# FROZEN PROCESSED FISH AND SHELLFISH CONSUMPTION IN INSTITUTIONS AND PUBLIC EATING PLACES

Atlanta, Georgía

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

BUREAU OF COMMERCIAL FISHERIES

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

United States Department of the Interior, Fred A. Seaton, Secretary

Fish and Wildlife Service, Arnie J. Suomela, Commissioner

Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Donald L. McKernan, Director

INSTITUTIONS AND PUBLIC EATING PLACES are among the best of all potential markets for frozen fishery products. In recognition of this, a survey was undertaken to obtain information on the consumption of frozen processed fish and shellfish in these establishments.

This study was conducted in ten selected cities by Crossley, S-D Surveys, Inc., of New York City in order to obtain information which could be used by the fishing industry to increase consumer demand for fishery products. The data obtained for each city as a result of this survey, together with an explanation of the methods and procedures used, are published in a series as follows:

Circular 66 - Survey Methods and Procedures

Circular 67 - Atlanta, Georgia Circular 68 - Chicago, Illinois

Circular 69 - Cleveland, Ohio

Circular 70 - Denver, Colorado

Circular 71 - Houston, Texas

Circular 72 - Los Angeles, California

Circular 73 - New York, New York

Circular 74 - Omaha, Nebraska

Circular 75 - Portland, Oregon

Circular 76 - Springfield, Massachusetts

This project was financed from funds provided by the Saltonstall-Kennedy Act to increase production and markets for the domestic fishing industry.

These publications are available upon request from the Director, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, U. S. Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D. C.

# FROZEN PROCESSED FISH AND SHELLFISH CONSUMPTION IN INSTITUTIONS AND

**PUBLIC EATING PLACES** 

-----

# ATLANTA, GEORGIA

Prepared in the Division of Industrial Research and Services

Branch of Market Development

CIRCULAR 67

WASHINGTON - NOVEMBER 1959

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table		Page
	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	1 - 5
	DETAILED FINDINGS	
1	Did the Establishment Buy Sea Food in the Preceding	,
2	Twelve Months?  Did the Establishment Buy Frozen Processed Sea Food  in the Proceeding Twelve Months?	6
3	in the Preceding Twelve Months?	7
4	How Processed Before PurchaseQuantity of Frozen Processed Fish Bought in November, 1958	8 <b>-</b> 9
5	Satisfaction or Dissatisfaction with Prepreparation of Frozen	
6	Processed Fish	11
7	Frozen Processed Fish	12
	November, 1958 and Average Number of Servings Per Pound	12
8	Satisfaction and Dissatisfaction with Types and Sizes of Frozen Processed Fish Packages	13
9	Percentage of Frozen Processed Fish Served Fried,	
10	Broiled, Baked, and in Other Ways Frozen Processed Shellfish Bought in November, 1958	13
11	How Processed Before PurchaseQuantity of Frozen Processed Shellfish Bought in	14
	November, 1958	1.5

Table		Page
12	Satisfaction or Dissatisfaction with Prepreparation of	
	Frozen Processed Shellfish	16
13	Satisfaction and Dissatisfaction with Quality and	
	Condition of Frozen Processed Shellfish	16
14	Package Sizes of Frozen Processed Shellfish Bought	10
	in November, 1958 and Average Number of Servings	
	Per Pound	17 - 18
15	Satisfaction and Dissatisfaction with Types and Sizes	11 10
	of Frozen Processed Shellfish Packages	19
16	Percentage of Frozen Processed Shellfish Served	
	Fried, Broiled, Baked and in Other Ways	19
17	Types of Portions Bought in November, 1958	20
18	Quantity of Portions Bought in November, 1958	20
19	Amount of Portions Bought by Establishments, as	
	Compared to the Previous Year	21
20	Satisfaction and Dissatisfaction with Quality and	
	Condition of Portions	21
21	Is the Quality of Portions Better than that of other	
	Frozen Processed Fish - For What Reasons?	22
22	Advantages of Using Portions	22
23	Disadvantages of Using Portions	23
24	Do Establishments Think Customers Prefer Portions to Other Frozen Processed Fish - For What	20
	Reasons?	2.3

Table		Page
25	Average Weight of Portions and Average Number of	
26	Servings Per Package  Satisfaction with the Size of Portions in a Package	$\frac{24}{24}$
27	Percentage of Portions Served Fried, Broiled,	
28	Baked, and in Other Ways	25 26
29	Cost of Using Portions, as Compared to Other Frozen	
	Processed Fish and Reasons Why Portions are	
30	Thought More or Less Expensive	26
21	Specify the Kind of Fish?	27
31	Would the Establishments Like to Have Other Portion  Controlled Sea Food Items Not Now Available?	
32	Reasons Establishments Did Not Buy Portions During	27
	November, 1958	28
32	Was Price a Reason Establishments Did Not Buy Portions?	28
33	Types of Supplier Providing Frozen Processed Sea Food	
34	to Establishments Distance of Establishment from Main Supplier of Frozen	29
31	Processed Sea Food	30
35	Frequency of Deliveries of Frozen Processed Sea Food	31
36	Can Suppliers of Frozen Processed Sea Food Improve	0.1
	Services to Establishments?	32

Table		Page
37	Amount Spent for Frozen Processed Sea Food During	
38	Preceding Twelve Months	33
	Sea Food and Other High Protein Foods	34
39	Do the Establishments Know they can buy Government	35
40	Inspected or Graded Frozen Processed Sea Food?  Do the Establishments Buy Government Inspected or	30
	Graded Frozen Processed Sea Food?	36
41	Reasons Establishments Buy Government Inspected or Graded Frozen Processed Sea Food	
	of Graded Frocessed Dea Pood	37
42	Has Government Inspection Affected the Amount of	
	Frozen Processed Sea Food Bought by the	
43	Establishments? If Government Inspected or Graded Frozen Processed	38
40	Sea Food were Available Would the Establishment	
	Buy More or Less?	38
44	Previous Use of Frozen Processed Sea Food by  Nonusers and Reasons for Stopping Use or	
	for Never Using	39
45	Do Establishments Have Cold Storage Facilities	33
	for Keeping Frozen Processed Sea Food?  According to Type of Establishment and	
	Sales Volume	40
46	According to Nonusers of Sea Food and Users Not	
	Using Frozen Processed Sea Food	41

Table		Page
	DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLE	
a	Total Receipts from Meals Served During 1957 or	
1	Last Fiscal Year	42
b	Amount Establishments Spent for Food During	
	Previous Twelve Months	43
С	Food in Previous Twelve Months	4.4
d		44
ď	Average Number of Meals Served by Establishments	45
e	Average Price Per Meal Served	46
f	Number of Regular Employees Engaged in Preparing	
	and Serving Food	47
g	Seating Capacity of Establishments	47
h	Number of Days of the Week on Which Establishments	11
	Serve Meals	48
i	Percentage of Establishments Serving Specialized Types	
	of Food	49



#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

(Atlanta)

#### A. Use of Frozen Processed Sea Food (Tables 1, 2)

Four fifths of all the establishments in Atlanta said they bought sea food in the previous twelve months. Among buyers of sea food, the great majority said they made purchases of sea food in the  $\underline{\text{frozen processed}}$  form.

Fifty-six per cent of all the establishments said they had bought frozen processed fish in November, 1958; 46 per cent said they had bought frozen processed shell-fish; and 27 per cent said they had bought partion.

Among institutions (such as schools and hospitals), the incidence of use of frozen processed sea food was greater than among public eating places.

Of the ten cities in the survey, Atlanta ranked second, in terms of the percentage of all establishments buying frozen processed sea food.

# B. Frozen Processed Fish - Purchases, Attitudes, and Practices

#### 1. Purchases: Species and Amount of Prepreparation (Tables 3, 4)

More than two thirds of the users of frozen processed fish bought ocean perch fillets during November, 1958. This was the most popular of the frozen processed fish items served in this area.

Haddock fillets were also bought in large quantities by many establishments in Atlanta.

