 | 12,744 | 13,208 | 17,718 | 20,288 | 33,938 | 28,332 |
| Summer flounder | 4,485 | 4,502 | 5,534 | 6,408 | 6,937 | 6,751 | 7,298 | 6,107 | 5,481 | 4,299 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 72,027 | 84,041 | 77,696 | 78,749 | 85,234 | 89,850 | 91,774 | 75,746 | 82,602 | 84,108 |
| Finfish \& Other | 34,478 | 46,479 | 42,527 | 42,163 | 52,746 | 63,787 | 57,445 | 46,684 | 41,068 | 40,123 |
| Shellfish | 37,549 | 37,562 | 35,169 | 36,587 | 32,487 | 26,062 | 34,328 | 29,062 | 41,534 | 43,985 |

Key Species

| All other flounders | 1,144 | 1,027 | 358 | 615 | 664 | 1,368 | 2,158 | 1,057 | 767 | 938 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American lobster | 2,772 | 2,840 | 2,929 | 2,754 | 2,706 | 2,156 | 2,413 | 2,316 | 2,260 | 2,040 |
| Atlantic herring | 4,504 | 9,528 | 8,449 | 8,729 | 13,839 | 28,330 | 16,505 | 10,431 | 9,539 | 4,535 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 2,385 | 9,057 | 4,356 | 162 | 5,497 | 714 | 539 | 1,906 | 1,143 | 695 |
| Goosefish | 3,225 | 2,841 | 2,556 | 3,242 | 2,873 | 2,818 | 2,898 | 2,529 | 2,202 | 2,061 |
| Quahog clam | 556 | 511 | 599 | 666 | 903 | 784 | 764 | 684 | 660 | 545 |
| Scups or porgies | 2,151 | 3,619 | 4,298 | 6,336 | 6,311 | 7,346 | 6,949 | 6,794 | 6,809 | 5,968 |
| Sea scallop | 310 | 356 | 267 | 690 | 944 | 1,646 | 841 | 677 | 811 | 2,189 |
| Squid | 26,417 | 26,452 | 19,799 | 25,997 | 11,689 | 12,609 | 24,938 | 20,495 | 32,914 | 33,776 |
| Summer flounder | 1,473 | 1,794 | 2,289 | 2,824 | 2,409 | 2,193 | 2,056 | 1,716 | 1,306 | 896 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All other flounders | 1.90 | 1.42 | 1.66 | 1.31 | 1.54 | 1.55 | 1.36 | 1.68 | 1.91 | 1.65 |
| American lobster | 4.68 | 3.97 | 4.24 | 4.64 | 4.48 | 4.51 | 4.85 | 5.34 | 5.26 | 5.38 |
| Atlantic herring | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.21 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.61 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.39 | 0.41 |
| Goosefish | 1.11 | 1.06 | 1.16 | 1.42 | 1.34 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 1.08 | 1.13 | 1.00 |
| Quahog clam | 5.88 | 5.58 | 5.50 | 5.89 | 5.72 | 6.03 | 6.67 | 7.98 | 8.51 | 9.18 |
| Scups or porgies | 1.08 | 0.73 | 0.66 | 0.52 | 0.62 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.51 |
| Sea scallop | 7.00 | 6.58 | 8.07 | 9.90 | 9.73 | 11.32 | 12.21 | 11.94 | 11.55 | 9.89 |
| Squid | 0.67 | 0.58 | 0.64 | 0.78 | 1.09 | 1.05 | 0.71 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 0.84 |
| Summer flounder | 3.04 | 2.51 | 2.42 | 2.27 | 2.88 | 3.08 | 3.55 | 3.56 | 4.20 | 4.80 |

## 2017 Economic Impacts of Rhode Island Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 81 | 7,595 | 2,995 | 4,573 |
|  | Private Boat | 183 | 19,249 | 10,182 | 14,250 |
|  | Shore | 315 | 32,112 | 15,682 | 23,358 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 3,467 | 360,040 | 149,047 | 234,262 |
| Total State Economic Impacts | 4,046 | 418,996 | 177,906 | 276,443 |  |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures |  | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| For-Hire | 4,682 |  | 75,264 |  |
| Private Boat | 20,234 | Fishing Tackle | 24,363 |  |
| Shore | 25,538 | Other Equipment | 193,896 |  |
| Total | 50,455 | Boat Expenses | 20,222 |  |
|  |  | Vehicle Expenses | 701 |  |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 314,445 |  |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 364,900 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 169 | 111 | 161 | 105 | 99 | 129 | 160 | 123 | 149 | 132 |
| Non-Coastal | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Out-of-State | 297 | 209 | 225 | 190 | 169 | 255 | 304 | 175 | 243 | 194 |
| Total Anglers | 465 | 320 | 387 | 296 | 268 | 383 | 464 | 298 | 392 | 326 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 71 | 59 | 41 | 39 | 41 | 47 | 52 | 50 | 49 | 35 |
| Private | 1,015 | 1,057 | 978 | 1,247 | 1,028 | 1,109 | 1,058 | 1,310 | 825 | 774 |
| Shore | 2,572 | 2,947 | 3,136 | 2,464 | 2,888 | 2,159 | 2,241 | 1,774 | 2,124 | 1,508 |
| Total Trips | 3,658 | 4,063 | 4,155 | 3,750 | 3,957 | 3,316 | 3,351 | 3,134 | 2,999 | 2,318 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic | H | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | NA | < 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| bonito | R | 2 | 0 | 0 | NA | 0 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 23 | < 1 |
| Atlantic | H | 2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 57 | < 1 | 19 | 49 | 77 | 5 |
| cod | R | < 1 | 8 | 37 | 36 | 3 | < 1 | 7 | 33 | 59 | $<1$ |
| Black | H | 77 | 92 | 346 | 102 | 226 | 166 | 404 | 434 | 508 | 329 |
| seabass | R | 171 | 533 | 433 | 489 | 2,145 | 1,623 | 1,981 | 1,405 | 2,319 | 1,869 |
| Bluefish | H | 457 | 395 | 406 | 414 | 2,312 | 658 | 463 | 90 | 145 | 419 |
| Bluefish | R | 1,054 | 459 | 173 | 1,185 | 1,356 | 2,000 | 257 | 1,412 | 587 | 116 |
| Porgies | H | 1,249 | 405 | 839 | 1,196 | 1,032 | 2,508 | 2,664 | 1,219 | 1,551 | 1,384 |
| (scup) | R | 3,141 | 1,213 | 1,394 | 1,486 | 1,670 | 1,669 | 1,451 | 1,604 | 2,961 | 1,864 |
| Striped | H | 73 | 138 | 162 | 202 | 131 | 308 | 172 | 67 | 128 | 60 |
| bass | R | 778 | 1,070 | 619 | 621 | 1,292 | 2,574 | 438 | 1,653 | 1,416 | 1,543 |
| Summer | H | 223 | 128 | 346 | 380 | 224 | 235 | 340 | 222 | 113 | 156 |
| flounder | R | 987 | 780 | 594 | 1,772 | 928 | 938 | 910 | 630 | 476 | 784 |
| Winter | H | 4 | 21 | 5 | 0 | 0 | NA | < 1 | < 1 | 2 | 8 |
| flounder | R | 2 | 4 | 3 | $<1$ | 3 | NA | 1 | 0 | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| Wrasses | H | 288 | 397 | 370 | 79 | 341 | 540 | 239 | 296 | 344 | 141 |
| (tautog) | R | 570 | 792 | 378 | 480 | 846 | 793 | 422 | 1,113 | 1,052 | 544 |
| Yellowfin | H | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 13 | 1 | 8 | < 1 | NA |
| tuna | R | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | NA |

[^68]
## 2016 Rhode Island's State Economy (\% of national total) ${ }^{1}$

\#Non-Employer

Firms \#Establishments $\quad$ \#Employees $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
Annual <br>
Payroll

 

Employee <br>
(\$ billions)

 

Gross State <br>
Compensation <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Commercial <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Fishing <br>
Location <br>
Quotient ${ }^{2}$
\end{tabular}

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 7 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 |
|  | Receipts | 1,376 | 1,045 | 907 | 1,168 | 1,441 | 1,393 | 1,418 | 1,381 | 1,374 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 19 | 16 | 17 | 25 | 20 | 22 | 16 | 15 | 14 |
|  | Receipts | 2,748 | 2,821 | 2,769 | 3,033 | 2,536 | 2,501 | 1,331 | 1,259 | 1,569 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 8 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | Employees | 270 | 275 | 193 | 178 | ds | ds | ds | 71 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | 6,354 | 5,821 | 6,096 | 5,544 | ds | ds | ds | 2,243 | 0 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 29 | 34 | 32 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 28 | 28 | 26 |
|  | Employees | 226 | 202 | 204 | 230 | 278 | 182 | 188 | 182 | 164 |
|  | Payroll | 10,505 | 9,534 | 9,815 | 10,264 | 13,064 | 8,412 | 8,763 | 8,140 | 8,567 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 23 | 24 | 26 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 27 | 26 | 24 |
|  | Employees | 94 | 127 | 113 | 109 | 111 | 113 | 114 | 113 | 100 |
|  | Payroll | 2,027 | 2,398 | 2,309 | 2,232 | 2,388 | 2,610 | 2,608 | 2,925 | 2,932 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{3}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 39 | 33 | 29 | 30 | 37 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 30 |
|  | Employees | 1,342 | 1,085 | 954 | 916 | 717 | 768 | 939 | 902 | 757 |
|  | Payroll | 54,225 | 41,246 | 40,004 | 33,316 | 32,070 | 34,483 | 42,200 | 41,096 | 34,132 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 18 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 1,574 | 0 |
| Coastal and Great Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 18 | 14 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 951 | 813 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 244 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 6,495 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | 107 | ds | ds | ds | 69 | 81 |
|  | Payroll | 5,904 | 3,728 | 3,955 | 4,002 | 3,272 | ds | ds | 4,209 | 3,771 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 73 | 70 | 72 | 71 | 67 | 71 | 65 | 72 | 71 |
|  | Employees | 476 | 459 | 428 | 460 | 424 | 466 | 449 | 409 | 435 |
|  | Payroll | 23,204 | 21,372 | 22,227 | 22,618 | 20,811 | 24,214 | 24,876 | 25,206 | 26,264 |
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## Mid-Atlantic Region

- Delaware
- Maryland
- New Jersey
- New York - Virginia


## MANAGEMENT CONTEXT

The Mid-Atlantic Region includes Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, and Virginia. Federal fisheries in this region are managed by the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC) and NOAA Fisheries under seven fishery management plans (FMPs). Two of these FMPs are developed in conjunction with the New England Fishery Management Council (NEFMC). The MAFMC is the lead council for the Spiny Dogfish FMP; the NEFMC is the lead for the Monkfish FMP.

## Mid-Atlantic Region FMPs

- Atlantic mackerel, squid and butterfish
- Atlantic bluefish
- Spiny dogfish (with the NEFMC)
- Summer flounder, scup and black sea bass

Summer flounder was the only stock/complex in the Mid-Atlantic region listed as experiencing overfishing in 2017.

## Catch Share Programs

Two catch share programs operate in the Mid-Atlantic: 1) Mid-Atlantic Surfclam and Ocean Quahog IFQ Program; and 2) Mid-Atlantic Golden Tilefish IFQ Program. Following is a description of these catch share programs and their performance. Each program is described separately because the surfclam and ocean quahog fisheries are prosecuted as independent fisheries despite being in the same ITQ program. The landings revenues for these programs totaled more than $\$ 57.3$ million in 2017

## Mid-Atlantic Surfclam and Ocean Quahog IFQ

Program: This program was implemented in 1990 to conserve the surfclam and ocean quahog resource and stabilize harvest rates; simplify regulatory requirements to minimize public and private management costs; promote economic efficiency by bringing harvest capacity in line with processing and biological capacity; and cre-
ate a management approach that is flexible and adaptive to short-term events or circumstances. The 2016 key performance indicators of the surfclam program show that relative to the baseline period (the 3-year period prior to implementation), landings, the number of active vessels, and inflation-adjusted landings revenue decreased, while quota and inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel increased. The 2016 key performance indicators of the quahog program show that relative to the baseline period (the 3-year period prior to implementation), quota, landings, the number of active vessels, and inflation-adjusted landings revenue decreased, while inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel increased.

Mid-Atlantic Golden Tilefish IFQ Program: This program was implemented in 2009 to reduce over-capacity and eliminate problems associated with the race to fish golden tilefish. This IFQ program is unique because many key events occurred outside the traditional management process. Prior to the implementation of the IFQ program, fishermen crafted internal agreements that promoted cooperation. Their cooperative processes helped fishing businesses stay viable under new regulations, which laid the foundation for implementing the IFQ program. The 2016 key performance indicators of the program show that relative to the baseline period (the 3-year period prior to implementation), quota, landings, the number of active vessels, inflation-adjusted landings revenue, and inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel decreased, while no metrics increased.

## Policy Updates

While there were a number of new regulatory actions developed by the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council in 2017, all but one were not put into effect until 2018, which is beyond the time frame of this report.

In August 2017, NMFS implemented the Council's Unmanaged Forage Omnibus Amendment. ${ }^{1}$ This amendment restricts the development of new, and expansion of existing, directed commercial fisheries on certain unmanaged forage species in mid-Atlantic federal waters until the Council has had an adequate opportunity to assess the scientific information relating to any new or expanded directed fisheries and consider potential

[^70]impacts to existing fisheries, fishing communities, and the marine ecosystem. The final rule implements an annual landing limit, possession limits, and permitting and reporting requirements for Atlantic chub mackerel and 13 previously unmanaged forage species and species groups caught within Mid-Atlantic Federal waters; allows vessels to transit Mid-Atlantic Federal waters with forage species caught in other areas; and identifies measures that can be revised through a future framework. This is the first rule in the Atlantic to list forage species as ecosystem component species.

## COMMERCIAL FISHERIES -MID-ATLANTIC REGION

In this report, commercial fisheries refer to fishing operations that sell their catch for profit. The term does not include subsistence fishermen or saltwater anglers who fish for sport. It also excludes the for-hire sector, which earns its revenue from selling recreational fishing trips to saltwater anglers. The commercial fisheries section reports on economic impacts, landings revenue, landings, and ex-vessel prices of key species/species groups.

## Key Mid-Atlantic Region Commercial Species

- American lobster - Quahog clam
- Atlantic surf clam - Sea scallop
- Blue crab - Squid
- Eastern oyster - Striped bass
- Menhaden - Summer flounder


## Economic Impacts

The premise behind economic impact modeling is that every dollar spent in a regional economy (direct impact) is either saved or re-spent on additional goods or services. If those dollars are re-spent on other goods and services in the regional economy, this spending generates additional economic activity in the region. ${ }^{2}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how commercial fisheries landings affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses
affected by an activity, such as commercial fishing. The category includes both the direct sales of fish landed and sales made between businesses and households resulting from the original sale. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the sales of seafood or purchases of inputs to commercial fishing. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in numbers of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. The United States seafood industry is defined here as the commercial fishing sector, seafood processors and dealers, seafood wholesalers and distributors, importers, and seafood retailers. ${ }^{3}$

This report provides estimates of total economic impacts for the nation and for each of the 23 coastal states. Total economic impacts for each state and the nation represent the sum of direct impacts; indirect impacts (in this case, the impact from suppliers to the seafood industry); and induced impacts (spending by employees on personal and household expenditures, where employees of both the seafood industry and its full supply chain are included). That is, the total economic impact estimates reported here measure jobs, sales, value-added, and income impacts from the seafood industry as well as the economic activity generated throughout each region's broader economy from this industry.

In 2017, the commercial fishing and seafood industry in New York generated the largest employment impacts in the Mid-Atlantic region with 44,206 full- and part-time jobs. New Jersey generated the largest sales impacts ( $\$ 7.3$ billion), value-added impacts ( $\$ 2.6$ billion), and income impacts ( $\$ 1.6$ billion).

## Landings Trends

Landings revenue decreased $\$ 46.3$ million ( $-8 \%$ ) in the Mid-Atlantic Region from 2016 to 2017, with all states experiencing declines. New Jersey's decline was minor ( $-0.3 \%$ ). Virginia (down 12\%) accounted for the majority of this decrease ( $\$ 25.8$ million). In Virginia, the landings revenue decrease was primarily due to a

[^71]decrease in landings value of scallops (a $\$ 16.5$ million, $32 \%$ drop). While Virginia scallop landings volume were down $14 \%$, the scallop price declined $21 \%$ from $\$ 11.33$ per pound in 2016 to $\$ 8.94$ per pound in 2017. Region-wide, sea scallop landings revenue was down $\$ 43.3$ million (24\%) due to a $22 \%$ decline in scallop prices. The scallop price decline was due to the $46 \%$ increase in landings of scallops in New England (Mid-Atlantic landings remained essentially unchanged at -2\%) which drove prices down coast-wide.

The blue crab fishery is the most important fishery in terms of value for Maryland and Delaware and is the third most-valuable fishery in Virginia. Combined, these three states comprised $89.4 \%$ and $89.6 \%$ of regional blue crab landings and landings revenue, respectively, in 2017. There was, however, a sizable decrease in blue crab landings revenue in the region from 2016 to 2017 (down $18 \%$ or $\$ 20.3$ million), in part due to conservation measures implemented to reduce the harvest of juvenile crab that were at very low abundance levels in 2017 (down 54\%). ${ }^{4}$ Landings revenue decreased in all three states from 2016 to 2017: Delaware was down by $\$ 2.5$ million ( $27 \%$ ), Maryland by $\$ 7.1$ million (13\%); and Virginia by $\$ 13.9$ million (34\%).

The most significant landings value increase was a $\$ 10.1$ million (28\%) increase in menhaden, followed by a $\$ 9.3$ million (19\%) increase in oysters. The menhaden value increase is due nearly entirely to a $33 \%$ increase in price from $\$ 0.09$ per pound to $\$ 0.12$ per pound, given landings were largely flat (up $1 \%$ ) in the region. The menhaden price increase is coincident to the sharp decline in the Gulf of Mexico's menhaden landings, which fell 25\% ( 347 million pounds) and resulted in a $20 \%$ national decline in landings. Oyster landings decreased by $14 \%$ but were accompanied by a $38 \%$ increase in the average price from $\$ 8.98$ per pound to $\$ 12.41$ per pound. Although 2017 oyster landings were down relative to the previous year, the 2017 landings are about 2.6 times greater than nine years prior and landings revenue are about 5.2 times greater due to surging aquaculture production in Virginia during this time period.

## Landings Revenue

In 2017, landings revenue in the Mid-Atlantic totaled
\$508.1 million, a 12\% increase from 2008 (a 2\% decrease in real terms after adjusting for inflation) and an 8\% decrease from 2016. Landings revenue was highest in New Jersey ( $\$ 190.5$ million), followed by Virginia ( $\$ 183.2$ million).

Shellfish landings revenue accounted for 76\% of all landings revenue. In 2017, sea scallop ( $\$ 137$ million), blue crab ( $\$ 90.7$ million), and eastern oyster (\$57.9 million) had the highest landings revenue in this region. Together, these top three species accounted for $56 \%$ of total landings revenue.

From 2008 to 2017, eastern oyster (416\%, 351\% in real terms), squid (99\%, $74 \%$ in real terms), and menhaden ( $87 \%, 64 \%$ in real terms) had the largest increases, while Atlantic surf clam ( $-54 \%,-60 \%$ in real terms), American lobster ( $-53 \%,-59 \%$ in real terms), and sea scallop ( $-17 \%,-28 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, Atlantic surf clam (35\%), menhaden (28\%), and striped bass (23\%) had the largest increases, while sea scallop (-24\%), quahog clam (-19\%), and blue crab ( $-18 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

## Commercial Revenue: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Eastern oyster ( $416 \%, 351 \%$ in real terms)
- Squid (99\%, $74 \%$ in real terms)
- Menhaden ( $87 \%, 64 \%$ in real terms)

From 2016:

- Atlantic surf clam (35\%)
- Menhaden (28\%)
- Striped bass (23\%)


## Commercial Revenue: Largest Decreases

## From 2008:

- Atlantic surf clam ( $-54 \%,-60 \%$ in real terms)
- American lobster ( $-53 \%,-59 \%$ in real terms)
- Sea scallop ( $-17 \%,-28 \%$ in real terms)

From 2016:

- Sea scallop (-24\%)
- Quahog clam (-19\%)
- Blue crab (-18\%)

[^72]
## Landings

In 2017, Mid-Atlantic Region commercial fishermen landed over 620.3 million pounds of finfish and shellfish. This represents a $10 \%$ decrease from 2008 and a 1\% increase from 2016. Menhaden contributed the highest landings volume in the region, accounting for $63 \%$ of total landing weight.

From 2008 to 2017, squid (265\%) and eastern oyster (162\%) had the largest increases, while American lobster (-59\%), Atlantic surf clam (-57\%), and sea scallop (-38\%) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, squid (94\%), Atlantic surf clam (22\%), and striped bass (5\%) had the largest increases, while summer flounder (-25\%), quahog clam (-20\%), and blue crab (-17\%) had the largest decreases.

## Commercial Landings: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Squid (265\%)
- Eastern oyster (162\%)

From 2016:

- Squid (94\%)
- Atlantic surf clam (22\%)
- Striped bass (5\%)


## Commercial Landings: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- American lobster (-59\%)
- Atlantic surf clam (-57\%)
- Sea scallop (-38\%)

From 2016:

- Summer flounder (-25\%)
- Quahog clam (-20\%)
- Blue crab (-17\%)


## Prices

In 2017, eastern oyster ( $\$ 12.41$ per pound) received the highest ex-vessel price in the region. Landings of menhaden ( $\$ 0.12$ per pound) had the lowest ex-vessel price. From 2008 to 2017 , striped bass (147\%, 116\% in
real terms), eastern oyster ( $97 \%, 72 \%$ in real terms), and menhaden ( $92 \%, 68 \%$ in real terms) had the largest increases, while squid ( $-45 \%,-52 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decrease. From 2016 to 2017, eastern oyster (38\%), menhaden (27\%), and striped bass (17\%) had the largest increases, while squid ( $-49 \%$ ), sea scallop ( $-22 \%$ ), and blue crab ( $-1 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

## RECREATIONAL FISHERIES -MID-ATLANTIC REGION

In this report, recreational fishing refers to fishing for leisure rather than to sell fish (commercial fishing) or for subsistence. This recreational fisheries section reports on economic impacts and expenditures, angler participation, fishing trips, and catch of key species/species groups. ${ }^{5}$

## Key Mid-Atlantic Region Recreational Species ${ }^{6}$

- Black sea bass
- Porgies (scup)
- Bluefish
- Striped bass
- Drum (Atlantic croaker)
- Summer flounder
- Winter flounder
- Drum (spot)
- Wrasses (tautog)
- Drum (weakfish)


## Economic Impacts and Expenditures

The economic contribution of recreational fishing activities in the Mid-Atlantic Region is based on spending by recreational anglers. ${ }^{7}$ Total annual trip expenditures are estimated at the state level by multiplying mean trip expenditures by the estimated number of adult trips in each trip mode (for-hire, private boat, and shore) and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. Total annual durable expenditures are estimated by multiplying mean durable expenditures in each state by the estimated annual number of adult participants for each state and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. ${ }^{8}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how angler expenditures affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity,

[^73]such as recreational fishing. The category includes both the direct sales made to the angler and sales made between businesses and households resulting from that original sale to the angler. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of fulltime and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the purchases made by anglers. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in number of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. NOAA Fisheries uses a regional impact modeling software, called IMPLAN, to estimate these four types of impacts.

The economic contributions for both trip and durable expenditures from recreational fishing in 2017 were estimated using IMPLAN version 3, with base year data from 2017. Models for each state and for the nation were created in IMPLAN using trip expenditures (based on 2016/2017 survey data on average trip expenditures and total 2017 trips) and for durable expenditures (based on 2014 survey data on average durable expenditures and 2017 participants).

The greatest employment impacts from expenditures on saltwater recreational fishing in the Mid-Atlantic Region were generated in New Jersey ( 14,478 jobs), followed by New York (11,410 jobs) and Maryland (8,048 jobs). The largest sales impacts were observed in New Jersey ( $\$ 1.9$ billion), followed by New York ( $\$ 1.2$ billion) and Maryland ( $\$ 846.6$ million). The biggest income impacts were generated in New Jersey ( $\$ 804.1$ million), followed by New York ( $\$ 496.2$ million) and Maryland ( $\$ 335.3$ million). The greatest value-added impacts were in New Jersey ( $\$ 1.3$ billion), followed by New York ( $\$ 848.9$ million) and Maryland ( $\$ 558.7$ million).

Expenditures for fishing trips and durable equipment across the Mid-Atlantic Region in 2017 totaled \$4.4 billion. This total included $\$ 2.7$ billion in durable goods expenditures, with the largest portion coming from boat
expenses (\$1.6 billion).

## Participation

In 2017, there were 1.9 million recreational anglers who fished in the Mid-Atlantic Region. This number represented a $37 \%$ decrease from 2008 and a $21 \%$ decrease from 2016. The anglers are categorized as either residents from coastal (92\%) or non-coastal (8\%) counties.

## Fishing Trips

In 2017, recreational fishermen took 46 million fishing trips in the Mid-Atlantic Region. This number represented a 9\% decrease from 2008 and a 5\% decrease from 2016. The largest proportions of trips were taken in the shore mode ( $57 \%$ ) and private boat mode ( $41 \%$ ). States with the highest number of recorded trips in the Mid-Atlantic Region were New York ( 16.6 million trips) and New Jersey (12.3 million trips).

## Harvest and Release Trends

Of the Mid-Atlantic Region's key species and species groups, black sea bass ( 32.2 million fish), porgies (scup) ( 26 million fish), and summer flounder ( 25.9 million fish), were most frequently caught by recreational fishermen. The text box on the following page shows the species with the largest percentage increases and decreases in the past 10 years and in the past year.

From 2008 to 2017, wrasses (tautog) (77\%), drum (spot) (13\%), and striped bass (9\%) had the largest increases, while winter flounder ( $-86 \%$ ), drum (weakfish) ( $-82 \%$ ), and drum (Atlantic croaker) ( $-48 \%$ ) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, drum (spot) (148\%), drum (Atlantic croaker) (22\%), and bluefish (8\%) had the largest increases, while winter flounder (-74\%), drum (weakfish) (-54\%), and striped bass (-31\%) had the largest decreases.

Winter flounder recreational harvest limits have been in place since 2013.9,10 The same recreational fishing regulations for weakfish have been in place since 2009, but the stock is considered depleted. The ASMFC has been managing spot using a traffic light approach since 2014. ${ }^{11,12}$

[^74]Spot populations are known to fluctuate rapidly which may be reflected in the $148 \%$ increase in harvested and released spot from 2016 to 2017.

## Harvest and Release: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Wrasses (tautog) (77\%)
- Drum (spot) (13\%)
- Striped bass (9\%)

From 2016:

- Drum (spot) (148\%)
- Drum (Atlantic croaker) (22\%)
- Bluefish (8\%)

Harvest and Release: Largest Decreases
From 2008:

- Winter flounder (-86\%)
- Drum (weakfish) (-82\%)
- Drum (Atlantic croaker) (-48\%)

From 2016:

- Winter flounder (-74\%)
- Drum (weakfish) (-54\%)
- $\quad$ Striped bass (-31\%)


## MARINE ECONOMY - MIDATLANTIC REGION

For this report, the marine economy refers to the economic activity generated by fishing and marine-related industries in a coastal state. The national marine economy consists of two industry sectors: 1) seafood sales and processing (employer establishments and non-employer firms); and 2) transport, support, and marine operations (employer establishments). These sectors include several different marine-related industries. ${ }^{13,14}$

To measure the size of the commercial fishing sector in a state's economy relative to the size of the commercial fishing sector in the national economy, researchers use an index called the Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ). ${ }^{15,16}$ The CFLQ is calculated as the ratio of the percentage of regional employment in the commercial fishing sector relative to the percentage of national employment
in the commercial fishing sector. The United States CFLQ is 1 . If a state CFLQ is less than 1 , then less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. If a state CFLQ is greater than 1 , then more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics suppressed the CFLQ value for Delaware for 2016. Of the remaining states, New Jersey had the highest CFLQ at 0.93. Virginia had a CFLQ value of 0.6.

In 2016, 1.1 million employer establishments operated throughout the entire Mid-Atlantic Region (including marine and non-marine related establishments). These establishments employed 17.8 million workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 1$ trillion. The combined gross state product of Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, and Virginia was approximately \$3.1 trillion in 2016.

## Seafood Sales and Processing

## Seafood Product Preparation and Packaging: In

 2016, the Mid-Atlantic Region had 392 non-employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (a $72 \%$ increase from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 26.7$ million (a $75 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). There were 70 employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (a $12 \%$ decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 1,786 workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 90.1$ million. ${ }^{17}$ The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in New York (223), followed by Virginia (130) and Maryland (102).Seafood Sales, Retail: In 2016, there were 411 non-employer firms engaged in retail sales of seafood in the states that make up the Mid-Atlantic Region (a 20\% decrease from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 36.9$ million (a $38 \%$ decrease in real terms from 2008). There were 675 employer firms in the retail sales of seafood sector (a $1 \%$ increase from 2008). These establishments employed 3,550 workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 98.5$ million (a $50 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). ${ }^{18}$ The greatest number of estab-

[^75]lishments in this sector was in New York (973), followed by New Jersey (300) and Maryland (261).

Seafood Sales, Wholesale: There were 485 employer firms in the wholesale sales of seafood sector in the Mid-Atlantic Region in 2016 (a 9\% increase from 2008). These establishments employed 4,069 workers, and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 193.6$ million. ${ }^{19}$ The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in New York (286), followed by New Jersey (73) and Maryland (60).

## Transport, Support, and Marine Operations

Data for the transport, support, and marine operations sector of Mid-Atlantic Region's economy were largely suppressed for confidentiality reasons. It is clear, however, that these sectors play an important role in the regional economy. For example, in 2016, the ship and boat building sector in the Mid-Atlantic Region accounted for $\$ 2$ billion in payroll (a $1,272 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). The marine cargo handling sector in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey and New York totaled $\$ 659.5$ million in payroll in 2016.
${ }^{19}$ The Census Bureau suppressed number of employees and payroll data for this sector in one or more states in this region in either 2016 or 2008 , and thus cannot be compared.

## Tables | Mid-Atlantic Region



## Mid-Atlantic Region | Commercial Fisheries

|  | Landings Revenue | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Delaware | 9,140 | 1,434 | 334,784 | 60,250 | 107,675 | 345 | 44,761 | 9,480 | 15,203 |
| Maryland | 77,403 | 13,292 | 1,689,123 | 417,035 | 648,225 | 6,239 | 371,659 | 136,798 | 186,325 |
| New Jersey | 190,549 | 38,594 | 7,327,743 | 1,554,790 | 2,588,541 | 7,987 | 728,249 | 242,015 | 347,466 |
| New York | 47,767 | 44,206 | 6,119,112 | 1,308,258 | 2,164,733 | 3,343 | 175,702 | 61,049 | 85,393 |
| Virginia | 183,203 | 16,735 | 1,483,551 | 455,296 | 658,623 | 13,858 | 870,486 | 330,891 | 448,721 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 452,636 | 435,847 | 523,130 | 554,433 | 509,873 | 440,032 | 477,195 | 532,410 | 554,344 | 508,063 |
| Finfish \& Other | 91,280 | 101,445 | 113,285 | 121,775 | 129,518 | 122,571 | 119,137 | 116,226 | 110,147 | 124,113 |
| Shellfish | 361,356 | 334,403 | 409,845 | 432,658 | 380,355 | 317,461 | 358,058 | 416,184 | 444,196 | 383,950 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| American lobster | 7,213 | 5,989 | 6,281 | 4,738 | 5,271 | 4,063 | 3,731 | 3,196 | 3,125 | 3,421 |
| Atlantic surf clam | 3,019 | 26,426 | 19,940 | 18,737 | 16,501 | 13,688 | 12,792 | 13,959 | 10,171 | 13,716 |
| Blue crab | 80,912 | 80,019 | 127,735 | 101,634 | 101,946 | 85,577 | 89,020 | 98,825 | 110,983 | 90,718 |
| Eastern oyster | 11,205 | 9,356 | 12,038 | 13,043 | 20,231 | 43,700 | 54,577 | 60,795 | 48,516 | 57,853 |
| Menhaden | 24,457 | 28,581 | 40,345 | 39,675 | 40,043 | 33,770 | 33,332 | 40,332 | 35,707 | 45,790 |
| Quahog clam | 35,853 | 23,022 | 28,880 | 27,607 | 29,502 | 35,902 | 38,153 | 52,306 | 45,239 | 36,790 |
| Sea scallop | 165,916 | 161,814 | 184,290 | 227,448 | 168,921 | 100,411 | 125,680 | 150,716 | 180,276 | 137,018 |
| Squid | 7,724 | 7,158 | 12,027 | 20,562 | 17,819 | 12,038 | 8,294 | 8,528 | 15,478 | 15,394 |
| Striped bass | 10,671 | 11,459 | 11,306 | 12,669 | 13,862 | 17,790 | 16,553 | 13,015 | 14,948 | 18,359 |
| Summer flounder | 9,693 | 9,980 | 12,850 | 15,614 | 17,193 | 17,153 | 13,195 | 14,400 | 13,594 | 11,603 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 687,788 | 694,960 | 812,742 | 798,916 | 762,858 | 586,778 | 595,487 | 655,986 | 611,875 | 620,317 |
| Finfish \& Other | 481,567 | 489,221 | 578,297 | 576,603 | 570,060 | 446,529 | 455,887 | 506,005 | 447,329 | 457,149 |
| Shellfish | 206,221 | 205,739 | 234,446 | 222,312 | 192,798 | 140,249 | 139,600 | 149,981 | 164,546 | 163,168 |

Key Species

| American lobster | 1,520 | 1,576 | 1,553 | 1,096 | 1,546 | 1,229 | 818 | 632 | 601 | 622 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atlantic surf clam | 48,099 | 41,692 | 30,946 | 30,272 | 26,535 | 22,788 | 21,430 | 23,011 | 16,790 | 20,468 |
| Blue crab | 67,975 | 76,097 | 119,283 | 104,419 | 88,974 | 56,075 | 54,413 | 68,051 | 76,761 | 63,351 |
| Eastern oyster | 1,778 | 1,438 | 1,770 | 2,038 | 2,749 | 4,927 | 5,456 | 6,614 | 5,406 | 4,662 |
| Menhaden | 397,537 | 395,469 | 49,747 | 496,876 | 492,532 | 366,505 | 379,997 | 436,392 | 384,201 | 388,008 |
| Quahog clam | 5,246 | 3,255 | 3,685 | 3,551 | 3,730 | 4,586 | 5,016 | 7,123 | 6,231 | 4,998 |
| Sea scallop | 24,355 | 25,646 | 23,999 | 23,386 | 17,627 | 8,855 | 10,256 | 12,202 | 15,569 | 15,186 |
| Squid | 8,241 | 8,310 | 26,809 | 33,150 | 26,069 | 14,515 | 8,142 | 7,970 | 15,481 | 30,102 |
| Striped bass | 5,693 | 5,852 | 5,582 | 5,461 | 5,333 | 4,673 | 5,045 | 3,809 | 3,776 | 3,970 |
| Summer flounder | 4,260 | 5,137 | 6,385 | 8,673 | 7,795 | 8,026 | 4,901 | 4,975 | 3,627 | 2,729 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American lobster | 4.75 | 3.80 | 4.04 | 4.32 | 3.41 | 3.31 | 4.56 | 5.06 | 5.20 | 5.50 |
| Atlantic surf clam | 0.62 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.67 |
| Blue crab | 1.19 | 1.05 | 1.07 | 0.97 | 1.15 | 1.53 | 1.64 | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.43 |
| Eastern oyster | 6.30 | 6.51 | 6.80 | 6.40 | 7.36 | 8.87 | 10.00 | 9.19 | 8.98 | 12.41 |
| Menhaden | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.12 |
| Quahog clam | 6.83 | 7.07 | 7.84 | 7.77 | 7.91 | 7.83 | 7.61 | 7.34 | 7.26 | 7.36 |
| Sea scallop | 6.81 | 6.31 | 7.68 | 9.73 | 9.58 | 11.34 | 12.25 | 12.35 | 11.58 | 9.02 |
| Squid | 0.94 | 0.86 | 0.45 | 0.62 | 0.68 | 0.83 | 1.02 | 1.07 | 1.00 | 0.51 |
| Striped bass | 1.87 | 1.96 | 2.03 | 2.32 | 2.60 | 3.81 | 3.28 | 3.42 | 3.96 | 4.62 |
| Summer flounder | 2.28 | 1.94 | 2.01 | 1.80 | 2.21 | 2.14 | 2.69 | 2.89 | 3.75 | 4.25 |

2017 Economic Impacts of the Mid-Atlantic Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars, trips)

|  | Trips | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Delaware | 1,991 | 1,672 | 182,349 | 67,767 | 121,421 |
| Maryland | 8,343 | 8,048 | 846,572 | 335,327 | 558,692 |
| New Jersey | 12,288 | 14,478 | $1,875,954$ | 804,106 | $1,255,017$ |
| New York | 16,634 | 11,410 | $1,154,290$ | 496,168 | 848,945 |
| Virginia | 6,749 | 7,176 | 764,383 | 295,511 | 499,039 |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 100,271 | Fishing Tackle | 699,534 |
| Private Boat | 959,316 | Other Equipment | 246,259 |
| Shore | 574,231 | Boat Expenses | 1,598,062 |
| Total | 1,633,818 | Vehicle Expenses | 173,655 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 12,191 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 2,729,700 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 4,363,518 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 2,823 | 2,437 | 2,598 | 2,244 | 2,093 | 2,080 | 2,111 | 1,860 | 2,238 | 1,751 |
| Non-Coastal | 197 | 187 | 178 | 145 | 175 | 139 | 130 | 124 | 169 | 147 |
| Total Anglers | 3,020 | 2,623 | 2,776 | 2,389 | 2,268 | 2,219 | 2,241 | 1,984 | 2,407 | 1,898 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 1,146 | 1,109 | 871 | 1,031 | 983 | 1,361 | 1,209 | 1,299 | 688 | $\mathbf{7 4 3}$ |
| Private | 22,536 | 22,753 | 24,273 | 22,649 | 22,528 | 21,648 | 20,821 | 18,975 | 19,112 | 18,863 |
| Shore | 27,133 | 27,660 | 29,410 | 29,535 | 29,617 | 28,119 | 29,679 | 27,409 | 28,558 | 26,399 |
| Total Trips | 50,815 | 51,522 | 54,554 | 53,214 | 53,129 | 51,128 | 51,710 | 47,683 | 48,359 | 46,005 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish)

|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Black sea bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H | 1,997 | 3,054 | 3,221 | 1,092 | $\mathbf{2 , 1 7 1}$ | 2,054 | 2,062 | 3,146 | 3,935 | 4,300 |
|  | R | 20,420 | 16,444 | 18,521 | 8,802 | 24,303 | 15,652 | 11,901 | 14,406 | $\mathbf{2 3 , 0 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 9 1 2}$ |
| Bluefish | H | 6,803 | 7,268 | 7,770 | 8,379 | 7,886 | 5,807 | 10,557 | 5,256 | 6,108 | 6,719 |
|  | R | 16,399 | 15,134 | 13,328 | 13,772 | 15,150 | 9,207 | 15,481 | 10,901 | 11,933 | 12,805 |
| Drum (Atlantic | H | 15,625 | 15,419 | 16,307 | 10,726 | 12,385 | 18,080 | 13,390 | 10,437 | 7,969 | 8,129 |
| croaker) | R | 22,391 | 20,848 | 17,969 | 15,564 | 26,605 | 30,906 | 15,221 | 8,602 | 8,250 | 11,661 |
| Drum (spot) | H | 13,040 | 11,796 | 11,511 | 12,741 | 14,839 | 16,002 | 18,694 | 3,174 | 6,456 | 19,235 |
|  | R | 8,984 | 6,456 | 7,705 | 8,266 | 11,896 | 18,447 | 6,604 | 2,746 | 3,591 | 5,650 |
| Drum | H | 659 | 101 | 377 | 28 | 386 | 135 | 59 | 100 | 58 | 120 |
| (weakfish) | R | 4,641 | 420 | 1,239 | 1,215 | 1,972 | 626 | 652 | 1,219 | 1,978 | 819 |
| Porgies (scup) | H | 2,454 | 3,114 | 5,189 | 2,336 | 1,912 | 3,376 | 2,832 | 7,101 | 4,450 | 8,650 |
|  | R | 6,317 | 6,794 | 5,150 | 3,760 | 5,647 | 7,025 | 4,907 | 8,331 | 13,098 | 17,387 |
| Striped bass | H | 3,479 | 3,596 | 4,122 | 3,529 | 2,699 | 3,785 | 3,103 | 2,368 | 3,047 | 2,328 |
|  | R | 11,853 | 11,293 | 11,705 | 9,350 | 13,897 | 15,757 | 15,196 | 16,664 | 21,183 | 14,452 |
| Summer | H | 2,958 | 3,144 | 2,698 | 3,477 | 4,969 | 5,633 | 4,337 | 3,249 | 3,680 | 2,732 |
| flounder | R | 33,122 | 45,411 | 53,519 | 48,568 | 36,828 | 35,595 | 36,106 | 28,159 | 24,784 | 23,160 |
| Winter | H | 128 | 161 | 167 | 234 | 177 | 21 | 124 | 18 | 93 | 9 |
| flounder | R | 100 | 271 | 296 | 259 | 125 | 104 | 47 | 105 | 31 | 23 |
| Wrasses | H | 1,434 | 1,738 | 2,053 | 972 | 577 | 1,055 | 1,667 | 987 | 1,349 | 1,048 |
| (tautog) | R | 4,651 | 5,714 | 6,669 | 5,018 | 5,626 | 7,082 | 5,460 | 7,617 | 10,302 | 9,746 |
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## Delaware | Commercial Fisheries

2017 Economic Impacts of the Delaware Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| Total Impacts | 1,434 | 334,784 | 60,250 | 107,675 | 345 | 44,761 | 9,480 | 15,203 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 169 | 16,747 | 3,985 | 5,396 | 169 | 16,747 | 3,985 | 5,396 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 205 | 41,627 | 7,324 | 14,080 | 32 | 6,484 | 1,141 | 2,193 |
| Importers | 711 | 224,036 | 35,906 | 68,296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 128 | 19,578 | 7,444 | 8,875 | 21 | 3,276 | 1,245 | 1,485 |
| Retail | 220 | 32,796 | 5,591 | 11,028 | 123 | 18,255 | 3,109 | 6,130 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Revenue | 6,900 | 7,543 | 7,845 | 7,092 | 8,464 | 7,307 | 7,220 | 6,843 | 11,494 | 9,140 |
| Finfish \& Other | 1,092 | 1,004 | 1,047 | 1,248 | 1,012 | 1,378 | 1,219 | 1,072 | 1,091 | 855 |
| Shellfish | 5,808 | 6,538 | 6,798 | 5,844 | 7,452 | 5,929 | 6,001 | 5,771 | 10,402 | 8,285 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| American eel | 190 | 134 | 206 | 274 | 159 | 244 | 156 | 127 | 130 | 40 |
| Black sea bass | 156 | 25 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 | NA | 304 | 7 | 267 |
| Blue crab | 4,605 | 5,435 | 5,957 | 4,819 | 6,664 | 4,576 | 4,379 | 4,498 | 9,145 | 6,644 |
| Eastern oyster | 410 | 334 | 404 | 347 | 345 | 407 | 420 | 358 | 498 | 682 |
| Quahog clam | 127 | 117 | 110 | 143 | 123 | 177 | 133 | 97 | 69 | 101 |
| Sea scallop | 256 | 173 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Spot | 40 | 49 | 50 | 66 | 16 | 66 | 104 | 3 | 28 | 1 |
| Striped bass | 403 | 327 | 400 | 412 | 470 | 650 | 496 | 462 | 508 | 468 |
| Weakfish | 18 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 56 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 2 |
| Whelks | 352 | 389 | 272 | 361 | 83 | 414 | 577 | 436 | 374 | 276 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 4,706 | 5,011 | 5,214 | 4,921 | 5,640 | 4,048 | 3,727 | 3,529 | 5,684 | $\mathbf{4 , 7 2 9}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 630 | 773 | 718 | 881 | 628 | 774 | 853 | 658 | 547 | 414 |
| Shellfish | 4,076 | 4,238 | 4,496 | 4,040 | 5,012 | 3,274 | 2,874 | 2,871 | 5,138 | 4,315 |


| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American eel | 80 | 60 | 69 | 91 | 54 | 83 | 62 | 45 | 45 | 14 |
| Black sea bass | 61 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 4 | NA | 112 | 2 | 114 |
| Blue crab | 3,508 | 3,414 | 4,110 | 3,502 | 4,571 | 2,488 | 2,000 | 2,124 | 4,555 | 3,452 |
| Eastern oyster | 67 | 67 | 71 | 62 | 60 | 71 | 73 | 61 | 72 | 75 |
| Quahog clam | 36 | 31 | 30 | 39 | 32 | 43 | 41 | 30 | 18 | 28 |
| Sea scallop | 38 | 25 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Spot | 32 | 61 | 60 | 82 | 18 | 73 | 107 | 3 | 14 | 1 |
| Striped bass | 189 | 184 | 185 | 185 | 190 | 187 | 167 | 144 | 137 | 138 |
| Weakfish | 11 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 29 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| Whelks | 217 | 313 | 138 | 131 | 29 | 156 | 229 | 177 | 139 | 110 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American eel | 2.38 | 2.24 | 3.00 | 3.03 | 2.93 | 2.94 | 2.50 | 2.83 | 2.93 | 2.94 |
| Black sea bass | 2.57 | 4.31 | 2.63 | 0.50 | 0.85 | 0.50 | NA | 2.73 | 4.50 | 2.34 |
| Blue crab | 1.31 | 1.59 | 1.45 | 1.38 | 1.46 | 1.84 | 2.19 | 2.12 | 2.01 | 1.92 |
| Eastern oyster | 6.09 | 4.97 | 5.67 | 5.56 | 5.76 | 5.71 | 5.71 | 5.85 | 6.90 | 9.07 |
| Quahog clam | 3.57 | 3.79 | 3.69 | 3.72 | 3.84 | 4.07 | 3.25 | 3.26 | 3.75 | 3.61 |
| Sea scallop | 6.81 | 6.80 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Spot | 1.24 | 0.81 | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 2.02 | 1.06 |
| Striped bass | 2.13 | 1.77 | 2.16 | 2.22 | 2.47 | 3.47 | 2.98 | 3.21 | 3.72 | 3.39 |
| Weakfish | 1.75 | 1.93 | 1.56 | 2.01 | 1.95 | 1.92 | 1.87 | 1.92 | 1.75 | 1.68 |
| Whelks | 1.62 | 1.24 | 1.97 | 2.76 | 2.89 | 2.66 | 2.51 | 2.46 | 2.69 | 2.51 |

