
# FUR SEAL INVESTIGATIONS, PRIBILOF ISLANDS, ALASKA, 1962

by Alton Y. Roppel, Ancel M. Johnson, Richard D. Bauer, Douglas G. Chapman, and Ford Wilke





# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, Stewart L. Udall, Secretary

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, Clarence F. Pautzke, Commissioner
BUREAU OF COMMERCIAL FISHERIES, Donald L. McKernan, Director

# FUR SEAL INVESTIGATIONS PRIBILOF ISLANDS, ALASKA 1962

by

Alton Y. Roppel, Ancel M. Johnson, Richard D. Bauer, Douglas G. Chapman, and Ford Wilke



United States Fish and Wildlife Service Special Scientific Report--Fisheries No. 454

Washington, D.C. December 1963



# CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Population	3
Males	3
Age classification	3
Bull counts	8
Females	9
Methods used in current studies	11
Age classification	11
Reproduction	13
Tag recoveries and tagging	14
Tag recoveries · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14
Taggingpups · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15
Taggingyearlings	16
Appraisal of problems involved in tagging and tag recoveries	30
Results of double tagging · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	34
Homing tendency	34
Mortality	34
Dead-pup counts	36
Weather as a mortality factor	40
Population estimates	40
Estimates from tagged males	40
Estimates from tagged females	43
Estimates of the fall pup population from fall tag ratio	43
Seal pup weights	46
Related studies	50
Live-pup counts	50
Skins from females	50
Dentition studies	50
Pelage studies	51
Eye lens weight as an indicator of age	51
Hookworm studies	51
Other wildlife species	52
Sea lions	52
Whales	52
Walrus	52
Sea otter	52
Reindeer	52
Cooperative studies	52
Summary	53
Acknowledgments	54
Glossary	55
Appendix	
A. Prediction of 1963 male returns and kill	56
B. Appendix tables	61

# **FIGURES**

1.	Percent 3- and 4-year-old male seals in cumulative kill, by Island and round, Priblion	
	Islands, Alaska, 1962	4
	Kill of male seals, by age and round, St. Paul Island, 1962	8
3.	Percent post partum tagged female seals sampled from the kill, by age, St. Paul Island, 13-17 and 20-24 August 1962	13
Δ	Percent post partum female seals sampled from the kill, by date and age, St. Paul	10
7.	Island, 6, 7, and 11-14 September 1962	17
5	Tag and checkmark locations, fur seal pup tagging, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1947-62.	22
5.		26
6.	Measuring a "yearling" seal selected for tagging, St. Paul Island, 1962	26
7.	Tagging a seal selected as a yearling, St. Paul Island, 1962	
8.	Distribution of body lengths among 839 selected yearlings, St. Paul Island, 1962	28
9.		28
10.		28
11.	"V" notch checkmark made with veterinary earnotching instrument	32
	Variances in "V" notch checkmarks recovered from seals wearing tags	32
13.	The state of the s	33
	Slice type of checkmark	33
15.	Pup mortality-air temperature relationship, St. Paul Island	40
	TABLES	
1	Kill of male seals, by year class, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1947-60	
	Male kill at various dates, by age, St. Paul Island, 1954-62	6
	Cumulative number of male seals killed, St. Paul Island, 1955-62	-
	Estimated increase in kill by extrapolation to 15 August, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962	8
	Predicted and actual kill of male seals, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962	ç
	Harem and idle bull count, by rookery, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962	10
	Year class contributions to kill of female seals, by age, Pribilof Islands, Alaska,	
0	1939-61 Payant are comparition of famale goals compled from the hills. Prihilof Islands	12
٥,	Percent age composition of female seals sampled from the kills, Pribilof Islands,	13
9.	Alaska, 1958-62	10
	Paul Island, 13-17 and 20-24 August 1962	14
10.	Summary of reproductive condition of tagged female seals sampled from the kill, St.	
	George Island, 3, 5, 13-17, and 20-23 August 1962	15
11.	Summary of reproductive condition of female seals sampled from the kill, St. Paul	
	Island, 6, 7, and 11-14 September 1962	16
12.	Annual variation in pregnancy rates of females sampled from the kills, Pribilof Islands,	
	Alaska, 1956-62	18
13.	Summary of tagged and tag-lost seals recovered from the kills, Pribilof Islands, Alaska,	
	July-August and September 1962	19
14.	Soviet tags recovered from the kills, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962	20
	Fur seal pup tagging, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962	21
	Seals tagged as yearlings in 1961 and recovered from the kill, St. Paul Island, 1962	25
	Number of seals selected for yearling tagging, by sex and rookery, St. Paul Island, 1962.	27
	Sex ratios among selected and known-age yearlings, St. Paul Island, 1941 and 1961-62.	27
	Recovery of yearling fur seals tagged as pups in 1961, St. Paul Island, 1962	29
	Homing tendency of yearling fur seals tagged as pups in 1961, by rookery, St. Paul	
	Island 1062	30

21.	Counts of dead pups on Polovina flats and Little Polovina before and after tagging, St.	0.1
22.	Paul Island, 1962	31
Ť	Islands, Alaska, 1961-62	34
23.	Tagged male and female seals without checkmarks, St. Paul Island, 1962	34
	Homing tendency of male and female seals, by age, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962	35
	Homing tendency of male and female seals, by rookery, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962.	35
	Dead-pup counts, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962	37
		07
27.	coast, 1948-62	38
	Dead-pup counts, sample areas, St. Paul Island, 1962	38
29.	Percent of complete rookery dead-pup counts represented by sample-area counts, St.	
	Paul Island, 1956-62	39
30.	Comparison of total dead-pup count and sample-areas count, St. Paul Island, 1956-62.	39
31.	Tag-lost to tagged ratio among male seals killed, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1960-62	41
32.		42
	Estimates of fall pup population from male tag recoveries, Pribilof Islands, Alaska,	
00.	year classes 1958-60	43
2.4	Pup population estimates and dead-pup counts, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, year classes	10
34.		44
0.5	1953-60	
	Estimates of total pup population, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, year classes 1958-60	44
36.	Estimates of fall pup populations from female kill, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 13-24 August 1962	45
37.	Estimates of the pup population at the time of tagging, St. Paul Island, year class 1962.	45
38.	Mean seal-pup weights approximately one week aftertagging, St. Paul Island, 1957-62.	46
	Mean seal-pup weights, St. Paul Island, 1962	47
	Probability of no difference in weights of tagged and untagged pups, St. Paul Island,	
	1962	48
	Increases in mean weights of pups in September and October, St. Paul Island, 1962	49
42.	Live-pup counts, St. Paul Island, 1959-62	50
Ap	pendix A tables	
1.	Postseason escapement of male seals, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962	56
	The return of male seals and the dead-pup counts. St. Paul Island, year classes 1950-51	
۵,	and 1953-58	57
2	Analysis of variance of deviations from linear and quadratic regression of the return of	07
٥.		= 7
	males on the dead-pup counts, St. Paul Island	57
	The return of male seals and the estimated number of pups born, St. Paul Island	58
5.	Estimates of the pup population, returns estimated from these estimates, and actual re-	
	turn of 3-year-old male seals, St. Paul Island, year classes 1952-58	60
6.	Predicted and actual kill of male seals by 5 August, St. Paul Island, 1962	60
Ap	pendix B tables	
_		
Mai	e data	
7.	Age classification of male seals killed, St. Paul Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17 and	
•	20-24 August 1962	61
8	Cumulative age classification of male seals killed, St. Paul Island, 2 July to 5 August,	
5.	13-17 and 20-24 August 1962	62
0	Age classification of male seals killed, St. George Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17	02
7.	and 20-23 August 1962	63
	GIIU AUTAU MURUDI 1704	UU

Page

		Page
	Cumulative age classification of male seals killed, St. George Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17 and 20-23 August 1962	64
11.	Age classification of male seals killed, Tolstoi and Lukanin-Kitovi Rookeries, St. Paul Island, 1959-62	65
Fe	nale data	
12.	Age classification of female seals killed, St. Paul Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17 and 20-24 August, 6, 7, 11-14, and 17-19 September 1962	66
13.	Cumulative age classification of female seals killed, St. Paul Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17 and 20-24 August, 6, 7, 11-14, and 17-19 September 1962	67
14.	Age classification of female seals killed, St. George Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17 and 20-23 August 1962	68
	Cumulative age classification of female seals killed, St. George Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17 and 20-23 August 1962	68
	Reproductive condition of tagged female seals sampled from the kill, St. Paul Island, 13-17 and 20-24 August 1962	69
	Reproductive condition of female seals sampled from the kill, St. Paul Island, 6, 7, and 11-14 September 1962	7 70
	Reproductive condition of tagged female seals sampled from the kill, St. George Island, 3, 5, 13-17 and 20-23 August 1962	71
19.	Number post partum and nonpregnant among tagged and untagged female seals 4 or more years old and 5 or more years old sampled from the kill, St. Paul Island, 13-17	70
20.	and 20-24 August, 6, 7, and 11-14 September 1962	<b>7</b> 2
	20-23 August 1962	72
Тар	recovery data	
21.	Recovery location of tagged seals killed, by age and rookery, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962	<b>7</b> 3
22.	Recovery location of tagged seals killed, by age, rookery, and round, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962	76
	Length classes of untagged 3-year-old male seals sampled from the kill, by rookery and round, St. Paul Island, 1962	90
	Length classes of untagged 4-year-old male seals sampled from the kill, by rookery and round, St. Paul Island, 1962	91
25.	Summary of length classes of untagged 3- and 4-year-old male seals sampled from the kill, by rookery, St. Paul Island, 1962	92
Mis	scellaneous data	
26.	Tag numbers (0-series) and weights of live male and female fur seal pups, by rookery, St. Paul Island, 2-3 September 1962	93
27.	Tag numbers (0-series) and weights of live male and female fur seal pups, by rookery, St. Paul Island, 2-3 October 1962	94
28.	Tag numbers (0-series) and weights of live male and female fur seal pups, by rookery, St. Paul Island, 24-25 October 1962	95
29.	Record of fur seal pups tagged, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1941, 1945, 1947-49, and 1951-62	99
	Bull counts, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1911-41 and 1943-62	100 101

# FUR SEAL INVESTIGATIONS PRIBILOF ISLANDS, ALASKA, 1962

by

Alton Y. Roppel, Ancel M. Johnson, Richard D. Bauer, Douglas G. Chapman, and Ford Wilke

### **ABSTRACT**

From 2 July to 5 August and during various periods from 13 August to 19 September when female seals were killed, 43,203 male seals were taken on St. Paul Island and 10.477 on St. George Island. The peak of the kill occurred from 22 to 26 July. Age classification in percent was: St. Paul, age 2-4, age 3-61, age 4-33, age 5-2; St. George, age 2-6, age 2-56, age 4-36, age 5-2. The kill was 15 to 20 percent less than predicted for 1962. The forecast for 1963 is 59,000 males if the kill is terminated 31 July and 72,000 if terminated 15 August. The pup population on the Pribilof Islands for 1959 was estimated to be 838,000. Tag ratios from fall sampling of pups produced a low estimate for 1962 (382,000). Harem and idle bulls counted were 12,674 and 11,750, respectively. A total of 43,760 females were removed from the herd. Fifty-five percent of the females taken in August were ages 3-5; in September these ages made up 24 percent. The percent of post partum females increased when animals were taken on rookeries and when the proportion of older animals increased. A total of 3,718 tagged seals, including 2,417 with checkmarks only and 20 tagged on Soviet islands, were recovered. Fifty thousand seal pups and 839 yearlings were tagged. Land pup mortality was 53,748. Untagged pups were heavier than tagged pups from early September to late October. Weights were significantly different in early September only. Pups gained 3.5-4.0 kilograms from early September to late October. Seal pups are infected with the intestinal phase of hookworm by ingesting the parasite with milk. Seals of all ages harbor a tissue phase of hookworm in their belly blubber.

# INTRODUCTION

Beginning in 1956 the Pribilof seal herd has been subjected to a program designed to reduce the number of pups produced annually with the expectation that as the number was reduced the rate of survival would improve.

During the 7-year period, over 225,000 female seals have been taken on the Pribilof Islands. Natural mortality, which was increased in intensity in some of these years, also reduced the number of females an additional indefinite amount. The combined effect of these reductions appears to show: in abandoned rookery areas, in greater difficulty in finding off-rookery groups of females, and in the need for more effort to tag a specified number of pups. These changes are somewhat subjective and not readily measurable.

Note, -- Alton Y. Roppel, Ancel M. Johnson, Richard D. Bauer, and Ford Wilke, Wildlife Biologists, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Marine Mammal Biological Laboratory, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Seattle, Washington; and Douglas G. Chapman, Laboratory of Statistical Research, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington.

Estimates of the number of pups produced, based on tag recoveries at ages 3 and 4 years for seals born up to 1959, do not suggest that up to that year a substantially reduced number of pups were being produced.

The 3-year difference between the appearance of a new year class of pups and the estimate of its size, in addition to inflationary errors in the estimate, tends to make it difficult to understand the current situation.

An accurate estimate of the number of pups now being produced is an essential starting point for adjustments in management measures. The adjustments would lead to a closer approach to the point of maximum sustained yield and to efficient use of surplus females.

To obtain more accurate and more current estimates of production, experiments are being carried on (i) to use a mark ratio to estimate the number of pups during their first year and (2) to determine sources of bias in estimates from tags and to measure their effect.

The life history of the hookworm infecting fur seals as brought out by recent research illustrates again the futility of more than experimental management without some understanding of the biology of the species being managed. The investigation of hookworm infection is a beginning in a study that should identify the causes of fur seal mortality, their relative importance, how they operate, their interrelationships, and how they are influenced by population size.

When a start has been made in assembling this kind of knowledge, forecasts of year-class success can be based on more definite relationships than those that now must be used.

The 1962 field season on the Pribilof Islands extended from May to November. Arrivals, departures, and affiliations of research workers were as follows:

Name	Arrival and Departure	Affiliation	Work
William J. L. Sladen	23 April to 4 June	Johns Hopkins University	Upper respiratory infections in humans
Richard S. Peterson	15 May to November	Bureau of Com- mercial Fisheries and Johns Hopkins University	Behavior of fur seals
Eugene T. Lyons	20 May to 10 September	Colorado State University	Hookworm infection of fur seals
David Hopkins	18 June to 20 July	U.S. Geological Survey	Geology of Pribilof Islands
Allen Cox	11 11	11	II .
Edward Roth	11 11	11	11
Peter A. Dzikiewicz 1	20 June to 10 September	Bureau of Com- mercial Fisheries	Fur seal research, general
Robert L. DeLong <sup>1</sup>	11 11	n	11
Robert L. Rost 1	" "	п	"
C. John Ralph 1	27 June to September	tt	п

See footnote at end of listing.

Name	Arrival and Departure	Ammation	WOLK
Alton Y. Roppel	27 June to 4 September	Bureau of Com- mercial Fisheries	Fur seal research, general
O. W. Olsen	2 July to 30 July	Colorado State University	Hookworm infection of fur seals
Kenneth E. Thompson 1	7 July to 17 September	Bureau of Com- mercial Fisheries	Fur seal research, general
Richard K. Stroud 1	7 July to 10 September	11	n
William G. Reeder	9 July to 20 July	University of Wisconsin	Mother-young com- munication in seals
James Nybakken	11 11	11	11
Ancel M. Johnson	15 August to 17 September	Bureau of Com- mercial Fisheries	Population dynamics of fur seals
Mark C. Keyes	15 August to 10 September	. 11	Mortality of fur seals
Ford Wilke	15 August to 17 September	н	Fur seal research, general
Richard D. Bauer	15 August to 15 October	11	11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Temporary employee.

Koji Nakamura spent the period from 23 July to 16 August on the Pribilof Islands observing fur seal research and management. Nakamura represents the Government of Japan in the First Ocean Section, Production Division, Fisheries Agency. He visited the Marine Mammal Biological Laboratory in Seattle on 17 August.

Warren Garst, a graduate student at Colorado State University and professional wildlife photographer, made a film of wildlife on St. Paul Island for Don Meier Television Productions from 11 June to 23 July.

## POPULATION

MALES

Age Classification

Age classification of the male kill was determined from a sample of 5,242 canine

teeth collected on St. Paul Island and from 1,290 collected on St. George Island. Sampling was carried on throughout the male kill from 2 July to 5 August and from 13 to 18 and 20 to 24 August when an attempt was made to take 4-year-old males during the female kill. In 1962, length limits for taking males were 106.6 to 123.8 centimeters (42 to 48 3/4 inches), measured from tip of nose to tip of tail. These limits correspond essentially to the 1961 limits of 104.1 to 121.2 centimeters (42 to 47 3/4 inches) as measured from tip of nose to base of tail.

The daily and cumulative age classifications of the male kill are given in appendix tables 7 and 8 for St. Paul Island and in 9 and 10 for St. George Island. Age classification of the male kill on Tolstoi and Lukunin-Kitovi is separated in appendix table 11. The peak of the kill occurred during round 6 (22-26 July) when 8,577 males were taken on St. Paul

Island. The pattern of the 1962 kill followed that of years before 1960 and 1961. In 1960 and 1961, 83 and 72 percent of the kill on St. Paul Island was comprised of 3-year-old seals. This followed the general rule that kills which draw on a relatively strong 3-year-old class and are continued to 10 August or later will have a peak later than round 6 (22-26 July).

Several occurrences during the 1962 male kill pointed to the influence of weather on the seals in their choice of when and where to haul out. For example, the number (4.347) of males killed during round 7 (27-31 July) seemed abruptly low compared to the kill of 8,577 in round 6, although the latter was assumed to be the peak at the time. Therefore. part of the reduction was tentatively attributed to an unusually severe and prolonged summer storm which lasted from 27 July through 5 August. This assumption was proved correct when the Tolstoi-Zapadni hauling grounds, which were fully exposed to the storm's force, produced 3,238 males in round 8 (1-5 August) as compared to only 1.262 animals during round 7 (27-31 July). A total of 3,656 males were taken from the Tolstoi-Zapadni hauling grounds during the most productive round, round 6 (22-26 July).

Additional evidence of the storm's influence was shown by the fact that 2,667 of 3,238 males taken from the Tolstoi-Zapadni hauling

grounds in round 8 were found on a protected beach near Tolstoi Rookery.

During rounds 2 through 7, an average of 69 percent (range 43-90 percent) of the returning 3-year-old seals tagged as pups on the Zapadni Rookeries in 1959 were recovered on the Zapadni hauling grounds. In round 8, during the storm, the homing tendency of 3-year-old seals tagged on the Zapadni Rookeries was reduced to 26 percent, a figure 43 percent below the average of preceding rounds. Many of them were taken near Tolstoi Rookery.

The male kill ended 5 August with a total take on the Pribilof Islands of 49,039. Attempts to take 4-year-old males during the 10-day female kill beginning 13 August added only 837 of this age class to the total, suggesting that most males from the 1958 year class had been killed. An additional 3,598 males, made up of 1,303, 2,144, and 151 2-, 3-, and 5-year-old seals, respectively, were taken during the female kill ending 24 August. In September 206 unclassified males were killed. Table 1 shows the kill of male seals, by year class, for the years 1947 through 1960. Tables 2 and 3 illustrate the male kill at various dates for 1954-62 and cumulative number of males killed from 1955 to 1962, St. Paul Island. The percent cumulative male kill, by round, age, and island is shown in figure 1.




Figure 1.--Percent 3- and 4-year-old male seals in cumulative kill, by island and round, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962.

TABLE 1.--Kill of male seals, by year class, Pribilof Islands, Alaska 1947-60

	Grand total <sup>1</sup>		A7 77	55,906	52,541	71,727	69,060	83,061	62,396	42,671	50,434	18,877	53,066	78,135	36,057	2,255
	Total		70% 01	809,6	10,269	14,519	14,186	18,508	14,336	10,127	10,886	4,027	10,076	13,551	6,781	636
		5	123	22	280	147	189	506	100	162	260	218	244	1	ł	1
Island	illed	4	3.731	3,926	2,570	4,793	5,310	8,459	3,330	2,779	2,825	1,387	4,492	3,707	1	1
St. George Island	Age when killed	3	7,043	5,546	7,116	8,475	7,907	8,998	10,01	6,651	7,246	2,251	5,098	9,413	5,890	î
S		2	1	114	303	1,104	288	545	295	535	555	171	242	431	891	636
	Total		54.661	46,298	42,272	57,208	54,874	64,553	48,060	32,544	39,548	14,850	42,990	64,584	29,276	1,619
		5	854	103	249	332	3,057	675	54	554	115	532	773	-	-	1
Island	killed	7	23,697	19,995	12,326	15,365	18,083	31,410	8,855	5,599	10,555	2,762	15,344	14,149	;	1
St. Paul Is	Age when ki	3	30.110	25,714	29,697	40,656	32,350	30,733	38,312	23,473	27,863	10,671	24,283	48,458	26,456	1
		2	1	786	t I	855	1,384	1,735	839	2,918	1,015	885	2,590	1,977	2,820	1,619
Year		1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	19582	19592	1960²	

Does not include Pribilof seals taken at sea or on Asian islands, nor 4,682 not classified as to age. Incomplete returns.

TABLE 2.--Male kill at various dates, by age, St. Paul Island, 1954-62

Date		Kill level	Age in years			
		VIII level	3	4		
1954:	4 July 11 " 18 " 27 "	10,000 20,000 30,000 49,699	Percent 44 49 56 65	Percent 54 49 41 31		
1955:	9 July	10,000	50	48		
	16 "	20,000	53	46		
	22 "	30,000	56	42		
	31 "	49,977	62	36		
1956:	6 July	10,000	24	64		
	11 "	20,000	30	62		
	16 "	30,000	33	60		
	26 "	50,000	41	52		
	15 August	75,736	51	42		
1957:	13 July	10,000	53	41		
	24 "	20,000	63	33		
	5 August	30,000	67	28		
	10 "	34,055	69	26		
1958:	10 July	10,000	74	26		
	18 "	20,000	78	22		
	28 "	30,000	80	19		
	31 "	33,325	82	17		
1959:	14 July	10,000	38	57		
	27 "	20,000	45	50		
	31 "	22,286	46	47		
1960:	21 July	10,000	80	17		
	1 August	20,000	83	12		
	7 "	28,819	84	10		
1961:	9 July	10,000	61	37		
	18 "	20,000	62	37		
	24 "	30,000	66	32		
	2 August	50,000	70	27		
	15 "	67,169	72	23		
1962:	12 July	10,000	49	47		
	20 "	20,000	54	42		
	26 "	30,000	59	37		
	5 August	39,983	62	34		

TABLE 3.--Cumulative number of male seals killed, St. Paul Island, 1955-621

Date		Age in	years		ate	Age in years		
		3	4		a te	3	4	
1955:	l July 6 " 11 " 16 " 21 " 26 " 31 "	1,574 3,341 5,929 10,416 15,358 21,707 30,733	1,962 3,643 6,248 8,999 11,648 15,638 18,083	1959:	1 July 6 " 11 " 16 " 21 " 26 " 31 "	584 1,364 2,625 4,189 6,096 8,327 10,203	1,474 3,028 4,665 6,425 7,949 9,721 10,446	
1956:	l July 6 " 11 " 16 " 21 " 26 " 31 " 5 Aug. 10 " 15 "	1,079 2,671 6,145 9,808 14,589 20,726 26,590 31,701 35,502 38,290	3,056 7,060 12,677 17,954 22,159 25,999 28,560 29,853 30,663 31,448	1960:	1 July 6 " 11 " 16 " 21 " 26 " 31 " 5 Aug. 10 "	699 1,751 3,274 5,529 7,904 10,978 15,312 21,610 24,201	368 676 988 1,385 1,717 1,968 2,347 2,657 2,757	
1957:	l July 6 " 11 " 16 " 21 " 26 " 31 " 5 Aug. 10 "	1,360 2,994 4,507 6,777 9,380 13,350 16,804 19,823 23,473	1,071 2,161 3,296 4,651 5,602 6,784 7,547 8,196 8,855	1961:	6 July 11 " 16 " 21 " 26 " 31 " 5 Aug. 10 " 15 "	4,119 6,770 9,993 15,492 22,609 29,523 38,908 43,629 48,458	2,315 4,316 6,021 8,302 10,851 12,488 14,072 14,780 15,344	
1958:	1 July 6 " 11 " 16 " 21 " 26 " 31 "	1,991 3,988 8,038 12,917 17,688 22,661 27,216	732 1,383 2,658 3,912 4,839 5,279 5,556	1962:	6 July 11 " 16 " 21 " 26 " 31 " 5 Aug.	1,639 4,485 7,643 11,226 17,301 20,267 25,098	2,028 4,335 6,636 8,663 10,832 12,047 13,422	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sealing began 2 July in 1961 and 1962, 27 June all other years. 1959 Male kill ended 31 July 1955 Male kill ended 31 July 15 August 1960 " 7 August 1956 10 " 11 11 15 " 11 11 1961 11 1957 5 11 11 1962 " 11 1958 " 31 July




Figure 2.--Kill of male seals, by age and round, St. Paul Island, 1962.

Figure 2 shows the number of male seals killed, by age and round, on St. Paul Island.

In 1960 and 1961 the kill of 3-year-old males was increased about 12 and 25 percent, respectively, from 6 to 15 August. Similar increases in 1962 would have provided 3,000 to 6,000 additional animals. Field observations, which are subjective in nature, suggest that a larger number of 3-year-old males could have been taken after 5 August. During the first 3 days of the female kill beginning 13 August, 1,041 3-year-old males were taken

unintentionally. Assuming that the field observations are correct in suggesting that at least the same proportion of increase in the male kill was possible for the period 1-15 August 1962 as in 1961, the estimate obtained is given in table 4.

The total of all ages shown in table 4, 60,674, is about 16 percent less than the 72,500 predicted. Table 5 compares the predicted and actual kill of male seals in 1962.

#### **Bull Counts**

The 1962 bull counts are given in table 6 by island and by rookery. All bull counts since 1910 are presented in appendix table 30.

Harem bulls on St. Paul Island decreased by 7.4 percent of the 1961 count; those on St. George Island decreased 17.5 percent. The total number of harem bulls on the Pribilof Islands was 90.5 percent of the 1961 count, bringing the 1962 level down to that of 1960.

Idle bulls decreased by 17.6 percent of the 1961 count; St. Paul Island showed a decrease

Table 4.—Estimated increase in kill by extrapolation to 15 August, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962

		Age in years				
	2	3	4	5		
Kill on St. Paul Island	1,619	26,456	14,149	773		
Probable increase if kill extended to 15 August less accidental kill	1,000	5,000				
Kill on St. George Island	636	5,890	3,707	244		
Probable increase if kill extended to 15 August (20 percent of increase on St. Paul Island)	200	1,000				
our radi island)	200	1,000				
Total	3,455	38,346	17,856	1,017		

TABLE 5.--Predicted and actual kill of male seals, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962

	Predi	Actual kill			
Age	St. Paul	St. George	Total	to 5 August	
Years					
3	44,000	11,000	55,000	30,202	
4	11,000	2,750	13,750	17,019	
Other	3,000	750	3,750	1,818	
Total	58,000	14,500	72,500	49,039	

of 22.7 percent, while the number on St. George Island increased 6.5 percent.

Because bull counts are made but once annually on the Pribilof Islands, substantial differences between two successive years do not necessarily reflect an actual change in numbers, except on land. For example, large numbers of idle bulls have been observed to disappear from the hauling grounds and rookery fringes within a relatively short period of time, only to return just as quickly. A behavior study begun in 1961, but not yet completed, relates heavy rainfall and high air temperature to the sudden movement of idle bulls from land to sea. Females are affected similarly. Although the departure and return of the harem bull escapes cursory observation, careful observations made during the present behavior study show that the harem bull of the Pribilof Islands is less of a permanent land resident during the breeding season than formerly reported.

Thus, the newly born pup crop is the only herd element that can be found on land in its entirety, and then only when most of the pups have been born and before they begin to enter the water.

#### **FEMALES**

The decision to bring the number of females down to the calculated level of maximum sustained yield has resulted in a total kill of 226,102 females on land during the 7-year

period 1956-62. Herd reduction aimed toward preventing the adverse effects of a peak population was in progress only a year before the herd began to display some of these effects. Mortality of pups on land had already been increasing for several years. The most obvious effect has been wide fluctuation in survival of the year classes. Scarcely 18,000 males were killed at ages 2, 3, and 4 from the record 496,000 male pups estimated to have been born in 1956. In contrast, 1958 production of 376,000 male pups contributed a total of 78,000 males to the kill at ages 2, 3, and 4.

That the number of females has been substantially reduced through the combined effects of natural mortality and commercial killing seems apparent from field observations. However, population estimates through the 1959 year class do not indicate that a reduction has been achieved. As recently as 1959, certain of the hauling grounds occupied more surface area than at present. The fewer females on the hauling grounds have allowed vegetation to advance into areas where the activity of many animals formerly kept the ground bare.

An analysis of the relationship of time to the number of females killed supports the general observations. In 1956, 22,680 females were taken on the Pribilof Islands by 15 August. Many additional females, particularly old animals, were spared because the coincidental kill of 95,936 males severely taxed the island

TABLE 6.--Harem and idle bull count, by rookery, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962

	Doto	Poolsows	Bu1	mada 1	
	Date	Rookery	Harem	Idle	Total
		St. Paul Is	sland		
10	July	Gorbatch Ardiguen Reef	862 131 1,564	743 85 1,205	1,605 216 2,769
		Total	2,557	2,033	4,590
11	July	Polovina Polovina Cliffs Little Polovina	273 779 326	592 641 680	865 1,420 1,006
		Total	1,378	1,913	3,291
12	July	Morjovi Vostochni	870 1,633	1,023 1,255	1,893 2,888
		Total	2,503	2,278	4,781
13	July	Tolstoi Lukanin Kitovi	1,032 251 579	527 185 146	1,559 436 725
		Total	1,862	858	2,720
15	July	Zapadni Little Zapadni Zapadni Reef	1,115 639 278	1,502 350 175	2,617 989 453
		Total	2,032	2,027	4,059
	St.	Paul Island	10,332	9,109	19,441
		St. George	   Island		
15	July	Staraya Artil	305	432	737
15	July	East Reef East Cliffs	160 319	330 253	490 572
		Total	479	583	1,062
16	July	North	973	1,002	1,975
17	July	Zapadni South	321 264	454 179	775 443
		Total	585	633	1,218
	St.	 George Island total 	2,342	2,650	4,992
	Prib	    	12,674	11,759	24,433

skin-curing facilities. The smaller male kill in 1957 allowed a kill of 47,413 females by 20 August. Some of the larger females were allowed to escape. A minimum length of 104.1 centimeters (41 inches) and a maximum kill of 50,000 animals were the only restrictions on the taking of females in 1956 and 1957. Because it was learned that many of the skins from females age 6 and older were of low quality, excessive numbers of large, scarred females were avoided in 1958 by imposing length limits of from 104.1 to 120.6 centimeters (41 to 45 3/4 inches). Within these limits, 31.102 females were taken without difficulty by 20 August. A compromise between the need for obtaining commercially acceptable skins and the need for herd reduction resulted in the 1959 plan to take 50,000 females with as many as possible to be less than 46 inches in length. The actual kill was 28,064 by 20 August, of which 72 percent were less than 46 inches in length. A comparative figure of 58 percent less than 46 inches in length for the reasonably unrestricted 1957 kill suggests that several hundred additional females 46 inches and longer were available through 20 August 1959. Thus, an average of 32,314 females was taken by 20 August in each of the first 4 years despite varying restrictions and conditions that curtailed the kill to some extent.

Partly because of an extremely low male kill and partly because comparative photographs suggested significant decreases since 1949 in occupied rookery areas, a field decision was made in 1960 to limit the female kill of that year to 350 per day for research purposes. The resulting kill of only 4,315 females should have allowed substantial increases in the availability of females in 1961 and 1962. However, this was not the case. Although established quotas of 43,750 females for each of these years were fulfilled, September reduction kills from 9 to 10 days were necessary in addition to the kill ending 15 August in 1961 and 24 August in 1962.

#### Methods Used in Current Studies

The female kill is sampled similarly to the male kill except that age is correlated with the reproductive condition of each female sampled.

As with males, age classification of the sample is projected to the total kill of females to obtain an estimate of the number taken from each age class. With some variation, this procedure was again followed in 1962. On St. Paul Island, 8,161 of 15,639 females killed in September were sampled for age and reproductive condition. The remaining 7,478 females were sampled for age classification only. During the kill ending 24 August, tagged females only were examined for reproductive condition. In addition, the tagged females were weighed and their body lengths recorded.

Occasionally, during the female kill, the females were aligned in rows of 20 animals. The first 10 animals in each row included females with black or mixed color (black and white) vibrissae; the remaining 10 animals were made up of females with white vibrissae. This method was intended to separate young (approximately ages 2 to 5) from older (age 6 and older) females. The animals were sampled as though there were 2 rows of 10 animals each, i.e., animals 1 and 2 and 11 and 12 were sampled in the first row of 20. 2 and 3 and 12 and 13 were sampled in the second row, etc. This method is intended to minimize sampling bias if the workmen selectively position certain sized seals in the row.

A behavior study begun in 1961 was continued in 1962.

#### Age Classification

The age compositions for the female kills on the Pribilof Islands in 1962 are given in appendix tables 12, 13, 14, and 15. Table 7 gives the year-class contributions to the female kills on the Pribilof Islands.

Table 8 summarizes the age composition of females sampled from the kills of 1958-62. The reduced number of older females in the 1958 and 1959 samples is a result of the maximum length limit imposed on the taking of females in those years. Had there been no length limit, the age composition of females taken in 1958 and 1959 would have been comparable to those of 1960 through 1962. Because the age composition of females sampled from the July-August and September kills of 1961

TABLE 7.--Year class contributions to kill of female seals by age, 1 Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1939-61

	10	177 15 39 36 10 27 1,173 1,173 1,173 1,687	
	6	1,136 3,120 1,289 1,289 3,127 2,247	
	₩	1,766 1,766 3,550 2,843 2,843	
	7	2,155 4,031 1,328 3,057 2,869	
	9	2,949 66,343 66,343 683 7,958 683 7,444	
e in years	5	161 210 4,618 11,465 4,056 2,047 4,520 6,303	
Age	4	6,422 84,493 7,285 6,912 8,683	
	3	2,132 1,150 11,468 2,072 4,651 4,563	
	2	132 132 11 601 281 431 431	
	1	150 120 37 37	
Year	class	1939 1940 1941 1942 1944 1944 1950 1951 1951 1956 1956 1959	

1 Includes pelagic kill of United States and Canada, 1958-62. In addition to above, 49,532 females, age 10+, and 217 females, unclassified, were taken.

TABLE 8.--Percent age composition of female seals sampled from the kills, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1958-62

		<del></del>		Ag	ge in y	ears				
Year and island	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	10+
1958 St. Paul St. George	2	37 20	29 22	13 17	11 13	3 9	1 4	1	2	1 9
1959 St. Paul St. George	1 -	6 6	25 20	14 14	11 10	12 13	6 7	4	4 5	17 19
1960 St. Paul St. George	1 -	8	14 9	23 20	14 12	9	8 10	7 9	4 5	12 24
1961 St. Paul St. George	1	10 11	16 15	10 10	11 10	6 7	6	7	5 6	28 27
1962 St. Paul July-August September	1 -	14 2	26 9	15 13	6 10	5 9	4 10	<b>3</b> 8	3 4	23 35
St. George	1	12	24	14	8	5	5	3	3	25

were essentially alike, the two sets of data were combined. In 1962, however, selective killing on St. Paul Island for young females during the July-August kill and for old females during the September kill produced differences in age composition, making it necessary to list the samples separately. There was no September kill on St. George Island in 1962 because the female quota was taken in August.

# Reproduction

Gross reproductive condition was determined for a sample of 562 tagged and 917 untagged females on St. Paul Island and for 200 tagged females on St. George Island. Summaries of the reproductive condition of these females are given in tables 9, 10, and 11. Figure 3 show the reproductive condition of tagged seals, by age class, sampled from the August kill. Figure 4 shows the reproductive

condition of female seals, by date and age, sampled from the September kill. The progressive increase in percent post partum females, for each age class, is attributed to a



Figure 3.--Percent postpartum tagged female seals sampled from the kill, by age, St. Paul Island, 13-17 and 20-24 August 1962.

TABLE 9.--Summary of reproductive condition of tagged female seals sampled from the kill, St. Paul Island, 13-17 and 20-24 August 1962

Reproductive					Age in	years					
condition	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	10+	Total
Post partum:											
Primiparous:											
number	-	-	5	23	8	14	-	-	-	-	50
percent	-	-	2	23	22	21	-	-	-	-	9
Multiparous:											
number	-	-	-	-	10	33	4	1	8	8	64
percent	-	-	-	-	27	50	66	34	27	50	11
Total post partum:											
number	-	-	5	23	18	47	4	1	8	8	114
percent	-	-	2	23	49	71	66	34	27	50	20
Nonpregnant:											
Nulliparous:											
number	13	100	202	77	13	2	-	-	-		407
percent	100	100	97	75	35	3	-	-	-	-	73
Primiparous:											
number	-	-	1	2	3	5	1	-	-	-	12
percent	-	-	1	2	8	8	17	-	-	-	2
Multiparous:											
number	-	-	-		3	12	1	2	3	8	29
percent	_	-	-	-	8	18	17	66	27	50	5
Total nonpregnant:											
number	13	100	203	79	19	19	2	2	3	8	448
percent	100	100	98	77	51	29	34	66	27	50	80
Grand total	13	100	208	102	37	66	6	3	11	16	562
Percent	2	18	37	18	6	12	1	1	2	3	

Sample size in percent of kill: 3.2

Sample size in percent of total tagged females killed: 84.3

gradual shift from killing females from the hauling grounds to killing those taken from rookery fringes or from rookeries.

The annual variations in pregnancy rates, by age and island, are given in table 12 for 1956-62. There have been no changes in pregnancy rates that can be attributed to the effect of herd reduction. Variations by age observed are the result of the locations where the females were obtained rather than of population manipulation. As previously stated, females taken from rookeries or from the rookery fringe areas exhibit pregnancy rates much in excess of those taken from the hauling grounds. While it is known that many rookery females infiltrate the hauling grounds and are included in the kill, it is not possible to identify a mixture that is representative of the herd as a whole.

Appendix tables 16, 17, and 18 show the reproductive condition, by day and island, of females sampled in 1962. Appendix tables 19 and 20 show the reproductive condition of female seals 4 or more years old and 5 or more years old sampled from the kill.

#### TAG RECOVERIES AND TAGGING

Tag Recoveries

A summary of tags and checkmarks recovered from the kill in 1962 is given in table 13 by sex, age, and island. Tagged male seals were killed only if they were within the length limits prescribed for taking males, or 106.6 to 123.8 centimeters (42 to 48 3/4 inches), tip of nose to tip of tail. Only the minimum length restriction of 106.6 centimeters (42 inches) applied to the taking of

TABLE 10.--Summary of reproductive condition of tagged female seals sampled from the kill, St. George Island, 3, 5, 13-17, and 20-23 August 1962

Reproductive					Age in	years					Tota
condition	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	10+	
ost partum:											
Primiparous:											
number	-	-	2	14	7	-	-	-	-	-	23
percent	-	-	2	28	47	-	-	-	-	-	12
Multiparous:											
number	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	3
percent	_		-	2	6	100	-	-	-	-	1
otal post partum:											
number	-	-	2	15	8	1	-	-	~	-	26
percent	-	-	2	30	53	100	-	-	-	-	13
Onpregnant: Nulliparous:					,						122
number	12	37	83	35	6	-	-	-	-	-	173
percent	100	100	98	70	41	-	-	-	-	-	86
Primiparous:											
number	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
percent	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	-	_
Multiparous:					,						1
number	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
percent	-				6						1
otal nonpregnant:											
number	12	37	83	35	7	-	**	-	-	-	174
percent	100	100	98	70	47	_	-	-	-	-	87
Grand total	12	37	85	50	15	1	-	-	-	-	200
Percent	6	18	42	25	8	1	-	-	-	-	
Sample size in percent of	kill:	2.5									
ample size in percent of	total	tagged for	emales:	100.0							

females in 1962. A total of 3,718 tags were recovered; 2,417 checkmarks from animals that had lost their tags were recorded. Appendix tables 21 and 22 provide additional details on tag recoveries.