Ocean perch fillets were bought widely and in substantial quantities in the Southern and Middle Western cities included in the survey, while haddock fillets were popular purchases in Omaha, Springfield and Cleveland.

# 2. Attitudes Toward Prepreparation and Quality and Condition of Fish (Tables 5, 6)

A great majority of Atlanta purchasers were satisfied with the present prepreparation of fish, and with the quality and condition of the fish.

This was generally true for the ten cities included in the survey.

#### 3. Packaging of Fish (Tables 7, 8)

Atlanta establishments most typically bought frozen processed fish in 5 pound packages. Mackerel fillets were an exception, with 10 pound packages the most popular size for this item.

# 4. Methods of Preparing and Serving Fish (Table 9)

Frying was the most popular method of preparing fish among Atlanta establishments. The average establishment served 71 per cent of its fish fried. Frying was the leading method in all ten cities of the study.

## Frozen Processed Shellfish - Purchases, Attitudes, and Practices

# 1. Purchases: Species and Type of Prepreparation (Tables 10, 11)

More than half of the **frozen** processed shell-fish users in Atlanta bought breaded shrimp in November, 1958. A third of the establishments bought raw shrimp.

Raw lobster, however, led in Atlanta in terms of total quantity purchased.

Breaded shrimp and raw shrimp were bought widely and in large quantities in all of the cities included in the study.

# 2. Attitudes Toward Prepreparation; Toward Quality and Condition of Shellfish (Tables 12, 13)

All but a few purchasers were satisfied with the present prepreparation of shellfish, and with the quality and condition of the shellfish which they bought.

The same held generally true for the other cities in the survey.

# 3. Packaging of Shellfish (Tables 14, 15)

As with fish, shellfish was most frequently bought in 5 pound packages in Atlanta.

# 4. Methods of Preparing and Serving Shellfish (Table 16)

Frying was the most popular way of preparing shell-fish in Atlanta. The typical establishment served three quarters of its shellfish fried.

with fish, frying the leading method of pre- g shellfish in all ten cities of the study.

# D. Fortion Controlled Sea Food - Purchases, Attitudes, and Practices

# 1. Purchases: Type of Prepreparation (Tables 1, 17, 18, 19)

More than a fourth of all the establishments in Atlanta bought portions during November, 1958.

As with frozen processed sea food in general, Atlanta ranked second in percentage of establishments buying portions.

In Atlanta, portions which were uncookedbreaded and uncooked-plain were the leading items in terms of the percentage of establishments using them. In terms of quantity purchased uncooked-breaded portions ranked first.

Three fourths of the purchasers of portions said that they were currently buying about the same amount of portions as the year before. Thirteen per cent said they were buying more, and 4 per cent said they were buying less.

# 2. Attitudes Toward Portions (Tables 20, 21, 22, 23, 24)

Nearly all establishments said they were satisfied with the quality and condition of portions.

About a quarter of the users of portions said they thought the quality of portions was better than that of other frozen processed fish. More than 60 per cent rated the quality as about the same, while 5 per cent considered the quality poorer.

Major advantages cited for portions included:

	% of Users Citing
Size of portions, uniform portions	36
Convenience, ease of preparation	34
Economy, no waste	25
Fast, timesaving	20
Can control food costs better -	
know profit	16

About 30 per cent of the users cited some disadvantage to using portions. The most frequently mentioned disadvantage was that portions are not economical.

Users of portions generally thought their customers liked portions as well as other types of frozen processed fish, with fewer than 3 per cent indicating that their customers liked portions less than other types of frozen processed sea food.

## 3. Packaging of Portions (Tables 25, 26)

Atlanta purchasers tended to buy portions in larger packages than purchasers in other cities. The average weight of a package of portions for the city was 7.6 pounds.

They also tended to buy individual portions of larger size, and the <u>average</u> weight of an individual portion was 5.1 ounces.

Almost all establishments, in Atlanta and the other nine cities, said they were satisfied with the size of portions in the packages.

# 4. Methods of Preparing and Serving Portions (Tables 27, 28)

Frying was the most widely used method of preparing and serving portions with 86 per cent of the establishments serving them this way. The average establishment served 65 per cent of its portions fried.

Frying was the leading method in nine of the ten cities of the study, the single exception being Springfield, Massachusetts, where baking was the most popular method of preparation. In Atlanta, the average establishment served 12 per cent of its portions broiled and 16 per cent baked.

Almost three fourths of the Atlanta establishments using portions cooked them while frozen.

## 5. Cost of Using Portions (Table 29)

Only a sixth of the establishments using portions said they were more expensive than other forms of frozen processed fish. A large majority of users considered them less expensive, or rated them about the same.

# 6. Miscellaneous Findings About Portions (Tables 30, 31)

Virtually all Atlanta establishments said they specified the kind of fish when ordering portions.

Fewer than 2 per cent of the users suggested

any new portion items, not now available, which they would like to have.

# 7. Nonusers of Portions (Table 32)

Establishments which used frozen processed sea food, but not portions, gave a number of reasons for not buying portions: portions were too expensive, they served other types of fish, or they sold comparatively little fish.

Price also figured as a reason for not buying portions in Denver, Los Angeles, and Portland. It was less important as a reason in the other six cities of the survey.

# E. Suppliers of Frozen Processed Sea Food (Tables 33, 34, 35, 36)

Establishments in Atlanta tended to buy frozen processed sea food from sea food wholesalers, usually less than ten miles away, to have it delivered once a week, and to be satisfied with the services of the suppliers.

Sea food wholesalers supplied 72 per cent of the establishments, while frozen food distributors accounted for another 20 per cent.

Main suppliers were located less than ten miles from the establishment, in 78 per cent of the cases.

In almost half the cases, deliveries were made once a week, while deliveries were made from two to four times a week in about a quarter of the establishments.

Only a small fraction of the purchasers said they could think of ways in which the suppliers could improve their services.

### F. Expenditures for Frozen Pr :essed Sea Food; Its Pr fitability (Tables 37, 38)

A third of the establishments reporting in Atlanta said that they spent less than \$250 for frozen processed sea food during the preceding twelve months. The figures ranged upward to \$100,000 and over, with the median coming at \$450.

Five eighths of the profit-making establish ents which expressed an opinion, considered frozen processed sea food more profitable than other high protein foods.

#### G. Government Inspection of Frozen Processed Sea Food - Awareness, Effect, and Attitudes (Tables 39, 40, 41, 42)

All but 15 per cent of the establishments in Atlanta were aware that they could buy frozen processed sea food, which had been inspected or graded by the United States Government.

Of those who were unaware, a small number said they would buy more sea food if Government inspected sea food were available. Most said either that they did not know or would buy about the same amount.

Of the establishments aware that they could buy Government inspected or graded sea food, almost all had bought some. When purchasers were asked if the inspection had affected the amount of frozen processed sea food which they bought, 6 per cent said the inspection had caused them to buy more.

# H. Nonusers of Frozen Processed Sea Food; Cold Storage Facilities (Tables 43, 44, 45)

Most nonusers in Atlanta said they had never bought frozen processed sea food with the main reason given being that they sold little or no fish. About one sixth of the Atlanta establishments who never had used frozen processed sea food said that they did not have suitable cold storage facilities. In other cities, though, this reason was not often given.

Findings regarding cold storage facilities among nonusers in Atlanta may be summarized as follows:

	<u>%</u>
Total Nonusers of Frozen Processed Sea Food	100
Have cold storage facilities	<u>56</u>
Don't use sea food at all	37
Use sea food, but not frozen processed sea food	19
No cold storage facilities	لللا

# DETAILED FINDINGS

Table 1

DID THE ESTABLISHMENT BUY SEA FOOD IN THE PRECEDING TWELVE MONTHS?