[^77]|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 34 | 2,899 | 1,086 | 1,644 |
|  | Private Boat | 278 | 36,390 | 11,181 | 22,543 |
|  | Shore | 537 | 58,059 | 20,031 | 39,223 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 823 | 85,001 | 35,469 | 58,011 |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  | 1,672 | 182,349 | 67,767 | 121,421 |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 1,895 | Fishing Tackle | 30,131 |
| Private Boat | 34,372 | Other Equipment | 10,253 |
| Shore | 51,233 | Boat Expenses | 56,735 |
| Total | 87,501 | Vehicle Expenses | 6,288 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 0 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 103,407 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 190,908 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 134 | 114 | 128 | 129 | 111 | 82 | 93 | 67 | 104 | 80 |
| Non-Coastal | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Out-of-State | 182 | 173 | 165 | 190 | 151 | 97 | 146 | 84 | 168 | 94 |
| Total Anglers | 315 | 287 | 293 | 318 | 262 | 179 | 239 | 151 | 272 | 174 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 70 | 45 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 37 | 39 | 37 | 14 | 14 |
| Private | 959 | 1,034 | 1,065 | 1,028 | 973 | 950 | 858 | 744 | 637 | 680 |
| Shore | 1,637 | 1,871 | 2,012 | 1,832 | 1,523 | 1,448 | 1,593 | 1,289 | 1,480 | 1,297 |
| Total Trips | 2,666 | 2,950 | 3,097 | 2,878 | 2,516 | 2,435 | 2,491 | 2,071 | 2,130 | 1,991 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic mackerel | H | NA | 0 | NA | NA | 0 | < 1 | NA | < 1 | 0 | < 1 |
|  | R | NA | 2 | NA | NA | < 1 | < 1 | NA | 0 | < 1 | 0 |
| Black sea bass | H | 39 | 103 | 70 | 121 | 108 | 48 | 48 | 57 | 95 | 111 |
|  | R | 1,036 | 803 | 708 | 580 | 605 | 512 | 528 | 526 | 780 | 484 |
| Bluefish | H | 160 | 301 | 98 | 124 | 95 | 57 | 333 | 235 | 110 | 261 |
|  | R | 484 | 751 | 210 | 396 | 400 | 161 | 802 | 464 | 359 | 612 |
| Drum (Atlantic croaker) | H | 639 | 983 | 208 | 213 | 202 | 530 | 806 | 335 | 25 | 66 |
|  | R | 1,163 | 1,284 | 1,057 | 215 | 1,036 | 1,812 | 1,397 | 309 | 391 | 231 |
| Drum (weakfish) | H | 10 | 9 | < 1 | < 1 | 11 | 16 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | R | 153 | 10 | 42 | 14 | 213 | 52 | 55 | 34 | 63 | 38 |
| Striped bass | H | 68 | 65 | 61 | 44 | 51 | 71 | 26 | 42 | 6 | 28 |
|  | R | 633 | 444 | 256 | 338 | 358 | 273 | 530 | 309 | 218 | 254 |
| Summer flounder | H | 69 | 169 | 144 | 141 | 101 | 120 | 189 | 120 | 173 | 100 |
|  | R | 1,137 | 1,957 | 1,669 | 1,330 | 556 | 518 | 651 | 431 | 557 | 596 |
| White perch | H | 109 | 155 | 638 | 344 | 183 | 331 | 305 | 118 | 10 | 99 |
|  | R | 673 | 455 | 1,232 | 876 | 534 | 1,139 | 186 | 355 | 46 | 179 |
| Wrasses (tautog) | H | 163 | 324 | 182 | 118 | 95 | 97 | 132 | 29 | 46 | 32 |
|  | R | 300 | 1,108 | 868 | 312 | 226 | 322 | 200 | 113 | 277 | 389 |
| Yellowfin tuna | H | 2 | < 1 | < 1 | 1 | < 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | < 1 | NA |
|  | R | 0 | <1 | 0 | < 1 | 0 | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | 0 | NA |
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## Delaware | Marine Economy

| 2016 Delaware State Economy (\% of national total) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#Non-Employer <br> Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual <br> Payroll <br> (\$ billions) | Employee <br> Compensation <br> (\$ billions) | Gross State <br> Product <br> (\$ billions) | Commercial <br> Fishing <br> Location |
| Quotient ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 3 | NA | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 3 |
|  | Receipts | 27 | NA | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 558 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 13 | 11 | 11 |
|  | Receipts | 418 | 813 | 1,107 | 1,226 | 1,333 | 520 | 452 | 479 | 608 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 6 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 54 | 56 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 3,020 | 2,381 | 2,404 | 2,707 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 18 | 16 | 15 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 12 |
|  | Employees | ds | 50 | 47 | 49 | ds | 60 | 52 | 36 | 45 |
|  | Payroll | 1,498 | 1,348 | 1,414 | 1,493 | 1,545 | 1,396 | 1,261 | 1,224 | 1,037 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | 50 | 61 | 55 | 57 | 53 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | 2,313 | 2,516 | 2,174 | 2,168 | 2,410 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | 120 | ds | ds | ds | ds | 98 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | 10,768 | ds | ds | ds | ds | 8,771 | 0 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | NA | NA | 1 | NA | NA | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Employees | NA | NA | ds | NA | NA | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | NA | NA | ds | NA | NA | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 2 | 2 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | 0 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | 29 | 44 | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | 1,182 | 1,512 | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Employees | 629 | ds | 434 | 511 | ds | 565 | 541 | 577 | 540 |
|  | Payroll | 19,204 | 16,952 | 16,835 | 19,203 | ds | 20,698 | 22,789 | 23,370 | 22,994 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
|  | Employees | 79 | 85 | 76 | 78 | ds | 82 | 92 | 81 | 92 |
|  | Payroll | 5,360 | 5,672 | 5,176 | 5,096 | 3,111 | 5,330 | 5,350 | 5,938 | 6,709 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 19 | 16 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
|  | Employees | 65 | ds | 65 | ds | 67 | 64 | 95 | 86 | 86 |
|  | Payroll | 1,738 | 1,877 | 2,342 | 3,106 | 1,963 | 2,196 | 2,293 | 2,527 | 2,527 |
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## Maryland | Commercial Fisheries

2017 Economic Impacts of the Maryland Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 13,292 | 1,689,123 | 417,035 | 648,225 | 6,239 | 371,659 | 136,798 | 186,325 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 2,490 | 136,579 | 38,868 | 60,712 | 2,490 | 136,579 | 38,868 | 60,712 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 1,559 | 157,374 | 61,328 | 78,312 | 566 | 57,123 | 22,260 | 28,425 |
| Importers | 3,251 | 1,023,852 | 164,092 | 312,115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 707 | 106,195 | 36,098 | 47,932 | 179 | 26,854 | 9,128 | 12,121 |
| Retail | 5,285 | 265,122 | 116,649 | 149,155 | 3,004 | 151,103 | 66,541 | 85,067 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 73,196 | 75,893 | 103,821 | 82,563 | 84,305 | 81,137 | 91,050 | 88,072 | 90,361 | $\mathbf{7 7}, \mathbf{4 0 3}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 11,264 | 11,691 | 13,011 | 13,126 | 14,960 | 15,640 | 18,845 | 15,469 | 17,725 | 16,256 |
| Shellfish | 61,933 | 64,202 | 90,810 | 69,437 | 69,345 | 65,497 | 72,205 | 72,604 | 72,636 | 61,147 |

Key Species

| Atlantic croaker | 442 | 415 | 482 | 482 | 663 | 447 | 492 | 342 | 179 | 136 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Black sea bass | 445 | 451 | 590 | 507 | 421 | 710 | 834 | 792 | 896 | 1,171 |
| Blue crab | 50,115 | 52,049 | 79,055 | 60,326 | 60,467 | 50,167 | 52,848 | 52,084 | 54,534 | 47,391 |
| Clams or bivalves | 5,436 | 4,403 | 5,400 | 4,173 | 2,259 | 382 | 1,253 | 1,915 | 3,563 | 1,468 |
| Eastern oyster | 2,277 | 3,849 | 4,385 | 3,691 | 5,710 | 13,827 | 15,687 | 15,093 | 12,265 | 10,301 |
| Menhaden | 915 | 884 | 729 | 685 | 1,669 | 894 | 1,380 | 1,222 | 1,036 | 549 |
| Sea scallop | 3,758 | 3,160 | 1,188 | 551 | 202 | 8 | 1,328 | 3,077 | 1,798 | 820 |
| Striped bass | 5,232 | 5,180 | 5,425 | 5,623 | 6,172 | 8,043 | 8,092 | 6,194 | 7,131 | 6,874 |
| Summer flounder | 578 | 551 | 541 | 463 | 380 | 541 | 598 | 597 | 668 | 409 |
| White perch | 776 | 942 | 1,154 | 1,493 | 1,429 | 1,078 | 1,360 | 1,351 | 1,232 | 1,265 |


|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Landings | 63,534 | 66,819 | 101,735 | 78,162 | 77,259 | 47,200 | 49,922 | 53,833 | 59,057 | 48,281 |
| Finfish \& Other | 18,732 | 20,038 | 27,227 | 20,490 | 29,188 | 19,361 | 21,201 | 21,082 | 18,784 | 16,433 |
| Shellfish | 44,802 | 46,781 | 74,507 | 57,673 | 48,071 | 27,839 | 28,721 | 32,751 | 40,273 | 31,848 |

Key Species

| Atlantic croaker | 778 | 550 | 589 | 804 | 1,041 | 852 | 504 | 340 | 162 | 93 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Black sea bass | 159 | 126 | 203 | 182 | 144 | 234 | 252 | 236 | 272 | 389 |
| Blue crab | 34,872 | 38,801 | 66,262 | 51,163 | 43,741 | 24,797 | 24,690 | 28,759 | 36,734 | 29,421 |
| Clams or bivalves | 8,600 | 6,292 | 6,971 | 5,412 | 2,962 | 609 | 1,955 | 1,983 | 2,224 | 214 |
| Eastern oyster | 249 | 498 | 432 | 356 | 618 | 1,404 | 1,196 | 1,191 | 887 | 660 |
| Menhaden | 9,615 | 9,419 | 15,467 | 8,016 | 16,383 | 7,595 | 8,363 | 8,786 | 6,473 | 3,520 |
| Sea scallop | 569 | 521 | 153 | 58 | 20 | 1 | 110 | 248 | 151 | 87 |
| Striped bass | 2,655 | 2,812 | 2,510 | 2,343 | 2,285 | 1,981 | 2,353 | 1,708 | 1,718 | 1,767 |
| Summer flounder | 208 | 214 | 261 | 259 | 165 | 194 | 192 | 188 | 159 | 103 |
| White perch | 858 | 1,301 | 1,700 | 2,059 | 1,955 | 1,271 | 1,516 | 1,741 | 1,868 | 1,510 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atlantic croaker | 0.57 | 0.75 | 0.82 | 0.60 | 0.64 | 0.52 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 1.10 | 1.46 |
| Black sea bass | 2.79 | 3.59 | 2.90 | 2.78 | 2.92 | 3.03 | 3.31 | 3.35 | 3.30 | 3.01 |
| Blue crab | 1.44 | 1.34 | 1.19 | 1.18 | 1.38 | 2.02 | 2.14 | 1.81 | 1.48 | 1.61 |
| Clams or bivalves | 0.63 | 0.70 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.97 | 1.60 | 6.86 |
| Eastern oyster | 9.13 | 7.73 | 10.15 | 10.37 | 9.24 | 9.85 | 13.11 | 12.67 | 13.83 | 15.61 |
| Menhaden | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Sea scallop | 6.60 | 6.06 | 7.77 | 9.53 | 10.23 | 12.77 | 12.11 | 12.40 | 11.94 | 9.45 |
| Striped bass | 1.97 | 1.84 | 2.16 | 2.40 | 2.70 | 4.06 | 3.44 | 3.63 | 4.15 | 3.89 |
| Summer flounder | 2.78 | 2.58 | 2.07 | 1.78 | 2.30 | 2.80 | 3.11 | 3.18 | 4.20 | 3.96 |
| White perch | 0.90 | 0.72 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.78 | 0.66 | 0.84 |

2017 Economic Impacts of Maryland Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | 565 | 55,948 | 21,657 | 34,408 |  |
|  | For-Hire | 1,421 | 140,076 | 51,658 | 88,530 |
|  | Private Boat | 1,505 | 127,122 | 46,641 | 84,303 |
|  | Shore | 4,557 | 523,426 | 215,371 | 351,451 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 8,048 | 846,572 | 335,327 | 558,692 |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  |  |  |  |  |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 35,032 | Fishing Tackle | 117,291 |
| Private Boat | 145,337 | Other Equipment | 55,208 |
| Shore | 111,466 | Boat Expenses | 318,900 |
| Total | 291,834 | Vehicle Expenses | 41,830 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 2,519 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 535,748 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 827,582 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 643 | 514 | 552 | 415 | 374 | 404 | 413 | 364 | 453 | 353 |
| Non-Coastal | 50 | 43 | 54 | 49 | 40 | 36 | 41 | 31 | 23 | 41 |
| Out-of-State | 507 | 327 | 462 | 372 | 258 | 329 | 338 | 352 | 352 | 265 |
| Total Anglers | 1,200 | 884 | 1,068 | 836 | 672 | 769 | 792 | 748 | 829 | 659 |


| Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| For-Hire | 179 | 189 | 136 | 154 | 156 | 153 | 189 | 177 | 131 | 211 |
| Private | 4,270 | 4,345 | 4,897 | 4,708 | 5,150 | 4,861 | 4,167 | 4,366 | 4,160 | 3,415 |
| Shore | 3,667 | 4,309 | 4,829 | 4,859 | 4,234 | 4,695 | 5,038 | 4,586 | 5,073 | 4,717 |
| Total Trips | 8,115 | 8,843 | 9,862 | 9,721 | 9,539 | 9,710 | 9,394 | 9,129 | 9,364 | 8,343 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black sea bass | H | 28 | 35 | 42 | 79 | 161 | 27 | 63 | 89 | 207 | 163 |
|  | R | 1,275 | 1,080 | 2,027 | 811 | 1,323 | 768 | 956 | 763 | 1,054 | 886 |
| Bluefish | H | 1,075 | 1,517 | 739 | 731 | 349 | 119 | 396 | 287 | 212 | 175 |
|  | R | 2,906 | 1,813 | 572 | 1,037 | 521 | 723 | 491 | 662 | 556 | 196 |
| Drum (Atlantic croaker) | H | 1,058 | 2,587 | 2,995 | 1,531 | 2,566 | 2,309 | 2,197 | 1,739 | 659 | 426 |
|  | R | 3,644 | 2,425 | 3,061 | 937 | 7,091 | 7,557 | 2,807 | 1,236 | 727 | 2,834 |
| Drum (spot) | H | 3,838 | 4,588 | 2,840 | 2,125 | 2,121 | 2,456 | 4,396 | 1,352 | 1,145 | 3,287 |
|  | R | 3,273 | 1,901 | 2,773 | 783 | 3,292 | 7,621 | 2,207 | 642 | 713 | 2,288 |
| Striped bass | H | 780 | 1,105 | 1,152 | 1,113 | 720 | 1,185 | 1,640 | 1,112 | 1,546 | 1,092 |
|  | R | 3,222 | 4,011 | 5,390 | 3,484 | 9,001 | 6,676 | 8,304 | 8,524 | 13,781 | 7,788 |
| Summer flounder | H | 131 | 178 | 76 | 47 | 99 | 119 | 118 | 98 | 40 | 57 |
|  | R | 1,862 | 2,553 | 4,082 | 1,632 | 852 | 915 | 1,358 | 719 | 1,712 | 857 |
| Weakfish drum | H | 3 | 10 | 13 | < 1 | 39 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 9 |
|  | R | 86 | 30 | 417 | 51 | 72 | 20 | 27 | 341 | 161 | 42 |
| White perch | H | 3,662 | 1,425 | 7,239 | 4,341 | 5,820 | 6,827 | 2,746 | 3,817 | 6,028 | 4,380 |
|  | R | 8,367 | 3,857 | 8,715 | 7,837 | 16,250 | 18,587 | 7,879 | 7,200 | 10,339 | 7,387 |
| Wrasses (tautog) | H | 45 | 107 | 290 | 64 | 20 | 23 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 19 |
|  | R | 326 | 383 | 1,318 | 340 | 651 | 325 | 5 | 267 | 530 | 761 |
| Yellowfin tuna | H | < 1 | 7 | 1 | < 1 | NA | 4 | 17 | 12 | 23 | 112 |
|  | R | 0 | 2 | $<1$ | 0 | NA | 10 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 10 |

[^80]
## 2016 Maryland State Economy (\% of national total)

| \#Non-Employer Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual Payroll (\$ billions) | Employee Compensation (\$ billions) | Gross State Product (\$ billions) | Commercial Fishing Location Quotient ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 487,540 (2\%) | 138,480 (1.8\%) | 2,282,725 (1.8\%) | 122 (1.9\%) | 209 (2.1\%) | 387 | 0.59 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 56 | 42 | 43 | 55 | 67 | 49 | 60 | 53 | 64 |
|  | Receipts | 3,310 | 2,268 | 2,138 | 2,374 | 3,030 | 3,158 | 3,230 | 3,133 | 3,440 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 84 | 94 | 85 | 86 | 96 | 95 | 87 | 87 | 91 |
|  | Receipts | 9,010 | 8,819 | 6,177 | 7,396 | 6,454 | 6,147 | 8,437 | 8,104 | 9,426 |

Seafood Sales and Processing - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 22 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 19 |
|  | Employees | 1,003 | 245 | 273 | 264 | 266 | 309 | 284 | 288 | 260 |
|  | Payroll | 39,328 | 13,049 | 12,652 | 12,773 | 13,587 | 12,455 | 13,131 | 13,631 | 17,775 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 60 | 61 | 63 | 57 | 60 | 58 | 58 | 53 | 60 |
|  | Employees | 851 | 777 | 795 | 775 | 724 | 636 | 630 | 605 | 654 |
|  | Payroll | 42,296 | 39,055 | 39,067 | 38,971 | 34,194 | 30,119 | 31,503 | 33,739 | 36,196 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 94 | 87 | 87 | 88 | 87 | 87 | 83 | 79 | 85 |
|  | Employees | 590 | 485 | 526 | 562 | 575 | 574 | 562 | 539 | 561 |
|  | Payroll | 11,510 | 11,499 | 11,810 | 12,883 | 13,027 | 13,623 | 13,907 | 15,033 | 15,91 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{2,3}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 46 | 38 | 35 | 35 | 34 | 31 | 35 | 36 | 36 |
|  | Employees | 677 | 416 | ds | 633 | 378 | 371 | 449 | 456 | 482 |
|  | Payroll | 22,363 | 16,238 | ds | 36,675 | 14,619 | 16,822 | 18,130 | 20,599 | 21,425 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 13 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 9 |
|  | Employees | 250 | 255 | 390 | 329 | 245 | 139 | 135 | 118 | 140 |
|  | Payroll | 19,765 | 20,722 | 24,185 | 25,071 | 17,938 | 10,041 | 11,600 | 11,097 | 10,396 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | NA | 1 | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | ds | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | ds | NA | NA | NA |
| Coastal and Great Lakes Freight Transportation | Establishments | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 8 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 538 | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 22 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 14 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | 1,875 | 962 | 1,220 | 1,349 | 1,080 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | 93,001 | 44,436 | 57,543 | 55,375 | 52,510 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 6 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
|  | Employees | 1,572 | 1,599 | 2,742 | 1,924 | ds | 1,519 | 1,132 | 1,140 | 1,424 |
|  | Payroll | 48,382 | 46,727 | 95,182 | 86,680 | ds | 60,500 | 60,962 | 81,751 | 75,022 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 9 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
|  | Employees | 92 | 77 | 84 | 84 | ds | 245 | 131 | 125 | 114 |
|  | Payroll | 3,968 | 3,807 | 4,015 | 4,259 | ds | 17,066 | 6,345 | 6,411 | 6,055 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 179 | 176 | 175 | 172 | 159 | 170 | 166 | 172 | 171 |
|  | Employees | 1,383 | 1,289 | 1,275 | 1,294 | 1,276 | 1,328 | 1,366 | 1,380 | 1,396 |
|  | Payroll | 45,965 | 45,483 | 43,508 | 43,330 | 43,531 | 45,540 | 47,443 | 50,633 | 51,934 |
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New Jersey | Commercial Fisheries

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 38,594 | 7,327,743 | 1,554,790 | 2,588,541 | 7,987 | 728,249 | 242,015 | 347,466 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 2,844 | 379,749 | 99,767 | 161,817 | 2,844 | 379,749 | 99,767 | 161,817 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 1,469 | 155,506 | 58,893 | 76,868 | 729 | 77,231 | 29,249 | 38,176 |
| Importers | 17,332 | 5,458,453 | 874,821 | 1,663,976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 2,931 | 533,671 | 171,522 | 233,236 | 210 | 38,207 | 12,280 | 16,698 |
| Retail | 14,019 | 800,364 | 349,787 | 452,645 | 4,204 | 233,062 | 100,720 | 130,775 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 168,508 | 151,539 | 178,537 | 220,346 | 187,675 | 131,346 | 149,301 | 166,171 | 191,170 | 190,549 |
| Shellfish | 19,936 | 24,074 | 22,985 | 26,802 | 28,606 | 25,910 | 24,902 | 29,089 | 25,946 | 39,178 |

Key Species

| American lobster | 3,215 | 2,278 | 2,910 | 3,087 | 3,938 | 2,797 | 2,380 | 2,248 | 1,883 | 2,244 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atlantic herring | 548 | 1,507 | 416 | 414 | 145 | 401 | 615 | 308 | 292 | 771 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 1,568 | 1,539 | 807 | 53 | 577 | 18 | 12 | 535 | 79 | 612 |
| Blue crab | 7,284 | 184 | 12,028 | 9,426 | 10,011 | 6,677 | 4,157 | 8,700 | 5,674 | 9,142 |
| Eastern oyster | 2,547 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Goosefish | 4,005 | 3,018 | 2,752 | 3,654 | 3,301 | 2,453 | 2,428 | 2,364 | 2,470 | 1,558 |
| Quahog clam | 30,838 | 27,496 | 23,889 | 25,301 | 25,453 | 22,962 | 11,455 | 10,889 | 9,970 | 12,251 |
| Sea scallop | 91,317 | 90,150 | 109,120 | 142,510 | 110,560 | 65,190 | 87,746 | 97,855 | 123,369 | 99,235 |
| Summer flounder | 3,461 | 3,376 | 4,553 | 5,461 | 5,433 | 4,899 | 4,862 | 5,059 | 5,443 | 4,274 |

Summer flounder

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Landings | 162,308 | 162,029 | 161,609 | 187,153 | 180,436 | 119,518 | 124,989 | 148,437 | 132,302 | 198,602 |
| Finfish \& Other | 62,821 | 73,623 | 74,789 | 94,656 | 104,154 | 61,561 | 64,901 | 94,248 | 71,019 | 118,728 |
| Shellfish | 99,487 | 88,406 | 86,820 | 92,496 | 76,282 | 57,957 | 60,088 | 54,188 | 61,283 | 79,874 |
| Key Species | - | - |  |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| American lobster | 633 | 585 | 693 | 698 | 919 | 660 | 526 | 445 | 350 | 409 |
| Atlantic herring | 6,539 | 13,692 | 4,107 | 2,380 | 1,106 | 2,344 | 4,087 | 3,428 | 2,798 | 4,285 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 9,426 | 10,255 | 4,633 | 106 | 1,997 | 46 | 17 | 2,188 | 306 | 2,811 |
| Blue crab | 5,816 | 257 | 9,458 | 9,604 | 7,395 | 4,409 | 3,233 | 7,247 | 6,816 | 6,471 |
| Eastern oyster | 550 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Goosefish | 3,698 | 2,692 | 2,024 | 2,274 | 2,212 | 2,231 | 2,172 | 1,903 | 1,885 | 1,388 |
| Quahog clam | 51,597 | 45,306 | 38,538 | 41,281 | 38,921 | 35,960 | 19,447 | 18,283 | 16,492 | 18,301 |
| Sea scallop | 13,282 | 14,045 | 14,171 | 14,545 | 11,379 | 5,640 | 7,133 | 7,847 | 10,491 | 10,951 |
| Summer flounder | 1,541 | 1,799 | 2,166 | 2,831 | 2,269 | 2,004 | 1,826 | 1,682 | 1,297 | 957 |

Summer flounder

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American lobster | 5.08 | 3.89 | 4.20 | 4.42 | 4.28 | 4.23 | 4.52 | 5.05 | 5.38 | 5.49 |
| Atlantic herring | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.18 |
| Atlantic mackerel | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.73 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.22 |
| Blue crab | 1.25 | 0.72 | 1.27 | 0.98 | 1.35 | 1.51 | 1.29 | 1.20 | 0.83 | 1.41 |
| Eastern oyster | 4.63 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Goosefish | 1.08 | 1.12 | 1.36 | 1.61 | 1.49 | 1.10 | 1.12 | 1.24 | 1.31 | 1.12 |
| Quahog clam | 0.60 | 0.61 | 0.62 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 0.59 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.67 |
| Sea scallop | 6.88 | 6.42 | 7.70 | 9.80 | 9.72 | 11.56 | 12.30 | 12.47 | 11.76 | 9.06 |
| Summer flounder | 2.25 | 1.88 | 2.10 | 1.93 | 2.39 | 2.44 | 2.66 | 3.01 | 4.20 | 4.47 |
| Summer flounder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^82]|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 346 | 36,089 | 13,161 | 22,678 |
|  | Private Boat | 2,068 | 345,574 | 136,735 | 219,411 |
|  | Shore | 1,293 | 180,635 | 78,504 | 121,107 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 10,771 | 1,313,656 | 575,706 | 891,821 |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  | 14,478 | 1,875,954 | 804,106 | 1,255,017 |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 22,442 | Fishing Tackle | 262,616 |
| Private Boat | 298,441 | Other Equipment | 80,353 |
| Shore | 143,967 | Boat Expenses | 630,644 |
| Total | 464,850 | Vehicle Expenses | 70,455 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 2,671 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 1,046,738 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 1,511,588 |


| Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| Coastal | 765 | 656 | 776 | 687 | 662 | 581 | 607 | 515 | 507 | 447 |
| Non-Coastal | 26 | 35 | 36 | 23 | 27 | 20 | 17 | 24 | 32 | 16 |
| Out-of-State | 456 | 454 | 449 | 357 | 431 | 330 | 566 | 448 | 378 | 253 |
| Total Anglers | 1,246 | 1,145 | 1,261 | 1,067 | 1,121 | 931 | 1,189 | 987 | 916 | 716 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 465 | 436 | 331 | 370 | 388 | 532 | 494 | 450 | 234 | 215 |
| Private | 7,474 | 7,373 | 8,126 | 7,129 | 7,107 | 6,476 | 6,260 | 5,013 | 4,741 | 4,848 |
| Shore | 9,805 | 9,850 | 10,228 | 10,033 | 10,659 | 8,759 | 10,259 | 9,021 | 8,877 | 7,225 |
| Total Trips | 17,745 | 17,659 | 18,685 | 17,532 | 18,153 | 15,767 | 17,012 | 14,485 | 13,852 | 12,288 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) ${ }^{1,2}$

|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Black sea | H | 1,472 | 1,489 | 2,006 | 285 | 1,364 | 934 | 639 | 440 | 517 | 1,509 |
| bass | R | 11,208 | 7,938 | 11,907 | 4,454 | 11,111 | 8,612 | 4,789 | 4,984 | 6,239 | 7,933 |
| Bluefin | H | 5 | 32 | 16 | 13 | $<1$ | 30 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 22 |
| tuna | R | $<1$ | 5 | 20 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 22 |
| Bluefish | H | 2,048 | 2,161 | 3,036 | 3,934 | 3,133 | 2,322 | 4,557 | 1,765 | 3,282 | 3,044 |
|  | R | 3,883 | 6,408 | 6,367 | 6,867 | 6,407 | 3,540 | 7,411 | 4,001 | 7,084 | 7,677 |
| Drum | H | 537 | 23 | 4 | 8 | 277 | 90 | 16 | 73 | 12 | 79 |
| (weakfish) | R | 3,708 | 205 | 240 | 288 | 1,384 | 331 | 194 | 598 | 278 | 146 |
| Red hake | H | 183 | 338 | 196 | 220 | 71 | 104 | 218 | 51 | 41 | 60 |
| Striped | H | 48 | 791 | 1,141 | 1,091 | 1,039 | 742 | 1,324 | 502 | 600 | 660 |
| bass | R | 3,668 | 3,503 | 2,436 | 2,447 | 1,822 | 4,349 | 2,840 | 2,440 | 1,808 | 2,316 |
| Summer | H | 1,471 | 1,721 | 1,318 | 1,969 | 3,086 | 3,450 | 2,418 | 1,180 | 1,456 | 1,200 |
| flounder | R | 17,143 | 23,087 | 28,058 | 24,558 | 22,080 | 19,160 | 22,209 | 10,821 | 12,299 | 7,762 |
| Winter | H | 13 | 55 | 37 | 122 | $<1$ | 21 | 52 | 3 | 56 | 8 |
| flounder | R | 45 | 81 | 60 | 92 | 2 | 89 | 19 | 102 | 21 | 15 |
| Wrasses | H | 441 | 420 | 717 | 314 | 92 | 443 | 533 | 339 | 190 | 569 |
| (tautog) | R | 2,352 | 2,649 | 2,491 | 2,518 | 1,754 | 1,811 | 2,040 | 1,614 | 1,984 | 3,051 |
| Yellowfin | H | 9 | 19 | 84 | 18 | 183 | 148 | 22 | 13 | 29 | 33 |
| tuna | R | 1 | 46 | $<1$ | $<1$ | 8 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 20 | 4 |

[^83]2017 New Jersey State Economy (\% of national total)

| \#Non-Employer Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Annual } \\ \text { Payroll } \\ \text { (\$ billions) } \end{array}$ | Employee Compensation (\$ billions) | Gross State Product <br> (\$ billions) | Commercial Fishing Location Quotient ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 693,239 (2.8\%) | 231,974 (3\%) | 3,636,293 (2.9\%) | 215 (3.3\%) | 314 (3.2\%) | 582 | 0.93 |

Seafood Sales and Processing - Non-Employer Firms (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | Firms | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 22 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Seafood product | 2015 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| prep. \& packaging | Receipts | 1,851 | 3,670 | 3,613 | 29 | 35 | 48 | 45 | 39 | 44 |
| Feafood sales, | Firms | 92 | 86 | 66 | 3,47 | 3,565 | 4,981 | 5,736 | 3,603 | 3,811 |
| retail | Receipts | 11,196 | 11,131 | 8,265 | 8,049 | 77 | 74 | 74 | 70 | 68 |

Seafood Sales and Processing - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 14 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 13 |
|  | Employees | 566 | 661 | 482 | 518 | 404 | 671 | 647 | 715 | 452 |
|  | Payroll | 18,703 | 22,025 | 17,427 | 17,940 | 13,747 | 22,764 | 21,933 | 25,929 | 17,030 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 81 | 83 | 90 | 91 | 82 | 80 | 78 | 78 | 73 |
|  | Employees | 856 | 858 | 848 | 935 | 1,058 | 765 | 795 | 784 | 753 |
|  | Payroll | 37,462 | 37,348 | 38,065 | 40,103 | 44,033 | 37,405 | 36,773 | 39,900 | 41,239 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 118 | 106 | 108 | 109 | 114 | 114 | 108 | 115 | 116 |
|  | Employees | 368 | 332 | 332 | 332 | 382 | 419 | 434 | 446 | 471 |
|  | Payroll | 9,372 | 9,126 | 9,094 | 9,264 | 11,561 | 11,657 | 12,520 | 12,591 | 13,351 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 30 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 24 |
|  | Employees | 2,019 | 1,188 | 1,056 | 864 | 901 | 917 | 1,080 | 1,329 | 1,417 |
|  | Payroll | 79,309 | 42,909 | 37,920 | 39,810 | 36,334 | 41,886 | 50,459 | 59,130 | 64,354 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 27 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 20 | 21 | 24 | 22 |
|  | Employees | 1,115 | 1,045 | ds | ds | 390 | 225 | 212 | 193 | 187 |
|  | Payroll | 75,848 | 66,547 | 78,898 | 81,936 | 27,481 | 12,263 | 11,271 | 11,522 | 11,988 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | NA | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | ds | 0 |  |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 18 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 15 |
|  | Employees | 645 | 594 | 600 | 508 | 402 | 367 | 365 | 414 | 404 |
|  | Payroll | 48,911 | 41,925 | 44,246 | 40,587 | 32,007 | 32,431 | 33,308 | 37,888 | 38,330 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 6 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 25 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 |
|  | Employees | 143 | 54 | 124 | 163 | ds | ds | ds | 106 | 105 |
|  | Payroll | 12,446 | 5,548 | 10,463 | 16,933 | 139,276 | 5,995 | 6,334 | 6,305 | 6,202 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 21 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 15 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 20 |
|  | Employees | 4,244 | 3,479 | 3,292 | 3,744 | 2,582 | 6,912 | 6,082 | 5,005 | 4,692 |
|  | Payroll | 278,189 | 230,886 | 260,894 | 273,636 | 203,148 | 538,991 | 563,746 | 521,401 | 519,594 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 20 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 18 |
|  | Employees | 191 | 133 | 75 | 110 | 96 | 106 | 92 | 88 | 75 |
|  | Payroll | 7,776 | 6,638 | 6,125 | 5,619 | 5,983 | 6,057 | 5,597 | 6,914 | 5,851 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 211 | 214 | 212 | 206 | 210 | 206 | 190 | 196 | 194 |
|  | Employees | 916 | 784 | 781 | 773 | 811 | 787 | 737 | 776 | 826 |
|  | Payroll | 39,596 | 35,811 | 35,475 | 34,675 | 35,760 | 37,606 | 36,583 | 38,469 | 40,971 |
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New York | Commercial Fisheries
2017 Economic Impacts of the New York Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| Total Impacts | 44,206 | 6,119,112 | 1,308,258 | 2,164,733 | 3,343 | 175,702 | 61,049 | 85,393 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 1,564 | 85,798 | 24,664 | 37,931 | 1,564 | 85,798 | 24,664 | 37,931 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 929 | 152,595 | 58,019 | 75,467 | 117 | 19,269 | 7,327 | 9,530 |
| Importers | 14,890 | 4,689,341 | 751,556 | 1,429,517 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 4,408 | 363,349 | 122,835 | 165,622 | 115 | 9,498 | 3,211 | 4,329 |
| Retail | 22,415 | 828,029 | 351,183 | 456,197 | 1,546 | 61,137 | 25,847 | 33,603 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 57,429 | 48,856 | 49,747 | 50,455 | 54,904 | 57,226 | 56,733 | 70,841 | 52,325 | $\mathbf{4 7 , 7 6 7}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 18,534 | 17,331 | 20,459 | 22,239 | 23,482 | 23,206 | 19,690 | 19,283 | 19,020 | 20,392 |
| Shellfish | 38,896 | 31,525 | 29,288 | 28,216 | 31,422 | 34,020 | 37,043 | 51,559 | 33,305 | 27,375 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| American lobster | 3,821 | 3,468 | 3,165 | 1,398 | 999 | 938 | 985 | 711 | 1,037 | $\mathbf{7 6 4}$ |
| Atlantic surf clam | 5,670 | 5,858 | 3,929 | 545 | 2,783 | 2,410 | 1,338 | 1,908 | 201 | 1,465 |
| Eastern oyster | 2,870 | 1,428 | 2,047 | 2,174 | 2,227 | 4,149 | 9,372 | 9,077 | 1,916 | 1,411 |
| Loligo squid | 5,290 | 4,167 | 4,516 | 7,250 | 8,648 | 5,949 | 5,448 | 5,413 | 7,830 | 4,907 |
| Quahog clam | 13,185 | 8,397 | 7,774 | 6,905 | 9,218 | 13,475 | 11,777 | 24,173 | 11,957 | 11,627 |
| Scups or porgies | 1,710 | 1,887 | 2,112 | 2,551 | 3,536 | 2,971 | 2,313 | 3,138 | 2,897 | 2,498 |
| Sea scallop | 5,050 | 5,018 | 3,778 | 4,960 | 4,083 | 2,602 | 2,963 | 978 | 3,783 | 2,130 |
| Softshell clam | 1,076 | 700 | 710 | 351 | 332 | 848 | 982 | 2,854 | 1,137 | 549 |
| Summer flounder | 2,933 | 3,087 | 3,550 | 3,732 | 3,653 | 3,197 | 2,997 | 3,043 | 2,527 | 2,449 |
| Tilefishes | 3,343 | 3,262 | 4,077 | 4,525 | 4,260 | 4,676 | 4,255 | 3,656 | 2,985 | 3,330 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 34,175 | 34,304 | 33,717 | 32,054 | 37,023 | 34,405 | 27,638 | 32,701 | 30,150 | $\mathbf{2 4 , 7 4 1}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 14,686 | 15,867 | 18,444 | 18,488 | 19,083 | 18,488 | 15,645 | 15,660 | 15,466 | 14,839 |
| Shellfish | 19,489 | 18,438 | 15,273 | 13,566 | 17,940 | 15,917 | 11,993 | 17,041 | 14,684 | 9,902 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| American lobster | 850 | 932 | 814 | 344 | 550 | 497 | 223 | 147 | 219 | 150 |
| Atlantic surf clam | 8,753 | 8,799 | 5,857 | 809 | 4,117 | 3,452 | 1,983 | 2,826 | 297 | 2,167 |
| Eastern oyster | 135 | 64 | 81 | 98 | 108 | 204 | 422 | 788 | 363 | 267 |
| Loligo squid | 5,469 | 4,098 | 3,900 | 5,630 | 7,838 | 4,985 | 5,138 | 4,259 | 6,303 | 3,302 |
| Quahog clam | 1,476 | 1,410 | 1,216 | 1,131 | 1,299 | 1,932 | 1,781 | 3,867 | 2,174 | 2,018 |
| Scups or porgies | 1,214 | 1,850 | 2,690 | 3,729 | 4,307 | 4,574 | 3,175 | 4,050 | 3,504 | 3,472 |
| Sea scallop | 782 | 918 | 508 | 522 | 430 | 256 | 262 | 87 | 398 | 251 |
| Softshell clam | 131 | 114 | 116 | 57 | 54 | 138 | 160 | 499 | 243 | 117 |
| Summer flounder | 856 | 1,142 | 1,364 | 1,517 | 1,238 | 1,033 | 833 | 830 | 604 | 502 |
| Tilefishes | 1,199 | 1,435 | 1,586 | 1,521 | 1,413 | 1,468 | 1,383 | 936 | 745 | 1,052 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American lobster | 4.49 | 3.72 | 3.89 | 4.06 | 1.82 | 1.89 | 4.42 | 4.82 | 4.74 | 5.08 |
| Atlantic surf clam | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.70 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| Eastern oyster | 21.21 | 22.23 | 25.41 | 22.23 | 20.58 | 20.32 | 22.23 | 11.52 | 5.28 | 5.29 |
| Loligo squid | 0.97 | 1.02 | 1.16 | 1.29 | 1.10 | 1.19 | 1.06 | 1.27 | 1.24 | 1.49 |
| Quahog clam | 8.93 | 5.96 | 6.39 | 6.10 | 7.10 | 6.97 | 6.61 | 6.25 | 5.50 | 5.76 |
| Scups or porgies | 1.41 | 1.02 | 0.79 | 0.68 | 0.82 | 0.65 | 0.73 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.72 |
| Sea scallop | 6.46 | 5.47 | 7.44 | 9.50 | 9.50 | 10.18 | 11.33 | 11.21 | 9.51 | 8.50 |
| Softshell clam | 8.24 | 6.13 | 6.13 | 6.13 | 6.12 | 6.13 | 6.13 | 5.73 | 4.69 | 4.69 |
| Summer flounder | 3.43 | 2.70 | 2.60 | 2.46 | 2.95 | 3.09 | 3.60 | 3.67 | 4.19 | 4.88 |
| Tilefishes | 2.79 | 2.27 | 2.57 | 2.97 | 3.01 | 3.18 | 3.08 | 3.90 | 4.01 | 3.17 |


|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 397 | 41,943 | 15,967 | 27,087 |
|  | Private Boat | 3,664 | 296,374 | 132,046 | 230,960 |
|  | Shore | 1,678 | 135,005 | 61,162 | 105,316 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 5,671 | 680,968 | 286,993 | 485,582 |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  | 11,410 | 1,154,290 | 496,168 | 848,945 |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 29,345 | Fishing Tackle | 163,459 |
| Private Boat | 355,142 | Other Equipment | 56,015 |
| Shore | 140,124 | Boat Expenses | 390,119 |
| Total | 524,611 | Vehicle Expenses | 30,689 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 328 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 640,610 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 1,165,221 |


| Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| Coastal | 817 | 638 | 646 | 497 | 533 | 595 | 657 | 555 | 780 | 541 |
| Non-Coastal | 32 | 21 | 24 | 18 | 30 | 8 | 19 | 10 | 29 | 10 |
| Out-of-State | 118 | 58 | 69 | 46 | 53 | 93 | 155 | 53 | 113 | 62 |
| Total Anglers | 967 | 717 | 740 | 561 | 616 | 695 | 830 | 618 | 922 | 613 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 375 | 385 | 334 | 457 | 374 | 580 | 434 | 569 | $\mathbf{2 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 9}$ |
| Private | 5,389 | 5,302 | 5,374 | 5,528 | 5,652 | 5,961 | 6,457 | 6,400 | 6,915 | 7,372 |
| Shore | 8,027 | 7,972 | 8,459 | 8,221 | 8,607 | 8,668 | 8,511 | 8,302 | 8,580 | 9,003 |
| Total Trips | 13,791 | 13,659 | 14,167 | 14,206 | 14,633 | 15,209 | 15,402 | 15,271 | 15,765 | 16,634 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) ${ }^{1,2,3}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atlantic | H | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| herring ${ }^{4}$ | R | 54 | 22 | 704 | 732 | 1,391 | 1,520 | 1,190 | 11,460 | $\mathbf{2 , 1 0 5}$ | 1,052 |
| Black sea- | H | 387 | 1,113 | 1,040 | 570 | 526 | 999 | 1,234 | 2,494 | 3,035 | 2,421 |
| bass | R | 4,144 | 3,223 | 2,393 | 1,787 | 9,302 | 4,255 | 3,666 | 7,486 | 13,134 | 16,339 |
|  | H | 2,606 | 2,907 | 2,878 | 3,344 | 3,785 | 2,830 | 4,847 | 2,438 | 2,078 | 3,065 |
| Bluefish | R | 7,771 | 5,218 | 5,079 | 5,001 | 7,100 | 4,248 | 6,228 | 5,090 | 3,368 | 3,936 |
|  | H | 59 | 0 | 8 | $<1$ | 13 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 17 |
| Drum | R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (weakfish) | R | 60 | 7 | 7 | 119 | 30 | 19 | $<1$ | 14 | 9 | 138 |
| Porgies | H | 2,264 | 2,477 | 3,277 | 2,141 | 1,636 | 2,907 | 2,787 | 7,013 | 3,645 | 6,496 |
| (scup) | R | 5,629 | 6,141 | 3,657 | 3,606 | 4,633 | 6,691 | 4,877 | 7,728 | 12,401 | 15,308 |
| Shortfin | H | $<1$ | NA | 1 | 0 | $<1$ | 0 | 35 | 22 | 4 | 41 |
| mako shark | R | 0 | NA | 0 | 24 | 24 | 3 | 52 | 21 | 29 | 5 |
| Striped | H | 1,170 | 574 | 1,449 | 1,005 | 928 | 902 | 804 | 407 | 698 | 472 |
| bass | R | 2,782 | 2,262 | 3,036 | 2,692 | 2,428 | 3,956 | 2,784 | 3,682 | 3,739 | 2,761 |
| Summer | H | 819 | 498 | 596 | 661 | 1,005 | 1,385 | 1,173 | 1,517 | 1,800 | 1,186 |
| flounder | R | 8,779 | 9,877 | 13,931 | 16,598 | 10,682 | 13,492 | 9,658 | 14,470 | 9,651 | 12,335 |
| Winter | H | 115 | 106 | 130 | 113 | 177 | $<1$ | 72 | 16 | 37 | $<1$ |
| flounder | R | 55 | 188 | 233 | 168 | 120 | 15 | 28 | 3 | 10 | $<1$ |
| Wrasses | H | 578 | 691 | 541 | 323 | 303 | 473 | 913 | 581 | 1,069 | 406 |
| (tautog) | R | 1,554 | 1,457 | 1,628 | 1,738 | 2,935 | 4,570 | 3,017 | 5,577 | 7,367 | 5,470 |