Six females and fourteen males tagged on the western Pacific breeding grounds were recovered on the Pribilof Islands in 1962 (table 14).

## Tagging--Pups

Fifty thousand tags of the O-series were attached to pups in 1962. Of these, 40,000 were used on St. Paul Island and 10,000 on St. George Island. Tags were allotted to each rookery according to the proportion of harem bulls counted on that rookery. The rookeries

and number of pups tagged on each are listed in table 15. A record of pups tagged on the Pribilof Islands since 1940 is given in appendix table 29.

Tagging was completed in 6.5 days during the period 27 August to 5 September on St. Paul Island and in 2 days on St. George Island, 27-28 August. All tags used in 1962 conformed to the specifications outlined in the 1960 1 report of field activities. Each tag was attached to the rear edge of the right fore

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Carl E. Abegglen, Alton Y. Roppel and Ford Wilke. 1960. Alaska Fur Seal Investigations, Pribilof Islands, Alaska. Report of field activities, June-October 1960, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Marine Mammal Biological Laboratory, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Seattle Wash. [Processed].

TABLE 11.--Summary of reproductive condition of female seals sampled from the kill, St. Paul Island, 6, 7, and 11-14 September 1962

Reproductive					Age in	years					Tota
condition	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	10+	1012
Post partum:											
Primiparous:											
number	-	-	6	50	38	17	11	8	2	10	142
percent	-	-	6	35	42	18	17	11	5	4	15
Multiparous:											
number	•	-	-	6	22	53	42	48	22	173	366
percent		<del></del>		4	24	57	64	67	58	61	40
Total post partum:											
number			6	56	60	70	53	56	24	183	508
percent		-	6	39	66	75	81	78	63	65	55
PC1 CC111	_		ŭ	3,	00		•	10	03		33
Nonpregnant:											
Nulliparous:											
number	6	22	98	81	25	8	3	4	2	6	255
percent	100	100	93	57	28	9	4	5	5	2	28
Primiparous:											
number	-	-	-	4	3	6	-	1	2	2	18
percent	-	-	-	3	3	6	-	2	5	1	2
Multiparous:											
number	-	-	1	2	2	9	10	11	10	91	136
percent		<del></del>	1	1	3	10	15	15	27	32	15
Total nonpregnant:											
number	6	22	99	87	30	23	13	16	14	99	409
percent	100	100	94	61	34	25	19	22	37	35	45
L			/ -	٠.	3.2		• /		3.	7,7	
Grand total	6	22	105	143	90	93	66	72	38	282	917
Percent	1	2	11	16	10	10	7	8	4	31	

flipper where furred skin ends and bare skin begins. The checkmark used in 1962 consisted of a "V" notch cut into the front edge of the right fore flipper near the tip. Tag and checkmark locations of fur seal pups tagged since 1946 are shown in figure 5.

# Tagging--Yearlings

Seal mortality from birth to age 3 is calculated by subtracting the number killed on land at ages 2 and 3 from the estimated number of pups born. It has been estimated that mortality from birth to age 1 could be separated from mortality from birth to age 3 if 5,000 yearling seals could be tagged. This assumes that most mortality occurs during the first year of life. Continuing heavy mortality

beyond age 1 would prevent a satisfactory estimate from 5,000 tagged yearlings.

Trial tagging of yearling seals began in 19612 on St. Paul Island. Body weight and. to some extent, pelage characteristics were used to separate yearlings from seals of other ages. Of 740 selected yearlings double tagged in 1961 within the series M-1 to M-2,000, 139 were males and 601 were females. Ten males and four females tagged as pups in 1960 were each given an additional tag.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> R.S. Peterson, 1961. Report and analysis of yearling recoveries and tagging, St. Paul Island, 1961. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Marine Mammal Biological Laboratory, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Seattle, Wash. [Typed manuscript.]




Figure 4.--Percent postpartum female seals sampled from the kill, by date and age, St. Paul Island, 6, 7, and 11-14 September 1962.

In 1941, Wilke and Banner<sup>3</sup> recovered and collected information on 41 yearling males and 6 yearling females tagged as pups in 1940.

Twenty-three animals selected and tagged as yearlings in 1961 were recovered from the kill in 1962 (table 16), but age determination from the canine teeth revealed that only one of these was a yearling when tagged. Thus, body weight is apparently unreliable as an indicator of age. However, the extent cannot be measured until additional animals selected and tagged as yearlings in 1961 are recovered in 1963; seals that actually were yearlings in 1961 would normally be expected to return in greater numbers at age 3 than at age 2. Body length was used as the primary criterion for selection of yearlings in 1962.

Preliminary surveys made 20 and 24 September on Polovina, Tolstoi, and Little Zapadni Rookeries indicated that sufficient yearlings were present to begin tagging. Six yearlings tagged as pups in 1961 were measured, sexed, and released during the surveys which totaled 3 hours in duration.

During 7.5 days from 26 September to 11 October, 839 animals were double tagged on St. Paul Island within the series N-50,001 to N-51,000, and 128 seals tagged as pups in 1961 were each given an additional tag.

Methods.--A five-man crew surrounded all seals on a certain section of the rookery or hauling ground (the only hauling grounds on which known-age and selected yearlings were found were Polovina Sands and Tolstoi Sands), preventing their escape. A few seals at a time were then allowed to proceed slowly toward the sea so animals tentatively selected as yearlings could easily be extracted from the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ford Wilke and A. Henry Banner. 1941. Recovery of branded yearlings. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Marine Mammal Biological Laboratory, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Seattle, Wash. [Typed manuscript.]

TABLE 12.--Annual variation in pregnancy rates of females sampled from the kills, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1956-62

Island and		Age in years								
year	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	10+		
St. Paul Island:				Per	cent preg	nan t		1		
1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 <sup>1</sup>	10 13 6 15 12 3	57 53 48 59 56 42 32	74 78 65 61 72 64 61	76 81 72 57 66 67 73	61 75 65 54 57 59 79	63 73 68 43 66 58 76	51 74 61 48 51 58 65	36 51 35 39 49 41 64		
St. George Island	l:									
1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 <sup>2</sup>	12 5 10 22 15 2	52 36 43 62 56 38 15	81 58 61 66 59 66 8	83 64 56 69 52 64	72 62 65 75 59 61	64 57 60 61 51 59	69 46 64 60 67 59	53 51 45 51 45 48		

<sup>1</sup> Tagged and untagged females have been combined.

group, either by means of a noose attached to an 8-foot pole, or a lasso. Selected seals were dragged to a tagging site, preferably a level area. After removal of the noose or lasso, the animals were restrained in a straight, flat position so their body lengths could be measured (fig. 6). Tags were attached to the front flippers (fig. 7) of females 95 centimeters or less in length and to males 100 centimeters or less in length.

Maximum acceptable length limits for tagging yearlings were derived from information obtained from measurements of known-age yearlings taken in 1941 and in 1961. Visual selection of "yearlings" in 1962 was based primarily on body size and pelage coloration.

Results.--The number of selected yearlings tagged in 1962 is given, by rookery, in table 17. Sex ratios among selected and known-age

yearlings for 1941, 1961, and 1962 are given in table 18. The discrepancy in sex ratios between known-age and selected yearlings for 1961 is discussed later.

Distribution of body lengths for selected and known-age yearlings is given in figures 8 and 9. Mean body lengths of selected yearlings were 93.6 centimeters for males and 91.5 centimeters for females, and those of knownage yearlings, 94.6 and 90.3 centimeters, males and females, respectively. Mean lengths of 12 male yearlings recovered in 1941 were 95.4 centimeters and of 6 female yearlings, 87.1 centimeters. In 1961, the mean length of five known-age male yearlings was 88.6 centimeters. As shown in figure 9, lengths of known-age female yearlings did not exceed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tagged females only were examined.

<sup>4</sup>See footnote 2, page 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>See footnote 3, page 17.

TABLE 13.--Summary of tagged and tag-lost seals recovered from the kills, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, July-August and September 1962

			Tagged seals		7	Tag-lost seals		Grand
Series	Age	St. Paul Island	St. George Island	Combined total	St. Paul Island	St. George Island	Combined total	total
	Years					·		
					August			
				_	ale			
N	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	1
M	2	146	53	199	72 6 <b>6</b> 5	20 107	92	291
L K	4	1,128 794	200 141	1,328 935	419	66	772 485	2,100 1,420
]	5	40	16	56	155	22	177	233
I	6	2		2	-	-		2
otal	· ·	2,111	410	2,521	1,311	215	1,526	4,047
		_,		,	-,		2,023	-,
					nale			
M	2	26	12	38	32	2	34	72
L	3	107	36	143	153	16	169	312
K	4	237	85	322	169	34	203	525
J	5	116	51	167	167	25	192	359
I	6 7	45 80	15 1	60 81	22 27	2	24 27	84 108
H G	8	10	-	10	69	3	72	82
F	9	5	-	5	15	-	15	20
E	10	18	-	18	5	_	5	23
Other	10+	22	_	22	-		-	22
otal	201	666	200	866	659	82	741	1,607
					ale			
М	2	6	-	6	1	_	1	7
L	3	3	-	3	-	_	-	3
K	4	7	-	7	1	-	1	8
otal		16	-	16	2	-	2	18
				Fe	male			
М	2	4	-	4	1	-	1	5
L	3	7	-	7	6	-	6	13
K	4	54	-	54	5	-	5	59
J	5	78	-	78	77	-	77	155
I	6	29	-	29	11	-	11	40
H	7	79	-	79	19	-	19	98
G	8	14	-	14	25	-	25	39
F	9	7	-	7	4	-	4	11
E	10	18	-	18	-	-	-	18
	10+	25	-	25	-		-	25
Other		315	-	315	. 148	-	148	463

TABLE 14.--Soviet tags recovered from the kills, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962

	Tag number	Age	Sex	Island of tagging	Rookery of recovery	Length	Weight				
	St. Paul Island										
Years											
19 July	E 10354	2	ठै	Bering	ZAP	101.0	18.0				
22 "	C 15048	3	ठै	Commander	NEP						
24 11	C 18022	3	ठे	11	ZAP	118.0	29.2				
26 "	C 20428	3	₹	11	POL	111.0	24.4				
1 August	C 20444	3	ð	11	NEP	110.0	30.0				
21 "	C 7372	3	ð	Robben	NEP	112.5	23.8				
9 July	в 480	4	₹	Commander	NEP						
17 "	B 3012	4	ð	11	ZAP						
19 "	B 4982	4	ठे	11	ZAP						
2 August	B 4091	4	ठे	11	TOL	112.0	34.6				
13 "	C 56320	2	ð	11	NEP						
16 "	C 60180	2	₹	11	ZAP	105.0	22.8				
21 "	C 82450	2	ठै	11	NEP						
23 "	C 24720	2	2	Medny	ZAP REEF	95.5	14.4				
14 "	C 14418	3	2	Commander	NEP	111.5	21.6				
22 "	В 3047	4	2	11	ZAP REEF	114.0	25.1				
	St. George Island										
22 August	C 51310	2	3	Commander	EAST						
20 "	C 13170	3	2	Ħ	ZAP						
20 "	C 14958	3	\$	11	ZAP						
21 "	B 140	4	2	11	NOR						

TABLE 15.--Fur seal pup tagging, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962

Date	Rookery	Proportion allotment	Number and series allotment	Tags spoiled	Pups					
St. Paul Island										
Percent Number Number										
28 August and 4 September	Reef	24.8	9,900 017801-27700	8	9,892					
27 and 31 August	Polovina	10.2	4,100 027701-31800	3	4,097					
27 August	Little Polovina	3.2	1,300 031801 <b>-</b> 33100	-	1,300					
29 August and 4 and 5 Sep- tember	Northeast Point	24.2	9,700 033101-42800	24	9,676					
30 August	Tolstoi	10.0	4,000 042801-46800	4	3,996					
31 August	Lukanin-Kitovi	8.0	3,200 046801-50000	1	3,199					
30 and 31 August	Zapadni	10.8	4,300 010001-14300	25	4,275					
27 and 31 August	Zapadni Reef and Little	8.8	3,500 014301-17800	7	3,493					
	Zapadni	Total		72	39,928					
	St. (	George Islan	<u>ıd</u>							
27 August	Zapadni	25.0	2,500 01-2500	4	2,496					
27 "	North	42.0	4,200 05801-10000	9	4,191					
28 "	Staraya	13.0	1,300 02501-3800	4	1,296					
28 "	East	20.0	2,000 03801-5800	3	1,997					
		Total		20	9,980					
		Grand	total	92	49,908					




Figure 5.--Tag and checkmark locations, fur seal pup tagging, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1947-62.




Figure 5.-- Tag and checkmark locations, fur seal pup tagging, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1947-62.-- Continued




Figure 5.--Tag and checkmark locations, fur seal pup tagging, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1947-62.--Continued

TABLE 16.--Seals tagged as yearlings in 1961 and recovered from the kill, St. Paul Island, 1962

Tag			Ro	okery
number	Sex	Age	Tagged	Recovered
M 88	9	3	ZAP	NEP
M 195	2	3	ZAP	ZAP
M 247	9	3	ZAP	ZAP
м 270	3	3	REEF	REEF
M 286	\$	3	REEF	REEF
м 327	9	3	NEP	NEP
M 347	2	3	NEP	NEP
M 431	3	3	REEF	REEF
M 436	2	3	REEF	REEF
M 467	9	3	POL	NEP
M 469	9	3	POL	POL
M 479	9	3	REEF	REEF
M 488	9	3	REEF	REEF
M 547	3	3	POL	POL
M 553	9	3	REEF	ZAP
M 603	ठें	3	ZAP	ZAP
M 765	₹	3	POL	POL
М 767	<i>उ</i>	3	POL	REEF
M 818	₫`	3	ZAP	ZAP
M 1112	<i>હે</i>	3	POL	POL
Tags lost1	9	3	en	REEF
Tags lost1	2	3	••	NEP
Tags lost1	<del>Q</del>	2	649	REEF

<sup>1</sup> Recognition as a "tagged yearling" based on evidence of healed tag scar on each front flipper.



Figure 6.--Measuring a "yearling" seal selected for tagging, St. Paul Island, 1962.



Figure 7.--Tagging a seal selected as a yearling, St. Paul Island, 1962

TABLE 17.--Number of seals selected for yearling tagging, by sex and rookery, St. Paul Island, 1962

[Numbers in parenthesis indicate known-age yearlings]

Rookery	Males	Females	Totals
Zapadni	116 (17)	63 (8)	179 (25)
Little Zapadni	14 (1)	13 (0)	27 (1)
Zapadni Reef	20 (1)	12 (3)	32 (4)
Reef	99 (14)	59 (2)	158 (16)
Northeast Point	121 (30)	71 (6)	192 (36)
Polovina <sup>1</sup>	27 (3)	26 (0)	53 (3)
Tolstoi <sup>2</sup>	92 (17)	55 (8)	147 (25)
Lukanin-Kitovi	40 (15)	11 (3)	51 (18)
Total	529 (98)	310 (30)	839 (128)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes Polovina Sands hauling ground.

TABLE 18.--Sex ratios among selected and known-age yearlings, St. Paul Island, 1941 and 1961-62

Year	Selected yearlings	Known-age yearlings
1941	-	68433::10099(41::6)
1961	23 & :: 100 44 (139:: 601)	47533::10099(19::4)
1962	17133::100 44 (529::310)	32788::10099(98::30)

95 centimeters and only six males exceeded 100 centimeters. These maximum lengths governed selection of yearlings in 1962. However, three males and four females exceeding these limits were tagged because their pelage color was similar to that of known-age yearlings.

Body lengths of selected and known-age yearling males and females are compared in figure 10. Although the length distribution of selected yearlings correlates closely with that of known-age yearlings, bias may exist as a result of imposing maximum length limits on selected yearlings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Includes Tolstoi Sands hauling ground.




Figure 8.--Distribution of body lengths among 839 selected yearlings, St. Paul Island, 1962.




Figure 9,--Distribution of body lengths among 134 known-age yearlings, St. Paul Island, 1962.




Figure 10.--Body length comparisons of selected and known-age yearlings, St. Paul Island, 1962.

Details on the recovery in 1962 of yearling fur seals tagged as pups in 1961 are given in table 19. Homing tendency of known-age yearlings (table 20) was determined as the percent recovered on the rookery of tagging or birth. An average of 80 percent of the known-age yearlings observed in 1962 returned to the rookery of tagging or birth. Sixteen known-age yearlings were observed in 1961; 12 or 75 percent had returned to the home rookery.

<u>Discussion.--</u>Experience in 1962 indicates that yearlings appear earlier in the fall than expected. Yearlings became abundant enough by the third week of September for tagging operations to begin, whereas, formerly it was believed that few arrived before mid-October.

The likely reason for the discrepancy between sex ratios for the years 1941 and 1962, and 1961 is that of misidentification and tagging of 2-year-old females in 1961. Use of body length rather than weight as a criterion of age in 1962 may have eliminated error in identification of yearlings.

It was also thought in 1961 that yearlings could be distinguished from 2- and 3-year-old seals by the light colored under fur on the back region. But it was found that some marked yearlings had dark colored underfur. From the practical standpoint, seals were sometimes so covered with rookery mud that it was difficult to determine the underfur color.

Although only 967 yearlings were tagged in 7.5 days, twice this number could have been handled if a larger and more efficient crew had been used. Three days of tagging each week would preferable to five, since all the rookeries can be visited and tagging completed in 2 days. A short interval of time is needed for new arrivals to accumulate and for overlooked or escaped yearlings to return.

A period of 1.5 months (the approximate duration yearlings are present on the islands) would be needed to tag 5,000 yearlings.

TABLE 19.--Recovery of yearling fur seals tagged as pups in 1961, St. Paul Island, 1962

Tag number	Sex	Rookery	Rookery tagged	Tag number	Sex	Rookery	Rookery tagged	Tag	Sex	Rookery	Rookery
N 10459 N 10459	O+ 50 50	Zapadni	Zapadni "	N 14078	50	Polovina	Little Zapadni and Zapadni Reef	N 42534	% %	Northeast Point	Northeast Point
	o 40 40	z :	£ £		50 50	" Tolstoi	Polovina Zapadni	N 49136 N 13399	50 50	" Lukanin-	Lukanin-Kitovi
	40 Kg	= =	= =	N 43007 N 43310	C+ 50	= =	Tolstoi	N 14380	**	Kitovi "	Zapadni Little Zapadni
N 11870	o 50 5	= :	= :		50 K	= =	: :		)		and Zapadni
12112	ים לים	= =	: =		0 50		: =	N 15275	50	±	ree I
	50	=	=		50	F	٤		€0	Ξ	Reef
N 12592	O+ C	= =	= =	N 44814 N 7.825	<b>&gt;+</b>	E =	= =	N 25460 N 25987	<b>%</b> 0 ○	= =	= =
N 12955 N 12955	× 50	=	: =		+ 50	F	=		+ %	Ξ	Northeast
	0+ *	= :	Ξ:	N 45252	50 5	2 2	£ =		*	:	Point
	6 K	: =	: =		o O	=	: =	N 44242	0 %	: =	TOTSTOI
N 13586	0 50	: =	: =		+ 50	=	Ξ	N 48050	ວ ້າວ	: =	ronranin-na covi
	50	11	=		0+	11	£		50	=	=
19614	0+	=	Reef		KO 1	×	=		0+	=	=
	O+ *	= :	= :	N 45714	O+ %	= =	= =	N 49059	50 K	= <b>=</b>	= =
	0	= :	=		o C	: =			0 5	: =	: 2:
N 11155	o <sup>-</sup>	Northeast Point	Zapadni		× 50	: =	: =	N 49517 N 14458	н +0 о	Little	Little
N 15460	50	£	Little Zapadni	N 46185 N 46284	Kn K	E E	= =			Zapadni and Zapadni Beef	Zapadni and
			Reef		o *o	=	£		50		=
	50	=	Reef		0+ 1	= :	=		50 T	= :	Ξ.
N 31861 N 32020	<b>₹</b> 0 ₹	= =	Polovina	N 47000	€0 €0	Nowtheast.	Nowtheast.	N 16739 N 20602	60 O	E =	Now+book+
	5 5	=	Work to contract the contract to the contract		)	Point.	Point		٢		Dofn+
	0		Point		0+	=	=	N 44915	60	Ξ	Tolstoi
N 34129	50	=	E		50 °	<b>:</b> :	= :		50 (	Reef	Reef
	1	<b>=</b> :	= :	N 39570	<0 <sup>≮</sup>	= =	= =		>+ ×	= 1	= :
N 35283	50 F	: =	= =	N 40010	0 5	: 2:	: =	N 72468	50 °C	: =	: =
	) <sup>*</sup> (	E	=		o *c	Ξ	Ξ		o *c	Ξ	E
	o *c	E	=		o 04	=	=		· 50	Ħ	=
	50	=	=		₹0	<b>=</b> :	# :		€0	t:	=
	50 F	= :	= :		O+ <sup>K</sup>	= =	= =		50 F	= =	= =
	60 C	= :	t :		0 %	= =	= =	N 25378	50 K	= :	- E
N 38805	H	Ε	=	N 42001	5	:		N 42238	0		Iolstoi
							hadren and				

TABLE 20.--Homing tendency of yearling fur seals tagged as pups in 1961, by rookery, St. Paul Island, 1962

Rookery	Percent
Zapadni	86
Little Zapadni and Zapadni Reef	67
Reef	90
Tolstoi	96
Lukanin-Kitovi	47
Northeast Point	81
Polovina	50

Appraisal of Problems Involved in Tagging and Tag Recoveries

Several factors that bias population estimates were presented in the report for 19596 and evaluated in subsequent reports. 78 Changes made or needed to improve the marking techniques are given in the following discussion:

Quality of tags.—Style 19M tags furnished by the manufacturer for put tagging in 1960, 1961, and 1962 were less than satisfactory. Clinching failure was the primary problem. Clinching failure was partially eliminated in 1962 by modifying the tagging pliers to fit more precisely the contour of the tag. Be-

cause nearly 100 percent clinching success was observed among the manufacturer's new style 49M tags used on yearling seals in 1962, this style will be used for pup tagging in 1963.

Effects of tagging. -- The mortality rate for tagged pups was higher than that for untagged pups during the period from 2 to 6 days after tagging. Post-mortem examinations of 48 dead tagged pups showed that 34 or 71 percent had a heavy layer of blubber while 14 or 4 percent had little or no blubber; 38 or 79 percent of the dead tagged pups examined had cardiac lesions indicative of acute congestive heart failure. It follows that weight is a factor in mortality of tagged pups. Over-exertion of heavy pups as a result of their being forced to travel some distance during the tagging operation appears to produce heart failure. Other possible causes of mortality of tagged pups are gas gangrene from infected tag wounds, skull fractures sustained during tagging, and enteritis. The latter may be triggered by the stress of tagging operations.

In 1960, the effects of tagging on pup mortality were studied, and the effects were measured by clearing the dead pups from the areas just prior to tagging, then tagging pups on the areas and periodically clearing the rookeries of dead pups and recording the number of tagged and untagged dead pups. The rookeries were sampled to get an estimate of the tag ratio among live pups but no conclusions were made. The results from the two areas were contradictory.

A similar plan was followed during the 1962 field season; the data are given in table 21. The data from 1962 show that tagged pups have a higher mortality rate than untagged pups the first week after tagging ( $X^2 = 109.8$ , P<.005). Counts made later than one week after tagging also show that mortality of tagged pups tends to be higher than that of untagged pups, but the difference is not significant.

It was believed that there may be a general increase in pup mortality on the rookeries as a result of the disturbance from pup

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Carl E. Abegglen, Alton Y. Roppel, and Ford Wilke. 1959. Alaska Fur Seal Investigations, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, Report of field activities, June-September 1959, Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Marine Mammal Research, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Seattle, Wash. [Processed.]

<sup>7</sup> See footnote 1, p. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Carl E. Abegglen, Alton Y. Roppel, Ancel M. Johnson, and Ford Wilke. 1961. Fur Seal Investigations, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, Report of field activities, June-November 1961. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Marine Mammal Biological Laboratory, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Seattle, Wash. [Processed.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See footnote 1, page 15.

TABLE 21.--Counts of dead pups on Polovina Flats and Little Polovina before and after tagging, St. Paul Island, 1962

Counts prio	r to tagging		Counts	after tagging	3	
	m 1 3	D-+-	Tag	ged	The home of	moto?
Date	Total	Date	Observed	Expected	Untagged	Total
		Polov	vina Flats			
August		September	<del></del>			
8	1,288	2	22	4	22	44
12	227	9	11	3	19	30
18	209	16	5	6 3	33	38
26	97	24	8	3	15	23
Total	1,821		46		89	135
		Litt!	le Polovina			
August		September				
9	1,662	2	17	5	30	47
13	273	9	4	3	16	20
18	121	16	7	3	19	26
26	71	24	10	6	36	46
Total	2,127		38		101	139

<sup>1</sup> Expected number of dead tagged pups was calculated from the tag ratio from first fall pup sampling.

tagging, but the counts before and after tagging did not show this to be true. The major portion of pup mortality had occurred prior to the first clearing of the rookeries on 8 and 9 August. Mortality decreased from the first count in early August until mid-September when the total counts were low; there were no changes that were clearly a result of the tagging operation.

Additional observations support the quantitative data presented in table 21. While clearing the rookeries the first week after tagging, the dead tagged pups observed generally were plump. An emaciated animal was exceptional, whereas nearly all the dead untagged pups were emaciated. After the first week it was observed that nearly all the dead pups were emaciated. These observations are interpreted to mean that tagging has an immediate affect on pups, causing mortality to a small per-

centage of them. Of the total number of tagged pups on Little Polovina rookery, approximately 3 percent were found dead during the study. A similar estimate could not be made for Polovina Flats.

Quality of checkmarks.--The veining chisels formerly used for making the "V" notch checkmarks were replaced in 1962 with veterinary ear-notching instruments. The ear-notching instruments produced a satisfactory checkmark as illustrated in figure 11. Observations during tagging indicated that uniformity of marks was achieved. Checkmarks made with the veining chisels varied considerably in position, in appearance, and in depth (figs. 12 and 13). Use of either tool requires that the mark be made at the distal end of the first digit to avoid cutting into the phalanges.



Figure 11.--\*\*V\*\* notch checkmark made with veterinary ear-notching instrument.




Figure 12.--Variances in "V" notch checkmarks recovered from seals wearing tags.



Figure 13. -- "V" notch checkmark placed too far from flipper tip.



Figure 14. -- Slice type of checkmark.

Recovery of tags and checkmarks.--Information developed from the carcass re-examination study begun in 1960<sup>10</sup> shows that few (<0.5 percent) tags are overlooked, that considerable numbers of checkmarks are overlooked, and that the slice type of checkmark (fig. 14) is more easily recognized by the men

recovering tags than is the "V" notch check-mark. Carcass re-examination is now a permanent part of the tag and checkmark recovery program.

A comparison of recovered and overlooked checkmarks is made in table 22 for the years 1961 and 1962. Data for 1960 have been omitted in the table because recoveries of

<sup>10</sup> See footnote 1, page 15.

overlooked checkmarks in that year were not separated as to "V" notch or slice. Carcasses were first re-examined on St. George Island in 1962.

Table 23 shows the results of a preliminary check on the number of tagged seals that are without checkmarks. A larger sample will be examined in 1963 to determine the significance of this factor in inflation of population estimates.

# Results of Double Tagging

One hundred and fifty-seven of the five thousand pups double tagged in 1958 were examined during the 1962 kill. The tag recovery data are as follows:

Number	Condition
71	No loss of tags
85	Loss of one tag
1	Loss of two tags

Tag loss estimated from double tagging increased from 0.19 in 1961 to 0.34 in 1962. Tag loss estimated from the recovery of checkmarks and tags from 4-year-old males tagged with only one tag was about the same as the estimate from recovery of double tags--0.34 compared to 0.37.

## Homing Tendency

Homing tendency of tagged male and female seals is shown in table 24 by age and in table 25 by rookery.

#### MORTALITY

Mortality among fur seals is considered in two phases, land and ocean. Including both phases, up to 85 percent of the pups born each year are lost prior to reaching age 3. Land mortality, which is measured through annual counts of the dead pups on all rookeries accounts for about one-quarter of the deaths.

TABLE 22.--Checkmarks overlooked compared to the checkmarks available, male seals, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1961-62

Year and	St. Pa	aul Island		St. Georg	ge Island	i
checkmark type	Checkmarks available	Check overl		Checkmarks available		kmarks Looked
1961 "V" notch Slice	Number 1,558 64	Number 481 17	Percent 30.9 26.6	Number 	Number 	Percent
1962 "V" notch Slice	574 737	258 115	44.9 15.6	88 127	21 9	23.9 7.1

TABLE 23.--Tagged male and female seals without checkmarks, St. Paul Island, 1962

Checkmark type	Tagged seals examined	Tagged seals	
"V" notch	Number 91	Number 6	Percent 6.6
Slice	66	2	3.0

TABLE 24.--Homing tendency of male and female seals, by age, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962

	Males				Female	3	
Age	Total recoveries	Recover rook		Age	Total recoveries	Recover rook	red home kery
Years		Number	Percent	Years		Number	Percent
1	1	1	100	1			
2	205	85	41	2	42	24	57
3	1,331	586	44	3	150	97	65
4	942	507	54	4	376	296	79
5	56	37	66	5	245	182	74
6	2			6	89	67	75
				7	160	117	73
				8	24	19	79
				9	12	9	75
				10	36	22	61
				10+	47	34	72

TABLE 25.--Homing tendency of male and female seals, by rookery, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962

St. Paul Island

Rookery	1	Males		Fen	nales	
of tagging	Total recoveries	Recovere rooke		Total recoveries	Recovere rooke	
NEP TOL L-K ZAP-1 REEF POL	475 250 179 519 473 274	Number 322 103 32 296 145 131	Percent 68 41 18 57 31 48	235 163 21 184 265 120	Number 185 138  139 163 73	Percent 79 85 76 62 61
Total Mean	2,170	1,029	47 orge Isla	988 nd	698	71
			orge rota			
ZAP-2 NOR EAST STAR	76 154 85 52	31 94 48 11	41 61 56 21	44 103 28 25	32 81 19 19	73 79 68 76
Total Mean	367	184	50	200	151	75

Ocean mortality, which accounts for the remaining three-quarters, can be measured only by the return of survivors to the commercial kill. It is now believed that each age class suffers its heaviest mortality during its first winter at sea.

An understanding of mortality factors and a means of measuring their influence on survival of the year classes are needed for making accurate kill forecasts. The approaches to parts of this problem that have been tried are given in other parts of the report.

Mark C. Keyes, a veterinarian, joined the staff of the Marine Mammal Biological Laboratory on 9 July 1962 to study mortality causes in fur seals. He was on St. Paul Island from 15 August to 10 September to (1) become familiar with fur seal management practices and their relation to mortality studies, (2) survey existing laboratory facilities on St. Paul Island and determine what modifications and supplies would be needed for continued research on mortality. (3) make postmortem examinations of recently dead or moribund seal pups, (4) evaluate the influence of tagging practices on pup mortality, (5) collect specimen material from seals for histopathologic study, and (6) become familiar with hookworm biology investigations being carried on by O. W. Olsen and E. T. Lyons of Colorado State University, Some preliminary results of work done in 1962 are given below and on page 53. A detailed report on the results of post-mortem examinations and on tagging practices is on file at the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries Marine Mammal Biological Laboratory, Seattle.

Post-mortem examinations of fur seal pups were made from 15 August to 10 September 1962. During this period, pup mortality was relatively light. Starvation was the primary cause of death and enteritis, pneumonia, and pediculosis were considered secondary causes. Hookworm infestations had declined sharply and could not be considered a significant mortality factor.

Gross and microscopic examination of the intestinal contents of a seal pup on St. Paul Island and culture studies of a captive fur

seal in Seattle, Wash., indicate that the bacterium *Clostridum perfringens* is a likely agent of enteritis among fur seal pups.

Pneumonia in pups was characterized by mild inflammation, congestion and atelectasis of the lungs, suggesting a virus as the etiological agent. A virus may also be responsible for the prevalence of excessive ophthalmic exudate among fur seal pups.

Pediculosis is widespread among fur seal pups on the rookeries. Because of their ability to cause anemia and transmit disease, lice may be an important factor in mortality.

## Dead-pup Counts

Total counts.—Pup mortality in 1962 decreased by 22 percent of the 1961 count on St. Paul Island and by 39 percent on St. George Island. Counts for both islands are given by rookery in table 26. Dead-pup counts made since 1940 are presented in appendix table 31.

Although records are kept of tagged pups found dead on Pacific coast beaches (table 27), the data are incomplete and are not usable for estimating ocean mortality.

Counts on sample areas.--Counts on the sample areas were contained in 1962 as a part of the annual dead-pup count (tables 28 and 29).

Comparison of total dead-pup counts with counts from sample areas, St. Paul Island .--The data from 1956 to 1962 were analyzed to determine how accurately the total number of dead pups can be estimated from the count on the sample areas. Two methods were used: The first was based on the ratio of counts from the sample areas to the total count determined from the 7 years of data; the second was based on a regression equation. Table 30 shows that differences between the estimates for each year and the actual counts are generally less than 5 percent of the latter. The 95 percent confidence limits for either of the estimates are ±7,000 or less. Confidence limits of estimates based on the regression equation are generally less than those for

TABLE 26.--Dead-pup counts, Pribilof Islands, Alaska 1962

Rookery	Dead pups
St. Paul Isla	and
Northeast Point	/ 007
Morjovi	4,881
Vostochni	8,565
Polovina	0.700
Little Polovina	2,121
Polovina Cliffs	2,957
Polovina	1,880
Reef	0.05
Ardiguen	225
Gorbatch	1,373
Reef	7,897
Sivutch	
Kitovi, Lukanin, Tolstoi	0.045
Kitovi	2,081
Lukanin	660
Tolstoi	3,004
Zapadni	
Little Zapadni	2,399
Zapadni Reef	598
Zapadni	6,627
Total	45,268
5 percent addition	2,263
	/m . 503
Estimated total	47,531
St. George Isl	Land
Vorth	2,242
Zapadni	1,740
Zast	504
Staraya Artil	1,435
Total	5,921
5 percent addition	296
Estimated total	6,217
20 02 ma 00 a 00 00 a	0,221
. Summary - 196	52
	_
Grand total	51,189
5 percent addition	2,559
Estimated total	53,748

TABLE 27. -- Tag recoveries from dead pups or yearlings reported by the public along the Pacific coast, 1948-62

Year recovered	Tag series	Number
1948	A	,
1949	В	4
		2
1950	CS	1
1953	E	1
1954	F	18
1955	GG	8
1956	Н	3
1957	I	3
1958	J	21
1959	K	24
1960	L	11
1961	М	21
1962	N	22

TABLE 28.--Dead-pup counts, sample areas, St. Paul Island, 1962

Rookery	Dead pups			
Northeast Point				
Morjovi	1,961			
Vostochni	1,866			
Polovina	,			
Little Polovina	Not counted separately			
Polovina	1,485			
Polovina Cliffs	Not counted separately			
Reef				
Gorbatch	626			
Reef, area l (north)	529			
Reef, area 2 (south)	1,409			
Tolstoi	1,332			
Zapadni				
Little Zapadni	791			
Zapadni	2,943			
Total	12,942			

estimates based on the ratio of the count on where the sample areas to the total count.

The regression equation used in estimating the total number of dead pups is:

Y = 3.3322X - 6, 198

X = count from sample areas

Y = estimate of the total number of dead pups

The estimate based on the ratio can be derived by multiplying the count from the sample areas by 2.998.

TABLE 29.--Percent of complete rookery dead-pup counts represented by sample-area counts.

St. Paul Island, 1956-62

Rookery	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962
			Percent				
Morjovi	42.0	33.1	29.8	30.8	45.1	44.8	40.1
Vostochni	20.6	25.1	14.4	29.0	22.7	23.0	21.8
Little Polovina 1	51.6	55.5	61.3	56.0	51.4	49.0	
Polovina 1	26.3	36.6	48.5	42.3	65.7	67.6	
Gorbatch	33,1	31.0	68.8	38.6	30.0	30.1	45.6
Reef	30.2	25.6	46.3	31.0	26.7	28.2	24.5
Tolstoi	52.3	43.8	48.4	44.9	35.9	40.4	44.3
Little Zapadni	39.2	28.3	30.0	31.6	30.7	32.2	33.0
Zapadni	51.3	52,2	50.9	47.7	51.5	49.7	44.4

<sup>1</sup> Sample areas not counted separately in 1962.

TABLE 30,--Comparison of total dead-pup count and sample areas count, St. Paul Island, 1956-62

Year	Total dead-pup count	Sample areas count	Total dead pups esti- mated from ratio (total count/sample areas count 1)	Difference of total dead pups estimated with ratio and total dead-pup count	Difference as percent- age of total dead-pup count	Total dead pups esti- mated with regression equation?	Difference of total dead pups esti- mated with equation and total dead- pup count	Difference as percent- age of total dead-pup count
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(a)-(c)	[(a)-(c)]/(a)	(d)	(a)-(d)	[(a)-(d)]/(a)
1956	98,707	31,301	93,829	4,878	4.9	98,103	604	.6
1957	61,662	19,729	59,140	2,522	4.1	59,542	2,120	3.4
1958	31,187	11,802	35,378	-4,191	-13.4	33,129	-1,942	-6.2
1959	39,964	14,125	42,341	-2,377	-5.9	40,869	-905	-2.3
1960	62,828	20,374	61,073	1,755	2.8	61,692	1,136	1.8
1961	57,867	20,615	61,796	-3,929	-6.8	62,495	-4,628	-8.0
1962	40,1903	12,942	38,795	1,395	3.5	36,927	3,263	8.1
Total	392,405	130,888	392,352	53		392,757	352	

<sup>1</sup> Ratio used for estimate was: 7 years total dead-pup counts (392,405)/ 7 years total sample-areas count (130,888).

Regression equation used for estimate was: Y = 3.3322X-6198.

<sup>3</sup> Count does not include Polovina Cliffs and Little Polovina.

The mean air temperature for St. Paul Island for the 12-month period, 1 July to 30 June, and the total count of dead pups in the following August continue to show a significant inverse relationship, r = -.757, P<.01 (fig. 15). This relationship cannot be explained.

Prior to the recent discovery that hookworm larvae are transmitted from the female to her pup through nursing within a short time after birth, it was believed that an association existed between air temperature and the survival of hookworm larvae in the soil. Since the pups are apparently infected before larvae can enter the female from the soil and migrate to the milk, the influence, if any, of weather on the severity and frequency of infection must come in the preceding year or years. The association between temperature and hookworm infection now seems less reasonable, or at least, more involved. Possibly the air temperature-mortality relationship is fortuitous. It will be necessary to analyze the data more thoroughly and trace the apparent relationship for a longer period before making a conclusion.




Figure 15.--Pup mortality-air temperature relationship. St. Paul Island.

The recovery of tags during the kill of seals on land has provided data for estimating the number of pups born annually beginning in 1947. Because estimates using this method are necessarily delayed until tags can be recovered 3 and 4 years after birth of the pups, a program to sample pups after tagging was begun in 1961 to provide information on the tagged to untagged ratio among pups in the year of birth. Pup population estimates from both sources of data are presented in the following analysis, although the pup sampling program is still in an early experimental stage.