According to Type of Establishment and Sales Volume

			Establishment		Sales	Volume	
	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 99,999	\$100,000 and Over
Total Establishments	(243)	(167)	(76)	(114)	(62)	(32)	(35)
	<u>%</u>						
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes, bought sea food	82.4	78.0	97.9	77.3	84.5	86.7	89.6
Bought frozen processed sea food  Bought frozen processed fish  Bought frozen processed shellfish  Bought portions	72.3 56.1 46.4 26.7	68.0 51.3 51.0 22.3	88.3 73.4 29.8 42.6	60.3 45.9 25.8 20.1	80.0 59.1 49.1 25.5	83.3 68.3 70.0 43.3	85.1 70.1 80.6 32.8
No. did not buy sea food	17.6	22.0	2.1	22.7	15.5	13.3	10.4

Table 2

DID THE ESTABLISHMENT BUY FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FOOD IN THE PRECEDING TWELVE MONTHS?

According to Type of Establishment and Sales Volume

		Type of	Establishment	Sales Volume					
	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 99,999	\$100,000 and Over		
Total Establishments Purchasing Sea Food in Preceding 12 Months	(203)	(129)	(74)	(91)	(52)	(29)	(31)		
	<u>%</u>	<u> 1</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>K</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>%</u>		
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Yes, bought frozen processed sea food	87.9	87.1	90.2	78.0	94.6	96.2	95.0		
No, did not buy frozen processed sea food	12.1	12.9	9.8	22.0	5.4	3.8	5.0		

Table 3 FROZEN PROCESSED FISH BOUGHT IN NOVEMBER, 1958 - HOW PROCESSED BEFORE PURCHASE According to Sales Volume

	Total	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000 and Over		<u>Total</u>	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40 a:
Total Users of Frozen Processed Fish	(141)	(54)	(38)	(49)		<u>%</u>	<u> %</u>	<u> %</u>	
	<u>%</u>	<u>16</u>	%	K	Haddock		0.1	1. (	
	100.0*	100.0	100.0	100.0	Breaded Fillets Steaks	5.2 18.9 .5	3.4 14.6	4.6 7.7 1.5	2
Carp	•5	-	-	1.1	Cooked and breaded Breaded fillets Raw	.9 1.4 .5	1.1	- - -	
Catfish Fillets	.5	1.1	-	-	Halibut			( 0	
Cod					Fillets Steaks	5.7 1.4	3.4	6.2	
Cod Breaded Fillets	1.4	2.2	4.6 9.2	5.7	Raw	.5	1.1	-	
Cooked and breaded Breaded fillets	.9 2.8		4.6	2.3	Lake Perch Raw	-5	-	1.5	
Raw	.5	1.1	-	-	Mackere1				
Flounder				1 1	Fillets	12.3	12.4	6.2 4.6	
Breaded Fillets	14	6.7	9.2	20.5	Steaks Raw	1.4	2.2	-	
Breaded fillets Raw	. 3	2.2	-	5.7 2.3					

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes that percentages might add to more than the total because of more than one reply to a question.

Table 3 (Contd.)

# FROZEN PROCESSED FISH BOUGHT IN NOVEMBER, 1958 - HOW FROCESSED BEFORE PURCHASE (Continued)

# According to Sales Volume

	Total	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000 and Over		<u>Total</u>	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	
	<u> 7</u>	2	%	<u>%</u>		<u>L</u>	<u>K</u>	<u>%</u>	
ullet Fillets	.9	1.1	_	1.1	Sea Bass Fillets	.9	-	3.1	
Raw	1.9	4.5	-	-	Smelts Fillets	•5	_		
Breaded	.5	1.1	-	48.9	Sole	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	_	
Fillets Cooked and breaded	70.8 •5 3.8	65.2 1.1 3.4	75.4 - 1.5	40.9	Fillets	.9	-	-	
Breaded fillets Raw	•5	- -	-	1.1	Swordfish Steaks	4.2	-	-	
ed Snapper Fillets	2.8	2.2	-	4.5	Trout Fillets	2.8	_	4.6	
Raw	-5	-	1.5	-	Fillets Raw	.5	-	-	
almon Fillets Steaks	1.4 5.2	-	6.2	3.4 8.0	<u>Whiting</u> Steaks Raw	.5 _ 8	1.1 3.4	4.6	

Table 4

QUANTITY OF FROZEN PROCESSED FISH BOUGHT IN NOVEMBER, 1958

	Total Pounds	All	User Establishments		Total Pounds	Average Numb	User
Carp Raw	45	(b)	45.0	Mullet Fillets Raw	525 240	_1.2 .6	262.5 60.0
Catfish Fillets	(a)	-	~	Ocean Perch Breaded	12	(a)	12.0
Cod  Breaded Fillets Cooked and breaded Breaded fillets	300 2,233 112 120	.7 5.2 (b) (b)	100.0 171.8 56.0 20.0	Fillets Cooked and breaded Breaded fillets Raw	15,990 200 166 10	37.1 .5 (b) (a)	106.6 200.0 20.8 10.0
Raw	(a)	1-1	-	Red Snapper Fillets Raw	98 115	(b)	16.3 115.0
Breaded Fillets Breaded fillets Raw	1,704 266 13	(a) 4.0 .6	4.0 56.8 38.0 6.5	Salmon Fillets Steaks	(a) 349	8	31.7
Haddock Breaded Fillets	7,719 13,728	17.9 31.9	701.7 343.2	Sea Bass Fillets	100	(b)	50.0
Steaks Cooked and breaded Breaded fillets	13,728 8 112 (a)	(a) (b)	8.0 56.0	$\frac{\underline{Smelts}}{\overline{Fillets}}$	40	(b)	40.0
Raw Halibut	-	-	-	Sole Fillets	(a)	~	-
Fillets Steaks Raw	1,560 (a)	3.6 -	130.0	<u>Swordfish</u> Steaks	149	(b)	16.5
Lake Perch	100	(b)	100.0	Trout Fillets Raw	300 45	·7 (b)	50.0 45.0
Mackerel Fillets(c) Steaks Raw	39,078 1,250	90.7 2.9	1503.0 416.7	Whiting Steaks Raw	51 360	(b) .8	51.0 60.0

<sup>(</sup>a) Purchases were not reported in quantities large enough to compute meaningful figures.

<sup>(</sup>b) Less than half a pound.

<sup>(</sup>c) Includes Spanish mackerel; one hotel bought a large quantity.

Table 5

#### SATISFACTION OR DISSATISFACTION WITH PREPREPARATION OF FROZEN PROCESSED FISH

	Total Users (1)		
	<u></u>		Total Users
Total Purchases of Cod	100.0		<u>(1)</u>
Prefer more prepreparation of cod Prefer less prepreparation of cod	-		<u>4</u>
Prefer prepreparation as it is No answer	88.0 12.0	Total Purchases of Mackerel	100.0
Total Purchases of Flounder	100.0	Prefer more prepreparation of mackerel Prefer less prepreparation of mackerel Prefer prepreparation as it is No answer	90.9 3.1
Prefer more prepreparation of flounder Prefer less prepreparation of flounder	-		
Prefer prepreparation as it is No answer	95.0 5.0	Total Purchases of Ocean Perch	100.0
Total Purchases of Haddock	100.0	Prefer more prepreparation of ocean perch Prefer less prepreparation of ocean perch Prefer prepreparation as it is No answer	98.1 1.9
Prefer more prepreparation of haddock Prefer less prepreparation of haddock Prefer prepreparation as it is No answer	- 98.3 1.7		

(1) The percentages shown in the body of the table are computed on the total number of purchases of each species of fish.

Many users bought more than one species. Some establishments also bought a species prepared in two different ways. For example, haddock fillets and haddock steaks. This was counted as two purchases of the species.

Because purchases of many species were few in number, the species are not included in the table.

