UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, J. A. KRUG, Secretary FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, Albert M. Day, Director

AGE AND GROWTH OF IMMATURE ROSEFISH (SEBASTES MARINUS) IN THE GULF OF MAINE AND OFF WESTERN NOVA SCOTIA

By Alfred Perlmutter and George M. Clarke



Fishery Bulletin 45 From Fishery Bulletin of the Fish and Wildlife Service

VOLUME 51

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE • WASHINGTON : 1949

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C. Price 15 cents

CONTENTS

	Page
Preparation and study of materials	207
Structures examined	207
Area of fish from which scale samples were taken	208
Collection of scale data	208
Preparation and method of examining scale samples	209
Examination of scale material	209
Procedure for determining age and growth from scales	213
Significance of circulus bands on rosefish scales	213
Age of rosefish at the time of scale formation	214
Methods of analysis	217
Variations in the fish length-scale radius relationship	218
Results	221
Calculated length at each annulus	221
Comparison of the actual and calculated average length at annulus 1	222
Growth between annuli	223
Conclusions.	227
Literature cited	228

AGE AND GROWTH OF IMMATURE ROSEFISH (SEBASTES MARINUS) IN THE GULF OF MAINE AND OFF WESTERN NOVA SCOTIA

The rosefish (Sebastes marinus) 1 is abundant on the fishing banks of the Gulf of Maine and Nova Scotia in depths ranging from 50 to 120 fathoms. Until 1935, rosefish were marketable only in limited quantities, and the yearly landings were well under 7,000,000 pounds. Technological advances in handling fish, particularly filleting, quick-freezing, and the perfection of an automatic scaling machine, in addition to the development of markets in Midwestern States, stimulated a rapid increase in the rosefish fishery to an average yearly catch of more than 100,000,000 pounds. The catch reached a peak in 1946 of 178,000,000 pounds. As a result of the growing importance of the fishery, the Fish and Wildlife Service began a study of the rosefish in the fall of 1942. This report summarizes one phase of the investigation; namely, the age and growth of immature rosefish in the Gulf of Maine and off the Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia.

PREPARATION AND STUDY OF MATERIALS

STRUCTURES EXAMINED

From a preliminary examination of the bony structure of the rosefish it was found that the opercular bones, otoliths, and scales showed series of sculpturings, which, on the basis of previous age studies on other species of fish, were suggestive of year marks. Both the opercular bone and the otolith proved difficult to use in age analysis. In the opercular bone, the markings on some specimens were indistinct or were marred by a cavernous development near the base of the bone (fig. 1). The otoliths appeared more promising for determining the age of the rosefish. Freshly recovered otoliths or dried specimens cleared slightly in oil of cloves, oil of wintergreen, or glycerine, showed dark and light bands, as did cross sections of dry otoliths (figs. 2 and 3). Otoliths from the larger fish had a greater number of bands, but the individual rings were close together and less distinct. Because of the difficulty in collecting and preparing otoliths, and in distinguishing bands in the larger fish, together with the fact that only age data and no growth data could be obtained from otoliths, the study of them was discontinued. Attention was focused on the scales as a method of determining age and growth in rosefish.

Rosefish scales are oblong and strongly ctenoid on their outer edge, the number of rows of cteni being



FIGURE 1.—Opercular bone showing growth bands. From a female rosefish 160 mm. long taken in the Gulf of Maine, January 1945. Enlarged 4 times.



FIGURE 2.—Otolith showing growth bands. From a male rosefish 144 mm. long taken in the Gulf of Maine, November 1942. Enlarged 6 times.

¹ Sebastes marinus in American waters does not grow so large as its counterpart in European waters. The largest fish we have recorded in the Gulf of Maine was 48 cm. from the tip of the snout to the fork of the tail. Preliminary taxonomic comparison of recently collected data on the American fish with published data on the European fish indicates extensive variations of the genus throughout its range and the need for a complete taxonomic study.

greater on the scales of larger fish. Radii extend from the focus to the anterior margin of the scale and are more numerous in the larger than in the smaller fish. The circuli are distributed in bands of different spacings, and a band of wide-spaced circuli is generally followed by a band of narrow-spaced circuli.



FIGURE 3.—Cross section of an otolith showing growth bands. From a female rosefish 70 mm. long taken in the Gulf of Maine, August 1943. Enlarged 30 times.

AREA OF FISH FROM WHICH SCALE SAMPLES WERE TAKEN

To determine the region on the body of the rosefish from which scales should be collected, the body was arbitrarily divided into 16 areas (fig. 4). Scales were taken from the midpoint of each of these areas and compared as to relative size, regularity, and circulus count. Four fish were examined in this manner. It was found that areas 11 and 13, the region covered by the tip of the pectoral fin and immediately posterior to it and adjacent to the lateral line, had the largest and most uniformly shaped scales with the highest circulus count (table 1). The relatively larger and more uniformly shaped scales from the "pectoral patch" were easier to mount and measure than scales from other parts of the body. Also, since scales from the "pectoral patch" area have the highest circulus count, it is most likely that they show maximum growth from the beginning of scale formation. All scale samples in the present study were taken from the "pectoral patch."