## Estimates from Tagged Males

In 1960 and 1961 the observed tag lost to tagged ratio was higher among animals recovered on St. Paul Island than it was among those recovered on St. George Island. Therefore, the St. Paul Island ratios were used to correct the St. George Island ratios before making the population estimates. Comparative analysis of 1962 tag-recovery data showed that a similar correction for this year was unnecessary. The tag lost to tagged ratios among marked male seals taken in 1960, 1961. and 1962 are presented in table 31. Tables 31 and 32 show that the tag lost to tagged ratio increases with age and that there has been an increase in the ratio in each year class since 1958. An increase in tag loss with age is expected; however, reasons for the increase are not clear. Several factors that were involved are (1) efforts to identify animals that have lost their tags have been improved since 1959, (2) the "V" notch checkmark used in 1958 is often quite difficult to identify, (3) the more easily recognized slice type of checkmark was used on pups tagged in 1959 and 1960, and (4) numerous clinching failures among tags used in 1960 probably resulted in a higher rate of tag loss. Other factors may also have contributed to the increase.

Table 33 shows the population estimates based on tag recoveries for year classes 1958 through 1960. The estimates were calculated using Petersen's formula and treating

TABLE 31.--Tag-lost to tagged ratio among male seals killed, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1960-62

	77	Seals ch		
Island of recovery	Year	With tag	With	Ratio
and year	class	lost	tag on	
St. Paul 1960	1957	461	1,221	1.38
St. George 1960		48	257	1.19
St. Paul 1961		639	900	1.71
St. George 1961		113	208	1.54
St. Paul 1962		143	36	3.97
St. George 1962		19	16	1.19
St. Paul 1961	1958	918	2,523	1.36
St. George 1961		153	456	1.34
St. Paul 1962 St. George 1962		394 60	753 135	.523
St. Paul 1961 St. George 1961	1959	47 8	97 35	.485
St. Paul 1962 St. George 1962		623 98	1,071 169	.582
St. Paul 1962 St. George 1962	1960	43 10	63 14	.683

<sup>1</sup> See text footnote 8, p. 30.

both islands as a single unit. Estimates based on combined recoveries for the 1958 and 1959 year classes are probably the most accurate. The estimate for the 1960 year class must be considered preliminary as it is based on only 130 tag recoveries.

Table 34 lists the population estimates at time of tagging the dead-pup counts, and the total number of pups born, i.e., the estimate at time of tagging plus the dead-pup counts.

Table 35 gives the estimated total pup population by island. The estimate for each

island was calculated on the basis of the estimated pup population at time of tagging, the harem bull count, and the dead-pup count for each island.

Although land mortality among tagged pups is known to exceed that of untagged pups, its effect has not been included in the population estimates (see section "Effects of tagging," p. 30). No reliable estimate of the magnitude of this factor is yet possible. Limited data show that it may be 2 to 3 percent, a figure that would have no appreciable effect on present estimates of the total pup population.

TABLE 32.--Comparisons of tag-lost to tagged ratios, male seals, Pribilof Islands, Alaska

Comparisons	Chi-square	Significance (P)
St. Paul Island vs. St. George Island, 1962 recoveries of the 1957 year class. (Tag loss of 4-year-old males greater on St. Paul.)	31.2	<.001
1962 vs. 1961, recoveries of 1957 year class on St. Paul Island. (Tag loss of 1957 year class greater at age 5 than at age 4.)	536	<.001
St. Paul Island vs. St. George Island, 1962 recoveries of 1958 year class. (No difference in tag loss of 1958 year class on St. Paul and St. George Islands in 1962.)	1.59	.23
1962 vs. 1961 recoveries of 1958 year class on St. Paul Island. (Tag loss of 1958 year class greater at age 4 than at age 3.)	55 <b>.</b> 8	<.001
St. Paul Island vs. St. George Island, 1962 recoveries of 1959 year class. (No difference in tag loss of 1959 year class on St. Paul and St. George Islands in 1962.)	.001	>•90
1962 vs. 1961 recoveries of 1959 year class on St. Paul Island. (Tag loss of 1959 year class greater at age 3 than at age 2.)	20.8	<.001
1958 vs. 1959 year class recoveries on St. Paul Island in 1961 and 1962. (Tag loss of 1959 year class at age 3 greater than tag loss of 1958 year class at age 3.)	147	<.001
St. Paul Island vs. St. George Island, 1962 recoveries of 1960 year class. (No difference in tag loss of 1960 year class on St. Paul and St. George Islands.)	.02	•90
1959 vs. 1960 year class recoveries on St. Paul Island in 1961 and 1962. (Tag loss of 1960 year class at age 2 greater than tag loss of 1959 year class at age 2.)	5.07	.02

TABLE 33.--Estimates of fall pup population from male tag recoveries, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, year classes 1958-60

Year class	Tagged (t)	Year of recoveries	Killed (n)	Tag recoveries (s)	Population estimate
1958	Number 49,917	1960, 1961 <sup>1</sup> 1962 1960, 1961, and 1962 combined	Number 1 57,871 17,019 74,890	Number 1 4,067 1,342 5,409	Number 1 710,141 632,617 691,018
1959	<sup>1</sup> 49,881	1961 <sup>1</sup> 1962 1961 and 1962 combined	1 3,711 30,202 33,913	1 181 1,961 2,142	<sup>1</sup> 1,017,374 767,883 789,407
1960	59,981	1962	952	130	436,358

Douglas G. Chapman, 1961, Preliminary report on the population analysis of the Pribilof fur seal herd. <u>In Carl E. Abegglen</u>, Alton Y. Roppel, Ancel M. Johnson, and Ford Wilke, Fur seal investigations, Pribilof Islands, Alaska. Report of field activities June - November 1961. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Marine Mammal Biological Laboratory, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Seattle, Wash. [Processed.]

# Estimates from Tagged Females

The fall pup population was estimated for the 1957 through 1960 year classes by the usual method. The significantly higher tag lost to tagged ratio on St. Paul Island was used to correct the lower ratio on St. George Island. The estimates (table 36), calculated using Petersen's formula, were considerably lower than those based on tag recoveries from the male kill. There is no apparent explanation for the difference. The fact that fewer tagged females than tagged males were recovered should not influence the level of the estimate.

Tag lost to tagged ratios among females killed in September on St. Paul Island were very different from the ratios among females taken in August. Probably, there was less effort put into the identification of tag-lost animals taken during the September kill. Partly because of this and partly because the

recoveries in September were insufficient as a basis for a separate estimate, only the returns for the period 13-24 August were used in making the pup population estimates.

Estimates of the Fall Pup Population from Fall Tag Ratio

The tag ratio of the 1962 fall pup population on St. Paul Island was estimated twice by counting groups of 25 pups along transect lines systematically distributed on the rookery areas. This method was the most promising of various sampling methods tried in 1960 and 1961. The results of the two counts are given in table 37.

The mean of the estimates from the first and second counts is 231,800 with 95 percent confidence limits of  $\pm 53,000$ .

The highest estimate of the number of pups born on the Pribilof Islands in 1962 is 382,000.

TABLE 34.--Pup population estimates and dead-pup counts, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, year classes 1953-60

Year class	Population at time of tagging	Dead-pup counts	Total pup population
1953	704,000	91,000	795,000
1954	727,000	111,000	838,000
1955	778,000	1 79,000	
1956	872,000	120,000	992,000
1957	637,000	75,000	712,000
1958	691,000	38,000	729,000
1959	789,000	49,000	838,000
1960	436,000	75,000	511,000

<sup>1</sup> Count for St. Paul Island only.

TABLE 35.--Estimates of total pup population, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, year classes 1958-60

Year class	Fall pup population estimate	Island	Distribution of harem bull count	Dead pups	Estimate of total pups
1958	<i>Number</i> 691 <b>,</b> 000	St. Paul St. George	.792 .208	Number 33,000 5,000	Number 580,000 149,000
1959	789,000	St. Paul St. George	.798 .202	42,000 7,000	672,000 166,000
1960	436,000	St. Paul St. George	.801 .199	66,000 9,000	415,000 96,000

This estimate is based on tag ratios obtained from the second count on St. Paul Island and the dead-pup count for both islands. The number of pups allotted to St. George Island is determined by the relative proportion of harem bulls counted on each island. Estimates of the total pup population for the 1958 and 1959 year classes based on tag recoveries from the kill is about twice the highest estimate for the 1962 year class made from fall pup sampling.

There is no reason to believe that the pup population has decreased to the level indicated by the 1962 estimates from fall sampling; therefore, we assume that the estimate from fall sampling is too low, i.e., the tag ratio from sampling is too high.

Obvious factors causing a high tag ratio are: observers tend to count tagged pups and ignore untagged pups; tagged pups have a higher probability of being included in the samples than

TABLE 36.--Estimates of fall pup populations from female kill, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 13-24 August 1962

Age	Year class	Tagged	Killed	Recovered 1	Population estimate
Years		Number	Number	Number	Number
2	1960	59,981	<b>35</b> 8	85	250,390
3	1959	49,881	4,037	347	578,803
4	1958	49,917	6,427	552	480,229
5	1957	49,842	3,621	369	487,923

<sup>1</sup>St. George Island recoveries corrected by St. Paul Island tag lost to tagged ratios.

TABLE 37.--Estimates of the pup population, St. Paul Island, year class 1962

			irst sampling p 8 and 9 Septen		Second sampling period, 16 and 17 September			
Rookery	Seals tagged	Samples	Mean proportion tagged in sample	Estimated pup population at time of tagging	Samples	Mean proportion tagged in sample	Estimated pup population at time of tagging	
	Number	Number		Number	Number		Number	
Reef	9,892	139	0.1872	<b>52,</b> 840	157	0.1044	94,750	
Polovina	4,097	77	0,1788	22,910	67	0.1771	23,130	
Little Polovina	1,300	16	0.1799	7,230	15	0.2887	4,500	
Northeast Point	9,676	157	0.2637	36,690	122	0.2368	40,860	
Tolstoi	3,996	81	0.2075	19,260	66	0.1677	23,830	
Lukanin-Kitovi	3,199	52	0.2683	11,920	57	0.2200	14,540	
Zapadni	4,275	79	0.1273	33,580	103	0.1439	29,700	
Zapadni Reef and Little Zapadni	3,493	85	0.1819	19,200	77	0.1218	28,680	
Total	39,928	686		203,630	664		259,990	

do untagged pups. The first factor was believed to be eliminated by experience in counting. At least it can be measured in future years. The second factor is more serious and is the most difficult to eliminate. At the time of tagging, some of the pups are resident at the water's edge or are spending most of their time in the water. When pups are rounded up for tagging, those near or in the water escape and are not tagged. Pups that escape tagging subsequently escape inclusion in the sample counts. Thus, pups available for tagging are the pups counted for determining tag ratios.

### SEAL-PUP WEIGHTS

Beginning in 1957, seal pups have been weighed annually on St. Paul Island approximately one week after tagging. Table 38 lists the mean weights in kilograms of tagged and untagged males and females from 1957 through 1962. Appendix tables 26, 27, and 28 list the

tag numbers and corresponding weights of the tagged pups, by rookery and by sex.

The main objective of the weighing program is to determine if there is a relationship between mean weights of pups and returns of the year class. An additional 3 to 5 years of data are necessary before this relationship can be determined. However, data through 1961 showed that the mean weight of tagged pups generally was less than that of untagged pups. Consequently, the weighing program was modified in 1962 to include three weighing a month apart, the first about 1 week after tagging. The purpose of additional weighings was to determine if differences in weights of tagged and untagged animals changed by the time pups leave the island.

The data from 1962 (table 39) show that the mean weight of untagged pups for each weighing period is greater than that of tagged pups. The mean weight of untagged pups was significantly greater than that of tagged pups for seven of the eight comparisons within rookeries at the

TABLE 38.--Mean seal-pup weights approximately one week after tagging, St. Paul Island, 1957-62

[In	Kilograms	]
-----	-----------	---

Group	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962 <sup>2</sup>
Males					4.0	
Tagged	7.9 (262)		9.0 (182)	9.2 (211)	8.0 (186)	8.4 (300)
Untagged	8.7 (391)	11.4 (127)	9.4 (444)	9.8 (372)	8.5 (381)	9.2 (300)
Females						
Tagged	7.4 (196)		8.0 (188)	8.4 (254)	7.2 (167)	7.6 (300)
Untagged	7.7 (351)	9.9 (121)	8.1 (386)	9.1 (363)	8.0 (466)	8.2 (300)

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in parentheses are the number of pups in each sample.

<sup>2</sup> Mean weights from first weighing.

TABLE 39.--Mean seal-pup weights, St. Paul Island, 1962

		Mai	Les		Females				
Rookery	Unta	gged	Tag	ged	Unte	igged	Tagged		
	Weight	Sample size	Weight	Sample size	Weight	Sample size	Weight	Sample size	
First weighing (2-3 September)									
	Kg.		Kg.		Kg.		Kg.		
NEP REEF ZAP	9.64 9.53 8.83 8.70	75 75 75 75	8.92 8.58 8.17 8.10	75 75 75 75	8.18 7.97 8.47 8.06	75 75 75 75	8.20 7.53 7.12 7.46	75 75 75 75	
POL	0.70		0.10		0.00		7.40	10	
Combined rookeries	9.18	300	8.44	300	8.17	300	7.58	300	
				weighing october					
NEP REEF ZAP POL	12.21 11.91 11.88 11.64	75 75 75 75	11.45 10.43 11.87 11.02	74 70 75 74	10.65 10.51 10.63 10.10	75 75 75 75	10.06 9.76 10.53 9.67	75 71 75 75	
Combined rookeries	11.91	300	11.21	293	10.47	300	10.01	296	
Third weighing (24-25 October)									
NEP REEF ZAP POL	13.92 12.02 13.72 12.05	75 75 75 75	14.01 11.40 12.77 11.72	75 75 75 75	12.67 10.78 13.20 10.50	75 75 75 74	12.34 10.58 12.38 11.00	75 75 75 75	
Combined rookeries	12.93	300	12.48	300	11.79	299	<b>1</b> 1.57	300	

TABLE 40.--Probability of no differences in weights of tagged and untagged pups, St. Paul Island, 1962

[In kilograms]

	NEP	REEF	ZAP	POL	Combination 1
First weighing (2-3 September):					
Males	.04	<.01	.03	<.01	<.01
Females		.10	<.01	<.01	<.01
Second weighing (2-3 October):					
Males	.08	<.01		.10	<.01
Females	.13	.02		.26	.08
Third weighing (24-25 October):					
Males		.13	.12	.45	.24
Females	.45	<.50	.07		.14

<sup>1</sup> Probability derived by combination method in George W. Snedecor's Statistical methods, p. 271 (see footnote 11, p. 48).

first weighing and for two of the eight at the second weighing (table 39). During the third weighing none of the weight differences within rookeries were significant. There were significant differences in weights between some of the rookeries, therefore the data were analyzed further, using the combination of probabilities described in Snedecor. <sup>11</sup> The results are given in the righthand column of table 40. Weight differences were significant for tagged and untagged males and females at the first weighing and for males at the second weighing. The differences were not significant for females at the second weighing or for either sex at the third weighing.

Differences in weights of pups between rookeries were fairly consistent during the

three weighings. Pups from Northeast Point Rookery were consistently above average in weight, while those from Polovina Rookery were consistently below average. Pups from Reef and Zapadni Rookeries varied in weight between these extremes. Pups from a specific rookery have not been consistently heavier or lighter than those from other rookeries from year to year.

The three weighings in 1962 gave some information on the growth rate and total weight gain of pups in autumn. Table 41 lists the changes in mean weights between weighings for the various groups. If the growth rate is constant, 60 percent of the total weight gain would have occurred between the first and second weighing and 40 percent between the second and third weighing. A tendency for a higher than expected percentage of weight gain to occur between the first and second weighing was not significant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>George W. Snedecor. 1956. Statistical methods. The Iowa State College Press, Ames, Iowa, 534 p.

TABLE 41.--Increases in mean weights of pups in September and October, St. Paul Island, 1962

		Male	S		Females				
Rookery	Tag	ged	Unta	Untagged		gged	Untagged		
	Weight	Total increase	Weight	Total increase	Weight	Total increase	Weight	Total increase	
		2-3 S	eptembe	r to 2-3	October				
NEP REEF ZAP POL	Kg. 2.53 1.85 3.70 2.92	Percent 50 66 80 80	Kg. 2.57 2.38 3.05 2.94	Percent 60 96 62 88	Kg. 1.86 2.23 3.41 2.21	Percent 45 73 65 62	Kg. 2.47 2.54 2.16 2.04	Percent 55 90 46 84	
Combined rookeries	2.77	69	2.73	73	2.43	61	2.30	64	
		2-3 0	ctober	to 24-25 (	October				
NEP REEF ZAP POL	2.56 0.97 0.90 0.70	50 34 20 20	1.71 0.11 1.84 0.41	40 4 38 12	2.28 0.82 1.85 1.33	55 27 35 38	2.02 0.27 2.57 0.40	45 10 54 16	
Combined rookeries	1.27	31	1.02	27	1.56	39	1.32	36	
		2-3 Se	ptember	to 24-25	October	<u>r</u>			
NEP REEF ZAP POL	5.09 2.82 4.60 3.62		4.28 2.49 4.89 3.35		4.14 3.05 5.26 3.54		4.49 2.81 4.73 2.44		
Combined rookeries	4.04		3.75		3.99		3.62		

Weight gains of individual animals were determined from 121 tagged pups weighed more than once. Of 22 pups weighed at the first and second weighing, 17 had gained an average of 2.44 kilograms and 5 had lost an average of 0.80 kilograms. Twenty-eight of forty-six animals weighed during both the second and third weighings had gained an average of 2.23 kilograms, 17 had lost an average of 1.38 kilograms and 1 had remained the same.

Fifty-three pups were weighed during both the first and third weighings. Of these, 51 gained an average of 3.26 kilograms, 1 lost 1.0 kilograms, and 1 remained the same.

Results of the pup-weighing program show that tagging causes an immediate weight loss and that the loss is at least partially overcome 2 months after tagging. There is a tendency for the rate of gain in weight to decrease

	Roc	Rookery areas							
Year	Tolstoi	Kitovi							
	White Cross to No. 16	Amphitheater	Blind to No. 13						
1959	702	1,218	979						
1960	405	1,211	1,072						
1961	558	1,048	942						
1962	465	1,067	764						

during October. The pups increase in weight by approximately 3.5-4.0 kilograms from early September to late October.

## RELATED STUDIES

### LIVE-PUP COUNTS

Live-pup counts were made 5 August on selected areas of Tolstoi and Kitovi Rookeries. 12 Counts made during 4 years are compared in table 42.

Correlation of counts with the population trend cannot begin until 1963 when sufficient tag returns will be available to estimate the number of pups born in 1959 and 1960.

### SKINS FROM FEMALES

In 1961, numbered stainless steel tags were attached to 117 skins taken from known-age 2-, 3-, 4-, and 5-year-old females to preserve their identify through the killing process. During blubbering, the tags were removed and the numbers permanently imprinted on the flesh side of the skins with indelible pencil. Age, reproductive condition, vibrissal color, body weight, and length measurement data were recorded for each of these females

and subsequently related to the finished grade and size of the corresponding skins. The results showed that a substantial proportion of the skins were of commercial quality similar to that of male skins.

This study to relate economic and biological information was continued and expanded in 1962 to include skins from older females because of their possible use in processing requiring shearing. A sample of 188 skins was selected from known-age females 2 through 15 years of age and barreled for experimental use.

### **DENTITION STUDIES**

Little information is available on the dental characteristics of known-age pups. On 28 and 29 June 1962, 100 tags of the series 61-501 to 61-600 were attached to newborn pups on Little Polovina Rookery, Subsequently, one or two pups were collected at intervals of about 10 days. Ten pups, ranging in age from 66 to 103 days, were collected. The aim of the study is to provide specimen material for development of information on (1) the mean date when the last permanent tooth erupts, (2) the mean date when the first molt ends, and (3) length and weight of known-age pups. Weight data will probably be highly variable, since pups are known to vary from 3.3 to 7.1 kilograms at birth.

<sup>12</sup> See footnote 6, page 30.

#### PELAGE STUDIES

A report "Pelage and surface topography of the northern fur seal" (Scheffer, 1962)<sup>13</sup> was published in February 1962. Another report "Molt in the northern fur seal" (Scheffer and Johnson, 1963)<sup>14</sup> contains a quantative analysis of the timing of molt by age and sex.

# EYE-LENS WEIGHT AS AN INDICATOR OF AGE

The eye lens in mammals is believed to grow throughout life. Since 1959, a number of zoologists have published growth curves for lens weight. One of the most extensive studies is one on rabbits made by the Illinois Natural History Survey. In 1962, a collection of lenses from known-age seals (pups to age 17) was saved for the purpose of testing the relationship of age to lens weight. While the lens weight method may not prove useful, a small exploratory study of it will be made.

#### HOOKWORM STUDIES

Research on hookworm infection of fur seal pups was carried on through a cooperative agreement with Colorado State University from 1959 to 1962. The principal investigator, O. W. Olsen also made studies on hookworms of fur seals from 1951 to 1955. He was assisted by graduate students C. F. Dixon in 1953-54 and E. T. Lyons in 1960-62.

In each of the years 1953-55, hookworm larvae were found to live over winter in the soil. It seemed apparent at first that seal pups were infected through their skin by contact with larvae in the rookery soil.

As a result, experimental treatment of the rookery soil with salt, creosol solutions, and other chemicals was tried as a way of eliminating the larvae. The rate of infection among

<sup>13</sup> Victor B. Scheffer. 1962. Pelage and surface topography of the northern fur seal. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, North American Fauna 64, 206 p.

pups on treated areas did not differ from that of pups on untreated areas.

From 1959 to 1962 larvae apparently did not live over winter but infection of pups by hookworms continued much as in years when the larvae did survive. If larvae are not regularly in the soil until August, seal pups must receive their intestinal infections in some other way. No evidence of prenatal infection could be found in various experiments with pups taken by Caesarean section.

In 1961 several pregnant females were moved and allowed to bear their pups on an area isolated from hookworm infested soil. Their pups became infected. The source of the infection was traced to larvae in the milk. These larvae develop to maturity in the intestines of pups, and eggs are passed with fecal material in about 2 weeks. Free-living. third-stage larvae begin hatching in late August. The free-living larvae penetrate the skin of seals of all ages and migrate to the belly blubber. They grow slightly from the time they enter the skin until they are ingested with milk by a pup. Larvae in the blubber of males and nonpregnant females cannot escape and do not infect pups.

Twenty of twenty-six pregnant females taken at sea in Unimak Pass before they reached the Pribilof Islands contained hookworm larvae in their belly blubber and mammary tissue. Milk samples from seven of eight pregnant females contained larvae. Larvae are in the milk of females for only a short time after parturition; the pups are evidently infected with larvae when they first nurse. The infection rate is very high. Superinfections are possible but do not normally occur because of the short period when infective larvae are in the milk. The conditioning factor that causes part of the larvae from the blubber of a female to migrate to the milk and become infective is not known. Pregnancy hormones are suspected.

Infections of fur seal pups by larvae from the belly blubber of male sea lions were not obtained but would not be expected in the light of present knowledge. Infections of fur seal pups by larvae from a pregnant female sea

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Victor B. Scheffer and Ancel M. Johnson. 1963. Molt in the northern fur seal. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Special Scientific Report--Fisheries No. 450. In press.

lion are considered possible. Larvae reach the belly blubber of pups 4 to 6 days after entering the pups' flippers. The route of migration may be subcutaneous since none have been found in the blood.

## OTHER WILDLIFE SPECIES

#### SEA LIONS

Sea lion pups were not tagged on Walrus Island in 1962. No recoveries have been made from 100 pups tagged in 1959 and from 530 tagged in 1960.

#### WHALES

Three dead whales drifted ashore on the Pribilof Islands in 1962, a humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) and little piked or minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) on St. George Island and a little piked whale on St. Paul Island.

#### WALRUS

In 1962, five walruses (Odobenus rosmarus divergens) were found dead on beaches of the Pribilof Islands, as follows:

Island	Sex	Age	Date	Location
St. Paul	304333	juvenile	2 July	Lukanin Bay
St. Paul		adult	7 July	Polovina Sands
St. Paul		adult	16 August	East Landing
St. Paul		adult	29 July	Zapadni Reef
St. George		adult	3 September	Garden Cove

## SEA OTTER

Donald Boggs, sighted what he identified as a sea otter (Enhydra lutris) swimming on its back off Southwest Point, St. Paul Island several times during March, April, and May 1962. If the record is authentic, the animal presumably is one of seven sea otters transplanted to St. Paul Island from Amchitka Island in 1959.

A party of about 20 individuals visited Otter Island in 1 July. No sea otters were observed.

#### REINDEER

A thorough search of the island on 9 August yielded a total estimate of 726 reindeer, of which 700 were together north of Fox Hill at its base. A single bull was sighted on Ridge Wall, another on the flat south of Crater Hill, and 24 reindeer were located on the flat 300 yards south of Tsammana Lake. The estimate of 726 agrees with a count of 707 obtained 1 July as the reindeer grazed or rested in the approach to the corral on Lake Hill.

The southwest portion of St. Paul Island is showing the effect of overgrazing by the rapidly increasing reindeer herd. A more detailed report on the reindeer herd will be filed by management officials following the autumn harvest which will supply a large amount of meat and keep the size of the reindeer herd at a level where range damage is minimized.

## COOPERATIVE STUDIES

The following summaries of work done by cooperators were partially prepared by the cooperator or from information furnished by him.

William G. Reeder and James W. Nybakken from the University of Wisconsin, spent the period 7 to 20 July on St. Paul Island making a preliminary study of fur seal vocal patterns, particularly those contributing to mother-young recognition. Using recording equipment with satisfactory frequency response from about 50 to 15,000 cycles per second, initial recordings were made of the miscellaneous vocalizations typical within the harem: these included sounds associated with male aggression, herding, copulation, female aggression, supplication of male, and pup calling. During the latter part of the study three pregnant females were held in field cages. When two of these gave birth. recordings were made of the vocalization of the female just before parturition, and, more importantly, the mutual calling of mother and young immediately after birth. It was hypothesized that individual patterns and quality of vocalizations were mutually learned at this

time and that knowledge of these patterns contributes to recognition ability during the period when female and pup are associated on a rookery. It is granted that olfactory or other cues may contribute to this recognition. Mother-young mutual calling as the former returned from feeding at sea was also recorded on tape. Preliminary indications show that both male and female fur seals respond positively to play back of certain characteristic calls, thus giving hope that a series of carefully planned observations may allow the determination of the relative extent to which olfactory and auditory cues contribute to recognition between individuals living within the elaborate fur seal social structure. The recordings made this summer are to be used in preparing test tapes to be played to isolated seals in a later series of experiments.

Reeder is also conducting a study to determine age of fur seals from calcium and phosphorous depositions. Skulls, baculae, and flippers were collected and sent to Reeder for this purpose.

Tongues and larynxes from fur seals of several age classes, from fetuses to age 21 years, were collected and sent to Jean A. Pierard, Department of Anatomy, New York State Veterinary College, Cornell University. Pierard is performing a comparative study of tongues and larynxes from animals in the order Carnivora.

An experiment in internal marking of fur seals through fixation of tetracycline antibiotic (terramycin) in the bones and teeth was conducted in 1962. This marking method has been successfully used by fishery biologists in studies of age and of growth rates.

Two female pups were injected peritoneally on 8 July with 145 milligrams of tetracycline per kilogram of body weight, made up by dissolving 45 milligrams of the solid per cubic centimeter of sterile distilled water. The animals were killed on 18 July and frozen. Douglas Weber of the serology section of the Seattle Biological Laboratory will examine the pups for antibiotic fixation.

### SUMMARY

Males

- 1. Beginning on 2 July a total of 53,680 male seals were taken on the Pribilof Islands in 1962; 43,203 were taken on St. Paul Island and 10,477 on St. George Island. Except for efforts to take 4-year-old males during the female kill 13-24 August, the male kill was terminated 5 August. Age classification of the kill in percent was: St. Paul Island, 4, 61, 33, and 2, ages 2-5, respectively; St. George Island, 6, 56, 36, and 2, ages 2-5, respectively.
- 2. The peak of the kill occurred during round 6 (22-26 July) when 8,577 males were taken on St. Paul Island. The 1962 kill pattern followed that of years before 1960 and 1961. Kills which draw on a relatively strong 3-year-old class, as in 1960 and 1961, have a late peak round.
- 3. Probably, at least the same proportion of increase in the male kill was possible for the period 1-15 August 1962 as in 1961. If this assumption is correct and the kill had been extended from 5 to 15 August, the total of all ages would have been 60,674, a figure 16 percent less than the 72,500 predicted for 1962.
- 4. No change has been detected in the return of males that is known to be a result of the removal of females.
- 5. The pup population, estimated from tag recoveries from males, for the Pribilof Islands for 1958 and 1959 was 729,000 and 838,000, respectively. Estimates based on tagged males were much higher than those based on tagged females. Results of the fall pup sampling program showed that additional development of this technique is necessary before it will provide a reliable estimate of the pup population. The tag lost to tagged ratio increased in 1962 for the 1957 through 1959 year classes. The ratio for the 1960 year class was the highest ever recorded for recoveries of tags made from 2-year-old males.
- 6. The number of bulls counted decreased from 14,006 harem and 14,280 idle in 1961 to 12,674 harem and 11,759 idle in 1962.

#### Females

- 1. Population estimates through the 1959 year class indicate that the female herd exceeds the level necessary for optimum production. However, scarcity of females on the hauling grounds in 1961 and 1962 suggests that the combined effects of natural mortality and commercial killing have achieved a substantial reduction. As many as 47,413 females were easily taken by 20 August (1957); September reduction kills of from 9 to 10 days duration were necessary in 1961 and 1962 to achieve quotas of 43,750. The September kills were in addition to kills ending 15 August in 1961 and 24 August in 1962.
- 2. From 2 July to 5 August and from 13 to 17 and 20 to 24 August, 28,121 females were killed on the Pribilof Islands in 1962; an additional 15,639 females were killed in September on St. Paul Island.
- 3. Selective killing for young females in August and old females in September was reflected in the age composition of animals taken on St. Paul Island. In August, 3-, 4-, and 5-year-old females accounted for 55 percent of the kill; in September these ages made up only 24 percent.
- 4. A progressive increase during the September kill of the proportion of post partum females was attributed to a gradual shift from killing females from the hauling grounds to killing animals taken from rookery fringes or from rookeries.

# Tag Recoveries and Tagging

- 1. Totals of 3,718 tagged seals and 2,417 with checkmarks only were recovered. An additional 20 tags attached to fur seals by Soviet investigators were recovered on the Pribilof Islands.
- 2. Fifty thousand seal pups were tagged on the right fore flipper with 0-series tags and a ''V'' notch checkmark was cut into the leading edge near the tip of each tagged flipper.
- 3. A total of 839 seals was tagged as yearlings in 1962; 128 that had been tagged as pups in 1961 were each given an additional tag. Sex ratios for selected and known-age yearlings were 17133::100 \( \text{cq} \) and 325 \( \delta \delta \delta \text{:100} \)

respectively. Distribution of body lengths for selected and known-age males and females were similar. Twenty-three animals tagged as yearlings in 1961 were recovered from the kill in 1962; age determination from canine teeth revealed that only one was a yearling when tagged.

## Mortality

The 1962 pup mortality decreased to 53,748 from 71,011 in 1961.

# Seal-pup Weights

Data collected through 1961 showed that the mean weight of tagged pups was less than that of untagged pups. Three weighings, a month apart, the first 1 week after tagging, were carried out in 1962 to assess the permanency of lighter weight among tagged pups. The data showed that untagged pups were significantly heavier than tagged pups in early September. Untagged pups were still heavier than tagged pups in October but the difference was significant only for males early in the month. The weight of pups increased by 3.5-4.0 kilograms from early September to late October. The rate of gain tended to be greater in September than in October.

#### Related Studies

The current phase of hookworm research was completed with the 1962 field season and a subsequent report by the contract investigator. It now seems apparent that all fur seals harbor a free-living stage of the parasite in their belly blubber, that the adult seals probably carry the tissue infection throughout their lives, and that pups receive intestinal infections by ingestion with milk.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research staff acknowledges with appreciation the cooperation given to them by C. Howard Baltzo, Program Director; Roy D. Hurd, St. Paul Island Manager; A. R. Williams, St. George Island Manager; and Stuart Davey, Wildlife Management Biologist, Pribilof Islands.

## **GLOSSARY**

The following terms used in fur seal research and management on the Pribilof Islands have special meanings or are not readily found in standard dictionaries.

- checkmark A notch, slit, hole, or other mark made on a seal flipper when a tag is applied, to insure later recognition of an animal which has lost its tag.
- clinch or clinching The device or action by which metal tags applied to seal flippers are fastened. A metal point is bent over a narrow band in order to form a closed ring.
- drive The act of surrounding and moving groups of seals on land from one location to another.
- hauling grounds An area, usually near a rookery, on which nonbreeding animals congregate.
- haul out The act of seals moving from the sea to a rookery or hauling grounds on shore.
- homing tendency The inclinitation of seals to return to the rookery where they were born. It is expressed as a percentage by comparing the number of tagged seals in a specific group that were found on their natal rookery with the number that were found on some other rookery or island.
- known-age Applied to seals for which age is definitely known because they bear an inscribed tag or have a certain combination of tag scar and checkmark.
- rookery Breeding ground and nursery
   where adult males and females form

- harems, where parturition and impregnation usually take place, and where females nurse their young until autumn.
- round The sequence in which hauling grounds on the Pribilof Islands are visited in order to collect seals for harvest. Current practice is to make a complete circuit or round of the hauling grounds in 5 days.
- round-up The act of surrounding and collecting seals to be driven for harvest, tagging, or other purposes.
- tagged Describes a seal having an inscribed metal tag or tags attached to one or more of its flippers.
- tag-lost A term applied to a seal that is known to have been tagged because of a checkmark and, in some cases, a tag scar but no longer has a tag.
- tag scar A hole or torn area near the usual tag site on a seal's flipper. Tags fall out because of poor clinching or wear and are torn out by catching in rock crevices or driftwood. Possibly some are torn out by the tagged seal.
- tagged to untagged ratio The number of tagged seals compared to the number of untagged seals, usually expressed as a decimal fraction. Example, 5:20, ratio = .25.
- tag lost to tag ratio The number of seals that have lost tags as compared with the number retaining tags. Usually expressed as a decimal fraction.

# APPENDIX A

## PREDICTION OF 1963 MALE RETURNS AND KILL

# Douglas G. Chapman 31 October 1962

## ESTIMATES OF ESCAPEMENT, 1962

The usual method has been followed, i.e., the estimation of the tail of the normal curve from the kill, by round, of both 3- and 4-year-old male seals on each island. The results are shown in appendix table 1.

The pattern of the kill by round was more irregular than usual in 1962; this casts doubt on use of the method to estimate the post-season escapement. Evidence of unreliability is seen in the large difference in percentage escapements estimated for St. Paul Island and for St. George Island. The estimate for St. Paul Island appears particularly low.

The escapement of undersized seals is estimated from the length distribution (appendix table 25) among 3-year-old males sampled from the kill on St. Paul Island. It appears there is underrepresentation of animals  $\leq 41$ 

inches in length. Fitting a truncated normal curve to the observations  $\geq 42$  inches yields an estimate of escapement (due to size) of 5.0 percent.

Considering the escapement of undersized seals and making the usual adjustment of 17 percent in the escapement estimate for downward bias, the estimated total escapement of 3-year-old males on St. Paul Island in 1962 is 8,726. Correspondingly, the returns from the 1959 year class at age 3 are estimated as 35,182. As noted, this estimate is probably low.

# ESTIMATE OF RETURNS FROM DEAD-PUP COUNTS

The relationship between the return of males at age 3 and dead-pup counts is again recalculated, using the corrected 1958 figure. The basic data are given in appendix table 2.

APPENDIX TABLE 1.--Postseason escapement of male seals, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962

Island	Age class	Male kill 2 July to 24 August	total postseason escape in killable sizes  Number Per 6,762 23  90 9,393 3,503 3		escapement
St. Paul	3	Number 26,456			Percent 21.0
St. George	3	5,890	·	,	37.3
St. Paul	4	14,149	14,203	114	0.8
St. George	4	3,707	3,810	103	2.7

APPENDIX TABLE 2.--The return of male seals and the dead-pup counts, St. Paul Island, year classes 1950-51 and 1953-58

Year class	Dead-pup count	Return from year class
1950	56,000	63,000
1951	74,000	60,000
1953	82,000	50,000
1954	101,000	33,000
1955	79,000	41,000
1956	104,000	16,000
1957	65,000	45,000
1958	33,000	67,000

APPENDIX TABLE 3.--Analysis of variance of deviations from linear and quadratic regression of the return of males on the dead-pup counts, St. Paul Island

Source of variation	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square
Deviations from linear regression	511.75	6	
Deviation from quadratic regression	378.14	5	75.6
Difference	133.61	1	

This data is the same as in the 1961 report 1 except for the return of males in 1958. This is now estimated as follows:

2-year-old kill	1,977
3-year-old kill	48,458
4-year-old kill	14,149
Mortality ages 3 to 4	1,415
Escapement adjusted for mortality	125
Total	66,124

<sup>1</sup> See text footnote 1, table 33, p. 43.

The total has been rounded upwards to 67,000 since the escapement estimate is slightly low.

As shown in the 1961 report, 2 a quadratic relationship was fitted to the dead-pup counts and the return of males. Analysis of the revised data indicates that the quadratic relationship is not preferable to that of a simple straight line. This is seen in appendix table 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See text footnote 1, table 33, p. 43.

Year class	Average number of pups born	Average return of males at age 3
1920-22	150,000	23,300
1947-49, 1952	508,000	58,800
1953-56	738,000	35,000
1957 <b>-</b> 58	576,000	56,000

A test of the hypothesis that the quadratic term is not significant follows:

$$F = \frac{133.61}{75.6} = 1.77$$

(5 percent point of F with (1,5) d.f. is 6.61)

Hence, the straight line or linear relationship only has been fitted. The estimated relationship is:

$$N_{m} = 93.8 - .632D$$

where

N<sub>m</sub> = estimated return of males at age 3 (1000's)

D = dead-pup count (1000's).

Applying this to the 1959 and 1960 data we have

1959 D = 42 
$$N_m = 67,300$$
  
1960 D = 66  $N_m = 52,100$ .

Considering the returns from the 1959 year class in 1962 the estimate of 67,300 appears high.

ESTIMATE OF RETURNS FROM THE PUP-POPULATION-RETURN EQUATIONS

The relationship between the return of males and the estimated number of pups born

was originally noted in the 1959 report.<sup>3</sup> This relationship was subsequently revised, although it was still based on data from returns from year classes through 1955. Since additional data is now available it seems worthwhile to compute a revised estimate of the relationship. This is based on the data in appendix table 4.

As in the original report, data have been grouped by year classes of approximately the same number of pups. The estimated relationships based on this data are:

(1) 
$$N_m = 0.239E - 0.000255E^2$$
 (Schaefer)

(2) 
$$N_m = 0.0216E^{3/2} - 0.000732E^{2}$$
 (Chapman)

where

 $N_m = \text{male returns at age 3 (1000's)}$ 

E = estimated number of pups born (1000's).

The revised equations based on additional data are changed only insignificantly from those given in the 1961 report. 4 These were:

$$N_m = 0.233E - 0.00023E^2$$

$$N_{m} = 0.0234E^{3/2} - 0.0008E^{2}$$
.

<sup>\*</sup>DouglasG; Chapman, appendix B (See text footnote 6, 30).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See text footnote 1, table 33, p. 43.