Table 6

# SATISFACTION AND DISSATISFACTION WITH QUALITY AND CONDITION

#### OF FROZEN PROCESSED FISH

	Total
Total Users of Frozen Processed Fish, November, 1958	(141)
	L
	100.0
Satisfied	89.6
Dissatisfied	1.7
No answer	8.7

Table 7

# PACKAGE SIZES OF FROZEN PROCESSED FISH BOUGHT IN NOVEMBER, 1958 AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF SERVINGS PER POUND(1)

			Tota1
			,
	Total		2
	~	Total Purchasers of	
	<u> %</u>	Mackerel Fillets	100.0
Total Purchasers of		MACRETET TITLE	100.0
Flounder Fillets	100.0	3 pound packages	7.6
Flounder Filters	100.0	5 pound packages	7.6
1 pound packages	6.7	10 pound packages	50.0
5 pound packages	36.7	25 pound packages	11.6
10 pound packages	13.3	50 pound packages and over	11.6
20 pound packages	13.3	No answer	11.6
No answer	30.0		
110 4101441	5		
		Total Purchasers of Ocean	100 0
Total Purchasers of		Perch Fillets	100.0
Haddock Fillets	100.0		
		Packages less than 1 pound	1.3
l pound packages	12.5	1 pound packages	8.0
3 pound packages	2.5	2 pound packages	1.3
5 pound packages	62.5	3 pound packages	3.3
20 pound packages	7.5	5 pound packages	60.7
30 pound packages	2.5	6 pound packages	.7
50 pound packages and over	7.5	10 pound packages	13.3
No answer	5.0	20 pound packages	2.0
		30 pound packages	2.7
Average number of servings		33 pound packages	1.3
per pound	3.4	36 pound packages	.7
		No answer	4.7
		Average number of servings	
		per pound	24 _ 24
		Pot Pound	

(1) The table shows figures for those species and types of prepreparation which occur most often in the city.

Sometimes figures are shown for package sizes but not average number of servings per pound. In these cases the data on servings per pound is limited

The percentages in the body of the table are baled on the number of establishments which bought one species of fish, preprepared in one manner.

Table 8

### SATISFACTION AND DISSATISFACTION

### WITH TYPES AND SIZES OF FROZEN

### PROCESSED FISH PACKAGES

	Total
Total Users of Frozen Processed Fish, November, 1958	(141)
	<u>\$</u>
	100.0
Satisfied	88.5
Dissatisfied	1.2
Don't know	. 8
No answer	9.5

Table 9

# PERCENTAGE OF FROZEN PROCESSED FISH SERVED FRIED, BROILED, BAKED, AND IN OTHER WAYS According to Sales Volume

	Total	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000 and Over
Total Users of Frozen Processed Fish	(141)	(54)	(38)	(49)
	96	<u>%</u>	%_	<u>1</u> 6
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Establishments Serving Fried None fried	7.0	11.2	6.2	3.4
1 - 14%	-	77.5	-	- 2•4
15 - 34%	3.3	4.5	-	4.5
35 - 64% 65 - 84%	20.7 8.3	14.6 6.7	24.6 6.2	23.9 11.4
Over 84%	47.9	58.5	56.8	30.7
Don't know, no answer, refused	12.8	4.5	6.2	26.1
Average percentage served	70.6	70.6	74.0	67.4
Establishments Serving Broiled None broiled	54.9	58. 5	67.7	42.1
1 - 14%	7.0	12.4	4.6	3.4
15 - 34%	9.5	7.8	1.5	17.1
35 - 64% 65 - 84%	11.6	7.8 4.5	18.5	10.2
Over 84%	2.5	4.5	1.5	1,1
Don't know, no answer, refused	12.8	4.5	6.2	26.1
Average percentage served	13.9	14.9	12.0	14.3
T. A. M. Labora and a Granada and Table of				
Establishments Serving Baked None baked	66.5	83.1	75 4	43.3
1 - 14%	1.2	-,		3.4
15 - 34% 35 - 64%	7.9 8.3	3.4 3.4	7.7 9.2	12.5 12.5
65 - 84%	. 4	-	-	1.1
0x=r 84%	2.9 12.8	5.6 4.5	1.5 6 2	1.1
D 't know, no answer, refused		· ·		
A trage : roentage served	10 4	8.1	8.4	15 4
Es blishm ts Serving in Other Ways	25.0	0: 1	00.0	
one in other ways	85 2 1 <b>2</b>	94.4	87.7 4-6	73 9 -
15%	-	-	-	-
35 - 6-%	-	-	-	-
65 - 64% Over 84%	-8	1.1	1.5	_
Don't know, no answer, refused	12.8	4.5	6.2	26.1
Average percentage served	1.0	1.1	1.9	-

Table 10

# FROZEN PROCESSED SHELLFISH BOUGHT IN NOVEMBER, 1958 -

# HOW PROCESSED BEFORE PURCHASE

Total Users of Frozen Processed Shellfish (103) Total	otal %
	9/2
<u></u>	<u>%</u>
100.0⊁	
Cysters	
Clams	11.0
Naw, Clean	.5
Raw; clean, shelled 21	21.0
Crabs	
Cooked 3.0 Scallops	
preaded	5.5
Cooked and breaded 1.5 Raw; clean, shelled 22	22.0
Cooked and deviled 1.5	
Cooked and shredded .5 Shrimp	
predded 10112	3.0
peviled and stuffed	54.0
Oldb med - Shelled and debelled,	2.5
110Zell did Cdimed	1.5
Raw; whole, clean	2.0
Raw; clean, deheaded, shelled	
Lobster and deveined 33	33.5
Cooked 3.0	
Breaded .5 Cooked and shredded tails .5	
Cleaned and deheaded tails 4.0	
Raw; whole, clean 11.0	

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes that percentages might add to more than the total because of more than one reply to a question.

# QUANTITY OF FROZEN PROCESSED SHELLFISH BOUGHT IN NOVEMBER, 1958

Table 11

		Average Numl	per of Pounds
	Total Pounds	All Establishments	User Establishments
Clams Raw; clean	1,631	3.8	135.9
Crabs Cooked Breaded	1,080 (a)	2.5	180.0
Cooked and breaded Cooked and deviled	600 (a)	1.4	200.0
Cooked and theviled Cooked and shredded Breaded rolls	12 (a)	(b)	12.0
Deviled and stuffed Crab meat - shelled and debellied,	168	(b)	24.0
frozen and canned Raw; whole, clean	2,428 2,318	5.6 5.4	220.8 92.7
Lobster Cooked	225	.5	37-5
Breaded Cooked and shredded tails Raw; whole, clean	(a) 12 14,082	(b) 32.7	12.0 640.1
Oysters Breaded	1,184	2.7	53.8
Canned Raw; clean, shelled	(a) 3,028	7.0	72.1
Scallops Breaded Raw; clean, shelled	3,080 4,303	7.1 10.0	280.0 97.8
Shrimp Cooked Breaded Cooked and breaded Deheaded, raw in shells Broken pieces	150 8,124 667 310 120	(b) 18.8 1.5 .7	25.0 75.2 133.3 103.3 30.0
Raw; clean, deheaded, shelled and deveined	13,011	30.2	194.2

<sup>(</sup>a) Purchases were not reported in quantities large enough to compute meaningful figures.

<sup>(</sup>b) Less than half a pound.

Table 12
SATISFACTION OR DISSATISFACTION WITH PREPREPARATION OF FROZEN PROCESSED SHELLFISH

	Total Usera (1)		ostal Gers
	2		į.
Total Purchases of Crabs	100.0	Total Purchases of Scallops	100.0
Prefer more prepreparation of crabs Prefer less prepreparation of crabs Prefer prepreparation as it is	100.0	Prefer more prepreparation of scallops Prefer lesa prepreparation of scallops Prefer prepreparation as it is	o
Total Purchases of Lobster	100.0	Total Purchases of Shrimp	100.0
Prefer more prepreparation of lobster Prefer less prepreparation of lobster Prefer prepreparation as it is	100.0	Prefer more prepreparation of shrimp Prefer less prepreparation of shrimp Prefer prepreparation as it is	- . 5 99•5
Total Purchases of Oysters	100.0		
Prefer more prepreparation of oysters Prefer leas prepreparation of oysters Prefer prepreparation as it is No answer	- 93.8 6.2		

(1) The percentages shown in the body of the table are computed on the total number of purchases of each species of shellfish.