[^85]
## 2016 New York State Economy (\% of national total)

\#Non-Employer

Firms \#Establishments $\quad$ \#Employees $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
Annual <br>
Payroll <br>
(\$ billions)

 

Employee <br>
Compensation <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Gross State <br>
Product <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Commercial <br>
Fishing <br>
Location <br>
Quotient ${ }^{10}$
\end{tabular}

Seafood Sales and Processing - Non-Employer Firms (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 73 | 101 | 115 | 142 | 133 | 150 | 181 | 183 | 187 |
|  | Receipts | 3,383 | 4,896 | 6,784 | 7,380 | 8,279 | 9,946 | 10,681 | 12,890 | 11,541 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 247 | 196 | 214 | 183 | 205 | 197 | 188 | 172 | 161 |
|  | Receipts | 23,983 | 19,753 | 18,999 | 16,286 | 16,714 | 15,923 | 14,369 | 13,299 | 12,089 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 17 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 |
|  | Employees | 379 | ds | 272 | 299 | 265 | 280 | ds | 310 | 284 |
|  | Payroll | 18,570 | 15,227 | 16,976 | 21,372 | 25,666 | 22,776 | 22,687 | 24,100 | 22,323 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 231 | 246 | 263 | 291 | 243 | 264 | 270 | 275 | 286 |
|  | Employees | 1,627 | 1,741 | 1,798 | 1,876 | 1,839 | 1,937 | 2,051 | 2,056 | 2,149 |
|  | Payroll | 72,233 | 68,345 | 72,442 | 76,970 | 78,324 | 84,346 | 87,511 | 93,859 | 97,304 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 368 | 386 | 394 | 391 | 385 | 399 | 401 | 409 | 406 |
|  | Employees | 1,470 | 1,509 | 1,586 | 1,660 | 1,674 | 1,796 | 2,054 | 2,163 | 2,226 |
|  | Payroll | 30,741 | 31,640 | 32,001 | 35,664 | 38,721 | 45,049 | 51,605 | 53,952 | 60,961 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{2}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 49 | 47 | 41 | 43 | 49 | 45 | 42 | 42 | 38 |
|  | Employees | 688 | 585 | 575 | 552 | 560 | ds | ds | 487 | 479 |
|  | Payroll | 30,462 | 28,880 | 26,771 | 25,998 | 24,599 | 24,338 | 28,028 | 25,591 | 26,257 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 29 | 32 | 30 | 31 | 23 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 21 |
|  | Employees | 732 | 782 | 704 | 752 | 214 | ds | ds | 174 | 212 |
|  | Payroll | 108,744 | 89,313 | 98,499 | 88,354 | 31,229 | 22,691 | 19,387 | 26,452 | 19,416 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | Employees | ds | 8 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | 316 | 126 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Coastal and Great Lakes Freight Transportation | Establishments | 50 | 48 | 65 | 62 | 42 | 59 | 72 | 73 | 73 |
|  | Employees | 1,759 | 2,299 | 1,654 | 1,708 | ds | ds | ds | 1,551 | 1,732 |
|  | Payroll | 160,735 | 198,352 | 136,577 | 154,087 | ds | ds | ds | 185,742 | 196,617 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 3 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | 33 | 1,294 | 196 | 168 | 230 | 205 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | 568 | 1,493 | 105,325 | 12,358 | 10,342 | 13,774 | 15,087 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 10 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 9 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | 1,086 | 1,019 | ds | 922 | 835 | 577 | 429 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | 68,555 | 66,439 | ds | 60,079 | 52,523 | 52,731 | 41,922 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 32 | 37 | 37 | 35 | 53 | 33 | 36 | 33 | 36 |
|  | Employees | 386 | 312 | 598 | 596 | 712 | 687 | 722 | 695 | 709 |
|  | Payroll | 23,294 | 19,126 | 50,119 | 54,406 | 63,334 | 68,141 | 74,395 | 73,699 | 76,693 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 419 | 418 | 429 | 431 | 415 | 424 | 427 | 429 | 422 |
|  | Employees | 2,263 | 2,099 | 2,052 | 2,033 | 1,868 | 1,907 | 1,986 | 1,930 | 1,950 |
|  | Payroll | 100,910 | 96,640 | 94,654 | 96,408 | 87,124 | 93,212 | 95,900 | 99,181 | 102,523 |

[^86]
## Tables | Virginia



Virginia | Commercial Fisheries
2017 Economic Impacts of the Virginia Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 16,735 | 1,483,551 | 455,296 | 658,623 | 13,858 | 870,486 | 330,891 | 448,721 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 4,220 | 314,568 | 99,127 | 148,362 | 4,220 | 314,568 | 99,127 | 148,362 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 1,840 | 184,429 | 71,757 | 92,609 | 1,351 | 135,460 | 52,704 | 68,020 |
| Importers | 1,585 | 499,151 | 79,998 | 152,163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 740 | 102,472 | 35,424 | 47,212 | 460 | 63,704 | 22,022 | 29,350 |
| Retail | 8,350 | 382,931 | 168,990 | 218,278 | 7,827 | 356,754 | 157,038 | 202,989 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 146,602 | 152,017 | 183,179 | 193,976 | 174,524 | 163,016 | 172,891 | 200,482 | 208,993 | 183,203 |  |
| Finfish \& Other | 40,455 | 47,345 | 55,782 | 58,359 | 61,458 | 56,436 | 54,482 | 51,314 | 46,365 | 47,431 |  |
| Shellfish | 106,147 | 104,672 | 127,397 | 135,617 | 113,067 | 106,580 | 118,409 | 149,167 | 162,628 | 135,772 |  |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Atlantic croaker | 5,269 | 6,940 | 6,025 | 4,571 | 7,534 | 6,247 | 4,186 | 4,150 | 3,188 | 2,716 |  |
| Black sea bass | 759 | 569 | 928 | 1,003 | 1,401 | 1,716 | 1,365 | 1,607 | 1,949 | 2,002 |  |
| Blue crab | 18,013 | 21,169 | 29,133 | 26,274 | 24,561 | 23,991 | 27,047 | 33,104 | 41,162 | 27,268 |  |
| Goosefish | 951 | 631 | 594 | 752 | 1,218 | 920 | 654 | 516 | 400 | 169 |  |
| Menhaden | 21,271 | 23,578 | 34,476 | 32,995 | 31,107 | 25,343 | 26,046 | 28,209 | 25,861 | 22,865 |  |
| Oysters | 3,101 | 3,745 | 5,202 | 6,832 | 11,949 | 25,318 | 29,099 | 36,267 | 33,837 | 45,458 |  |
| Sea scallop | 65,534 | 63,312 | 70,204 | 79,427 | 54,076 | 32,610 | 33,643 | 48,806 | 51,325 | 34,834 |  |
| Spot | 1,171 | 3,411 | 975 | 3,431 | 770 | 2,406 | 5,763 | 2,471 | 464 | 3,685 |  |
| Striped bass | 3,378 | 4,219 | 3,635 | 4,497 | 5,542 | 5,702 | 6,390 | 4,735 | 5,088 | 6,213 |  |
| Summer flounder | 2,719 | 2,959 | 4,202 | 5,956 | 7,725 | 8,513 | 4,733 | 5,696 | 4,948 | 4,467 |  |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 423,066 | 426,798 | 510,468 | 496,626 | 462,500 | 381,607 | 389,211 | 417,487 | 384,682 | 343,964 |
| Finfish \& Other | 384,698 | 378,921 | 457,118 | 442,088 | 417,007 | 346,345 | 353,287 | 374,357 | 341,514 | 306,735 |
| Shellfish | 38,367 | 47,877 | 53,350 | 54,538 | 45,492 | 35,262 | 35,924 | 43,130 | 43,168 | 37,229 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Atlantic croaker | 11,214 | 8,576 | 7,873 | 5,569 | 6,940 | 6,325 | 4,814 | 4,582 | 4,009 | 2,905 |
| Black sea bass | 215 | 164 | 264 | 275 | 392 | 496 | 388 | 422 | 516 | 714 |
| Blue crab | 23,243 | 32,756 | 38,490 | 39,656 | 33,144 | 24,258 | 24,205 | 29,682 | 28,407 | 23,768 |
| Goosefish | 972 | 743 | 596 | 604 | 907 | 846 | 587 | 4445 | 365 | 213 |
| Menhaden | 353,895 | 351,392 | 433,241 | 414,159 | 390,318 | 317,950 | 326,817 | 353,934 | 323,196 | 284,226 |
| Oysters | 776 | 809 | 1,187 | 1,522 | 1,963 | 3,248 | 3,765 | 4,574 | 4,083 | 3,660 |
| Sea scallop | 9,685 | 10,137 | 9,167 | 8,260 | 5,798 | 2,958 | 2,752 | 4,020 | 4,529 | 3,897 |
| Spot | 1,977 | 3,910 | 1,024 | 3,741 | 613 | 2,085 | 3,983 | 1,576 | 285 | 1,745 |
| Striped bass | 2,196 | 2,109 | 2,139 | 2,077 | 2,175 | 1,680 | 1,995 | 1,441 | 1,360 | 1,133 |
| Summer flounder | 1,654 | 1,980 | 2,592 | 4,065 | 4,122 | 4,794 | 2,049 | 2,274 | 1,565 | 1,166 |

Average Annual Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atlantic croaker | 0.47 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.82 | 1.09 | 0.99 | 0.87 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.94 |
| Black sea bass | 3.52 | 3.46 | 3.52 | 3.65 | 3.57 | 3.46 | 3.52 | 3.80 | 3.78 | 2.80 |
| Blue crab | 0.77 | 0.65 | 0.76 | 0.66 | 0.74 | 0.99 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.45 | 1.15 |
| Goosefish | 0.98 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.34 | 1.09 | 1.11 | 1.16 | 1.10 | 0.79 |
| Menhaden | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| Oysters | 4.00 | 4.63 | 4.38 | 4.49 | 6.09 | 7.80 | 7.73 | 7.93 | 8.29 | 12.42 |
| Sea scallop | 6.77 | 6.25 | 7.66 | 9.62 | 9.33 | 11.02 | 12.23 | 12.14 | 11.33 | 8.94 |
| Spot | 0.59 | 0.87 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 1.26 | 1.15 | 1.45 | 1.57 | 1.63 | 2.11 |
| Striped bass | 1.54 | 2.00 | 1.70 | 2.16 | 2.55 | 3.39 | 3.20 | 3.29 | 3.74 | 5.48 |
| Summer flounder | 1.64 | 1.49 | 1.62 | 1.47 | 1.87 | 1.78 | 2.31 | 2.51 | 3.16 | 3.83 |

[^87]|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 206 | 18,977 | 6,321 | 11,235 |
|  | Private Boat | 1,094 | 119,676 | 42,121 | 77,237 |
|  | Shore | 1,643 | 173,746 | 65,630 | 114,217 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 4,233 | 451,984 | 181,439 | 296,350 |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  | 7,176 | 764,383 | 295,511 | 499,039 |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 11,557 | Fishing Tackle | 126,037 |
| Private Boat | 126,024 | Other Equipment | 44,430 |
| Shore | 127,441 | Boat Expenses | 201,664 |
| Total | 265,022 | Vehicle Expenses | 24,393 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 6,673 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 403,197 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 668,219 |


| Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| Coastal | 464 | 515 | 496 | 516 | 412 | 419 | 341 | 359 | 394 | 329 |
| Non-Coastal | 89 | 87 | 63 | 56 | 78 | 74 | 53 | 59 | 86 | 80 |
| Out-of-State | 338 | 305 | 279 | 320 | 193 | 267 | 206 | 203 | 244 | 263 |
| Total Anglers | 891 | 907 | 838 | 892 | 684 | 760 | 600 | 620 | 724 | 672 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 56 | 54 | 52 | 31 | 45 | 59 | 53 | 66 | 39 | 44 |
| Private | 4,444 | 4,700 | 4,811 | 4,256 | 3,646 | 3,399 | 3,079 | 2,451 | 2,660 | 2,548 |
| Shore | 3,997 | 3,657 | 3,882 | 4,590 | 4,596 | 4,549 | 4,277 | 4,210 | 4,549 | 4,157 |
| Total Trips | 8,498 | 8,411 | 8,745 | 8,876 | 8,287 | 8,007 | 7,410 | 6,727 | 7,247 | 6,749 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black sea bass | H | 70 | 313 | 63 | 36 | 13 | 46 | 78 | 66 | 81 | 97 |
|  | R | 2,757 | 3,401 | 1,487 | 1,170 | 1,961 | 1,506 | 1,962 | 647 | 1,869 | 2,271 |
| Cobia | H | 9 | 34 | 17 | 13 | 1 | 24 | 22 | 39 | 44 | 15 |
|  | R | 5 | 33 | 21 | 27 | 17 | 36 | 58 | 41 | 81 | 77 |
| Drum (Atlantic croaker) | H | 12,902 | 10,790 | 12,962 | 8,891 | 8,786 | 12,517 | 9,534 | 8,024 | 7,277 | 7,638 |
|  | R | 12,806 | 16,733 | 13,471 | 14,160 | 15,140 | 18,480 | 10,314 | 6,815 | 6,993 | 8,444 |
| Drum (spot) | H | 8,679 | 6,906 | 5,631 | 10,129 | 10,148 | 11,734 | 13,653 | 1,731 | 5,279 | 15,945 |
|  | R | 3,335 | 4,014 | 4,081 | 7,291 | 6,371 | 7,549 | 4,125 | 1,897 | 2,858 | 3,336 |
| Drum (spotted seatrout) | H | 278 | 68 | 77 | 644 | 392 | 154 | 85 | 23 | 164 | 172 |
|  | R | 911 | 550 | 2,530 | 3,463 | 1,257 | 738 | 1,059 | 834 | 3,709 | 3,155 |
| Drum (weakfish) | H | 50 | 59 | 13 | 19 | 46 | 4 | 32 | 10 | 38 | 14 |
|  | R | 634 | 168 | 533 | 744 | 274 | 205 | 375 | 232 | 1,467 | 454 |
| Red drum | H | 61 | 122 | 44 | 0 | 91 | 334 | 252 | 22 | 16 | 347 |
|  | R | 573 | 606 | 88 | 157 | 8,323 | 577 | 1,109 | 79 | 165 | 1,723 |
| Striped bass | H | 671 | 711 | 369 | 328 | 258 | 302 | 131 | 208 | 138 | 110 |
|  | R | 1,547 | 1,072 | 586 | 389 | 289 | 503 | 738 | 1,709 | 1,638 | 1,333 |
| Summer flounder | H | 468 | 579 | 564 | 659 | 678 | 560 | 439 | 334 | 212 | 188 |
|  | R | 4,202 | 7,937 | 5,780 | 4,449 | 2,658 | 1,510 | 2,230 | 1,718 | 567 | 1,610 |
| Wrasses (tautog) | H | 208 | 196 | 324 | 153 | 66 | 20 | 87 | 24 | 40 | 22 |
|  | R | 119 | 117 | 364 | 110 | 61 | 54 | 197 | 46 | 144 | 76 |

[^88]Virginia | Marine Economy
2016 Virginia State Economy (\% of national total)
\#Non-Employer

Firms \#Establishments $\quad$ \#Employees $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
Annual <br>
Payroll <br>
(\$ billions)

 

Employee <br>
Compensation <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Gross State <br>
Product <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Commercial

 

Fishing <br>
Location <br>
Quotient ${ }^{1}$
\end{tabular}

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 74 | 69 | 56 | 73 | 76 | 84 | 83 | 85 | 94 |
|  | Receipts | 5,020 | 4,053 | 3,698 | 3,792 | 4,691 | 4,276 | 5,720 | 5,849 | 7,389 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 80 | 82 | 82 | 78 | 87 | 94 | 90 | 80 | 80 |
|  | Receipts | 8,273 | 6,642 | 6,951 | 7,819 | 8,373 | 7,612 | 7,084 | 7,489 | 7,698 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 26 | 25 | 23 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 17 | 18 |
|  | Employees | 490 | 941 | 961 | 899 | 919 | 781 | 804 | 790 | 790 |
|  | Payroll | 11,366 | 30,600 | 30,460 | 33,285 | 32,955 | 30,682 | 29,763 | 31,614 | 32,991 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 69 | 72 | 76 | 62 | 64 | 70 | 65 | 65 | 60 |
|  | Employees | 621 | 519 | 518 | 469 | 492 | 483 | 448 | 444 | 457 |
|  | Payroll | 17,667 | 15,620 | 17,901 | 15,733 | 14,271 | 14,719 | 14,769 | 16,089 | 16,115 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 68 | 62 | 59 | 58 | 51 | 55 | 57 | 59 | 56 |
|  | Employees | 251 | 271 | 265 | 277 | 280 | 254 | 224 | 279 | 247 |
|  | Payroll | 5,170 | 5,401 | 5,480 | 5,453 | 5,563 | 5,526 | 5,537 | 6,641 | 7,255 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 59 | 53 | 56 | 51 | 59 | 54 | 56 | 54 | 60 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 30,622 | 30,387 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 1,955,354 | 1,922,736 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 18 | 16 | 17 | 21 | 19 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 14 |
|  | Employees | 409 | ds | 421 | 492 | ds | ds | ds | 254 | 301 |
|  | Payroll | 32,473 | 19,241 | 35,917 | 42,018 | ds | ds | ds | 33,057 | 38,674 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 10 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 12 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 177 | 152 | 186 | 325 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 10,077 | 9,264 | 11,951 | 18,059 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 8 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 13 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 1,922 | 2,167 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 132,983 | 125,111 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 12 | 12 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 805 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | 41,280 | 41,262 | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 50,903 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 23 | 25 | 26 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 18 |
|  | Employees | 375 | 384 | 411 | 419 | 428 | 303 | 322 | 302 | 294 |
|  | Payroll | 21,014 | 22,177 | 22,910 | 22,132 | 25,732 | 20,283 | 21,348 | 20,746 | 19,600 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 119 | 118 | 115 | 110 | 105 | 113 | 107 | 108 | 103 |
|  | Employees | 964 | 829 | 868 | 818 | 673 | 840 | 814 | 818 | 821 |
|  | Payroll | 24,326 | 24,631 | 24,182 | 23,379 | 18,874 | 24,468 | 24,436 | 25,146 | 25,777 |
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## South Atlantic Region

- East Florida
Georgia
: North Carolina
South Carolina


## MANAGEMENT CONTEXT

The South Atlantic Region includes East Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina. Federal fisheries in this region are managed by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council and NOAA Fisheries under eight fishery management plans. The coastal migratory pelagic resources and spiny lobster FMPs are managed jointly with the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council. The South Atlantic Council, in cooperation with the Mid-Atlantic and New England Fishery Management Councils, developed a dolphin wahoo Fishery Management Plan for the Atlantic.

## South Atlantic Region FMPs

- Coastal migratory pelagic resources (with GMFMC)
- Coral, coral reef and live/hardbottom habitat
- Dolphin/wahoo
- Golden crab
- Pelagic sargassum habitat
- Shrimp
- Snapper grouper
- Spiny lobster (with GMFMC)

Five of the stocks/complexes covered in these FMPs were listed as overfished in 2017: hogfish (Southeast Florida stock), red snapper (South Atlantic stock), red porgy, snowy grouper, and red grouper (South Atlantic stock). Red grouper (Southern Atlantic Coast stock) was added to the overfished list in 2017.

Seven stocks/complexes were subject to overfishing in 2017: hogfish (Southeast Florida stock), red snapper (South Atlantic stock), blueline tilefish, ${ }^{1}$ speckled hind, warsaw grouper, tilefish (South Atlantic stock), and red grouper (South Atlantic stock). Red grouper (Southern Atlantic Coast stock) was added to the overfishing list in 2017.

## Catch Share Programs

One catch share program has been implemented in the South Atlantic: the South Atlantic Wreckfish ITQ Program. This catch share program and its performance are described below.

South Atlantic Wreckfish ITQ Program: This program was implemented in 1992 and is the only catch
share program in the South Atlantic Region. This program was developed to create incentives for the conservation of wreckfish; provide a management regime that promotes stability and facilitates long-range planning and investment by harvesters and dealers; promote management regimes that minimize gear and area conflicts among fishermen; minimize the tendency for over-capitalization in the harvesting and processing/distribution sectors; and provide a reasonable opportunity for fishermen to make adequate returns from commercial fishing by limiting entry into the program. NOAA Fisheries continues to collect data on this program to develop standard performance indicators that measure its basic economic performance.

## Policy Updates

In late December 2016, the Amendment 16 Final Rule ${ }^{2}$ published, which revised the seasonal closure of the black sea bass pot gear fishery and also specified better gear identifiers for this fishery. This updated Amendment 19, which had specified the seasonal area closures in order to reduce interactions with the Endangered Species Act (ESA) listed North Atlantic right whales (NARW) in the South Atlantic. Amendment 16 changed the boundaries of the closure to reduce the adverse socioeconomic impacts from original closures while still maintaining protections for the right whale. ${ }^{3}$

Under Amendment 36 of the Snapper Grouper FMP, in June 2017, NOAA Fisheries approved the designation of five offshore areas as Spawning Special Management Zones to help protect spawning fish and unique habitat associated with spawning activities in the South Atlantic. Spawning Special Management Zones are expected to protect important spawning habitat and associated species of fish by limiting specific fishing and anchoring activity within the sites. The action includes a sunset provision that would require the areas be reauthorized after a period of 10 years, based on their effectiveness. The five areas, ranging in size from 3 to 5 square miles off North Carolina, South Carolina, and Florida, are the first Spawning Special Management Zones designated in federal waters off the South Atlantic coast.

Another action taken in the Snapper Grouper FMP that

[^90]was initiated in response to a request from the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, was a NMFS-issued temporary rule to allow for the limited harvest and possession of red snapper in or from the South Atlantic exclusive economic zone. The rule, in effect from November 2 to December 21 of 2017, was intended to mitigate adverse impacts on fishermen and fishing communities utilizing the red snapper portion of the snap-per-grouper complex. Council and NMFS discussions during 2017 led to the adoption of Amendment 43 to the snapper-grouper fishery management plan, which specifies new ACLs for red snapper beginning in 2018.

Amendment 26 (effective May 2017) to the Coastal Migratory Pelagics FMP for the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic regions made the GMFMC responsible for management in federal waters off the Florida Keys. In the Gulf, this rule also revises the commercial and recreational fishing ACLs as well as the commercial zone quotas.

Also in June 2017, following a recent stock assessment, the council approved measures that will allow increases in the harvest of spiny lobster in both the South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. The action would increase the acceptable biological catch from 7.32 million pounds to 9.6 million pounds. It would also prohibit the use of traps for recreational harvest of spiny lobster.

A 2016 update to the golden tilefish stock assessment, a popular deepwater species primarily targeted by commercial fishermen, indicated the stock in the South Atlantic is undergoing overfishing. To meet mandates to address overfishing, the Council proposed an interim rule in June 2017 to reduce the annual catch limit from 558,036 pounds (gutted weight) to 323,000 pounds (gw). Based on a projection that the ACL would be exceeded in the commercial hook-and-line sector, NMFS required a temporary closure of this sector from November 29, 2017 until the end of the calendar year.

## COMMERCIAL FISHERIES SOUTH ATLANTIC REGION

In this report, commercial fisheries refer to fishing operations that sell their catch for profit. The term does not include subsistence fishermen or saltwater anglers who
fish for sport. It also excludes the for-hire sector, which earns its revenue from selling recreational fishing trips to saltwater anglers. The commercial fisheries section reports on economic impacts, landings revenue, landings, and ex-vessel prices of key species/species groups.

## Key South Atlantic Commercial Species

- Blue crab
- Oysters
- Clams
- Shrimp
- Flounders
- Snappers
- Groupers • Swordfish
- King mackerels - Tunas


## Economic Impacts

The premise behind economic impact modeling is that every dollar spent in a regional economy (direct impact) is either saved or re-spent on additional goods or services. If those dollars are re-spent on other goods and services in the regional economy, this spending generates additional economic activity in the region. ${ }^{4}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how commercial fisheries landings affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as commercial fishing. The category includes both the direct sales of fish landed and sales made between businesses and households resulting from the original sale. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the sales of seafood or purchases of inputs to commercial fishing. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in numbers of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. The United States seafood industry is defined here as the commercial fishing sector, seafood processors and dealers, seafood wholesalers and distributors, importers, and seafood retailers.5,6

[^91]This report provides estimates of total economic impacts for the nation and for each of the 23 coastal states. Total economic impacts for each state and the nation represent the sum of direct impacts; indirect impacts (in this case, the impact from suppliers to the seafood industry); and induced impacts (spending by employees on personal and household expenditures, where employees of both the seafood industry and its full supply chain are included). That is, the total economic impact estimates reported here measure jobs, sales, value-added, and income impacts from the seafood industry as well as the economic activity generated throughout each region's broader economy from this industry.

In 2017, the commercial fishing and seafood industry in Florida generated the largest employment impacts in the South Atlantic region with 86,141 full- and parttime jobs. ${ }^{7}$ Florida also generated the largest sales impacts ( $\$ 19.7$ billion), value-added impacts (\$6.6 billion), and income impacts ( $\$ 3.7$ billion). ${ }^{7}$

## Landings Trends

South Atlantic landings revenue in 2017 was up \$9.6 million, or about $5 \%$, relative to the previous year. The shrimp fishery, largest in the South Atlantic by revenue, continued the strong upward trend of 2016, adding another $\$ 2$ million (4\%) in revenue in 2017. Blue crab revenue, the second highest-grossing fishery in the region, was essentially unchanged from 2016 to 2017, but remained significantly off the previous highs of 2013-2015. Revenue gains from tunas, oysters, and king mackerel revenues more than offset a $\$ 1$ million decline in clam revenues.

Oyster landings have trended downward since 2010, with 2017 representing the lowest level of landings in the past decade. Higher prices in 2017 (up 77\% from 2016 levels), however, enabled revenues to jump 19\% year over year. Overall, oystermen earned $\$ 8.6$ million for their catch in 2017, up $\$ 1.4$ million from 2016.

Clams harvest surged in 2017, up 32\% from 2016 levels, while ex-vessel prices for clams fell $37 \%$ to less than $\$ 5$ per pound. Blue crab, the largest single fishery in the region by volume, saw harvest fall by $15 \%$ to 29.8 million pounds, the lowest level observed in the
previous decade, along with a $17 \%$ increase in ex-vessel price, to $\$ 1.25$ per pound.

## Landings Revenue

In 2017, landings revenue in the South Atlantic region totaled $\$ 193.5$ million, a 17\% increase from 2008 (a $2 \%$ increase in real terms after adjusting for inflation) and a $5 \%$ increase from 2016. Landings revenue was highest in North Carolina ( $\$ 97.3$ million), followed by East Florida ( $\$ 53.9$ million).

Shellfish landings revenue accounted for $65 \%$ of all landings revenue. In 2017, shrimp ( $\$ 62$ million), blue crab ( $\$ 37.3$ million), and flounders ( $\$ 12.2$ million) had the highest landings revenue in this region. Together, these top three species accounted for $58 \%$ of total landings revenue.

From 2008 to 2017, oysters (112\%, 85\% in real terms), tunas (51\%, 32\% in real terms), and swordfish (36\%, $19 \%$ in real terms) had the largest increases, while groupers ( $-48 \%,-55 \%$ in real terms), snappers ( $-19 \%$, $-29 \%$ in real terms), and blue crab ( $-7 \%,-18 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, tunas (56\%), oysters (19\%), and king mackerels (18\%) had the largest increases, while clams ( $-17 \%$ ), groupers ( $-4 \%$ ), and flounders ( $-1 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.
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## Commercial Revenue: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Oysters ( $112 \%, 85 \%$ in real terms)
- Tunas (51\%, 32\% in real terms)
- Swordfish (36\%, $19 \%$ in real terms)

From 2016:

- Tunas (56\%)
- Oysters (19\%)
- King mackerels (18\%)


## Commercial Revenue: Largest Decreases

## From 2007

- Groupers ( $-48 \%,-55 \%$ in real terms)
- Snappers ( $-19 \%,-29 \%$ in real terms)
- Blue crab ( $-7 \%,-18 \%$ in real terms)

From 2015

- Clams ( $-17 \%$ )
- Groupers (-4\%)
- Flounders (-1\%)


## Landings

In 2017, South Atlantic region commercial fishermen landed over 107.7 million pounds of finfish and shellfish. This represents an 8\% decrease from 2008 and a 6\% increase from 2016. Blue crab contributed the highest landings volume in the region, accounting for $28 \%$ of total landing weight.

From 2008 to 2017, clams (65\%), tunas (54\%), and shrimp (8\%) had the largest increases, while groupers ( $-65 \%$ ), flounders ( $-41 \%$ ), and blue crab ( $-34 \%$ ) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, clams (32\%), king mackerels (18\%), and tunas (16\%) had the largest increases, while oysters (-33\%), blue crab (-15\%), and groupers ( $-8 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

## Commercial Landings: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Clams (65\%)
- Tunas (54\%)
- Shrimp (8\%)

From 2016:

- Clams (32\%)
- King mackerels (18\%)
- Tunas (16\%)


## Commercial Landings: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Groupers (-65\%)
- Flounders ( $-41 \%$ )
- Blue crab (-34\%)

From 2016:

- Oysters (-33\%)
- Blue crab (-15\%)
- Groupers (-8\%)


## Prices

In 2017, oysters (\$11.96 per pound) received the highest ex-vessel price in the region. Landings of blue crab ( $\$ 1.25$ per pound) had the lowest ex-vessel price. From 2008 to 2017 , oysters ( $154 \%, 122 \%$ in real terms), flounders ( $84 \%, 61 \%$ in real terms), and groupers ( $49 \%, 31 \%$ in real terms) had the largest increases, while clams ( $-24 \%,-33 \%$ in real terms) and tunas ( $-2 \%,-14 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, oysters (78\%), tunas (35\%), and blue crab (17\%) had the largest increases, while clams ( $-37 \%$ ) and king mackerels ( $-0.3 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

## RECREATIONAL FISHERIES SOUTH ATLANTIC REGION

In this report, recreational fishing refers to fishing for leisure rather than to sell fish (commercial fishing) or for subsistence. This recreational fisheries section reports on economic impacts and expenditures, angler participation, fishing trips, and catch of key species/ species groups. ${ }^{8}$
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## Key South Atlantic Recreational Species ${ }^{9}$

- Black sea bass
- Bluefish
- Dolphinfish
- Drum (Atlantic croaker and spot)
- Drum (spotted seatrout)
- King mackerel
- Porgies (sheepshead)
- Red drum - Spanish mackerel


## Economic Impacts and Expenditures

The economic contribution of recreational fishing activities in the South Atlantic Region is based on spending by recreational anglers. ${ }^{10}$ Total annual trip expenditures are estimated at the state level by multiplying mean trip expenditures by the estimated number of adult trips in each trip mode (for-hire, private boat, and shore) and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. Total annual durable expenditures are estimated by multiplying mean durable expenditures in each state by the estimated annual number of adult participants for each state and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. ${ }^{11}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how angler expenditures affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as recreational fishing. The category includes both the direct sales made to the angler and sales made between businesses and households resulting from that original sale to the angler. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of fulltime and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the purchases made by anglers. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in number of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. NOAA Fish-
eries uses a regional impact modeling software, called IMPLAN, to estimate these four types of impacts.

The economic contributions for both trip and durable expenditures from recreational fishing in 2017 were estimated using IMPLAN version 3, with base year data from 2017. Models for each state and for the nation were created in IMPLAN using trip expenditures (based on 2016/2017 survey data on average trip expenditures and total 2017 trips) and for durable expenditures (based on 2014 survey data on average durable expenditures and 2017 participants).

The greatest employment impacts from expenditures on saltwater recreational fishing in the South Atlantic Region were generated in East Florida (45,267 jobs), followed by North Carolina (30,170 jobs) and South Carolina ( 9,803 jobs). The largest sales impacts were observed in East Florida ( $\$ 5.1$ billion), followed by North Carolina (\$3.1 billion) and South Carolina (\$901.6 million). The biggest income impacts were generated in East Florida ( $\$ 1.8$ billion), followed by North Carolina ( $\$ 1.1$ billion) and South Carolina ( $\$ 309.6$ million). The greatest value-added impacts were in East Florida (\$3.2 billion), followed by North Carolina ( $\$ 1.9$ billion) and South Carolina ( $\$ 557.3$ million).

Expenditures for fishing trips and durable equipment across the South Atlantic Region in 2017 totaled $\$ 7.8$ billion. This total included $\$ 4.4$ billion in durable goods expenditures, with the largest portion coming from boat expenses (\$2.6 billion).

## Participation

In 2017, there were 2.2 million recreational anglers who fished in the South Atlantic Region. This number represented a $26 \%$ decrease from 2008 and an 8\% decrease from 2016. The anglers are categorized as either residents from coastal (81\%) or non-coastal (19\%) counties.

## Fishing Trips

In 2017, recreational fishermen took 76.9 million fishing trips in the South Atlantic Region. This number represented a $1 \%$ increase from 2008 and a 5\% increase from 2016. The largest proportions of trips were tak-

[^94]en in the shore mode (71\%) and private boat (28\%). States with the highest number of recorded trips in the South Atlantic Region were East Florida ( 40.4 million trips) and North Carolina ( 22.5 million trips).

## Harvest and Release Trends

Of the South Atlantic Region's key species and species groups, drum (Atlantic croaker and spot) ( 21.9 million fish), drum (spotted seatrout) (19.3 million fish), and bluefish ( 18.6 million fish), were most frequently caught by recreational fishermen. The text box below shows the species with the largest percentage increases and decreases in the past 10 years and in the past year.

## Harvest and Release: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Red drum (95\%)
- Black sea bass (70\%)
- Dolphinfish (34\%)

From 2016:

- Dolphinfish (55\%)
- King mackerel (48\%)
- Black sea bass (13\%)


## Harvest and Release: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Sharks (-56\%)
- Spanish mackerel (-31\%)
- King mackerel (-15\%)

From 2016:

- Sharks (-36\%)
- Spanish mackerel (-34\%)
- Porgies (sheepshead) (-18\%)

From 2008 to 2017, red drum (95\%), black sea bass (70\%), and dolphinfish (34\%) had the largest increases, while sharks (-56\%), Spanish mackerel (-31\%), and king mackerel ( $-15 \%$ ) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, dolphinfish (55\%), king mackerel (48\%), and black sea bass (13\%) had the largest increases, while sharks (-36\%), Spanish mackerel (-34\%), and porgies (sheepshead) ( $-18 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

In October 2016, Hurricane Matthew caused significant damage along the North Carolina and South Carolina coasts that may have temporarily prevented recreational fishing in those states or resulted in reduced access to recreational fishing boats and infrastructure. This possibly affected the recreational fishery in the South Atlantic Region. ${ }^{12}$

## MARINE ECONOMY - SOUTH ATLANTIC REGION

For this report, the marine economy refers to the economic activity generated by fishing and marine-related industries in a coastal state. The national marine economy consists of two industry sectors: 1) seafood sales and processing (employer establishments and non-employer firms); and 2) transport, support, and marine operations (employer establishments). These sectors include several different marine-related industries. ${ }^{13,14}$

Note that when discussing the marine economy in the South Atlantic Region, all statistics include the entire state of Florida and not just East Florida. ${ }^{15}$

To measure the size of the commercial fishing sector in a state's economy relative to the size of the commercial fishing sector in the national economy, researchers use an index called the Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ). ${ }^{16,17}$ The CFLQ is calculated as the ratio of the percentage of regional employment in the commercial fishing sector relative to the percentage of national employment in the commercial fishing sector. The United States CFLQ is 1. If a state CFLQ is less than 1, then less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. If a state CFLQ is greater than 1 , then more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.

Florida had the highest CFLQ at 0.99. South Carolina had a CFLQ value of 0.12 .

In 2016, 1.7 million employer establishments operated throughout the entire South Atlantic region (including marine and non-marine related establishments). These

[^95]establishments employed 25.7 million workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 1.1$ trillion. The combined gross state product of East Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina was approximately $\$ 2.2$ trillion in 2016.

## Seafood Sales and Processing

Seafood Product Preparation and Packaging: In
2016, the South Atlantic Region had 516 non-employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector. Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 36.4$ million. ${ }^{18}$ There were 45 employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (a 10\% decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 2,580 workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 108$ million. ${ }^{19}$ The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in Florida (362), followed by Georgia (114) and North Carolina (97).

Seafood Sales, Retail: In 2016, there were 574 non-employer firms engaged in retail sales of seafood in the states that make up the South Atlantic Region (a 6\% decrease from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 50.6$ million (a $7 \%$ decrease in real terms from 2008). There were 412 employer firms in the retail sales of seafood sector (an 11\% increase from 2008). These establishments employed 1,898 workers (a 14\% increase from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 43.2$ million (a $16 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in Florida (702), followed by North Carolina (308) and Georgia (215).

Seafood Sales, Wholesale: There were 346 employer firms in the wholesale sales of seafood sector in the South Atlantic Region in 2016 (a 1\% increase from 2008). These establishments employed 3,998 workers (a $27 \%$ increase from 2008), and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 161.1$ million (a $24 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in Florida (239), followed by North Carolina (57) and Georgia (35).

## Transport, Support, and Marine Operations

Data for the transport, support, and marine operations sector of South Atlantic region's economy were largely
suppressed for confidentiality reasons. It is clear, however, that these sectors play an important role in the regional economy. For example, in 2016, the ship and boat building sector in the South Atlantic Region accounted for $\$ 1.3$ billion in payroll (a $2 \%$ decrease in real terms from 2008). The deep sea passenger transportation sector in Florida alone accounted for $\$ 864.5$ million in payroll in 2016.
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## Tables | South Atlantic Region



South Atlantic Region | Commercial Fisheries

|  |  |  | With Im | ports |  |  | Withou | mports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Landings Revenue | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| Florida ${ }^{1}$ | 53,850 | 86,141 | 19,676,700 | 3,675,549 | 6,577,946 | 9,889 | 988,504 | 259,058 | 399,044 |
| Georgia | 16,834 | 13,868 | 2,193,422 | 486,012 | 800,135 | 1,932 | 101,526 | 39,844 | 54,292 |
| North Carolina | 97,306 | 9,787 | 968,751 | 268,401 | 402,338 | 6,199 | 344,622 | 140,657 | 186,990 |
| South Carolina | 25,495 | 1,810 | 159,373 | 50,080 | 72,053 | 1,454 | 87,644 | 36,001 | 47,882 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 165,660 | 147,201 | 166,736 | 172,978 | 173,279 | 165,503 | 191,322 | 197,269 | 183,841 | 193,484 |
| Finfish \& Other | 60,797 | 63,112 | 66,567 | 67,870 | 65,860 | 65,337 | 70,467 | 64,837 | 63,781 | 68,363 |
| Shellfish | 104,863 | 84,088 | 100,170 | 105,108 | 107,419 | 100,167 | 120,854 | 132,431 | 120,061 | 125,121 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Blue crab | 39,986 | 37,703 | 36,201 | 33,878 | 37,593 | 44,129 | 46,762 | 46,162 | 37,368 | 37,331 |
| Clams | 3,862 | 3,516 | 4,517 | 3,774 | 3,834 | 3,872 | 5,033 | 8,037 | 5,868 | 4,880 |
| Flounders | 11,230 | 10,389 | 11,180 | 9,532 | 8,009 | 7,536 | 13,497 | 13,154 | 12,420 | 12,245 |
| Groupers | 5,287 | 4,348 | 3,874 | 3,786 | 3,433 | 3,376 | 3,475 | 3,187 | 2,848 | 2,731 |
| King mackerels | 7,695 | 8,088 | 7,580 | 6,580 | 5,559 | 5,214 | 5,830 | 5,623 | 6,291 | 7,406 |
| Oysters | 4,057 | 4,599 | 7,222 | 6,850 | 5,467 | 6,076 | 7,207 | 16,535 | 7,233 | 8,607 |
| Shrimp | 51,064 | 33,078 | 46,063 | 53,674 | 54,941 | 38,790 | 50,965 | 49,952 | 59,870 | 61,984 |
| Snappers | 4,554 | 4,024 | 3,490 | 3,762 | 3,839 | 3,764 | 3,999 | 3,518 | 3,376 | 3,696 |
| Swordfish | 3,661 | 4,821 | 7,864 | 10,252 | 10,181 | 8,914 | 5,864 | 4,948 | 4,406 | 4,967 |
| Tunas | 4,672 | 4,869 | 4,070 | 5,191 | 7,136 | 6,176 | 6,264 | 5,254 | 4,525 | 7,070 |


| Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| Total Landings | 116,532 | 113,478 | 119,645 | 124,305 | 109,104 | 100,652 | 115,659 | 113,710 | 101,236 | 107,747 |
| Finfish \& Other | 43,948 | 51,117 | 52,715 | 52,751 | 42,059 | 49,707 | 55,300 | 45,721 | 36,703 | 36,399 |
| Shellfish | 72,584 | 62,361 | 66,930 | 71,554 | 67,045 | 50,946 | 60,359 | 67,990 | 64,532 | 71,348 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Blue crab | 44,970 | 38,959 | 38,839 | 42,127 | 40,392 | 32,764 | 34,232 | 40,441 | 35,078 | 29,836 |
| Clams | 628 | 611 | 692 | 622 | 667 | 613 | 801 | 905 | 788 | 1,037 |
| Flounders | 5,151 | 5,362 | 5,109 | 4,356 | 2,961 | 2,889 | 4,735 | 4,184 | 3,142 | 3,050 |
| Groupers | 1,580 | 1,295 | 1,105 | 949 | 856 | 784 | 762 | 674 | 592 | 546 |
| King mackerels | 4,352 | 4,858 | 4,247 | 3,049 | 2,456 | 1,899 | 2,381 | 2,267 | 2,634 | 3,111 |
| Oysters | 862 | 937 | 1,447 | 1,233 | 897 | 1,035 | 1,152 | 1,052 | 1,073 | 719 |
| Shrimp | 23,341 | 20,109 | 23,174 | 22,960 | 22,397 | 13,851 | 15,868 | 22,217 | 25,086 | 25,258 |
| Snappers | 1,515 | 1,373 | 1,196 | 1,248 | 1,227 | 1,171 | 1,181 | 1,031 | 962 | 1,023 |
| Swordfish | 1,307 | 1,800 | 2,383 | 2,783 | 2,940 | 2,601 | 1,754 | 1,671 | 1,323 | 1,390 |
| Tunas | 1,658 | 1,945 | 1,841 | 2,249 | 2,540 | 2,431 | 2,671 | 2,261 | 2,209 | 2,561 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Blue crab | 0.89 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.80 | 0.93 | 1.35 | 1.37 | 1.14 | 1.07 | 1.25 |
| Clams | 6.15 | 5.76 | 6.53 | 6.07 | 5.75 | 6.31 | 6.28 | 8.88 | 7.44 | 4.70 |
| Flounders | 2.18 | 1.94 | 2.19 | 2.19 | 2.70 | 2.61 | 2.85 | 3.14 | 3.95 | 4.01 |
| Groupers | 3.35 | 3.36 | 3.51 | 3.99 | 4.01 | 4.31 | 4.56 | 4.72 | 4.81 | 5.00 |
| King mackerels | 1.77 | 1.66 | 1.78 | 2.16 | 2.26 | 2.75 | 2.45 | 2.48 | 2.39 | 2.38 |
| Oysters | 4.71 | 4.91 | 4.99 | 5.56 | 6.09 | 5.87 | 6.26 | 15.71 | 6.74 | 11.96 |
| Shrimp | 2.19 | 1.64 | 1.99 | 2.34 | 2.45 | 2.80 | 3.21 | 2.25 | 2.39 | 2.45 |
| Snappers | 3.01 | 2.93 | 2.92 | 3.02 | 3.13 | 3.22 | 3.38 | 3.41 | 3.51 | 3.61 |
| Swordfish | 2.80 | 2.68 | 3.30 | 3.68 | 3.46 | 3.43 | 3.34 | 2.96 | 3.33 | 3.57 |
| Tunas | 2.82 | 2.50 | 2.21 | 2.31 | 2.81 | 2.54 | 2.35 | 2.32 | 2.05 | 2.76 |

[^97]| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ Economic Impacts of the South Atlantic Recreational Fishing | Expenditures (thousands of dollars, trips) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Trips | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| East Florida | 40,404 | 45,267 | $5,136,763$ | $1,840,032$ | $3,158,535$ |
| Georgia | 4,624 | 3,865 | 341,166 | 121,163 | 218,865 |
| North Carolina | 22,452 | 30,170 | $3,085,957$ | $1,111,920$ | $1,869,497$ |
| South Carolina | 9,389 | 9,803 | 901,599 | 309,571 | 557,259 |