The forecast of returns from the 1959 year class is very similar using either relationship. Substituting E = 672 (the present estimate of the 1959 year class on St. Paul Island) in equation (1), it is seen that  $N_m = 45.4$ . Using equation (2), the estimated  $N_m$  is 46.0.

# FORECAST OF THE KILL OF 4-YEAR-OLD MALES IN 1963

Three forecasts of the kill of 4-year-old males in 1963 are available. They are derived from the estimated escapement of 3-year-old males in 1962, from the pup population-return relationship, and from the deadpup count-return relationship. Allowing for a 10 percent overwinter mortality and a 5 percent escapement in 1963, the resulting estimates are:

(1) 1962 escapement = 85 percent of 8,726 7,400

(2) Pup population-return relationship

Estimated total return 46,700 (average of two methods)

1961 and 1962 kill 29,300

85 percent of balance

14,800

(3) Dead-pup count-return relationship

Estimated total return 67,300

1961 and 1962 kill 29,300

85 percent of balance

32,300

Since (1) and (3) appear less reliable, the median estimate of 14,800 is probably the best available.

# FORECAST OF THE KILL OF 3-YEAR-OLD MALES IN 1963

The forecast can be based on (1) the deadpup count-return relationship and (2) the pup population-return relationship (in this case the estimate has to be based on the recoverles of tagged 2-year-olds). The returns of the 1960 year class based on (1) were estimated above as 52,100. The estimate of the 1960 pup population on St. Paul Island based on tag recoveries in 1962 is 415,000. However, the estimates based on recoveries of tags from 2-year-old males have been unsatisfactory. The estimates, the corresponding estimated returns from equation (2) above, and the actual returns are shown in appendix table 5. The correlation between the estimate and the actual return is -0.42. This is inadequate as a basis for prediction.

An alternative approach has been based on the pup population estimate of the 1959 year class which, for St. Paul Island, is 672,000. In 1959, the kill of females on St. Paul Island totaled 24,000. This should be accompanied by a decline in the number of pups born of about 15,000 (.6 x 24,000). If the 1960 pup population is approximately 657,000, the estimated return is 48,100.

The average of this estimate and the estimate from the dead-pup count is 50,100. As done previously, the actual kill of 3-year-old males may be estimated as 60 percent of 50,100 for 31 July termination, and 80 percent for 15 August termination. The resulting estimates are 30,000 and 40,000, respectively. The total kill will also include some 2- and 5-year-old males. In the past 5 years, the kill has included an average of 2,400 males of these ages.

Hence, the total male kill for St. Paul Island is forcasted as follows:

		Age		Total
	2+5	3	4	Total
31 July termination	2,000	30,000	15,000	47,000
15 August termination	3,000	40,000	15,000	58,000

If this is 80 percent of the total kill for the Pribilof Islands, the corresponding forecast for both islands is:

31 July termination 59,000

15 August termination 72,000

APPENDIX TABLE 5.--Estimates of the pup population, returns estimated from these estimates, and actual returns of 3-year-old male seals, St. Paul Island, year classes 1952-58

Year class	Estimate of pup population (E <sub>2</sub> )	Estimated return based on E <sub>2</sub> using equation (2)	Actual return of 3-year-old males
1952	603,000	<i>5</i> 4 <b>,</b> 000	69,000
1953	706,000	40,700	50,000
1954	708,000	40,400	33,000
1955	544,000	57 <b>,</b> 600	41,000
1956	443,000	<i>5</i> 8 <b>,</b> 000	16,000
1957	814,000	17,000	45,000
1958	835,000	11,400	67,000

## EVALUATION OF THE FORECAST FOR 1961

The forecast for 1961 predicted a kill of 33,200 3-year-old males by 31 July, or 44,200 by 15 August. Interpolation yields 36,000 as the forecast of the kill to 5 August, the actual

termination date. Appendix table 6 compares the forecast with the actual kill on St. Paul Island.

Methods of forecasting the return of 3-year-old males are not yet adequate.

APPENDIX TABLE 6.--Predicted and actual kill of male seals by 5 August, St. Paul Island, 1962

Age class	Forecast of kill in 1961	Actual kill to 5 August
2 and 5	3,000	1,463
3	36,000	25,098
4	11,000	13,422
Total	50,000	39,983

# APPENDIX B

APPENDIX TABLE 7.--Age classification of male seals killed, St. Paul Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17 and 20-24 August 1962

D .	D 1	Males	mber			in each of samp	l.	Estimated number killed from each age class			
Date	Rookery	killed	Tooth sample	2	3	4	5	2	3	age class	5
			Ballipie								
July	NEP	1,128	110	2	38	58	2	22	429	655	22
·	TZR	595	57	_	47	46	7	_	280	274	41
	ZAP	1,049	104	-	52	48	_	_	545	504	-
	REEF-LK	541	53	_	41	55	4	_	222	298	21
	POL	479	47	_	34	62	4	_	163	297	19
ound total		3,792	371					22	1,639	2,028	103
		-,							.,,	-,0-0	103
7 July	NEP	1,815	180	1	37	56	6	18	672	1,017	108
3	TZR	1,243	127	_	60	37	3		746	460	37
	ZAP	1,147	114	_	71	29	_	_	814	333	-
	REEF-LK	412	40		50	48	2	_	206	198	8
	POL	729	72	_	56	41	3	_	408	299	22
ound total		5,346	533				····	18	2,846	2,307	175
		-,							-,	-1	
July	NEP	1,387	139	1	49	47	3	14	679	652	42
3	TZR	520	51	2	57	33	8	10	296	172	42
4	ZAP	2,218	218	1	63	35	1	22	1,398	776	22
5	REEF-LK	750	73	3	45	47	5	23	337	353	37
5	POL	829	81	1	54	42	3	8	448	348	25
ound total		5,704	562					77	3, 158	2,301	168
7 July	NEP	2,024	203	1	5	39	1	20	1,194	790	20
8	TZR	757	76	4	61	34	1	30	462	257	8
9	ZAP	1,448	144	_	72	28	_	_	1,043	405	-
0	REEF-LK	779	77	1	54	44	1	8	420	343	8
1	POL	725	71		64	32	4	_	464	232	29
ound total		5,733	571					58	3,583	2,027	65
									.,	-,	
2 July	NEP	2,329	238	4	69	26	1	93	1,609	604	23
3	TZR	1,360	136	2	71	26	1	27	966	354	13
4	ZAP	2,296	231	3	71	24	2	69	1,630	551	46
5	REEF-LK	1, 186	118	3	70	26	1	36	830	308	12
6	POL	1,406	138	ī	74	25		14	1,040	352	
ound total		8,577	861		1.2	4.7		239	6,075	2,169	94
04.14 10141		0,5						/	0,0.5	2,10,	/ -
7 July	NEP	1,901	195	5	66	28	1	95	1,255	532	19
8	TZR	637	64	1	69	30	_	6	440	191	_
9	ZAP	625	63		81	17	2	_	506	106	13
0	REEF-LK	716	80	1	67	31	1	7	480	222	7
1	POL	468	48		61	35	4	_	285	164	19
ound total		4,347	450					108	2,966	1,215	58
		.,							-,,		
l August	NEP	1,811	201	3	65	31	1	54	1,177	562	18
2	TZR	2,667	288	3	82	15	_	80	2,187	400	_
3	ZAP	571	76	4	67	29	-	23	382	166	_
4	REEF-LK	1,122	161	7	76	17	_	78	853	191	_
5	POL	313	54	6	74	18	2	19	232	56	6
ound total		6,484	780					254	4,831	1,375	24
		-,							,		
			/			20	2	54	165	92	7
3 August	NEP	318	126	17	52	29			198	151	21
4	NEP	420	172	12	47	36 3°a	5	50		193	30
5	TOL	604	234	18	45	32	5	109	272		
6	ZAP	426	133	16	55	27	Z	68	234	115	9
7	REEF	365	133	32	51	17	7	117	186	62	-
0	POL	222	68	12	47	35	6	27	104	78	13
1	NEP	208	87	60	31	6	3	125	64	13	6
2	TZR	185	73	45	51	4	-	83	94	8	-
3	ZAP	231	68	64	10	6	-	193	23	15	-
4	REEF	17	16	25	75	-	-	4	13	-	-
4	POL	18	4	75	2.5	-	-	13	5	-	
Total		3,014	1,114					843	1,358	727	86
									-44		***
Season tot		l 42,997	5,242					1.619	26,456	14, 149	773

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plus 206 unclassified males taken during fall kill of females.

APPENDIX TABLE 8.-- Cumulative age classification of male seals killed, St. Paul Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17 and 20-24 August 1962

Date	Rookery		Estimated	W-4-1	Percent killed from					
		2	from each age class 2 3 4 5			Total kill	each age class 2 3 4 5			
				4		KIII		3	4	5
2 July	NEP	22	429	655	22	1,128	2	38	58	2
3	TZR	22	709	929	63	1,723	1	41	54	4
4	ZAP	22	1,254	1,433	63	2,772	1	45	52	2
5	REEF-LK	22	1,476	1,731	84	3,313	1	45	52	2
6	POL	22	1,639	2,028	103	3,792	1	43	53	3
7	NEP	40	2,311	3,045	211	5,607	1	41	54	4
3	TZR	40	3,057	3,505	248	6,850	1	45	51	3
9	ZAP	40	3,871	3,838	248	7,997	1	48	48	3
0	REEF-LK	40	4,077	4,036	256	8,409	1	48	48	3
l	POL	40	4,485	4,335	278	9,138	-	49	48	3
2	NEP	54	5,164	4,987	320	10,525	i	49	47	3
3	TZR	64	5,460	5,159	362	11,045	1	49	47	3
4	ZAP	86	6,858	5,935	384	13,263	1	51	45	3
5	REEF-LK	109	7, 195	6,288	421	14,013	1	51	45	3
5	POL	117	7,643	6,636	446	14,842	1	51	45	3
7	NEP	137	8,837	7,426	466	16,866	1	52	44	3
3	TZR	167	9,299	7,683	474	17,623	1	53	43	3
9	ZAP	167	10,342	8,088	474	19,071	1	54	42	3
)	REEF-LK	175	10,762	8,431	482	19,850	1	54	42	3
l	POL	175	11,226	8,663	511	20,575	1	55	42	2
2	NEP	268	12,835	9, 267	534	22,904	1	56	41	2
3	TZR	295	13,801	9,621	547	24,264	1	57	40	2
4	ZAP	364	15,431	10,172	593	26,560	2	58	38	2
,	REEF-LK	400	16,261	10,480	605	27,746	1	59	38	2
5	POL	414	17,301	10,832	605	29, 152	1	59	37	3
7	NEP	509	18,556	11,364	624	31,053	2	60	36	2
3	TZR	515	18,996	11,555	624	31,690	2	60	36	2
9	ZAP	515	19,502	11,661	637	32, 315	2	60	36	2
Ó	REEF	522	19,982	11,883	644	33,031	2	60	36	2
	POL	522	20,267	12,047	663	33,499	2	60	36	2
l August	NEP	576	21,444	12,609	681	35,310	1	61	36	2
2	TZR	656	23,631	13,009	681	37,977	2	62	34	2
3	ZAP	679	24,013	13, 175	681	38,548	2	62	34	2
1	REEF-LK	757	24,866	13,366	681	39,670	2	62	34	2
5	POL	776	25,098	13,422	687	39,983	2	62	34	2
3	NEP	830	25,263	13,514	694	40,301	2	63	33	2
1	NEP	880	25,461	13,665	715	40,721	2	63	33	2
5	TOL	989	25,733	13,858	745	41,325	2	62	34	2
Š	ZAP	1,057	25,967	13,973	754	41,751	3	62	33	2
7	REEF	1,174	26,153	14,035	754	42,116	3	62	33	2
)	POL	1,201	26,257	14, 113	767	42,338	3	62	33	2
l	NEP	1,326	26,321	14, 126	773	42,546	3	62	33	2
2	TZR	1,409	26,415	14,134	773	42,731	3	62	33	2
3	ZAP	1,602	26,413	14, 149	773	42,962	4	61	33	2
4.	REEF	1,606	26,451	14, 149	773	42,979	4	61	33	2
*. 4	POL	1,619	26,456	14, 149	773	1 42,997	4	61	33	2
•	LOL	1,017	20, 450	12,127	113	36,771	-	0.1	,,	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plus 206 unclassified males taken during fall kill of females.

APPENDIX TABLE 9.--Age classification of male seals killed, St. George Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17 and 20-23 August 1962

Dear	Rookery	Number Tooth			Percent in each				Estimated number killed				
Date	Rookery	Males Tooth killed sample		ag	age class of sample 2 3 4 5			2	from age class				
											5		
2 July	ZAP	359	36	-	42	55	3	-	152	197	0.1		
3	NOR	386	39	-	36	61	3	-	138	237	11		
4	EAST	240	23	4	43	53	-	9	103	128	-		
5	STAR	17	2	-	-	100	-	-	-	17	-		
6	NOR	226	23	-	44	52	4	-	99	118	9		
Round tot	al	1,228	123					9	492	697	30		
7 July	ZAP	235	24	-	42	50	8	_	97	119	19		
8	NOR	162	16	~	19	81	-	-	31	131	_		
9	EAST	270	29	-	52	48	-	-	141	129	-		
10	STAR	25	3	-	34	66	-	-	8	17	_		
11	NOR	183	20		25	75	-	-	45	138	-		
Round tot	al	875	92					-	322	534	19		
12 July	ZAP	86	8	-	37	63	_	_	32	54	-		
13	NOR	213	21	5	43	48	4	10	91	102	10		
14	EAST	442	44	-	70	30	-	-	310	132	-		
15	STAR	52	5	-	40	60	-	_	21	31	-		
16	NOR	378	37	-	46	49	5	-	174	185	19		
Round tot		1,171	115					10	628	504	29		
17 July	ZAP	401	40	_	40	58	2	_	160	233	8		
18	NOR	239	24	_	38	58	4		91	139	9		
19	EAST	279	28	3	54	36	7	8	151	101	19		
20	STAR	59	6	_	66	34		-	39	20	17		
21	NOR	344	31	_	52	45	3		179	155	10		
Round tot		1,322	129					8	620	648	46		
22 July	ZAP	217	22		50	48	2		108	104			
23	NOR	160	17		47	53	-	-	75	85	5		
24	EAST	789	77	3	71	25	1	24	560	197	8		
25	STAR	87	9	_	66	34	- :	-	57	30	-		
26	NOR	391	39		66	34			258	133			
Round tot		1,644	164					24	1,058	549	13		
27 July	ZAP	162	15	7	80	13	_	11	130	2.1			
28	NOR	127	13	7	39	54	_	9	49	21 69	_		
29	EAST	244	22	-	64	36		7	156	88	•		
30	STAR	-	-		-	-	-		-	-			
31	NOR	459	50	8	60	32	-	37	275	147			
Round tot		992	100		- 00	32		57	610	325			
l August	ZAP	314	48	4	59	27	10	12	186	8.5	31		
2	NOR	563	77	4	74	20	2	22	417	113	11		
3	EAST	513	72	3	82	15	-	15	420	78	-		
4	STAR	225	40	5	80	15	_	11	180	34			
5	NOR	209	28	4	82	14	-	8	171	30			
Round tot		1,824	265	•				68	1,374	340	42		
13 August	ZAP	335	67	21	58	16	5	70	196	53	16		
14	NOR	128	25	36	64	-	-	46	82	-	-		
15	EAST	237	24	17	54	12	17	40	128	29	40		
16	STAR	144	17	12	76	6	6	17	111	9	7		
17	NOR	58	23	22	57	17	4	13	33	10	2		
20	ZAP	180	38	55	45	-		99	81		_		
21	NOR	185	66	51	46	3	-	95	85	5	_		
22	EAST	86	27	67	33	-	-	57	29	-	_		
23	STAR	68	15	33	61	6	-	23	41	4	-		
Total		1,421	302	,				460	786	110	65		

APPENDIX TABLE 10.-- Cumulative age classification of male seals killed, St. George Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17 and 20-23 August 1962

Date	Rookery		m	Percent killed from						
		from each age class				Total	each age class			
	<del> </del>	2	3	4	5	kill	2	3	4	5
2 July	ZAP	-	152	197	10	359	_	42	55	3
3	NOR	_	290	434	21	745	_	39	58	3
4	EAST	9	393	562	21	985	1	40	57	2
5	STAR	9	393	579	21	1,002	1	39	58	2
6	NOR	9	492	697	30	1,228	1	40	57	2
7	ZAP	9	589	816	49	1,463	1	40	56	3
8	NOR	9	620	947	49	1,625	_	39	58	3
9	EAST	9	761	1.076	49	1.895	_	40	57	3
10	STAR	9	769	1,093	49	1,920	_	40	57	3
11	NOR	9	814	1,231	49	2,103	_	39	59	2
12	ZAP	9	846	1,285	49	2,189	~	39	59	2
13	NOR	19	937	1,387	59	2,402	1	39	58	2
14	EAST	19	1,247	1,519	59	2,844	1	44	53	2
15	STAR	19	1,268	1,550	59	2,896	1	44	53	2
16	NOR	19	1,442	1,735	78	3,274	1	44	53	2
17	ZAP	19	1,602	1,968	86	3,675	1	44	53	2
18	NOR	19	1,693	2,107	95	3,914	_	43	54	3
19	EAST	27	1,844	2,208	114	4, 193	1	44	53	2
20	STAR	27	1,883	2,228	114	4,252	1	44	53	2
21	NOR	27	2,062	2,383	124	4,596	1	45	51	3
22	ZAP	27	2,170	2,487	129	4,813	1	45	52	2
23	NOR	27	2,245	2,572	129	4,973	1	45	52	2
24	EAST	51	2,805	2,769	137	5,762	1	49	48	2
25	STAR	51	2,862	2,799	137	5,849	1	49	48	2
26	NOR	51	3,120	2,932	137	6,240	1	50	47	2
27	ZAP	62	3,250	2,953	137	6,402	1	51	46	2
28	NOR	71	3,299	3,022	137	6,529	1	51	46	2
29	EAST	71	3,455	3,110	137	6,773	1	51	46	2
30	STAR	71	3,455	3,110	137	6,773	1	51	46	2
31	NOR	108	3,730	3,257	137	7,232	1	52	45	2
l August	ZAP	120	3,916	3,342	168	7,546	2	52	44	2
2	NOR	142	4,333	3,455	179	8,109	2	53	43	2
3	EAST	157	4,753	3,533	179	8,622	2	55	41	2
4	STAR	168	4,933	3,567	179	8,847	2	56	40	2
5	NOR	176	5,104	3,597	179	9,056	2	56	40	2
13	ZAP	246	5,300	3,650	195	9,391	3	56	39	2
14	NOR	292	5,382	3,650	195	9,519	3	57	38	2
15	EAST	332	5,510	3,679	235	9,756	3	57	38	2
16	STAR	349	5,621	3,688	242	9,900	4	57	37	2
17	NOR	362	5,654	3,698	244	9,958	4	57	37	2
20	ZAP	461	5,735	3,698	244	10,138	5	57	36	2
21	NOR	556	5,820	3,703	244	10,323	5	57	36	2
22	EAST	613	5,849	3,703	244	10,409	6	56	36	2
23	STAR	636	5,890	3,707	244	10,477	6	56	36	2

							Round						
lookery	Age	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Tota
							1959	Kiil					
COL		125	294	130	122	279	241	347	9	66	36	182	1831
L-K Total		73	356	248	242	87 366	390	148	9	66	36	182	75 <b>7</b> 2588
Total		170	330	240	272				•	00	30	102	2500
OL	2	_	_	_	5	Age 8	Classi 5	ticatio 45	<u>n</u> 2	44	24	108	252
OL	3	31	76	38	35	106	106	226	4	15	36	47	253 684
	4	82	218	87	77	165	125	76	2	7	-	27	866
	5	12	-	5	5	-	5	-	1	•	•	-	28
K	2	-	-	-	5	3	3	19		-	-	~	30
	3	18	16	34	35	33	66	96	-	-	-	-	298
	4 5	48 7	46	<b>7</b> 9 5	<b>7</b> 5	51	77 3	33	-	-	-	-	409 20
							1000	17:11					
OL		103	107	51	346	304	1960 717	183	370	763	39	_	2983
L-K		6	17	29	18	47	75	235	397	274	-	-	1098
Tot al		109	124	80	364	351	792	418	767	1037	39	-	4081
						Age	Class:	ificatio	on				
OL	2	-	-	-	7	15	14	15	26	76	24	-	177
	3	52	89	51	284	265	653	148	329	672	15	-	2558
	<b>4</b> 5	43 8	18	-	55	24	50	20	15	15	-	-	240 8
v	2					2	2	19	28	27			78
K	3	3	14	- 29	15	41	68	190	353	241	-	-	954
	4	2	3	-	3	4	5	26	16	6	-	_	65
	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
							1961	Kill					
roL		-	103	108	104	528	586	723	1362	370	673	-	4557
-K Total		-	71 174	159	95 199	628	1188	999	487 1849	316 686	673		1998
			• • • •		• , ,						-,,		
OL	2	_	_			Age	Class	incatio	<u>on</u> 82	11	54	_	167
.02	3	-	70	48	42	333	410	528	1116	303	552	-	3402
	4	-	33	60	62	190	164	181	150	52	67	-	959
	5	-	•	-	-	5	6	-	14	4	•	•	29
- K	2	•	-	-	-		6	6	29	10	-	-	51
	3 4	-	48	22	38	63 36	421 169	201 69	399 54	259 44	-	-	1451
	5	-	23	29 -	57	-1	6	-	5	3	_	-	15
							1962						
OL		-	308	1008	231	757	1178	468	-	-	-	-	3950 1906
-K Total			105	172	369 600	294	735 1913	699		-	-	-	5856
							e Class		n n				
OL	2	_	-	10	-	30	24	1110	-		_		74
	3	-	147	646	141	461	837	332	-	-	-	-	2564
	4	-	139	333	72	258	305	126	-	-	-	-	1233
	5	-	22	19	18	8	12	-	-	•	•	-	79
-K	2	-	-	-	11	9	29	9	-	-	-	-	58
	3	-	53	91	199	152	551	176	-	-	-	-	1222
	4 5	-	42	81	159	133	155	3 <b>7</b> 9	-	-	-	-	607
		- : do:	10	- ad fron	a comi	ined T	olstoi,		in_Kito	vi samı	nles for	r each	l9 bill at

Tolstoi, Lukanin-Kitovi.

APPENDIX TABLE 12,--Age classification of female seals killed, St. Paul Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17 and 20-24 August, 6-7, 11-14, and 17-19 September 1962

Filled   sample   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10   104   5   17   178   Uoclassified   3   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5	3 4 5 6 7 8 - 13 25 - 13 5 2 4 - 28 28	8 9 10 10+	2 3	4 5	2 9	a		
TYPE 17 B Unclassified 17 B	. 13 . 33 . 5 2 . 85					٥	6	10 10
TER 17 8 1 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	. 13 5 2 5 2 28 - 2 20 9							
NEP	28 - 28 - 28 - 20 6				2	٠		- 1
NEP LK 79 50 6 2 1 2 2 3 32 1	28 2 2 8 2 2 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	67	,	1		,	•	
NEP 220 89 26 18 8 3 2 6 2 24 5 2 3 42  TZAP 182 62 - 2 8 4 3 4 2 2 3 42 - 5 3 42  TZAP 182 62 - 2 9 6 4 4 2 2 1 32 4 - 5 3 42  TAP 182 62 - 2 9 6 4 4 2 2 1 32 4 - 5 3 42  TOTAL 1,468 483 1 25 113 56 27 7 8 3 1 3 1 9 14 13 5 - 7 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	82	4 4 6		11 4	2 3	9	3	5
NEP   220   89   26   18   3   4   2   2   3   42     TZR   221   69   26   18   8   4   2   2   2   3   4     TZR   221   69   1   13   56   27   7   8   3   7   4   43   1   8     REEF   522   169   1   13   56   27   7   8   3   7   4   43   1   8     POL   283   80   - 6   4   4   2   2   2   3   4   4   3   1   8     NEP   1,468   483   1   25   141   87   31   22   8   19   14   135     NEP   1,468   483   1   25   141   87   31   22   8   19   14   135    NEF   1,468   483   1   25   112   101   38   33   31   19   10   157    NEP   1,716   363   3   35   101   43   35   24   20   9   13   60   1   10    NEP   1,716   363   3   35   101   43   35   24   20   9   13   60   1   10    NEP   1,716   363   3   35   101   43   35   24   20   9   13   60   1   10    NEP   1,716   363   3   35   101   43   35   24   20   9   13   60   1   10    NEP   1,716   363   3   35   101   43   35   24   20   9   13   60   1   10    NEP   1,716   363   3   35   101   43   35   24   20   9   13   60   1   10    NEP   1,716   363   3   3   3   3   11   1   1   3   1   2   3   3   1   1    NEP   1,716   363   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3		44	,	4 4	'	-	,	
NEP 220 89 26 18 8 3 2 6 2 24 2 5				5 80	5	m	m	
NEP   220   89     26   18   8   3   2   6   2   24     5   18   21   84     1   3   24   - 5   5   24     5   24     5   24     5   24     5   24     5   24     5   24     5   24     5   24     5   24     5   24     5   24     5   24     5   24     2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2								
TZR 261 83 - 4 18 21 8 4 - 1 3 24 - 5  ZAPF 182 62 - 2 9 6 4 4 2 2 1 3 6 2 3  POLL 283 80 - 6 32 15 4 3 1 3 4 12 - 7  NEP 1,468 483 1 25 141 87 31 22 8 19 14 135  NEP 1,466 350 - 5 112 101 38 33 11 19 10 157 - 10  ZAP 1,466 350 - 5 7 89 46 23 25 18 12 10 157 - 10  ZAP 1,466 350 - 5 7 89 46 23 25 18 12 11 69 - 16  NEP 1,504 11 3 1 4 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		3 7 3 27			20 9	7	15	
NEEF   182   62	5 22 25 10 5	- 1 3 29	- 13 \$			•	٣	
NEEF   522   169		3 3 2 52	. 5			40	\$	
NEP 1,746 483 1 25 141 87 31 22 8 19 14 135  NEP 1,746 483 1 25 141 87 31 22 8 19 14 135  NEP 1,746 350 - 6 32 15 4 3 1 3 4 12 - 7  NEP 1,746 350 - 5 5 112 101 38 33 11 9 10 157 - 10  ZAP 1,466 350 - 5 7 89 46 23 25 18 12 11 69 - 16  ZAP 1,661 350 - 5 7 89 46 23 25 18 12 11 69 - 16  ZAP 1,760 350 - 5 7 89 46 23 25 18 12 11 69 - 16  ZAP 1,750 1 1 16 41 1 16 41 1 29 9 8 5 6 3 23 1 1 12  ZAP 2,22 25 14 13 13 4 7 29 2 17  NEP 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 11 26 22 22 10 95 1 2  ZAP 1,760 2,735 34 425 706 400 173 139 115 86 87 570  ZAP 1,70		2 4 2 25	4.1				2.1	
NEP 1,716 363 3 35 101 43 35 24 20 9 13 80 1 10  NEP 1,716 363 3 35 101 43 35 24 20 9 13 80 1 10  ZAP 1,466 350 - 57 89 46 23 25 18 12 11 69 - 16  ZAP 1,466 350 - 57 89 46 23 25 18 12 11 69 - 16  ZAP 1,466 350 - 57 89 46 23 25 18 12 11 69 - 16  NEEF 2,084 217 3 49 53 35 11 11 6 7 8 32 1 12  NEP 1,730 183 4 31 45 23 14 13 13 4 7 29 2 17  TOL  988 99 - 16 29 16 6 2 2 4 5 18 - 16  ZAP RF1,567 150 7 9 3 9 20 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2  ZAP RF1,567 150 7 9 1 1 2 3 13 - 3 1 2  ZAP RF1,567 22 25 3 5 6 4 8 12 20  ZAP RF1,567 22 25 3 5 5 4 8 12 20  NEP 1,884 288 4 8 33 37 31 26 22 2 1 2 10 95 1 2  ZAP 113 23 1 9 4 1 1 2 3 13 - 3 3 - 1  TOL  2,735 34 425 706 400 173 139 115 86 87 570  ZAP 172	7 40 19 5 4	1 4 5 15	20 113	3 54	14 11		=	14 43
NEP 1,716 163 3 3 5 101 43 35 24 20 9 13 80 1 10  NEP 1,861 417 15 9 138 57 26 14 13 15 20 74 - 14  NEP 1,861 417 15 9 138 57 26 14 13 13 5 20 74 - 14  ZAP 1,466 350 - 57 89 46 23 25 18 12 11 69 - 16  NEEF 2,084 217 3 49 53 35 11 11 6 7 8 34 1 23  NEP 1,750 141 3 14 5 23 14 13 13 4 7 29 2 17  TOL  NEP 1,785 183 4 31 45 23 14 13 13 4 7 29 2 17  AP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 20 4 4 1 3 28 6 3 26  ZAP 2,22 2 2 2 4 5 18 2 20  ZAP 2,23 39 17 3 4 4 4 3 28 6 3 2 2  ZAP 2,23 39 17 3 4 4 4 3 28 6 3 2  ZAP 3,23 39 17 3 4 4 4 3 28 6 3 2  ZAP 1,465 2,735 3 4 425 706 400 173 139 115 86 87 570  NEP 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 31 26 22 2 10 95 1 2  ZAP 1,23 3 4 2			46				22	•
NEP 1,716 363 3 35 101 43 35 24 20 9 13 80 1 10  NEP 1,861 447 558 2 55 112 101 38 31 15 20 74 - 14  NEP 1,466 350 - 57 89 46 23 25 18 12 10 157 - 10  ZAP 1,466 350 - 57 89 46 23 25 18 12 11 69 - 16  POLL 1,260 141 11 6 41 29 9 8 5 6 3 23 1 12  APP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 20 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2 1 12  APP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 20 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2 1 12  APP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 20 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2 1 12  APP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 1 20 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2 1 12  APP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 1 20 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2 1 12  APP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 1 20 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2 1 12  APP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 1 20 2 2 4 5 18 1 2 10  APP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 1 20 2 2 4 5 18 1 2 10  APP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
NEP 1,861 417 1 59 138 57 26 14 13 15 20 74 - 14  TOL 2,479 558 2 55 112 101 38 33 31 19 10 157 - 10  REFF 2,046 350 - 2 55 112 101 38 33 31 19 10 157 - 10  REFF 2,046 141 1 16 41 29 9 8 5 6 3 23 1 12  POL 1,260 141 1 16 41 29 9 8 5 6 3 23 1 12  NEP 1,793 183 4 31 45 23 14 13 13 4 7 29 2 17  AP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 20 4 4 1 3 2 30 5 26  AP-RF1,558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 4 3 28 6 32  ZAP 222 25 3 5 5 4 8 12 20  AP-RF1,558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 4 3 28 6 32  AP-RF 1,558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 4 3 28 6 32  AP-RF 1,558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 4 3 28 6 32  AP-RF 1,558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 4 3 28 6 32  AP-RF 1,558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 4 3 28 6 32  AP-RF 1,568 2,735 34 425 706 400 173 139 115 86 87 570  AP-RF 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 31 26 22 21 0 95 1 2  AP-RF 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 31 26 22 21 0 95 1 2  AP-RF 1,984 113 23 2 2 2 1 2 3 17 - 9  TOL 345 33 1 1 0 3 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 - 1  AP-RF 1,984 108 6 3 5 3 5 5 2 1 1 1 - 1  AP-RF 1,081 108 2 1 1 10 3 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2  AP-RF 1,081 108 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2  AP-RF 1,081 108 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 28 12 10 7	5 2 3 22		902				
TOL 2,479 558 2 55 112 101 38 33 31 19 10 157 - 10  ZAP 1,466 350 - 57 89 46 23 25 18 12 11 69 - 16  POL 1,260 141 1 16 41 29 8 6 7 3 23 1 12  AEEF 2,084 217 3 4 31 45 23 14 13 13 4 7 29 2 17  TOL 988 98 - 16 29 16 6 2 2 4 5 18 - 16  ZAP-RF, 456 150 7 40 39 20 4 4 4 4 3 28 6 32  ZAP-RF, 558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 3 2 86 32  ZAP-RF, 558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 3 2 86 32  ZAP-RF, 558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 3 2 86 32  ZAP-RF, 558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 3 2 86 32  ZAP-RF, 558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 3 2 8 6 32  ZAP-RFF, 558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 1 1 2 2 7 3 2 8  NEF 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 31 26 22 2 10 95 1 2  ZAP 113 23 - 2 2 2 2 2 10 95 1 2  ZAP 172 420 81 - 1 4 13 6 6 3 5 3 17 - 9  ZAP 172 75 - 7 9 11 5 10 7 6 3 17 - 9  NEP 500 48 - 1 10 3 1 1 1 2 1 18 - 9  NEP 1,081 108 - 1 1 1 2 2 1 11 - 1 2  ZAP 1,084 146 - 1 2 14 15 10 13 13 10 5 4 32 - 9  NEEF 1,084 146 - 1 2 14 15 10 17 14 13 6 3 10 17  NEF 1,443 146 - 1 2 14 15 10 17 14 13 6 3 3 - 9  NEEF 1,084 146 - 1 2 14 13 10 5 3 1 1 1 - 9  NEEF 1,084 146 - 1 2 14 13 10 1 1 1 2 2 3 1 1  NEEF 1,084 146 - 1 2 14 13 10 1 1 1 2 2 3 1  NEEF 1,084 146 - 1 2 14 13 10 1 1 1 2 2 3 1  NEEF 1,084 137 - 1 17 22 9 10 13 13 10 5 30 - 9  NEEF 1,084 137 - 1 17 22 9 10 12 10 4 52 - 1	4 33 14 6 3	3 4 5 18		261				
ZAP 1,466 350 - 57 89 46 23 25 18 12 11 69 - 16  NEEF 2,084 217 3 49 53 35 11 11 6 7 8 34 1 23  NEP 1,706 141 1 16 7 8 34 13 11 12  NEP 1,793 183 4 31 45 23 14 13 13 4 7 29 2 17  TOL 988 98 - 16 29 16 6 2 2 4 5 18 - 16  ZAP-RF1,556 164 7 40 39 20 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2 2  ZAP-RF1,556 164 7 40 39 20 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2 2  ZAP-RF1,556 164 9 5 3 5 5 4 8 12 20  ZAP 222 35 1 1 1 2 3 13 2 8 6 3 2 3 13 - 3  NEF 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 31 26 22 10 95 1 2  ZAP 113 23 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1 1  TOL 293 30 1 4 13 6 6 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 1 1 8  NEF 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 31 26 22 10 95 1 2  ZAP 113 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1	0 20 18 7 6	6 3 2 28		446		149		
REEF 2,084 217 3 49 53 35 11 11 6 7 8 34 1 27  NDD 1,260 141 1 16 41 29 9 8 5 6 3 23 1 12  NED 1,260 141 1 16 41 29 9 8 5 6 3 23 1 12  ZAP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 20 4 4 1 3 2 2 6 5 2  ZAP-RF1,558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2  ZAP-RF1,558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2  ZAP-RF1,560 2,735 34 425 706 400 173 139 115 86 87 570  NEF 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 31 26 22 20 95 1 2  ZAP 113 23 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1 - 3  TYOL 293 30 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1  ZAP 170 345 33 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1  ZAP 170 345 33 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1  ZAP 170 345 33 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1  ZAP 170 345 31 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2  ZAP 170 345 31 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1 1  ZAP 170 345 31 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6 26 13 7 7	5 3 3 20		191				
POL 1, 260 141 1 16 41 29 9 8 5 6 3 23 1 12  NEP 1, 793 183 4 31 45 23 14 13 13 4 7 29 2 17  ZAP-RF1, 467 150 7 40 39 20 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2 8 17  ZAP-RF1, 558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 3 28 6 3 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 8 8 2 8 8 8 2 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8 8 2 8	3 24 16 5 5	3 3 4 16	480	333				
NEP 1,793 183 4 31 45 23 14 13 13 4 7 29 2 17  TOL 988 99 - 16 29 16 6 2 2 4 5 18 - 16  ZAP-RF, 456 150 7 40 39 20 4 4 4 5 3 28 6 32  ZAP-RF, 458 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 5 3 28 6 32  ZAP-RF, 558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 5 3 28 6 32  ZAP POL 135 34 425 706 400 173 139 115 86 87 570  NEP 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 31 26 22 10 95 1 2  ZAP 113 23 - 2 2 2 2 2 10 95 1 2  ZAP 172 420 81 - 2 2 2 2 2 10 95 1 2  ZAP 172 420 81 - 1 4 13 6 6 3 1 17 - 9  NEP 500 48 - 1 10 3 1 1 2 1 18 - 9  ZAP 1,084 146 - 1 2 14 15 10 13 13 5 4 32 7 1  POL 443 146 - 1 2 14 15 10 13 13 5 4 32 7 1  REEF 1,084 146 - 1 2 14 15 10 14 13 6 3 17  REEF 1,084 130 1 2 2 2 1 14 13 6 3 17  REEF 1,084 137 - 1 17 29 10 13 13 5 4 32 - 1  REEF 1,084 137 - 1 17 22 9 10 13 13 6 3 39 - 1  REEF 1,084 137 - 1 17 22 9 10 12 10 5 30 - 1	9 9 12 62 2	4 3 2 16		592				
TOL 988 99 - 16 29 16 6 2 2 4 5 18 - 16 2 2 AP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 20 4 4 1 3 2 2 8 5 2 6 3 2 AP-RF1,558 164 9 53 9 20 4 4 1 3 2 2 8 5 2 6 3 2 AP-RF1,558 164 9 53 9 20 4 4 1 3 2 8 6 3 2 6 3 2 AP-RF1,558 164 9 53 9 20 4 4 1 1 3 2 2 8 8 2 8 2 AP-RF1,558 164 9 53 9 2 0 4 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 3 13 2 3 2 3 4 4 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7 25 12 8 7	7 2 4 16	305	215				
ZAP-RF1,467 150 7 40 39 20 4 4 1 3 2 30 5 26 ZAP-RF1,558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 5 3 2 30 5 26 ZAP-RF1,558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 5 3 2 8 6 32 ZAP-RF1,558 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 5 3 2 8 6 32 REEF 359 34 1 8 6 5 3 - 1 1 2 7 3 23 POL 17,605 2,735 34 425 706 400 173 139 115 86 87 570 ZAP 113 23 - 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 10 95 1 2 ZAP 128 420 81 - 1 4 13 6 6 3 6 3 6 3 39 - 1 ZAP 129 72 73 - 1 2 5 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		2 4 5 19	169	159	65 19			
ZAP-RF1,556 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 3 28 6 32 ZAP-RF1,556 164 9 53 39 17 3 4 4 4 4 3 28 6 32 ZAP-RF1,556 34 3 3 4 4 4 4 3 28 6 32 ZAP-RF1,556 34 3 4 4 5 5 3 4 5 5 6 3 5 6 3 5 6 3 5 6 3 5 6 3 6 5 6 3 6 6 5 6 3 6 6 5 6 6 5 6 6 6 6	6 26 13 3 3	1 2 1 20	378	193	42 43	=	33	22 32
APP 222 25 3 5 5 4 8 12 20  REEF 359 34 1 8 6 5 3 8 12 20  POL 1352 35 - 1 9 4 1 1 1 2 3 13 2 3  POL 14605 2,735 34 425 706 400 173 139 115 86 87 570  ABEEF 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 31 26 22 10 95 1 2  APP 113 23 2 2 2 2 1 2 10 95 1 2  APP 12R 420 81 - 1 4 13 6 6 3 1 3 1 1 9  APP 13		2 2 2 17	499		31 31			
NEEF 359 34 1 8 6 5 3 - 1 1 2 7 3 23  POL 17,605 2,735 34 425 706 400 173 139 115 86 87 570  NEP 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 31 26 22 10 95 1 2  ZAP 113 23 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 10 2  ZAP 72 75 7 9 11 5 10 7 6 3 17 - 9  TOL 293 30 1 1 1 5 10 7 6 3 17 - 9  TOL 345 33 1 2 5 1 1 1 9  TOL 76 95 1 2 5 1 1 1 1  NEF 1,088 1 108 - 2 1 2 5 1 1 1 4 1 18 1 18 1  ZAP 1,068 95 1 2 4 11 6 7 9 10 13 32 1 2  ZAP 1,068 95 1 2 4 11 6 7 9 10 13 32 1 2  NEFF 1,081 108 - 2 14 11 15 10 13 13 5 4 32 - 2  NEFF 1,084 146 - 2 14 11 19 10 13 13 6 37 - 1  REEF 1,084 135 1 2 1 2 1 1 1	0 20 16 -	32		44 36		• :		- 71
POL 1352 35 - 1 9 4 1 1 1 2 3 13 - 3  There    NEF	3 18 15 9 -	3 3 6 20	85					
Ther  NEP 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 31 26 22 22 10  TAP 113 23 2 2 2 2 2 10  TAP 113 23 2 2 2 2 1 2  TAP 113 23 2 2 2 2 1 2  TAP 113 23 2 2 2 2 1 2  TAP 113 23 1 1 0 3 1 1 1 2 1  TOL 293 30 1 10 3 1 1 1 2 1  NEP 500 48 6 3 5 3 5 5 2  TOL 76 7 2 1 1 1 4 1 1 6 1  NEP 1,084 108 - 2 14 15 10 13 13 5 4  NEF 1,084 137 - 1 21 21 14 13 6  TAR REEF 1,084 137 - 1 17 22 9 10 12 10 4	3 26 11 3 3	3 6 8 37	2	39			12	61 87
P 1,984 288 4 8 33 37 P 113 23 - 2 2 R 420 81 - 1 4 13 L 293 30 1 10 L 345 33 5 9 11 L 345 33 6 3 L 76			282 3032 4516	1 0/67	062 837	989		
NEP 1,984 288 4 8 53 57 72 AP 113 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	:	•	,	9				37
TAPE 113 2.5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6 11 51 71 7	2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	01 40 239	967	10 017	100	601	10 46
POL. 293 30 - 1 10 ZAP 772 75 - 7 9 11 TOL. 345 33 1 10 NEP 500 48 6 3 TOL. 76 7 2 ZAP 1,068 95 1 2 4 11 REEF 1,084 1166 - 1 21 25 POL 66 7 - 2 14 15 NEP 1,084 137 - 1 17 22 POL 1,443 146 - 1 21 25 POL 1,644 137 - 1 17 22	7 7 16 7 7	4 7 4 40	4			2 2	. 52	17 20
ZAP 772 75 - 7 9 11 TOL 345 33 1 2 NED 1,068 95 1 2 4 11 REEF 1,081 108 - 2 14 15 POL 6 7 2 TZR 1,443 146 - 1 21 25 POL 6 7 - 2 2 TZR 1,445 130 2 SAP 1,684 137 - 1 17 22	34 1	3 6 3 37	' '			•	18	_
TOL 345 33 - 1 2  NEP 500 48 - 6 3  TOL 76 7 2  ZAP 1,068 95 1 2 4 11  REEF 1,081 108 - 2 14 15  POL 66 7 - 2 2 4  TYR 1,425 130 - 1 21 25  POL 1,444 137 - 1 17 22	12 15 7 13	9 6 73	69 -			69		
NEP   500   48     6   3   1   2   4   1   2   4   1   2   4   1   2   4   1   2   4   1   2   4   1   2   4   1   2   4   1   2   4   1   2   4   1   2   4   1   2   4   1   2   2   4   1   2   2   4   1   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2	- 3 6 15 3	3 12 3 55		0	53 10		41	
TOL 76 7 2 2 AP 1,081 195 1 2 4 11 REEF 1,081 196 - 2 14 15 NEP 1,443 146 - 1 21 25 POL 66 7 - 2 3 TZR 1,425 130 2 3 REEF 1,084 137 - 1 17 22 POL 1,444 137 - 1 17 22	13 6 10 10	10 10 5 36	,	55 30	50 50	99	50	25 18
AAP 1,068 95 1 2 4 11 REEF 1,081 108 - 2 14 15 NEP 1,443 1468 - 1 21 25 POL 66 7 - 2 3 TZR 1,425 130 - 2 3 9 15 REEF 1,084 137 - 1 17 22	- 29 - 14	14 - 14 29		- 22	=	=	•	
REEF 1,081 108 - 2 14 15 NEP 1,443 146 - 1 21 25 POL 66 7 - 2 3 TZR 1,425 130 10 17 REEF 1,084 105 - 3 9 15 POL 1,444 137 - 1 17 22	2 4 12 6 7	9 11 14 34	11 22 4	14 129	65 75			
NEP 1,443 146 - 1 21 25 POL 66 7 - 2 3 TZR 1,425 130 - 10 17 REEF 1084 105 - 3 9 15 POL 1,444 137 - 1 17 22	2 13 14 9 12	12 4 4 30	- 22 14		97 130		43	
POL 66 7 - 2 3 TZR 1,425 130 10 17 REEF 1084 105 - 3 9 15 POL 1,444 137 - 1 17 22	1 14 17 12 8	10 9 4 25	- 14 203	245	173 115	144		
TZR 1,425 130 10 17 REEF 1,084 105 - 3 9 15 POL 1,444 137 - 1 17 22	- 29 43 14 14			53	_			
REEF 1,084 105 - 3 POL 1,444 137 - 1	- 8 13 15 13	10 7 4 30			214 185			57 427
POL 1,444 137 - 1	3 9 14 10 9	13 11 2 29	- 33	152		141	119	
	1 12 16 7 7	.9 7 3 38	- 14 1	231	101 101			
REEF 1, 659 155 - 1 3 14	1 2 9 6 9	13 11 5 44	- 17	150	_ ′			827 28
ZAP 1,866 170 - 6 15 17 18 13 18 13 7	4 9 10 11 7	11 7 4 37	ij.	187	- 1	205		75 689
Total 15,639 1,638 5 32 151 219 158 148 155 136 72 562			31 310 1441	2083	516 1390	1540	, 5871	
Season total 35,003 4,924 40 482 1006 710 365 313 280 243 176 1309			318 3421 640	6401 4926 20	2675 2304	2255	1840 13	1321 9364