Many establishments bought more than one species. Some establishments also bought a species prepared in two different ways. For example, shrimp breaded and shrimp cooked. This was counted as two purchases of the species.

Because purchases of some species--clams, abalone, and others--were few in number, the species are not included in the table.

Table 13

# SATISFACTION AND DISSATISFACTION WITH QUALITY AND CONDITION OF

#### FROZEN PROCESSED SHFLLFISH

	To+al
Total Users of Frozen Processed Shellfish, November, 1958	(103)
	2
	100.0
Satisfied	95.0
Dissatisfied	1.5
No answer	3.5

Table 14

## PACKAGE SIZES OF FROZEN PROCESSED SHELLFISH BOUGHT IN NOVEMBER, 1958 AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF SERVINGS PER POUND(1)

	Total		Total
	<u>%</u>		<u>%</u>
Total Purchasers of Crabs - Raw	100.0	Total Purchasers of Oysters - Raw	100.0
1 pound packages	20.0		
2 pound packages	12.0	Packages less than 1 pound	14.2
3 pound packages	16.0	l pound packages	7.2
5 pound packages	16.0	3 pound packages	7.2
12 pound packages	4.0	4 pound packages	50.0
No answer	32.0	5 pound packages	7.2
		No answer	14.2
Total Purchasers of			
Lobster - Raw	100.0	Total Purchasers of	
	1 -	Oysters - Breaded	100.0
1 pound packages	4.5	1	0.1
5 pound packages	40.9	1 pound packages	9.1
8 pound packages	4 5	3 pound packages	27.3
17 pound packages	13.7	4 pound packages	13.6
50 pound packages and over	31.9	5 pound packages	27.3
No answer	4.5	10 pound packages	13.6
		No answer	9.1

 The table shows figures for those species and types of prepreparation which occur most often in the city.

Sometimes figures are shown for package sizes but not average number of servings per pound. In these cases the data on servings per pound is limited.

The percentages in the body of the table are based on the number of establishments which bought one species of shellfish, preprepared in one manner.

Table 14 (Contd.)

# PACKAGE SIZES OF FROZEN PROCESSED SHELLFISH BOUGHT IN NOVEMBER, 1958 AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF SERVINGS PER POUND(1) (Continued)

	Total		
	26		
m 1 3 D 3 1 2 2 2 2 2			
Total Purchasers of Scallops - Raw	100.0		
55022075			Total
l pound packages	11.4		
2 pound packages	6.8		2
5 pound packages	77.2		
No answer	4.6	Total Purchasers of Shrimp - Raw	100.0
		2 pound packages	7.4
		3 pound packages	3.0
Total Purchasers of		5 pound packages	71.6
Shrimp - Breaded	100.0	6 pound packages	4.5
Sill Imp - Breaded	100.0	18 pound packages	1.5
1 pound packages	3.7	30 pound packages	4.5
2 pound packages	5.6	50 pound packages and over	4.5
3 pound packages	25.0	No answer	3.0
4 pound packages	16.6		
5 pound packages	37.9	Average number of servings	
6 pound packages	5.6	per pound	3.0
8 pound packages	.9		
10 pound packages	2.8		
50 pound packages and over	1.9		
Average number of servings	0.5		
per pound	2.5		

(1) The table shows figures for those species and types of prepreparation which occur most often in the city.

Sometimes figures are shown for package sizes but not average number of servings per pound. In these cases the data on servings per pound is limited.

The percentages in the body of the table are based on the commer of establishments which bought one species of shellfish, preprepared to one manner.

Table 15

# SATISFACTION AND DISSATISFACTION WITH TYPES AND SIZES OF FROZEN

PROCESSED SHELLFISH PACKAGES

	Total
Total Users of Frozen Processed Shellfish	(103)
	%
	100.0
Satisfied	98.
Dissatisfied	1.0
No answer	

Table 16

## PERCENTAGE OF FROZEN PROCESSED SHELLFISH SERVED FRIED, BROILED, BAKED, AND IN OTHER WAYS

Total	Total Users of Frozen	Total
(103)	Processed Shellfish	(103)
<u>1</u>		<u>L</u>
100.0		100.0
	Establishments Serving Baked	"
		66.0
1.5		5.0
2.5		10.0
17.0		2.0
22.0		-
39.0	Over 84%	.5
16.5	Don't know, no answer, refused	16.5
73-5	Average percentage served	5.1
	Establishments Serving in Other Ways	
64.5	None in other ways	59.0
3.5	1 - 14%	2.0
	15 - 34%	15.5
	35 - 64%	5.0
	65 - 84%	-
_	Over 84%	2.0
16.5	Don't know, no answer, refused	16.5
6.8	Average percentage served	9.9
	1.5 1.5 2.5 17.0 22.0 39.0 16.5 73.5	Total Users of Frozen

Note: Percentages, other than average percentages, are based on total establishments interviewed. Average percentages are computed by assigning the cases in any one of the six intervals to the midpoint of the interval, and taking an average of all the cases.

Table 17

TYPES OF PORTIONS BOUGHT IN NOVEMBER, 1958

According to Type of Establishment

Total Users of Portions	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions (32)	
	£	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	
	100.0*	100.0	100.0	
Cooked - breaded	27.8	20.2	42.5	
Cooked - plain	5.2	5.3	5.0	
Uncooked - breaded	41.7	45.6	35.0	
Uncooked - plain	40.7	47.0	30.0	

Table 18

QUANTITY OF PORTIONS BOUGHT IN NOVEMBER, 1958

	Average Number of Pounds			
Total Pounds	All Establishments	User Establishments		
2,518	5.8	78.7		
186	(b)	31.0		
13,661	31.7	284.6		
4,051	9.4	86.2		
	2,518 186 13,661	Total Pounds Establishments  2,518 5.8  186 (b)  13,661 31.7		

<sup>(</sup>b) Less than balf a pound.

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes that percentages might add to more than the total because of more than one reply to a question.

Table 19

# AMOUNT OF PORTIONS BOUGHT BY ESTABLISHMENTS,

# AS COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS YEAR

According to Type of Establishment

Total Users of Portions	Total (65)	Public Eating Places (33)	Institutions (32)
	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0
Use more now	13.0	12.0	15.0
Use about the same	74.8	74.6	75.0
Use less now	3.5	2.7	5.0
Don't know	8.7	10.7	5.0

Table 20

# SATISFACTION AND DISSATISFACTION WITH QUALITY AND CONDITION OF PORTIONS

	Total
Total Purchases of Types of Portions, November, 1958	(75)
	%
	100.0
Satisfied	99.2
Dissatisfied	-
No answer	.8
Note: Figures are based on total purchases of types of portions. Some establishments bought more than one type.	

Table 21

## IS THE QUALITY OF PORTIONS BETTER THAN THAT OF OTHER

#### FROZEN PROCESSED FISH - FOR WHAT REASONS?

Total Total Users of Portions (65) 100.0 Say portions better 22.6\* 9.6 Fresher Uniform controlled serving - always same amount 2.6 Ease of preparation - saves time, labor 1.7 Quality .9 Just like them better - brand I buy is better .9 All others 4.3 4.3 Don't know - no answer 5.2 Portions poorer Poor quality - inferior quality, can't tell 2.6 what is in them All others 2.6 About the same 62.6 Don't know 8.7 No answer

#### Table 22

#### ADVANTAGES OF USING PORTIONS

	Total
Total Users of Portions, November, 1958	(65)
	2
	100.0*
Size of portions - uniform, controlled serving, the right size service	35.6
Convenience, ease of preparation - save labor, already prepared	33-9
Economical - no waste	25.2
Fast, timesaving - quicker to serve, prepare	20.0
Can control food cost better - know profit	15.6
No bones	9.5
Taste better	.8
Don't know, no answer	.8

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes that percentages might add to more than the total because of more than one reply to a question.