COLLECTION OF SCALE DATA

Scale collections were obtained from random lots of fish taken from individual trips of rosefish draggers. In each case, the boat captain was interviewed and



FIGURE 4.—Numbers indicate areas from which scales were removed for examination and study. The darkened patch on areas 11 and 13 is referred to in the text as the "pectoral patch."

TABLE 1.-Circulus counts and anterior radii of rosefish scales from various body areas

(Circulus cour	1t			An	terior radius	ı		
		Specir	nen				Specir	nen	_
Area	1 2	2 3	3 4	4 5	Area	13	2 3	34	45
	80 108 84 97 105 105 125 106 117 112 111 110	56 76 82 98 88 113 77 95 114 106 120 106 120 106 125 108 115 110	78 129 84 105 94 102 89 143 129 112 146 123 144 138 142 122	143 164 139 208 164 195 173 191 223 191 223 231 253 231 253 237 244 196	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	118 205 142 149 166 207 149 196 264 264 241 282 227 261 227 261 227 230 225	77 110 113 166 118 180 116 139 198 200 230 230 178 220 195 217 173	122 239 132 195 148 192 140 270 267 160 314 242 297 266 286 286 220	2 21 11 33 22 33 34 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 43 3

1 In millimerers, magnified 100 times.

² Male, 174 mm., Jeffreys Ledge, January 1943.
 ³ Male, 154 nm., Jeffreys Ledge, January 1945.
 ⁴ Female, 198 mm., Cashes, October 1943.
 ⁵ Female, 304 mm., Cashes, October 1943.

reported fishing in only one biological area.² Only these "pure" trips were sampled in order to obtain homogeneous data from each area. The length of the fish was measured to the nearest millimeter by means of a measuring board.³ These samples have been continuously collected since August 1942. Early in 1943, it was noticed that random sampling of the commercial catch resulted in few samples of scales from fish under 200 mm. in length. To obtain more adequate sampling of the fish in this size category, scale collections were taken from as many fish under 200 mm. as could be procured.

PREPARATION AND METHOD OF EXAMINING SCALE SAMPLES

Scales were mounted in corn syrup, or glycerinegelatin, or dry, on glass slides, or were impressed on celluloid, depending on the size of the scale. Clearest mounts were obtained of the smaller scales, either dry, or after alizarin staining, in the liquid media. The larger scales were more easily handled as celluloid impressions. Each slide was given a code number. Data on size, sex, and locality were omitted from the label to prevent their influencing the investigators in determining the age readings.

All scales were read using a modification of the scale projector described by Van Oosten, Deason, and Jobes (1934). The machine was calibrated to produce an image 99.6 to 100.6 times the size of the object projected. Scale measurements used in the present analysis were based on an average magnification of 100 times. The projected image of the scale was measured along the median radius from the center of the focus to each annulus and to the anterior margin of the scale by marking off these distances on a strip of cardboard and then measuring them with a millimeter rule.

EXAMINATION OF SCALE MATERIAL

On the basis of scale studies on other species of fishes (Van Oosten 1929; Graham 1929), it is to be expected that the circulus bands present on rosefish scales represent periods of seasonal growth, a band of wide-spaced circuli being presumably the fast growth during summer, and a band of narrow-spaced circuli the slow growth in winter. Exploratory examination of the scales from all sizes of fish showed that the summer and winter bands were broad and could be most readily distinguished in fish less than approximately 235 mm. in length, but were narrow and difficult to determine with certainty for larger fish.

It was not possible to undertake a study of the age and growth of all sizes of rosefish because of limitations in facilities. Therefore, our study was confined to fish under 235 mm. in length. Size-at-maturity studies showed fish in this size category to be mainly

² From a study of the degree of infestation of rosefish with the copepod parasite (Sphyrion lumpi) and information on the life history of the parasite, plus determination of centers of fishing concentration and the size composition of the catch at these places, the rosefish stock was subdivided into population units, but enclosed in various biological areas as follows: Mount Desert-Matinicus; Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts: East of Gloucester-Highlands; Channel: Cigar Ridge-Cashes: and Browns Bank (fig. 5).

⁸ Unless otherwise specified, all measurements of resefish are from the tip of the snout to the fork of the tail.



FIGURE 5.—Fishing concentration areas from which samples were obtained: area 1, Mount Desert-Matinicus; area 2, Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts; area 3, Cigar Ridge-Cashes; area 6, Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia (table 2). No collections of immature fish were obtained from area 4, east of Gloucester-Highlands, or from area 5, Channel, for the period studied.