APPENDIX TABLE 13,-- Cumulative age classification of female seals killed, St. Paul Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17, 20-24 August, 6-7, 11-14, and 17-19 September 1962

		_	2	0 0	a char	Littled for	solo and the most hellist water become	0000	0,0					Per	cent 1	Percent killed from each age class	rom e	ach ag	e cla	SS		
Dat	Date Rookery	2	3 8	4	5	9	7	- ∞	6	10	10+	kill	2	60	4	2	6 7	00	6	101	10+	1 .
July				-		,			-		=	17				- 12	2 23	,	'	,	99	
28	TZR		1	ı	1	7	*		ı		1 7				1	- 1			1	1	99	
53	ZAP	1	ı	•	1	m	41	1 4	1 6		13	0.70			=				٣	S	29	
30	REEF-LK	1	1	11	4	Wn	_	m	<b>~</b> 1 (	n •	10	113			: :	_	9	٣	٣	4	59	
31	POL	1	•	15	œ	S	7	m	~	'n	10	113	•		2		,					
August	st						;			:	7.5.1	223			22	15	80	٣	S	ক	38	
· -	NEP	1	1	74	52	52	16	10	18	71	126	555			77	2 6		2	(6)	3	34	
2	TZR	•	13	132	117	51	59	10	21	20	201	594	Ŀ	7 (		27	. 0		4	۳	38	
~ ۱	ZAP		18	159	135	79	40	1.5	92	24	297	176	ı	7	2 2	1 -	0 4	1 0	4	۳ ۱	33	
4	REEF	40	65	331	219	83	99	97	47	35	427	1,298	ı	4		1 !	n .	1 0	• •	~	30	
• 46	POI	ı w	79	444	273	46	77	53	58	49	410	1,581	ı	S	28	1.7	0 0	7 6	۰ ۳	٠ ٣	26	
,	0 4 7	22	2.51	924	479	569	197	115	95	100	848	3, 297	-	œ	82	15	о I 0 I	n (	4 0	1 4	2 6	
2 2	DAN	2 2		1 5.28	740	381	253	171	166	193	1, 183	5, 158	1	10	30	14	-	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	י ה	7 6	
4 .	NET.	4 6	7 60	2,000	1 186	. V	402	320	240	242	1,877	7,637	٠	01	27	16	r.	4	<b>1</b>	1	67	
S :	TOT	77	600	4,0,4	1,100	847	¥0.4	393	284		2,170	9, 103	•	11	27	15	7	4	ы.	ν,	67	
16	ZAP	77	566	2,415	1,577	000	000	745	247			11.187	•	13	56	15	9 2	4	m	m	23	
17	REEF	#3	1,473	2,915	1, 710	701	600	000			707	12 447		13	56	16	7 6	4	m	2	22	
20	POL	26	1,624	3, 280	1,975	838	685	906	200		* 0	124,24	-		90	16	7 (	4	6	٣	21	
2.1	NEP	92	1,929	3,728	2,190	981	810	632	421			14,240	٠.	7 7	34	16		4	~	3	21	
22	TOL	92	2,098	4,026	2,349	1,043	829	651	459	514		15, 228	٠.	* .	2 7	2 4		4	۳	6	21	
2.2	ZR	156	2, 476	4,386	2,542	1,085	872	799	492	536		16,695	٠.	C `	07	7	- 4	. 4	~		21	
23	ZR	249	2.975	4,760	2,714	1, 116	903	693	523	294	3, 753	18, 253	→ .	9 ?	70	<u>.</u>	o 4	. 4	, 60	. ~	21	
23	2.AP	276	3,019	4,804	2,750	1, 116	903	693	523	267		18, 475	-	16	97	12	, <sub>1</sub>	• •	י הי	. ~	2.1	
2 4	REFE	287	3, 101	4,869	2,804	1,148	903	704	534	588	3,896	18,834	-	16	97	51	0 4		۰ ۳	۰ ۳	2.1	
2.4	POL	287	3, 111	4,960	2,843	1,159	914	715	555	616	4,026	19, 186	-	16	56	15	0	r 0	1	1		
Sept	September													:		9.	-	4	~	٣	22	
4	O.F.N	307	3, 151	8, 199	3, 101	1,377	1,091	874	714	919		21,170	→	12	C7	C :	- 1		. ~	6	22	
2 1	ZAP	307	3, 151	5,209	3, 111	1,387	1, 101	884	721	989		21,283	~	15	25	2 ;	- 1	. 4	י ר		23	
	T7B	307	3 155	5, 230	3, 178	1.416	1, 130	901	7 50	703	4,933	21,703	-	15	54	C :	- 1		1 4	. ~	23	
- =	I Od	307	3, 155	5, 239	3, 278	1.447	1, 139	910	168	712		21,996	-	4	5.4	c;	- 1				23	
: =	7 4 7	307	3.224	5, 332	3, 394	1,501	1,239	616	830	743	5,219	22,768	-	4.	6.4	61	- 1	. 4			24	
: =	TOL	307	3, 224	5, 342	3,415	1,554	1,249	686	871	753		23, 113	-	4.	57	01	- 1	. 4	. 4		24	
12	GEN	307	3,224	5, 407	3, 445	1,604	1,299	1,039	921	778		23,613	<b>-</b>	4	77	01	- 1	. 4	4		24	
: :	101	307	3.224	5, 407	3, 467	1.604	1,310	1,050	921	789	5,610	23,689	-	1.4	57	12	- 1	1 4		7	24	
1	1 0	210	3 246	5 461	3 696	1,669	1,385	1, 146	1.034	939	5,973	24, 757	-	13	77	4	<b>-</b> 1	י פ			24	
2 2	0 5 5 5	0 1 0	2,248	5 892	3 747	1 766	1.515	1, 276	1.077	982	6,297	25,838	-	13	22	4	- 1	0 .			2,5	
C ;	322	010	2071	1000	2 003	1 929	1 630	1 420	1 207	1 040		27.281	-	12	2.1	15	_		•		1 0	
14	Z I	916	2,202	5,173	2,774	11,10	000	1, 420	1 207			27 347	-	12	21	15	7		•	194	67	
14	POL	318	3, 282	5, 814	4,021	1, 740	1,007	1, 460	1,201	1,00		28 772	7	11	20	15	00	9		,	57	
17	TZR	318	3, 282	5, 928	4, 206	291 7	1,024	1,505	1,307	1,071		29, 856	7	11	20	14	∞				52	
17	REEF	318	3, 315	6,026	4, 358	2,2/0	1,922	1,104	1, 420	1,117		21 200	-	11	20	14	œ	9 9	_		1 25	
18	POL	318	3, 329	6, 199	4, 589	2, 371	2,023	1,834	1,527	1, 163	14.	31,300	-	10	19	14	œ	7 6		10	1 26	
18	REEF	318	3,346	6, 233	4, 739	2,470	2, 173	2,050	1,709	1,246	~	767,26	-	10	18	14	00	7 6	.0	2	1 27	
19	ZAP	318	3, 421	6,401	4,926	2,675	2, 304	2, 255	1,840	1, 321	9,364 3	34, 825	4	>	)	:						-
													-									

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tolstoi and Zapadni Reef.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Reef, Lukanin, and Kitovi.
<sup>3</sup> Plus 178 unclassified females killed 2-27 July.

APPENDIX TABLE 14. -- Age classification of female seals killed, St. George Island 2 July to 5August, 13-17 and 20-23 August 1962

Number   N	ı		lä	:1			7		~	~	4	4	. ~	1-		0	. m	00	6	- 00	_	0	4	9	1-	6
Number   Number in each   Number in each   Percent in each   Number in e			ř						10	7	۳.	00	4	[~		2	6	10	2.5	10	4			2	189	223
Number   Number in each   Number in each   Percent in each   Number in e							'		15		2.1	12	2	2		=	1	29	1.9	14	29				186	236
Number   N			6				4		·	00	=	17	2	4		3.2	1 4	25	2.7	14	59	57	15			288
Number   Number   Number   neach   Secretaria   Number   neach   Secretaria   Number   Number   neach   Secretaria   Sec	Hed	88	00				~		1	٠	34	4	1	38		32	4 40	17	.8	48	88	96	30	00	384	423
Number   Number   Number   neach   Secretaria   Number   neach   Secretaria   Number   Number   neach   Secretaria   Sec	Der Ki	e cla	2				-		15	١	00	9	00	37			69	25	36	14	59	114	37	00	410	448
Number   Number   Number   neach   Secretaria   Number   neach   Secretaria   Number   Number   neach   Secretaria   Sec	num	sch ag	٥				-		15	35	24	2.1	2	100		32	55	62	72	89	59	152	15	42	578	
Number   Number   Number   neach   Secretaria   Number   neach   Secretaria   Number   Number   neach   Secretaria   Sec	mated	om e	2						22	53	34	17	92	152		7.3	118	7.1	911	137	191	822	52	104		
Number   Number   Number in each   Fercent in each   Fercent in each   Number   Number in each   Number in	Esti	1	4				4		7	20	24	48	36	85		90	38	29	893	64	137		98			1 00
Number   Number   Number in each   Fercent in each   Fercent in each   Number   Number in each   Number in			~						7	00	00	,	2			=	41	13								30 21
Number   Number   Number in each   Fercent In each   Number in each   Number   Number in each   Number   Number in each   Number   Numbe			2				,			,	,	,							,		- 2					9,
Number   Number   Number in each   Secretary   Number in each   Secretary		1	+0				0		9	~	7	0	4			c	00	9	6	9	00				_	-
Number   N									90	, n	1	6 4	2 3			2 4	2 2	7 2	2 2	2 1	2 2	1 2	7 2	1		
Number   N		- 1					00		,	3	9	00	4			4	2	9	~	2	4	~	2			
Number In each           Okery Females Toth         Number in each           25 Uoclassified         3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 4 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		ple	00						,		7	7				٠	2	4	2	7	9	ر ا	4	2		
Number In each           Okery Females Toth         Number in each           25 Uoclassified         3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 4 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	eacl	Ban	7				9		œ	,	4		9			4	0	9	4	9	4	9	2	2		
Number In each           Okery Females Toth         Number in each           25 Uoclassified         3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 4 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	nt in	88 0	9				9		00	4	2	0	4			9	. 8	S	00	۳	4	œ	2	0		
Number In each           Okery Females Toth         Number in each           25 Uoclassified         3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 4 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	erce	cla					9		2	1	7		0			4	<u>_</u>	7	2	7 0	_	2	2			
Number         Number in each           okery Females Toolt         2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104         2 3           2 Coclassified         3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 104         2 3           2 Coclassified         3 1 1 1 1 3 - 7	2.	a Be					00		4	8 2	2					0	0	1 9	- 0		3		2			
Number   N			m				-		4	3 2	-	- 2	2 2			2	2 9	3	ų.	-	8	7 2				
Number   Number   Number in each			7				F			1	1	,	,				,	,	,	-	-	2 1	4	2 1		
Number   Number   Number in each		1	+0				2		*	6	00	5	9.	7.5			9	.7	91	-	4.	61	-	3	0	6
Number   Number   Number in each		- 1					į.		2	,	S	4 2	_			2	2 3	7 2	3	-	4	2 3	9			9 33
Number   Number   Number in each							m		,	_	9	2	2	_		ı,	۳	9	2	_	9	9	2			
Number   Number   Number   Number   Number   Stilled aample   2 3 4 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4   Stilled aample   2 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	녕.	mple	00				~		į,	,	00	_		6		2	6	4	4	2	0	0	2	_		
Number   Number   Number   Number   Number   Stilled aample   2 3 4 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4   Stilled aample   2 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	n ea	Ba I	~				_		7		2	2	3	0		~	23	9	9	4	7	3 1	4	_		
Number   Number   Number   Number   Number   Stilled aample   2 3 4 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4   Stilled aample   2 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	ber i	888	9				~		2	4	9	9	2			v.	0	9	3	6	7	9	2	5		
Number   Number   Number   Number   Number   Stilled aample   2 3 4 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4   Stilled aample   2 3 4   Stilled aample   2 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5 5 5   Stilled aample   2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Num.	0					_		۳	9	œ	2	6			2	2 1	8 1		4	2	_	9			0 10
Number   Number		٦,	4				9		7	00	9	w/F	3			7 1		7	9 2	9	9		0			7 18
Number   Number		1							_	7	2	-	1 1			2 1	8 2	3 1	5 4	7						5 29
Number   Number			2		pei		1		1	1	,	1	à	1		1	,	1	- 1	1	1 2	3 3	3 1.	-	8 12	8 12:
NOR 1 NOR 2 NOR 6 NOR 6 NOR 6 NOR 6 NOR 8 NOR 1,4 NO	L.		ø		lassif		17		2.5	53	48	29	47	211		84	129	104	162	89	160	199	82	52		
NOR 1 NOR 2 NOR 6 NOR 6 NOR 6 NOR 6 NOR 8 NOR 1,4 NO	urnbe	1	d Ba		Uac																				1,	٦.
Jate Rookery  July  2 30  July  July	2	r ema	kille		25		19		184	251	198	509	127	696		530	069	417	894	683	1,467	1,901	745	417	7,744	8,757
Age of the control of	,	ery					ж.		a,	R	ST					Д	R	ST	AR				ST	A.R.	,	[4
August 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100	2004					NO	2.0	ZA	NO	EA	ST	8	tota		Z.A.	S N	EA	ST	NO NO	ZA	ON.	EA	ST		n tot
		7916		July	2-30	July	31	Augus	_	7	۳	4	5	Round	Anone	13	14	15	91	17	20	2.1	2.2	23	Total	Seaso

APPENDIX TABLE 15. -- Cumulative age classification of female seals killed, St. George Island, 2 July to 5 August, 13-17 and 20-23 August 1962

-			Estim	nated num	Estimated number killed from each age class	no l from	each ag	e class				Total		Perc	ent kil	led fr	Percent killed from each age class	h age	class		i	
Date	Rookery	2		4	un.	9	7	œ	6	10	101	kill	2	3	4	2	9	7	œ	6	0	10+
July																						
31	NOR	ı	•	4	<del></del>	-	7	-	4	,	7	19	٠	١	2.1	2	ď	2	2	21	í	38
August	1t																					
-	ZAP	1	7	Ξ	23	16	16	-	4	15	110	203		3	2	11	œ	00	-	7	00	54
2	NOR	1	15	81	92	5.1	16	-	12	15	187	454	,	3	18	17	=	4	,		m	4 1
3	EAST	ŀ	23	105	110	75	24	35	23	36	221	652	,	3	16	17	12	4	5	۳	9	34
4	STAR	1	23	153	127	96	30	39	40	48	305	861	ì	۳	18	15	Ξ		5	νſ	S	35
2	NOR		25	189	153	101	38	39	45	20	348	986	1	7	19	91	10	4	4	٧n	'n	35
13	ZAP	1	36	295	922	133	59	7.1	77	61	260	1,518	1	2	19	15	6	4	2	S	4	37
14	NOR	1	77	433	344	188	128	119	91	75	753	2,208		3	20	16	6	9	S	4	m	34
15	EAST		90	200	415	250	153	136	116	104	861	2,625	1	3	19	91	10	9	2	4	4	33
91	STAR	5	170	168	531	322	189	154	143	122	1,120	3,519	,	2	22	15	6	2	4	4	4	32
17	NOR	1	238	932	899	417	230	202	157	136	1,228	4,202		9	22	16	10	2	2	4	m	62
20	ZAP	1	205	1,269	829	470	289	290	516	165	1,639	699 '5	1	6	22	15	00	ld I	S	4	m	59
21	NOR	38	825	1,764	1,057	279	403	385	273	184	2,019	7,570	-	=	23	14	00	2	2	4	7	2.7
22	EAST	89	656	1,950	1,109	637	440	415	288	236	2,213	8,315	-	12	23	13	00	2	S	3	۳	27
23	STAR	9,	1,030	2,100	1,213	619	448	423	288	236	2,239	18,732	-	12	24	14	00	2	ر د	۳	۳	55

<sup>1</sup> Plus 25 unclassified female seals killed 2-30 July.

						years					
13 August	2	3	4	5	6	7	g	9	10	10+	Total
Post partum											
multiparous		-		_	1	1	_	_	2	1	5
Nonpregnant					•	•			-		5
nulliparous	-	5	8	4	_	_	_	-	_	_	17
primiparous	-	-	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	1
multiparous	-	-	-	-	_	1	-	1	_	3	5
14 August										-	
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	2	~	1	4	_	-	-	-	7
multiparous	-	-	-	-	1	9	-	-	3	1	14
Nonpregnant									_	_	
nulliparous	-	7	32	6	4	-	-	-	_	_	49
primiparous	-	-	-	-	~	1	-	-	_	_	1
multiparous	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	_	1		3
15 August											
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	3	7	1	6	-	-	-	-	17
multiparous	-	-	-	-	4	8	2	-	-	1	15
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	1	16	41	17	3	-	-	-	-	-	78
primiparous	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
multiparous	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	1	_	7
16 August											
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	-	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	5
multiparous	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	1	1	1	7
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	1	6	13	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
primiparous	-	-	-	-	-	2	~	-	-	-	2
multiparous	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	3
17 August											
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	-	3	4	2	-	-	-	-	9
multiparous	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	~	3
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	4	21	22	11	1	-	-	-	-	~	59
primiparous	-	- '	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
multiparous	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	2
20 August											
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	3
multiparous	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-		3	6
Noopregnant										-	v
nulliparous	-	4	14	6	1	-	-	-	-	-	25
primiparous	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
multiparous	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	_	_	2	3
21 August											-
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	-	3	_	1	-	-	-	-	4
multiparous	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	1	-	4
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	1	5	14	g	3	-	-	-	_	-	31
primiparous	_	-	-	-		1	-	-	-	-	1
multiparous	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	1	1	-	2
22 August											
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	3
multiparous	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	-	-	1	5
Nonpregnant											
aulliparous	4	16	33	17	1	2	-	-	-	-	73
primiparous	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-		1
multiparous	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	3
23 August											
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
multiparous	_	_		_	1	4	-	_	-	-	5
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	1	18	23	1	-	-	_	-	-	-	43
primiparous	_	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-		2
multiparous	_	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	_		1
24 August											
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	1	2	2	3	_	_		-			8
		-	-	-							•

APPENDIX TABLE 17.--Reproductive condition of female seals sampled from the kill, St. Paul Island, 6, 7, and 11-14 September 1962

					A B	e in yea	LB				
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	10+	Tota
6 September											
Post partum											
primiparous		_	2	12	12	2	1	2	-	5	36
multiparous	-		-	2	10	20	16	12	5	51	116
	-	-	-	2	10	20	10	12	9	21	110
Nonpregnant			2/	20	10	-	,	,		-	104
nulliparous	4	10	36	29	10	5	3	2	2	3	_
primiparous	-	-	-	3	3	3	_	1	-	1	11
multiparous	-	-	1	2	1	3	5	5	4	40	61
7 September											
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	-	2	2	3	1	-	7	1	9
multiparous	-	-		3	4	3	4	4	3	29	50
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	_	1	9	13	2	-	_	_	_	2	27
	_	-		-	-	2	-	_	1	-	3
primiparous				_	_	2	1	3	2	19	27
multiparous	•	-	•	-	-	2	•	,	2	17	21
11 September											
Post partum					_						
primiparous	-	-	1	13	8	1	1	1	-	-	25
multiparous	-	-	-	-	2	14	4	9	4	34	67
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	-	6	21	21	5	1	-	-	-	1	55
primiparous	-	-	_	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	2
multiparous	-	-	-	-	1	2	3	3	2	13	24
12 September											
Post partum											
primiparous	_		_	4	3	3	-	_	-	_	10
multiparous	_	_	_	-	3	4	5	4	1	15	32
Nonpregnant	_				,	-	,	•	1	19	32
		_	6	1	1			2			10
nulliparous	-				-	2	- 1	2 -	-	-	9
multiparous	-	-	•	-	-	2	1	-	1	5	7
13 September											
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	-	7	1	1	-	-	-	-	9
multiparous	-	-	-	-	2	8	8	11	6	22	57
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	2	4	6	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	16
multiparous	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4
14 September Post partum											
primiparous			3	12	12	7	8	5	2	4	53
multiparous		-	_	1	1	4	5	8	3	22	44
Nonpregnant	-			•	•	•	,	Ü	,		• •
		1	20	14	6	2	_				43
nulliparous	-				-	-		-	-	ī	2
primiparous	-	-	-	1			-			10	11
multiparous	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	•	1	10	11

APPENDIX TABLE 18.--Reproductive condition of tagged female seals sampled from the kill, St. George Island, 3, 5, 13-17, and 20-23 August 1962

					Age II	years					
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	10+	Total
3 August											
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
5 August											
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	-	_	1	-	_		_				
13 August			_			_	_	_	•	-	1
Post partum											
primiparous	_	_	1	_	1						
	_	_	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2
Nonpregnant	,		2								
nulliparous	1	-	3	4	-	-	-	~	-	-	8
14 August											
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	4
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	-	3	15	1	1	~	-	-	-	-	20
15 August											20
Post partum											
multiparous	_	_	-	_	-	1			-		1
Nonpregnant						-		_	_	-	1
nulliparous	-	1	1	5	1	_					
6 August		•	•	J	*	-	-	-	-	-	8
Post partum											
primparous	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	-	-	5	5	2	-	-	-	-	-	12
7 August											
Post partum											
multiparous	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	~	-	-	1
Nonpregnant											_
nulliparous	-	1	6	2	1	_	_	_	_	_	10
0 August											10
Post partum											
primiparous	_	_	_	3	1						,
multiparous	_		_	1	-	_	-	-	-	-	4
	_	_	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Nonpregnant	_	,		,							
nulliparous	2	6	12	6	+	-	-	-	-	-	26
multiparous	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
l August											
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	-	5	2	-	-	_	-	-	7
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	6	15	25	4		_	_	-	-	_	50
2 August			_	_							30
Post partum											
primiparous	-			2	1						2
	_	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	3
Nonpregnant	2	,	_	,							
nulliparous	3	6	8	6	1	-	-	-	~	-	24
3 August											
Post partum											
primiparous	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Nonpregnant											
nulliparous	_	5	7								12

APPENDIX TABLE 19.--Number post partum and nonpregnant among tagged and untagged seals 4 or more years old and 5 or more years oldsampled from the kill, St. Paul Island, 13-17, 20-24 August, 6, 7, and 11-14 September 1962

		Daily			Daily		
	Daily	sample	Ages		sample less	Ages 5	
Date	sample	less 2- and	Post	Non-	2-, 3-, and	Post	Non-
		3-year-olds	partum	pregnant	4-year-olds	partum	pregnant
				Tagged			
August							
13	28	23	5	18	15	5	10
14	74	67	21	46	33	19	14
15	119	102	32	70	57	29	28
16	41	34	12	22	21	12	9
17	74	49	12	37	27	12	15
20	38	34	9	25	20	- 9	11
21	42	36	8	28	22	8	14
22	85	65	8	57	32	8	24
23	53	34	7	27	11	7	4
24	8	5	-	5	3	-	3
				Untagged	<u>L</u>		
Septeml		23.4	2.82	1/2	275	1 # 0	125
6	328	314	152	162	275	150	125
7	116	115	59	56	106	59	47
11	173	167	92	75	145	91	54
12	61	61	42	19	55	42	13
13	86	80	66	14	74	66	8
14	153	152	97	55	129	94	35
Total	1, 479	1, 338	622	716	1,025	611	414

APPENDIX TABLE 20.--Number post partum and nonpregnant among tagged female seals 4 or more years old and 5 or more years old sampled from the kill, St. George Island, 3, 5, 13-17 and 20-23 August 1962

	Daily	Daily sample	Ages	4-10+	daily sample less	Ages	5-10+
Date	sample	less 2- and 3-year-olds	Post partum	Non- pregnant	2-, 3-, and 4-year-olds	Post partum	Non- pregnant
August							
3	2	2	-	2	2	-	2
5	1	1	-	1	-	-	-
13	10	9	2	7	5	1	4
14	24	21	4	17	5	3	2
15	9	8	1	7	7	1	6
16	14	14	2	12	9	2	7
17,	11	10	1	9	4	1	3
20	32	24	5	19	12	5	7
12	57	36	7	29	11	7	4
22	27	18	3	15	10	3	7
23	13	8	1	7	1	1	-
Tota	11 200	151	26	125	66	24	42

Rookery						Rooker	y of rec	overy					
of				Island						George			Grand
tagging	ZAP-1	TOL	L-K	REEF	POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-2	NOR	EAST	STAR	Total	total
				N-seri	es -	l-year-	old seal	s, males					
ZAP-1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
				M-ser	ies - i	Z-vear-	old seal	s, males					
ZAP-1	16	3	-	3	3	6	31	5	1	2	-	8	39
TOL L-K	5 1	8 1	- 1	2	1	5 4	21	1	1	2	1	5	26
REEF	4	5	1	22	3	6	7 41	1	1	3 2	- 2	4 8	11 49
POL	1	-	1	-	2	4	8	-	1	1	-	2	10
NEP	2	1	-	2	1	20	26		1	2	-	3	29
NOR EAST	2 -	2	-	2	-	1 1	5	1 -	9 2	1 1	-	11	16 6
STAR	-	-	_	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	3	4	5
ZAP-2	3	-	1	-	1	4	9	3	-	1	1	5	14
Tags lost	16	16	6_	10	4	21	73	6	6	6	2	20	93
Total	50	36	10	41	16	72	225	21	22	21	9	73	298
								ls, male					
ZAP-1	171	48	4	6	8	38	275	7	7	5	4	23	298
TOL L-K	38 6	62 6	4 14	3 4	10	25 34	142 73	2 1	4 2	3 4	-	9 7	151 80
REEF	51	60	11	70	11	47	250	10	5	7	2	24	274
POL	7	13	1	1	64	50	136	2	7	1	4	14	150
NEP	14	12	7 1	3	26	135	197	1 4	7	-	4	12	209
NOR EAST	7 1	3	-	-	3 5	8 5	19 14	1	37 2	7 16	1	49 22	68 36
STAR	3	2	1	1	2	1	10	-	8	4	6	18	28
ZAP-2	4	3	1	1	3	3	15	11	7	4	-	22	37
Tags lost Total	158	140 349	45 89	135	75 216	201 547	1,796	18 57	129	92	29	307	2,103
Total	400	347	07	133	210	341	1,170	31	127	76	27	307	2,103
			_					ls, males					
ZAP-1 TOL	106 11	29 31	3	3	9	23 10	170 65	2	8 -	3 4	-	13	183 69
L-K	9	12	17	2	18	20	78	_	3	2	1	6	84
REEF	37	36	4	50	6	19	152	-	3	3	-	6	158
POL	1	3	1	1	59	42	107	-	2	2	•	4	111
NEP NOR	12 3	6	3 1	4	14	154 8	193 15	- 3	3 46	2	1	3 52	196 67
EAST	-	3		-	_	4	7	-	1	27	2	30	37
STAR	2	-	-	-	3	2	7	-	3	3	4	10	17
ZAP-2 Tags lost	1 79	71	1 19	- 51	2 43	3 157	7 420	12 24	23	1 18	1	13 66	20 486
Total	261	191	52	111	164	442	1,221	41	92	65	9	207	1,428
ZAP-1	,	2		J-ser	ies -			ls, males		1		1	E
TOL	2	2	-	-	1	-	4	- [	-	1	-	1	5 4
L-K	-	-	-	-	2	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
REEF	3	-	-	3	1	2	9	-	-	-	-	-	9
POL NEP	1	-	-	-	5	13	5 14	-	-	-	-		5 14
NOR	-	-	_	_	_		-	-	2	1	_	3	3
EAST	1	-	-	-	-	•	1	-	1	4	-	5	6
STAR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1 5	1 5
ZAP-2 Tags lost	53	27	4	12	13	46	155	5 10	6	2	4	22	177
Total	60	31	4	15	22	63	195	15	9	9	5	38	233
							-14	la w1					
REEF		1		1-ser	les -	6-year	-old sea	ls, males	-		_	_	1
STAR	-	-	_	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	_	1
Total	-	1	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	2

Rookery					R	ookery	of recov	ery					
of				l Island						eorge I			Grand
tagging	ZAP-1	TOL	L-K	REEF	POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-2	NOR	EAST	STAR	Total	total
				M:-				fa-malan					
ZAP-1	5		_	M-serie	- 2-	year-o	5	females	1	_	_	1	6
TOL	1	2		_	_	1	4	2		-	-	2	6
L-K		-	_	-	_		_	-	-	1	-	1	1
REEF	1	1	_	6	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	8
POL	1	1	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	3
NEP	-	1	-	2	-	4	7	-	-	1	-	1	8
NOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	5	5
EAST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	1	-	1	1
ZAP-2	2	1	-	- ,	-	-	3	1	-	-	-	1	4
Tags lost	11	10	-	14	2	16	63	3	<u>2</u> 8	- 3		14	77
Total	21	10	-	17	2	10	03	•	٠	,	_		
				L-serie	s - 3-	vear-c	old seals.	females					
ZAP-1	25	4	-	1	-	3	33	1	-	-	-	1	34
TOL	5	10	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	-	1	1	16
L-K	1	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
REEF	10	4	-	23	-	-	37	-	1	1	-	2	39
POL	-	-	-	7	4	4	8	•	2	-	-	2	10
NEP	2	1	-	1	-	10	14	-	1.4	2	-	2 16	16 18
NOR	1	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	14	1	1 -	3	18
EAST	-	1	-	-	1	-	2	-		-	3	3	3
STAR ZAP-Z		-				1	1	5	1		_	6	7
Tags lost	73	12	_	31	14	29	159	1	7	4	4	16	175
Total	117	32	-	56	19	49	273	7	25	11	9	52	325
				K-serie	8 - 4-	year-c		females					
ZAP-1	47	6	-	-	-	-	53	1	-	-	1	2	55
TOL	5	59	-	-	-		64	-	-	1	-	1	65
L-K	1	-	:	-	1	3	5	-		-	-	2	5 66
REEF	16	5	1	39	1 20	2	64 31	1	1 1	-	Ī	1	32
POL NEP	2 4	1	-	• -	-	61	66	-	-	2	-	2	68
NOR	1		-	1	1	4	7	1	44	-	2	47	54
EAST	:	_	-		-	-			1	6	-	7	7
STAR	_	-	_	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	8	10	11
ZAP-2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	1	13	13
Tags lost	34	60	-	16	25	39	174	15	10	4	5	34	208
Total	110	131	1	56	48	119	465	31	58	13	17	119	584
				T-comic	5-	.Ve3 = -0	ald apple	females					
ZAP-1	23	6	_	1	-	year -	30	-	_	-	_	_	30
TOL	2	33	-	2	-	1	38	-	-	-	1	1	39
L-K	-	-	-	-	2	3	5	1	1	-	-	2	7
REEF	6	6	-	30	2	1	45	-	-	-	1	1	46
POL	-	-	-	-	13	4	17	-	-	1	-	1	18
NEP	2	2	-	-	•	45	49	-	- 12	-	1	1	50
NOR	1	-	-	-	1	2	4	1	12	2 7	3	15 10	19 13
EAST	•	1	-	•	-	2 1	3 1	-	1		7	8	9
STAR ZAP-2	2	-	-	-	- 	-	2	12	- :	_		12	14
Tags lost	45	48	-	44	27	80	244	9	6	5	5	25	269
Total	81	96	-	77	45	139	438	23	20	15	18	76	514
						year-o		females					
ZAP-1	10	1	-	-	1	•	12	-	-	-	-	-	12 11
TOL	1	10	-	-	-	-	11 1	-	-	-	-	-	11
L-K	- 2	-	•	13	1	1 2	21	-				-	21
REEF POL	3	2		-	3	1	4	1	_			1	5
NEP		1	-		-	20	21	-	-	1		i	22
NOR	1	-		_		-	1	-	6	-	-	6	7
EAST		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	2
STAR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	2	2
ZAP-2	1	1	-	-	-	1	3	2		-	1	3	6
Tags lost	4	3	-	7	5	14	33		2			2	35
Total	20	18	-	20	10	39	107	3	9	3	2	17	124