Table 23

### DISADVANTAGES OF USING PORTIONS

Table 24

# DO ESTABLISHMENTS THINK CUSTOMERS PREFER PORTIONS TO OTHER FROZEN PROCESSED FISH - FOR WHAT REASONS?

	Total		
Total Users of Portions	(65)		Total
	<u>4</u>	Total Users of Portions	(65)
	100.0*		<u>%</u>
Not economical - more expensive to buy	15.7		100.0
Portions wrong size - too small	5.2	Think customers like portions better Uniform controlled servings - always the	27.8*
Quality not as good - not always sure what's in them	2.6	same amount Attractive - eye appealing Customers order - seem to like them	13.0 7.8
Not enough demand - customers do not order	1.7	Faster quicker to serve - no waiting No bones - safer for children	4.3 2.6 2.6
No disadvantages	71.3	Economical Don't know - no answer	1.7 1.7
Don't know no anaton	6.1	Think customers like portions less	2.6
Don't know, no answer	0.1	Think customers like portions about the same	49.6
		Don't know	16.5
		No answer	3.5

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes that percentages might add to more than the total because of more than one reply to a question.

#### Table 25

# AVERAGE WEIGHT OF PORTIONS AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF SERVINGS PER PACKAGE

Total users of portions, November, 1958	65
Average weight of package of portions, in pounds	7.6
Average number of servings per package	32.6
Average weight of individual servings, in ounces	3.7
Average Weight of individua_ portions, in ounces	5.1

Note: Average weight of portions does not equal average weight of individual servings since some operators obtained more than one serving from a portion, while other operators used more than one portion for a serving.

### Table 26

# SATISFACTION WITH THE SIZE OF PORTIONS IN A PACKAGE

	Total
Total Users of Portions	(65)
	2
	100.0
Satisfied	97.4
Dissatisfied	1.7
Don't know, no answer	.9

Table 27

PERCENTAGE OF PORTIOWS SERVED FRIED, BROILED, BAKED, AND IN OTHER WAYS

According to Type of Establishment

Total Users of Portions	<u>Total</u> (65)	Public Eating Places	Institutions (32)	Total Users of Fortions	<u>Total</u> (65)	Public Eating Places	<pre>Institutions (32)</pre>
	<u>%</u>	<u> 16</u>	<u>%</u>		<u>%</u>	%	<u>%</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0
Establishments Serving Fried  None fried  1 - 14%  15 - 34%  35 - 64%  65 - 84%  Over 84%  Average percentage served	13.9 - 14.8 7.0 10.4 53.9 64.7	1.3 - 14.7 5.3 12.0 66.7 76.8	37.5 - 15.0 10.0 7.5 30.0	Establishments Serving Raked  None baked  1 - 14%  15 - 34%  35 - 64%  65 - 84%  Over 84%  Average percentage served	73.9 .9 6.1 4.3 6.1 8.7	85.4 - 5.3 5.3 4.0 -	52.5 2.5 7.5 2.5 10.0 25.0
Establishments Serving Broiled  None broiled  1 - 14%  15 - 34%  35 - 64%  65 - 84%  Over 84%	72.2 4.3 7.8 9.6 2.6 3.5	77.3 2.7 6.7 9.3 4.0	62.5 7.5 10.0 10.0	Establishments Serving in  Other Ways  None in other ways  1 - 14%  15 - 34%  35 - 64%  65 - 84%  Over 84%	100.0	100.0	100.0
Average percentage served	12.1	9.4	17.2	Average percentage served	-		-

Table 28

#### DO ESTABLISHMENTS COOK PORTIONS WHILE STILL FROZEN?

According to Type of Establishment

	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions
Total Users of Portions	(65)	(33)	(32)
	<u>4</u>	<b>½</b>	<u>4</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes, cook while frozen	70.4	73.4	65.0
No, do not cook while frozen	26.1	21.3	35.0
No answer	3.5	5.3	-

Table 29

# COST OF USING PORTIONS, AS COMPARED TO OTHER FROZEN PROCESSED FISH

AND REASONS WHY PORTIONS ARE THOUGHT MORE OR LESS EXPENSIVE

Total
(65)
<u>4</u>
100.0
16.5 6.1
4.3 6.1
34.8* 17.4 6.1 6.1 5.2 3.5 2.6 4.3
35.6
12.2
.9

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes that percentages might add to more than the total because of more than one reply to a question.

Table 30

# WHEN ORDERING PORTIONS FROM SUPPLIERS, DO ESTABLISHMENTS SPECIFY THE KIND OF FISH?

According to Type of Establishment

	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions
Total Users of Portions	(65)	(33)	(32)
	<u> %</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0
pecify kind of fish	95.7	94.7	97.5
o not specify kind of fish	4.3	5.3	2.5

Table 31

### WOULD THE ESTABLISHMENTS LIKE TO HAVE OTHER PORTION

#### CONTROLLED SEA FOOD ITEMS NOT NOW AVAILABLE?

Total Users of Frozen Processed Sea Food	Total (180)  ½ 100.0	Public Eating Places (112)	Institutions (68)  ½ 100.0
Yes, would like other items	1.9	1.3	3.6
No, would not like other items	88.8	88.2	90.4
Don't know	8.3	9.6	4.8
No answer	1.0	.9	1.2

REASONS ESTABLISHMENTS DID NOT BUY PORTIONS DURING NOVEMBER, 1958

	Total		
Total Estatlishments Using Prozen Processed Sea Food, but Not Portions	(115)	WAS PRICE A REASON ESTABLISHMENTE	
	-	DID NOT BUY PORTIONS?	
	100.0		
Too expensive - cheaper to use fresh fight, cheaper to prepare ourselves	29.4		
Serve other typer - perch, shrimp, halibut, etc., other types more popular	25.9	<u>To</u>	otal
Sell. serve little or no fish - no demand, calls for it	17.8	Total Nonusers Who Did	
Prefer to prepare own - rether bread my own, do not like way it must be cooked, prefer own methods	11 7	Not Volunteer	or .
Size of portions - prefer to cut own portions, want larger	10.7	Price as a Reasor (F	B4 ,
portions, get more with other kinds Quality not as good - doesn't meet our quality standards,			%
can't tell what is in it	7.6 6.6	10	00,00
Use fresh fish - prefer fresh fish	0.0	Name of the Control o	
Dialike flavor - fresh fish mas more ilavor, no taste		Yes, price was a reason	19.4
to portion con'rolled sea foods Don't like them so wouldn't serve them	3.C 2.5	No, price was not a reason	66.9
Just opened the restaurant - don't know what we will handle	1.5		
Not attractive - not eye appealing	1.5		
No part:cular reason - just didn't	1.0	No answer	13.7
Warted to change menu - try something different	1.0		
Company makes the rules - policy against it	.5		
Didn't know it was available	• 5		
All others	1.5		
Don't know, no answer	3.6		

Table 32

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes that percentages might add to more than the total because of more than one reply to a question.

Table 33

TYPES OF SUPPLIER PROVIDING FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FOOD TO ESTABLISHMENTS

According to Sales Volume

	<u>Total</u>	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- _39,999	\$40,000 and Over
Total Users of Frozen Processed Sea Food	(180)	(73)	(50)	(57)
	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	100.0*	100.0	100.0	100.0
Sea food processors	2.9	-	-	8.4
Sea food wholesalers	72.1	72.6	75.0	69.2
Frozen food distributors	19.9	17.1	11.4	29.9
All other, grocery stores, supermarkets	6.4	10.3	6.8	1.9
No answer	2.2	-	6.8	•9

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes that percentages might add to more than the total because of more than one reply to a question.