## 2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 145,187 | Fishing Tackle | 1,001,304 |
| Private Boat | 878,216 | Other Equipment | 426,511 |
| Shore | 2,376,639 | Boat Expenses | 2,579,475 |
| Total | 3,400,041 | Vehicle Expenses | 311,904 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 38,284 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 4,357,478 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 7,757,519 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 2,330 | 1,922 | 1,933 | 1,893 | 2,135 | 2,092 | 2,189 | 1,753 | 1,873 | 1,750 |
| Non-Coastal | 560 | 462 | 536 | 450 | 502 | 396 | 530 | 475 | 472 | 401 |
| Total Anglers | 2,890 | 2,384 | 2,470 | 2,343 | 2,637 | 2,488 | 2,719 | 2,229 | 2,345 | 2,151 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 391 | 405 | 350 | 360 | 362 | 342 | 415 | 474 | 500 | 515 |
| Private | 21,568 | 23,532 | 25,415 | 23,391 | 20,786 | 20,495 | 22,194 | 21,753 | 21,252 | 21,506 |
| Shore | 54,209 | 54,669 | 54,096 | 52,923 | 48,186 | 47,627 | 52,768 | 53,562 | 51,317 | 54,849 |
| Total Trips | 76,167 | 78,605 | 79,861 | 76,674 | 69,334 | 68,463 | 75,377 | 75,789 | 73,069 | 76,869 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black sea bass | H | 887 | 673 | 1,330 | 933 | 687 | 629 | 1,113 | 727 | 553 | 620 |
|  | R | 6,267 | 5,670 | 7,037 | 10,197 | 11,658 | 7,259 | 15,547 | 11,307 | 10,161 | 11,526 |
| Bluefish | H | 5,877 | 9,159 | 10,881 | 10,637 | 5,949 | 8,448 | 8,571 | 7,176 | 7,116 | 5,525 |
|  | R | 9,854 | 12,400 | 22,284 | 18,670 | 12,110 | 19,009 | 13,887 | 14,742 | 13,232 | 13,106 |
| Dolphinfish | H | 1,441 | 1,438 | 1,212 | 1,421 | 1,436 | 1,142 | 1,618 | 2,255 | 1,345 | 1,666 |
|  | R | 268 | 209 | 244 | 885 | 246 | 448 | 701 | 889 | 131 | 629 |
| Drum (Atlantic croaker and spot) | H | 12,937 | 11,474 | 9,229 | 15,301 | 11,548 | 14,762 | 17,704 | 18,413 | 12,502 | 7,209 |
|  | R | 11,736 | 16,394 | 11,600 | 19,797 | 15,980 | 25,015 | 29,222 | 24,075 | 24,625 | 14,655 |
| Drum (spotted seatrout) | H | 3,321 | 4,230 | 3,360 | 2,611 | 5,115 | 3,608 | 2,821 | 1,805 | 3,543 | 3,904 |
|  | R | 10,948 | 12,768 | 20,219 | 17,352 | 18,486 | 13,513 | 14,324 | 13,867 | 15,163 | 15,380 |
| King mackerel | H | 824 | 833 | 474 | 302 | 254 | 236 | 298 | 323 | 526 | 637 |
|  | R | 311 | 168 | 160 | 104 | 97 | 78 | 199 | 144 | 123 | 323 |
| Porgies (sheepshead) | H | 2,091 | 1,953 | 2,647 | 2,357 | 1,630 | 2,056 | 2,658 | 1,572 | 2,415 | 1,885 |
|  | R | 2,221 | 1,991 | 2,281 | 2,089 | 2,805 | 2,288 | 3,474 | 3,177 | 2,944 | 2,536 |
| Red drum | H | 951 | 990 | 1,781 | 1,518 | 1,422 | 2,048 | 1,958 | 1,585 | 2,010 | 2,256 |
|  | R | 5,432 | 5,536 | 11,626 | 6,767 | 8,857 | 9,458 | 8,787 | 7,835 | 9,806 | 10,164 |
| Sharks | H | 123 | 98 | 64 | 59 | 65 | 151 | 137 | 45 | 162 | 34 |
|  | R | 10,132 | 8,375 | 7,485 | 6,357 | 6,689 | 12,893 | 8,491 | 10,102 | 6,926 | 4,522 |
| Spanish mackerel | H | 2,464 | 3,184 | 3,638 | 2,644 | 2,034 | 3,764 | 2,577 | 1,461 | 2,866 | 1,741 |
|  | R | 2,166 | 1,538 | 2,193 | 1,411 | 1,164 | 2,708 | 1,878 | 1,060 | 2,017 | 1,460 |

[^98]
## Tables | East Florida



East Florida | Commercial Fisheries
2017 Economic Impacts of the Florida Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 86,141 | 19,676,700 | 3,675,549 | 6,577,946 | 9,889 | 988,504 | 259,058 | 399,044 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 6,363 | 474,979 | 148,088 | 197,338 | 6,363 | 474,979 | 148,088 | 197,338 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 5,004 | 918,083 | 177,676 | 349,295 | 520 | 102,313 | 19,801 | 38,926 |
| Importers | 45,528 | 14,338,508 | 2,298,019 | 4,371,005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 11,001 | 1,434,637 | 563,233 | 700,736 | 430 | 56,050 | 22,005 | 27,377 |
| Retail | 18,245 | 2,510,493 | 488,533 | 959,571 | 2,576 | 355,161 | 69,164 | 135,402 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{2}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 47,856 | 40,992 | 51,151 | 60,643 | 57,766 | 48,669 | 55,949 | 51,451 | 49,168 | 53,850 |
| Finfish \& Other | 21,131 | 23,164 | 25,756 | 26,344 | 26,061 | 24,139 | 25,212 | 23,917 | 22,622 | 23,077 |
| Shellfish | 26,726 | 17,828 | 25,395 | 34,300 | 31,705 | 24,530 | 30,737 | 27,534 | 26,546 | 30,773 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Blue crab | 4,333 | 2,376 | 3,415 | 4,155 | 4,747 | 3,785 | 3,118 | 3,368 | 3,517 | 4,400 |
| Clams | 510 | 415 | 331 | 220 | 138 | 28 | 61 | NA | NA | NA |
| Groupers | 848 | 662 | 620 | 613 | 893 | 734 | 799 | 879 | 685 | 673 |
| King mackerel | 6,036 | 6,563 | 6,911 | 5,500 | 4,685 | 4,320 | 4,583 | 4,805 | 5,314 | 6,058 |
| Lobsters | 3,312 | 1,089 | 2,825 | 3,207 | 1,720 | 3,437 | 5,150 | 3,733 | 3,031 | 1,964 |
| Sharks | 636 | 949 | 757 | 677 | 458 | 491 | 548 | 642 | 355 | 403 |
| Shrimp | 17,225 | 12,455 | 17,071 | 24,361 | 21,903 | 14,125 | 18,306 | 14,802 | 15,498 | 16,227 |
| Snappers | 1,905 | 2,383 | 1,454 | 1,673 | 1,604 | 1,769 | 2,188 | 1,654 | 1,324 | 1,571 |
| Spanish mackerel | 1,827 | 2,004 | 2,414 | 2,686 | 2,448 | 2,650 | 2,652 | 2,171 | 2,534 | 2,760 |
| Swordfish | 2,339 | 2,385 | 3,677 | 4,005 | 4,838 | 3,287 | 2,560 | 2,532 | 2,228 | 1,699 |


|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Landings | 26,307 | 27,501 | 29,713 | 31,244 | 28,579 | 21,415 | 24,573 | 23,151 | 21,796 | 24,626 |
| Finfish \& Other | 14,111 | 16,105 | 17,137 | 16,051 | 14,241 | 12,553 | 13,592 | 12,459 | 12,149 | 12,052 |
| Shellfish | 12,196 | 11,396 | 12,576 | 15,193 | 14,338 | 8,862 | 10,981 | 10,692 | 9,647 | 12,573 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Blue crab | 3,342 | 1,640 | 2,553 | 3,226 | 3,440 | 2,211 | 1,500 | 1,639 | 1,732 | 2,316 |
| Clams | 55 | 54 | 42 | 22 | 17 | 5 | 8 | NA | NA | NA |
| Groupers | 239 | 188 | 167 | 154 | 222 | 174 | 179 | 187 | 143 | 137 |
| King mackerel | 3,299 | 4,064 | 3,905 | 2,633 | 2,143 | 1,547 | 1,811 | 1,859 | 2,162 | 2,438 |
| Lobsters | 506 | 298 | 481 | 514 | 302 | 486 | 543 | 481 | 394 | 256 |
| Sharks | 776 | 1,109 | 781 | 716 | 631 | 657 | 662 | 690 | 357 | 432 |
| Shrimp | 7,619 | 8,662 | 8,743 | 10,528 | 8,869 | 5,044 | 5,805 | 6,051 | 5,842 | 6,024 |
| Snappers | 635 | 805 | 510 | 564 | 523 | 572 | 661 | 496 | 393 | 434 |
| Spanish mackerel | 2,263 | 2,629 | 3,553 | 3,433 | 2,586 | 2,246 | 2,585 | 1,808 | 2,461 | 2,673 |
| Swordfish | 791 | 838 | 1,028 | 1,067 | 1,343 | 831 | 698 | 716 | 592 | 455 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{2}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Blue crab | 1.30 | 1.45 | 1.34 | 1.29 | 1.38 | 1.71 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 8}$ | 2.06 | 2.03 | 1.90 |
| Clams | 9.29 | 7.73 | 7.90 | 9.84 | 8.17 | 6.00 | 7.58 | NA | NA | NA |
| Groupers | 3.55 | 3.52 | 3.72 | 3.99 | 4.02 | 4.21 | 4.46 | 4.71 | 4.80 | 4.91 |
| King mackerel | 1.83 | 1.61 | 1.77 | 2.09 | 2.19 | 2.79 | 2.53 | 2.58 | 2.46 | 2.48 |
| Lobsters | 6.55 | 3.65 | 5.87 | 6.23 | 5.69 | 7.07 | 9.48 | 7.77 | 7.70 | 7.69 |
| Sharks | 0.82 | 0.86 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.83 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.93 |
| Shrimp | 2.26 | 1.44 | 1.95 | 2.31 | 2.47 | 2.80 | 3.15 | 2.45 | 2.65 | 2.69 |
| Snappers | 3.00 | 2.96 | 2.85 | 2.97 | 3.07 | 3.09 | 3.31 | 3.34 | 3.37 | 3.62 |
| Spanish mackerel | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.68 | 0.78 | 0.95 | 1.18 | 1.03 | 1.20 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Swordfish | 2.96 | 2.85 | 3.58 | 3.75 | 3.60 | 3.96 | 3.67 | 3.54 | 3.77 | 3.74 |

[^99]2017 Economic Impacts of East Florida Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 928 | 94,307 | 33,229 | 56,195 |
|  | Private Boat | 4,670 | 463,590 | 153,507 | 310,721 |
|  | Shore | 8,858 | 873,806 | 299,636 | 591,922 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 30,811 | $3,705,060$ | $1,353,660$ | $2,199,697$ |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  | 45,267 | $5,136,763$ | $1,840,032$ | $3,158,535$ |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 53,734 | Fishing Tackle | 649,609 |
| Private Boat | 469,059 | Other Equipment | 284,567 |
| Shore | 693,862 | Boat Expenses | 1,753,715 |
| Total | 1,216,655 | Vehicle Expenses | 214,273 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 15,206 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 2,917,370 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 4,134,025 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 1,317 | 1,099 | 1,033 | 1,109 | 1,181 | 1,263 | 1,334 | 1,001 | 1,059 | 975 |
| Non-Coastal | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Out-of-State | 703 | 643 | 629 | 553 | 514 | 540 | 807 | 819 | 674 | 613 |
| Total Anglers | 2,021 | 1,741 | 1,662 | 1,662 | 1,695 | 1,803 | 2,141 | 1,821 | 1,733 | 1,588 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 153 | 188 | 132 | 141 | 160 | 161 | 192 | 229 | $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ |
| Private | 13,485 | 15,352 | 17,003 | 14,771 | 12,325 | 12,231 | 13,759 | 13,029 | 12,393 | 11,756 |
| Shore | 32,284 | 33,470 | 31,818 | 30,883 | 27,193 | 24,914 | 30,016 | 29,138 | 26,046 | 28,398 |
| Total Trips | 45,921 | 49,010 | 48,952 | 45,795 | 39,678 | 37,306 | 43,968 | 42,395 | 38,695 | 40,404 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) ${ }^{2}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluefish | H | 2,717 | 5,502 | 6,046 | 5,575 | 2,319 | 2,037 | 3,262 | 2,081 | 1,492 | 1,591 |
|  | R | 3,618 | 5,169 | 13,455 | 8,484 | 8,079 | 10,002 | 6,293 | 5,361 | 4,751 | 1,716 |
| Dolphinfish | H | 1,068 | 801 | 485 | 771 | 949 | 806 | 1,179 | 1,505 | 799 | 1,285 |
|  | R | 264 | 190 | 234 | 869 | 220 | 440 | 694 | 815 | 127 | 626 |
| Drum (kingfish) ${ }^{3}$ | H | 10,802 | 5,342 | 8,187 | 10,137 | 9,676 | 6,043 | 6,745 | 3,507 | 4,762 | 2,079 |
|  | R | 5,002 | 7,197 | 9,425 | 8,447 | 10,159 | 6,505 | 7,265 | 9,140 | 5,872 | 1,978 |
| Drum (spotted seatrout) | H | 617 | 639 | 1,187 | 931 | 1,683 | 1,122 | 1,111 | 504 | 963 | 978 |
|  | R | 6,352 | 5,178 | 9,718 | 7,839 | 9,611 | 5,723 | 7,280 | 6,131 | 4,784 | 5,846 |
| Gray snapper | H | 860 | 811 | 447 | 404 | 464 | 2,102 | 2,556 | 1,819 | 3,778 | 3,355 |
|  | R | 4,570 | 7,881 | 1,732 | 2,017 | 6,419 | 7,167 | 8,095 | 6,469 | 11,947 | 10,260 |
| Jack (Florida pompano) | H | 2,800 | 513 | 1,712 | 507 | 1,602 | 630 | 575 | 486 | 380 | 612 |
|  | R | 2,277 | 840 | 1,093 | 2,676 | 2,666 | 1,261 | 1,780 | 984 | 1,190 | 827 |
| King mackerel | H | 620 | 596 | 391 | 252 | 181 | 179 | 208 | 219 | 409 | 489 |
|  | R | 241 | 99 | 132 | 89 | 83 | 62 | 146 | 122 | 67 | 171 |
| Porgies (sheepshead) | H | 1,012 | 982 | 1,893 | 1,420 | 1,015 | 1,076 | 2,248 | 1,129 | 1,942 | 1,240 |
|  | R | 1,732 | 1,559 | 1,879 | 1,704 | 2,315 | 1,467 | 2,767 | 2,520 | 2,272 | 1,114 |
| Red drum | H | 388 | 421 | 721 | 788 | 878 | 1,008 | 1,028 | 982 | 1,310 | 979 |
|  | R | 2,441 | 2,276 | 6,759 | 4,192 | 2,615 | 5,197 | 5,075 | 4,132 | 4,734 | 4,727 |
| Spanish mackerel | H | 1,330 | 1,556 | 2,525 | 1,304 | 777 | 2,666 | 1,349 | 230 | 1,619 | 651 |
|  | R | 1,198 | 699 | 1,353 | 522 | 254 | 1,892 | 920 | 219 | 1,137 | 454 |

[^100]
## East Florida | Marine Economy

## 2016 Florida State Economy (\% of national total) ${ }^{1}$

\#Non-Employer

Firms \#Establishments $\quad$ \#Employees $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
Annual <br>
Payroll <br>
(\$ billions)

 

Employee <br>
Compensation <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Gross State <br>
Product <br>
(\$ billions)

 

Commercial

 

Fishing <br>
Location <br>
Quotient ${ }^{2}$
\end{tabular}

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 202 | 217 | 280 | 294 | 307 | 300 | 315 | 300 | 316 |
|  | Receipts | 11,065 | 12,473 | 14,635 | 14,618 | 17,557 | 17,214 | 22,329 | 21,841 | 20,834 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 331 | 316 | 361 | 362 | 383 | 338 | 346 | 355 | 320 |
|  | Receipts | 26,087 | 25,667 | 27,964 | 29,037 | 30,765 | 25,332 | 26,433 | 29,033 | 24,296 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 23 | 25 | 27 | 24 | 27 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 23 |
|  | Employees | 1,637 | 1,143 | 1,269 | 1,095 | 1,608 | 1,374 | 1,419 | 1,429 | 1,535 |
|  | Payroll | 53,455 | 46,235 | 45,772 | 42,612 | 51,735 | 50,003 | 50,556 | 58,246 | 63,039 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 229 | 215 | 229 | 250 | 226 | 234 | 233 | 242 | 239 |
|  | Employees | 1,913 | 1,762 | 1,747 | 1,913 | 1,957 | 1,878 | 1,974 | 2,055 | 1,849 |
|  | Payroll | 75,203 | 72,159 | 70,889 | 77,115 | 75,945 | 79,266 | 83,964 | 90,247 | 83,818 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 168 | 158 | 145 | 145 | 151 | 165 | 166 | 181 | 191 |
|  | Employees | 991 | 885 | 865 | 849 | 945 | 909 | 1,037 | 1,137 | 1,133 |
|  | Payroll | 21,604 | 21,182 | 20,783 | 20,158 | 21,577 | 23,476 | 25,844 | 29,066 | 26,981 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{3}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 297 | 261 | 248 | 246 | 258 | 259 | 263 | 278 | 281 |
|  | Employees | 12,419 | 8,221 | 7,363 | 7,909 | 8,621 | 8,813 | 9,608 | 10,913 | 11,170 |
|  | Payroll | 442,096 | 296,537 | 302,909 | 325,942 | 374,831 | 390,853 | 448,514 | 488,050 | 512,454 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 57 | 58 | 61 | 65 | 75 | 69 | 77 | 76 | 65 |
|  | Employees | 2,486 | 2,801 | 2,279 | 2,374 | 3,345 | 2,485 | 2,015 | 2,154 | 1,639 |
|  | Payroll | 169,055 | 180,139 | 159,025 | 177,386 | 231,887 | 140,564 | 131,069 | 137,786 | 13,897 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 31 | 33 | 29 | 29 | 39 | 31 | 28 | 32 | 33 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 10,510 | 10,161 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 967,938 | 864,475 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 42 | 42 | 50 | 54 | 60 | 47 | 62 | 57 | 62 |
|  | Employees | 1,106 | 972 | 709 | 753 | 1,381 | 1,050 | 1,743 | 1,815 | 1,966 |
|  | Payroll | 50,115 | 37,774 | 50,217 | 53,341 | 100,402 | 82,078 | 175,366 | 173,004 | 199,592 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 40 | 32 | 34 | 32 | 66 | 61 | 56 | 55 | 54 |
|  | Employees | 712 | 527 | 470 | 377 | 2,082 | 555 | 588 | 987 | 1,006 |
|  | Payroll | 24,668 | 19,006 | 20,525 | 16,879 | 72,554 | 25,439 | 20,647 | 32,032 | 32,969 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 56 | 59 | 55 | 64 | 43 | 58 | 61 | 69 | 63 |
|  | Employees | 8,052 | 7,288 | 7,547 | 7,484 | 4,598 | 6,258 | 6,992 | 7,834 | 7,048 |
|  | Payroll | 192,473 | 185,309 | 191,560 | 195,458 | 86,461 | 188,997 | 179,024 | 208,186 | 191,828 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 147 | 145 | 145 | 150 | 151 | 180 | 190 | 196 | 194 |
|  | Employees | 894 | 829 | 980 | 1,047 | 853 | 1,390 | 878 | 861 | 922 |
|  | Payroll | 56,917 | 60,641 | 76,853 | 75,561 | 68,366 | 130,893 | 74,185 | 72,483 | 73,708 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 442 | 428 | 430 | 411 | 432 | 444 | 464 | 466 | 458 |
|  | Employees | 5,024 | 4,665 | 4,439 | 4,657 | 4,918 | 5,076 | 5,421 | 5,472 | 5,405 |
|  | Payroll | 151,677 | 132,955 | 133,017 | 142,997 | 148,573 | 145,265 | 168,185 | 171,354 | 176,315 |
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Georgia | Commercial Fisheries

| 2017 Economic Impacts of the Georgia Seafood Industry |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| With Imports | (thousands of dollars)

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 13,081 | 11,761 | 13,778 | 16,513 | 16,740 | 12,726 | 17,238 | $\mathbf{1 7 , 4 0 0}$ | 13,844 | $\mathbf{1 6 , 8 3 4}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 623 | 626 | 279 | 639 | 378 | 2,014 | 1,145 | 897 | 433 | 389 |
| Shellfish | 12,458 | 11,135 | 13,499 | 15,874 | 16,362 | 10,712 | 16,093 | 16,503 | 13,411 | 16,445 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Blue crab | 3,910 | 3,839 | 2,658 | 3,346 | 4,267 | 3,975 | 3,772 | 4,247 | 4,010 | 5,022 |
| Clams | 383 | 473 | 572 | 831 | 834 | 778 | 1,422 | 2,284 | 2,402 | 2,262 |
| Groupers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shrimp | 7,877 | 6,608 | 10,137 | 11,422 | 11,056 | 5,782 | 10,477 | 9,765 | 6,849 | 8,487 |
| Snails (conchs) | 6 | 11 | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | NA | NA |
| Snappers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 8,930 | 7,424 | 7,195 | 13,000 | 11,003 | 18,076 | 18,781 | 13,757 | 7,595 | 9,416 |
| Finfish \& Other | 267 | 306 | 168 | 3,319 | 2,131 | 12,171 | 9,640 | 6,749 | 1,476 | 2,088 |
| Shellfish | 8,663 | 7,118 | 7,027 | 9,681 | 8,873 | 5,905 | 9,141 | 7,009 | 6,119 | 7,328 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Blue crab | 4,227 | 3,598 | 2,329 | 3,427 | 4,265 | 3,216 | 2,667 | 2,934 | 3,318 | 3,889 |
| Clams | 54 | 76 | 98 | 147 | 144 | 132 | 260 | 372 | 348 | 319 |
| Groupers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shrimp | 3,132 | 3,324 | 4,525 | 4,375 | 3,951 | 1,908 | 2,757 | 3,669 | 2,428 | 2,824 |
| Snails (conchs) | 5 | 11 | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | NA |
| Snappers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Blue crab | 0.92 | 1.07 | 1.14 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.24 | 1.41 | 1.45 | 1.21 | 1.29 |
| Clams | 7.03 | 6.24 | 5.82 | 5.65 | 5.79 | 5.88 | 5.48 | 6.14 | 6.90 | 7.08 |
| Grouper | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Shrimp | 2.51 | 1.99 | 2.24 | 2.61 | 2.80 | 3.03 | 3.80 | 2.66 | 2.82 | 3.01 |
| Snails (conchs) | 1.31 | 1.00 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Snappers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^102]
## 2017 Economic Impacts of Georgia Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 87 | 8,466 | 2,856 | 5,001 |
|  | Private Boat | 769 | 56,706 | 18,146 | 37,371 |
|  | Shore | 1,932 | 165,350 | 54,615 | 102,064 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 1,077 | 110,644 | 45,546 | 74,429 |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  | 3,865 | 341,166 | 121,163 | 218,865 |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 4,947 | Fishing Tackle | 37,155 |
| Private Boat | 58,580 | Other Equipment | 11,823 |
| Shore | 120,883 | Boat Expenses | 42,131 |
| Total | 184,410 | Vehicle Expenses | 19,979 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 0 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 111,088 |
| Total State Trip | enditures |  | 295,498 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 190 | 146 | 145 | 146 | 134 | 99 | 125 | 81 | 110 | 110 |
| Non-Coastal | 154 | 91 | 136 | 131 | 96 | 72 | 115 | 80 | 89 | 73 |
| Out-of-State | 98 | 45 | 61 | 78 | 74 | 53 | 70 | 70 | 49 | 57 |
| Total Anglers | 441 | 282 | 342 | 355 | 303 | 225 | 310 | 231 | 248 | 241 |


| Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| For-Hire | 17 | 15 | 7 | 16 | 20 | 21 | 31 | 34 | 26 | 28 |
| Private | 1,201 | 1,152 | 1,164 | 1,236 | 1,184 | 1,228 | 1,262 | 1,360 | 1,375 | 1,569 |
| Shore | 1,638 | 1,525 | 1,536 | 1,650 | 1,786 | 2,071 | 2,444 | 2,715 | 2,480 | 3,028 |
| Total Trips | 2,855 | 2,693 | 2,707 | 2,902 | 2,990 | 3,320 | 3,737 | 4,109 | 3,880 | 4,624 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black drum | H | 168 | 42 | 138 | 26 | 43 | 65 | 48 | 48 | 96 | 64 |
|  | R | 133 | 60 | 73 | 20 | 53 | 35 | 22 | 56 | 54 | 85 |
| Black sea bass | H | 232 | 41 | 38 | 98 | 53 | 234 | 167 | 123 | 19 | 26 |
|  | R | 1,545 | 307 | 513 | 526 | 425 | 826 | 1,925 | 1,087 | 314 | 681 |
| Bluefish | H | 17 | 6 | 27 | 10 | 21 | 17 | 70 | 49 | 12 | 9 |
|  | R | 301 | 163 | 249 | 124 | 148 | 42 | 261 | 427 | 96 | 30 |
| Drum (Atlantic croaker) | H | 73 | 185 | 121 | 130 | 105 | 265 | 290 | 790 | 402 | 371 |
|  | R | 528 | 1,170 | 652 | 749 | 781 | 1,362 | 2,058 | 1,321 | 1,179 | 1,060 |
| Drum (South kingfish) | H | 1,341 | 1,545 | 1,772 | 1,820 | 1,346 | 1,732 | 2,199 | 3,437 | 1,505 | 1,825 |
|  | R | 1,794 | 1,538 | 1,522 | 1,689 | 1,778 | 1,206 | 984 | 1,490 | 1,742 | 1,283 |
| Drum (spotted seatrout) | H | 1,048 | 1,363 | 1,135 | 762 | 1,207 | 937 | 724 | 741 | 1,290 | 1,060 |
|  | R | 1,149 | 2,126 | 1,676 | 1,348 | 2,197 | 1,321 | 1,688 | 1,764 | 2,113 | 2,437 |
| Porgies (sheepshead) | H | 142 | 154 | 240 | 282 | 141 | 129 | 56 | 121 | 187 | 159 |
|  | R | 232 | 72 | 91 | 102 | 58 | 114 | 62 | 128 | 69 | 75 |
| Red drum | H | 222 | 164 | 443 | 201 | 96 | 237 | 212 | 201 | 290 | 468 |
|  | R | 494 | 346 | 926 | 370 | 220 | 505 | 751 | 961 | 601 | 1,177 |
| Sharks ${ }^{2}$ | H | 15 | 12 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 26 | < 1 | 8 | 19 | 4 |
|  | R | 969 | 756 | 564 | 759 | 1,015 | 907 | 1,059 | 902 | 1,085 | 569 |
| South flounder | H | 76 | 83 | 81 | 55 | 43 | 52 | 58 | 130 | 84 | 101 |
|  | R | 3 | 18 | 6 | 44 | 9 | 22 | 22 | 127 | 34 | 80 |

[^103]Georgia | Marine Economy
2016 Georgia State Economy (\% of national total)

| \#Non-Employer Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual Payroll (\$ billions) | Employee Compensation (\$ billions) | Gross State Product (\$ billions) | Commercial Fishing Location Quotient ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 877,908 (3.5\%) | 228,330 (2.9\%) | 3,804,433 (3\%) | 183 (2.8\%) | 288 (2.9\%) | 543 | 0.05 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product | Firms | 45 | 51 | 52 | 61 | 71 | 60 | 62 | 87 | 100 |
| prep. \& packaging | Receipts | 3,489 | 3,817 | 5,458 | 5,540 | 4,974 | 4,378 | 5,471 | 6,265 | 7,582 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 101 | 98 | 96 | 89 | 97 | 77 | 103 | 84 | 75 |
|  | Receipts | 6,922 | 5,701 | 6,474 | 8,646 | 8,233 | 6,932 | 9,338 | 8,379 | 8,298 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
|  | Employees | Ds | ds | 1,056 | 1,022 | 854 | 945 | 895 | 854 | 917 |
|  | Payroll | Ds | ds | 37,343 | 39,433 | 32,928 | 35,987 | 37,122 | 37,368 | 38,634 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 30 | 33 | 36 | 28 | 18 | 28 | 24 | 23 | 35 |
|  | Employees | 565 | 532 | 514 | 562 | 468 | 469 | 792 | 701 | 731 |
|  | Payroll | 20,122 | 18,628 | 20,075 | 20,660 | 15,459 | 17,326 | 24,726 | 26,254 | 28,745 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 48 | 42 | 48 | 51 | 54 | 60 | 62 | 70 | 70 |
|  | Employees | 160 | 162 | 176 | 176 | 214 | 210 | 229 | 248 | 283 |
|  | Payroll | 2,433 | 2,447 | 2,502 | 2,566 | 3,425 | 3,390 | 3,745 | 4,539 | 4,966 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{2,3}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 20 | 14 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 15 |
|  | Employees | 2,159 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 3,150 | 2,272 |
|  | Payroll | 69,096 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 110,951 | 81,978 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 14 | 13 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | Employees | 156 | 29 | ds | 51 | 236 | 28 | 63 | 64 | 70 |
|  | Payroll | 11,275 | 2,192 | 2,465 | 4,833 | 11,238 | 2,311 | 3,856 | 4,421 | 5,255 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | NA | NA | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Employees | NA | NA | NA | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | NA | NA | NA | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
|  | Employees | 28 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 66 | 84 |
|  | Payroll | 2,040 | 1,700 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 4,356 | 5,074 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 68 | 47 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 2,961 | 3,230 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 17 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 10 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 17 |
|  | Employees | 2,660 | 3,707 | 2,971 | 4,655 | ds | 2,986 | 3,561 | 4,956 | 3,966 |
|  | Payroll | 97,869 | 87,410 | 84,675 | 108,674 | ds | 120,985 | 124,394 | 117,785 | 98,105 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 11 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 8 |
|  | Employees | 182 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 203 | 149 |
|  | Payroll | 10,193 | 12,185 | 11,237 | ds | ds | ds | ds | 12,202 | 9,904 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 60 | 58 | 62 | 63 | 63 | 59 | 65 | 67 | 63 |
|  | Employees | 527 | 541 | 631 | 580 | 636 | 644 | 586 | 639 | 648 |
|  | Payroll | 15,571 | 15,736 | 17,428 | 16,986 | 17,921 | 17,768 | 18,604 | 20,210 | 22,546 |
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## North Carolina | Commercial Fisheries

2017 Economic Impacts of the North Carolina Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 9,787 | 968,751 | 268,401 | 402,338 | 6,199 | 344,622 | 140,657 | 186,990 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 2,535 | 165,618 | 65,115 | 89,298 | 2,535 | 165,618 | 65,115 | 89,298 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 671 | 51,049 | 19,848 | 25,648 | 489 | 37,203 | 14,465 | 18,692 |
| Importers | 1,625 | 511,759 | 82,019 | 156,007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 444 | 54,528 | 19,124 | 25,242 | 143 | 17,544 | 6,153 | 8,121 |
| Retail | 4,512 | 185,797 | 82,294 | 106,144 | 3,033 | 124,256 | 54,924 | 70,879 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 86,822 | 77,196 | 79,824 | 71,161 | 72,978 | 79,128 | 94,145 | $\mathbf{1 0 4 , 1 9 1}$ | 97,193 | 97,306 |
| Finfish \& Other | 34,430 | 33,984 | 33,349 | 31,276 | 31,010 | 29,836 | 37,007 | 32,207 | 33,572 | 36,232 |
| Shellfish | 52,392 | 43,212 | 46,475 | 39,884 | 41,968 | 49,291 | 57,138 | 71,984 | 63,621 | 61,074 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Atlantic croaker | 3,142 | 3,004 | 3,410 | 3,160 | 2,132 | 1,727 | 1,865 | 1,651 | 2,290 | 1,135 |
| Black sea bass | 1,156 | 1,401 | 947 | 627 | 688 | 869 | 1,408 | 1,354 | 1,398 | 1,859 |
| Blue crab | 27,555 | 27,429 | 26,537 | 21,295 | 22,779 | 30,001 | 34,050 | 33,717 | 24,303 | 22,372 |
| Clams | 2,435 | 2,086 | 2,634 | 1,899 | 2,279 | 2,362 | 2,957 | 5,149 | 2,726 | 2,183 |
| Flounders | 10,886 | 10,124 | 10,907 | 8,893 | 7,419 | 7,066 | 13,060 | 12,871 | 12,057 | 11,962 |
| Groupers | 2,274 | 1,879 | 1,730 | 1,463 | 1,421 | 1,248 | 1,264 | 1,109 | 1,126 | 1,012 |
| King mackerel | 1,632 | 1,500 | 645 | 1,062 | 831 | 878 | 1,204 | 786 | 902 | 1,265 |
| Shrimp | 19,251 | 8,528 | 10,689 | 10,888 | 13,293 | 12,947 | 14,146 | 16,814 | 29,752 | 29,620 |
| Snappers | 1,784 | 1,073 | 956 | 1,004 | 900 | 917 | 865 | 797 | 955 | 997 |
| Tunas | 3,393 | 2,922 | 1,490 | 2,438 | 4,400 | 3,208 | 3,619 | 2,817 | 3,292 | 5,331 |


| Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| Total Landings | 71,209 | 68,955 | 72,002 | 67,502 | 56,694 | 50,198 | 61,949 | 65,917 | 61,379 | 62,586 |
| Finfish \& Other | 27,630 | 32,323 | 32,499 | 29,739 | 22,738 | 22,004 | 29,439 | 23,284 | 20,432 | 19,109 |
| Shellfish | 43,580 | 36,632 | 39,503 | 37,763 | 33,957 | 28,194 | 32,509 | 42,634 | 40,946 | 43,477 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Atlantic croaker | 5,792 | 6,135 | 7,312 | 5,054 | 3,107 | 1,928 | 2,630 | 1,819 | 2,164 | 1,008 |
| Black sea bass | 485 | 615 | 401 | 272 | 256 | 330 | 527 | 468 | 439 | 631 |
| Blue crab | 32,917 | 29,707 | 30,683 | 30,035 | 26,787 | 22,203 | 26,231 | 32,124 | 25,645 | 19,273 |
| Clams | 400 | 359 | 366 | 302 | 404 | 356 | 438 | 422 | 343 | 299 |
| Flounders | 5,009 | 5,256 | 5,001 | 4,102 | 2,736 | 2,728 | 4,585 | 4,088 | 3,021 | 2,958 |
| Groupers | 785 | 638 | 561 | 409 | 382 | 311 | 299 | 259 | 262 | 223 |
| King mackerel | 1,037 | 778 | 329 | 408 | 297 | 345 | 550 | 391 | 437 | 629 |
| Shrimp | 9,427 | 5,408 | 5,955 | 5,140 | 6,141 | 4,860 | 4,691 | 9,083 | 13,833 | 13,892 |
| Snappers | 603 | 374 | 320 | 326 | 279 | 276 | 251 | 231 | 279 | 281 |
| Tunas | 1,041 | 1,028 | 703 | 1,056 | 1,482 | 1,283 | 1,647 | 1,320 | 1,486 | 1,803 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atlantic croaker | 0.54 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.63 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 0.71 | 0.91 | 1.06 | 1.13 |
| Black sea bass | 2.39 | 2.28 | 2.36 | 2.30 | 2.69 | 2.64 | 2.67 | 2.89 | 3.18 | 2.94 |
| Blue crab | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.71 | 0.85 | 1.35 | 1.30 | 1.05 | 0.95 | 1.16 |
| Clams | 6.09 | 5.82 | 7.19 | 6.28 | 5.65 | 6.64 | 6.75 | 12.21 | 7.95 | 7.31 |
| Flounders | 2.17 | 1.93 | 2.18 | 2.17 | 2.71 | 2.59 | 2.85 | 3.15 | 3.99 | 4.04 |
| Groupers | 2.89 | 2.95 | 3.08 | 3.58 | 3.72 | 4.01 | 4.22 | 4.28 | 4.30 | 4.54 |
| King mackerel | 1.57 | 1.93 | 1.96 | 2.60 | 2.79 | 2.54 | 2.19 | 2.01 | 2.07 | 2.01 |
| Shrimp | 2.04 | 1.58 | 1.79 | 2.12 | 2.16 | 2.66 | 3.02 | 1.85 | 2.15 | 2.13 |
| Snappers | 2.96 | 2.87 | 2.99 | 3.08 | 3.22 | 3.32 | 3.44 | 3.45 | 3.42 | 3.55 |
| Tunas | 3.26 | 2.84 | 2.12 | 2.31 | 2.97 | 2.50 | 2.20 | 2.13 | 2.22 | 2.96 |

## 2017 Economic Impacts of North Carolina Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | \#or-Hire | 1,119 | 104,952 | 35,545 | 60,417 |
|  | Private Boat | 2,492 | 249,484 | 87,048 | 150,896 |
|  | Shore | 17,515 | $1,715,605$ | 603,837 | $1,043,260$ |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 9,044 | $1,015,916$ | 385,490 | 614,924 |
| Total State Economic Impacts | 30,170 | $3,085,957$ | $1,111,920$ | $1,869,497$ |  |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 63,578 | Fishing Tackle | 237,545 |
| Private Boat | 235,111 | Other Equipment | 96,203 |
| Shore | 1,215,896 | Boat Expenses | 619,660 |
| Total | 1,514,585 | Vehicle Expenses | 56,999 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 23,078 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 1,033,485 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 2,548,070 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 587 | 446 | 544 | 490 | 614 | 564 | 549 | 479 | 541 | $\mathbf{4 8 1}$ |
| Non-Coastal | 303 | 259 | 296 | 254 | 283 | 240 | 301 | 239 | 281 | 235 |
| Out-of-State | 1,079 | 976 | 1,073 | 755 | 764 | 601 | 805 | 830 | 1,066 | 795 |
| Total Anglers | 1,970 | 1,681 | 1,914 | 1,499 | 1,661 | 1,405 | 1,656 | 1,548 | 1,889 | 1,512 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 170 | 129 | 139 | 129 | 159 | 111 | 96 | 114 | 141 | 149 |
| Private | 4,600 | 4,822 | 4,983 | 5,213 | 5,055 | 4,848 | 4,896 | 4,993 | 4,860 | 5,045 |
| Shore | 14,558 | 14,393 | 15,052 | 14,127 | 13,342 | 13,127 | 13,934 | 15,216 | 16,158 | 17,258 |
| Total Trips | 19,328 | 19,345 | 20,173 | 19,469 | 18,555 | 18,086 | 18,926 | 20,323 | 21,159 | 22,452 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black sea bass | H | 91 | 153 | 184 | 180 | 134 | 90 | 333 | 320 | 195 | 317 |
|  | R | 1,056 | 1,681 | 2,224 | 2,570 | 4,650 | 3,041 | 5,023 | 5,036 | 5,536 | 6,191 |
| Bluefish | H | 2,855 | 3,190 | 3,692 | 3,614 | 2,684 | 4,288 | 4,419 | 4,123 | 4,489 | 3,173 |
|  | R | 5,147 | 6,448 | 7,420 | 7,150 | 3,268 | 7,051 | 5,863 | 6,356 | 6,803 | 8,256 |
| Dolphinfish | H | 362 | 596 | 615 | 639 | 427 | 323 | 403 | 740 | 481 | 280 |
|  | R | 2 | 4 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 74 | 3 |  |
| Drum (Atlantic croaker and spot) ${ }^{3}$ | H | 4,649 | 5,156 | 5,111 | 7,354 | 3,526 | 7,422 | 10,279 | 4,010 | 3,038 | 3,085 |
|  | R | 7,092 | 10,470 | 8,187 | 11,999 | 6,875 | 12,243 | 14,391 | 12,617 | 9,086 | 6,534 |
| Drum (spotted seatrout) | H | 1,373 | 1,858 | 631 | 724 | 1,603 | 1,108 | 725 | 249 | 979 | 1,218 |
|  | R | 2,227 | 4,463 | 7,658 | 7,421 | 4,916 | 4,279 | 3,949 | 4,824 | 6,475 | 5,148 |
| Flounder (lefteye and summer) ${ }^{4}$ | H | 145 | 296 | 401 | 291 | 283 | 229 | 443 | 227 | 94 | 227 |
|  | R | 3,676 | 4,052 | 4,435 | 3,226 | 4,025 | 4,012 | 3,290 | 2,781 | 2,877 | 2,990 |
| King mackerel | H | 165 | 169 | 58 | 32 | 56 | 48 | 72 | 96 | 108 | 110 |
|  | R | 41 | 24 | 10 | < 1 | 6 | 9 | 35 | 17 | 44 | 95 |
| Spanish mackerel | H | 1,014 | 1,481 | 927 | 855 | 996 | 995 | 1,029 | 835 | 918 | 996 |
|  | R | 806 | 753 | 702 | 480 | 592 | 686 | 814 | 515 | 547 | 688 |
| Striped bass | H | 58 | 32 | 109 | 249 | 24 | 58 | 21 | 41 | 20 | 73 |
|  | R | 402 | 290 | 332 | 808 | 501 | 361 | 374 | 343 | 1,089 | 3,691 |
| Yellowfin tuna | H | 22 | 36 | 42 | 33 | 70 | 53 | 44 | 38 | 80 | 119 |
|  | R | < 1 | 1 | < 1 | < 1 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 29 | 18 |
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## North Carolina | Marine Economy

2016 North Carolina State Economy (\% of national total) ${ }^{1}$
\#Non-Employer

Firms \#Establishments $\quad$ \#Employees $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
Annual <br>
Payroll <br>
(\$ billions)

 

Employee <br>
Compensation <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Gross State <br>
Product <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Commercial <br>
Fishing <br>
Location <br>
Quotient ${ }^{1}$
\end{tabular}

Seafood Sales and Processing - Non-Employer Firms (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{2}$

|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Seafood product | Firms | ds | 34 | 40 | 50 | 46 | 58 | 63 | 72 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| prep. \& packaging | Receipts | ds | 1,297 | 1,652 | 2,705 | 1,630 | 4,605 | 4,599 | 4,715 | 4,204 |
| Seafood sales, | Firms | 114 | 140 | 126 | 144 | 136 | 127 | 137 | 134 | 122 |
| retail | Receipts | 10,918 | 12,188 | 9,057 | 10,386 | 11,990 | 12,175 | 13,430 | 12,705 | 12,215 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 18 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 14 |
|  | Employees | 232 | 170 | 171 | ds | ds | 135 | 128 | 128 | 128 |
|  | Payroll | 5,373 | 4,461 | 4,749 | 4,830 | 5,084 | 4,563 | 4,720 | 6,582 | 6,366 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 65 | 66 | 66 | 64 | 59 | 59 | 56 | 59 | 57 |
|  | Employees | 559 | 584 | 590 | 603 | 793 | 849 | 966 | 1,187 | 1,267 |
|  | Payroll | 16,843 | 17,383 | 18,348 | 19,344 | 23,949 | 26,687 | 30,292 | 38,462 | 43,297 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 90 | 77 | 82 | 84 | 88 | 86 | 93 | 91 | 93 |
|  | Employees | 219 | 243 | 247 | 244 | 289 | 254 | 278 | 255 | 282 |
|  | Payroll | 4,143 | 4,494 | 5,017 | 5,250 | 5,860 | 5,872 | 6,263 | 6,681 | 7,20 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{2,3}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 77 | 64 | 60 | 57 | 60 | 52 | 52 | 62 | 63 |
|  | Employees | 4,281 | 1,983 | 1,501 | 1,515 | 1,760 | 1,059 | 1,153 | 1,422 | 1,571 |
|  | Payroll | 138,243 | 68,004 | 64,807 | 66,929 | 74,843 | 49,462 | 50,102 | 65,388 | 73,550 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 5 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 5 |
|  | Employees | ds | 9 | ds | ds | 25 | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | 533 | 617 | ds | ds | 1,579 | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | NA | 1 | NA | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 2 |
|  | Employees | NA | ds | NA | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
|  | Payroll | NA | ds | NA | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 4 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | 2,366 | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 46 | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 1,579 | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 13 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | Employees | 760 | 914 | 600 | ds | ds | ds | ds | 797 | 594 |
|  | Payroll | 23,328 | 20,707 | 20,755 | ds | ds | ds | ds | 14,767 | 14,204 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 10 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 12 |
|  | Employees | 87 | 96 | 94 | 86 | 90 | 77 | 78 | 78 | 71 |
|  | Payroll | 3,668 | 4,313 | 3,968 | 4,041 | 3,203 | 3,583 | 3,844 | 4,350 | 4,369 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 107 | 105 | 102 | 104 | 102 | 99 | 100 | 105 | 109 |
|  | Employees | 656 | 501 | 536 | 524 | 531 | 501 | 541 | 579 | 624 |
|  | Payroll | 17,164 | 15,858 | 16,238 | 16,187 | 15,975 | 16,369 | 16,774 | 18,672 | 21,964 |
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## Tables | South Carolina