Rookery					Ro	okery	of recove	ery		· · · · ·			
of			St. Paul	Island						eorge I			Grand
tagging	ZAP-1	TOL	L-K	REEF	POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-2	NOR	EAST	STAR	Total	total
				H-serie	s - 7-			females					
ZAP-1	22	6	-	-	-	1	29	-	-	-	-	-	29
TOL	3	16	~	-	-	-	19	-	-	-	-	-	19
L-K	-	1	-	1	2	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
REEF	8	8	-	32	1	1	50	-	-	-	-	-	50
POL	1	-	-	-	7	6	14	-	-	-	-	-	14
NEP	1	1	-	-	1	40	43	-	~	1	-	1	44
Tags lost	10	10_		5	4	17	46	-		-	-	-	46
Total	45	42	-	38	15	65	205	-	-	1	-	1	206
				G-serie	s - 8-	vear-o	ld seals.	females					
ZAP-1	2	1		-	1	-	4	-	_	_	_	-	4
TOL	1	3	_			-	4	_	_	-		-	4
L-K		_	_			1	i	_	_	_	_	_	î
REEF		1		6	_	:	7	-	_	-	_	_	7
POL	_				2	_	2	_	-	_	_	_	2
NEP		_		_	_	6	6	-	_	_		_	6
Tags lost	23	14	_	13	9	35	94	3	_	_	_	3	97
Total	26	19		19	12	42	118	3	-	-	-	3	121
		- 1											
				F-serie	s - 9-	year-o	ld seals,	females					
ZAP-1	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
TOL	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
REEF	~	-	-	2	-	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
POL	1	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
NEP	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	3
Tags lost	5	3	-	1	2	8	19	-		-		-	19
Total	8	4	-	3	3	13	31	-	-	-	-	-	31
				E-serie				s, females	3				2
ZAP-1	1	1	-	7	-	- 1	2	-	-	-	-	-	15
REEF	3 -	3	-	6	1	2	15	-	-	-	-	-	
POL	-	-	-	-	10	4	14	-	-	-	-	-	14
NEP	-	-	-		-	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	5
Tags lost		1		7		14	5 41			-	-		41
Total	4	5	•	1	11	14	41	-	-	-	-	-	41
				ll-year	-old a	nd old	er seals,	, females					
ZAP-1	2	1	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3
TOL	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
REEF	2	-	-	6	1	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	9
POL	1	1	-	-	14	4	20	-	-	-	-	-	20
NEP	1	2	-			10	13		-	-	-	-	13
Total	6	6	-	6	15	14	47	-	-	-	-	-	47

of				7		Rooker	y of reco	very	54	Corr	. Yalaa 1		Grand
	ZAP-	LTOI	L-K	St. Paul	POL	NEP	Total	7 A D .			STAR		total
agging	ZAP-	ITOL	L-K	REEF	POL	NEP	TOTAL	LAF-	ZNOR	EASI	SIAK	1 Otal	LOLAI
				13-17	. 20-24	August	- 1-year	-old se	als, m	ales			
					·								
AP -1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
					Round	2 - 2-	rear-old	seals, 1	males				
POL	_	_	_	_		_	_	-	-	1		1	1
EAST	_	1	-			_	1	_		-	-	-	1
ags lost	-		-	-	-	1	1	-	_	-	-	-	1
Total	-	1	-	-	-	i	2	-	-	1	-	1	3
					Round	3 - 2-	rear-old	seals, 1	males				
	,						1						1
COL L-K	1	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	2	-	2	2
ags lost	2	1	_	-	_	_	3	1	-	1	_	2	5
Total	3	1	-	-		-	4	1	-	3	-	4	8
					Round	4 - 2-	year-old	seals, 1	males				
AP-1 OL	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-		•	2 1
REEF	1	1	-	-	-	-	1			-	-	-	i
NEP	-	_	- 1	_	-	1	i	-	_		_	-	î
IOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1
ZAP-2	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
ags lost	3	-	2	2	-	1	8		<u> </u>	-		<u> </u>	8
Total	7	1	3	2	-	2	13	-	1	-	-	1	16
					Pound	18 2.	امام حجمت		males				
					K ounc	1 5 - 2-	year-old	SCAIS, 1	mates				
ZAP-1		_	_		1	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	1
TOL	-	1	-	-	-	-	1		-	-	-	-	1
K	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
REEF	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-		-		1
IEP	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	2
TAR ZAP-2		_	_	-	-	1	1	_			-	-	1 1
Tags lost	1	4	1	_	1	3	10	_	_	-	-	-	10
Total	1	5	1	1	4	5	17	-	-	1	-	1	18
					Round	16 - 2-	year-old	seals,	males				
						3	7						7
ZAD		1		-	•	2	7	-	*	•		1	7
	4	1	-	_	1		3	_	_				
COL	-	1 -	-	-	1 -	2	3 1	-	-	-	-		1
COL	1 1	1	- - 1	-	1 - 1	-	3 1 3	-	-	1	-	1	1 4
OL -K REEF	1	1	- - 1 1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1 -	4 2
OL L-K REEF POL NEP	1 1 - 1	1 1	- - 1 1	-	-	-	1 3 2 5	-	-	1	-	1	4 2 5
COL L-K REEF POL NEP LAP-2	1 1 -	1	1 -	-	1 -	1 3	1 3 2 5	-	-	1	-	1 -	4 2 5 2
COL L-K REEF POL NEP LAP-2	1 1 2 -	- - - 1	1 2	:	- 1 - - - 1	1 3 - 4	1 3 2 5 2 8	· · ·	-	-	-	-	4 2 5 2 8
COL L-K REEF POL MEP LAP-2	1 1 - 1	1	1 -	:	1 -	1 3	1 3 2 5	-	-	1	-	1 1	4 2 5 2
COL L-K REEF POL NEP LAP-2	1 1 2 -	- - - 1	1 2	:	1 1 3	- 1 3 - 4	1 3 2 5 2 8	- - - - - seals.		-	:	-	4 2 5 2 8
COL L-K REEF POL NEP LAP-2	1 1 2 -	- - - 1	1 2	:	1 1 3	- 1 3 - 4	1 3 2 5 2 8	seals,		-	-	-	4 2 5 2 8 32
COL L-K REEF POL NEP ZAP-2 Tags lost	1 1 2 -	- - - 1	1 2	:	1 1 3	- 1 3 - 4	1 3 2 5 2 8	seals,	- - - -	-	-	-	4 2 5 2 8 32
COL L-K REEF POL NEP ZAP-2 Tags lost Total	1 1 2 -	- - - 1	1 2	:	1 1 3	1 3 - 4 12 17 - 2-	1 3 2 5 2 8 31 year-old	seals,	- - - -	1		1	4 2 5 2 8 32
COL L-K REEF POL NEP ZAP-2 Tags lost Total	1 1 2 -	- - - 1	1 2	:	1 1 3	1 3 - 4 12 17 - 2-	1 3 2 5 2 8 31 year-old	seale,	- - - -	1	-	1	4 2 5 2 8 32
TOL L-K REEF POL NEP ZAP-2 Fage lost Total  ZAP-1 FOL L-K NEP	1 1 2 -	- - - 1	1 2	:	1 1 3 Round	1 3 - 4 12 17 - 2 1 1 6	1 3 2 5 2 8 31 year-old	seale,	males	1	-	1	4 2 5 2 8 32
ZAP-1 TOL L-K REEF POL NEP ZAP-2 Tags lost Total  ZAP-1 TOL L-K NEP EAST Tags lost	1 1 2 2	- - - 1	1 2	:	1 1 3 Round	1 3 - 4 12 17 - 2-	1 3 2 5 2 8 31 year-old		- - - -	1	-	1	4 2 5 2 8 32

APPENDIX TABLE 22.--Recovery location of tagged seals killed, by age, rookery and round, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962--Continued

Rookery							ery of re	ecovery					
of				St. Paul						George			Grand
tagging	ZAP	-1 TOL	L-K	REEF	POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-Z	NOR	EAST	STAR	Total	total
					Round	18 - 2-	year-old	seals, m	ales				
ZAP-1	1	2	-	-	-	-	3	1	-	-	-	1	4
rol	-	2	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	1	-	1	4
L-K	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	2
REEF	-	1	-	4		-	5	-	-	-	-	-	5
POL	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	**	-	1
VEP	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	2
NOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1		-	1	1
EAST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1		1	1
STAR	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	1	-	-	1	1	1
Tags lost	-	7	-	2	-	5		2	1	7	-	4	11
Total	1	7	1	6	1	5	21	2	1	1	1	11	32
				13-17	20 - 24	Anguet	2 vnn	r-old eea	la m	nles			
				13-17,	20-24	August	- 2-yez	1 - Old eea	10, 111	a ic s			
ZAP-1	7	-	-	3	2	3	15	4	1	1	-	6	21
TOL	3	5	-	2	-	1	11	1	1	1	1	4	15
L-K	-	1	-	-	-	1	2	1	~	-	-	1	3
REEF	3	3	-	17	2	5	30	3	1	1	2	7	37
POL	1	-	-	-	1	3	5	-	1	-	-	1	6
NEP	-	-	-	2	-	8	10	-	1	-	-	1	11
NOR	2	-	-	2	-	1	5	1	7	1	-	9	14
EAST	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	2
STAR	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	~	-	2	3	3
ZAP-2	-	-	~	-	1	3	4	3	-	1	1	5	9
Tage lost	9	5	-	6	2	7	29	4	3	2	2	11	40
Total	25	15	-	32	8	32	112	18	16	7	8	49	161
			,			10.0			13	.1	ta a		
			6	, 7, 11-1	14, 17-	19 Sept	ember -	2-year-o	Id des	iis, ma	II.C.B		
ZAP-1	2	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	3
L-K	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
REEF	-	-	-	-		1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
NEP	-	-	-	-	•	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Tags lost		11	_	-	-	-	1	-	-		-	-	1
Total	2	1	-	-	-	4	7	-	-	-	-	-	7
					Round	<b>2</b> - 3-y	ear-old	seals, ma	les				
AP-1	9	10				2	21	1				1	2.2
OL	2	3		1	-	2	8	1	_	_	-	1 -	2 <u>2</u> 8
-K	_	3		1		2	2	-			-	_	2
-44	2	4	1	2	3	4	16	3	-	1	-	4	20
	2	7	1	-	6	5	13	3	1	1	-	2	15
EEF	- fee	1		1	1	5 5	8	-	1	1	-	1	9
EEF OL			-	1	_	1	1		3	-	-		4
EEF OL EP	-	1	_					-	)	_	-		
EEF OL EP OR	-	-	-	-	_				1		_		
EEF OL EP OR AST	- - -	-		-	-	-	-	-	1 3	-	-	1	1
EEF OL EP OR AST TAR	- - - 1	-	-	-	-	-	1		3	-	-	1 3	1 4
EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 age lost	- - -	- - - 4	-	-	-	-	-	- - - 2		-	-	1	1

of				St. Paul	Island				St.	George 1	aland		Grane
lagging	ZAP-1	TOL	1K	REEF		NEP	Total	ZA12-2		EAST	STAR	Total	total
					Round	13 - 1-	year-old	scala, n	nales				
: AP-1	23	4		-	j.	-	28	•	2	1	-	3	31
rot.	4	8			3	1	16		-	-	-	-	16
, - K	•	•	ı	-	3	4	8	-	-	-	-		8
REEF	8	7	2	2	1	4	2.4		-	*		-	24
101.		-	-	•	6	2	8			-	-		8
EP	1	1	-	*	5	1.7	2.4	-	-	4	-		2.4
IOR	2	-	-	-		•	2	•	ı	-	**	1	3
CAST	*	-	•	~	1	1	2	-	-	3	-	3	5
TAR	*	-	•		1		1	-	-		-	-	1
AP-2	1	-	-	1			1	-	1	-	-	1	2
Tage lost	17	15	4	3	15	18	72	2	1	3	-	6	78
Total	56	3.5	7	5	36	47	186	2	5	7	-	1.4	200
					10								
					Koune	4 - 3-	year - 010	seals, n	TALCE				
AP-1	18		1	_	1	2	42				_		43
·01.	14	3	i		3	3	24				-		2.4
-K	2		3	_	2	2	9	_	_	2		2	11
EEE	1.5	1	2	5			23		1	1	-	2	25
01.		-			6	4	10			•	_	-	10
EP	2	1	3	1	7	1.8	32		2	_	_	2	34
OR	2	-	ĺ		i	1	5	_	2	_		2	7
AST					1		1	_	-	1	_	1	2
TAR	1						i			2		2	3
AP-2				_	1	1	2			_	_	-	2
age lost	49	4	8	1	9	26	97		4	3	_	7	104
Total	123	9	19	7	31	57	240	-	9	9	-	18	264
					Round	1 4 - 3-	year-old	scals, n	ales				
AP-1	19					s	3.1	3	,			4	35
OL.	4	4	-		3	ı	16		1	1		4	20
		2	-		1	1	8	-	1	1	_	1	9
K	-	3	4 2	-	1	9	30	1	1	_	_	2	32
OL	1	3	-	,	8	5	16		2		_	2	18
EP	2	40	1		2	17	22		-	_	_		2.2
OR	1		ı.		-	3	4	1	s		-	9	13
AST	1	-		-	-	3	1		-	3	-	3	3
TAR			•		_		-		1	_		1	1
A12-2			-	-	-	2	2				_		2
Cage lost	17	27	0	4	10	29	93	4	2	4	_	10	103
l'otal	51	45	13	13	25	75	222	9	19	8	-	30	258
					Round	10 - 3-	year-old	scals, n	alea				
(A)*-1	40	4	1	1				1	1		1	5	7.4
.01	1.1	1.3	2	-	1	5	3.2		-	2	-	2	3.4
K	1		1	1	-	4	8	•	1	2	-	3	1.1
EEEE	18	1.4	4	1.2	5	8	0.1	1	-	3	-	4	6.5
OL	2	2	1		25	7	37	2	1	-	16	3	40
EF	8	1	2	-	10	13	5.4						54
OR	1	~		•	-	1	2	1	6	4	-	11	13
AST	1	-	-	-	1	•	2	*	1	3	1	5	7
TAR	-	1	-		1	1	3	-	1	1	l	3	0
AP-2	1	-	1		2	-	4	1	2	4	-	7	11
lags lost		21	20	2	29	37	141	2	4	15	1	22	163
Total	121	50	3.4	10	79	107	413	8	17	36	4	0.5	478

Rookery						Rook	cery of r	ecovery					
of	ZAP-1	TOI	L-K		POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-2	St.	George	STAR	Total	Grand total
tagging	2,41-1	TOL	L-K	REIEF	FOL	NEF	LOUAT	ANF = L	NOK	EASI	SIMM	1 0121	(OLA)
					Round	7 - 3-1	ear-old	seals, n	nales				
ZAP-1	14	6				5	2.6		1	1		2	27
TOL	-	8	1	-		7	25 16	-	-	1	-	2 -	27 16
L-K	3	1	3	1	1	16	25	-	-	_	-	-	25
REEF	2	5		8	1	16	32	-	2	-	~	2	34
POL	2	3	-	-	7	9	21	-	2	-	-	2	23
1EP	-	2	1	-	-	23	26	-	1	-	-	1	27
NOR	-	-	-	-	2	2	4	-	Б	-	-	5	9
EAST	es.	-	1	-	1 -	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	2
STAR ZAP-2	-	-	<u>.</u>	-	-	-	_	1	1	-	-	1 2	2
Tags lost	13	7	4	6	5	32	67	3	11	5	-	19	86
Total	34	32	10	15	17	110	218	4	23	8	-	35	253
								seals, r					
ZAP-1	11	18	-	2	1	11	43	1	1	1	1	4	47
rol L-K	1	15	2	2 2	1	6 <b>4</b>	24 12	-	1 -	-	-	1 -	25 12
REEF	-	21	-	22	-	2	45	4	1	2	-	7	52
POL	-	6	_	1	6	10	23		-	-	3	3	26
NEP	1	6	-	1	1	17	26	1	2	-	1	4	30
NOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	3	1	15	15
EAST	-	3	-	-	1	3	7	1	-	4	-	5	12
STAR	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3	-	3	6	7
ZAP-2	8	3	-	18	1	- 26	3 115	3 2	1 15	9	1	4	7
Tags lost	22	124	5	48	11	36 89	299	13	34	19	10	76	142 375
LOUAL		144	,	40	••	٥,	-//	.,	,,,	• /		10	313
				13-1	7, 20-	24 Augu	ast - 3-y	ear-old	scals,	males			
ZAP-1	11	2	-	3	-	-	16	1	1	-	2	4	20
rol	1	5	-	-	-	-	6	2	-	-	-	2	8
L-K REEF	-	5	-	10	1 -	- 2	1 17	1	-	-	2	1	2 20
POL	-		-	-	-	8	8		1	-	1	2	10
VEP		_	_			4	4	_	i	_	3	4	8
NOR	1	-	-	_	_	-	1	1	2		-	3	4
EAST	-	-	-	-		1	1	-	-	1	2	3	4
STAR	-	1	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	2	2	4
ZAP-2	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	6	1	-	-	7	8
Tags lost	- 9	13		5	3_	12	42	3	1	2	3	9	51
Total	22	26	-	20	4	27	99	15	7	3	15	40	139
			6.	7. 11-1	4. 17-1	9 Septe	mber -	3-year-o	ld sea	is, mal-			
			<u> </u>	*, **	.,	7 5 5 7 10		7 - 1					
REEF	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-		-	-	-	2
NEP		-			-	1	1	-		-	-	-	1
Total	~	-	~	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	3
					Round	12 - 4-	year-old	l scals, 1	males				
ZAP-1	15	3		-	2	-	20	~	3	-	-	3	23
TOL	-	2	-	1	-	1	4		-	-	-	-	4
L-K	1	1	1	1	4	1	9	-	1	-	-	1	10
REEF	5	8	-	4	1	4	22	-	**	-	•	-	22
POL	-	-	-	•	12	1	13	-	1	-	-	1	14
NEP	2	1	-	1	-	19	23	-		-	-	-	23
NOR	2	-		-	-	2	4	-	9	-	-	9	13
EAST	-	-	-	-	1	2 2	2	•	-	4	-	4	6
STAR ZAP-2	1	-	1	_	1 -	-	2	2	-	-	-	2	3
TUL		3	1	13	6	24	62	3	-	3	_	6	68
Tage lost	15		1	13	U								0.0

APPENDIX TABLE 22.--Recovery location of tagged seals killed, by age, rookery and round, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1962--Continued

of				St. Paul	leland	Rooke	ry of rec	covery	6.	Con	an Tala	<del></del>	6
agging	ZAP-1	TOL	L-K	REEF	POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-2			ge Islan STAR	Total	Gran tota
					Round	3 - 4-	/ear-old	seals, ma	iles				
AP-1	17	6	_	_	1	3	27	_	i	_	_	1	28
OL		5		-	i	2	8	_	-	_	_	•	8
-K	2	4	3		2	5	16	_	_	1		1	17
EEF	5	9	-	5	-	6	25	-	1	-	_	i	26
OL		í	-	_	9	11	21	_	•		_	:	21
EP	2	2	_	_	í	25	30	_	_	_	_	_	30
OR	ī	-			i	2	4	_	5	_	_	5	9
AST		1	_	-	-	1	2	-	-	4		4	6
TAR	_	-	_	-	1	_	1	-	_	î	_	i	2
AP-2		-		_	1	2	3	_		ī	_	ī	4
ags lost	11	6	2	4	11	33	67	1	3		_	4	71
Total	38	34	5	9	28	30	204	1	10	7	-	18	222
					Round	4 - 4-5	rear-eld	seals, ma	iles				
AP-1	19	1	_	_	1	2	23	1	_	_	-	1	24
OL	6	4	2	-	î	-	13		_	_		-	13
-K	2	-	3	-	2	1	8		1	_		1	9
EEF	7	2	3	6		- :	18	_	i	1	_	2	20
OL	_	-	_	-	14	13	27	_	_	-	_	_	27
EP	3	_	_		1	12	16	_	_	-	_	-	16
OR		_	_	_		1	1		14	_	_	14	15
AST			-	-	_	1	1	_	1	3		4	5
TAR	_	-	_		_	-	-		i	ī	_	2	2
AP-2	-	-	_	_	1	-	1	1		Ī	_	1	2
ags lost	19	2	.4	8	9	13	55	2	3	2	_	7	62
Total	56	9	12	14	29	43	163	4	21	7	-	32	195
					Round	5 - 4-y	ear-old	seals, ma	les				
							28	~	-	1	-	1	29
	19	5	-	•	1	3		~					-,
OL.	19 1	<b>5</b> 7	-	1	-	2	11-	-	-	-	-	-	11
OL -K	1	7 1		1		_				-	-	-	
OL -K EEF	1	7	-	1	-	2	11-	-					11
OL -K EEF OL	1	7 1	4	1	1	2	11. 12	-	-		-		11 12
OL -K EEF OL EP	1 1 7	7 1 3	4	1 1 7	1 3	2 4 3 3 27	11- 12 23 17 34	:	- - 2	-	-	-	11 12 23
OL -K EEF OL EP	1 1 7 1	7 1 3 1	4	1 1 7 1	1 3 11	2 4 3 3	11- 12 23 17	- - -	:	- - -	- - -	-	11 12 23 17
AP-1 COL -K EEEF COL IEP IOR CAST	1 1 7 1 4	7 1 3 1	4	1 7 1	1 3 11 2	2 4 3 3 27	11. 12 23 17 34 1	:	- - 2	:	- - -	- - - 2	11 12 23 17 36
COL C-K EEEF POL IEP IOR CAST TAR	1 1 7 1 4	7 1 3 1	4	1 1 7 1 1	1 3 11 2	2 4 3 3 27 1	11- 12 23 17 34	2	- - 2 10	- - - -	- - - -	- - 2 12	11 12 23 17 36 13
COL C-K CEF COL CEP COR CAST TAR AP-2	1 1 7 1 4 -	7 1 3 1		1 1 7 1 1 1	1 3 11 2 -	2 4 3 3 27 1 -	11- 12 23 17 34 1	- - - - 2 - - 4	- - 2 10	- - - - 4	-	- - 2 12 4 2	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost	1 1 7 1 4 - - 1	7 1 3 1 - - - - 22	- 4 - - - - - - 4	1 1 7 1 1 - - - 8	1 3 11 2 -	2 4 3 3 27 1 - - 1 21	11- 12 23 17 34 1 - 1 1 70	- - - - 2 - - 4 5	- - 2 10 - 2	- - - - 4 - - 2	: : : : :	- - 2 12 4 2 4 8	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3 5
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR	1 1 7 1 4 -	7 1 3 1		1 1 7 1 1 1	1 3 11 2 	2 4 3 3 27 1 - 1 21	11- 12 23 17 34 1  1 1 70	- - - 2 - - 4 5	2 10 - 2 - 1	- - - - 4		- - 2 12 4 2	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost	1 1 7 1 4 - - 1 10 44	7 1 3 1 - - - - 22 39	4 4 8	1 1 7 1 1 - - - 8	1 3 11 2 - - - 5 23	2 4 3 3 27 1 - - 1 21 65	11- 12- 23- 17- 34- 1- 1- 1- 198- ear-old	- - - - 2 - - 4 5	2 10 - 2 - 1 15	- - - - 4 - - 2	: : : : :	- - 2 12 4 2 4 8	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3 5 78
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost Total	1 1 7 1 4 	7 1 3 1 22 39	4 4 8	1 1 7 1 1 - - - 8	1 3 11 2 - - - 5 23 Round	2 4 3 3 27 1 - 1 21 65 6 - 4-y	11- 12- 23- 17- 34- 1- 1- 170- 198- Tear-old	- - - 2 - - 4 5	2 10 - 2 - 1	- - - - 4 - - 2	: : : : :	- - 2 12 4 2 4 8	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3 5 78 231
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost Total	1 1 7 1 4 	7 1 3 1 - - - 22 39	4 4 8	1 1 7 1 1 - - - 8 19	1 3 11 2 5 23 Round 1 4	2 4 3 3 27 1 1 21 65 6 - 4-y	11- 12 23 17 34 1- 1 70 198	2 - - 4 5 11 seals, ma	2 10 - 2 - 1 15	- - - - 4 - - 2 7	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - 2 12 4 2 4 8 33	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3 5 78 231
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost Total	1 1 7 1 4 - 1 - 10 44	7 1 3 1 - - - - 22 39	4 4 8	1 1 7 1 1 - - - 8 19	1 3 11 2 2 5 2 3 Round 1 4 6	2 4 3 3 27 1 1 21 65 6 - 4-y	11. 12 23 17 34 1 - 1 1 70 198 ear-old	2 - - 4 5 11 seals, ma	2 10 - 2 - 1 15	- - - - 4 - - 2 7		- - 2 12 4 2 4 8 33	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3 5 78 231
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost Total  AP-1 OL -K EEF	1 1 7 1 4 - 1 - 10 44	7 1 3 1 - - - 22 39	4 4 8 8 3 1 5 1	1 1 7 1 1 - - - 8 19	1 3 111 2 2	2 4 3 3 27 1 1 21 65 6 - 4-y	11- 12 23 17 34 1  1 1 70 198 ear-old	2 - - 4 5 11 seals, ma	2 10 - 2 - 1 15	- - - - 4 - - 2 7		- - 2 12 4 2 4 8 33	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3 5 78 231
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost Total  AP-1 OL -K EEF OL	1 1 7 1 4 	7 1 3 1 - - - 22 39	4 - - - - 4 8	1 1 7 1 1 - - 8 19	1 3 11 2 2 5 5 23 Round 1 4 6 6 2 4	2 4 3 3 27 1 1 21 65 6 - 4-y	11- 12- 23- 17- 34- 1- 1- 11- 170- 198- ear-old 23- 12- 19- 26- 9	2 - - 4 5 11 seals, ma	2 10 - 2 - 1 15 :les	- - - - 4 - - 2 7		2 12 4 2 4 8 33	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3 5 78 231
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost Total  AP-1 OL -K EEF OL	1 1 7 1 4 	7 1 3 1 - - - 22 39	4 4 8 8 1 5 1 1 2 2	1 1 7 1 1 - - - 8 19	1 3 111 2 2	2 4 3 3 27 1 - - 1 21 65 6 - 4-y 5 2 5 1 4 25	11- 12- 23- 17- 34- 1- 1- 198- 198- 23- 12- 19- 26- 9- 36-	2 - - 4 5 11 seals, ma	2 10 - 2 - 1 15	- - - - 4 - - - 2 7		- - 2 12 4 2 4 8 33	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3 5 78 231 26 12 21 27 9
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost Total  AP-1 OL -K EEF OL EP OR	1 1 7 1 4 	7 1 3 1	4 - - - - 4 8	1 1 7 1 1 - - 8 19	1 3 11 2 2 5 5 23 Round 1 4 6 6 2 4	2 4 3 3 27 1 1 21 65 6 - 4-y	11- 12- 23- 17- 34- 1- 1- 1- 198- 198- 23- 12- 19- 26- 9- 36		2 10 - 2 - 1 15 :les	- - - - 4 - - - 2 7		- - 2 12 4 2 4 8 33	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3 5 78 231 26 12 21 27 9 37 4
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost Total  AP-1 OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST	1 1 7 1 4 	7 1 3 1 	4 4 8 8 1 5 1 1 2 2	1 1 7 1 1 - - 8 19	1 3 11 2 2 5 5 23 Round 1 4 6 6 2 4	2 4 3 3 27 1 - - 1 21 65 6 - 4-y 5 2 5 1 4 25	11- 12- 23- 17- 34- 1- 1- 170- 198- 23- 12- 19- 26- 9- 36- - 1	2 - - 4 5 11 seals, ma	2 10 - 2 - 1 15			2 12 4 2 4 8 33	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3 5 78 231 26 12 21 27 97 4 5
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost Total  AP-1 OL -K EEF OL OR AST TAR	1 1 7 1 4 	7 1 3 1	4 4 8 8 1 5 1 1 2 2	1 1 7 1 1 - - 8 19	1 3 11 2 2 5 5 23 Round 1 4 6 6 2 4	2 4 3 3 27 1 - 1 21 65 6 - 4-y 5 2 5 1 4 25	11- 12- 23- 17- 34- 1- 1- 1- 198- 198- 23- 12- 19- 26- 9- 36	2 - - 4 5 11 seals, ma	2 10 - 2 - 1 15 :les	- - - - 4 - - - 2 7		2 12 4 2 4 8 33	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3 5 78 231 26 12 21 27 9 37 4 5
OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost Total  AP-1 OL -K EEF OL EP OR AST	1 1 7 1 4 	7 1 3 1 	4 4 8 8 1 5 1 1 2	1 1 7 1 1 1 - - 8 8 19	1 3 11 2 2	2 4 3 3 27 1 1 1 21 65 6 - 4-y 5 2 5 1 4 25 	11- 12- 23- 17- 34- 1- 1- 170- 198- 23- 12- 19- 26- 9- 36- - 1	2 - - 4 5 11 seals, ma	2 10 - 1 15 15 2 - 1 1 - 1			2 12 4 2 4 8 33	11 12 23 17 36 13 4 3 5 78 231 26 12 21 27 97 4 5

Rockery of cagging						Rooke	ry of re	covery					6
agging				t. Paul I					St.	George	STAR	Total	Grand total
	ZAP-1	TOL	L-K	REEF	POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-2	NOR	EASI	SIAK	Total	totat
					Round	7 - 4-1	ear-old	seals, ma	ales				
ZAP-1	3	-	-	-	3	5	11	-	-	-	-	1	11 9
COL	2	2	•	-	1	3	8	•	•	1	-	1	9
K	2	2	1	-	2	2	9	-	-	-	-	-	12
REEF	4	-	-	6	-	2	12 9	*	•	-	-		9
POL	-	•	-	•	6	19	22	_	-		_		22
VEP	-	-	-	-	1	1	3		3			3	6
NOR	-	-	1 -	-	-	-	_	-	-	1	_	1	1
EAST	-	-	-	-	-	_		3	-	_		3	3
ZAP-Z	6	6	5	7	_	24	48	5	2	1	•	8	56
Tage lost	17	10	7	13	16	59	122	8	5	3	•	16	138
1 000.					D 4	0 4.		scals, ma	les				
					Round	0 - 4-	year-old	ucais, 1111					
ZAP-1	11	8	-	-	-	2	21	1	2	1	-	4	25
COL	-	5	-	1	-	-	6	-	-	3	-	3	9
L-K	-	2	-	-	-	2	4	•	,	-	-	2	4
REEF	-	5	-	10	-	2	17	•	1	1	-	2	19 11
POL	-	1	-		3	4	8	•	1 -	2	-	3	18
NEP	-	2	-	1	~	15	18	-	3	1	-	4	5
NOR	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-		6	_	6	7
EAST	-	1	•	•		-	1	-		-	2	2	3
STAR	-	-	-	-	1	-		1		_	-	1	1
ZAP-2	-	-	-	2	2	20	35	3	12	4	_	19	54
Tags lost	11	35		14		46	112	5	19	18	2	44	156
ZAP-l	11	2		13-1	17, 20-	Z T Nug	13	rear-old so	-	-	-	_	13
	- 11		_	-			3			-	-		3
		3		-	-	-	,	-				-	
	_	3	_		-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1
L-K		-			-	- 1		-	-	-	1 -		1 9
L-K REEF	2	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		1		1 9 1
L-K REEF POL	2	3	-	3	-	1	9 1 14	-	-	-	:	1 - -	1 9 1 14
L-K REEF POL N <b>EP</b>	2	3	- -	- 3 -	-	1 1	9 1	-	- - 1	-	- - -	1	1 9 1 14 2
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR	2 - -	3	- - 1	- 3 - 1	1	1 1 12	9 1 14	-	1	1	2	1 - - 1 3	1 9 1 14 2 3
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST		3	1	3 - 1	1	1 1 12 -	9 1 14 1		1	1	- - - 2 1	1 - - 1 3 1	1 9 1 14 2 3
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR Tage lost	- 10	3 7	1	- 3 - 1 - - - 3	1 - 2	1 1 12 - - - 3	9 1 14 1 -	- - - - - 4	- 1 - - 2	1	2	1 - - 1 3	1 9 1 14 2 3
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR	-	3	1 1	3 1 3 7	1 - 2 3	1 1 12 - - 3 17	9 1 14 1 - - 25	- - - - - 4	1 - 2	1	2 1	1 - - 1 3 1	1 9 1 14 2 3 1 31
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR Tage lost	- 10	3 7	1 1	3 1 3 7	1 - 2 3	1 1 12 - - 3 17	9 1 14 1 - - 25	- - - - - 4	1 - 2	1	2 1	1 - - 1 3 1	1 9 1 14 2 3 1 31
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR Tage lost	- 10	3 7	1 1	3 1 3 7	- - - 1 - - 2 3	1 1 12 - - 3 17 -19 Sep	9 1 14 1 - - 25 66 otember	4-year	1 - 2 3 old se	l l l	2 1	1 - - 1 3 1	1 9 1 14 2 3 1 31 78
	10 23	3 7	1 1	3 1 3 7	- - - 1 - - 2 3 	1 1 12 - - 3 17 19 Sep	9 1 14 1 25 66 ottember 4 1	- 4 - 4 - 4-year-	1 - - 2 3 old se	1 1 1 als, mas	- - 2 1 - 4	1 - - 1 3 1	1 9 1 14 2 3 3 1 31 78
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR Tage lost Total  ZAP-1 L-K POL	10 23	7	1	3 - 1 - 3 7 6, 7, 11-	- - - 1 - - 2 3	1 1 12 - - 3 17 -19 Sep	9 1 14 1 25 66 otember 4 1 2	4-year	1 - 2 3 old se	l l l	2 1	1 - - 1 3 1	1 9 1 14 2 2 3 1 1 31 78 4 1 2 2 2 3 3 1 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR Tage lost Total  ZAP-1 L-K POL Tage lost	10 23	7	1	3 - 1 - 3 7 6, 7, 11-	- - - 1 - - 2 3 	1 1 12 - - 3 17 19 Sep	- 9 1 14 1 25 66 otember 4 1 2	- 4 - 4 - 4-year-	1 - - 2 3 old se	1 1 1 als, mas	- - 2 1 - 4	1 - - 1 3 1	1 9 1 14 2 3 3 1 3 1 78 4 4 1 2 2 1 1
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR Tage lost Total  ZAP-1 L-K POL	10 23	7	1	3 - 1 - 3 7 6, 7, 11-	- - - 1 - - 2 3 -14, 17	1 12 	9 1 14 1 1 25 66 otember 4 1 2 1 8	4-year	1	1 1 1 als, mas	- - 2 1 - 4	1 - - 1 3 1	1 9 1 14 2 3 3 1 3 1 78 4 4 1 2 2 1 1
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR Tage lost Total  ZAP-1 L-K POL Tage lost	10 23	7	1	3 - 1 - 3 7 6, 7, 11-	- - - 1 - - 2 3 -14, 17	1 12 	9 1 14 1 1 25 66 otember 4 1 2 1 8	- 4 - 4 - 4-year-	1	1 1 1 als, mas	- - 2 1 - 4	1 - - 1 3 1	1 9 1 14 2 3 3 1 3 1 7 8 4 1 2 2 1 8
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR Tage lost Total  ZAP-1 L-K POL Tage lost Total	10 23	7	1	3 -1 	- - - 1 - - 2 3 -14, 17	1 12 	9 1 14 1 25 66 otember 4 1 2 1 8 - year-ol	4-year	1	1 1 1 als, mas	- - 2 1 - 4	1 - - 1 3 1	1 9 1 14 2 2 3 3 1 1 3 1 7 8 4 1 2 2 1 8 8
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR Tage lost Total  ZAP-1 L-K POL Tage lost Total	10 23	7	1	3 - 1 - 3 7 6, 7, 11-	- - - 1 - - 2 3 -14, 17	1 12 	9 1 14 1 25 66 otember 4 1 2 1 8 - year-ol	4-year	1	1 1 1 als, mas	- - 2 1 - 4	1 - - 1 3 1	1 9 1 14 2 3 3 1 1 3 1 7 8 4 1 2 2 1 8 8
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR Tage lost Total  ZAP-1 L-K POL Tage lost Total	10 23	7	1	3 -1 	- - - 1 - - 2 3 -14, 17	1 12 	9 1 14 1 25 66 otember 4 1 2 1 8 - year-ol	4-year	l - 2 3 3 cold sec	1 1 1 als, mas	- - 2 1 - 4	1 1 3 3 1 6 1 2	1 9 1 1 1 4 2 2 3 3 1 1 3 1 1 7 8 4 1 1 2 2 1 8 8 3 3 3 1 8 8 3 3 1 8 8 3 3 1 8 8 8 8
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR Tage lost Total  ZAP-1 L-K POL Tage lost Total  ZAP-1 REEF NEP EAST	10 23	7	1	3 -1 	- - - 1 - - 2 3 -14, 17	1 12 	9 1 14 1 25 66 otember 4 1 2 1 8 - year-ol	- 4-year	1	1 1 1 als, mas	- - 2 1 - 4	1 1	1 9 1 14 2 2 3 1 1 8 8 1 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 3 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 1 1 1 1
L-K REEF POL NEP NOR EAST STAR Tage lost Total  ZAP-1 L-K POL Tage lost Total	10 23	7	1	3 -1 	- - - 1 - - 2 3 -14, 17	1 12 	9 1 14 1 25 66 otember 4 1 2 1 8 - year-ol	4-year	l - 2 3 3 cold sec	1 1 1 als, mas	- - 2 1 - 4	1 1 3 3 1 6 1 2	1 9 1 14 2 3 1 31