Table 34

DISTANCE OF ESTABLISHMENT FROM MAIN SUPPLIER OF FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FOOD

According to Location

		Total	Out of Central Business District	In Central Business District
	Total Users of Frozen Processed Sea Food	(180)	(144)	(36)
		<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
		100.0	100.0	100.0
Less than 10	miles	77.6	75.8	83.8
10 - 50 mile	s	14.4	17.6	3.0
51 - 100 mil	es	•3	.4	-
More than 10	O miles	1.9	-	8.8
Don't know		3.9	4.9	-
No answer		1.9	1.3	4.4

Table 35

FREQUENCY OF DELIVERIES OF FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FOOD

According to Type of Establishment and Sales Volume

		Type of 1	Establishment		Sales Volume	
	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000 and Over
Total Users of Frozen Processed Sea Food	(180)	(112)	(68)	(73)	(50)	<b>(</b> 57)
	<u>16</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Z</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Every day	8.0	9.2	4.8	1.7	12.5	11.2
2 - 4 times per week	26.6	33.6	7.2	14.6	26.1	40.2
Once a week	45.8	45.0	48.2	50.4	47.7	39.3
2 - 3 times per month	9.9	7.4	16.9	17.1	5.7	5.6
Once a month	4.5	1.3	13-3	7-7	2.3	2.8
Less than once a month	3.9	2.6	7.2	5.1	5.7	.9
Don't know, no answer	1.3	.9	2.4	3.4		_

Table 36

CAN SUPPLIERS OF FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FOOD IMPROVE SERVICES TO ESTABLISHMENTS?

According to Sales Volume

	Total	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000 and Over
Total Users of Frozen Processed Sea Food	(180)	(73)	(50)	(57)
	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes, can improve services	3.5	2.6	5.7	2.8
No, cannot improve services	94.6	95.7	94.3	93.5
Don't know	1.6	1.7	-	2.8
No answer	. 3	-	-	.9

AMOUNT SPENT FOR FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FOOD DURING PRECEDING TWELVE MONTHS

According to Sales Volume

	Total	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000 and Over
Total Users of Frozen Processed Sea Food	(180)	(73)	(50)	(57)
	鬼	96	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Spent under \$250 \$250 - 499 \$500 - 999 \$1,000 - 2,499	33.7 20.2 18.2 10.4	56.1 21.2 12.1 7.6	14.6 27.1 35.4 8.3	20.0 10.0 7.5 17.5
\$2,500 - 4,999 \$5,000 - 9,999 \$10,000 - 14,999 \$15,000 - 29,999	7.2 7.2 1.9 .6	3.0 - - -	14.6 - -	22.5 10.0 7.5 2.5
\$30,000 - 49,999 \$50,000 - 99,999 \$100,000 and over	- - .6	-	- - -	2.5

PROFITABILITY TO ESTABLISHMENTS OF FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FOOD AND OTHER HIGH PROTEIN FOODS

According to Sales Volume

Table 38

Total Users of Frozen	Total	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000 and Over
Processed Sea Food	(180)	(73)	(50)	(57)
	<u>%</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	<u>100.0</u> *	100.0	100.0	100.0
Say sea food more profitable than other high protein foods	17.3	17.1	22.7	13.1
Say beef more profitable than sea food	8.3	5.1	9.1	11.2
Say all foods the same in profitability	1.0	-	-	2.8
Say pork more profitable than sea food	.6	1.7	-	-
Say miscellaneous other foods more profitable than sea food	•3	•9	-	-
Nonprofit establishments	23.4	29.9	21.6	17.8
Don't know	36.6	31.6	31.8	45.8
No answer	12.5	13.7	14.8	9.3

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes that percentages might add to more than the total because of more than one reply to a question.

Table 39

#### DO THE ESTABLISHMENTS KNOW THEY CAN BUY GOVERNMENT

# INSPECTED OR GRADED FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FOOD?

	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions
Total Users of Frozen Processed Sea Food	(180)	(112)	(68)
	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes, know they can	84.6	83.0	89.2
No. do not know they can	15.4	17.0	10.8

Table 40

# DO THE ESTABLISHMENTS BUY GOVERNMENT INSPECTED OR

# GRADED FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FOOD?

Total Establishments Knowing Government Inspected or Graded Frozen Processed	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions
Sea Food Was Available	(153)	(92)	(61)
	26	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes, do buy	97-3	98.4	94.6
No, do not buy	-	pri	-
No answer	2.7	1.6	5.4

REASONS ESTABLISHMENTS BUY GOVERNMENT INSPECTED OR GRADED FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FOOD

According to Type of Establishment

Table 41

	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions
Total Purchasers of Government Inspected or Graded Sea Food	(150)	(91)	(59)
	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	100.0*	100.0	100.0
Government inspected foods are safe - pure, fresh, clean, no germs or disease	35.8	33.7	41.4
Best quality - use better products, more uniform quality Only type available - it's all inspected, that's what supplier carries Public demands it	33.5	31.0	40.0
	21.8 2.7	25.7 3.7	11.4
Easy to handle - easy to serve, ready to cook, portion controlled More economical Company demands that it's bought	2.3 1.6 .8	1.6	4.3 1.4 2.9
Prefer Government inspected - wouldn't buy any other	. 4	-	1.4
All others	1.2	1.1	1.4
Don't know, no answer	10.9	11.8	8.6

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes that percentages might add to more than the total because of more than one reply to a question.

Table 42

#### HAS GOVERNMENT INSPECTION AFFECTED THE AMOUNT OF FROZEN

#### PROCESSED SEA FOOD BOUGHT BY THE ESTABLISHMENT?

According to Type of Establishment

Table 43

#### IF GOVERNMENT INSPECTED OR GRADED FROZEN

#### PROCESSED SEA FOOD WERE AVAILABLE WOULD

#### THE ESTABLISHMENT BUY MORE OR LESS?

Total Users of Government	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions	Total Establishments Not Know- ing Government Inspected or Graded Frozen Processed	Total
Inspected Frozen Processed Sea Food	(150)	(91)	(59)	Sea Food Was Available	(27)
	<u>%</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>%</u>		<u>L</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0
Day was	5.8	4.8	8.6	Say they would buy more	12.5
Buy more				Say they would buy less	-
Buy about the same	79.8	78.1	84.3	About the same	33.3
Buy less	-	-	-		
	.1.	2.17. 3	r	Don't know	45.9
Don't know	14.0	17.1	5.7		
No answer	. 4	-	1.4	No answer	8.3

Table 44

#### PREVIOUS USE OF FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FOOD BY NONUSERS AND

# REASONS FOR STOPPING USE OR FOR NEVER USING

	Total
Total Nonusers of Frozen Processed Sea Food	(63)
	<u>%</u>
	100.0
Have served frozen processed sea food before	4.2
No demand - didn't sell enough, no volume. customers prefer other foods  Lacked flavor - own prepared fish has better flavor	3.4 8
Have not served frozen processed sea food before	<u>93.3</u> *
Sell little or no fish - no demand, call for it, not in that business  No storage facilities - no freezer  Use fresh fish - prefer to serve fresh fish, fresh fish available all year  Unable to handle preparation - no equipment, not enough room, no time, would need extra help like taste, freshness of fresh fish - don't trust	64.7 16.0 8.4 6.7
frozen food, fresh fish tastes better, some frozen is kept too long Don t know, no answer	5.0 1.7
Don't know	2.5

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes that percentages might add to more than the total because of more than one reply to a question.

Table 45

DO ESTABLISHMENTS HAVE COLD STORAGE FACILITIES FOR KEEPING FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FCCPT

According to Type of Establishment and Sales Volume

		Type of Establishment			Sales Volume			
	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 99.000	\$100,000 and Gver	
Total Establishments	(243)	(167)	(76)	(114)	(62)	(32)	(35)	
	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>4</u>	<del>K</del>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<del>ط</del> خ	
	100.C	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Yes, have cold storage facilities	93.3	82.2	87.2	78.4	81.8	93.3	91.0	
No, do not have cold storage facilities	16.2	17.2	12.8	21.6	18.2	6.7	6.0	
No answer	•5	.6	-	-	-	-	3.0	
Average capacity, in cubic feet	52.5	35.3	100.9	29.2	21.0	42.8	(8)	

<sup>(</sup>a) Too few cases to compute an average.