South Carolina | Commercial Fisheries

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 1,810 | 159,373 | 50,080 | 72,053 | 1,454 | 87,644 | 36,001 | 47,882 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 534 | 42,223 | 16,722 | 22,978 | 534 | 42,223 | 16,722 | 22,978 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 121 | 10,494 | 4,105 | 5,279 | 108 | 9,310 | 3,642 | 4,683 |
| Importers | 194 | 61,098 | 9,792 | 18,625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 78 | 9,045 | 3,178 | 4,174 | 38 | 4,400 | 1,546 | 2,030 |
| Retail | 882 | 36,513 | 16,284 | 20,997 | 774 | 31,711 | 14,092 | 18,190 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 17,901 | 17,251 | 21,983 | 24,662 | $\mathbf{2 5 , 7 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 2 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 6 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 4 9 5}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 4,614 | 5,338 | 7,182 | 9,611 | 8,411 | 9,347 | 7,103 | 7,816 | 7,154 | 8,665 |
| Shellfish | 13,287 | 11,913 | 14,800 | 15,051 | 17,384 | 15,634 | 16,886 | 16,410 | 16,482 | 16,829 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Black sea bass | 257 | 362 | 213 | 181 | 303 | 471 | 341 | 246 | 159 | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ |
| Blue crab | 4,187 | 4,059 | 3,592 | 5,083 | 5,800 | 6,368 | 5,822 | 4,830 | 5,538 | 5,537 |
| Clams | 535 | 542 | 980 | 823 | 583 | 704 | 592 | 604 | 740 | 434 |
| Groupers | 2,165 | 1,808 | 1,524 | 1,709 | 1,119 | 1,394 | 1,412 | 1,199 | 1,037 | 1,046 |
| Oysters | 1,768 | 1,734 | 1,906 | 1,975 | 2,153 | 2,402 | 2,243 | 2,258 | 2,321 | 2,612 |
| Sharks | 78 | 56 | 128 | 166 | 139 | 89 | 77 | 63 | 76 | 92 |
| Shrimp | 6,712 | 5,487 | 8,166 | 7,004 | 8,689 | 5,936 | 8,036 | 8,571 | 7,771 | 7,650 |
| Snappers | 864 | 568 | 1,079 | 1,085 | 1,334 | 1,078 | 945 | 1,067 | 1,097 | 1,128 |
| Swordfish | 187 | 1,116 | 2,289 | 3,629 | 2,332 | 2,691 | 1,195 | 1,160 | 927 | 1,815 |
| Tilefish | 66 | 9 | 117 | 8 | 148 | 404 | 538 | 537 | 505 | 780 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 10,085 | 9,598 | 10,735 | 12,560 | 12,827 | 10,963 | 10,357 | 10,885 | 10,466 | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 2 0}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 1,940 | 2,384 | 2,912 | 3,643 | 2,949 | 2,978 | 2,629 | 3,229 | 2,646 | 3,150 |
| Shellfish | 8,145 | 7,214 | 7,823 | 8,917 | 9,878 | 7,985 | 7,728 | 7,655 | 7,819 | 7,970 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Black sea bass | 132 | 168 | 99 | 100 | 118 | 178 | 131 | 81 | 50 | 81 |
| Blue crab | 4,484 | 4,014 | 3,274 | 5,439 | 5,900 | 5,134 | 3,833 | 3,745 | 4,382 | 4,358 |
| Clams | 119 | 123 | 185 | 150 | 102 | 121 | 95 | 112 | 97 | 419 |
| Groupers | 556 | 469 | 377 | 386 | 252 | 298 | 284 | 229 | 188 | 186 |
| Oysters | 329 | 308 | 340 | 337 | 361 | 376 | 339 | 331 | 314 | 327 |
| Sharks | 110 | 63 | 94 | 116 | 109 | 61 | 54 | 41 | 45 | 64 |
| Shrimp | 3,162 | 2,716 | 3,950 | 2,917 | 3,435 | 2,040 | 2,615 | 3,414 | 2,983 | 2,518 |
| Snappers | 277 | 194 | 365 | 358 | 425 | 322 | 269 | 305 | 289 | 309 |
| Swordfish | 71 | 459 | 725 | 913 | 694 | 712 | 361 | 362 | 267 | 526 |
| Tilefish | 28 | 5 | 46 | 4 | 51 | 160 | 194 | 171 | 133 | 191 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Black sea bass | 1.94 | 2.15 | 2.16 | 1.82 | 2.57 | 2.64 | 2.60 | 3.04 | 3.19 | 3.11 |
| Blue crab | 0.93 | 1.01 | 1.10 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 1.24 | 1.52 | 1.29 | 1.26 | 1.27 |
| Clams | 4.51 | 4.42 | 5.30 | 5.48 | 5.71 | 5.82 | 6.20 | 5.38 | 7.63 | 1.04 |
| Groupers | 3.90 | 3.85 | 4.04 | 4.42 | 4.45 | 4.68 | 4.97 | 5.24 | 5.52 | 5.63 |
| Oysters | 5.37 | 5.63 | 5.61 | 5.85 | 5.96 | 6.39 | 6.61 | 6.81 | 7.39 | 7.99 |
| Sharks | 0.71 | 0.89 | 1.35 | 1.43 | 1.28 | 1.46 | 1.43 | 1.55 | 1.70 | 1.43 |
| Shrimp | 2.12 | 2.02 | 2.07 | 2.40 | 2.53 | 2.91 | 3.07 | 2.51 | 2.61 | 3.04 |
| Snappers | 3.12 | 2.92 | 2.95 | 3.03 | 3.14 | 3.34 | 3.52 | 3.50 | 3.79 | 3.65 |
| Swordfish | 2.64 | 2.43 | 3.16 | 3.98 | 3.36 | 3.78 | 3.31 | 3.20 | 3.47 | 3.45 |
| Tilefish | 2.30 | 2.00 | 2.54 | 1.84 | 2.87 | 2.53 | 2.76 | 3.15 | 3.81 | 4.08 |

## 2017 Economic Impacts of South Carolina Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | 408 | 35,608 | 11,825 | 20,489 |  |  |
|  | For-Hire | 1,420 | 107,713 | 33,020 | 70,151 |  |
|  | Private Boat | 5,047 | 450,922 | 151,241 | 285,456 |  |
|  | Shore | 2,928 | 307,356 | 113,485 | 181,163 |  |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 9,803 | 901,599 | 309,571 | 557,259 |  |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 22,928 | Fishing Tackle | 76,995 |
| Private Boat | 115,466 | Other Equipment | 33,918 |
| Shore | 345,998 | Boat Expenses | 163,969 |
| Total | 484,391 | Vehicle Expenses | 20,653 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 0 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 295,535 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 779,926 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 236 | 231 | 210 | 148 | 207 | 166 | 181 | 192 | 163 | 184 |
| Non-Coastal | 103 | 112 | 104 | 66 | 123 | 84 | 114 | 157 | 102 | 93 |
| Out-of-State | 604 | 554 | 494 | 264 | 406 | 602 | 569 | 684 | 510 | 437 |
| Total Anglers | 942 | 898 | 809 | 478 | 736 | 852 | 864 | 1,033 | 775 | 714 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 51 | 72 | 72 | 75 | 24 | 48 | 95 | 97 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 88 |
| Private | 2,281 | 2,205 | 2,265 | 2,170 | 2,223 | 2,187 | 2,276 | 2,371 | 2,624 | 3,136 |
| Shore | 5,730 | 5,280 | 5,691 | 6,262 | 5,865 | 7,515 | 6,375 | 6,494 | 6,634 | 6,165 |
| Total Trips | 8,063 | 7,558 | 8,028 | 8,507 | 8,111 | 9,751 | 8,746 | 8,962 | 9,335 | 9,389 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black sea bass | H | 156 | 38 | 531 | 104 | 127 | 53 | 249 | 88 | 56 | 197 |
|  | R | 1,608 | 913 | 1,238 | 2,366 | 1,212 | 1,022 | 4,286 | 2,079 | 2,282 | 3,266 |
| Bluefish | H | 288 | 461 | 1,115 | 1,439 | 924 | 2,106 | 820 | 921 | 1,123 | 752 |
|  | R | 788 | 621 | 1,160 | 2,911 | 615 | 1,914 | 1,470 | 2,597 | 1,583 | 3,105 |
| Drum (Atlantic croaker and spot) ${ }^{3}$ | H | 6,773 | 3,560 | 2,610 | 4,124 | 5,135 | 5,041 | 1,859 | 8,094 | 5,243 | 2,663 |
|  | R | 1,360 | 2,341 | 1,199 | 2,477 | 1,744 | 9,645 | 6,651 | 6,055 | 8,655 | 5,125 |
| Drum (South kingfish) | H | 2,718 | 2,952 | 1,093 | 1,731 | 2,774 | 3,639 | 2,207 | 1,368 | 1,450 | 1,783 |
|  | R | 2,395 | 2,870 | 0 | 458 | 712 | 0 | 22 | 11 | 45 | 3 |
| Drum (spotted seatrout) | H | 283 | 370 | 407 | 193 | 622 | 441 | 260 | 311 | 311 | 648 |
|  | R | 1,220 | 1,002 | 1,167 | 744 | 1,762 | 2,191 | 1,407 | 1,148 | 1,791 | 1,950 |
| Porgies (sheepshead) | H | 433 | 454 | 187 | 458 | 128 | 66 | 169 | 141 | 136 | 204 |
|  | R | 85 | 61 | 121 | 203 | 163 | 315 | 421 | 368 | 391 | 436 |
| Red drum | H | 229 | 191 | 437 | 373 | 296 | 283 | 393 | 258 | 241 | 456 |
|  | R | 987 | 1,676 | 2,269 | 1,618 | 1,083 | 1,865 | 1,875 | 1,433 | 1,267 | 2,094 |
| Sharks ${ }^{4}$ | H | 22 | 27 | 11 | 26 | 22 | 57 | 33 | 13 | 19 | 11 |
|  | R | 1,759 | 3,675 | 2,196 | 1,714 | 2,489 | 4,477 | 2,571 | 2,921 | 1,694 | 1,429 |
| South flounder | H | 262 | 242 | 309 | 323 | 258 | 191 | 140 | 184 | 187 | 221 |
|  | R | 231 | 454 | 25 | 63 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | < 1 | 0 |
| Spanish mackerel | H | 95 | 137 | 171 | 472 | 258 | 101 | 194 | 390 | 306 | 46 |
|  | R | 150 | 84 | 139 | 389 | 313 | 130 | 137 | 322 | 334 | 300 |

[^107]
## South Carolina | Marine Economy

## 2016 South Carolina State Economy (\% of national total)

| \#Non-Employer Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual Payroll (\$ billions) | Employee Compensation (\$ billions) | Gross State Product (\$ billions) | Commercial Fishing Location Quotient ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 339,739 (1.4\%) | 105,959 (1.4\%) | 1,716,496 (1.4\%) | 69.1 (1.1\%) | 117 (1.2\%) | 215 | 0.12 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 15 | 21 | 23 | 32 | 35 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 31 |
|  | Receipts | 1,155 | 1,794 | 1,386 | 1,326 | 1,868 | 1,657 | 2,690 | 2,438 | 3,782 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 64 | 77 | 78 | 87 | 67 | 67 | 73 | 69 | 57 |
|  | Receipts | 4,650 | 4,709 | 3,978 | 5,535 | 4,818 | 3,765 | 4,845 | 6,007 | 5,753 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | NA | NA | 4 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 20 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 16 | 15 |
|  | Employees | 108 | 111 | 120 | 101 | 125 | 134 | 148 | 146 | 151 |
|  | Payroll | 3,770 | 3,676 | 3,868 | 3,760 | 4,506 | 4,849 | 5,329 | 5,327 | 5,193 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 64 | 57 | 56 | 61 | 60 | 56 | 56 | 54 | 58 |
|  | Employees | 292 | 261 | 260 | 245 | 228 | 222 | 224 | 185 | 200 |
|  | Payroll | 4,871 | 4,901 | 4,580 | 4,231 | 3,670 | 3,713 | 3,633 | 3,883 | 4,006 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{2,3}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 46 | 41 | 39 | 41 | 39 | 37 | 37 | 34 | 34 |
|  | Employees | 3,001 | 1,929 | 1,922 | 1,943 | 1,980 | 2,262 | 2,225 | 2,690 | 2,789 |
|  | Payroll | 97,743 | 73,988 | 74,945 | 85,568 | 90,942 | 96,081 | 98,324 | 115,262 | 125,487 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 4 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | 20 | ds | ds | 21 | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | 659 | ds | 758 | 722 | ds | 633 | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 7 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | 1 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | 40 | ds | ds | 0 | 33 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | 2,625 | ds | ds | 0 | 1,899 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | 676 | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | 29,332 | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 17 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 14 |
|  | Employees | 1,282 | 1,953 | 1,731 | 1,717 | 715 | ds | 1,902 | 2,467 | 2,117 |
|  | Payroll | 56,812 | 43,170 | 39,625 | 49,172 | 30,381 | ds | 66,803 | 59,595 | 75,187 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | Employees | 227 | 208 | 222 | 217 | 247 | 221 | 219 | 236 | 255 |
|  | Payroll | 11,916 | 12,522 | 12,591 | 11,922 | 16,625 | 13,820 | 14,513 | 16,311 | 18,135 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 68 | 69 | 73 | 75 | 70 | 77 | 70 | 70 | 74 |
|  | Employees | 588 | 533 | 537 | 543 | 595 | 650 | 661 | 633 | 717 |
|  | Payroll | 13,753 | 12,642 | 13,786 | 15,805 | 15,408 | 16,147 | 17,212 | 16,996 | 19,201 |
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## Gulf of Mexico Region

- Alabama
- West Florida - Louisiana - Mississippi - Texas



## MANAGEMENT CONTEXT

The Gulf of Mexico Region includes Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi, Texas, and West Florida. Federal fisheries in this region are managed by the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (GMFMC) and NOAA Fisheries under seven fishery management plans (FMPs). The coastal migratory pelagic resources and spiny lobster fisheries are managed jointly with the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (SAFMC).

## FMPs in the Gulf of Mexico Region

- Aquaculture
- Red drum
- Coastal migratory pelagic resources (with SAFMC)
- Corals
- Reef fish
- Shrimp
- Spiny lobster
(with SAFMC)

One stock/stock complex covered in these FMPs was listed as overfished in 2017: greater amberjack. In 2017, gray triggerfish (Gulf of Mexico stock) and red snapper (Gulf of Mexico stock) were removed from the overfished list.

Two stocks/complexes were subject to overfishing in 2017: greater amberjack and gray triggerfish (Gulf of Mexico stock), of which both were added in 2017.

## Catch Share Programs

Two catch share programs have been implemented in the Gulf of Mexico: the Red Snapper Individual Fishing Quota (IFQ) Program and the Grouper and Tilefish IFQ Program. The landings revenues for these programs totaled more than $\$ 55.1$ million in 2017 . The following are descriptions of these catch share programs and their performance.

Red Snapper IFQ Program: This program was implemented in 2007 to reduce overcapacity and mitigate derby fishing conditions in the red snapper segment of the commercial reef fish fishery. The 2016 key performance indicators of the program show that relative to the baseline period (the 3-year period prior to implementation), the number of active vessels decreased, while quota, landings, inflation-adjusted landings revenue, and inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel increased.

Grouper and Tilefish IFQ Program: This program was implemented in 2010 to reduce overcapacity, increase harvesting efficiency, and eliminate the race to fish in the grouper-tilefish segment of the commercial reef fish fishery. The 2016 key performance indicators of the program show that relative to the baseline period (the 3-year period prior to implementation), the number of active vessels decreased, while quota, landings, inflation-adjusted landings revenue, and inflation-adjusted revenue per active vessel increased.

## Policy Updates ${ }^{1}$

Red snapper allocation has been a key focus of the GMFMC in recent years. For example, Amendment 28 (May 2016) of the Reef Fish FMP revised the commercial and recreational sector allocations of the red snapper ACL by shifting $2.5 \%$ of the commercial sector's allocation to the recreational sector. This shift was due to the recalibration of Marine Recreational Information Program catch estimates, which showed higher recreational landings during the time period used to determine the allocation. The resulting sector allocations for red snapper were 48.5\% commercial and 51.5\% recreational and were applied to the 2016 quotas. However, in March 2017, a court vacated Amendment 28, and the sector quotas for 2017 were adjusted (effective June 2017) to the previous sector allocations of $51 \%$ commercial and 49\% recreational.

In 2017, the federal red snapper season was initially open only 3 days for private anglers and 49 days for for-hire vessels. The short private angling season in 2017 was due in part to a quota overage in 2016, which required an overage adjustment to the 2017 quota. The short season was also due to landings projected to occur in state waters while federal waters were closed. Shortly after the private angling season ended, it was reopened for an additional 39 days. During this time, the fishing season was open Fridays through Sundays, plus July 3-4 and September 4.

Amendment 26 (effective May 2017) to the Coastal Migratory Pelagics FMP for the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic regions made the GMFMC responsible for management in federal waters off the Florida Keys. In the Gulf, this rule also revises the commercial and recreational

[^109]fishing ACLs as well as the commercial zone quotas. In addition, under Amendment 26 the recreational bag limit for the Gulf migratory group of king mackerel was increased in May 2017 from two fish per person per trip to three fish per person per trip. The purpose of this increase was to allow more opportunities for recreational anglers to harvest the recreational sector's ACL.

In addition to these management actions, other actions taken in 2017 (but effective January 2018) included establishing a rebuilding plan for gray triggerfish and modifying the greater amberjack rebuilding plan. Both of these stocks were determined to be experiencing overfishing in 2017; greater amberjack has had an overfished status since about 1987. Reef Fish Amendment 46 establishes a 9-year rebuilding timeline for gray triggerfish. The amendment reduces the recreational bag limit to 1 -fish per person per day, increases the recreational minimum size limit to 15 -inches fork length, and creates a January-February recreational closed season in addition to the current June-July 31 closure during spawning for the commercial and recreational sector. The amendment also increases the commercial trip limit to 16 fish.

Modifications to the greater amberjack rebuilding plan adjust the re-building timeline, reduce the commercial and recreational annual catch limits (ACLs) and annual catch targets (ACTs), and modify the recreational fixed closed season for greater amberjack in the Gulf of Mexico exclusive economic zone (EEZ). Reducing the commercial and recreational fishing ACLs 31\% coupled with similar reduction in the ACTs for these fisheries is projected to rebuild the stock by 2027.

## COMMERCIAL FISHERIES GULF OF MEXICO REGION

In this report, commercial fisheries refer to fishing operations that sell their catch for profit. The term does not include subsistence fishermen or saltwater anglers who fish for sport. It also excludes the for-hire sector, which earns its revenue from selling recreational fishing trips to saltwater anglers. The commercial fisheries section reports on economic impacts, landings revenue, landings, and ex-vessel prices of key species/species groups.

## Key Gulf of Mexico Region Commercial Species

- Blue crab - Oysters
- Crawfish - Red snapper
- Groupers • Shrimp
- Menhaden • Spiny lobster
- Mullets - Tunas


## Economic Impacts

The premise behind economic impact modeling is that every dollar spent in a regional economy (direct impact) is either saved or re-spent on additional goods or services. If those dollars are re-spent on other goods and services in the regional economy, this spending generates additional economic activity in the region. ${ }^{2}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how commercial fisheries landings affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as commercial fishing. The category includes both the direct sales of fish landed and sales made between businesses and households resulting from the original sale. Income includes personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the sales of seafood or purchases of inputs to commercial fishing. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in numbers of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. The United States seafood industry is defined here as the commercial fishing sector, seafood processors and dealers, seafood wholesalers and distributors, importers, and seafood retailers. ${ }^{3,4}$

This report provides estimates of total economic impacts for the nation and for each of the 23 coastal states. Total economic impacts for each state and the nation represent the sum of direct impacts; indirect impacts (in this case, the impact from suppliers to the seafood industry); and induced impacts (spending by

[^110]employees on personal and household expenditures, where employees of both the seafood industry and its full supply chain are included). That is, the total economic impact estimates reported here measure jobs, sales, val-ue-added, and income impacts from the seafood industry as well as the economic activity generated throughout each region's broader economy from this industry.

In 2017, the commercial fishing and seafood industry in Florida generated the largest employment impacts in the Gulf of Mexico region with 86,141 full- and parttime jobs. ${ }^{5}$ Florida also generated the largest sales impacts ( $\$ 19.7$ billion), value-added impacts (\$6.6 billion), and income impacts ( $\$ 3.7$ billion). ${ }^{5}$

## Landings Trends

Overall, landings revenues were fairly flat (down two percent) in the Gulf Region from 2016 to 2017 but there was considerable variation across species and states. Landings revenue gains from shrimp (up 13\%, \$51.7 million), oysters (up 20\%, $\$ 18.2$ million) and blue crabs (up 6\%, $\$ 3.9$ million) largely offset declines from menhaden (down 50\%, -\$71.1 million) and spiny lobsters (down 11\%, -\$9.6 million).

The shrimp fishery is the highest value fishery in the region and in each Gulf state; overall, the region represented $78 \%$ of U.S. shrimp harvest in 2017. With shrimp landings revenue up $\$ 39$ million relative to 2016, Texas accounted for 76\% of the region's increase in shrimp landings revenue. Texas shrimp landings were at their highest level since 2006. Region-wide, brown shrimp landings revenue increased 20\% ( 16.6 million pounds) from 2016 to 2017, which was consistent with the NOAA Fisheries forecast; Louisiana (up $\$ 2.6$ million), Mississippi (up $\$ 3.0$ million) and Texas (up $\$ 18.8$ million) all experienced brown shrimp landings and revenue gains. ${ }^{6}$ Alabama and West Florida represent over 96\% of the pink shrimp fishery; their 2017 landings revenues from this species were up $\$ 9.1$ million and $\$ 13.6$ million, respectively. White shrimp 2017 landings revenue increased $\$ 20.0$ million (37\%) in Texas from the previous year, offsetting declines in all other Gulf states. Overall, shrimp prices ticked up 2\% despite record high imports of shrimp products in 2017.

Oyster landings revenue increased from 2016 to 2017 due to higher landings in Louisiana and Texas (up 11\% and $12 \%$, respectively) and higher prices. Oyster production in Texas exceeded expectations, which had been lowered due to the heavy rains from Hurricane Harvey that caused fresh water run-off in east Galveston Bay, devastating oyster beds in that area. Oyster production elsewhere in Texas, however, offset the east Galveston Bay losses. In terms of prices, average annual prices increased in each of the Gulf states from 2016 to 2017. Gulf-wide oyster prices increased $11 \%$ during this period; in contrast, oyster prices declined $8 \%$ on average elsewhere in the United States from 2016 to 2017.

Menhaden landings revenue declined from 2016 to 2017 on a combination of lower harvest levels (down 25\%), which had been predicted by NOAA Fisheries, ${ }^{7}$ and lower prices (down 36\%). Global production of fish meal and fish oil, the primary market for menhaden, was up in 2017 due to the recovery of the Peru and Chile fisheries, which depressed prices. Taking a more long-term perspective, 2016 was a banner year for the Gulf menhaden fishery, with real (inflation-adjusted) landings revenue achieving its highest level since 1984. Relative to 2008, menhaden real landings revenue was only down $2 \%$. Louisiana, home to two of the three menhaden processing facilities, accounted for $100 \%$ of the 2016-2017 decline in landings revenue.

Spiny lobster landings, which only West Florida harvests in the Gulf Region, declined 28\% in 2017 relative to 2016 landings; landings revenue fell 23\% (\$9.6 million). The spiny lobster season, which runs from August to March, was disrupted by Hurricane Irma, which struck south Florida in early September 2017, displacing and destroying over 150,000 lobster traps. ${ }^{8}$ The Florida Keys Commercial Fishermen's Association, in cooperation with Florida Sea Grant and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, deployed spotter planes to identify and recover lost gear, saving the industry an estimated $\$ 4$ million.

## Landings Revenue

In 2017, landings revenue in Gulf of Mexico totaled $\$ 890.3$ million, a 34\% increase from 2008 (a 17\% increase in

[^111]real terms after adjusting for inflation) and a 2\% decrease from 2016. Landings revenue was highest in Louisiana ( $\$ 370.2$ million), followed by Texas ( $\$ 237$ million).

Shellfish landings revenue accounted for 79\% of all landings revenue. In 2017, shrimp ( $\$ 451.2$ million), oysters ( $\$ 109.6$ million), and menhaden ( $\$ 72.2$ million) had the highest landings revenue in this region. Together, these top three species accounted for $71 \%$ of total landings revenue.

From 2008 to 2017, red snapper (251\%, 206\% in real terms), oysters ( $81 \%, 58 \%$ in real terms), and blue crab (73\%, 51\% in real terms) had the largest increases, while tunas ( $-16 \%,-27 \%$ in real terms) and groupers ( $-8 \%,-19 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, oysters (20\%), shrimp (13\%), and blue crab (6\%) had the largest increases, while menhaden (-50\%), spiny lobster (-23\%), and groupers (-22\%) had the largest decreases.

## Commercial Revenue: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Red snapper (251\%, 206\% in real terms)
- Oysters (81\%,58\% in real terms)
- Blue crab (73\%,51\% in real terms)

From 2016:

- Oysters (20\%)
- Shrimp (13\%)
- Blue crab (6\%)


## Commercial Revenue: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Tunas ( $-16 \%,-27 \%$ in real terms)
- Groupers ( $-8 \%,-19 \%$ in real terms)

From 2016:

- Menhaden (-50\%)
- Spiny lobster (-23\%)
- Groupers (-22\%)


## Landings

In 2017, Gulf of Mexico Region commercial fishermen landed over 1.4 billion pounds of finfish and shellfish. This represents a 10\% increase from 2008 and a 19\%
decrease from 2016. Menhaden contributed the highest landings volume in the region, accounting for $73 \%$ of total landing weight.

From 2008 to 2017, red snapper (183\%), shrimp (21\%), and spiny lobster ( $21 \%$ ) had the largest increases, while groupers (-34\%), oysters (-15\%), and tunas (-14\%) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, shrimp (11\%), oysters (8\%), and blue crab (5\%) had the largest increases, while spiny lobster (-28\%), groupers (-26\%), and menhaden ( $-25 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

## Commercial Landings: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Red snapper (183\%)
- Shrimp (21\%)
- Spiny lobster (21\%)

From 2016:

- Shrimp (11\%)
- Oysters (8\%)
- Blue crab (5\%)


## Commercial Landings: Largest Decreases

From 2008:

- Groupers (-34\%)
- Oysters (-15\%)
- Tunas (-14\%)

From 2016:

- Spiny lobster (-28\%)
- Groupers (-26\%)
- Menhaden (-25\%)


## Prices

In 2017, spiny lobster ( $\$ 8.83$ per pound) received the highest ex-vessel price in the region. Landings of menhaden ( $\$ 0.07$ per pound) had the lowest ex-vessel price. From 2008 to 2017, oysters (112\%, 85\% in real terms), blue crab (57\%, 37\% in real terms), and groupers ( $41 \%, 23 \%$ in real terms) had the largest increases, while tunas ( $-2 \%,-15 \%$ in real terms) had the largest decrease. From 2016 to 2017, oysters (11\%), spiny lobster (7\%), and groupers (5\%) had the largest increases, while menhaden (-32\%), mullets (-4\%), and tunas ( $-0.7 \%$ ) had the largest decreases.

## RECREATIONAL FISHERIES GULF OF MEXICO REGION

In this report, recreational fishing refers to fishing for leisure rather than to sell fish (commercial fishing) or for subsistence. This recreational fisheries section reports on economic impacts and expenditures, angler participation, fishing trips, and catch of key species/species groups. [Louisiana harvest and release totals for 2014-2017 are estimated using data from a state creel survey.] ${ }^{9}$

## Key Gulf of Mexico Region Recreational Species ${ }^{10}$

- Drum (Atlantic croaker)
- Drum (Gulf and Southern kingfish)
- Drum (sand and silver seatrouts)
- Drum (spotted seatrout)
- Porgies (sheepshead)
- Red drum
- Red snapper
- Southern flounder
- Spanish mackerel
- Striped mullet


## Economic Impacts and Expenditures

The economic contribution of recreational fishing activities in the Gulf of Mexico Region is based on spending by recreational anglers. ${ }^{11}$ Total annual trip expenditures are estimated at the state level by multiplying mean trip expenditures by the estimated number of adult trips in each trip mode (for-hire, private boat, and shore) and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. Total annual durable expenditures are estimated by multiplying mean durable expenditures in each state by the estimated annual number of adult participants for each state and adjusting by the CPI (consumer price index) to the current year. ${ }^{12}$

Four different measures are commonly used to show how angler expenditures affect the economy in a region (state or nationwide): sales, income, value-added, and employment. The term sales refers to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as recreational fishing. The category includes both the direct sales made to the angler and sales made between businesses and households resulting from that original sale to the angler. Income includes personal
income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment). Value-added is the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region. Employment is specified on the basis of fulltime and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the purchases made by anglers. The first three measures are calculated in terms of dollars, whereas employment impacts are measured in number of jobs. Note that these categories are not additive. NOAA Fisheries uses a regional impact modeling software, called IMPLAN, to estimate these four types of impacts.

The economic contributions for both trip and durable expenditures from recreational fishing in 2017 were estimated using IMPLAN version 3, with base year data from 2017. Models for each state and for the nation were created in IMPLAN using trip expenditures (based on 2016/2017 survey data on average trip expenditures and total 2017 trips) and for durable expenditures (based on 2014 survey data on average durable expenditures and 2017 participants).

The greatest employment impacts from expenditures on saltwater recreational fishing in the Gulf of Mexico Region were generated in West Florida (79,498 jobs), followed by Alabama (23,721 jobs) and Louisiana (16,853 jobs).The largest sales impacts were observed in West Florida ( $\$ 9.1$ billion), followed by Alabama ( $\$ 2.2$ billion) and Louisiana ( $\$ 1.9$ billion). The biggest income impacts were generated in West Florida (\$3.3 billion), followed by Alabama ( $\$ 802.3$ million) and Texas ( $\$ 642.7$ million). The greatest value-added impacts were in West Florida ( $\$ 5.5$ billion), followed by Alabama ( $\$ 1.4$ billion) and Louisiana ( $\$ 1.1$ billion).

Expenditures for fishing trips and durable equipment across the Gulf of Mexico Region in 2017 totaled $\$ 13.5$ billion. This total included $\$ 10$ billion in durable goods expenditures, with the largest portion coming from boat expenses ( $\$ 5.8$ billion).

## Participation

In 2017, there were 2.6 million recreational anglers who fished in the Gulf of Mexico Region. This number repre-

[^112]sented an 18\% decrease from 2008 and a 3\% decrease from 2016. The anglers are categorized as either residents from coastal (89\%) or non-coastal (11\%) counties.

## Fishing Trips

In 2017, recreational fishermen took 58.6 million fishing trips in the Gulf of Mexico Region. [Texas trip estimates are not available for the shore mode. Shore mode in Louisiana has been included in the private mode since 2014.] This number represented a $4 \%$ decrease from 2008 and an $8 \%$ increase from 2016. The largest proportions of trips were taken in the shore mode (55\%) and private boat mode (43\%). States with the highest number of recorded trips in the Gulf of Mexico Region were West Florida ( 41.8 million trips) and Alabama ( 8.5 million trips).

## Harvest and Release Trends

Of the Gulf of Mexico Region's key species and species groups, drum (spotted seatrout) ( 42.7 million fish), drum (sand and silver seatrouts) ( 15.7 million fish), and drum (Atlantic croaker) ( 14.6 million fish), were most frequently caught by recreational fishermen. The text box below shows the species with the largest percentage increases and decreases in the past 10 years and in the past year.

## Harvest and Release: Largest Increases

From 2008:

- Red snapper (87\%)
- Spanish mackerel (48\%)
- Striped mullet (39\%)

From 2016:

- Porgies (sheepshead) (94\%)
- Spanish mackerel (71\%)
- Red snapper (48\%)

Harvest and Release: Largest Decreases
From 2008:

- South flounder (-73\%)
- Red drum (-45\%)

From 2016:

- South flounder (-51\%)
- Striped mullet (-31\%)
- Drum (Gulf and south kingfish) (-2\%)

From 2008 to 2017, red snapper (87\%), Spanish mackerel (48\%), and striped mullet (39\%) had the largest increases, while south flounder (-73\%) and red drum (-45\%) had the largest decreases. From 2016 to 2017, porgies (sheepshead) (94\%), Spanish mackerel (71\%), and red snapper (48\%) had the largest increases, while south flounder ( $-51 \%$ ), striped mullet ( $-31 \%$ ), and drum (Gulf and south kingfish) (-2\%) had the largest decreases.

The Gulf of Mexico Region experienced unusually high water temperatures in 2016. These high water temperatures may have caused changes to the spawning of certain fish stocks such as Atlantic croaker. In Louisiana, the catch of Atlantic croaker increased over $200 \%$ compared to 2016 . The high water temperatures were also thought to directly influence hurricane activity in the Gulf, including Hurricane Harvey which hit Texas and Hurricane Irma which hit Florida and Alabama. ${ }^{13}$ The damage to recreational fishing infrastructure and personal property had negative effects on recreational fishing effort in some locations.

## MARINE ECONOMY - GULF OF MEXICO REGION

For this report, the marine economy refers to the economic activity generated by fishing and marine-related industries in a coastal state. The national marine economy consists of two industry sectors: 1) seafood sales and processing (employer establishments and non-employer firms); and 2) transport, support, and marine operations (employer establishments). These sectors include several different marine-related industries. ${ }^{14,15}$

Note that when discussing the marine economy in the Gulf of Mexico Region, all statistics include the entire state of Florida and not just West Florida. ${ }^{16}$

To measure the size of the commercial fishing sector in a state's economy relative to the size of the commercial fishing sector in the national economy, researchers use an index called the Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ). ${ }^{17,18}$ The CFLQ is calculated as the ratio of the percentage of regional employment in the

[^113]commercial fishing sector relative to the percentage of national employment in the commercial fishing sector. The United States CFLQ is 1 . If a state CFLQ is less than 1 , then less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. If a state CFLQ is greater than 1, then more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.

Louisiana had the highest CFLQ at 3.68. Mississippi had a CFLQ value of 1.02 .

In 2016, 1.9 million employer establishments operated throughout the entire Gulf of Mexico Region (including marine and non-marine related establishments). These establishments employed 31.1 million workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 1.4$ trillion. The combined gross state product of Alabama, West Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas was approximately \$3.1 trillion in 2016.

## Seafood Sales and Processing <br> Seafood Product Preparation and Packaging: In

2016, the Gulf of Mexico Region had 652 non-employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (a $57 \%$ increase from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 49.1$ million (a $76 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). There were 129 employer firms in the seafood product preparation and packaging sector (remains unchanged from 2008). These establishments employed 7,068 workers (a 15\% decrease from 2008) and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 221.2$ million (a $3 \%$ decrease in real terms from 2008). The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in Florida (362), followed by Texas (233) and Louisiana (181).

Seafood Sales, Retail: In 2016, there were 768 non-employer firms engaged in retail sales of seafood in the states that make up the Gulf of Mexico Region (a 5\% decrease from 2008). Annual receipts for these firms totaled about $\$ 64.1$ million (a $28 \%$ decrease in real terms from 2008). There were 379 employer firms in the retail sales of seafood sector (a $2 \%$ decrease from 2008). These establishments employed 2,765 workers and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 56.3$ million (a $30 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). ${ }^{19}$ The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in Florida (702), followed by Louisiana (360) and Texas (281).

Seafood Sales, Wholesale: There were 473 employer firms in the wholesale sales of seafood sector in the Gulf of Mexico Region in 2016 (a 7\% increase from 2008). These establishments employed 4,040 workers (a 3\% increase from 2008), and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 161.9$ million (a $13 \%$ increase in real terms from 2008). The greatest number of establishments in this sector was in Florida (239), followed by Louisiana (116) and Texas (86).

## Transport, Support, and Marine Operations

Data for the transport, support, and marine operations sector of Gulf of Mexico Region's economy were largely suppressed for confidentiality reasons. It is clear, however, that these sectors play an important role in the regional economy. For example, in 2016, the ship and boat building sector in the Gulf of Mexico Region accounted for $\$ 2.9$ billion in payroll (a 32\% increase in real terms from 2008).
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## Tables | Gulf of Mexico Region



Gulf of Mexico Region | Commercial Fisheries
2017 Economic Impacts of the Gulf of Mexico Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  |  |  | With | ports |  |  | Withou | ports |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Landings Revenue | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| Alabama | 69,682 | 12,748 | 591,424 | 235,475 | 308,048 | 12,665 | 580,185 | 232,696 | 303,803 |
| Florida ${ }^{1}$ | 183,015 | 86,141 | 19,676,700 | 3,675,549 | 6,577,946 | 9,889 | 988,504 | 259,058 | 399,044 |
| Louisiana | 370,231 | 31,061 | 1,813,468 | 664,891 | 908,972 | 30,090 | 1,611,905 | 624,121 | 840,430 |
| Mississippi | 30,348 | 4,802 | 233,702 | 93,193 | 120,534 | 4,774 | 229,354 | 92,120 | 118,902 |
| Texas | 236,994 | 30,274 | 3,254,182 | 886,755 | 1,352,431 | 19,462 | 1,310,704 | 478,048 | 669,610 |


|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Revenue | 663,848 | 636,427 | 624,629 | 811,905 | 784,869 | 941,557 | 1,059,780 | 877,767 | 905,203 | 890,269 |
| Finfish \& Other | 147,115 | 142,425 | 117,831 | 184,721 | 188,283 | 200,892 | 200,092 | 250,085 | 261,318 | 183,068 |
| Shellfish | 516,732 | 494,003 | 506,797 | 627,184 | 596,586 | 740,665 | 859,688 | 627,682 | 643,885 | 707,201 |


| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Blue crab | 39,813 | 45,484 | 41,264 | 48,794 | 53,708 | 61,804 | 79,458 | 74,525 | 65,153 |
| Crawfish | 9,507 | 15,547 | 13,971 | 9,914 | 8,325 | 16,490 | 16,088 | 6,851 | 11,877 |
| Groupers | 24,108 | 18,435 | 14,270 | 20,326 | 24,657 | 24,628 | 30,435 | 27,670 | 28,737 |
| Menhaden | 64,376 | 60,606 | 51,750 | 92,855 | 85,890 | 90,643 | 80,402 | 138,438 | 143,243 |
| Mullets | 6,099 | 6,105 | 5,221 | 10,368 | 7,557 | 13,222 | 11,626 | 7,621 | 8,563 |
| Oysters | 60,464 | 73,464 | 55,085 | 65,273 | 76,042 | 76,450 | 93,007 | 99,253 | 91,395 |
| Red snapper | 7,972 | 7,984 | 10,202 | 11,413 | 13,681 | 20,621 | 23,158 | 27,437 | 26,552 |
| Shrimp | 366,808 | 327,608 | 339,228 | 441,384 | 412,209 | 513,055 | 587,267 | 362,504 | 399,485 |
| Spiny lobster | 19,141 | 12,203 | 32,747 | 35,610 | 21,128 | 46,744 | 53,415 | 44,049 | 41,251 |
| Tunas | 6,170 | 8,180 | 2,688 | 5,516 | 10,657 | 7,308 | 6,334 | 4,502 | 5,790 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $1,278,879$ | $1,435,665$ | $1,072,068$ | $1,792,550$ | $1,489,595$ | $1,346,244$ | $1,245,301$ | $1,553,245$ | $1,735,765$ | $1,402,221$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 994,813 | $1,071,919$ | 810,889 | $1,472,911$ | $1,177,685$ | $1,043,696$ | 931,158 | $1,258,002$ | $1,437,717$ | $1,085,240$ |
| Shellfish | 284,066 | 363,746 | 261,179 | 319,640 | 311,910 | 302,548 | 314,143 | 295,244 | 298,048 | 316,981 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Blue crab | 49,258 | 61,277 | 41,240 | 55,606 | 55,444 | 46,941 | 51,664 | 52,609 | 51,702 | 54,394 |
| Crawfish | 15,735 | 19,312 | 14,557 | 9,599 | 6,853 | 19,676 | 13,055 | 5,461 | 13,573 | NA |
| Groupers | 8,941 | 7,008 | 5,075 | 7,175 | 8,325 | 7,613 | 8,991 | 7,815 | 7,948 | 5,871 |
| Menhaden | 927,517 | $1,002,579$ | 753,442 | $1,398,654$ | $1,102,539$ | 971,308 | 848,599 | $1,188,716$ | $1,363,683$ | $1,016,738$ |
| Mullets | 10,609 | 11,303 | 8,963 | 14,233 | 10,772 | 13,482 | 15,101 | 10,806 | 11,433 | 9,313 |
| Oysters | 20,723 | 22,829 | 15,824 | 18,742 | 21,192 | 19,257 | 17,957 | 17,127 | 16,315 | 17,702 |
| Red snapper | 2,370 | 2,503 | 3,259 | 3,567 | 4,042 | 5,306 | 5,739 | 6,741 | 6,480 | 6,700 |
| Shrimp | 188,806 | 250,572 | 178,902 | 221,469 | 219,216 | 206,839 | 215,903 | 210,322 | 207,146 | 228,960 |
| Spiny lobster | 2,975 | 3,960 | 5,286 | 5,302 | 3,634 | 5,600 | 5,038 | 5,450 | 5,015 | 3,586 |
| Tunas | 1,786 | 2,836 | 1,322 | 1,588 | 3,070 | 2,094 | 1,760 | 1,343 | 1,706 | 1,531 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Blue crab | 0.81 | 0.74 | 1.00 | 0.88 | 0.97 | 1.32 | 1.54 | 1.42 | 1.26 | 1.27 |
| Crawfish | 0.60 | 0.81 | 0.96 | 1.03 | 1.21 | 0.84 | 1.23 | 1.25 | 0.88 | NA |
| Groupers | 2.70 | 2.63 | 2.81 | 2.83 | 2.96 | 3.24 | 3.39 | 3.54 | 3.62 | 3.80 |
| Menhaden | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.07 |
| Mullets | 0.57 | 0.54 | 0.58 | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.98 | 0.77 | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.72 |
| Oysters | 2.92 | 3.22 | 3.48 | 3.48 | 3.59 | 3.97 | 5.18 | 5.80 | 5.60 | 6.19 |
| Red snapper | 3.36 | 3.19 | 3.13 | 3.20 | 3.39 | 3.89 | 4.03 | 4.07 | 4.10 | 4.17 |
| Shrimp | 1.94 | 1.31 | 1.90 | 1.99 | 1.88 | 2.48 | 2.72 | 1.72 | 1.93 | 1.97 |
| Spiny lobster | 6.43 | 3.08 | 6.20 | 6.72 | 5.81 | 8.35 | 10.60 | 8.08 | 8.23 | 8.83 |
| Tunas | 3.45 | 2.88 | 2.03 | 3.47 | 3.47 | 3.49 | 3.60 | 3.35 | 3.39 | 3.37 |

[^115]| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ Economic Impacts of the Gulf of Mexico | Recreational | Fishing | Expenditures (thousands of dollars, trips) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## 2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 463,915 | Fishing Tackle | 2,173,055 |
| Private Boat | 1,515,082 | Other Equipment | 943,366 |
| Shore | 1,494,808 | Boat Expenses | 5,762,513 |
| Total | 3,473,805 | Vehicle Expenses | 1,000,810 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 140,248 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 10,019,992 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 13,493,797 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) $^{\boldsymbol{1}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| Coastal | 2,926 | 2,550 | 2,480 | 2,737 | 2,803 | 2,973 | 2,683 | 2,445 | 2,453 | $\mathbf{2 , 3 2 4}$ |
| Non-Coastal | 262 | 296 | 235 | 311 | 268 | 400 | 185 | 199 | 259 | 296 |
| Total Anglers | 3,188 | 2,846 | 2,715 | 3,048 | 3,071 | 3,373 | 2,868 | 2,643 | 2,712 | 2,620 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips) ${ }^{2}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 970 | 932 | 730 | 907 | 1,121 | 1,054 | 1,065 | 1,214 | 1,274 | 1,256 |
| Private | 32,302 | 30,390 | 31,433 | 31,484 | 33,726 | 31,787 | 25,410 | 23,585 | 24,714 | 25,254 |
| Shore | 27,815 | 26,457 | 29,336 | 30,492 | 32,843 | 36,483 | 26,239 | 25,823 | 28,414 | 32,128 |
| Total Trips | 61,087 | 57,779 | 61,499 | 62,884 | 67,690 | 69,324 | 52,715 | 50,622 | 54,403 | 58,638 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) ${ }^{3,4}$

|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Drum (Atlantic | H | 4,675 | 3,870 | 3,819 | 4,765 | 3,096 | 4,646 | 6,229 | 3,533 | 2,362 | 3,552 |
| croaker) | R | 7,261 | 10,115 | 10,456 | 13,084 | 8,842 | 7,303 | 5,307 | 5,857 | 5,372 | 11,054 |
| Drum (Gulf and | H | 3,328 | 2,566 | 4,893 | 2,250 | 3,378 | 4,071 | 1,655 | 2,556 | 4,254 | 3,927 |
| South kingfish) | R | 2,040 | 1,851 | 1,921 | 1,300 | 1,492 | 1,208 | 1,120 | 703 | 1,936 | 2,134 |
| Drum (sand and | H | 7,454 | 9,730 | 11,400 | 11,141 | 11,061 | 6,414 | 5,187 | 6,145 | 6,146 | 9,595 |
| silver seatrouts) | R | 4,202 | 5,688 | 4,551 | 5,594 | 5,597 | 3,614 | 1,466 | 2,567 | 2,767 | 6,074 |
| Drum (spotted | H | 24,382 | 24,870 | 21,831 | 27,012 | 27,503 | 24,005 | 8,291 | 10,913 | 14,523 | 12,090 |
| seatrout) | R | 39,662 | 36,579 | 32,908 | 43,436 | 47,941 | 43,650 | 18,523 | 19,787 | 29,400 | 30,571 |
| Porgies | H | 4,088 | 3,910 | 3,966 | 6,109 | 4,834 | 3,259 | 2,717 | 2,688 | 2,266 | 4,754 |
| (sheepshead) | R | 3,595 | 3,234 | 5,718 | 4,029 | 3,921 | 5,081 | 3,683 | 3,848 | 2,320 | 4,159 |
| Red drum | H | 5,524 | 5,040 | 7,211 | 7,326 | 5,907 | 7,621 | 2,857 | 3,226 | 2,892 | 3,383 |
|  | R | 13,326 | 12,038 | 15,447 | 14,072 | 14,547 | 17,579 | 7,256 | 8,064 | 7,128 | 7,075 |
| Red snapper | H | 1,323 | 1,466 | 1,155 | 1,512 | 1,516 | 2,422 | 1,106 | 1,460 | 1,714 | 3,069 |
|  | R | 5,282 | 4,759 | 4,815 | 5,818 | 4,463 | 5,630 | 4,205 | 3,455 | 6,650 | 9,270 |
| South flounder | H | 1,306 | 1,831 | 1,842 | 1,878 | 1,509 | 2,339 | 677 | 586 | 714 | 395 |
|  | R | 376 | 575 | 617 | 541 | 659 | 639 | 214 | 337 | 203 | 56 |
| Spanish mackerel | H | 4,764 | 3,595 | 4,472 | 4,882 | 5,482 | 9,000 | 4,491 | 5,501 | 5,601 | 6,381 |
| Striped mullet | H | 4,881 | 3,738 | 6,456 | 6,370 | 4,616 | 11,855 | 6,157 | 4,236 | 2,762 | 7,935 |
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Alabama | Commercial Fisheries

| 2017 Economic Impacts of the Alabama Seafood Industry |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| With Imports | (thousands of dollars)