				A D	1-13	Rooke	ry of rec	overy			* 1 1		
of tagging	ZAP-1	TOL	L-K	t. Paul I	POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-2		George	STAR	Total	Grand total
												10.2.	total
					Kound	3 - 5-y	ear-old	seals, ma	ales				
K	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
POL	-	-	-	-	2	7	2	•	-	-	-	-	2
NEP	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
NOR	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	1	-	-	1	1
Tags Lost	4	6.	-	<u> </u>	4	4	18	1	1	-	•	2	20
Total	4	6	-	-	6	7	23	1	2	-	•	3	26
					Round	4 - 5-y	ear-old	seals, m	ales				
OL	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	~	1
-K	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
REEF	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
IOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1
TAR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	ı	1
Tags lost	12	1	1	-	6	4	24	2	1	-	-	3	27
Total	12	1	ì	-	9	4	27	2	2	-	1	5	32
					Round			seals, mal	les				
AP-1	-	1	-	•	-	•	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
EP	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
IOR	•	•	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	1
ags lost	8	6		2	2	7	25	3		-	-	3	28
Total	8	.7	-	2	2	9	28	3	-	1	-	4	32
					Round	6 - 5-y	ear-old	seals, ma	les				
COL	-	1	_	-	Round	<u>6 - 5-y</u> -	ear-old	seals, ma	les		_	-	1
	:	1 -	-	-				seals, ma	ales -	:	-	-	1 2
-K EEF			:		-	-	1	-	-	:	:		
-K EEF	-	-	:		-	- 1	1 2	-	-				2
L-K REEF VEP	2	-		-	1	1	1 2 2	- - -	-	-	-	1	2
L-K REEF REP BAST BAP-2	- 2 -	=	:	-	1	- 1 - 1	1 2 2 1	- - - -	- - -	-	-	-	2 2 1
L-K REEF REP BAST BAP-2	- 2 - - - 10	- - - - 4	- 2	- - -	1 1	1 - 1	1 2 2 1 -	- - - -	-	1	:	- 1	2 2 1 1
TOL L-K REEF VEP EAST LAP-2 Tags lost	- 2 - -	- - -	-	- - - -	1 -	1 - 1	1 2 2 1 -	- - - -	-	1	:	- 1 1	2 2 1 1
L-K REEF NEP EAST ZAP-2 Tags lost	- 2 - - - 10	- - - - 4	- 2	- - - - 4	1 - - - 1 2	- 1 - 1 - - 9	1 2 2 1 - - 30 36	- - - - 1	2 2	1	- - - 1	1 1 3	2 1 1 1 33
K REEF REP CAST (AP-2 Cags lost Total	- 2 - - - 10	- - - - 4	- 2	- - - - 4	1 - - - 1 2	- 1 - 1 - - 9	1 2 2 1 - - 30 36	- - - - 1	2 2	1	- - - 1	1 1 3	2 1 1 1 33
K LEEF JEP CAST AP-2 Cags lost Total	2 - - - 10 12	- - - - 4 5	- 2	4	1 - - - 1 2	- 1 - 1 - - 9 11 7 - 5-y	1 2 2 1 - - 30 36	- - - - 1	2 2	1	- - - 1	1 1 3	2 2 1 1 1 33 41
K EEF IEP CAST .AP-2 Cags lost Total	2 - - - 10 12	- - - - 4 5	- 2	4	1 1 2 Round -	- 1 - 1 - 9 11 7 - 5-y	1 2 2 1 - 30 36 ear-old 1	- - - - 1	2 2	1	- - - 1	1 1 3	2 2 1 1 1 33 41
L-K REEF REP CAST CAP-2 Cags lost Total	2 - - - 10 12	4 5	2 2	4 4	1 1 2 Round	- 1 - 1 - 9 11 7 - 5-y	1 2 2 1 - - 30 36 ear-old 1	l seals, ma	- - - 2 2	1 - 1	1	1 1 3	2 2 1 1 1 33 41
-K EEF (EP CAST CAP-2 lags lost Total	10 12	- - - - 4 5	2 2	4 4	1 1 2 Round 2	- 1 - - 9 11 7 - 5-y	1 2 2 1 	l seals, ma	- - 2 2	1 - 1	- - - 1 1	1 1 3	2 2 1 1 1 33 41
L-K REEF IEP CAST CAP-2 Cags lost	10 12	- - - - 4 5	2 2	4 4	1 1 2 Round 2	- 1 - 1 - - 9 11 7 - 5-y	1 2 2 1	l - 1 seals, ma	2 2 2 2 ales	1	1	- 1 1 3 5	2 2 1 1 1 33 41
AP-2 ags lost Total	10 12	- - - - 4 5	- 2 2	- - - - 4 4	1 1 2 Round 2 2 2	- 1 - 9 11 7 - 5-y	1 2 2 1 	l seals, ma	2 2 2 ales	1	1	- 1 1 3 5	2 2 1 1 1 33 41
-K REEF REP RAST RAP-2 Rags lost Total  COL REEF ROL REP Rags lost Total	10 12	- - - - 4 5	- 2 2	- - - - 4 4	1 1 2 Round 2 2 2	- 1 - 9 11 7 - 5-y	1 2 2 1 	seals, ma	2 2 2 ales	1	1	- 1 1 3 5	2 2 1 1 1 33 41
AP-1	10 12	- - - - 4 5	- 2 2	- - - - 4 4	Round  Round  Round	1 	1 2 2 1 1 30 36 ear-old : 2 2 2 18 25 ear-old :	seals, ma	2 2 2 ales	1 1 1	1	1 1 3 5 5	2 2 1 1 1 33 41
AP-1 OL LEEF	10 12 11 11 11 11	- - - - 4 5	- 2 2	- - - - 4 4	Round  Round  Round	1 - - 9 11 7 - 5-y	1 2 2 1 1 30 36 ear-old : 2 2 2 18 25 ear-old : 1	seals, ma	2 2 2 ales	1 1 1 1	1	- - 1 1 3 5 - - - - 3 3 3	2 2 1 1 1 33 41
-K REEF REP CAST CAST CAP-2 Lags lost Total COL REEF ROL REP LEEF COL REP LEEF ROL REP LEEF ROL REP LEEF ROL REP LEEF ROL REP LEEF REP REF REP	10 12 	- - - - 4 5	- 2 2 2 1 1 1	- - - - 4 4	Round  Round  Round	- 1 - - 9 11 7 - 5-y	1 2 2 1 1 - 30 36 ear-old s 2 2 18 25 ear-old s	seals, ma		1 1 1 1 1	- 1	- - 1 1 3 5 - - - - 3 3 3	2 2 1 1 1 33 41
-K REEF REP CAST CAP-2 Lags lost Total  OL REEF OL REP LEEF LEP LEEF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF REF	10 12 	1 1	- 2 2 2 1 1 1	- - - - 4 4	1 2 Round 2 2 2 Round 3	- 1 - 9 11 7 - 5-y	1 2 2 1 30 36 ear-old : 2 2 2 18 25 ear-old : 1 - 2	seals, ma		1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- - - 1 1	- - 1 1 3 5 5	2 2 1 1 1 33 41
AP-1 OL EEF CAP-1 AP-1 AP-1 AP-1 AP-1 AP-1 AP-1 AP-1	10 12 	1 1	- 2 2 2 1 1 1	- - - - 4 4 - 1 - 1 - 2	1	- 1 - 9 11 7 - 5-y	1 2 2 1 1 30 36 ear-old (1 2 2 2 18 25 ear-old (2 3 3	seals, ma		- - 1 - - - - 1 1		- 1 1 1 3 5 5	2 2 1 1 1 33 41
-K EEF EP CAST CAST CAST CAP-2 ags lost Total  OL EEF COL EEP Cags lost Total	10 12 	1	- 2 2 1 1 1	- - - - 4 4 - 1 - 1 - 2	Round  Round  Round	1 	1 2 2 1 1 30 36 ear-old (1 2 2 1 8 25 ear-old (2 3 3 - 2 3 3 - 2 2 3 2 5 4	seals, ma		- - 1 - - - - 1 1 1		- 1 1 1 3 5 5	2 2 1 1 1 33 41 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 28

Rookery						KOOKE	ry of re	Covery					
of				Paul Jel					St.				Grand
tagging	ZAP-1	TOL	L-K	REEF	POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-2	NOR	EAST	STAR	Total	total
				13-1	7 20-24	LAnana	• E	ar-old se					
				13-1	1, 20-24	Augus	t - 5-ye	ar-old se	ate, m	1416			
COL	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
POL	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	•	-	-	-	-	1
NEP	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
EAST	1	-	-	-	-		1	-	-		-	-	1
Tage lost Total	4	1	· <del>-</del>	2	1	6	12		1	<del></del>	2 2	3	15
LOTAL	0	1	-	2	,	0	10	•		-	2	3	19
					Round	15 - 6-	year-ol	d seals,	nales	_			
STAR	_	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	_	1
				13-17	7 20-24	Augue	t - 6-ve	ar-old se	ala w	nlee			
		,											
REEF	-	1	•	-	-	•	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
					Roune	16 - 2-	year-ol	d seals,	emale	•			
								· · · · · ·		_			
Tage lost	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	•	-	-	-	-	1
					Round	18 - 2-	vear-ol	d seals,	emale				
					100111		, car 0.			_			
Tage lost	1	-	-	2	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3
				13-17	7, 20-24	Augus	t - 2-ye	ar-old se	ale, fe	males			
ZAP-1									,				
COL	4	2	-	-	-	1	4	2	1	-	-	1 2	5 6
L-K		-	_	-	_				-	1	_	ī	1
REEF	1	1	-	5	-	-	7	•	-	-	-	_	7
POL	1	1	-	•	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	3
1EP	-	1	-	2	-	4	7	-	-	1	-	1	8
OR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	•	5	5
CAST	-	-	-	-	•	-	-		-	1	-	1	1
ZAP-2	-	1	-	7	-	•	1	1	-	-	-	1	2
Total	9 16	10		11	2 2	9	28 54	3	2	3	-	2	30 68
1 Otal	10	10						_		_	-	14	00
				6, 7, 11	-14, 17	-19 Sep	tember	- 2-year	old ee	als, fer	nales		
ZAP-1	1	-	-	-	•	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
REEF	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	•	-	1
ZAP-2	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
Tags lost Total	-1			1	-		5					-	1 5
TOTAL		•		,	•	•	5	•	•		•	•	9
					Round	18 - 3-	year-ol	d seals, f	emale	4			
REEF	_	1	_	_	_	_	1	-	_	_	_	_	1
Tags lost	-	ī	_	18	-	-	19		-	-	-	-	19
Total	-	2	-	18	-	-	20		-		-	-	20

Rookery of			St	. Paul I	aland			ecovery	St	George	Island		Grane
tagging	ZAP-1	TOL	L-K	REEF		NEP	Total	ZAP-2		EAST	STAR	Total	total
				13-1	7, 20-2	4 Augu	st - 3-ye	ar-old se	als, fe	emales			
ZAP-1	22	4	-	1	_	3	30	1	_			1	31
rol	5	10	-	-	-	-	15	-	-		1	1	16
L-K	1	-	-	-	-	1	2		-	-	_	-	2
REEF	10	3	-	23	-	-	36	-	1	1	-	2	38
POL	-	-	-	-	3	3	6	-	2	-	-	2	8
VEP	2	1	-	1	-	9	13	•	-	2	-	2	15
VOR	1		-	-	7	1	2	•	14	1	1	16	18
EAST	-	1	-	-	1	-	2	•	-	3	-	3	5
STAR ZAP-2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1	-	3	3	3
CAF-2 Cags lost	71	11	-	12	12	28	134	5 1	7	4	7	6 16	1.50
Total	112	30	<del></del> -	37	16	45	240	7	25	11	9	52	150 292
				6, 7, 11	-14, 1	7-19 Se	ptember	- 3-year		eals, fer	nales		
AP-1	3						3						,
POL	-	-	-		1	1	2		•	-	-	-	3
IEP	-	-	-	-	_	1	1		-	-	-	-	1
ZAP-2		_	-	-	-	i	î	_	_			-	î
Tags lost	2	-	-	1	2	1	6	-		-	-	_	6
Total	5	-	-	1	3	4	13	-	-	-	-	-	13
					Rour	nd 6 - 4	-year-ol	d seals,	female	8			
EP	-	-	-	_	-	1	1	-	-		-		1
					Rour	d 7 - 4	-year-ol	d seals,	female	8			
EP	-		-	_	-	1	1	_		_	_		1
ags lost		-	-	1	_	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Total	-	-	-	1	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
					Rour	d 8 - 4	-year-ol	d seals,	female	8			
AP-1	-	1	-	-		_	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
OL	-	1	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	1
EEF	-	-	1	3	•	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
OL	-	-	-	-	1	- :	1	•	-	-	-	-	1
IEP	-	1	-	-	-	1	2	-		-	-	-	2
IOR	-	7	-	-	-	-		-	1	•	-	1	1
ags lost Total	1	7	<u>-</u>	6	3 4	1 2	21	<del></del>	1	<del></del> -		<del>-</del> 1	22
Total	•	•	•	_				ar-old se	-		_	•	
AP-1	29	5	-	-	-	-	34	1	-	-	1	2	36
OL	4	58	-	-	-	-	62	•	-	1	-	1	63
-K	1	-	-	:	-	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
EEF	14	5	-	26	1	1	47	1	1	-	-	2	49
OL	2	-	-	-	13	8	23	-	1	-	-	1	24
IEP IOR	3	•	•	-	-	46	49	•	42	2	2	2	51
CAST	-	-	-	1	1	3	6	1 -	43 1	- 6	2	<b>4</b> 6 7	52 7
TAR	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	•	8	10	11
AP-2			-	-	-	-	-	12	-		1	13	13
ags lost	31	56	-	11	20	38	156	15	10	4	5	34	190
Total	85	124		38	35	100	382	31	57	13	17	118	500

Rookery						Rook	cery of	recovery					_
of				. Paul I						George		- T	Grand
agging	ZAP-1	TOL	L-K	REEF	POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-2	NOR	EAST	STAR	Total	total
				6. 7. 11	-14. 17	-19 Se	otember	- 4-year	-old se	als. fer	nales		
			-	-, -,					-	,			
ZAP-1	18	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	-	18
roL	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
L-K	•	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
REEF	2	-	•	10	-	1	13	•	-	-	-	-	13
POL	-	•	-	-	6	1	7	-	-	-	-	-	7
NEP	1	-	•	-	-	12 1	13 1	-	-	-	-	-	13
NOR	-			1	2	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	5
Tags lost Total	2 2 4			11	9	15	59		<del></del>	<del>-</del> -	<del>-</del> -	<del></del>	59
Lotal	24	_	_	••	,	• • •	3,	_	٠.	-	_		37
					Roun	d 5 - 5	-year-ol	d seals,	female				
										_			
Tage lost	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
					Roun	<u>d 6 - 5</u>	-year-ol	d seals,	female	8			
Tags lost	1	•	•	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
					Ponn	47.5		d seals,	famale				
					N Out	41-5	-year-or	u seats,	Telliale	_			
REEF	~	_		_	1	-	1			_	-	-	1
					•								
					Roun	d 8 - 5	-year-ol	d seals,	female				
ZAP-1		1	_	_		-	1			_	_	_	1
TOL		i	_	_	_	-	i	_		_		_	1
REEF	_	î		2	-	_	3	-	-	-	-	-	3
POL	-	-	_	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
NEP	_	-	-	-	-	1	1		-	-	-	-	1
NOR	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	1	•	1	1
EAST	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	1
Tags lost	1	3	-	7	1	2	14	-	-	-			14
Total	1	6	-	9	2	3	21	-	-	2	•	2	23
				12.1		4. 4		ar-old s					
				13-1	1, 20-2	4 Augu		41-010 8		e imare a			
ZAP-1	12	2	-	1	-	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	15
TOL	1	29	-	2	-	1	33	-	-	-	1	1	34
L-K	•	-	-	-	2	2	4	1	1	-	-	2	6
REEF	4	4	-	15	1	1	25	-	-	-	1	1	26
POL	-	-	-	-	6	3	9	-	-	1	-	1	10
NEP	2	1	-	-	-	15	18	-	-	-	1	1	19
NOR	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	12	1	-	14	15
EAST	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	•	-	6	3	9	11
STAR	-	-	-	-	•	1	1	-	1	-	7	8	9
ZAP-2	2/	- 43	-	-	1.5	-	161	12	6	5	5	12 25	176
Tags lost Total	26 46	77		37	24	50 75	151 259	23	20	13	18	74	333

Table   Tabl	of			St.	Paul Isla		Rooker	y of rec	overy	St.	George	Island		Gran
6, 7, 11-14, 17-19 September - 5-year-old seals, females  2-1 11 3 14 1  3 1 1 1  3 1 1 1  3 1 1	tagging	ZAP-1	TOL				NEP	Total	ZAP-2				Total	total
1   3   4					6, 7, 11.	-14, 1	7-19 Se	ptember	- 5-year	-old se	eals, fer	nales		
1   3   4	AP-1	11	3			_	_	14	_	_	_			14
2	OL			-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	4
Color	K	-	•	•	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
1	EEF	2	1	-	13	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	16
T - 1 1 2 3	OL	-	-	-	-	6	1		-	-	-	-	-	7
T - 1 1 1	IEP	-	1	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	30
Solution	IOR	-		-	-	1			-	-			-	3
Solost   16	EAST		1	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	1
Round 5 - 6-year-old seals, females   Round 6 - 6-year-old seals, females	ZAP-2			-	-			_	-	-	-		-	2
Round 5 - 6-year-old seals, females  Round 6 - 6-year-old seals, females  Round 7 - 6-year-old seals, females  Round 8 - 6-year-old seals, females  Round 8 - 6-year-old seals, females  Round 8 - 6-year-old seals, females  Fr 2 2	Tags lost									<u> </u>	<del></del>	<del>.</del>		77
Round 6 - 6-year-old seals, females	Total	32	13	-	31				-	•	•	-,	-	155
Round 6 - 6-year-old seals, females  Round 7 - 6-year-old seals, females  Round 8 - 6-year-old seals, females  Round 8 - 6-year-old seals, females  From 1 1						Rour	nd 5 - 6	-year-ol	ld seals,	female	: 6			
Round 7 - 6-year-old seals, females  Round 8 - 6-year-old seals, females  From 1 1	AP-1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	•	-	•	-	•	1
Round 7 - 6-year-old seals, females  1 1						Rour	d 6 - 6	-year-ol	ld seals,	female	8			
Round 8 - 6 - year - old seals, females  France	NEP	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Round 8 - 6 - year - old seals, females						Rour	nd 7 - 6	-year-ol	ld seals,	female	8			
CF 2 2	EP	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
1 - 1						Rour	nd 8 - 6	-year-o	ld seals,	female	: 8			
Solution	EEF	-	-	-	2		-		-	-	-	-	-	2
13-17, 20-24 August - 6-year-old seals, females  2-1	POL	-	-						-	-	-	-	-	1
13-17, 20-24 August - 6-year-old seals, females  2-1	ags lost	-	-						<u> </u>	<u>:</u>	<del></del>			2
P-1 2 1 3 1 DF 3 2 - 6 1 - 12 1 DF 3 2 - 6 1 - 12 1 DF 3 2 - 6 1 - 12 1 1 DF 3 2 - 1 1 2 2 - 2 DF 3 2 - 1 1 2 2 1 3 DF 3 3 2 - 6 1 - 1 2 DF 3 3 2 - 6 1 - 1 2 DF 3 3 2 1 1 2 DF 3 3 2 1 3 DF 3 3 3 5 8 20 - 2 2 2	Total	-	-	-	_	_		_	.,			-	•	,
1 9 10 1 2F 3 2 - 6 1 - 12 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 3 1 8 9 1 - 1 4 1 1 - 6 6 6 T 2 - 2 7 R 1 2 2 1 3 8 s lost 1 3 - 3 5 8 20 - 2 2 2					13-17	, 20-2	4 Augui		ar-old se	eals, ie	emales			
TF 3 2 - 6 1 - 12 1  1 1 2 1 1  1 - 8 9 1 - 1  - 1 6 6  TT 2 - 2  R 1 2 2 2 1 3  s lost 1 3 - 3 5 8 20 - 2 2 2				-	-		-		-	-	-	-	-	3
The second secon		_							-	-	-		-	10
- 1 8 9 1 - 1 1  1 1 1 - 6 6  1T 2 - 2  R 1 2 2 - 2  2 2 1 1 2 2 1 3  s lost 1 3 - 3 5 8 20 - 2 2 2		3	_						-	-	-			12
R 1 1 - 6 6 TT 2 - 2 R 1 - 1 2 P-2 1 1 2 2 - 1 3 s lost 1 3 - 3 5 8 20 - 2 2 2	ז∩כ	•			-	1			1	-	- :			3
TT 2 - 2 RR 1 - 1 2 P-2 1 1 2 2 1 3 s lost 1 3 - 3 5 8 20 - 2 2 2		-	1	-	-	-		-	-					10 7
R 1 - 1 2 2-2 1 1 2 2 1 3 s lost 1 3 - 3 5 8 20 - 2 2 2	NEP		-	-	-	-			-					
-2 1 1 2 2 1 3 s lost 1 3 - 3 5 8 20 - 2 2 2	NEP NOR	1			-	-	•	-	-		2			2
s lost 1 3 - 3 5 8 20 - 2 2 2	NEP NOR EAST	1 -											2	2
	NEP NOR EAST STAR	-	-	-					-		•		2	
tel 0 16 0 7 18 60 3 0 3 2 17 7	NEP NOR EAST STAR ZAP-2	- - 1	-	-	-	-	1	2		-	-	1		5
otal 9 16	ZAP-1 TOL REEF POL	1 3 -	9 2 -		- - -	- 6 	- 6 1 1 1		10 - 6 1 - 12 1 1 2 8 9 1	10 12 1 1 2 1 1 2 1	10	10		
	EP OR AST FAR AP-2 ags lost	- 1 1	- - 3	:	3 9	5 7	1 8 18	2 20 59	3	- 2 9	3	2		5 22
6, 7, 11-14, 17-19 September - 6-year-old seals, females	EP OR AST TAR AP-2 ags lost Total	1 1 9	3	:	3 9 6, 7, 11	5 7 -14, 1	1 8 18	2 20 59 ptember	3	- 2 9	3	1 - 2 males	2	5 22 76
2-1 7 1 - 8	IEP IOR CAST TAR CAP-2 Cags lost Total	- 1 1 9	3 16	-	3 9 6, 7, 11	5 7 -14, 1	1 8 18 7-19 Se	2 20 59 ptember 8	3	- 2 9 old se	3 eals, fer	1 - 2 males	17	5 22 76
2-1 7 1 - 8	NEP NOR EAST STAR ZAP-2 Tags lost Total	- 1 1 9	- - 3 16	:	3 9 6, 7, 11	5 7 -14, 1	1 8 18 7-19 Se	2 20 59 ptember 8 1	3	- 2 9 old se	3 eals, fer	1 - 2 males	2 17	5 22 76 8 1
2-1 7 1 - 8	NEP NOR EAST ETAR ZAP-2 Tags lost Total  ZAP-1 TOL L-K	7	- - 3 16	-	3 9 6, 7, 11	5 7 -14, 1	1 8 18 7-19 Se - - 1	2 20 59 ptember 8 1	- 6-year 	- old se	3 eals, fer	l - 2 males	2 17	5 22 76 8 1
P-1 7 1 - 8	NEP NOR EAST STAR ZAP-2 Tags lost Total  ZAP-1 TOL L-K REEF	7	3 16	-	- 3 9 6, 7, 11 - - - 5	5 7 -14, 1	1 8 18 7-19 Se - - 1 2	2 20 59 ptember 8 1 1 7	- 6-year 	- old se	3 eals, fer	2 males	2 17	5 22 76 8 1 1 7
2-1 7 1 - 8	NEP NOR EAST ETAR ZAP-2 Tags lost Total  ZAP-1 TOL L-K REEF POL	7	3 16	:	6, 7, 11 - - 5	5 7 -14, 1	1 8 18 7-19 Se - - 1 2	2 20 59 ptember 8 1 1 7	- 6-year 	- 2 9 - old se	3 eals, fer	2 males	2 17	5 222 76 8 1 1 7 1
P-1 7 1 - 8	NEP NOR EAST ETAR ZAP-2 Tags lost Total  ZAP-1 TOL L-K REEF POL NEP	7	3 16	:	6, 7, 11 - - 5	5 7 -14, 1	1 8 18 7-19 Se - - 1 2 - 10	2 20 59 ptember 8 1 1 7 1	- 6-year 	- 2 9 - old se	3 eals, fer	12 males	2 17	5 222 76 8 1 1 7 1 1
P-1 7 1 - 8	NEP NOR EAST ETAR ZAP-2 Tags lost Total  ZAP-1 TOL L-K	7	- - 3 16	:	6, 7, 11 - - - 5	5 7 -14, 1	1 8 18 7-19 Se - 1 2 - 10	2 20 59 ptember 8 1 1 7 1 10 1	- 6-year 	- 2 9 old se	- 3 seals, fer	12 males	2 17	5 222 76 8 1 1 7 1

Rookery						Roc	kery of	recovery					
of				Paul I					St.				Grand
tagging	ZAP-1	TOL	L-K	REEF	POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-2	NOR	EAST	STAR	Total	total
					Pour	48-7	- 402 4 - 01	d seals,	famala				
					I Out	14 0 - 7	-year-or	d sears,	emale				
ZAP-1	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
TOL	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
REEF	-	1	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
NEP	- 1	1	-	-	-	3	3 2	_	-	-	-	_	3 2
Tags lost Total	4	3	<u>:</u>	1		3	11						11
	•	_											
				13-1	7, 20-2	4 Augus	st - 7-ye	ar-old se	als, fe	males			
							•						
ZAP-1 TOL	8 1	1 15	-	-	-	-	9 16	-	_	-	-	-	9 16
L-K	-	1		-	1	_	2	_	_	-		-	2
REEF	5	4	_	5	_	_	14	_	-	-	_	-	14
POL	1	-	-	-	4	5	10	-	-	-	-	-	10
NEP	1	-	-	•	-	19	20	-	-	1	-	1	21
Tags lost	7	6		-	1	11	25	-		-	-	-	25
·T otal	23	27	-	5	6	35	96	-	-	1	-	1	97
				6 7 11	1 14 1	7-10 5-	ntambaw	- 7-year	old or	ole fem		•	
				0, 1, 11	1-14, 1	7-17 Se	ptember	- /-year	-010 86	als, ier	nates		
ZAP-1	12	5	-	-	-	1	18	-	-	-	-	-	18
TOL	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
L-K	•	-	-	1	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
REEF	3	3	-	26	1	1	34	•	-	•	-	-	34
POL	-	-	-	-	3	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
NEP	-	1	•	-	1	18	20	-	-	-	-	-	20
Tags lost	2	3	<del>.</del>	5	3	6	19			-	•	-	19
Total	18	12	7	32	9	27	98	*	-	-	-	-	98
					Roun	d 6 - 8	-year-ol	d seals,	emale	s			
POL	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
					Roun	48-8	-vear-ol	d seals,	female				
					110411		- y cu1 - 01	d bears,		-			
REEF	-	•	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
NEP	•	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Tags lost	1		-	2	2	3 4	7			-			7 9
Total	ı	•	-	2	2	4	9	-	-	-	-	-	9
				13-1	7, 20-2	4 Augus	t - 8-ye	ar-old se	als, fe	males			
ZAP-1	1	1	-	-	•	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
TOL	1	3	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	~	-	4
NEP	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-'	-	-	-	-	1
Tags lost	18	9	-	6	5	24	69	3 3	-		-	3	65 72
Total	20	13	-								•	,	, 2
				6, 7, 11	-14, 17	7-19 Se	otember	- 8-year	old se	als, fen	nales		
7 4 70 1	,				,		2						2
ZAP-1 L-K	1	-	•	-	1	1	2	•	-	•	-	•	2
REEF	•	1	-	5	- 1	1	6		-	-	-		6
POL	•	-	-	5	1		1		-	-			1
NEP		-			-	4	4	-		-		-	4
Tags lost	4	5		6	2	8	25	-	-	•	-	_	25
Total	5	6	-	11	4	13	39	-	-		-	-	39

Rookery						Rook	cery of	recovery					
of	=	m01		Paul Id	POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-2		George	STAR	Total	Grand
tagging	ZAP-1	TOL	L-K	KLLF	POL	NEP	Iotal	LAF-L	NOR	EASI	SIAK	Tetal	total
					Roun	d 8 - 9	-year - ol	d seals,	female	4			
TOL	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
NEP Tags lost	-	-	-	1		1 -	1	-	•	-	-		1
Total		1	-	1	-	1	3					-	3
				13-17	, 20-24	4 Augus	t - 9-ye	ar-old se	als, fe	males			
ZAP-1	1	_	_	-	_	-	1	-	_	-		_	1
REEF	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
NEP	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Tags lost	4	3	-		-	7	14				<del>-</del>	<del></del>	14
Total	5	3	-	-	-	9	17	-	-	-	-	-	17
			6	7, 11	-14, 17	-19 Se	ptember	- 10-yea:	r-old e	cals, fe	males		
ZAP-1	1					_	1		_				1
REEF	1	_	-	2	-	1	3	-	-	_	_		3
POL	1	_	_	-	1		2	_	_	_	_	-	2
NEP	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Tags lost	1	-	-	-	2	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
Total	3	-	-	2	3	3	11	•	-	-	•	-	11
					Roun	d 7 - 10	-year-	old seals,	femal	es			
POL	_	_	_			1	1	_	_			-	1
					Roun	d 8 - 10	-year-	old seals,	femal	C 6			
REEF	_	_	-	3	_	_	3	-	-	-	-	-	3
POL	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2
Tags lost	-		•	1		-	-1						1
Total	-	-	-	4	2	-	6	-	-	•	-	-	6
				13-17	, 20-24	4 Augue	t - 10-y	ear-old a	eals,	(emales			
ZAP-1		,					,						1
REEF	2	1	-	1	-	2	. l 5	-	-		-		5
POL	-			-	_	3	3	_	_	_	-	_	3
NEP	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	_	-	-	3
Tags lost	-	1	-	-	-	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	4
Total	2	2	-	1	-	11	16	•	-	-	-	-	16
			(	6, 7, 11	-14, 17	7-19 Se	ptember	- 10-yes	r-old	seals, fe	emales		
													,
ZAP-1 REEF	1	3	- :	2	1	-	1 7	•	-		-	-	1 7
POL	<u>.</u>	-	-	-	8	-	8	-	-	-	-	_	8
NEP	-	_	-		-	2	2			_	-	-	2

Rookery						Roo	kery of	recovery					
of				Paul Is						t. Geor			Gran
tagging	ZAP-1	TOL	L-K	REEF	POL	NEP	Total	ZAP-2	NOR	EAST	STAR	Total	total
					Roun	d 7 - 11	l-year-c	old and ol	der se	ale, ferr	ales		
REEF	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
					Roun	d 8 - 11	l-year-c	old and ol	der se	als, fem	ales		
REEF	1	_	_	_		_	1	_					1
POL	-	_	_	_	2	1	3	-	_	_	_		3
Total	1	-	-	-	2	1	4	-	•	-	-	-	4
				13-17	7, 20-2	4 Augus	t - 11-y	ear-old a	nd old	er seals	, femal	e s	
ZAP-1	1	1		_	_	-	2	-	_	_	_	_	2
TOL	-	2	-	-	_	_	2	-	-	-	_	_	2
REEF		-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
POL	-	-	-	-	5	1	6		_	-	-	-	6
NEP	1	-	-	-	-	5	6	-	-	-	-	-	6
Total	2	3	-	1	5	6	17	-	-	-	-	-	17
				6, 7, 11	-14, 17	7-19 Sep	otember	- 11-yea	r-old s	nd olde:	r seals,	female	8
ZAP-1	1	_	_	_		_	1	_	_	_	_	_	1
REEF	_	_	-	5	1	_	6		-	_	_	_	6
POL	1	1	_	_	7	2	11	_	-	-	-	_	11
NEP	_	2	_	_	_	5	7	-	_	_	_	_	7
Total	2	3	-	5	- 8	7	25				-	-	25

## APPENDIX TABLE 23.--Length classes of untagged 3-year-old male seals sampled from the kill, by rookery and round, St. Paul Island, 1962

Lookery	· · · · · ·														
of ecovery	<39	40	41	42	43	Ler 44	ngth in	inche 46	47	48	49	50	51	52	Total
	-														- 4,0 44
ZAP	1	2	5	16	13	Rot	ind nu	mber 3	4	2					4 =
TOL	-	3	-	2	2	2	4	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	67 14
L-K	-	_	1	1	1	2	-		-	-		-	-	-	5
REEF	-	1	2	2	8	3	_	1	_		_	~	_		17
POL	-	1	2	4	3	3	2	1	_	-	_	_	_	_	16
NEP	-	3	3	4	7	7	7	6	1	1	1	1	1	_	42
Total	1	10	13	29	34	28	23	11	5	4	1	1	1	-	161
						Ro	ınd nıı	mber	3						
ZAP	1	3	4	12	19	18	18	14	4	2	_	-	_		94
TOL		3	3	9	8	8	12	10	7	3	-	-	-	-	63
L-K	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	9
REEF	-	-	1	2	3	1	3	-	1	-	-	~	-	-	11
POL	-	3	-	6	7	9	4	7	3	1	-	-	-	-	40
NEP	-	1	4	11	14	13	7	10	5	1	-	-	-	-	66
Total	1	11	13	41	52	50	44	43	20	7	1	-	-	-	283
						Roy	ınd nuı	mber 4	1						
ZAP	2	4	5	26	25	33	23	25	3	3	2	1	-	-	152
TOL	-	_	1	3	2	5	2	1	_	_	_	-	-	-	14
L-K	-	1	1	1	2	5	6	3	_	-	-	-	_	_	19
REEF	1	-	-	1	3	1	2	3	1	2	-	_	-		14
POL	1	2	3	6	10	7	11	3	-	-	1	-	-	-	44
NEP	-	2	3	7	9	13	12	8	8	5	1	-	-	-	68
Total	4	9	13	44	51	64	56	43	12	10	4	1	-	-	311
						Rou	ind nu	mber !	5						
ZAP	-	1	5	4	19	19	16	19	- 8	4	6	3	_	-	104
TOL	-	1	1	7	6	9	5	5	7	4	1	-	-	-	46
K	-	3	2	1	-	2	3	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	15
REEF	-	1	3	2	2	6	6	2	3	-	1	-	-	-	26
POL	-	-	2	6	4	11	6	12	3	2	-	-	-	-	46
VEP	1	6	18	17	21	20	22	7	2	2	3	-		-	119
Total	1	12	31	37	52	67	58	48	24	12	11	3	-	-	356
								mber (	-	.,					
ZAP	-	2	3	18	21	35	24	42	12	16	3	1	-	-	177
LOT	-	3	2	5	12	19	18	4	10	5	4	2	-	-	84
K	2	-	1	12	10	10	3	5	9	1	1	-	1	-	55
REEF	-	•	4	7 12	6 25	4 18	2 16	2 16	5 5	1	1 1	•	•	-	28 101
POL	1	- 2	6	18	34	30	15	38	10	4 5	4	-	1	1	165
Total	3	7	16	72	108	116	78	107	51	32	14	3	2	1	610
10121		·	••							-					
ZAP	-	2	4	3	9	13	ind nu	mber 14	<u>-</u>	3	_	2	1	-	62
roL	-	-	1	3	3	4	10	6	4	1	1	-	-	-	33
L-K	-	-	-	2	3	8	2	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	19
REEF	-	-	-	2	3	7	4	7	3	5	1	2	-	•	34
POL	-	-	-	1	1	5	7	7	3	3	2	-	-	-	29
1EP	-	-	3	15	16	28	15	29	- 11	8	3	1	-		129
Total	-	2	8	26	35	65	48	64	23	22	7	5	1	-	306
								mber							
ZAP	-	-	-	5	9	12	8	11	3	3	-	•	-		51
LOT	1	1	8	18	30	65	41	33	14	19	3	2	-	1	236
L-K	-	•	1	1	-	1	-	1	2	-	- ;	•	-	•	116
REEF	-	4	8	15	16	19	18	21	9	5	1	-	-	•	116
POL	-		3	9	5	9	6	2	2	2	2	-	•	-	130
NEP	-	4	6	23	10	27	28	17	9	33	7	3		<del>-</del> 1	130 579
Total	1	9	26	71	70	133	101	85	39	33	7	3	•	1	519
Grand															

## APPENDIX TABLE 24.--Length classes of untagged 4-year-old male seals sampled from the kill, by rookery and round, St. Paul Island, 1962

Rookery														· · · · ·				
of								Len	gth in	inches								
recovery	≤39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	Total
								D au	nd no.	mber 2								
ZAP	_	_	1	1	2	9	8	9	l 4	nner 2	2	4	4	_	_			63
TOL	_	_	- 1		2	_	3	4	2	2	-	-			_		_	13
L-K	-	-	-	2	_	1	_	_	_		_	1	_	_	-	_	_	4
REEF	-	-	1	1	1	2	2	6	5	1	2	3	1	_	_	_	_	25
POL	-	-	-	1	1	1	6	3	9	4	2	2	_	_	_	_	_	29
NEP	-	-	-	-	4	5	12	15	9	10	3	4	2		1	_	_	65
Total	-	-	2	5	10	18	31	37	39	26	9	14	7	_	1	-	-	199
										mber 3								
ZAP	1	-	-	-	1	5	4	14	9	5	3	1	1	-	-	-	-	44
TOL	-	-	-	2	1	4	3	7	5	5	6	2	-	1	-	-	-	36
L-K	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	2	-	2	1	-	~	-	-	-	-	8
REEF	-	-	-		-	-	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	11
POL	-	-	-	3	-	4	4	5	3	6	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	30
NEP	-		1	1	5	10	5	20	12	17	15	8	6	1	-			101
Total	1	-	1	6	7	24	20	50	30	37	28	16	8	2	-	-	-	230
								Danie	nd	mh 4								
ZAP			2	4	5	9	4	19	nd nur	nber 4	10	3	2		1			0.5
TOL			-		1	7		17	3	2	-	-	-		1		-	85 7
L-K					i	1	3	1	3	2	1	1	2				_	15
REEF	-	_	_	-	1	2	2	2	4	2	4	1	1	_	_	_		19
POL	-		_	_	_	1	7	7	5	6	5	2	ī	_	_	-		34
NEP	_	-	_	2	3	6	9	7	11	17	3	4	2	_	_	_	_	64
Total	-	-	2	6	11	19	25	37	34	47	23	11	8	-	1	-	-	224
								Rou	nd nur	nber 5								
ZAP	-	-	-	6	2	5	6	10	3	2	4	-	2	-	-	-	1	41
TOL	-	-	-	1	2	4	4	4	5	2	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	26
L-K	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	2	4	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	13
REEF	-	-	-	1	-	1	3	2	3	5	4	1	1	-	-	-	-	21
POL	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	10	3	5	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	23
NEP		1		2	5	6	9	17	17	16	5	1	1	-				80
Total	-	1	-	9	9	15	24	45	34	34	18	8	6	-	-	-	1	204
								-		, ,								
ZAP					4	3	2	15	na nur	nber 6	- 5	-	3	-		í		
TOL	-		1	1	6	3	3	2	8	3	2	7 1		2 1	-	1	-	59 31
L-K		_	- 1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	_	3	1	_	-	-	15
REEF			2		1	i	-	-	i	2	4	3	1	4			_	15
POL	-	1	-	1	•	2	1	4	5	10	6	2	3		_	_	_	35
NEP	_	-	_	i	1	6	6	12	10	10	5	7	2	_	_	1	_	61
Total	-	1	3	4	14	16	13	35	31	38	23	20	12	4	-	2		216
								Rou	nd nur	nber 7								
ZAP	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	3	2	1	2	1	2	2	-	-	-	16
TOL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	1	2	4	2	-	-	-	-	14
L-K	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
REEF	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	3	1	5	3	4	3	•	-	-	-	21
POL	-	-	-	-	•	1	3	4	3	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
NEP	-		-	1	2	2	6	12	10	13	6	2	1	-	•	•	-	55
Total	-	-	-	1	3	6	13	23	20	25	15	11	8	2	-	-	-	127
										- h 0								
ZAP				1			1	Rou 2	nd nur l	nber 8	5	3	3	1				22
TOL		-		1	2	2	5	7	9	8	5	3	2		ī			44
L-K					-	-	-	-	2	~	1	-	-		-		-	3
REEF		_				2	3	11	2	2	2	2		1	-	-	-	25
POL	-	-	_		1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	_		-	_	-	10
NEP		_	-	5	4	5	5	14	9	10	5	3	1	-	-	-	-	61
Total	-	-	~	6	7	10	15	35	24	27	20	12	6	2	1	-	-	165
Grand																		
total	1	2	8	37	61	108	141	262	212	234	136	92	55	10	3	2	1	1,365

APPENDIX TABLE 25.--Summary of length classes of untagged 3- and 4-year-old male seals sampled from the kill, by rookery,
St. Paul Island, 1962

Length			very				
in inches	ZAP	TOL	L-K	REEF	POL	NEP	Total
				Age 3			
≤39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	4 14 26 84 115 141 108 128 35 33 11	1 11 16 47 63 112 92 59 42 33 9	2 5 7 19 17 29 15 15 13 3 2	1 6 14 31 41 41 35 36 22 13 4	1 6 14 44 55 62 52 48 16 12 6	2 18 43 95 111 138 106 115 46 26 13	11 60 120 320 402 523 408 401 174 120 45 16
51 52	i 	1	1			2 1	4 2
Total	707	490	128	246	316	719	2,606
				Age. 4			
£39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	1  3 12 14 32 27 72 43 51 31 19 17 5 1	1 3 13 11 18 26 35 26 17 13 5 2	  3 4 6 9 7 10 7 7 3 5 1	3 2 3 9 13 26 17 19 20 16 8 1	1  5 3 10 22 34 29 38 19 12 5	1 1 12 24 40 52 97 78 93 42 29 15 1	1 2 8 37 61 108 141 262 212 234 136 92 55 10 3 2
Total	330	171	62	137	178	487	1,365

APPENDIX TABLE 26.--Tag numbers (0-series) and weights of live male and female fur seal pups, by rookery, St. Paul Island, 2 and 3 September 1962