DO ESTABLISHMENTS HAVE COLD STORAGE FACILITIES FOR KEEPING FROZEN PROCESSED SEA FOOD?

According to Nonusers of Sea Food and Users Not Using Frozen Processed Sea Food

	<u>Total</u>	Nonusers of Sea Food	Users Not Using Frozen Processed Sea Food
Total Nonusers of Frozen Processed Sea Food	(63)		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>%</u>
	100.0	63.9	36.1
Yes, have cold storage facilities	55.5	37.0	18.5
No, do not have cold storage facilities	42.8	26.9	15.9
No answer	1.7	-	1.7

#### DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLE

(Tables a through i contain classification data regarding operations of the establishments)

Table a

TOTAL RECEIPTS FROM MEALS SERVED DURING 1957 OR LAST FISCAL YEAF

	Tota:	Putl. Eating Places	Institutions
Total Establishments	(2-3	(167)	(70
	9.	9	9.
Total Receipts	100.0	100.0	100.0
Less than \$10,000	45.1	43.4	52.2
\$10,000 - 39,999	25.5	26.1	23.4
\$40,000 - 99,999	13.9	13.9	13.8
\$100,000 and over	15.5	16.9	10.6

AMOUNT ESTABLISHMENTS SPENT FOR FOOD DURING PREVIOUS TWELVE MONTHS

According to Type of Establishment and Sales Volume

	Type of Establishment			Sales Volume				
	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 99,999	\$100,000 and Over	
Total Establishments	(243)	(167)	(76)	(114)	(62)	(32)	(35)	
	<u>%</u>	g/ <sub>b</sub>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	Z	<u>%</u>	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Spent under \$1,000 \$1,000 - 2,499 \$2,500 - 4,999 \$5,000 - 9,999 \$10,000 - 14,999	7.2 17.0 10.4 18.6 14.2	10.0 22.8 8.5 14.4 12.1	2.0 15.4 28.6 19.2	13.7 30.4 19.0 24.3 5.3	4.3 2.2 19.6 41.4	9.4 4.9	- - - 4.8	
\$15,000 - 29,999 \$30,000 - 49,999 \$50,000 - 99,999 \$100,000 - 249,999 \$250,000 and over	11.4 10.4 3.2 4.4 3.2	11.3 10.8 2.3 3.9 3.9	11.6 9.6 5.8 5.8 2.0	7·3 - - - -	23.9 8.6 - -	14.3 57.1 14.3	14.4 14.4 38.0 28.4	

			Establishment	Sales Volume			
	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 99,999	\$100,000 and Over
Total Establishments	(243)	(167)	(76)	(114)	(62)	(32)	(35)
	2	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Spent under 5% for food 5 - 14% 15 - 24% 25 - 34%	7.1 5.7 25.0	- 7·3 4.6 23.9	6.5 9.7 29.0	3.8 9.0 28.2	21.2	- 7.7 7.7	- - - 25.0
35 - 44% 45 - 54% 55 - 64% 65 - 74%	24.3 14.3 3.7	28.5 16.5 - -	9.7 6.5 16.1	16.7 15.4 6.4	27.3 12.1 -	7.7 30.7 - -	68.8
75 - 84 <b>%</b> 85 - 94 <b>%</b> 95 - 100 <b>%</b>	17.1 2.1 .7	17.4 1.8	16.1 3.2 3.2	17.9 1.3 1.3	12.1 3.0	46.2 - -	6.2 -

Table d

AVERAGE NUMBER OF MEALS SERVED BY ESTABLISHMENTS

According to Type of Establishment and Sales Volume

		Type of Establishment			Sales Volume			
	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 99,999	\$100,000 and Over	
Total Establishments	243	167	76	114	62	<u>32</u>	<u>35</u>	
Average Number of Main Meals Served								
Midday, weekdays	176	128	297	89	198	213	385	
Sea food meals	26	23	40	8	27	30	89	
Midday, Saturdays and Sundays	100	107	81	21	94	94	395	
Sea food meals	13	17	**		7	13	72	
Evening, weekdays	87	92	71	14	81	94	342	
Sea food meals	14	15	9		12	23	52	
Evening, Saturdays and Sundays	81	86	64	13	66	73	354	
Sea food meals	11	14	1	1	8	15	52	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Less than one half meal.

Table e

AVERAGE PRICE PER MEAL SERVED

According to Type of Establishment and Sales Volume

		Type of	Establishment_		Sales	Volume	
	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 99,999	\$100,000 and Over
Total Establishments	(243)	(167)	(76)	(114)	(62)	(32)	(35)
	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under \$.25 \$.2549 \$.5074 \$.7599	.5 13.2 20.9 23.6	.6 5.6 24.9 28.7	40.4 6.4 5.3	1.0 20.1 34.7 21.1	15.5 14.5 31.9	- 8.3 23.3	1.5 3.0 17.9
\$1.00 - 1.49 \$1.50 - 1.99 \$2.00 - 2.49 \$2.50 - 2.99	15.3 3.2 1.9 2.1	19.3 4.2 2.4 2.7	1.1 - - -	2.6 .5 .5	20.0	33.4 1.7 5.0 3.3	28.4 11.9 6.0 7.5
\$3.00 - 3.99 \$4.00 - 4.99 \$5.00 and over	• 5 -	.6 - -	-	- ~ -	1.8	- - ~	- - -
No answer	11.8	11.0	14.9	11.3	9.1	16.7	13.4
Nonprofit establishment	7.0	-	31.9	7.2	3.6	8.3	10.4

Table f

#### NUMBER OF REGULAR EMPLOYEES ENGAGED IN PREPARING AND SERVING FOOD

According to Sales Volume

	<u>Total</u>	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 99,999	\$100,000 and Over
Total establishments	243	114	62	32	35
Average number per establishment	9	3	6	9	33

Table g

#### SEATING CAPACITY OF ESTABLISHMENTS

According to Type of Establishment and Sales Volume

		Type of E	Sales Volume				
	Total	Public Eating	nstitutions	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 99,999	\$100,000 and Over
Total establishments	243	167	76	114	62	32	35
Average seating capacity, in seats	97	83	155	51	90	115	230

Table h

NUMBER OF DAYS OF THE WEEK ON WHICH ESTABLISHMENTS SERVE MEALS

According to Type of Establishment and Sales Volume

	Type of Establishm			Sales Volume				
	Total	Public Eating Places	Institutions	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 99,999	\$100,000 and Over	
Total Establishments	(243)	(167)	(76)	(114)	(62)	(32)	(35)	
	<u>4</u>	<u> </u>	<u>4</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u> 2</u>	<u>%</u>	
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Serve on 7 days	37.6	37.6	37.2	32.0	33.6	43.3	55.2	
Serve on 6 days	39.9	49.0	7.5	43.8	41.8	31.7	32.8	
Serve on 5 days	21.6	12.2	55-3	24.2	24.6	21.7	9.0	
Serve on less than 5 days	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
No answer	.9	1.2	_	_	-	3.3	3.0	

Table i

PERCENTAGE OF ESTABLISHMENTS SERVING SPECIALIZED TYPES OF FOOD

According to Sales Volume

	<u>Total</u>	Less Than \$10,000	\$10,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 99,999	\$100,000 and Over
Total Establishments	(243)	(114)	(62)	(32)	(35)
	<u>%</u>	Z	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u> 26</u>
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Establishments with no specialty	83.2	91.3	81.0	76.7	70.1
Establishments with specialty	16.8	8.7	19.0	23.3	<u>29.9</u>
Steak or chophouse Chicken specialty Barbecue Sea food	5.8 2.8 2.6 1.6	3.1 3.6 -	4.5 4.5 5.5 .9	8.3 8.3 3.3	13.4 - 6.0
Kosher French food Chinese food Italian food	.9 .7 .5	1.5 - - -	1.8	1.7	4.5 - 1.5
All others	1.4	• 5	1.8	-	4.5