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 44,503 | 39,624 | $\mathbf{2 6 , 3 3 5}$ | 50,910 | 46,527 | 56,832 | 70,497 | 55,660 | 65,599 | 69,682 |
| Finfish \& Other | 4,358 | 3,662 | 2,748 | 4,072 | 5,183 | 4,680 | 4,572 | 5,013 | 4,927 | 4,596 |
| Shellfish | 40,145 | 35,962 | 23,587 | 46,838 | 41,344 | 52,153 | 65,925 | 50,647 | 60,672 | 65,086 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Blue crab | 1,533 | 961 | 732 | 1,128 | 1,044 | 1,036 | 1,319 | 1,225 | 1,784 | 1,520 |
| Flounders | 214 | 197 | 97 | 222 | 185 | 58 | 53 | 66 | 56 | 30 |
| Menhaden | 59 | 42 | 15 | 58 | 84 | 104 | 147 | 154 | 164 | 158 |
| Mullets | 1,030 | 765 | 594 | 687 | 1,206 | 1,178 | 1,046 | 761 | 522 | 535 |
| Oysters | 243 | 77 | 390 | 1,322 | 1,253 | 786 | 441 | 341 | 601 | 557 |
| Red snapper | 239 | 263 | 329 | 314 | 316 | 401 | 697 | 1,443 | 1,423 | 1,852 |
| Sharks | 403 | 275 | 111 | 381 | 330 | 247 | 219 | 251 | 256 | 247 |
| Shrimp | 38,355 | 34,894 | 22,463 | 44,361 | 39,040 | 50,321 | 64,149 | 49,078 | 58,273 | 63,002 |
| Spanish mackerel | 664 | 301 | 499 | 582 | 1,149 | 940 | 472 | 705 | 833 | 439 |
| Vermilion snapper | 507 | 841 | 384 | 622 | 393 | 88 | 387 | 27 | 78 | 88 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | $\mathbf{2 4 , 6 1 2}$ | 29,199 | 14,063 | 26,119 | 26,335 | 23,421 | $\mathbf{2 5 , 7 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 2 5 9}$ | 29,692 | $\mathbf{3 1 , 5 5 7}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 5,577 | 4,478 | 3,441 | 4,966 | 6,596 | 5,831 | 5,276 | 5,090 | 5,110 | 4,504 |
| Shellfish | 19,035 | 24,721 | 10,622 | 21,153 | 19,739 | 17,590 | 20,514 | 23,169 | 24,581 | $\mathbf{2 7 , 0 5 3}$ |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Blue crab | 1,799 | 1,458 | 927 | 1,617 | 1,325 | 1,025 | 1,184 | 1,300 | 1,918 | 1,425 |
| Flounders | 107 | 97 | 48 | 111 | 83 | 25 | 23 | 26 | 19 | 9 |
| Menhaden | 268 | 190 | 81 | 364 | 521 | 496 | 700 | 695 | 804 | 1,052 |
| Mullets | 2,017 | 1,814 | 1,202 | 1,262 | 1,946 | 1,793 | 1,829 | 1,385 | 952 | 988 |
| Oysters | 71 | 23 | 68 | 296 | 265 | 133 | 58 | 26 | 37 | 26 |
| Red snapper | 61 | 65 | 83 | 78 | 78 | 108 | 180 | 356 | 320 | 410 |
| Sharks | 424 | 328 | 140 | 450 | 495 | 343 | 272 | 386 | 396 | 339 |
| Shrimp | 17,154 | 23,215 | 9,625 | 19,224 | 18,137 | 16,418 | 19,257 | 21,839 | 22,614 | 25,594 |
| Spanish mackerel | 921 | 418 | 733 | 839 | 1,377 | 972 | 431 | 617 | 859 | 440 |
| Vermilion snapper | 199 | 346 | 148 | 224 | 132 | 28 | 124 | 8 | 24 | 24 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Blue crab | 0.85 | 0.66 | 0.79 | 0.70 | 0.79 | 1.01 | 1.11 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 1.07 |
| Flounders | 2.01 | 2.04 | 2.05 | 2.00 | 2.21 | 2.35 | 2.24 | 2.51 | 2.87 | 3.18 |
| Menhaden | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.15 |
| Mullets | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.62 | 0.66 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.54 |
| Oysters | 3.41 | 3.33 | 5.75 | 4.47 | 4.72 | 5.91 | 7.60 | 12.96 | 16.36 | 21.21 |
| Red snapper | 3.93 | 4.04 | 3.97 | 4.04 | 4.05 | 3.70 | 3.86 | 4.05 | 4.45 | 4.52 |
| Sharks | 0.95 | 0.84 | 0.79 | 0.85 | 0.67 | 0.72 | 0.81 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.73 |
| Shrimp | 2.24 | 1.50 | 2.33 | 2.31 | 2.15 | 3.06 | 3.33 | 2.25 | 2.58 | 2.46 |
| Spanish mackerel | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.97 | 1.09 | 1.14 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| Vermilion snapper | 2.55 | 2.43 | 2.59 | 2.78 | 2.97 | 3.12 | 3.11 | 3.58 | 3.26 | 3.57 |

2017 Economic Impacts of Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | 770 | 67,011 | 21,017 | 36,848 |  |
|  | For-Hire | 1,641 | 168,481 | 42,379 | 108,884 |
|  | Private Boat | 7,265 | 675,278 | 201,765 | 392,089 |
|  | Shore | 14,045 | $1,298,589$ | 537,121 | 903,936 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 23,721 | $2,209,359$ | 802,282 | $1,441,757$ |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  |  |  |  |  |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 44,136 | Fishing Tackle | 339,260 |
| Private Boat | 169,746 | Other Equipment | 110,146 |
| Shore | 510,768 | Boat Expenses | 1,111,694 |
| Total | 724,651 | Vehicle Expenses | 48,364 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 28,101 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 1,637,565 |
| Total State Trip | enditures |  | 2,362,216 |


| Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| Coastal | 192 | 205 | 195 | 295 | 254 | 279 | 220 | 225 | 274 | 186 |
| Non-Coastal | 116 | 151 | 140 | 177 | 131 | 224 | 123 | 151 | 176 | 246 |
| Out-of-State | 237 | 209 | 220 | 435 | 339 | 549 | 510 | 455 | 465 | 480 |
| Total Anglers | 545 | 566 | 554 | 907 | 723 | 1,052 | 853 | 831 | 915 | 911 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 56 | 56 | 33 | 77 | 59 | 90 | 87 | 96 | 104 | 93 |
| Private | 2,261 | 2,282 | 2,316 | 2,288 | 2,114 | 2,155 | 2,037 | 2,080 | 2,010 | 2,540 |
| Shore | 2,661 | 3,103 | 2,980 | 3,373 | 3,978 | 4,524 | 4,357 | 4,653 | 5,206 | 5,860 |
| Total Trips | 4,978 | 5,442 | 5,329 | 5,738 | 6,151 | 6,769 | 6,482 | 6,830 | 7,320 | 8,493 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluefish | H | 58 | 30 | 108 | 398 | 210 | 362 | 173 | 109 | 690 | 105 |
|  | R | 178 | 191 | 270 | 688 | 581 | 1,554 | 722 | 408 | 3,705 | 651 |
| Drum (Atlantic croaker) | H | 2,301 | 663 | 2,073 | 1,844 | 544 | 860 | 2,844 | 2,003 | 559 | 1,522 |
|  | R | 3,168 | 4,017 | 4,412 | 4,659 | 2,011 | 2,016 | 3,605 | 3,468 | 1,393 | 6,101 |
| Drum (kingfish) ${ }^{3}$ | H | 1,561 | 1,366 | 2,069 | 1,408 | 646 | 2,545 | 850 | 1,082 | 916 | 1,756 |
|  | R | 595 | 1,009 | 932 | 659 | 240 | 691 | 389 | 371 | 734 | 1,327 |
| Drum (sand seatrout) | H | 2,647 | 3,095 | 5,519 | 3,379 | 2,277 | 1,078 | 1,431 | 2,315 | 1,894 | 2,639 |
|  | R | 931 | 1,662 | 2,114 | 1,384 | 828 | 601 | 740 | 715 | 1,043 | 3,300 |
| Drum (spotted seatrout) | H | 751 | 814 | 1,576 | 1,455 | 1,396 | 1,299 | 574 | 1,228 | 1,464 | 891 |
|  | R | 2,445 | 1,997 | 1,152 | 2,572 | 2,030 | 2,009 | 581 | 2,354 | 2,711 | 1,567 |
| Porgies (sheepshead) | H | 548 | 511 | 779 | 1,113 | 1,065 | 493 | 335 | 845 | 283 | 569 |
|  | R | 412 | 120 | 171 | 372 | 117 | 104 | 41 | 660 | 71 | 43 |
| Red drum | H | 157 | 175 | 307 | 343 | 323 | 451 | 290 | 413 | 386 | 387 |
|  | R | 468 | 347 | 377 | 244 | 808 | 1,130 | 861 | 493 | 604 | 989 |
| Red snapper | H | 273 | 277 | 241 | 604 | 403 | 757 | 364 | 630 | 646 | 1,249 |
|  | R | 1,147 | 1,200 | 1,269 | 1,434 | 549 | 1,477 | 2,018 | 1,366 | 2,834 | 2,396 |
| South flounder | H | 246 | 278 | 579 | 318 | 242 | 194 | 123 | 104 | 139 | 101 |
|  | R | 131 | 70 | 161 | 101 | 121 | 102 | 74 | 110 | 85 | 12 |
| Spanish mackerel | H | 243 | 204 | 631 | 1,309 | 1,478 | 2,921 | 477 | 2,240 | 1,772 | 2,529 |
|  | R | 82 | 127 | 297 | 447 | 477 | 2,496 | 162 | 1,054 | 355 | 1,233 |

[^117]
## Alabama | Marine Economy

| 2016 Alabama State Economy (\% of national total) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#Non-Employer <br> Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual <br> Payroll <br> (\$ billions) | Employee <br> Compensation <br> (\$ billions) | Gross State <br> Product <br> (\$ billions) | Commercial <br> Fishing <br> Location <br> Quotient |
| $324,994(1.3 \%)$ | $99,584(1.3 \%)$ | $1,673,249(1.3 \%)$ | $69.0(1.1 \%)$ | $113(1.1 \%)$ | 206 | 0.42 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 33 | 41 | 68 | 67 | 47 | 58 | 57 | 49 | 38 |
|  | Receipts | 1,894 | 1,809 | 3,314 | 4,354 | 1,965 | 3,069 | 3,446 | 2,901 | 3,365 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 57 | 67 | 71 | 58 | 68 | 66 | 55 | 46 | 43 |
|  | Receipts | 5,632 | 5,484 | 5,197 | 4,759 | 7,073 | 5,520 | 4,351 | 3,274 | 2,971 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 23 | 22 | 21 | 16 | 17 | 22 | 23 | 20 | 20 |
|  | Employees | 1,450 | 1,086 | 1,128 | 882 | 778 | 989 | 963 | 961 | 900 |
|  | Payroll | 29,277 | 24,900 | 22,824 | 21,922 | 19,730 | 22,641 | 23,973 | 25,951 | 27,924 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 29 | 28 | 23 | 25 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 21 | 17 |
|  | Employees | 494 | 339 | 332 | 321 | 306 | 281 | 388 | 378 | 412 |
|  | Payroll | 8,751 | 5,893 | 5,119 | 6,547 | 6,221 | 6,861 | 9,321 | 10,034 | 10,487 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 33 | 31 | 34 | 32 | 32 | 28 | 31 | 32 | 32 |
|  | Employees | ds | 130 | 132 | 120 | 189 | 219 | 200 | 234 | 255 |
|  | Payroll | 1,710 | 2,044 | 2,016 | 1,888 | 2,990 | 3,267 | 3,330 | 3,706 | 4,013 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{2,3}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 42 | 40 | 32 | 35 | 37 | 38 | 37 | 41 | 43 |
|  | Employees | 4,435 | 3,913 | 2,598 | 3,176 | 4,936 | 5,948 | 5,904 | 6,049 | 6,025 |
|  | Payroll | 188,543 | 159,065 | 151,813 | 166,116 | 251,063 | 303,016 | 311,296 | 342,082 | 342,073 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 7 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | 215 | ds | ds | 45 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | 13,117 | ds | ds | 2,617 | 0 | 0 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | 101 | 4 | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | 5,788 | 160 | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|  | Employees | 756 | 658 | 548 | 536 | ds | 554 | 778 | 666 | 709 |
|  | Payroll | 33,244 | 27,272 | 32,143 | 34,998 | ds | 34,481 | 37,273 | 37,154 | 47,407 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 14 |
|  | Employees | 287 | 294 | 276 | 283 | 241 | 208 | 124 | 121 | 113 |
|  | Payroll | 16,712 | 15,383 | 14,737 | 14,981 | 8,808 | 14,761 | 6,902 | 6,922 | 5,911 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 56 | 55 | 54 | 53 | 57 | 54 | 54 | 57 | 57 |
|  | Employees | 316 | 278 | 609 | ds | 329 | 332 | 343 | 387 | 372 |
|  | Payroll | 9,170 | 8,418 | 12,149 | 12,196 | 10,253 | 9,659 | 9,804 | 11,182 | 12,086 |

[^118]
## Tables | West Florida



West Florida | Commercial Fisheries
2017 Economic Impacts of the Florida Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 86,141 | 19,676,700 | 3,675,549 | 6,577,946 | 9,889 | 988,504 | 259,058 | 399,044 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 6,363 | 474,979 | 148,088 | 197,338 | 6,363 | 474,979 | 148,088 | 197,338 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 5,004 | 918,083 | 177,676 | 349,295 | 520 | 102,313 | 19,801 | 38,926 |
| Importers | 45,528 | 14,338,508 | 2,298,019 | 4,371,005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 11,001 | 1,434,637 | 563,233 | 700,736 | 430 | 56,050 | 22,005 | 27,377 |
| Retail | 18,245 | 2,510,493 | 488,533 | 959,571 | 2,576 | 355,161 | 69,164 | 135,402 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{2}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 123,850 | 117,324 | 139,046 | 166,015 | 143,886 | 182,172 | $\mathbf{2 1 2 , 9 6 1}$ | 196,790 | 185,828 | 183,015 |
| Finfish \& Other | 51,698 | 49,976 | 41,321 | 59,580 | 60,710 | 67,994 | 74,935 | 65,469 | 68,394 | 64,699 |
| Shellfish | 72,152 | 67,349 | 97,725 | 106,434 | 83,176 | 114,178 | 138,026 | 131,321 | 117,435 | 118,315 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Blue crab | 3,289 | 4,195 | 6,706 | 7,719 | 5,142 | 6,454 | 7,385 | 8,488 | 6,588 | 7,078 |
| Gag | 4,913 | 2,759 | 2,079 | 1,439 | 2,437 | 2,799 | 2,889 | 2,781 | 4,663 | 2,556 |
| Lobsters | 19,175 | 12,206 | 32,752 | 35,616 | 21,136 | 46,749 | 53,418 | 44,049 | 41,251 | 31,654 |
| Mullet | 4,172 | 5,069 | 4,188 | 8,630 | 5,050 | 11,081 | 9,387 | 6,148 | 6,991 | 5,009 |
| Oyster | 5,519 | 6,968 | 6,298 | 8,582 | 9,706 | 5,783 | 4,178 | 4,599 | 4,036 | 3,921 |
| Quahog clam | 1,825 | 1,524 | 1,002 | 921 | 753 | 921 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Red grouper | 13,591 | 10,488 | 8,992 | 15,087 | 16,737 | 16,219 | 21,217 | 18,931 | 17,872 | 14,155 |
| Red snapper | 2,951 | 2,980 | 4,552 | 5,417 | 6,141 | 8,073 | 8,111 | 9,997 | 8,609 | 9,506 |
| Shrimp | 23,265 | 24,446 | 27,554 | 28,456 | 22,161 | 29,164 | 42,690 | 38,027 | 35,371 | 46,305 |
| Stone crab | 19,019 | 17,806 | 23,335 | 24,430 | 23,934 | 24,710 | 27,911 | 35,776 | 29,917 | 29,066 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{2}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 60,380 | 66,387 | 63,678 | $\mathbf{7 8 , 4 5 9}$ | 63,648 | 63,231 | 81,775 | 62,717 | 64,774 | 62,444 |
| Finfish \& Other | 35,740 | 39,000 | 32,251 | 42,392 | 39,077 | 38,003 | 49,090 | 35,325 | 40,162 | 37,011 |
| Shellfish | 24,640 | 27,386 | 31,428 | 36,067 | 24,570 | 25,227 | 32,685 | 27,391 | 24,612 | $\mathbf{2 5 , 4 3 3}$ |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Blue crab | 2,660 | 3,371 | 5,759 | 6,833 | 4,157 | 4,463 | 4,456 | 4,871 | 3,868 | 4,295 |
| Gag | 1,478 | 825 | 572 | 369 | 612 | 676 | 689 | 642 | 1,073 | 575 |
| Lobsters | 2,981 | 3,961 | 5,287 | 5,303 | 3,635 | 5,601 | 5,040 | 5,450 | 5,015 | 3,586 |
| Mullet | 6,980 | 9,167 | 7,262 | 11,410 | 7,249 | 10,879 | 11,943 | 8,595 | 9,325 | 7,040 |
| Oyster | 2,526 | 2,877 | 2,165 | 3,100 | 3,316 | 1,298 | 757 | 844 | 853 | 786 |
| Quahog clam | 279 | 255 | 156 | 137 | 128 | 183 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Red grouper | 5,628 | 4,387 | 3,488 | 5,635 | 6,141 | 5,412 | 6,629 | 5,664 | 5,300 | 3,921 |
| Red snapper | 849 | 863 | 1,317 | 1,538 | 1,698 | 2,181 | 2,104 | 2,642 | 2,327 | 2,520 |
| Shrimp | 9,942 | 11,451 | 12,892 | 11,975 | 7,958 | 9,676 | 11,946 | 13,080 | 11,739 | 14,056 |
| Stone crab | 6,163 | 5,382 | 5,100 | 5,460 | 5,202 | 3,767 | 1,944 | 2,759 | 3,005 | 2,511 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{2}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Blue crab | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.16 | 1.13 | 1.24 | 1.45 | 1.66 | 1.74 | 1.70 | 1.65 |
| Gag | 3.32 | 3.34 | 3.63 | 3.90 | 3.98 | 4.14 | 4.19 | 4.33 | 4.34 | 4.45 |
| Lobsters | 6.43 | 3.08 | 6.19 | 6.72 | 5.81 | 8.35 | 10.60 | 8.08 | 8.23 | 8.83 |
| Mullet | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.76 | 0.70 | 1.02 | 0.79 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.71 |
| Oyster | 2.19 | 2.42 | 2.91 | 2.77 | 2.93 | 4.46 | 5.52 | 5.45 | 4.73 | 4.99 |
| Quahog clam | 6.53 | 5.97 | 6.43 | 6.74 | 5.86 | 5.03 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Red grouper | 2.41 | 2.39 | 2.58 | 2.68 | 2.73 | 3.00 | 3.20 | 3.34 | 3.37 | 3.61 |
| Red snapper | 3.47 | 3.45 | 3.46 | 3.52 | 3.62 | 3.70 | 3.86 | 3.78 | 3.70 | 3.77 |
| Shrimp | 2.34 | 2.13 | 2.14 | 2.38 | 2.78 | 3.01 | 3.57 | 2.91 | 3.01 | 3.29 |
| Stone crab | 3.09 | 3.31 | 4.58 | 4.47 | 4.60 | 6.56 | 14.36 | 12.96 | 9.96 | 11.57 |

[^119]2017 Economic Impacts of Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 4,175 | 430,144 | 149,684 | $\mathbf{2 5 6 , 1 4 8}$ |
|  | Private Boat | 9,218 | 954,882 | 323,281 | 616,073 |
|  | Shore | 12,087 | $1,250,684$ | 421,571 | 800,311 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 54,018 | $6,505,848$ | $2,376,940$ | $3,862,527$ |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  | 9,498 | $9,141,558$ | $3,271,476$ | $5,535,059$ |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 242,652 | Fishing Tackle | 1,263,852 |
| Private Boat | 832,301 | Other Equipment | 526,879 |
| Shore | 875,464 | Boat Expenses | 2,970,268 |
| Total | 1,950,417 | Vehicle Expenses | 304,829 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 56,889 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 5,122,715 |
| Total State Trip | enditures |  | 7,073,132 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 1,820 | 1,551 | 1,538 | 1,592 | 1,718 | 1,813 | 1,649 | 1,414 | 1,393 | 1,400 |
| Non-Coastal $^{1}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Out-of-State $^{2,029}$ | 1,671 | 1,470 | 1,624 | 2,141 | 2,538 | 2,716 | 2,399 | 2,306 | 2,383 |  |
| Total Anglers | 3,849 | 3,222 | 3,008 | 3,216 | 3,859 | 4,351 | 4,365 | 3,813 | 3,699 | 3,783 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 599 | 575 | 494 | 560 | 715 | 686 | 693 | 769 | 805 | $\mathbf{7 7 2}$ |
| Private | 22,324 | 19,828 | 20,585 | 20,688 | 23,306 | 21,551 | 18,859 | 16,775 | 17,883 | 18,025 |
| Shore | 17,971 | 15,804 | 18,368 | 18,815 | 20,977 | 24,056 | 19,073 | 18,186 | 20,249 | 23,043 |
| Total Trips | 40,894 | 36,207 | 39,446 | 40,063 | 44,998 | 46,293 | 38,625 | 35,730 | 38,936 | 41,840 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) ${ }^{2,3,4}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Common snook | H | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
|  | R | 2,845 | 3,489 | 1,244 | 1,687 | 2,561 | 3,801 | 3,622 | 5,195 | 7,208 | 5,824 |
| Drum (sand and | H | 1,980 | 2,202 | 1,097 | 2,424 | 4,387 | 2,139 | 1,279 | 959 | 521 | 1,463 |
| silver seatrouts) | R | 1,270 | 1,160 | 600 | 856 | 2,309 | 675 | 420 | 1,434 | 665 | 1,052 |
| Drum (spotted | H | 3,093 | 3,071 | 2,519 | 3,821 | 4,493 | 3,657 | 2,714 | 2,730 | 3,299 | 3,680 |
| seatrout) | R | 19,717 | 17,234 | 19,924 | 28,685 | 29,785 | 20,134 | 16,124 | 15,691 | 22,996 | 24,949 |
| Gag grouper | H | 951 | 428 | 590 | 313 | 282 | 466 | 327 | 278 | 214 | 279 |
|  | R | 9,355 | 6,128 | 5,084 | 3,597 | 2,680 | 2,663 | 2,057 | 1,289 | 2,122 | 3,354 |
| Gray snapper | H | 3,011 | 2,749 | 1,396 | 1,528 | 3,877 | 3,561 | 4,609 | 3,474 | 3,787 | 3,098 |
|  | R | 14,547 | 6,698 | 5,094 | 7,116 | 10,027 | 15,084 | 17,621 | 15,712 | 12,922 | 13,954 |
|  | H | 370 | 947 | 389 | 350 | 470 | 399 | 563 | 485 | 575 | 476 |
| King mackerel | R | 398 | 345 | 201 | 159 | 202 | 182 | 254 | 157 | 405 | 204 |
| Mullets ${ }^{6}$ | H | 2,721 | 1,315 | 2,383 | 2,308 | 4,424 | 4,394 | 4,022 | 3,146 | 3,931 | 3,699 |
| Porgies | R | 336 | 382 | 160 | 266 | 245 | 597 | 1,519 | 519 | 1,585 | 606 |
| (sheepshead) | H | 1,395 | 1,698 | 1,696 | 1,634 | 2,113 | 1,500 | 1,883 | 1,349 | 1,546 | 2,757 |
| Red drum | H | 2,206 | 1,941 | 4,232 | 3,054 | 3,108 | 3,468 | 3,590 | 2,130 | 2,201 | 4,039 |
|  | R | 5,210 | 460 | 570 | 702 | 1,110 | 902 | 836 | 1,124 | 844 | 805 |
| Spanish mackerel | H | 4,481 | 3,338 | 5,505 | 6,632 | 6,061 | 5,576 | 5,510 | 6,996 | 5,755 | 4,423 |

[^120]West Florida | Marine Economy
2016 Florida State Economy (\% of national total)

| \#Non-Employer Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual <br> Payroll <br> (\$ billions) | Employee <br> Compensation <br> (\$ billions) | Gross State <br> Product <br> (\$ billions) | Commercial <br> Fishing <br> Location <br> Quotient ${ }^{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2,053,914(8.3 \%)$ | $546,218(7 \%)$ | $8,169,642(6.4 \%)$ | $363(5.6 \%)$ | $514(5.2 \%)$ | 946 | 0.99 |

Seafood Sales and Processing - Non-Employer Firms (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Firms | 202 | 217 | 280 | 294 | 307 | 300 | 315 | 300 |

Seafood Sales and Processing - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 23 | 25 | 27 | 24 | 27 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 23 |
|  | Employees | 1,637 | 1,143 | 1,269 | 1,095 | 1,608 | 1,374 | 1,419 | 1,429 | 1,535 |
|  | Payroll | 53,455 | 46,235 | 45,772 | 42,612 | 51,735 | 50,003 | 50,556 | 58,246 | 63,039 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 229 | 215 | 229 | 250 | 226 | 234 | 233 | 242 | 239 |
|  | Employees | 1,913 | 1,762 | 1,747 | 1,913 | 1,957 | 1,878 | 1,974 | 2,055 | 1,849 |
|  | Payroll | 75,203 | 72,159 | 70,889 | 77,115 | 75,945 | 79,266 | 83,964 | 90,247 | 83,818 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 168 | 158 | 145 | 145 | 151 | 165 | 166 | 181 | 191 |
|  | Employees | 991 | 885 | 865 | 849 | 945 | 909 | 1,037 | 1,137 | 1,133 |
|  | Payroll | 21,604 | 21,182 | 20,783 | 20,158 | 21,577 | 23,476 | 25,844 | 29,066 | 26,981 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{3}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 297 | 261 | 248 | 246 | 258 | 259 | 263 | 278 | 281 |
|  | Employees | 12,419 | 8,221 | 7,363 | 7,909 | 8,621 | 8,813 | 9,608 | 10,913 | 11,170 |
|  | Payroll | 442,096 | 296,537 | 302,909 | 325,942 | 374,831 | 390,853 | 448,514 | 488,050 | 512,454 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 57 | 58 | 61 | 65 | 75 | 69 | 77 | 76 | 65 |
|  | Employees | 2,486 | 2,801 | 2,279 | 2,374 | 3,345 | 2,485 | 2,015 | 2,154 | 1,639 |
|  | Payroll | 169,055 | 180,139 | 159,025 | 177,386 | 231,887 | 140,564 | 131,069 | 137,786 | 113,897 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 31 | 33 | 29 | 29 | 39 | 31 | 28 | 32 | 33 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 10,510 | 10,161 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 967,938 | 864,475 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 42 | 42 | 50 | 54 | 60 | 47 | 62 | 57 | 62 |
|  | Employees | 1,106 | 972 | 709 | 753 | 1,381 | 1,050 | 1,743 | 1,815 | 1,966 |
|  | Payroll | 50,115 | 37,774 | 50,217 | 53,341 | 100,402 | 82,078 | 175,366 | 173,004 | 199,592 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 40 | 32 | 34 | 32 | 66 | 61 | 56 | 55 | 54 |
|  | Employees | 712 | 527 | 470 | 377 | 2,082 | 555 | 588 | 987 | 1,006 |
|  | Payroll | 24,668 | 19,006 | 20,525 | 16,879 | 72,554 | 25,439 | 20,647 | 32,032 | 32,969 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 56 | 59 | 55 | 64 | 43 | 58 | 61 | 69 | 63 |
|  | Employees | 8,052 | 7,288 | 7,547 | 7,484 | 4,598 | 6,258 | 6,992 | 7,834 | 7,048 |
|  | Payroll | 192,473 | 185,309 | 191,560 | 195,458 | 86,461 | 188,997 | 179,024 | 208,186 | 191,828 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 147 | 145 | 145 | 150 | 151 | 180 | 190 | 196 | 194 |
|  | Employees | 894 | 829 | 980 | 1,047 | 853 | 1,390 | 878 | 861 | 922 |
|  | Payroll | 56,917 | 60,641 | 76,853 | 75,561 | 68,366 | 130,893 | 74,185 | 72,483 | 73,708 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 442 | 428 | 430 | 411 | 432 | 444 | 464 | 466 | 458 |
|  | Employees | 5,024 | 4,665 | 4,439 | 4,657 | 4,918 | 5,076 | 5,421 | 5,472 | 5,405 |
|  | Payroll | 151,677 | 132,955 | 133,017 | 142,997 | 148,573 | 145,265 | 168,185 | 171,354 | 176,315 |

[^121]
## Tables | Louisiana



Louisiana | Commercial Fisheries
2017 Economic Impacts of the Louisiana Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| Total Impacts | 31,061 | 1,813,468 | 664,891 | 908,972 | 30,090 | 1,611,905 | 624,121 | 840,430 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 12,395 | 692,108 | 234,564 | 344,083 | 12,395 | 692,108 | 234,564 | 344,083 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 1,939 | 186,228 | 72,234 | 92,137 | 1,770 | 170,069 | 65,966 | 84,142 |
| Importers | 523 | 164,560 | 26,374 | 50,165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 931 | 115,646 | 39,397 | 50,997 | 831 | 103,145 | 35,138 | 45,485 |
| Retail | 15,273 | 654,926 | 292,323 | 371,590 | 15,094 | 646,584 | 288,453 | 366,721 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 275,701 | 286,993 | 233,559 | 324,123 | 329,754 | 399,064 | 487,718 | 376,764 | 427,522 | $370, \mathbf{2 3 1}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 64,118 | 62,632 | 56,912 | 102,097 | 88,989 | 103,919 | 98,773 | 109,672 | 158,548 | 85,472 |
| Shellfish | 211,582 | 224,361 | 176,647 | 222,026 | 240,766 | 295,145 | 388,945 | 267,092 | 268,974 | 284,759 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Blue crab | 32,203 | 37,301 | 30,325 | 36,784 | 43,921 | 51,568 | 66,706 | 58,069 | 49,408 | 54,231 |
| Crawfish | 9,507 | 15,547 | 13,971 | 9,914 | 8,325 | 16,490 | 16,088 | 6,851 | 11,877 | NA |
| King mackerel | 1,307 | 1,184 | 1,149 | 1,594 | 1,475 | 1,517 | 2,414 | 2,006 | 2,152 | 2,073 |
| Menhaden | 45,768 | 42,555 | 43,331 | 82,881 | 63,374 | 80,262 | 72,844 | 85,322 | 132,105 | 60,909 |
| Mullets | 749 | 73 | 185 | 775 | 9976 | 626 | 893 | 418 | 720 | 757 |
| Oysters | 39,009 | 50,950 | 24,986 | 41,652 | 42,186 | 44,872 | 67,482 | 85,090 | 68,540 | 84,379 |
| Red snapper | 2,038 | 2,185 | 2,311 | 2,261 | 2,551 | 4,824 | 6,427 | 6,610 | 5,948 | 6,716 |
| Shrimp | 130,854 | 120,555 | 107,362 | 133,670 | 146,318 | 182,210 | 238,665 | 117,071 | 139,141 | 134,032 |
| Tunas | 4,409 | 6,338 | 1,649 | 3,369 | 7,893 | 4,595 | 4,276 | 2,743 | 4,414 | 2,589 |
| Vermilion snapper | 819 | 806 | 399 | 517 | 670 | 474 | 700 | 633 | 925 | 284 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 919,017 | $1,007,474$ | 793,377 | $1,311,040$ | $1,044,376$ | 993,879 | 872,507 | $1,072,187$ | $1,256,134$ | 898,529 |
| Finfish \& Other | 759,440 | 806,845 | 665,677 | $1,153,921$ | 878,405 | 823,989 | 687,557 | 917,426 | $1,092,079$ | 738,342 |
| Shellfish | 159,577 | 200,629 | 127,700 | 157,119 | 165,971 | 169,890 | 184,950 | 154,761 | 164,055 | 160,187 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Blue crab | 41,714 | 53,057 | 30,752 | 43,893 | 46,327 | 39,193 | 43,219 | 41,308 | 40,100 | 43,922 |
| Crawfish | 15,735 | 19,312 | 14,557 | 9,599 | 6,853 | 19,676 | 13,055 | 5,461 | 13,573 | NA |
| King mackerel | 789 | 9227 | 691 | 1,002 | 969 | 788 | 1,167 | 1,047 | 996 | 1,052 |
| Menhaden | 738,092 | 785,575 | 648,561 | $1,131,287$ | 853,012 | 800,101 | 663,693 | 893,789 | $1,068,690$ | 716,056 |
| Mullets | 1,503 | 189 | 362 | 1,385 | 1,385 | 609 | 1,186 | 692 | 1,005 | 1,093 |
| Oysters | 12,840 | 15,006 | 6,874 | 11,156 | 11,368 | 11,364 | 12,692 | 14,488 | 12,053 | 13,327 |
| Red snapper | 589 | 667 | 828 | 918 | 1,028 | 1,216 | 1,489 | 1,591 | 1,444 | 1,557 |
| Shrimp | 89,285 | 113,250 | 75,515 | 92,469 | 101,406 | 99,655 | 115,982 | 93,499 | 98,324 | 94,354 |
| Tunas | 1,248 | 2,009 | 490 | 932 | 2,152 | 1,241 | 1,142 | 661 | 1,211 | 684 |
| Vermilion snapper | 409 | 412 | 186 | 234 | 291 | 174 | 242 | 213 | 335 | 114 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Blue crab | 0.77 | 0.70 | 0.99 | 0.84 | 0.95 | 1.32 | 1.54 | 1.41 | 1.23 | 1.23 |
| Crawfish | 0.60 | 0.81 | 0.96 | 1.03 | 1.21 | 0.84 | 1.23 | 1.25 | 0.88 | NA |
| King mackerel | 1.66 | 1.28 | 1.66 | 1.59 | 1.52 | 1.93 | 2.07 | 1.92 | 2.16 | 1.97 |
| Menhaden | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.09 |
| Mullets | 0.50 | 0.39 | 0.51 | 0.56 | 0.70 | 1.03 | 0.75 | 0.60 | 0.72 | 0.69 |
| Oysters | 3.04 | 3.40 | 3.63 | 3.73 | 3.71 | 3.95 | 5.32 | 5.87 | 5.69 | 6.33 |
| Red snapper | 3.46 | 3.28 | 2.79 | 2.46 | 2.48 | 3.97 | 4.32 | 4.15 | 4.12 | 4.31 |
| Shrimp | 1.47 | 1.06 | 1.42 | 1.45 | 1.44 | 1.83 | 2.06 | 1.25 | 1.42 | 1.42 |
| Tunas | 3.53 | 3.16 | 3.37 | 3.62 | 3.67 | 3.70 | 3.74 | 4.15 | 3.65 | 3.79 |
| Vermilion snapper | 2.00 | 1.95 | 2.15 | 2.21 | 2.30 | 2.73 | 2.89 | 2.97 | 2.76 | 2.48 |

[^122]2017 Economic Impacts of Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 1,851 | 150,975 | 47,377 | 80,374 |
|  | Private Boat | 3,282 | 397,091 | 125,323 | 231,958 |
|  | Shore | 669 | 74,292 | 24,544 | 44,580 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 11,051 | $1,276,458$ | 427,847 | 779,192 |
| Total State Economic Impacts |  | 16,853 | $1,898,816$ | 625,091 | $1,136,104$ |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Fishing Mode | 94,112 |  | Fishing Tackle | 223,961 |
| For-Hire | 302,428 |  | Other Equipment | 111,190 |
| Private Boat | 56,545 |  | Boat Expenses | 897,752 |
| Shore | 453,085 | Vehicle Expenses | 169,171 |  |
| Total |  | Second Home Expenses | 14,639 |  |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | $1,416,713$ |  |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | $1,869,798$ |  |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coastal | 795 | 669 | 609 | 690 | 651 | 709 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Non-Coastal | 120 | 108 | 67 | 86 | 77 | 109 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Out-of-State | 170 | 139 | 120 | 183 | 165 | 262 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total Anglers | 1,084 | 916 | 796 | 959 | 893 | 1,080 | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips) ${ }^{2}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 152 | 168 | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | 97 | 108 | 122 | 131 | 160 | 179 | $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ |
| Private | 5,237 | 5,731 | 6,098 | 5,944 | 5,730 | 5,477 | 2,096 | 2,266 | 2,062 | 2,130 |
| Shore | 4,417 | 4,617 | 5,048 | 5,413 | 5,051 | 5,172 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total Trips | 9,806 | 10,516 | 11,223 | 11,454 | 10,889 | 10,770 | 2,227 | 2,425 | 2,242 | 2,308 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) 3,4,5,6,7

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black drum | H | 944 | 1,040 | 897 | 1,091 | 995 | 1,020 | 218 | 220 | 138 | 143 |
|  | R | 2,254 | 2,268 | 2,424 | 2,854 | 2,421 | 4,064 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Drum (Atlantic croaker) | H | 632 | 1,223 | 581 | 1,123 | 1,288 | 2,328 | 235 | 209 | 150 | 150 |
|  | R | 2,293 | 2,866 | 3,861 | 5,472 | 4,122 | 3,973 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Drum (sand seatrout) | H | 1,614 | 1,748 | 2,178 | 2,513 | 2,070 | 1,458 | 532 | 370 | 354 | 359 |
|  | R | 1,469 | 1,910 | 1,150 | 2,475 | 1,397 | 1,845 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Drum (South kingfish) | H | 177 | 243 | 206 | 34 | 316 | 41 | 4 | 20 | 6 | 18 |
|  | R | 438 | 273 | 91 | 72 | 113 | 118 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Drum (spotted seatrout) | H | 17,833 | 17,959 | 15,582 | 19,035 | 19,410 | 16,267 | 3,231 | 4,292 | 5,326 | 5,142 |
|  | R | 14,859 | 15,203 | 10,186 | 10,961 | 14,055 | 19,153 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Porgies (sheepshead) | H | 2,048 | 1,588 | 1,323 | 2,748 | 1,277 | 975 | 262 | 258 | 225 | 553 |
|  | R | 888 | 1,146 | 1,306 | 514 | 605 | 1,386 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Red drum | H | 3,992 | 3,918 | 5,850 | 5,780 | 3,941 | 5,679 | 1,283 | 1,244 | 1,045 | 1,644 |
|  | R | 7,185 | 7,989 | 8,994 | 6,809 | 6,505 | 10,046 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Red snapper | H | 100 | 130 | 12 | 63 | 153 | 113 | 128 | 171 | 145 | 119 |
|  | R | 364 | 312 | 12 | 210 | 216 | 333 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| South flounder | H | 517 | 888 | 674 | 988 | 689 | 1,531 | 209 | 217 | 222 | 94 |
|  | R | 64 | 177 | 187 | 189 | 207 | 251 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Yellowfin tuna | H | 17 | 6 | 2 | 21 | 47 | 13 | 14 | 23 | 28 | 23 |
|  | R | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^123]
## 2016 Louisiana State Economy (\% of national total)

| \#Non-Employer Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual Payroll (\$ billions) | Employee Compensation (\$ billions) | Gross State Product (\$ billions) | Commercial Fishing Location Quotient ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 368,735 (1.5\%) | 105,732 (1.4\%) | 1,709,226 (1.3\%) | 75.1 (1.2\%) | 119 (1.2\%) | 239 | 3.68 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 77 | 68 | 120 | 94 | 78 | 99 | 111 | 115 | 113 |
|  | Receipts | 7,365 | 5,308 | 10,358 | 9,308 | 8,492 | 9,136 | 8,632 | 10,086 | 11,917 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 182 | 173 | 197 | 192 | 184 | 173 | 177 | 169 | 180 |
|  | Receipts | 25,900 | 17,622 | 16,001 | 18,758 | 16,804 | 17,538 | 17,383 | 17,870 | 18,880 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 36 | 38 | 34 | 33 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 34 |
|  | Employees | 991 | 1,301 | 1,209 | 1,006 | 1,117 | 964 | 943 | 1,015 | 1,069 |
|  | Payroll | 32,382 | 37,657 | 35,770 | 46,440 | 51,237 | 49,339 | 50,881 | 63,909 | 37,506 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 98 | 98 | 97 | 94 | 103 | 106 | 109 | 111 | 116 |
|  | Employees | 739 | 702 | 683 | 767 | 862 | 846 | 672 | 865 | 805 |
|  | Payroll | 15,858 | 17,261 | 15,554 | 18,427 | 22,296 | 23,235 | 24,107 | 25,837 | 28,013 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 107 | 106 | 101 | 100 | 97 | 94 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
|  | Employees | 681 | 703 | 527 | 590 | 704 | 643 | 562 | 612 | 710 |
|  | Payroll | 11,141 | 11,564 | 11,214 | 11,090 | 13,042 | 11,213 | 10,421 | 11,802 | 13,095 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{2}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 117 | 109 | 109 | 109 | 116 | 110 | 117 | 109 | 105 |
|  | Employees | 12,815 | 12,521 | 11,737 | 11,722 | 10,933 | 7,413 | 8,512 | 8,470 | 5,629 |
|  | Payroll | 619,606 | 613,188 | 600,259 | 639,047 | 631,098 | 416,319 | 479,243 | 401,977 | 316,927 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 18 | 21 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 11 | 19 | 21 | 16 |
|  | Employees | 1,095 | 1,192 | 93 | 93 | ds | 95 | ds | 451 | 300 |
|  | Payroll | 87,479 | 91,760 | 6,147 | 5,608 | ds | 5,435 | ds | 21,706 | 25,246 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 3 | ds | 0 |  |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 363 | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Coastal and Great Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 123 | 117 | 125 | 125 | 105 | 102 | 124 | 116 | 104 |
|  | Employees | 6,506 | 6,077 | 5,610 | 5,834 | 6,422 | 5,317 | 6,275 | 5,212 | 3,919 |
|  | Payroll | 549,388 | 391,914 | 405,796 | 417,362 | 497,165 | 458,589 | 556,693 | 396,625 | 273,575 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 22 | 17 | 21 | 20 | 46 | 18 | 14 | 15 | 15 |
|  | Employees | 517 | 440 | 431 | 461 | 1,205 | 443 | ds | 399 | 421 |
|  | Payroll | 37,181 | 33,907 | 38,776 | 38,745 | 80,780 | 37,122 | ds | 37,866 | 39,772 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 39 | 44 | 41 | 42 | 37 | 44 | 49 | 45 | 43 |
|  | Employees | 2,010 | 2,193 | 2,511 | 2,526 | 2,016 | 2,834 | 3,106 | 3,418 | 2,955 |
|  | Payroll | 85,484 | 92,883 | 105,063 | 108,491 | 93,896 | 174,054 | 212,786 | 175,092 | 156,891 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 145 | 137 | 138 | 138 | 136 | 133 | 137 | 142 | 144 |
|  | Employees | 2,884 | 2,893 | 3,176 | 3,396 | 2,545 | 2,533 | 2,816 | 2,862 | 2,780 |
|  | Payroll | 183,381 | 175,271 | 224,533 | 208,306 | 162,094 | 169,795 | 206,318 | 218,379 | 203,905 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 43 | 43 | 43 | 45 | 44 | 41 | 39 | 36 | 38 |
|  | Employees | 274 | 244 | 314 | 329 | 257 | 250 | 229 | 194 | 204 |
|  | Payroll | 9,581 | 8,989 | 14,716 | 10,771 | 9,209 | 8,693 | 7,276 | 4,683 | 4,521 |

[^124]
## Tables | Mississippi



2017 Economic Impacts of the Mississipi Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 4,802 | 233,702 | 93,193 | 120,534 | 4,774 | 229,354 | 92,120 | 118,902 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 863 | 49,268 | 14,867 | 21,823 | 863 | 49,268 | 14,867 | 21,823 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 744 | 63,532 | 25,135 | 31,495 | 727 | 62,034 | 24,542 | 30,752 |
| Importers | 9 | 2,762 | 443 | 842 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 59 | 6,208 | 2,191 | 2,760 | 59 | 6,191 | 2,184 | 2,752 |
| Retail | 3,128 | 111,932 | 50,558 | 63,615 | 3,126 | 111,861 | 50,526 | 63,575 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 43,696 | 37,956 | 21,895 | 30,291 | 49,337 | 34,970 | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 1 4}$ | 68,926 | $\mathbf{2 9 , 3 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 3 4 8}$ |
| Finfish \& Other | 19,233 | 18,667 | 8,963 | 10,527 | 23,172 | 10,938 | 8,102 | 53,743 | 11,831 | 11,918 |
| Shellish | 24,464 | 19,289 | 12,932 | 19,764 | 26,165 | 24,032 | 17,912 | 15,183 | 17,559 | 18,430 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Blue crab | 447 | 573 | 366 | 318 | 724 | 416 | 997 | 1,209 | 895 | $\mathbf{7 9 3}$ |
| Flounders | 40 | 58 | 64 | 118 | 101 | 45 | 55 | 76 | 75 | 27 |
| Menhaden | 18,534 | 17,987 | 8,378 | 9,871 | 22,394 | 10,230 | 7,358 | 52,962 | 10,973 | 11,086 |
| Mullets | 32 | 30 | 31 | 56 | 63 | 61 | 25 | 12 | 22 | 39 |
| Oysters | 6,858 | 6,094 | 4,268 | 928 | 1,596 | 1,544 | 1,685 | 969 | 1,088 | 344 |
| Red snapper | NA | 158 | NA | 168 | 226 | NA | 307 | NA | NA | NA |
| Shrimp | 17,146 | 12,612 | 8,293 | 18,514 | 23,846 | 22,072 | 15,229 | 13,004 | 15,576 | 17,293 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | 201,822 | 230,255 | 111,229 | 278,075 | 263,640 | 180,600 | 190,555 | 307,507 | 307,984 | 316,330 |
| Finfish \& Other | 190,191 | 217,461 | 105,274 | 267,407 | 249,382 | 171,000 | 184,393 | 294,723 | 294,641 | 300,105 |
| Shellfish | 11,631 | 12,794 | 5,955 | 10,668 | 14,259 | 9,599 | 6,162 | 12,785 | 13,343 | 16,226 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Blue crab | 450 | 545 | 366 | 370 | 782 | 359 | 570 | 798 | 773 | 626 |
| Flounders | 17 | 25 | 28 | 55 | 43 | 19 | 21 | 29 | 27 | 8 |
| Menhaden | 189,118 | 216,709 | 104,729 | 266,774 | 248,824 | 170,500 | 183,950 | 294,233 | 294,189 | 299,630 |
| Mullets | 57 | 62 | 59 | 93 | 99 | 95 | 39 | 21 | 40 | 68 |
| Oysters | 2,606 | 2,189 | 1,453 | 247 | 425 | 336 | 321 | 182 | 245 | 60 |
| Red snapper | NA | 57 | NA | 86 | 115 | NA | 170 | NA | NA | NA |
| Shrimp | 8,570 | 10,054 | 4,135 | 10,048 | 13,051 | 8,903 | 5,270 | 11,804 | 12,324 | 15,540 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Blue crab | 0.99 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 0.86 | 0.93 | 1.16 | 1.75 | 1.51 | 1.16 | 1.27 |
| Flounders | 2.36 | 2.34 | 2.33 | 2.14 | 2.33 | 2.38 | 2.66 | 2.61 | 2.83 | 3.28 |
| Menhaden | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Mullets | 0.57 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.61 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.58 |
| Oysters | 2.63 | 2.78 | 2.94 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 4.59 | 5.25 | 5.32 | 4.44 | 5.78 |
| Red snapper | NA | 2.75 | NA | 1.96 | 1.97 | NA | 1.81 | NA | NA | NA |
| Shrimp | 2.00 | 1.25 | 2.01 | 1.84 | 1.83 | 2.48 | 2.89 | 1.10 | 1.26 | 1.11 |