Tag	Weight	Tag	Weight	Tag	Weight	Tag	Weight	Tag number	Weight	Tag	Weight	Tag number	Weight	Tag	Weigh
,	Kg		Kg		Kg		Kg POLOVINA		Kg		Kg		Kg		Kg
0245	6_8	27780	6.8	28110	9.0	28686	9. 4	30203	9.8	31216	9.0	31292	8.8	31464	9.0
7782	7_6	27790	7.4	26149	8,2	28692	9.2	30219	8.8	31218	10.2	31311	5, 4	31480	6.6
7703	0.8	27795	6.0	28176	7, 2	28723	6, 4	30227	9.2	31220	7.8	31310	7.0	31494	10.6
7711	7.4 12.2	27799 27803	8.0 6.0	28253 28284	4, 2 8, 4	28767 28887	7, 2 9, 4	30241 30269	8.6	31222	7.0	31319	9. 4	31514	6. 2
7740	9.0	27869	6.8	28338	8.4	28942	9.6	30270	9.2 7.2	31230	7.8 7.6	31361	8. 6 9. 2	31534 31542	5, 2 6, 2
7741	8.8	27889	9.6	28403	10, 2	28950	7.8	30284	9.6	31241	8.6	31385	8.0	31658	6.0
7752	7.4	27925	8,6	28433	8,8	28977	6.2	30476	9.1	31267	6.0	31410	9.4	31747	10.0
7754	7.6	28057	5.8	28436	9,2	29186	7.0	31066	8.8	31272	10.6	31421	10.4	31751	9.0
7779	9.6	28098	5.4	28475	6.2										
							OLOVINA	- females							
7722	7.4	28299	9.0	28535	7.2	30109	9.4	30333	9.2	31089	6, 4	31322	5.4	31460	7. 8
7731	6.6	2831; 28402	6.8 9.4	28690 28704	9.6 9.4	30213 30232	8.0 7.8	30464 30484	5.0 6.0	31111 31145	8.0 5.2	31324 31344	6.2	31465 31469	5. 4 9. 2
7755	9. 2	28410	6.6	28708	7. 2	30257	7.6	30494	7.8	31209	11.0	31380	8.8	31476	7. 8
7763	0.0	28414	6.8	28735	5.0	30258	5.4	30500	7.8	31242	6.4	31364	7. 2	31493	9.6
7919	7.8	28427	7.4	28906	9.2	30262	8, 2	30604	6.0	31244	6,2	31405	7.2	31504	5.2
7953	6.6	28431	9.0	28913	7. 6	30267	8.4	31006	8.0	31268	10.0	31408	6.0	31516	5, 2
8027 8087	5.6 7.2	28452 28458	7.8 7.6	28919 28933	8,2	30271 30296	8.6 8.6	31034 31041	6.0 5.8	31271 31282	9.8 7.0	31427 31456	8.8 7.2	31595 31651	6.2
6260	7.6	28500	7.0	28976	6.0	30270	0.0	21041	5.0	31202	1.0	31430	1.2	31031	7, 4
							7 . D. D. I								
3973	8.0	14561	8.0	14902	8.8	15187	ZAPADNI 8.6	15553	6.2	16461	6.4	17048	9.0	17354	7.8
4326	8.2	14581	6.2	14948	6.4	15217	7.0	15582	9.8	16562	10.4	17068	8.2	17450	9.8
4374	7.0	14603	10.8	14950	8.0	15264	5.8	15841	8,4	16594	7.8	17122	8.2	17452	7.8
4391	6.6	14622	10.4	15012	5.8	15332	7.2	15914	5.8	16606	7.0	17125	8.2	17461	7.6
4401	9.0	14643 14670	6, 4	15033 15043	6.4	15335 15343	8.0 7.8	159 <b>7</b> 9 16279	9.6 9.4	16707 16726	9.6	17160 17197	7, 2 11, 0	17501 17615	15.4
4413 4440	4.6 7.0	14670	10.0	15043	9.0	15354	8.6	16281	8,8	16827	6.4	17197	8,6	17615	6, 8
4456	8.0	14723	6.8	15105	9.2	15399	9.4	16331	6.4	16873	5.0	17270	6.8	17776	10.6
4473	5.4	14791	8.2	15123	11.4	15539	10.0	16360	7.0	17046	8,4	17326	8, 4	17886	8.0
1546	8.2	14839	9.8	15140	8.0										
							APADNI -								
1312	5.2	14795	5.2	15238	8.0	15556	9.2	16092	6, 2	16481	9.2	16991	9.2	17510	7.2
1327	5.4	14999	10.4	15249	9.2	15610	8.6	16280 16340	5. 4	16595 16600	8,6 5,6	16996 16999	8. 6 9. 2	17513 17527	8.2
4335 4343	6, 2	15001 15093	7.6 5.6	15257 15266	6.8 7.0	15677 15709	8. 0 6. 8	16366	5.0 9.4	16616	6.0	17178	7.6	17616	7. 2 4. 6
4410	5.6	15166	6, 4	15267	5.4	15721	5.2	16398	8.8	16667	6. 2	17256	7. 0	17651	5.0
4420	6.6	15171	8.2	15329	9. 4	15793	7.6	16437	6.8	16751	6,4	17261	7.2	17658	5.6
4461	7.4	15173	6,8	15351	5,2	15809	7.8	16444	7.6	16754	7.0	17358	6.4	17691	7. 4
4585	7.2	15174	7, 0	15362	6.2	15893	6.2	16448	7.8	16782	6.4	17420	10.8	17698	5.0
4688	5.4	15205 15228	7.4	15429	5,4	15896	10.0	16472	10.2	16915	5.4	17470	7.4	17759	5.0
4725	5.0	15220	6.6												
0.12	0.4	22066	9.0	22200	4.2	22274	REEF -	23882	4.0	23964		24216	8.2	26848	11.2
8437	0.6	23056 23103	8.0	23298	6. 2	23374 23807	9.4	23882	6.8 18.0	23964	8.0 7.6	24216	10.4	26874	10.2
8575 6581	10.0	23103	7.0 12.6	23304 23309	7.6 6.4	23828	8.4 7.0	23910	11,6	23981	9. 4	24460	9.6	26895	10.2
8567	11, 2	23127	7.6	23318	10.2	23841	7.6	23921	6.0	23983	10.6	24663	7.0	26904	6.8
8596	10.2	23165	6.4	23320	8.6	23846	7.4	23922	6.4	24046	8.4	24718	9.0	26977	9.8
6605	8.4	23167	12.8	23322	10.4	23848	8.0	23938	6.6	24069	5.8	24901	8.8	26992	9.6
8608	7. 0	23212	6, 2	23337	9.8	23857	9.6	23948	8, 2	24164	7.6	26512	6.4	27067	7.0
8630 8645	6.2 9.4	23234 23282	8.4 6.8	23339 23359	7. 0 8, 6	23874 23879	12.6 7.8	23951 23963	7. 4 10. 6	24166 24184	10.8	26605 26689	9.2 8.8	27099	8.0
0017	/· •	2,200	•10	,		03017				21101	-, -				
8416	9,6	18570	6.2	18634	5.8	23130	REEF - 6	23287	7,8	23867	6.0	24119	4.2	26692	7.8
6501	9.0	16571	10.2	18640	8.8	23141	8.6	23294	7. 2	23873	7.4	24201	10.8	26811	7.2
B503	10.6	18576	6.8	18753	7.8	23173	5. 4	23299	8.6	23875	7. 6	24256	9.8	26822	6.4
8519	9.2	18578	6.8	18819	7.4	23174	7. 2	23303	6.4	23896	8.2	24257	7.2	26833	8.6
8520	8.6	18582	7.4	22007	6.6	23180	6.0	23332	8.4	23902	9, 2	24377	8.6	26834	7.6
8527	9.8 7.8	18589 18592	6. Z 7. 6	22244	7.6 5.4	23202 23214	9.0 6.2	23348 23357	6. 8 7. 8	23929 23977	8.0 6.2	24454 26528	8.4	26864 27040	7. Z 6. 4
8529 8530	7.8 4.8	18592	6.4	23110	7.0	23214	6.8	23372	7. 8	23977	9.8	26589	7.4	27063	9.2
8548	6.4	18607	9.2	23115	4, 2	23235	4.8	23851	7, 8	24074	4,6	26666	9.2	27066	8.2
8569	7. Z	18627	6.4	23121	7.8										
						NORT	THEAST PO	DINT - ma	les						
3505	7.0	33802	7.6	38160	8.2	38424	7.0	40614	12.0	40865	10.2	41124	12.0	41247	7, 2
3589	9.2	33821	6.0	38202	10.4	38471	8.0	40655	5.6	40890	6, 2	41140	10.2	41269	9. 4
3591	5.0	33830	12.4	38205	9.8	38474	10.4	40794	6, 0	40953	10.4	41141 41178	6.2 9.4	41269 41296	9. 2 6. 8
3659 3 <b>784</b>	12.0 9.2	33892 37338	6, 0 6, 4	38248 38256	10.2 7.8	38493 38831	10, 2 11, 2	40801	10.8	41055	9.6	41178	8.2	42308	16,8
3720	6, 4	37361	8,2	38282	14.4	38869	6, 6	40816	8.2	41075	10.2	41220	9.0	42406	9. 6
3766	10.0	37374	9.6	38314	10.2	36980	7, 2	40829	9.8	41078	6.4	41221	8.8	42425	6.4
3787	6.4	37390	7.6	38321	8.8	40548	10.0	40834	6.4	41114	9.2	41246	10.2	42450	6.0
3792	10.4	38123	10.4	38342	10.0	40613	8, 6	40838	9. 0						
						NORT	HEAST PO	NT - fema	les						
3579	5.4	33719	8.2	37370	9.0	38199	5.0	38347	6.6	38500	7.8	40948	6.8	41156	8.0
3606	6, 4	33753	9.6	37383	7.2	38229	8.8	38358	10.0	40654	9.0	40952	7. 2 9. n	41195 41245	10, 2
3612	10.0	33786	7.4	38008	8,6	38254	6. 8	38375 38388	9.4 7.2	40657 40673	9.0 8.0	40974 40982	9. B 10. 2	41245	7, 2 7, 6
3629	6.6	33809	12.0	38031 38093	9.6 6.2	38274 38285	7.6 9.2	38456	7.4	40676	9.0	40986	6, 0	41276	9.4
3638	7. 6 7. 4	33817 33836	9.4 7.2	38122	9.6	38303	6.4	38458	11, 2	40698	9.6	41024	9.0	42411	7.4
3689	6.8	33841	6.4	38165	9. 6	38304	8.6	18479	7.8	40875	10.2	41106	7.6	42464	5.0
	9. 2	33851	7.4	38174	9.0	38339	6.2	38490	8.6	40934	7.4	41127	8,2	42485	9.2
3708			6.2	38187	6, 4	38346	8.4	38491	9.6						

APPENDIX TABLE 27.--Tag numbers (0-series) and weights of live male and female fur seal pups, by rookery, St. Paul Island, 2 and 3 October 1962

Tag number	Weight	Tag	Weight	Teg	Weight	Tag	Weight	Tag	Weight	Tag number	Weight	Tag	Weight	Tag	- Weigh
aumber	Kg	adinber	Kg	number	Kg	number	Kg		Kg	number	Kg	number	Kg	number	Kg
28180	13.6	31834	14,8	31980	14.4	32046	POLOVIN 12, 8	A - males 32181	10,6	32423	11.8	32685		32905	
28186	12.6	31842	9.8	31990	10. 2	32052	8,8	32199	10.4	32444	12.4	32694	13.6	32905	13.6 13.2
28189	8,2	31902	10.0	32005	9.0	32059	9.8	32226	12.0	32460	9.4	32741	11.0	32959	11.4
28566	11.8	31905	10.6	32015	11.8	32078	13, 2	32281	8, 2	32491	9.2	32760	10,2	32965	9.8
28608 28861	8.8 9.4	31930 31947	12.8	32019 32020	12.8 10.2	32089 32098	8.4 12.2	32344 32386	10.6 15.2	32519 32572	10.0	32806 32855	7, 2 9, 2	32994 33018	10.8
28886	13.2	31948	10.6	32031	13.0	32099	10.6	32406	10.4	32600	10.6	32869	11.2	33070	7.4
31024	6, 8	31950	10.2	32035	11,2	32161	10.6	32417	10.4	32628	9.4	32880	13,4	39119	8.6
31663 31818	15.2 18.4	31964 31971	10.4 12.2	32043	8.2	32177	9.4	32421	11.0	32644	6.6	32085	7.0	39946	9.6
31010	10, 1	3.771													
15991	7.4	31807	11.2	31956	7, 4	32038	POLOVINA 10.6	32092	6.0	32281	7.4	32537	8,0	32850	12.0
27886	6.0	31808	8,2	31960	9.0	32048	6.2	32094	13.8	32384	9.0	32571	9.6	32934	10,6
27953	7.8	31813	10.2	31968	7. 2	32050	9.8	32120	9.8	32409	9.4	32586	8.0	32936	5.8
28071 28080	10.6 8.0	31817 31819	5.8 8.2	31970 31978	6.8	32051 32062	7.8 12.4	32124 32140	10. Z 9. 4	32418 32438	12,4	32607 32649	11.4 8.4	32941 32944	12.2
28134	7.6	31835	9.2	31986	12.6	32064	13.8	32160	12.0	32477	12, 2	32733	11.0	32971	10.6
28542	9.6	31837	7.2	31987	10.2	32066	10,2	32175	12.8	32492	9.8	32784	12.2	32981	8,4
30853 31098	10.8	31848 31944	15.0 11.0	31998 32009	11.4	32079 32085	10,2 0,2	32178 32253	7, 2 10, 2	32498 32506	12, 4 9, 2	32808 32813	, 7. 8 7. 2	33026 33056	9. 6 8. 4
31116	5.0	31952	11.4	32018	9, 4	32003	0. 6	32233	10,2	32300	7.6	32013	1.2	33030	0. 1
							ZAPADN	I - males							
11657	15.4	14710	16.0	14843	13.8	15138	8.4	15493	12.8	16189	10.2	16710	12.2	17318	15.4
14336	12.4	14714	10.6	14868	11.2	15179	9.2	15573	20.4	16311	12.8	16774	12.4	17327	11.6
14418	11.4	14732 14738	10.6 11.2	14934 14974	13.6	15227 15262	12,2	15576 15722	12, 2	16407 16491	14.4	16787 16815	12.4	17341	13.6
14514	14.6	14743	12,4	14982	9.2	15297	12.8	15744	9.0	16495	12.6	17025	5.2	17453 17470	12.6 11.0
14564	10,4	14757	14.0	14989	9.2	15332	5,6	15778	12.8	16555	11.2	17125	8.8	17668	8.8
14609 14611	11.4	14799 14821	10.4	15030 15075	13.2	15342 15381	9,6	15805	7.4	16562	:5.8	17176	12.0	17695	7.8
14663	12.2	14623	11,4	15075	13.2	15386	15. 2 8. 6	15806 15818	7.8 13.6	16566 16582	13,6 14,2	17188 17298	12.6 18.6	17699 17718	13.8
14668	7.2	14830	11.0	15125	10.0										2.0
							ZAPADN	I-females							
14153	11. 2	14551	11.0	15025	10.4	15349	9.2	16347	9.6	16790	11.6	16985	14.0	17384	11.0
14326 14339	8,4 7,6	14689 14775	9.0	15033 15099	9.8 12.6	15480 15501	8.6 7.6	16389 16374	7.8	16792	8.8	16952	12,2	17414	11.4
14348	14.8	14786	12.2	15102	13.2	15524	13.2	16409	11.2	16847 16857	13.2 10.6	17023 17030	10.6	17429 17464	11.2
4500	14.2	14793	11,4	15108	11,8	15556	11.6	16434	6.0	16881	12.8	17050	11.0	17640	7. 2
4520 4601	11,2 9.4	14795 14841	6.8 5.2	15112 15123	10.8	15769 15866	11.2 10.0	16576 16660	10.4 8.0	16891 16901	10.2	17120	11.6	17693	9.4
14608	9.6	14678	7.0	15198	11.0	15958	9.8	16747	10.8	16924	12.2	17137 17180	6, 8 13, 0	17711 17727	11.2
14620	12,2	14978	11,4	15220	-9.4	16179	10.6	16768	12.4	16964	13,2	17215	12.0	17757	8.8
14635	6.0	14996	6.2	15226	6.4										
							REEF								
18085 18223	11.6 8.6	20612	14.8	23871 23888	9,8 10,4	24148 24149	9,4	24264	9. Z 10. 8	24445 24470	9. Z 9. 6	24984	8,8	26842	6.2
18333	10.8	20960	5.8	23938	8.6	24184	10.6	24329	15.0	24651	10.0	26167 26518	10.2 9.2	26867 26904	9. 4 7. 2
18630	9.0	20962	10.2	23970	9.4	24192	12.6	24340	14.6	24658	10.4	26524	9.6	26982	8.8
19852	11.2	23132 23290	10.6	23985	12.2	24211	12.0	24385	14.6	24669	9.0	26539	14, 4	27670	7.2
19854 19932	9.8 8.4	23841	9.0	24042 24082	11.4	24229 24238	13.4	24393 24410	16.0	24848 24893	13.0 12.6	26614 26652	8.2	34323 36641	9.6 9.8
19999	8.8	23848	7.6	24086	11.0	24243	13.0	24413	7.6	24958	13,6	26672	7.2	48319	8.6
20604	7.6	23853	14.6	24129	10.6	24260	6.6	24422	12.0	24969	10.4				
								females							
18020 18402	10.0	20662 20693	11.4 9.8	23334 23340	11.0	24001 24014	8.2 8.0	24241 24288	9.6 12.2	24797 24832	10.2 10.8	26612 26653	10.8	26878 26963	8.6
8627	8,6	22011	6.2	23380	6. 2	24025	11.4	24300	9.8	24845	7.6	26663	9, 3	26978	12.2
18638	7.8	22624	7.2	23824	9.4	24047	12.0	24321	7.4	24931	8,8	26697	11.2	27029	9.2
18776 18848	9.8 10.0	22628 23132	8.8 8.2	23901 23918	11.0 7.8	24077 24088	7.4 13.0	24354 24396	9.6 10.8	24965 25442	6.0 10.8	26804 26805	10.6	27090 27092	12.0
19664	8,4	23165	7.2	23948	10.0	24137	8.4	24500	7.4	25968	9.0	26810	12.2	28529	10.6
20012	10.0	23187	9.2	23972	8.0	24142	6.8	24569	10.2	Z6364	11.2	26827	8.8	32216	12.4
20036	9, 2	23325	9.8	23997	9.4	24209	8.6	24684	11.8	26513	10.8	26841	15.0		
22/21	12.	2200		2427/	12.5		RTHEAST F			20.455		10/		43355	
23626 23700	12.4 9.6	33712 33807	11.2	34376 -35297	12.2	38181 38184	10.6	38984 38988	13.6	39491 39546	12. Z 7. 6	40679 40721	14.2 12.8	41159 41190	13.4 14.4
33509	11.4	33829	9.8	37393	10.2	38267	12.6	39118	8.6	39551	10.0	40774	10.0	41221	9. 2
33583	13.8	33835	7.0	38058	12.0	38305	11.2		16.8	39552	10.0	40826	9.4	41226	13.4
33589 33662	11.8	33882 34337	15.6 10.2	38094 38099	11.0 9.8	38334 38337	11.8 15.6	39171 39235	8.4 11.2	39559 39696	13, 2 8, 8	40943 40969	7.8 9.0	41249 42387	16.4
33686	9.4	34347	13.2	38108	11.8	38361	10.2	39268	14,6	39970	15.0	40993	12.0	42420	12.8
33687	13.6	34354	13.8	38116	13.2	38392	14.4	39273	8.6	40614	15.2	41134	12.4	42429	10.4
33693 33700	11.4 9.8	34362 34374	7.2 9.8	38167	8, 6	38468	8.0	39308	7.2	40661	13,4	41150	11.8	42475	12.0
							D. TILE : 05	DOINE -							
33078	8,8	34329	9.8	37377	7.8	38223	9.8	38488	8,6	39608	8, 8	40888	9.0	41254	5.8
33369	12.4	34345	6.0	37396	8, 4	38224	4.8	38494	9.8	39695	11,2	40892	8,4	41277	8.8
33503	10.6	34346	12.2	38005 38045	5,4	38228	10.4	38941	8.2	40623	10, 2	40924 41052	7.2	41291	0.8
33605 33761	10.2	34350 34372	6, 8 8, 8	38045	11.4	38233 38285	6. Z 1 Z. Z	39136 39295	10.6	40660 40671	12.4 10.8	41052	13, Z 9, 0	41295 42407	11.8
33772	15.0	35300	8.4	38140	12.8	38339	10.0	39299	7.2	40687	7. Z	41088	9.4	42460	8,6
33798	9.8	37267	8.0	38185	12.0	38341	8.0	39360	17.Z	40761	10.6	41106	11.2	42492	11.0
33830 33857	12.4	37327 37358	9.2 11.0	38186 38208	8,6 11,4	38408 38427	8.0 8.8	39542 39552	11.6	40772 40845	9.4 11.2	41121 41197	11.0	48408 49505	12.4 9.6
34310	9.6	37363	10.6	38210	12.8						-				

APPENDIX TABLE 28.--Tag numbers (O-series) and weights of live male and female fur seal pups, by rookery, St. Paul Island, 24 and 25 October 1962

Tag number	Weight Tag Weight		Weight	Tag number	Weight	Tag number	Weight
			Polovina	a - males			
27832 27833 27938 27949 28103 28275 28283 28359 28538 28543 28545 28565 28608 28638 28692 28695 28821	Kg. 11.0 14.0 10.6 10.4 11.2 12.8 16.4 11.8 11.4 11.4 12.8 9.2 9.4 15.6 10.4 16.8 9.6	28989 29124 29137 30051 30054 30057 31042 31142 31558 31586 31703 31737 31932 31964 32017 32021 32046	Kg. 15.4 11.6 11.6 11.2 8.2 10.2 13.0 12.0 17.6 11.4 17.8 11.6 14.0 8.4 12.0 11.4	32194 32197 32197 32412 32416 32498 32557 32602 32628 32657 32664 32679 32686 32692 32723 32741 32765	Kg. 13.8 11.0 13.8 11.8 11.8 11.0 10.6 7.2 10.8 11.2 13.8 9.8 10.6 11.6 10.2 12.0	32820 32832 32839 32840 32849 32850 32855 32855 32894 32958 32958 32971 33085 36089 36962	Kg. 11.8 9.6 12.8 8.6 10.6 10.8 10.8 8.4 5.6 15.2 15.2 9.6 10.8 16.0 12.4
28868 28887 28987	14.6 12.2 11.4	32087 32103 32183	11.2 9.8 9.2	32776 32810 32814	15.0 11.8 10.8		
			Polovina	- females			
28817 27877 27941 28031 28081 28084 28126 28168 28172 28207 28262 28270 28290 28334 28532 28550 28557 28591 28648	13.4 11.2 12.2 13.4 13.8 12.6 8.8 11.6 13.8 11.4 12.8 10.2 10.8 8.4 7.4 12.4 11.6 11.6	28701 28703 28720 28738 28746 28772 28776 28778 28798 28798 28954 30767 31004 31168 31197 31550 31568 31580 31673	11.0 11.6 10.6 11.6 12.2 10.8 10.4 8.2 9.6 9.0 7.0 12.6 13.2 9.4 8.6 11.6 10.2 11.2 10.8	31688 31725 31757 31816 31819 31849 31939 31988 31996 32011 32145 32163 32388 32424 32486 32574 32615 32616 32643	13.2 15.8 12.6 10.4 8.4 9.2 11.2 9.4 10.4 9.8 12.8 11.4 15.0 11.2 10.8 10.0 8.6 11.2	32653 32654 32655 32669 32712 32716 32733 32763 32783 32783 32784 32793 32805 32805 32808 32896 32899 32941 35090 40236	9.8 11.4 10.8 9.6 13.2 14.4 10.8 12.6 11.2 9.8 8.2 7.4 9.6 12.8 12.2 12.6 10.4

APPENDIX TABLE 28.--Tag numbers (O-series) and weights of live male and female fur seal pups, by rookery, St. Paul Island, 24 and 25 October 1962-Continued

Tag number	Weight	Tag number	Weight	Tag number	Weight	Tag number	Weight
			Zapadni -	- males			
	Kg.		Kg.		Kg.		Kg.
14316	17.2	1 <i>5</i> 036	12.4	16315	13.4	17153	19.6
14410	6.2	15072	14.2	16367	15.8	17197	12.8
14413	5.8	15289	14.6	16390	11.4	17247	7.8
14422	10.8	15295	7.4	16391	6.6	17304	9.4
14440 14448	8.0 15.6	15353 15368	9.6 11.4	16414 16496	18.4 8.4	17329 17354	14.6 10.6
14455	7.6	15481	7.2	16609	19.0	17363	9.0
14581	11.4	15525	19.4	16620	14.8	17393	13.0
14470	8.0	15570	17.2	16652	16.4	17403	13.6
14723	14.8	15593	11.8	16654	6.6	17448	11.0
14817	10.6	15595	15.0	16694	16.2	17494	6.4
14861 14878	9.2 11.6	15617 1 <i>5</i> 704	14.4 14.8	16681 16827	10.4 12.6	17497 17570	16.0 16.6
14914	13.4	15722	10.8	16917	9.8	17649	13.2
14932	7.4	15744	14.8	16928	16.6	17685	11.6
14938	14.2	15907	13.6	16950	11.4	17688	14.2
14980	7.0	15947	17.0	17030	18.2	17720	11.8
15008	15.6 7.8	15948	13.6	17084	17.2	17753	17.0
15012	/•0	16139	13.0	17098	11.8		
			Zapadni -	females			
14171	15.2	15176	20.2	16293	14.8	17223	9.8
14317	14.4	15203	15.2	16309	14.4	17289	11.0
14335	17.8	15242	11.6	16328	15.0	17291	13.6
14341	14.0	15262	10.8	16393	11.2	17294	13.2
14371 14375	11.6 9.8	15336 15362	12.8 10.2	16533 16539	10.2 14.2	17412 17421	10.4 13.8
14444	9.4	15605	12.0	16574	7.4	17421	14.8
14552	10.0	15679	12.4	16724	9.6	17447	14.4
14567	8.8	15778	14.8	16908	13.6	17469	14.8
14647	14.8	15797	6.0	16923	11.0	17640	7.4
14683	13.6	15799	14.0	16927	13.6	17642	14.4
14689 14707	14.0	15889 15900	15.8 12.6	16934 16945	13.0 10.6	17649 17653	11.0 7.8
14707	13.8 12.2	15917	13.8	17002	10.0	17733	16.4
14958	14.8	15960	20.2	17068	10.2	17757	10.4
14995	13.2	15975	8.8	17073	18.0	17772	10.6
15025	10.8	16064	12.6	17111	12.0	17795	11.4
15104	12.8	16287	8.4	17118	11.4	17845	12.8
15112	11.0	16289	9.8	17201	11.0		

APPENDIX TABLE 28.--Tag numbers (0-series) and weights of live male and female fur seal pups, by rookery, St. Paul Island, 24 and 25 October 1962-Continued

Tag number	Weight	Tag number	Weight	Tag number	Weight	Tag number	Weight					
			Reef -	males								
	Kg.		Kg.		Kg.		Kg.					
18096	8.4	23153	10.2	23848	9.8	24830	10.2					
18508	7.8	23165	7.2	23853	13.8	24848	12.2					
18543	12.4	23167	11.8	23856	11.4	24990	11.2					
18546 18572	8.8 10.6	23210 23212	10.2 8.4	23910 23938	15.6 13.0	25404 25950	11.6 15.0					
18581	11.2	23282	10.2	23941	12.4	26563	12.8					
18583	11.4	23291	10.0	23981	11.2	26589	9.8					
18597	12.2	23330	18.4	23988	12.2	26590	14.8					
18608	9.6	23344 23359	10.0 17.0	24065 24071	14.4 11.2	26659 26862	10.2 13.2					
18611 18631	11.6 9.8	23374	10.6	24071	10.0	26866	15.6					
18645	13.0	23391	11.8	24128	15.4	26885	11.8					
18783	9.8	23391	13.0	24168	8.2	26902	8.8					
19806	10.0	23400	11.4	24184	10.4	27044	15.4					
19932 20024	10.6 6.4	23813 23827	14.0 10.2	24186 24198	16.8 9.6	27049 27067	13.8 8.6					
20695	9.6	23830	11.8	24473	6.6	27091	14.4					
22687	14.6	23837	16.6	24493	10.4	27099	11.8					
23140	10.6	23841	10.2	24724	11.8							
			Reef -	females								
7.0027	70 /	02022			70.0	05106	d /					
18031 18172	10.4 12.4	23233 23287	9.2 9.8	23991 24008	10.8 13.4	25496 25910	8.4 8.2					
18501	11.2	23294	8.2	24040	10.8	26614	8.2					
18530	7.8	23322	17.2	24063	8.8	26692	7.8					
18569	10.6	23388	9.8	24069	9.8	26811	9.0					
18607 18700	11.6 8.4	23424 23810	7.8 9.6	24074 24126	7.8 10.4	26817 26827	12.8 8.2					
18787	10.6	23812	13.8	24120	9.0	26834	11.4					
18808	9.2	23835	11.0	24158	10.0	26837	11.2					
19903	9.4	23847	16.2	24259	9.8	26864	13.4					
19978	12.2	23863	12.6	24292	10.8	26878	10.4					
19997 20431	9.4 14.0	23866 23876	8.2 9.8	24317 24409	12.2 12.0	26880 269 <i>5</i> 3	9.8 9.6					
20151	7.0	23894	7.6	24577	11.0	27040	10.6					
20760	11.8	23916	10.2	24658	11.4	27041	12.8					
22248	9.2	23933	15.0	24680	11.6	27071	11.4					
23162 23177	8.2 11.6	23954 23985	15.0 14.6	24827 24863	11.8 13.6							
23215	8.0	23986	11.8	24889	11.2							

APPENDIX TABLE 28.--Tag numbers (O-series) and weights of live male and female fur seal pups, by rookery, St. Paul Island, 24 and 25 October 1962-Continued

Tag number	Weight	Tag number	Weight	Tag number	Weight	Tag number	Weight
		<u>!</u>	Northeast F	Point - mal	es		
	Kg.		Kg.		Kg.		Kg.
33361	11.0	38218	12.6	39250	13.4	40720	18.2
33369	14.2	38225	13.0	39274	12.8	40803	15.4
33370 33610	16.8 7.8	38293 38316	18.8 15.0	39284 39355	10.6 9.6	40807 40910	14.4 9.8
33757	14.0	38349	15.8	39494	11.8	40964	13.2
33787	10.2	38387	15.4	39510	12.0	41013	9.0
33846	12.0	38445	21.6	39526	12.2	41032	23.6
33872 34369	15.8 18.8	38474 38986	15.2 15.2	39 <i>5</i> 70 39 <i>5</i> 73	16.0 12.8	41107 41143	10.2 15.0
34374	15.0	39000	16.4	39597	15.0	41150	10.6
35026	11.6	39007	14.2	39607	11.8	41194	18.2
35253 35271	13.6 10.8	39025 39039	15.6 12.4	39637 39642	11.6	41242	11.6
35291	11.6	39047	13.8	39699	11.4 13.4	42009 42307	10.6 14.0
38062	13.3	39059	12.4	40604	19.0	42424	15.8
38065	10.6	39135	16.4	40612	13.4	42439	10.4
38094 381 <i>5</i> 1	12.2 14.6	39163 39205	9.4 18.6	40664 40695	10.6 19.8	42447 42490	19.8 15.6
38198	17.0	39211	10.0	40704	20.6	42490	17.0
		No	ortheast Po	oint - fema	les		
33364	9.6	38120	10.2	39209	14.0	40955	11.8
33365	12.6	38127	14.6	39236	10.0	40959	11.4
33513	13.8	38152	12.4	39282	12.2	40984	12.8
33626 33640	13.0 17.6	38238 38272	8.0 13.6	39411 39491	11.6 14.6	41017 41031	12.0 9.8
33654	14.2	38273	12.4	39505	10.0	41115	15.4
33681	12.8	38322	17.0	39522	18.0	41119	10.6
33798 33821	12.2	38324	10.0	39555 3055¢	10.4	41156	11.2
33858	9.2 12.2	38331 38343	13.6 13.4	39558 39601	14.2 10.8	41217 41290	10.0 8.4
34311	10.2	38365	13.3	39611	7.0	42324	13.6
34325	11.0	38368	15.2	40137	8.8	42410	12.8
35256 37326	14.0 13.0	38421 38488	11.2 11.2	40648 40662	9.6 9.0	42414 42460	11.0 10.0
38018	12.6	38489	15.8	40602	10.6	42474	16.6
38020	14.8	38499	12.8	40846	15.6	43331	10.2
38045	12.4	39007	10.6	40880	16.8	44338	12.2
38084 38105	13.6 10.8	39070 39071	7.8 13.4	40909 40929	13.2 22.2	48889	11.2
			,				

#### APPENDIX TABLE 29.--Record of fur seal pups tagged, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1941, 1945, 1947-49, and 1951-62

Year	Series	St. Paul Island	St. George Island	Location of tag	Checkmarks
1941	USA 1-10000; USA 1-1000 and USA 5001-6000	10000 1000 1000		Front flipper of right front and hind flippers; \$\$ left front and hind flippers	Branded, nape of neck Double tagged, branded nape of neck
1945	10001-11000 (no letter pref	973 (ix)		Left front flipper	None
1947	A 1-20000	19183		Left front flipper	1/4" hole between 1st and 2nd digits left hind flipper
1948	B 1-19673	19532		Left front flipper	None
1949	CS 1-20000	19963		Left hind flipper	None
1951	D 1-1000	1000		Right hind flipper	1/2 left ear on 100 tagged pups removed
1952	E 1-20000	19979		Right front flipper	Tip of 1st digit on right hir flipper sliced off
1953	F 1-10000	9990		Left front flipper	Tip of left front flipper sliced off
	G 7001-7400	398		11 13 11	11 11 11 11
1954	G 1-7000	7000		Right front flipper	"V" notch near tip right
	G 7401-10400	3000		n n n	front flipper
1955	H 1-10000 10001-5000 (no letter prefix	49870 )		Left front flipper	Tip of 1st digit on 1eft hind flipper sliced off
1956	I 1-10000		9894	Right front flipper	Tip of right front flipper
	I 10001-50000	39900		19 19 19	sliced off
1957	J 1-10000		9972	Left front flipper	"V" notch near tip left from
	J 10001-50000	39870		19 19 19	flipper
1958	K 1-10000		9994	Right front flipper	"V" notch near tip right front flipper
	K 10001-50000 K 10001-15000	39923 5000		Right and left front flippers	Double tagging plus check- mark
1959	L 1-10000		9980	Left front flipper	Tip of left front flipper
	L 10001-50000	39901		0 0 0	sliced off
1960	M 1-12000		11992	Right front flipper	Tip of right front flipper sliced off
	M 12001-60000	47989		11 97 19	21 24 11 33 27
1961	N 1-10000		9988	Left front flipper	"V" notch near tip left fro flipper
	N 10001-50000	39933		11 11 11	
1962	O 1-10000		9980	Right front flipper	"V" notch near tip right fro
	O 10001-50000	39928		11 II II	flipper


		ul Island	St. Geor	ge Island	Both islands		
Year	harem	idle	harem	idle	harem idle		
1911	1,090	258	266	71	1,356	329	
1912	1,077	93	281	20	1,358	113	
1913	1,142	77	261	28	1,403	105	
914	1, 316	159	243	13	1,559	172	
915	1,789	546	362	127	2,151	673	
916	2,948	2,278	552	354	3,500	2,632	
917	4,166	2,341	684	365	4,850	2,706	
918	4,610	2,245	734	199	5,344	2,444	
919	4,573	2,158	585	81	5,158	2,239	
920	3,542	1,078	524	83	4,066	1,161	
921	3,443	711	466	36	3,909	741	
922	3, 184	493	378	15	3,562	508	
923	3,051	303	361	9	3,412	312	
924	3, 127	375	389	15	3,516	390	
925	3, 103	283	423	28	3,526	311	
		368	556				
926	3,478			55	4,034	423	
927	3,916	846	727	126	4,643	97	
928	5,059	1,208	991	241	6,050	1,449	
929	5,998	1,339	1,189	294	7,187	1,63	
930	6,823	1,555	1,489	344	8,312	1,899	
931	7,557	1,519	1,676	369	9,233	1,888	
932	8,268	1,940	1,820	409	10,088	2,34	
933	8,334	1,933	1,879	408	10,213	2,34	
934	8,841	1,860	1,929	422	10,770	2,28	
935	9,444	2,082	2,103	453	11,547	2,53	
936	10,055	2,253	-	<b>←</b>	-	•	
937	10,689	2,516	2,411	515	13,100	3,03	
938	10,720	1,787	-	-	-		
939	9,122	2,616	1,858	357	10,980	2,97	
940	9,662	3,968	1,988	571	11,650	4,539	
941	10,089	5,059	1,942	396	12,031	5, 45	
943	10,948	3,523	2,107	330	13,055	3,85	
944	11,080	2,539	2,294	450	13,374	2,98	
945	10,750	4,055	2,434	750	13, 184	4,80	
946	10,566	3,605	2,430	611	12,996	4,21	
947	10,160	3,331	1,808	479	11,968	3,81	
948	10,386	3,400	1,814	563	12,200	3,96	
949	9,554	2,976	1,746	552	11,300	3, 52	
950	9,442	3,152	1,959	574	11,401		
						3,72	
951	9,434	3,581	1,825	549	11,259	4, 130	
952	9,318	4,717	1,983	605	11,301	5,322	
953	9,848	5,912	2,285	826	12,133	6,738	
954	9,906	6,847	2,228	1,311	12,134	8,158	
955	9,034	8,650	2,130	1,902	11,164	10,552	
956	9,384	9,016	-	-	-		
957	9,562	10,060	2,423	2,693	11,985	12,75	
958	9,970	9,510	2,619	3,030	12,589	12,540	
959	10,003	11,485	2,527	2,699	12,530	14, 184	
960	10,247	10,407	2,552	2,630	12,799	13,03	
961	11, 163	11,791	2,842	2, 489	14,006	14, 28	
962	10,332	9,109	2,342	2,650	12,674	11,759	

APPENDIX TABLE 31.--Dead-pup counts, by rookery, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1941 and 1948-62

$1948\frac{1}{1}$ $1949\frac{1}{1}$ $1950$ $1951$ $1952\frac{1}{1}$ $1953$ $1954$	2600 3000 3592 3764 12966 13120 18450 19503 2	1600 1740 2208 2211 3852 3800 5580 2954 5451 6413 1779 5660 6402 3200 5036 6459	170 242 189 282 2810 3559 3679 4900 9520 11007 13661 12959	800 1160 1517 1695 1669 635 770 712 1086 1129	4230 6033 6154 7552	2120 2804 2446 4979 575 660 353 1116 2278 4660 8204 12221 10424	70663 78212 9	2671 3533 3911 4809 56091 74196 82123 100987	3197 3776 846 1524 3353 2903 1272 1453	9596 8998	433 48
54 1955	5571	2782 5964 4660	387 4789 15145 1	2610	6489	79 3555 78 1383 24 6607	75544	3777 79321 10			483
1956 1957	_	4443 1695 8637 4425 7463 5432	364 249 6291 3801 4399 11301	2892 1588 1718 870	6489 5659	4611     2325       1674     917       8650     6415		4935 3083 103642 64745	6357 3942 2203 1064 3806 2729 2742 1569	5108 9304	755 465
1958		975 1826 2184	102 1655 5550	608	2823	1312 246 4045		1559 32746 4	1626 118 1552 844		238
0961 6561	-	1597 2427 2586 3462 3311 5268	141 331 2100 3168 6052 9664	882 2006 631 1037	3691 5237	1691 4148 608 1472 5009 6450		1998 2946 41962 65774	2653 3489 664 1112 1987 2000 1633 1902	6937 8503	347 425
1961	_	2415 4576 2499	411 3550 10047	2215	476 i	3047 1291 6329	un .	2893	3883 1347 2514 2019	9763	488
	4881	2121 2957 1880	225 1373 7897	2081	3004	2399 598 6627	45268	2263 47531	2242 504 1435 1740	5921	296

<sup>1</sup> Partial counts

No counts made in years 1942 through 1947.





Created in 1849, the U.S. Department of the Interior is concerned with the management, conservation, and development of the Nation's water, fish, wildlife, mineral, forest, and park and recreational resources. It also has major responsibilities for Indian and Territorial affairs.

As the Nation's principal conservation agency, the Department works to assure that nonrenewable resources are developed and used wisely, that park and recreational resources are conserved for the future, and that renewable resources make their full contribution to the progress, prosperity, and security of the United States—now and in the future.