[^125]2017 Economic Impacts of Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 204 | 16,559 | 5,045 | 8,769 |
|  | Private Boat | 586 | 55,163 | 17,481 | 33,230 |
|  | Shore | 794 | 60,560 | 21,318 | 39,200 |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 3,578 | 372,494 | 127,056 | 233,192 |
| Total State Economic Impacts | 5,162 | 504,776 | 170,900 | 314,391 |  |

2017 Angler Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 10,854 | Fishing Tackle | 90,196 |
| Private Boat | 51,816 | Other Equipment | 45,702 |
| Shore | 52,031 | Boat Expenses | 288,723 |
| Total | 114,700 | Vehicle Expenses | 85,337 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 307 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 510,266 |
| Total State Trip | enditures |  | 624,966 |


| Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| Coastal | 119 | 125 | 137 | 160 | 179 | 171 | 171 | 195 | 156 | 153 |
| Non-Coastal | 26 | 36 | 29 | 48 | 60 | 67 | 62 | 48 | 83 | 50 |
| Out-of-State | 48 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 91 | 101 | 94 | 114 | 106 | 97 |
| Total Anglers | 194 | 212 | 216 | 268 | 331 | 339 | 328 | 357 | 345 | 300 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 13 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 42 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 21 |
| Private | 1,575 | 1,629 | 1,566 | 1,600 | 1,643 | 1,599 | 1,486 | 1,568 | 1,733 | 1,606 |
| Shore | 2,765 | 2,933 | 2,940 | 2,892 | 2,838 | 2,731 | 2,808 | 2,984 | 2,960 | 3,225 |
| Total Trips | 4,354 | 4,573 | 4,509 | 4,503 | 4,493 | 4,342 | 4,312 | 4,594 | 4,718 | 4,852 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of fish) ${ }^{1,2,3}$

|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Drum (Atlantic | H | 1,370 | 1,648 | 692 | 1,358 | 752 | 819 | 2,120 | 957 | 1,241 | 1,262 |
| croaker) | R | 1,384 | 2,679 | 1,585 | 1,842 | 1,673 | 630 | 704 | 1,690 | 3,292 | 4,240 |
| Drum (kingfish) | H | R | 154 | 351 | 413 | 395 | 546 | 976 | 437 | 1,066 | 1,713 |
|  | H | 1,042 | 2,574 | 2,338 | 2,599 | 2,145 | 1,589 | 1,797 | 2,391 | 3,242 | 4,936 |
| silver seatrouts) | R | 503 | 957 | 680 | 879 | 1,063 | 494 | 305 | 418 | 1,059 | 1,513 |
| Drum (spotted | H | 1,789 | 2,215 | 1,421 | 1,563 | 1,395 | 1,985 | 1,183 | 1,838 | 3,410 | 1,396 |
| seatrout) | R | 2,641 | 2,145 | 1,645 | 1,218 | 2,071 | 2,354 | 1,818 | 1,741 | 3,693 | 4,055 |
| Porgies | H | 51 | 79 | 119 | 557 | 235 | 207 | 198 | 185 | 107 | 816 |
| (sheepshead) | R | 89 | 26 | 10 | 89 | 91 | 122 | 52 | 1,059 | 48 | 77 |
| Red drum | H | 234 | 202 | 219 | 153 | 210 | 320 | 201 | 203 | 329 | 247 |
|  | R | 462 | 605 | 571 | 387 | 1,173 | 828 | 885 | 575 | 769 | 1,664 |
| Red snapper | H | 32 | 52 | $<1$ | 40 | 109 | 48 | 13 | 20 | 91 | 122 |
|  | R | 391 | 335 | 120 | $<1$ | 10 | 134 | 127 | 472 | 333 | 752 |
| Sharks ${ }^{6}$ | H | 3 | 34 | 232 | 56 | 19 | 109 | 12 | 11 | 6 | 12 |
|  | R | 103 | 81 | 333 | 82 | 207 | 147 | 65 | 27 | 134 | 28 |
| South flounder | H | R | 426 | 597 | 546 | 421 | 401 | 448 | 255 | 172 | 225 |
| Striped mullet | H | 246 | 326 | 256 | 246 | 319 | 279 | 138 | 225 | 110 | 36 |
|  | R | 13 | 376 | 521 | 1,291 | 660 | 1,883 | 869 | 2,664 | 1,254 | 615 |

[^126]
## Mississippi | Marine Economy

## 2016 Mississippi State Economy (\% of national total)

\#Non-Employer

Firms \#Establishments $\quad$ \#Employees $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
Annual <br>
Payroll <br>
(\$ billions)

 

Employee <br>
Compensation <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Gross State <br>
Product <br>
(\$ billions)

$\quad$

Commercial <br>
Fishing <br>
Location <br>
Quotient ${ }^{1}$
\end{tabular}

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 17 | 16 | 30 | 25 | 27 | ds | 21 | 12 | 20 |
|  | Receipts | 1,055 | 753 | 1,937 | 2,108 | 930 | ds | 1,932 | 1,539 | 2,879 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 48 | 56 | 69 | 51 | 50 | 54 | 42 | 53 | 58 |
|  | Receipts | 3,437 | 4,206 | 3,421 | 3,505 | 3,957 | 3,855 | 3,129 | 4,053 | 4,836 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 20 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 |
|  | Employees | 3,062 | 2,796 | 2,849 | 2,464 | 2,368 | 2,284 | 2,289 | 2,370 | 2,589 |
|  | Payroll | 61,723 | 61,926 | 61,731 | 52,502 | 55,407 | 59,212 | 57,324 | 60,906 | 65,003 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 18 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
|  | Employees | 61 | 113 | ds | 64 | 102 | ds | ds | 39 | 46 |
|  | Payroll | 3,088 | 2,836 | 2,542 | 2,532 | 4,412 | 1,546 | 1,587 | 1,800 | 2,038 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 18 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 9 |
|  | Employees | 50 | 46 | 50 | 58 | ds | ds | ds | 96 | 228 |
|  | Payroll | 699 | 841 | 810 | 838 | 1,902 | ds | ds | 2,672 | 3,092 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 24 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 16 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 14,722 | 14,066 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 892,317 | 899,814 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | NA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Employees | NA | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | NA | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | Employees | 119 | 114 | ds | 127 | ds | 230 | 277 | 259 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | 8,351 | 7,730 | 8,058 | 7,233 | ds | 17,080 | 16,365 | 17,353 | 0 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 241 | 173 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | ds | 10,390 | 7,562 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 8 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | 141 | ds | ds | ds | ds | 57 | 42 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | 6,982 | ds | ds | ds | ds | 2,698 | 2,748 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 17 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 18 |
|  | Employees | 111 | 172 | 183 | 189 | 204 | 154 | 193 | 197 | 199 |
|  | Payroll | 2,794 | 3,479 | 4,163 | 5,137 | 5,361 | 3,972 | 4,960 | 5,047 | 5,517 |

[^127]
## Tables | Texas



Texas | Commercial Fisheries
2016 Economic Impacts of the Texas Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  | With Imports |  |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| Total Impacts | 30,274 | 3,254,182 | 886,755 | 1,352,431 | 19,462 | 1,310,704 | 478,048 | 669,610 |
| Commercial Harvesters | 5,267 | 498,484 | 147,520 | 234,133 | 5,267 | 498,484 | 147,520 | 234,133 |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 2,476 | 232,007 | 87,279 | 114,949 | 1,593 | 149,226 | 56,138 | 73,935 |
| Importers | 4,832 | 1,521,937 | 243,919 | 463,953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 1,426 | 215,697 | 71,969 | 99,664 | 583 | 88,124 | 29,403 | 40,718 |
| Retail | 16,272 | 786,057 | 336,067 | 439,732 | 12,020 | 574,870 | 244,987 | 320,825 |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | 176,098 | 154,530 | 203,795 | 240,566 | 215,365 | 268,519 | 262,589 | 179,627 | 196,864 | 236,994 |
| Finfish \& Other | 7,709 | 7,488 | 7,888 | 8,445 | 10,231 | 13,361 | 13,709 | 16,188 | 17,619 | 16,382 |
| Shellfish | 168,389 | 147,043 | 195,907 | 232,121 | 205,134 | 255,158 | 248,880 | 163,439 | 179,245 | 220,612 |

Key Species

| Atlantic croaker | 446 | 484 | 531 | 622 | 743 | 819 | 681 | 747 | 850 | 767 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Black drum | 1,363 | 1,377 | 1,573 | 1,448 | 1,491 | 1,699 | 1,981 | 2,074 | 2,283 | 2,458 |
| Blue crab | 2,342 | 2,454 | 3,134 | 2,845 | 2,878 | 2,331 | 3,050 | 5,534 | 6,478 | 5,416 |
| Flounders | 144 | 91 | 62 | 205 | 175 | 73 | 97 | 187 | 239 | 164 |
| Groupers | 606 | 695 | 389 | 572 | 774 | 1,168 | 1,156 | 1,483 | 1,601 | 1,153 |
| Oysters | 8,835 | 9,376 | 19,144 | 12,789 | 21,302 | 23,465 | 19,221 | 8,254 | 17,129 | 20,404 |
| Red snapper | 2,744 | 2,398 | 3,009 | 3,254 | 4,448 | 7,324 | 7,617 | 9,387 | 10,573 | 9,881 |
| Shrimp | 157,187 | 135,100 | 173,556 | 216,382 | 180,844 | 229,289 | 226,535 | 145,323 | 151,124 | 190,533 |
| Tunas | 94 | 139 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 3 | NA | NA |
| Vermilion snapper | 1,430 | 1,233 | 1,337 | 1,274 | 1,434 | 659 | 604 | 920 | 584 | 443 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Landings | $\mathbf{7 3 , 0 4 8}$ | 102,351 | 89,721 | 98,857 | 91,596 | 85,113 | $\mathbf{7 4 , 6 7 4}$ | 82,576 | $\mathbf{7 7 , 1 8 2}$ | 93,361 |
| Finfish \& Other | 3,866 | 4,134 | 4,247 | 4,224 | 4,225 | 4,872 | 4,842 | 5,438 | 5,725 | 5,278 |
| Shellfish | 69,182 | 98,216 | 85,475 | 94,633 | 87,371 | 80,241 | 69,832 | 77,138 | 71,457 | 88,083 |
| Key Species | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Atlantic croaker | 59 | 63 | 67 | 79 | 89 | 96 | 78 | 90 | 100 | 88 |
| Black drum | 1,468 | 1,610 | 1,729 | 1,795 | 1,623 | 1,689 | 1,747 | 1,879 | 1,995 | 1,926 |
| Blue crab | 2,635 | 2,844 | 3,436 | 2,893 | 2,853 | 1,902 | 2,234 | 4,331 | 5,044 | 4,126 |
| Flounders | 58 | 32 | 26 | 75 | 60 | 20 | 25 | 51 | 64 | 40 |
| Groupers | 188 | 227 | 156 | 199 | 227 | 306 | 281 | 355 | 375 | 271 |
| Oysters | 2,679 | 2,733 | 5,265 | 3,943 | 5,817 | 6,126 | 4,129 | 1,587 | 3,127 | 3,504 |
| Red snapper | 870 | 851 | 1,031 | 948 | 1,123 | 1,800 | 1,797 | 2,152 | 2,390 | 2,213 |
| Shrimp | 63,855 | 92,602 | 76,734 | 87,753 | 78,665 | 72,186 | 63,448 | 70,100 | 62,145 | 79,415 |
| Tunas | 22 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 1 | NA | NA |
| Vermilion snapper | 592 | 561 | 539 | 465 | 511 | 234 | 203 | 307 | 192 | 149 |

Average Annual Ex-Vessel Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Atlantic croaker | 7.58 | 7.64 | 7.98 | $\mathbf{7 . 8 4}$ | 8.31 | 8.55 | 8.77 | 8.26 | 8.47 | 8.73 |
| Black drum | 0.93 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.81 | 0.92 | 1.01 | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.14 | 1.28 |
| Blue crab | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 1.23 | 1.37 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 1.31 |
| Flounders | 2.48 | 2.84 | 2.37 | 2.75 | 2.94 | 3.55 | 3.89 | 3.65 | 3.72 | 4.11 |
| Groupers | 3.22 | 3.06 | 2.49 | 2.87 | 3.41 | 3.81 | 4.12 | 4.18 | 4.27 | 4.25 |
| Oysters | 3.30 | 3.43 | 3.64 | 3.24 | 3.66 | 3.83 | 4.66 | 5.20 | 5.48 | 5.82 |
| Red snapper | 3.15 | 2.82 | 2.92 | 3.43 | 3.96 | 4.07 | 4.24 | 4.36 | 4.42 | 4.47 |
| Shrimp | 2.46 | 1.46 | 2.26 | 2.47 | 2.30 | 3.18 | 3.57 | 2.07 | 2.43 | 2.40 |
| Tunas | 4.26 | 3.08 | 3.19 | 1.82 | 1.83 | 2.10 | 2.29 | 2.43 | NA | NA |
| Vermilion snapper | 2.42 | 2.20 | 2.48 | 2.74 | 2.81 | 2.81 | 2.98 | 3.00 | 3.04 | 2.97 |

[^128]2017 Economic Impacts of Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

|  |  | \#Jobs | Sales | Income | Value <br> Added |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode | For-Hire | 1,097 | 121,965 | 41,158 | 73,447 |
|  | Private Boat | 1,876 | 254,812 | 79,074 | 154,631 |
|  | Shore | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total Durable Expenditures |  | 10,610 | $1,343,395$ | 522,431 | 851,616 |
| Total State Economic Impacts | 13,583 | $1,720,172$ | 642,663 | $1,079,694$ |  |


| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures | Equipment | Durable Goods Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For-Hire | 72,161 | Fishing Tackle | 255,786 |
| Private Boat | 158,791 | Other Equipment | 149,449 |
| Shore | NA | Boat Expenses | 494,076 |
| Total | 230,952 | Vehicle Expenses | 393,109 |
|  |  | Second Home Expenses | 40,312 |
|  |  | Total Durable Expenditures | 1,332,733 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Goods Expenditures |  |  | 1,563,685 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler trips) ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 150 | 122 | 123 | 162 | 227 | 145 | 137 | 147 | 162 | 191 |
| Private | 906 | 919 | 868 | 963 | 932 | 1,005 | 932 | 896 | 1,025 | 953 |
| Shore | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Total Trips | 1,056 | 1,041 | 991 | 1,125 | 1,159 | 1,150 | 1,069 | 1,043 | 1,187 | 1,144 |


|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black drum | 82 | 98 | 165 | 129 | 257 | 150 | 139 | 128 | 138 | 165 |
| Drum (Atlantic croaker) | 64 | 117 | 125 | 157 | 157 | 152 | 117 | 214 | 126 | 67 |
| Drum (sand seatrout) | 152 | 111 | 127 | 227 | 177 | 151 | 147 | 110 | 135 | 96 |
| Drum (spotted seatrout) | 917 | 810 | 732 | 1,137 | 810 | 796 | 590 | 825 | 1,025 | 982 |
| King mackerel | 8 | 16 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 15 |
| Porgies (sheepshead) | 46 | 34 | 49 | 57 | 143 | 84 | 39 | 51 | 106 | 60 |
| Red drum | 266 | 285 | 264 | 347 | 323 | 269 | 247 | 241 | 288 | 300 |
| Red snapper | 41 | 31 | 33 | 36 | 34 | 48 | 40 | 50 | 31 | 45 |
| South flounder | 64 | 47 | 30 | 92 | 96 | 92 | 71 | 85 | 104 | 77 |
| Spanish mackerel | 3 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 7 |

[^129]Texas | Marine Economy
2016 Texas State Economy (\% of national total)

| \#Non-Employer Firms | \#Establishments | \#Employees | Annual Payroll (\$ billions) | Employee Compensation (\$ billions) | Gross State Product (\$ billions) | Commercial Fishing Location Quotient ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,251,787 (9.1\%) | 579,168 (7.5\%) | 10,429,924 (8.2\%) | 527 (8.2\%) | 813 (8.2\%) | 1,623 | 0.31 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 85 | 82 | 99 | 119 | 123 | 123 | 128 | 178 | 165 |
|  | Receipts | 3,466 | 3,858 | 3,224 | 5,734 | 6,675 | 7,484 | 6,706 | 11,051 | 10,057 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Firms | 188 | 196 | 184 | 171 | 194 | 173 | 199 | 178 | 167 |
|  | Receipts | 18,204 | 13,177 | 12,124 | 13,433 | 14,891 | 15,094 | 15,160 | 15,660 | 13,072 |


|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 27 | 24 | 22 | 24 | 22 | 30 | 32 | 29 | 34 |
|  | Employees | 1,169 | 1,026 | 1,184 | 1,273 | 1,248 | 1,026 | 1,062 | 1,006 | 975 |
|  | Payroll | 27,045 | 29,006 | 24,961 | 26,425 | 27,737 | 27,638 | 28,643 | 29,729 | 27,765 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 69 | 75 | 77 | 82 | 71 | 75 | 89 | 90 | 86 |
|  | Employees | 734 | 683 | 715 | 723 | 603 | 729 | 816 | 874 | 928 |
|  | Payroll | 24,498 | 23,650 | 23,879 | 26,356 | 25,309 | 30,370 | 35,553 | 37,315 | 37,519 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 60 | 51 | 52 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 59 | 62 | 57 |
|  | Employees | 206 | 189 | 199 | ds | ds | 331 | 395 | 415 | 439 |
|  | Payroll | 3,403 | 3,393 | 3,742 | 4,090 | 6,102 | 6,891 | 8,201 | 9,319 | 9,097 |

Transport, Support and Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{2,3}$

|  |  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ship and Boat Building | Establishments | 102 | 99 | 97 | 91 | 89 | 87 | 88 | 84 | 81 |
|  | Employees | 5,368 | 3,891 | 3,386 | 2,773 | 5,601 | 5,686 | 5,178 | 4,956 | 5,098 |
|  | Payroll | 235,190 | 158,261 | 147,492 | 153,077 | 310,230 | 297,248 | 306,571 | 283,838 | 270,717 |
| Deep Sea Freight Transportation | Establishments | 35 | 36 | 30 | 39 | 40 | 33 | 33 | 35 | 36 |
|  | Employees | 514 | 802 | 764 | 860 | 742 | ds | 790 | 639 | 607 |
|  | Payroll | 40,764 | 61,309 | 63,408 | 71,515 | 65,818 | 44,902 | 55,106 | 47,119 | 47,952 |
| Deep Sea Passenger Transportation | Establishments | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | NA | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Employees | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
|  | Payroll | ds | ds | ds | ds | NA | ds | ds | 0 | 0 |
| Coastal and Great <br> Lakes Freight <br> Transportation | Establishments | 42 | 43 | 48 | 48 | 39 | 42 | 48 | 48 | 49 |
|  | Employees | 2,815 | 2,729 | 1,909 | 1,764 | 1,814 | 2,253 | 2,227 | 2,058 | 2,115 |
|  | Payroll | 251,997 | 200,219 | 161,080 | 177,549 | 174,686 | 207,831 | 215,950 | 208,286 | 199,415 |
| Port and Harbor Operations | Establishments | 24 | 30 | 29 | 26 | 37 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 26 |
|  | Employees | ds | 421 | ds | 439 | 1,381 | 630 | 387 | 395 | 572 |
|  | Payroll | 10,538 | 13,778 | 18,627 | 18,842 | 55,470 | 25,229 | 13,544 | 16,436 | 17,603 |
| Marine Cargo Handling | Establishments | 55 | 57 | 54 | 55 | 42 | 48 | 53 | 56 | 57 |
|  | Employees | 6,313 | 6,276 | 5,262 | 5,259 | 4,373 | 6,390 | 7,451 | 8,179 | 6,687 |
|  | Payroll | 196,006 | 167,562 | 166,877 | 153,360 | 130,817 | 272,286 | 327,690 | 324,552 | 280,303 |
| Navigational Services to Shipping | Establishments | 99 | 95 | 87 | 91 | 91 | 89 | 93 | 91 | 80 |
|  | Employees | 1,884 | 1,849 | 1,606 | 1,448 | 1,676 | 1,485 | 1,588 | 1,415 | 1,430 |
|  | Payroll | 137,962 | 137,289 | 132,283 | 113,444 | 124,500 | 130,572 | 139,259 | 144,090 | 135,341 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 143 | 131 | 148 | 144 | 132 | 124 | 128 | 138 | 137 |
|  | Employees | 1,486 | 1,423 | 1,198 | 1,233 | 1,169 | 1,258 | 1,222 | 1,209 | 1,226 |
|  | Payroll | 34,039 | 33,803 | 33,968 | 34,928 | 34,711 | 36,461 | 36,776 | 37,054 | 39,658 |

[^130]
## Data Sources

## MANAGEMENT CONTEXT

- Excess Harvesting Capacity in U.S. Fisheries, A Report to Congress. April 28, 2008. National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries). https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/spo/SPO/tm/spo93.pdf
- "Status of U.S. Fisheries." Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries). https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/population-assessments/status-us-fisheries
- "Endangered Species Act (ESA)." Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries). https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/endangered-species-conservation/endangered-species-act
- "Certified Fisheries." Marine Stewardship Council. www.msc.org/
- "Catch Shares." Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries). https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/laws-and-policies/catch-shares


## Fishery Management Councils \& Fishery Plans:

- Caribbean Fishery Management Council. www.caribbeanfmc.com
- Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council. www.gulfcouncil.org
- Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council. www.mafmc.org/
- New England Fishery Management Council. www.nefmc.org/
- North Pacific Fishery Management Council. www.npfmc.org/
- Pacific Fishery Management Council. www.pcouncil.org
- South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. www.safmc.net
- Western Pacific Fishery Management Council. www.wpcouncil.org


## COMMERCIAL FISHERIES

## Data for New England, Mid-Atlantic, South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, North Pacific, Pacific and Western Pacific Regions:

- Commercial Landings Database. Obtained December 5, 2017. Office of Science \& Technology, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries). https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/topic/commercial-fishing\#overview


## Pacific cod, flatfish, Atka mackerel, walleye pollock, rockfish and sablefish data, North Pacific Region:

- Alaska Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries). Obtained December 5, 2017. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/region/alaska


## Economic Impacts of the U.S. Commercial Seafood Industry:

- A User's Guide to the National and Coastal State I/O Model. http://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/documents/commercial_seafood_impacts_2007-2009.pdf


## Additional information:

- "NOAA Fisheries Economics \& Social Sciences Program." Office of Science \& Technology, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries).
https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/topic/socioeconomics
- "Data Caveats." Office of Science \& Technology, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries).
https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/commercial-fisheries/commercial-landings/data-caveats/index


## RECREATIONAL FISHERIES

## Consumer Price Index (CPI) Inflation Calculator:

- CPI Inflation Calculator. Obtained September 24, 2019. Bureau of Labor Statistics.
ttps://data.bls.gov/cgi-bin/cpicalc.pl


## Data for New England, Mid-Atlantic, South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico and Western Pacific Regions:

- Recreational Fishery Statistics Queries." Obtained August 15, 2017. Office of Science \& Technology, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries).
https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/recreational-fishing-data/recreational-fishing-data-and-statistics-queries\#run-a-data-query


## Data for Texas (Gulf of Mexico Region):

- Texas Parks \& Wildlife Department. Obtained August 14, 2018. https://tpwd.texas.gov/
- Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries. Obtained May 29, 2018. http://www.wlf.louisiana.gov/


## Data for Pacific Region:

- Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission, Recreational Fisheries Information Network (RecFIN) for Oregon and Washington. Obtained August 21, 2018. http://www.recfin.org
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife. Obtained September 24, 2018. https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/
- Pacific Fishery Management Council, Salmon Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation (SAFE) documents. Obtained May 15, 2019. https://www.pcouncil.org/stock-assessments-and-fishery-evaluation-safe-documents/


## Data for North Pacific Region:

- Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission, Recreational Fisheries Information Network (RecFIN). Obtained November 1, 2018.


## Recreational Fishing Expenditures and Impacts:

- Lovell, Sabrina, James Hilger, Emily Rollins, Noelle A. Olsen, and Scott Steinback. 2020. The Economic Contribution of Marine Angler Expenditures on Fishing Trips in the United States, 2017. U.S. Dep. Commerce, NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-F/SPO-201, 80 p. https://spo.nmfs.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/TM201.pdf
- Lovell, J. Sabrina, James Hilger, Scott Steinback, and Clifford Hutt. 2016. The Economic Contribution of Marine Angler Expenditures on Durable Goods in the United States, 2014. U.S. Dept. of Commerce. U.S. Dept. of Commerce, NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-F/SPO-165, 72 p. https://spo.nmfs.noaa.gov/content/tech-memo/ economic-contribution-marine-angler-expenditures-durable-goods-united-states-2014
- Lovell, Sabrina, Scott Steinback, and James Hilger. 2013. The Economic Contribution of Marine Angler Expenditures in the United States, 2011. U.S. Dept. of Commerce, NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-F/SPO-134, 188 p. https://spo. nmfs.noaa.gov/content/tech-memo/economic-contribution-marine-angler-expenditures-united-states-2011


## THE MARINE ECONOMY

- "County Business Patterns Data Series." Obtained January 22, 2019. U.S. Census Bureau. https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/cbp.html
- "Gross Domestic Product by State." Obtained February 21, 2019. Bureau of Economic Analysis. http://www.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm?reqid=70\&step=1\&isuri=1\&acrdn=2\#reqid=70\&step=1\&isuri=1
- "Location Quotient Calculator." Obtained February 20, 2019. Bureau of Labor Statistics. https://data.bls.gov/cew/doc/info/location_quotients.htm
- "Nonemployer Statistics." Obtained January 22, 2019. U.S. Census Bureau. https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/nonemployer-statistics.html
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- Climate Change Research
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Signs for the Chelsea Rose, a historic fishing boat, used to sell locally caught seafood at the dock in Newport, Oregon. Photo: Pacific Fishery Management Council

## UNITED STATES

## Federal Agencies

- Office of Science and Technology, NOAA Fisheries \| www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/office-science-and-technology
- Marine Recreational Information Program | www.fisheries.noaa.gov/topic/recreational-fishing-data
- Office of Marine Conservation, Bureau of Oceans and International Environmental and Scientific Affairs, U.S. Department of State \| www.state.gov/bureaus-offices/under-secretary-for-economic-growth-ener-gy-and-the-environment/bureau-of-oceans-and-international-environmental-and-scientific-affairs/office-of-ma-rine-conservation/


## NORTH PACIFIC

## Federal Agencies

- Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries \| www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/alaska-fisheries-science-center
- Alaska Regional Office, NOAA Fisheries \| www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/alaska-regional-office
- Alaska Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | www.fws.gov/alaska/
- District 17, U.S. Coast Guard \| www.pacificarea.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/District-17/


## State Agencies

- Alaska Department of Fish and Game \| www.adfg.state.ak.us


## Councils and Commissions

- North Pacific Fishery Management Council \| www.npfmc.org
- Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission \| www.psmfc.org
- Fisheries Economics Data Program Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission | www.psmfc.org/efin
- International Pacific Halibut Commission | www.iphc.int


## PACIFIC

## Federal Agencies

- Northwest Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries \| www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/northwest-fisheries-sci-ence-center
- West Coast Regional Office, NOAA Fisheries | www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/west-coast-regional-office
- Southwest Fisheries Science Center \| www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/southwest-fisheries-science-center
- Pacific Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service \| www.fws.gov/pacific
- California and Nevada, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service \| www.fws.gov/cno
- District 13, U.S. Coast Guard \| www.pacificarea.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/District-13/


## State Agencies

- California Department of Fish and Game | www.wildlife.ca.gov
- Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife \| www.dfw.state.or.us
- Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife | http://wdfw.wa.gov/


## Councils and Commissions

- Pacific Fishery Management Council \| www.pcouncil.org
- Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission | www.psmfc.org
- Fisheries Economics Data Program - Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission | www.psmfc.org/efin
- International Pacific Halibut Commission | www.iphc.int


## WESTERN PACIFIC

## Federal Agencies

- Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries \| www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/pacific-islands-fisher-ies-science-center
- Pacific Islands Regional Office, NOAA Fisheries | www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/pacific-islands-regional-office
- Pacific Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service \| www.fws.gov/pacific
- District 14, U.S. Coast Guard \| www.pacificarea.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/District-14/


## State Agencies

- Hawai'i Department of Land and Natural Resources | www.dlnr.hawaii.gov/
- Guam Office of the Governor | http://governor.guam.gov/
- Division of Fish and Wildlife, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands | http://www.dfw.gov.mp/Monument_Page.html


## Councils and Commissions

- Western Pacific Fishery Management Council \| www.wpcouncil.org


## NEW ENGLAND

## Federal Agencies

- Northeast Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries \| www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/northeast-fisheries-sci-ence-center
- Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office, NOAA Fisheries \| www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/greater-atlantic-re-gional-fisheries-office
- Northeast Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service \| www.fws.gov/northeast
- District 1, U.S. Coast Guard | www.atlanticarea.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/District-1/


## State Agencies

- Maine Department of Marine Resources | www.maine.gov/dmr/
- Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management | www.dem.ri.gov
- Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries | www.mass.gov/orgs/division-of-marine-fisheries
- Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection \| www.ct.gov/deep/
- New Hampshire Fish and Game Department \| www.wildlife.state.nh.us

Councils and Commissions

- New England Fishery Management Council \| www.nefmc.org
- Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission | www.asmfc.org


## MID-ATLANTIC

## Federal Agencies

- Northeast Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries \| www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/northeast-fisheries-sci-ence-center
- Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office, NOAA Fisheries \| www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/greater-atlantic-re-gional-fisheries-office
- Northeast Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service \| www.fws.gov/northeast
- District 5, U.S. Coast Guard \| www.atlanticarea.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/District-5/


## State Agencies

- Delaware Division of Fish and Wildlife | https://dnrec.alpha.delaware.gov/fish-wildlife/
- Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission \| www.fishandboat.com/
- Fisheries and Boating Service, Maryland Department of Natural Resources | www.dnr.state.md.us/fisheries
- New Jersey Division of Fish and Wildlife \| www.state.nj.us/dep/fgw
- Marine Resources Councils and Boards Bureau of Marine Resources, New York Department of Environmental Conservation | www.dec.ny.gov/about/568.html
- Virginia Marine Resources Commission | www.dnr.maryland.gov/fisheries


## Councils and Commissions

- Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council \| www.mafmc.org
- Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission | www.asmfc.org


## SOUTH ATLANTIC Federal Agencies

- Southeast Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries \| www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/southeast-fisheries-sci-ence-center
- Southeast Regional Office, NOAA Fisheries | www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/southeast-regional-office
- Southeast Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service \| www.fws.gov/southeast
- Southwest Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service \| www.fws.gov/southwest
- District 7, U.S. Coast Guard | www.atlanticarea.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/District-7/


## State Agencies

- Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission | www.myfwc.com/
- Coastal Resources Division, Georgia Department of Natural Resources | www.coastalgadnr.org/
- Division of Marine Fisheries, North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources | http://portal. ncdenr.org/web/mf/
- Marine Resources Division, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources | www.dnr.sc.gov


## Councils and Commissions

- South Atlantic Fishery Management Council \| www.safmc.net
- Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission | www.asmfc.org


## GULF OF MEXICO

## Federal Agencies

- Southeast Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries \| www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/southeast-fisheries-sci-ence-center
- Southeast Regional Office, NOAA Fisheries | www.fisheries.noaa.gov/about/southeast-regional-office
- Southeast Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service \| www.fws.gov/southeast
- Southwest Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service \| www.fws.gov/southwest
- District 8, U.S. Coast Guard | www.atlanticarea.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/District-8/


## State Agencies

- Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission \| www.myfwc.com/
- Marine Resources Division, Alabama Department of Conservation and Natural Resources | www.outdooralabama.com
- Mississippi Department of Marine Resources | www.dmr.ms.gov/
- Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries \| www.wlf.louisiana.gov/
- Texas Parks and Wildlife Department | www.tpwd.texas.gov/


## Councils and Commissions

- Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council \|www.gulfcouncil.org
- Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission \| www.gsmfc.org


## PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

- North American Association of Fisheries Economists | https://naafe.oregonstate.edu/
- International Institute of Fisheries Economics and Trade | https://iifet.oregonstate.edu/


## OTHER ORGANIZATIONS AND INFORMATION

- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development \| www.oecd.org/
- Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations | www.fao.org/ fishery/capture/en
- Marine Stewardship Council \| www.msc.org


Angler ${ }^{1}$ - A person catching fish with no intent to sell, including people releasing the catch. Also known as a recreational fisherman.

Annual Payroll ${ }^{2}$ - Includes all forms of compensation such as salaries, wages, reported tips, commissions, bonuses, vacation allowances, sick-leave pay, employee contributions to qualified pension plans, and the value of taxable fringe benefits. For corporations, it includes amounts paid to officers and executives; for unincorporated businesses, it does not include profit or other compensation of proprietors or partners. Payroll is reported before deductions for Social Security, income tax, insurance, union dues, etc.

Annual Receipts ${ }^{3}$ - Includes gross receipts, sales, commissions, and income from trades and businesses, as reported on annual business income tax returns. Business income consists of all payments received for services rendered by non-employer businesses, such as payments received as independent agents and contractors. The composition of non-employer receipts may differ from receipts data published for employer establishments. For example, for wholesale agents and brokers without payroll (non-employers), the receipts item contains commissions or earnings. In contrast, for wholesale agents and brokers with payroll (employers), the sales and receipts item published in the Economic Census represents the value of the goods involved in the transactions.

Buyback Program - A management tool available to fishery managers intended to ease fishing-related pressure on marine resources. Fishing vessels are purchased by the government or by the fishing industry itself. Then they are removed from a specific fishery where fish stocks or stock complexes are considered overfished or subject to overfishing.

Bycatch ${ }^{1}$ - Species other than the primary target species that are caught incidental to the harvest of the primary species. Bycatch may be retained or discarded; discards may occur for regulatory or economic reasons.

Catch ${ }^{1}-1$. To undertake any activity that results in taking fish out of its environment dead or alive, or to bring fish on board a vessel dead or alive; 2. The total number (or weight) of fish caught by fishing operations. Catch should include all fish killed by the act of fishing, not just those landed; For this report, recreational catch refers to the total number of individual fish released (thrown back into the sea) and harvested (not thrown back into the sea) by recreational fishermen (anglers).

Catch Share Program ${ }^{4}$ - This is a generic term used to describe a fishery management program that allocates a specific portion of the total fishery catch to individuals, cooperatives, communities, or other entities, including sectors. The term encompasses more specific programs defined in legislation such as Limited Access Privilege Programs (LAPPs) and Individual Fishing Quotas (IFQs). Note that a catch share allocated to a sector is different from a general sectoral allocation or distribution to an entire segment of a fishery (such as a recreational sector allocation or a longline gear sector allocation). The two differ because the recipient of the catch share is responsible for terminating fishing activity when their specific share is reached.

Coastal County ${ }^{5}$ - Counties with borders that are within 25 miles of the coast are considered coastal. All counties in Rhode Island, Connecticut, Delaware, and Florida are considered coastal.

Coastal County Angler - For this report, a coastal county angler refers to a recreational fisherman who lives within a given state and within a coastal county of that state.

Commercial Fisheries - In this report, commercial fisheries refer to fishing operations that sell their catch for profit. The term does not include subsistence fishermen or saltwater anglers who fish for sport. It also excludes the for-hire sector, which earns its revenue from selling recreational fishing trips to saltwater anglers. The commercial fisheries section reports on economic impacts, landings revenue, landings, and ex-vessel prices of key species/species groups.

Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) ${ }^{6}$ - For this report, the CFLQ is calculated as the ratio of a state's distribution of employment in commercial fishing industries compared with the distribution of commercial fishing industries in the U.S. The CFLQ is calculated using the "Location Quotient Calculator" provided by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

Community Development Quota Program (CDQ) ${ }^{1}$ - A program in western Alaska under which a percentage of the total allowable catch (TAC) of Bering Sea commercial fisheries is allocated to specific communities. Communities eligible for this program must be located within 50 miles of the Bering Sea coast or on an island within the Bering Sea; meet criteria established by the State of Alaska; be a village certified by the Secretary of the Interior pursuant to the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act; and consist of residents who conduct more than half of their current commercial or subsistence fishing in the Bering Sea or waters surrounding the Aleutian Islands. Currently 7.5 percent of the TAC in the pollock, halibut, sablefish, crab and groundfish fisheries is allocated to the CDQ Program.

Dedicated Access Privileges (DAPs) ${ }^{7}$ - As defined by the U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy, a DAP program assigns an individual or other entity access to a predetermined portion of the annual catch in a particular fishery. In some cases, the privilege is transferable and may be bought and sold, creating a market. The term encompasses a range of tools, including access privileges assigned to individuals (that is, individual transferable quotas), and to groups or communities (for example, community development quotas, cooperatives, and area-based quotas). DAP is often synonymous with Limited Access Privilege Programs (see "Limited Access Privilege Program") and are sometimes referred to as rightsbased management. However, "rights-based management" implies granting an individual the "right" to fish. Apart from certain tribes, U.S. fishermen do not have inalienable rights to fish because the fishery resources of the U.S. belong to all people of the U.S. Under current law, fishermen are granted a "privilege" to fish, subject to certain conditions.

Discards ${ }^{1}$ - To release or return a fish or other species to the sea, dead or alive, whether or not such fish or other species are brought fully on board a fishing vessel. Estimates of discards can be made in a variety of ways, including samples from observers and logbook records. Fish (or parts of fish) can be discarded for a variety of reasons such as having physical damage, being a non-target species for the trip, and compliance with management regulations like minimum size limits or quotas.

Durable Equipment Expenditures or Durable Goods Expenditures ${ }^{8}$ - For this report, this term refers to expenses related to equipment used for recreational fishing activities. These expenses include the purchase of semi-durable goods (e.g., tackle, rods, reels, line); durable goods (e.g., motor boats and accessories, non-motorized boats, boating electronics, mooring, boat storage, boat insurance, vehicles, second homes); and angling accessories and multi-purpose items (e.g., magazines, club dues, saltwater angling-specific clothing, camping gear).

Ecolabel ${ }^{9}$ - In fisheries, ecolabelling schemes entitle a fishery product to bear a distinctive logo or statement that certifies that the fish has been harvested in compliance with specified conservation and sustainability standards. The logo or statement is intended to facilitate informed decisions by purchasers whose choices may promote and stimulate the sustainable use of fishery resources.

Economic Impact Model ${ }^{8,10,11}$ - Economic impact models capture how sales in a sector generate economic impacts directly in the sector in which the sale was made. The sales then ripple throughout the state and national economies as each dollar spent generates additional sales by other firms and consumers. The NOAA Fisheries Commercial Fishing \& Seafood Industry Input/Output Model uses an IMPLAN platform to estimate the economic impacts associated with the harvesting of fish by U.S. commercial fishermen and other major components of the U.S. seafood industry. As used here, the term fish refers to the entire range of finfish, shellfish, and other life (that is, sea urchins, seaweed, kelp and worms) from marine and freshwaters that are included in the landings data maintained by the National Marine Fisheries Service. The NOAA Fisheries Recreational Economic Impact Model, which also uses an IMPLAN platform, estimates the economic impacts generated by expenditures made by marine (saltwater) anglers.

Economic Impacts ${ }^{8,10,11}$ - For this report, the economic impacts of the commercial fishing sector and seafood industry refer to the employment (full-time and part-time jobs), personal income, and output (sales by U.S. businesses) generated by the commercial harvest sector and other major components of the U.S. seafood industry. These components include processors and dealers, wholesalers and distributors, grocers, and restaurants. Economic impacts of recreational fishing activities refer to the amount of sales generated, the number of jobs supported, labor income, and the contribution to gross domestic product (GDP) by state (also known as value-added impacts) from expenditures related to recreational fishing.

Effort - For this report, effort refers to the number of angler trips taken by recreational fishermen (anglers). An angler trip is defined as any part of a single day ( 24 hours) of marine recreational fishing.

Employee Compensation ${ }^{12}$ - This is related to gross domestic product (GDP) by state and is an estimate of the sum of employee wages and salaries and supplements to wages and salaries. Wages and salaries are measured on an accrual, or "when earned" basis, which may be different from the measure of wages and salaries measured on a disbursement, or "when paid" basis. Wages and salaries and supplements of federal military and civilian government employees stationed abroad are excluded from the measure of GDP by state.

Employer Establishments ${ }^{13}$ - Businesses with payroll and paid employees with a single physical location at which business is conducted or services or industrial operations are performed. An employee establishment is not necessarily identical to a company or enterprise, which may consist of one or more establishments. When two or more activities are carried on at a single location under a single ownership, all activities generally are grouped together as a single establishment. The entire establishment is classified on the basis of its major activity, and all data are included in that classification.

Employment Impacts - Employment is specified on the basis of full-time and part-time jobs supported directly or indirectly by the purchases made by anglers or by the commercial harvest and seafood sector economic activity. This impact is measured in the number of full and part-time jobs.

Endangered Species ${ }^{14}$ - As defined by the Endangered Species Act (ESA), an endangered species is any species which is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range. See also "Threatened Species."

Endangered Species Act (ESA) ${ }^{14}$ - The ESA was signed on December 28, 1973 and provides for the conservation of species that are endangered or threatened throughout all or a significant portion of their range, and the conservation of the ecosystems on which they depend. The ESA replaced the Endangered Species Conservation Act of 1969. Congress has amended the ESA several times.

Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) ${ }^{1}$ - The EEZ is the area that extends 200 nautical miles from the seaward boundary of the coastal states. The seaward boundary for most states is 3 nautical miles with the exceptions of Texas, Puerto Rico, and the Gulf Coast of Florida, which is 9 nautical miles. The U.S. claims and exercises sovereign rights and exclusive fishery management authority over all fish and continental shelf resources through this 200-nautical-mile boundary.

Expenditures ${ }^{8,11}$ - For this report, expenditures are related to recreational fishing activities and described as being one of two types: 1) expenditures related to a specific fishing trip; or 2 ) durable equipment expenditures.

Fish Stock ${ }^{1}$ - A fish stock refers to the living resources in the community or population from which catches are taken in a fishery. The term "fish stock" usually implies that the particular population is more or less isolated from other stocks of the same species and hence self-sustaining. In a particular fishery, the fish stock may be one or several species of fish. Here, it also includes commercial invertebrates and plants.

Fishery Management Council (FMC) or Regional Fishery Management Council ${ }^{15}$ - A regional fisheries management body established by the Magnuson-Stevens Act to manage fishery resources in eight designated regions of the United States.

Fishery Management Plan (FMP) ${ }^{15}-1$. A document prepared under supervision of the appropriate fishery management council (FMC) for the management of stocks of fish judged to require management. The plan generally must be formally approved. An FMP includes data, analyses, and management measures; 2. A plan containing conservation and management measures for fishery resources, and other provisions required by the Magnuson-Stevens Act, developed by fishery management councils or the Secretary of Commerce.

Fishing Cooperatives ${ }^{15}$ - A market-based fisheries management tool where access to fisheries resources is limited to a specific group of fishermen. See also "Catch Share Program."

Fishing Day - For this report, a fishing day refers to a partial or full day spent in recreational fishing. This term is used in the Alaska recreational fishing tables.

Fishing Effort ${ }^{1}$ - The amount of fishing gear of a specific type used on the fishing grounds over a given unit of time. For example, hours trawled per day, number of hooks set per day, or number of hauls of a beach seine per day. When two or more kinds of gear are used, the respective efforts must be adjusted to some standard type before being added. For recreational fishing activities, fishing effort refers to the number of fishing trips made by recreational anglers.

Fishing Mode - For this report, fishing mode refers to the type of recreational fishing a recreational fisherman (angler) engages in, such as fishing from shore, a private or rental boat, or a for-hire boat.

Fishing Trip - For this report, a fishing trip is defined as an angler trip. An angler trip is defined as any part of a single day ( 24 hours) of marine recreational fishing. Fishing trips are classified as occurring in one of three fishing modes: 1) a shore-based fishing trip; 2) by a private or rental boat; or 3) on a for-hire fishing boat.

For-Hire Mode - For this report, this fishing mode refers to trips taken by recreational fishermen (anglers) on a party (also referred to as a head boat) or charter boat. In the Gulf and South Atlantic, for-hire mode does not include head boats.

Gross Domestic Product (GDP) by State or Gross State Product (GSP) ${ }^{12}$ - Previously known as the Gross State Product, the GDP by state is the value added in production by the labor and capital located in a state. GDP for a state is derived as the sum of the GDP originating in all industries in the state.

Harvest ${ }^{1}$ - The total number or weight of fish caught and kept from an area over a period of time. Note that landings, catch, and harvest are different. However, in Hawai`i and the Gulf states, recreational harvest includes fish thrown back dead. See also "Catch" and "Release."

Income Impacts ${ }^{8,10,11}$ - Income impacts include personal income (wages and salaries) and proprietors' income (income from self-employment).

Individual Fishing Quota (IFQ) ${ }^{1}$ - A type of limited entry; an allocation to an individual (a person or a legal entity, for example, a vessel owner or company) of a right (privilege) to harvest a certain amount of fish in a certain period of time. It is also often expressed as an individual share of an aggregate quota, or total allowable catch (TAC). See also "Individual Transferable Quota" and "Catch Share Program."

Individual Transferable Quota (ITQ) ${ }^{1}$ - A type of individual fishing quota (IFQ) allocated to individual fishermen or vessel owners that can be transferred (sold or leased) to others. See also "Individual Fishing Quota."

Industry Sector - For this report, fishing- and marine-related industries were combined into industry sectors. Two industry sectors were included in this report: 1) seafood sales and processing; and 2) transport, support, and marine operations. Fishing and marine-related industries were chosen from the County Business Patterns Data Series based on data availability and perceived relevance to fishing or marine activities. These industries were then combined into one of these two industry sectors.

Key Species or Species Groups - For this report, up to 10 species or species groups were chosen as "key" species or species groups due to their regional importance to commercial and recreational fisheries. The regional importance of these key species or species groups was chosen based on their economic and/or historical or cultural significance to a state or region.

Landing Revenues - The dollar value of commercial fisheries landings.

Landings ${ }^{1}-1$. The number or poundage of fish unloaded by commercial fishermen or brought to shore by recreational fishermen for personal use. Landings are reported at the locations at which fish are brought to shore; 2. The part of the catch that is selected and kept during the sorting procedures on board vessels and successively discharged at dockside.

License Limitation Program or Limited Entry Program ${ }^{1}$ - A management tool available to fishery managers where the number of commercial fishermen or vessels licensed to participate in a fishery is legally restricted. A management agency often uses this management tool to limit entry into a fishery.

Limited Access Privilege Program (LAPP) or Limited Access Privilege System ${ }^{15}$ - As defined in the Magnuson-Stevens Act, LAPPs limit participation in a fishery to those satisfying certain eligibility criteria or requirements contained in a fishery management plan (FMP) or associated regulation. A limited access privilege is a federal permit, issued as part of a limited access system, to harvest a quantity of fish expressed by a unit or units representing a portion of the total allowable catch (TAC) of the fishery that may be received or held for exclusive use by a person. A LAPP includes an individual fishing quota (IFQ) or individual tradable quota (ITQ) but does not include community development quotas (CDQs). LAPPs are sometimes known as Dedicated Access Privileges (DAPs). However, unlike LAPPs, DAPs generally encompass CDQs as well as IFQs (see "Dedicated Access Privileges"). LAPPs are a type of catch share program. See also "Catch Share Program."

Limited Entry Program - Also known as a license limitation program; see "License Limitation Program."

Location Quotient ${ }^{6}$ - Location Quotients (LQs) are ratios that allow an area's distribution of employment by industry to be compared to a reference or base area's distribution. The reference area is usually the U.S., but it can also be a state or metropolitan area. The reference or base industry is usually the all-industry total. LQs also allow areas to be easily compared with each other. If an $L Q$ is equal to 1 , then the industry has the same share of its area employment as it does in the reference area. An LQ greater than 1 indicates an industry with a greater share of the local area employment than in the reference area.

For example (assuming the U.S. as the reference area), Las Vegas will have an LQ greater than 1 in the Leisure and Hospitality industry, because this industry makes up a larger share of the Las Vegas employment total than it does for the country as a whole. LQs are calculated by first dividing local industry employment by the all-industry total of local employment. Next, reference area industry employment is divided by the all-industry total for the reference area. Finally, the local ratio is divided by the reference area ratio.

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act or Magnuson-Stevens Act (MSA) ${ }^{1}$ - Federal legislation responsible for establishing the Regional Fishery Management Councils (FMCs) and the mandatory and discretionary guidelines for federal fishery management plans (FMPs). This legislation was originally enacted in 1976 as the Fishery Management and Conservation Act. Its name was changed to the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act in 1980, and in 1996 it was renamed the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act.

Market-based Management ${ }^{15}$ - Market-based management is an umbrella term that encompasses approaches that provide economic incentives to protect fisheries from overharvest. These approaches contrast with conventional fisheries management approaches, such as buyback programs and license limitation programs (see "Buyback Program" and "License Limitation Program"). One example of a market-based management approach for fisheries is a limited access privilege program (LAPP; see "Limited Access Privilege Program") that includes an individual fishing quota. A LAPP provides individual fishermen an exclusive, market-based share of a harvest quota or total allowable catch (TAC) of a fishery.

Marine Coastal County - For this report, a marine coastal county is a coastal county that is adjacent to an ocean coastline. See also "Coastal County."

Marine Economy - For this report, the marine economy refers to the economic activity generated by fishing- and marine-related industries located in a coastal state. Fishing- and marine-related industries were chosen from industries defined in the County Business Patterns Data Series provided by the U.S. Census Bureau. Industries listed in this report were chosen based on that industry's direct contribution to fishing and marine activities, and whether data were available for that industry. Information such as the number of establishments, number of employees, and annual payroll for these fishing and marine-related industries was used to determine their relative levels of economic activity in a state. These industries were categorized into one of two industry sectors: 1) seafood sales and processing; and 2) transport, support, and marine operations. See also "Industry Sector."

Non-Coastal County Angler - For this report, a non-coastal county angler refers to a recreational fisherman who lives within a given state but not in a coastal county of that state.

Non-Employer Firms ${ }^{3}$ - A non-employer business is one that has no paid employees, has annual business receipts of $\$ 1,000$ or more ( $\$ 1$ or more in the construction industries), and is subject to federal income taxes. Most non-employers are self-employed individuals operating very small unincorporated businesses that may or may not be the owner's principal source of income.

Non-Resident Angler - For this report, a non-resident in the U.S. table refers to a recreational fisherman (angler) who resides outside the U.S.; a non-resident in the regional and state tables refers to an angler who did not reside in the state where they fished.

Out-of-State Angler - For this report, an out-of-state angler is a recreational fisherman (angler) who does not reside within a given coastal state.

Overcapacity ${ }^{16}$ - When the harvesting capability within a given fishery exceeds the level of harvest allowed for that fishery.

Overcapitalization ${ }^{9}$ - When the amount of harvesting capacity in a fishery exceeds the amount needed to harvest the desired amount of fish at least cost.

Overfished ${ }^{1}-1$. An overfished stock or stock complex "whose size is sufficiently small that a change in management practices is required to achieve an appropriate level and rate of rebuilding." A stock or stock complex is considered overfished when its population size falls below the minimum stock size threshold (MSST). A rebuilding plan is required for stocks that are deemed overfished; 2. A stock is considered overfished when exploited beyond an explicit limit past which its abundance is considered "too low" to ensure safe reproduction. In many fisheries, the term is used when biomass has been estimated to be below a biological reference point that is used as the signpost defining an "overfished condition."

Overfishing ${ }^{1}-1$. According to the National Standard Guidelines, "overfishing occurs whenever a stock or stock complex is subjected to a rate or level of fishing mortality that jeopardizes the capacity of a stock or stock complex to produce maximum sustainable yield (MSY) on a continuing basis." Overfishing is occurring if the maximum fishing mortality threshold (MFMT) is exceeded for 1 year or more; 2. In general, the action of exerting fishing pressure (fishing intensity) beyond the agreed optimum level. A reduction of fishing pressure would, in the medium term, lead to an increase in the total catch.

Protected Species ${ }^{17}$ - Refers to any species that is protected by either the Endangered Species Act (ESA) or the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), and that is under the jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries. This total includes all threatened, endangered, and candidate species, as well as all cetaceans and pinnipeds, excluding walruses.

Recreational Fisheries - Recreational fishing refers to fishing for leisure rather than to sell fish (commercial fishing) or for subsistence. The economic contributions or impacts of recreational fishing activities in the United States is based on spending by recreational anglers.

Regional Fishery Management Council or Fishery Management Council (FMC) ${ }^{15}$ - The Magnuson-Stevens Act established eight Regional FMCs around the United States. Each council consists of voting and non-voting members who represent various federal, state, and tribal governments; fishing industry groups (commercial and/or recreational); and non-fishing groups (such as environmental organizations and academic institutions). Each council is tasked with creating fishery management plans for important fisheries within their regions.

Release - For this report, release refers to the number of individual fish caught by a recreational fisherman (angler) that are then returned to the sea (dead or alive). In Hawai`i and the Atlantic and Gulf states, release does not include fish returned to the sea that are dead. See also "Catch" and "Harvest."

Resident - For this report, a resident in the U.S. table refers to a recreational fisherman (angler) who resides inside the U.S.; a resident in the regional and state tables refers to an angler who resides in the state where they fished.

Sales Impacts ${ }^{8,10,11}$ - Sales impacts refer to the gross value of all sales by regional businesses affected by an activity, such as recreational or commercial fishing. For example, it includes both the direct sales made by the angler (commercial fisherman) and sales made between businesses and households resulting from that original sale by the angler (commercial fisherman).

Sector Allocation Program ${ }^{17}$ - A fisheries management tool where a group of fishermen are allocated a quota or share of a total allowable catch (TAC), in accordance with an approved plan. This program is considered a type of catch share program. See also "Catch Share Program."

Species ${ }^{1}$ - A group of animals or plants having common characteristics that are able to breed together to produce fertile (capable of reproducing) offspring and maintain their "separateness" from other groups.

Species Group ${ }^{1}$ - Group of species considered together because they are difficult to differentiate without detailed examination (very similar species), or because data for the separate species are not available (for example, in fishery statistics or commercial categories).

Threatened Species ${ }^{14}$ - As defined by the Endangered Species Act (ESA), a threatened species is any species that is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range. See also "Endangered Species."

Total Annual Durable Expenditures - Total annual durable expenditures were estimated by multiplying mean durable expenditures by the estimated annual number of adult participants at the state level or the national level and adjusted by the Consumer Price Index to the current year.

Total Annual Trip Expenditures - Total annual trip expenditures are estimated at the state level by multiplying mean trip expenditures by the estimated number of adult trips in each trip mode (for-hire, private boat, and shore) and adjusted by the Consumer Price Index to the current year. The trip expenditures at the national level is the sum of state trip expenditures in each mode.

Trip Expenditures - For this report, trip expenditures refer to expenses incurred by recreational fishermen (anglers) on a fishing trip. Trip expenditures include expenditures made by residents (individuals who reside in a coastal or non-coastal county within a given state; a U.S. resident) and non-residents (individuals who do not reside within the United States).

Value-Added Impacts ${ }^{8,10,11}$ - Value-Added impacts refer to the contribution made to the gross domestic product in a region from commercial fishing landings and recreational fishing expenditures.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For full definitions, see the Glossary at the back of this publication.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Fishery management plans and fishery ecosystem plans for each region covered in this report are listed in their respective sections. The four FMPs developed by the Caribbean Fishery Management Council and the Atlantic Highly Migratory Species FMP developed by NOAA Fisheries are not included in developed by the Caribbean Fishery Management Council and the Atlantic Highly Migratory Species FMP developed by NOAA Fisheries are not included ${ }_{2}$ this report.
    ${ }^{2}$ Source: NOAA Fisheries Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Status of Stocks 2017. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/2017-report-congress-status-us-fisheries.
    ${ }^{3}$ See https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/topic/international-affairs. Source: http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/ia/agreements/regional_agreements/intlagree.html.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ See NOAA Fisheries Office of Protected Resources's Endangered Species Conservation website (https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/topic/endangered-
    species-conservation\#conservation-and-management) for current and proposed ESA species listings.
    ${ }_{5}^{5}$ The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service protects walrus, manatees, otters, and polar bears.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ The Habitat Assessment Improvement Plan Update is available at: https://spo.nmfs.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/TMSPO181_0.pdf.
    ${ }^{7}$ See https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/laws-and-policies/catch-shares.
    ${ }^{8}$ See Section 303A of the Magnuson-Stevens Act for more information on LAPP requirements.

[^4]:    ${ }^{9}$ From 1996 to 2002 in the West Pacific, there was a congressional moratorium on the establishment of new IFQ programs. There are no catch share programs in the Caribbean.
    ${ }^{10}$ In 2007, Congress reauthorized the Magnuson-Stevens Act, Section 303A with provisions for limited access privilege programs
    ${ }^{11}$ See https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/laws-and-policies/catch-shares.

[^5]:    ${ }^{12}$ The South Atlantic Wreckfish ITQ program and Aleutian Island Pollock Fishery are not included due to confidentiality restrictions. The Western Alaska CDQ program was excluded because CDQs are fundamentally different from the other programs. In addition, note that some programs did not have a catch quota prior to the catch share program. For these programs, "-" indicates that the question of whether the ACL was exceeded is not applicable. All values have been adjusted by the GDP deflator for 2013. BSAI Crab data for 2016/2017.

[^6]:    ${ }^{13}$ Marine Stewardship Council Certifications as of December 31, 2017. For more information about these fisheries and the Marine Stewardship Council certification process, see https://www.msc.org/. The Gulf of Maine Lobster fishery certification was suspended in 2020.

[^7]:    ${ }^{14}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool (Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-interactive-tool).
    ${ }_{15}$ The NMFS Commercial Fishing Industry Input/Output Model was used to generate the impact estimates (Available at: http://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/ documents/commercial_seafood_impacts_2007-2009.pdf).

[^8]:    ${ }^{16}$ Atlantic and Gulf recreational catch and effort estimates are based upon the MRIP estimates released in 2018.
    ${ }^{17}$ See data sources section for more information about where each region or state's data comes from.

[^9]:    ${ }^{18}$ Atlantic Regions refer to those states within New England, Mid-Atlantic, South Atlantic, and the Gulf of Mexico.
    ${ }^{19}$ Trip expenditure estimates were generated from the 2016/2017 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2020). Durable goods expenditures were generated from the 2014 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2016). (For citations: Publications-U.S. Coastal and Marine Recreation.)
    ${ }^{20}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. (Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-interactive-tool.)

[^10]:    ${ }^{21}$ Atlantic and Gulf recreational catch and effort estimates are based upon the MRIP estimates released in 2018.

[^11]:    ${ }^{22}$ Unless otherwise stated, data are from the U.S. Census Bureau (For more information: www.census.gov).

[^12]:    ${ }^{23}$ U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, 'Table 1.1.5 Gross Domestic Product' and 'Table SA6N Compensation of Employees by NAICS Industry (Available at: https://apps.bea.gov/regional/histdata/releases/0518gdpstate/).
    ${ }_{24}$ U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 'Location Quotient Calculator' (For more information: https://www.bls.gov/cew/about-data/location-quotientsexplained.htm).

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Pacific Region includes landings by Pacific at-sea processors. However, revenue from these landings are not included in the California, Oregon, and Washington information presented.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ All anglers reported in this table are U.S. residents
    ${ }^{2}$ Connecticut, Delaware, Rhode Island, West Florida, and East Florida anglers estimates are not available for the non-coastal mode. Hawai'i and Texas anglers estimates are not available by mode.
    ${ }^{3}$ Effort for 2014-2017 is estimated using data from a state creel survey and does not capture shore-based effort separately from private boat effort.
    ${ }^{4}$ Hawai'i trip estimates are not available for the for-hire mode. Texas trip estimates are not available for the shore mode.
    ${ }^{5}$ Atlantic Regions refer to those states within New England, Mid-Atlantic, South Atlantic, and the Gulf of Mexico.
    ${ }^{6}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{7}$ Salmon harvest estimates exclude release mortality.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.

[^16]:     cific-halibut-fisheries-catch-sharing-plan [accessed on July 7, 2021]
    2 "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone off Alaska; Modifications to Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements." Federal Register. Available at
     ing-and-reporting\#p-1 [accessed July 7, 2021]
    
     lands-crab\#p-1 [accessed July 7, 2021]

[^17]:    4 "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Allow the Use of Longline Pot Gear in the Gulf of Alaska Sablefish Individual Fishing Quota Fishery; Amendment 101." Federal Register. Available, at https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2016/12/28/2016-31057/fisheries-of-the-exclu-sive-economic-zone-off-alaska-allow-the-use-of-longline-pot-gear-in-the-gulf\#p-1 [accessed July 7, 2021]
    5 "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Prohibited Species Donation Program." Federal Register. Available at https://www.federalregis-ter.gov/documents/2017/06/14/2017-12313/fisheries-of-the-exclusive-economic-zone-off-alaska-prohibited-species-donation-program [accessed July 7, 2021]
    ${ }^{6}$ "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Integrating Electronic Monitoring Into the North Pacific Observer Program." Federal Register. Available at https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2017/08/08/2017-16703/fisheries-of-the-exclusive-economic-zone-off-alaska-integrat-
    ing-electronic-monitoring-into-the-north\#p-1 [accessed July 7, 2021]
    7 "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Bering'Sea and Aleutian Islands; 2017 and 2018 Harvest Specifications for Groundfish." Federal Register. Available at https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2017/02/27/2017-03698/fisheries-of-the-exclusive-economic-zone-off-alaska-bering-sea-and-aleutian-islands-2017-and-2018 [accessed July 7, 2021]
    8 https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/alaska/sustainable-fisheries/2017-2018-alaska-groundfish-harvest-specifications
    9 "Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area; American Fisheries Act; Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Crab Rationalization Program." Federal Register. Available at https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2017/11/09/2017-24403/ fisheries-of-the-exclusive-economic-zone-off-alaska-bering-sea-and-aleutian-islands-management-area\#p-1 [accessed July 7, 2021]

[^18]:    ${ }^{10}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. [Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-inter-
    active-tool.]
    ${ }_{11}$ The NMFS Commercial Fishing Industry Input/Output Model was used to generate the impact estimates. [Available at: https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/ documents/commercial_seafood_impacts_2007-2009.pdf.]

[^19]:    ${ }^{12}$ Information reported in this table is from the Sport Fish Division of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) for saltwater fishing activities. ${ }^{13}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{14}$ Trip expenditure estimates were generated from the 2016/2017 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2020). Durable goods expenditures were generated from the 2014 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2016). (For citations: Publica-tions-U.S. Coastal and Marine Recreation.)
    ${ }^{15}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. (Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-inter-active-tool.)

[^20]:    ${ }^{16}$ Crozier, L. 2016. Impacts of Climate Change on Salmon of the Pacific Northwest: A review of the scientific literature published in 2015. Northwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, Seattle, Washington. 32 p. [Available at https://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/as-sets/4/9042_02102017_105951_Crozier.2016-BIOP-Lit-Rev-Salmon-Climate-Effects-2015.pdf].
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    ${ }_{15}{ }^{15}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. [Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-interactive-tool.]
    ${ }^{16}$ The NMFS Commercial Fishing Industry Input/Output Model was used to generate the impact estimates. [Available at: https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/ documents/commercial_seafood_impacts_2007-2009.pdf.]

[^28]:    ${ }^{17}$ See NOAA Fisheries US Trade in Fishery Products web query tool; data accessed January 15, 2021.
    ${ }^{18} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{wdfw} . w a . g o v /$ sites/default/files/2019-02/newsletter_2018.pdf and https://today.oregonstate.edu/news/scientists-oregon-dodges-\%E2\%80\%98dead-zone\%E2\%80\%99-bullet-2017-hypoxia-season-similar-wildfire

[^29]:    ${ }^{19}$ Pacific recreational catch and effort estimates are based on multiple data sources. See data sources section.

[^30]:    ${ }^{20}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{21}$ Trip expenditure estimates were generated from the 2016/2017 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2020). Durable goods expenditures were generated from the 2014 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2016). (For citations: Publications-U.S. Coastal and Marine Recreation.)
    ${ }^{22}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. (Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-interactive-tool.)

[^31]:    ${ }^{23}$ Unless otherwise stated, data are from the U.S. Census Bureau. [For more information: www.census.gov.]
    ${ }^{24}$ U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, 'Table 1.1.5 Gross Domestic Product' and 'Table SA6N Compensation of Employees by NAICS Industry. [Available at: https://apps.bea.gov/regional/histdata/releases/0518gdpstate/.]
    ${ }^{25}$ Percentage changes in inflation adjusted (real dollar) terms are calculated using the annual Gross Domestic Product implicit price deflator published by
    the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis and the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. [Available at: https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/GDPDEF.]
    ${ }^{26}$ U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 'Location Quotient Calculator.' [For more information: https://www.bls.gov/cew/about-data/location-quotients-explained.htm.]

[^32]:    ${ }^{27}$ The Census Bureau suppressed number of employees and payroll data for this sector in one or more states in the this region in either 2016 or 2008 ,

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Pacific Region includes landings by Pacific at-sea processors. However, revenue from these landings are not included in the state tables.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{2}$ In this table, '<1' = 0-999 fish, and '1' = 1,000-1,499 fish.
    ${ }^{3}$ 'NA' = not available.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{NA}=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^36]:    Pacific recreational catch and effort estimates are based on multiple data sources. See data sources section.
    ${ }^{2}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{3}$ In this table, '<1' = 0-999 fish, and ' 1 ' = $1,000-1,499$ fish.
    ${ }^{4}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NA}^{\prime}=$ not available.
    ${ }^{5}$ This species may not be equivalent to species with similar names listed in the commercial tables.
    ${ }^{6}$ Salmon include Chinook salmon and coho salmon.
    ${ }^{7}$ Salmon harvest estimates exclude release mortality.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.

[^38]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{NA}=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^39]:    ${ }_{1}^{1}$ Pacific recreational catch and effort estimates are based on multiple data sources. See data sources section.
    ${ }^{2}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{3}$ In this table, '<1' = 0-999 fish, and '1' = 1,000-1,499 fish.
    ${ }^{4}$ 'NA' $=$ not available.
    ${ }^{5}$ This species may not be equivalent to species with similar names listed in the commercial tables.
    ${ }^{6}$ Salmon include Chinook salmon and coho salmon.
    ${ }^{7}$ Salmon harvest estimates exclude release mortality.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1 . A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{ds}=$ Data are suppressed.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pacific recreational catch and effort estimates are based on multiple data sources. See data sources section.
    ${ }^{2}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{3}$ In this table, '<1' = 0-999 fish, and '1' = 1,000-1,499 fish.
    ${ }^{4}$ 'NA' $=$ not available.
    ${ }^{5}$ This species may not be equivalent to species with similar names listed in the commercial tables.
    ${ }^{6}$ Salmon include Chinook salmon and coho salmon.
    ${ }^{7}$ Salmon harvest estimates exclude release mortality.
    ${ }^{8}$ Sturgeon harvest data is not available for some years.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ ds $=$ Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{2}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ This stock is fished by U.S. and international fleets.
    ${ }^{2}$ The geographic boundary of this stock extends from Mexico south and west to the Palmyra Atoll.

[^44]:    ${ }^{3}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. [Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-interactive-tool.]
    ${ }_{4}^{4}$ The NMFS Commercial Fishing Industry Input/Output Model was used to generate the impact estimates. [Available at: http://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/ documents/commercial_seafood_impacts_2007-2009.pdf.]

[^45]:    ${ }^{5}$ For a definition of non-commercial fishing see the electronic code of federal regulations. (Available at: https://gov.ecfr.io/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=3a2527021 $8 \mathrm{fea} 2849201 \mathrm{cc} 659 \mathrm{f7} 7167 \mathrm{f} \& \mathrm{mc}=$ true\&node=se50.13.665_112\&rgn=div8.).
    ${ }_{7}^{6}$ Data for this state is from MRIP estimates produced using pre-calibration methods.
    7 Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{8}$ Trip expenditure estimates were generated from the 2016/2017 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2020). Durable goods expenditures were generated from the 2014 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2016). (For citations: Publications-U.S. Coastal and Marine Recreation.)

[^46]:    ${ }^{9}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. (Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-interactive-tool.)

[^47]:    ${ }_{10}^{10}$ Unless otherwise stated, data are from the U.S. Census Bureau. [For more information: www.census.gov.]
    ${ }^{11}$ U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, 'Table 1.1.5 Gross Domestic Product' and 'Table SA6N Compensation of Employees by NAICS Industry. [Available at: https://apps.bea.gov/regional/histdata/releases/0518gdpstate/.]
    ${ }^{12}$ Percentage changes in inflation adjusted (real dollar) terms are calculated using the annual Gross Domestic Product implicit price deflator published by
    the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis and the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. [Available at: https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/GDPDEF.]
    ${ }^{13}$ U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 'Location Quotient Calculator.' [For more information: https://www.bls.gov/cew/about-data/location-quotients-explained.htm.]

[^48]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{NA}=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ NA $=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.
    ${ }^{2}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{3}$ In this table, ' $<1$ ' $=0-999$ fish, and ' 1 ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }_{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.

[^51]:    1 "Fishery Management Plans." New England Fishery Management Council. Available at https://www.nefmc.org/management-plans [accessed April 1, 2020].

[^52]:    ${ }^{2}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. [Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-interactive-tool.]
    ${ }^{3}$ The NMFS Commercial Fishing Industry Input/Output Model was used to generate the impact estimates. [Available at: http://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/ documents/commercial_seafood_impacts_2007-2009.pdf.]

[^53]:    ${ }^{4}$ Atlantic and Gulf recreational catch and effort estimates are based upon the MRIP estimates released in 2018.

[^54]:    ${ }^{5}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    6 Trip expenditure estimates were generated from the 2016/2017 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2020). Durable goods expenditures were generated from the 2014 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2016). (For citations: Publications-U.S. Coastal and Marine Recreation.)
    ${ }^{7}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. (Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-interactive-tool.)

[^55]:    ${ }^{8}$ Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 2014. Addendum IV to Amendment 6 to the Atlantic Striped Bass Interstate Fishery Management Plan.
    20. [Available at http://www.asmfc.org/uploads/file/54d2aa96AtIStripedBassAddendumIV_Oct2014.pdf].

    9 Appelman, M., C. Godwin, W. Laney, G. Shepherd, and D. Orner. 2016. 2016 Review of the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission Fishery Management Plan for Atlantic Striped Bass. Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 37. [Available at http://www.asmfc.org/uploads/ file/57b22f6dsbfmpreview2016.pdf].
    ${ }^{10}$ Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC). 2019. 66th Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop (66th SAW) Assessment Summary Report. Woods Hole, MA. Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document 19-01. 40. [Available at http://www.asmfc.org/uploads/ file/5d0d2c882019SFlounderBenchmarkAssmtSummary_SAW_SARC.pdf].
    ${ }^{11}$ Unless otherwise stated, data are from the U.S. Census Bureau. [For more information: www.census.gov.]
    ${ }^{12}$ U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, 'Table 1.1.5 Gross Domestic Product' and 'Table SA6N Compensation of Employees by NAICS Industry.' [Available at: https://apps.bea.gov/regional/histdata/releases/0518gdpstate/.]
    ${ }_{13}$ Percentage changes in inflation adjusted (real dollar) terms are calculated using the annual Gross Domestic Product implicit price deflator published by
    the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis and the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. [Available at: https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/GDPDEF.]
    ${ }^{14}$ U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 'Location Quotient Calculator.' [For more information: https://www.bls.gov/cew/about-data/location-quotientsexplained.htm.]

[^56]:    15 The Census Bureau suppressed number of firms and receipt data for this sector in one or more states in the this region in either 2016 or 2008 , and thus cannot be compared.
    ${ }^{16}$ Connecticut data for the ship and boat building sector is suppressed.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ Connecticut and Rhode Island anglers estimates are not available for the non-coastal mode.
    ${ }^{2}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{3}$ In this table, ' $<1$ ' $=0-999$ fish, and ' 1 ' = 1,000-1,499 fish.

[^58]:    'NA' = not available.
    ${ }^{2}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{3}$ In this table, ' $<1^{\prime}=0-999$ fish, and ${ }^{\prime} 1$ ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{ds}=$ Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{2}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{NA}=$ Not applicable.

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{2}$ In this table, ' $<1$ ' $=0-999$ fish, and ' 1 ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish.
    $3^{\prime}$ 'NA' $=$ not available.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NA}^{\prime}=$ not available.

[^62]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{NA}=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{2}$ In this table, '<1' = 0-999 fish, and ' 1 ' = 1,000-1,499 fish.

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ ds = Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{2}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    $3^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A^{\prime}=$ not available.

[^65]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{NA}=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{2}$ In this table, ' $<1$ ' $=0-999$ fish, and ' 1 ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish.
    $3^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NA}^{\prime}=$ not available.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ ds = Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{2}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{NA}=$ Not applicable.

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ ' NA ' = Non-coastal data are not available because all of the state's residents are considered coastal county residents.
    ${ }^{2}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{3}$ In this table, ' $<1$ ' $=0-999$ fish, and ' 1 ' = 1,000-1, 499 fish.
    $4^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NA}^{\prime}=$ not available.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds $=$ Data are suppressed.

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ For additional information on this amendment, see https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/action/mid-atlantic-unmanaged-forage-omnibus-amendment.

[^71]:    ${ }^{2}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. [Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-unit-ed-states-interactive-tool.]
    ${ }_{3}$ The NMFS Commercial Fishing Industry Input/Output Model was used to generate the impact estimates. [Available at: http://www.st.nmfs.noaa. gov/documents/commercial_seafood_impacts_2007-2009.]

[^72]:    ${ }^{4}$ NOAA/NWS/National Data Buoy Center. 2019. "National Data Buoy Center". Stennis Space Center, MS. [Available at https://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/. (Accessed October 17, 2019)].

[^73]:    ${ }^{5}$ Atlantic and Gulf recreational catch and effort estimates are based upon the MRIP estimates released in 2018.
    ${ }^{6}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    7 Trip expenditure estimates were generated from the 2016/2017 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2020). Durable goods expenditures were generated from the 2014 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2016). (For citations: Publica-tions-U.S. Coastal and Marine Recreation.)
    ${ }^{8}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. [Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-in-teractive-tool.]

[^74]:    ${ }^{9}$ Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 2014. Press Release: ASMFC Winter Flounder Board Sets 2014 Recreational Measures for Southern New England/Mid-Atlantic Stock. Arlington, VA. Institution. PR14-02. 1 p. [Available at http://www.asmfc.org/uploads/file/52f39d2fpr02WinterFlounder2014RecSpecs.pdf].
    ${ }_{10}$ Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 2015. Press Release: ASMFC Winter Flounder Board Sets 2015 Commercial and Recreational Measures for Inshore Stocks. Arlington, VA. Institution. PR15-04. 1 p. [Available at http://www.asmfc.org/uploads/file/54d2a90fpr04WinterFlounder2015Specs.pdf].
    ${ }^{11}$ Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 2016. Addendum XXVIII to the Summer Flounder, Scup, Black Sea Bass. 22 p. [Available at http:// www.asmfc.org/uploads/file/56d77016SFlounder_BSB_AddendumXXVII_Feb2016.pdf].
    ${ }^{12}$ Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 2 $\overline{0} 17$. Press Release: ASMFC \& MAFMC Modify Scup Specifications for 2018 and 2019 . Arlington, VA. Institution. PR17-36. 2 p. [Available at http://www.asmfc.org/uploads/file/598e05b4pr36RevisedScupSpecs.pdf].

[^75]:    ${ }^{13}$ Unless otherwise stated, data are from the U.S. Census Bureau. [For more information: https://www.census.gov.]
    ${ }^{14}$ U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, 'Table 1.1.5 Gross Domestic Product' and 'Table SA6N Compensation of Employees by NAICS Industry. [Available at: https://apps.bea.gov/regional/histdata/releases/0518gdpstate/.]
    ${ }_{15}$ Percentage changes in inflation adjusted (real dollar) terms are calculated using the annual Gross Domestic Product implicit price deflator published by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis and the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. [Available at: https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/GDPDEF.] ${ }^{16}$ U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 'Location Quotient Calculator.' [For more information: https://www.bls.gov/cew/about-data/location-quotients-explained.htm.]
    ${ }_{17}$ The Census Bureau suppressed number of employees and payroll data for this sector in one or more states in the this region in either 2016 or 2008, and thus cannot be compared.
    ${ }^{18}$ The Census Bureau suppressed number of employees data for this sector in one or more states in the this region in either 2016 or 2008 , and thus cannot be compared.

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ Delaware anglers estimates are not available for the non-coastal mode.
    ${ }^{2}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.

[^77]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{NA}=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^78]:    'NA' = Non-coastal data are not available because all of the state's residents are considered coastal county residents.
    ${ }^{2}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{3}$ In this table, ${ }^{\prime}<1^{\prime}=0-999$ fish, and ' 1 ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish.
    ${ }^{4}{ }^{\prime} N A^{\prime}=$ not available.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ ds = Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{2}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{NA}=$ Not available.

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{2}$ In this table, '<1' $=0-999$ fish, and ${ }^{\prime} 1$ ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{NA}=$ not available.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{NA}=$ not available.

[^82]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{NA}=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{2}$ In this table, ' $<1$ ' $=0-999$ fish, and ' 1 ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish.

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1 . A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{3}$ NA $=$ not available.

[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{2}$ In this table, $'<1^{\prime}=0-999$ fish, and $' 1$ ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish
    ${ }^{3}$ NA $=$ not available.
    ${ }^{4}$ This species may not be equivalent to species with similar names listed in the commercial tables.

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.

[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{2}$ In this table, ' $<1$ ' $=0-999$ fish, and ' 1 ' = 1,000-1,499 fish.

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.

[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ Stock status based on 2015 landings data compared to the overfishing limit. The 2017 blueline tilefish stock assessment is currently under evaluation by NOAA Fisheries.
    2 https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2016/12/29/2016-31363/fisheries-of-the-caribbean-gulf-of-mexico-and-south-atlantic-snapper-grouper-
    fishery-off-the
    ${ }^{3}$ A technical correction to Amendment 16 was issued in February 2017 to correctly note that the commercial trip limit provision was a year-round restriction. See https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2017/02/21/2017-03291/snapper-grouper-fishery-off-the-southern-atlantic-states-regulatory-amendment-16-technical-amendment

[^91]:    ${ }^{4}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. [Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-interactive-tool.]
    ${ }_{5}{ }^{5}$ The NMFS Commercial Fishing Industry Input/Output Model was used to generate the impact estimates. [Available at: http://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/
    documents/commercial_seafood_impacts_2007-2009.pdf.]
    ${ }^{6}$ Commercial economic impacts data were not available for East Florida; data for the entire state of Florida are reported here.

[^92]:    7 This information is for the entire state of Florida.

[^93]:    ${ }^{8}$ Atlantic and Gulf recreational catch and effort estimates are based upon the MRIP estimates released in 2018.

[^94]:    ${ }^{9}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{10}$ Trip expenditure estimates were generated from the 2016/2017 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2020). Durable goods expenditures were generated from the 2014 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2016). (For citations: Publications-U.S. Coastal and Marine Recreation.)
    ${ }^{11}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. [Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-interactive-tool.]

[^95]:    ${ }^{12}$ National Weather Service. "Hurricane Matthew in the Carolinas: October 8, 2016". [Available at https://www.weather.gov/ilm/Matthew].
    ${ }^{13}$ Unless otherwise stated, data are from the U.S. Census Bureau. [For more information: www.census.gov.]
    ${ }^{14}$ U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, 'Table 1.1.5 Gross Domestic Product' and 'Table SA6N Compensation of Employees by NAICS Industry. [Available at: https://apps.bea.gov/regional/histdata/releases/0518gdpstate/.]
    ${ }_{15}$ Marine Economy information was not available for East Florida, information for the entire state of Florida is provided in this report.
    ${ }^{16}$ Percentage changes in inflation adjusted (real dollar) terms are calculated using the annual Gross Domestic Product implicit price deflator published by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis and the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. [Available at: https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/ GDPDEF.]
    ${ }^{17}$ U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 'Location Quotient Calculator.' [For more information: https://www.bls.gov/cew/about-data/location-quotientsexplained.htm.]

[^96]:    ${ }^{18}$ The Census Bureau suppressed number of firms and receipt data for this sector in one or more states in the this region in either 2016 or 2008 , and thus cannot be compared.
    ${ }^{19}$ The Census Bureau suppressed number of employees and payroll data for this sector in one or more states in the this region in either 2016 or 2008, and thus cannot be compared.

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ Landings revenue is for East Florida. The rest of the information in this row is for the entire state of Florida.

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ East Florida anglers estimates are not available for the non-coastal mode.
    ${ }^{2}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ Information reported in this table is for the entire state of Florida.
    ${ }^{2}$ NA $=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ NA $=$ Non-coastal data are not available because all of the state's residents are considered coastal county residents.
    ${ }^{2}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{3}$ Drum (kingfish) include Gulf kingfish and kingfish genus.

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ All data presented on this page are for the entire state of Florida, not just East Florida.
    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state
    than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.

[^102]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{NA}=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sharks include requiem shark family, Atlantic sharpnose shark, requiem shark genus, unidentified (sharks), requiem shark, blacktip shark, unidentified sharks, and shark species.

[^104]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds $=$ Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{NA}=$ not available.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{2}$ In this table, '<1' $=0-999$ fish, and ' 1 ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish.
    ${ }_{4}^{3}$ Drum (Atlantic croaker and spot) include Atlantic croaker and spot.
    ${ }^{4}$ Flounder (lefteye and summer) include lefteye flounder genus and summer flounder.

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{NA}=$ not available.

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{2}$ In this table, ' $<1$ ' $=0-999$ fish, and ' 1 ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish.
    ${ }^{3}$ Drum (Atlantic croaker and spot) include Atlantic croaker and spot.
    ${ }^{4}$ Sharks include requiem shark family, Atlantic sharpnose shark, requiem shark genus, unidentified (sharks), requiem shark, blacktip shark, unidentified sharks, and shark species.

[^108]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1 . A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds $=$ Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{3}$ NA $=$ Not available.

[^109]:    ${ }^{1}$ For additional information on management actions cited herein and other federal fisheries management actions in the Gulf of Mexico Region, see http://gulfcouncil.org/fishery-management/implemented-plans/

[^110]:    ${ }^{2}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. [Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-interactive-tool.]
    ${ }_{3}$ The NMFS Commercial Fishing Industry Input/Output Model was used to generate the impact estimates. [Available at: http://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/ documents/commercial_seafood_impacts_2007-2009.pdf.]
    ${ }^{4}$ Commercial economic impacts data were not available for West Florida; data for the entire state of Florida are reported here.

[^111]:    ${ }^{5}$ This information is for the entire state of Florida.
    ${ }_{7} 6$ https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/media-release/noaa-predicts-season-commercial-harvest-brown-shrimp-western-gulf-mexico
    7 https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/Assets/commercial/market-news/Forecast2017.pdf
    ${ }^{8}$ https://www.flseagrant.org/news/2018/04/florida-sea-grant-helped-save-keys-lobster-industry-nearly-4-million-after-hurricane-irma/

[^112]:    ${ }^{9}$ Atlantic and Gulf recreational catch and effort estimates are based upon the MRIP estimates released in 2018.
    ${ }^{10}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{11}$ Trip expenditure estimates were generated from the 2016/2017 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2020). Durable goods expenditures were generated from the 2014 National Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey (Lovell et al., 2016). (For citations: Publications-U.S. Coastal and Marine Recreation.)
    ${ }^{12}$ Summary data is available online in the FEUS webtool. [Available at: https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/data-tools/fisheries-economics-united-states-interactive-tool.]

[^113]:    ${ }^{13}$ NOAA/NWS/National Data Buoy Center. 2019. "National Data Buoy Center". Stennis Space Center, MS. [Available at https://www.ndbc.noaa.gov/. (Accessed June 4, 2019)].
    ${ }_{14}$ Unless otherwise stated, data are from the U.S. Census Bureau. [For more information: https://www.census.gov.]
    ${ }^{15}$ U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, 'Table 1.1.5 Gross Domestic Product' and 'Table SA6N Compensation of Employees by NAICS Industry. [Available at: https://apps.bea.gov/regional/histdata/releases/0518gdpstate/.]
    ${ }_{17}$ Marine Economy information was not available for West Florida; information for the entire state of Florida is provided in this report.
    ${ }^{17}$ Percentage changes in inflation adjusted (real dollar) terms are calculated using the annual Gross Domestic Product implicit price deflator published by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis and the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. [Available at: https://fred. stlouisfed.org/series/GDPDEF.] ${ }^{18}$ U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 'Location Quotient Calculator.' [For more information: https://www.bls.gov/cew/about-data/location-quotientsexplained.htm.]

[^114]:    ${ }^{19}$ The Census Bureau suppressed number of employees data for this sector in one or more states in the this region in either 2016 or 2008 , and thus cannot be compared.

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ Landings revenue is for West Florida. The rest of the information in this row is for the entire state of Florida.
    ${ }^{2}$ NA $=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ Texas anglers estimates are not available by mode. West Florida anglers estimates are not available for the non-coastal mode.
    ${ }^{2}$ Texas trip estimates are not available for the shore mode.
    ${ }^{3}$ Data collected by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) TPWD is reported in this table. The data collected by the TPWD Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) differs from the data collected and reported in the MRIP. Data on the number of fish released are not reported by TPWD.
    (For more information: www.tpwd.state.tx.us.)
    ${ }^{4}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.

[^117]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data collected by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) TPWD is reported in this table. The data collected by the TPWD Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) differs from the data collected and reported in the MRIP. Data on the number of fish released are not reported by TPWD.
    (For more information: www.tpwd.state.tx.us.)
    z Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{3}$ Drum (kingfish) include south kingfish and Gulf kingfish.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1 . A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{NA}=$ not available.

[^119]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Information reported in this table is for the entire state of Florida.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{NA}=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^120]:    ${ }^{1}$ NA $=$ Non-coastal data are not available because all of the state's residents are considered coastal county residents.
    ${ }^{2}$ Data collected by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) TPWD is reported in this table. The data collected by the TPWD Texas Parks and
    Wildlife Department (TPWD) differs from the data collected and reported in the MRIP. Data on the number of fish released are not reported by TPWD.
    (For more information: www.tpwd.state.tx.us.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{4}$ In this table, ${ }^{'}<1$ ' $=0-999$ fish, and ' 1 ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish.
    ${ }_{6}^{5}$ Drum (sand and silver seatrouts) include silver seatrout and sand seatrout.
    ${ }^{6}$ Mullets include mullet genus and striped mullet.

[^121]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ All data presented on this page are for the entire state of Florida, not just West Florida.
    ${ }^{2}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state
    than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{3}$ ds = Data are suppressed.

[^122]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{NA}=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^123]:    ${ }^{1}$ Louisiana resident participation is estimated from historical Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP) data (2008-2013) and a state creel
    survey (2014-2017).
    ${ }^{2}$ Effort for 2014-2017 is estimated using data from a state creel survey and does not capture shore-based effort separately from private boat effort.
    ${ }^{3}$ Data collected by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) TPWD is reported in this table. The data collected by the TPWD Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) differs from the data collected and reported in the MRIP. Data on the number of fish released are not reported by TPWD.
    (For more information: www.tpwd.state.tx.us.)
    ${ }_{5}^{4}$ Louisiana harvest and release totals for 2014-2017 are estimated using data from a state creel survey.
    5 Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{6}$ In this table, ' $<1$ ' $=0-999$ fish, and ${ }^{\prime} 1$ ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish.
    ${ }^{7}$ NA $=$ not available.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.

[^125]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{NA}=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^126]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data collected by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) TPWD is reported in this table. The data collected by the TPWD Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) differs from the data collected and reported in the MRIP. Data on the number of fish released are not reported by TPWD
    (For more information: www.tpwd.state.tx.us.)
    ${ }_{2}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.
    ${ }^{3}$ In this table, ${ }^{\prime}<1$ ' $=0-999$ fish, and ' 1 ' $=1,000-1,499$ fish.
    ${ }_{5}^{4}$ Drum (kingfish) include south kingfish and Gulf kingfish.
    ${ }^{5}$ Drum (sand and silver seatrouts) include silver seatrout and sand seatrout.
    ${ }^{6}$ Sharks include requiem shark family, Atlantic sharpnose shark, requiem shark genus, unidentified (sharks), requiem shark, blacktip shark, unidentified sharks, and shark species.

[^127]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1 . A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{3}$ NA $=$ Not available.

[^128]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{NA}=$ these data are confidential and therefore not disclosable.

[^129]:    ${ }^{1}$ NA $=$ not available.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP) does not collect participation (number of anglers) or effort (number of trips) data for Texas. To calculate trip expenditure estimates, effort by fishing mode was estimated based on 2018 data provided by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
    (TPWD). (For more information: www.tpwd.state.tx.us.)
    ${ }_{3}$ Data collected by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) TPWD is reported in this table. The data collected by the TPWD Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) differs from the data collected and reported in the MRIP. Data on the number of fish released are not reported by TPWD.
    (For more information: www.tpwd.state.tx.us.)
    ${ }^{4}$ Key species/species groups were chosen to represent those most frequently caught or highly prized by recreational anglers, or important for management. It is not a comprehensive list nor ranked by the total number of fish caught/released.

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) is 1. A CFLQ greater than 1 indicates that more commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average. A CFLQ less than 1 indicates that less commercial fishing occurs in this state than the national average.
    ${ }^{2}$ ds = Data are suppressed.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{NA}=$ not available.