Collection No.	Year	Date landed	Area ¹	Number of fish	Collection No.	Year	Date landed	Area I	Number of fish
1 2 3 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 23 34	$\begin{array}{c} 1942\\ 1942\\ 1942\\ 1942\\ 1942\\ 1943\\ 1942\\$	Sept. 14 Sept. 14 Oct. 16 Oct. 22 Dec. 15 Feb. 11 Mar. 20 May 26 June 14 June 14 June 15 July 30 Aug. 15 Aug. 15 Aug. 15 Aug. 15 Aug. 15 Oct. 29 Nov. 12 Nov. 20 Nov.	2222222222233241244444444	155745763191102192435763192110221924317898129	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	$\begin{array}{c} 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1944\\ 1943\\ 1944$	June 17 June 19 July 1 Aug. 20 Aug. 26 Oct. 10 Oct. 26 Oct. 27 Nov. 14 Nov. 22 Dec. 44 Dec. 5 Dec. 11 Mar. 17 Apr. 4 June 4 June 5 June 14 June 17 June 23	-233333343322066666666666	5 1 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 7 4 20 3 8 8 11 10 2 3 4 4 9 9

TABLE 2 .-- Collections used in the study of the age and growth of immature rosefish

¹ Area 1 is the Mount Desert-Matinicus region; area 2, the Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts region; area 3, the Cashes region; area 0, the Browns Bank region. See fig. 5 for a demarcation of these regions and the location of each collection point.

immature. For example, in 1943 and 1944 in the Gulf of Maine, 92 percent of the males and 99 percent of the females less than 235 mm. in length were immature, while in the Browns Bank area, 88 percent of the males and 98 percent of the females under 235 mm. were immature. The relatively few mature fish below 235 mm. were mostly in the size range from 225 to 234 mm., as shown in figure 6.

Scale samples obtained from random lots of the catch of the rosefish fleet included comparatively few from fish below 235 mm. in length, especially from those under 200 mm. To obtain a large amount of scale data for fish under 200 mm., scales were taken from as many fish in this size category as possible. Despite inclusion of the selected scale samples from the smaller fish, the total number of scale samples for fish under 235 mm. that could be procured from the commercial catch was relatively small. In the Gulf of Maine region, 158 scale samples were collected in 1942, 183 in 1943, and 65 in 1944, and these could only be obtained from fish in areas 1, 2, and 3, the Mount Desert-Matinicus, Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts, and Cigar Ridge-Cashes areas, respectively. In the Browns Bank area off western Nova Scotia, 20 scale samples were collected in 1942 and 91 in 1943 (tables 2 and 3, and fig. 5).



FIGURE 6.—Length-frequency distributions of immature fish by sex for the Gulf of Maine and the Browns Bank area. Gulf of Maine data: females examined in 1943 and 1944, 3,372; males examined in 1944, 1,644. Browns Bank data: females examined in 1943 and 1944, 591; males examined in 1944, 280.

TABLE 3.—Length-frequency distribution of each collection of immature rosefish taken in the Gulf of Maine from September 1942 through December 1944 and in the Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia December 1942 through December 1943 212

Fish logash in sufficiency of																				Co	ollec	:tio	n N	[0, I																				
Fish length in millimeters	ı	2	3	4	5 6	5 7	8	9	10 1	112	2 13	14	15	16	5 17	18	119	20	21	22	23 2	4 2.	5 26	5 27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34 3	53	6 3	7 38	339	40	41	42	43	4	4 4	15	1 6	47
		1-	i-		-¦	-;	1-i	-ŀ		- -	ΪT	ίT	ı—	- <u>`</u>	-i-	 1	-	·	-	-	- -	- -	- -	1	'-			•	-¦-	÷		-	-¦-	- -	- -		- -			-¦	- -	-		1
					· - i -	-	i			-	-!	(·			-	·		-	-	·- -	-	. I	·]		l			-	!-	- -	-i	-	·		.				· - -			-
)	(1	11		-1-	-	11	1	í-	-1	-	16	i	·[••	- [· ·	-	·	[]	İ -	[-	-1-	-1	- (·			[-	}-	¦-	-!-	-!	-		!	·	ll		-		· - -		1-
			(l								-					·	-	· - •			·- -		-	-			• -		-	-	· - ! -	-'-	-'	-		·				.'	· - -			1
						-1			[-	-	-	11				·	-	· - •			·- ·		-	. 1	l	¦			İ·	-	!-	-!-		-						·	· - -	· - -		1-
		!					I]	[-	-	-	i	1					4			!-	· - -	-	-[-		-			-				<u> </u> '	۱ <u></u>		I -	.	'	۰.
					· - -	-				· - - ·	-	·	(I	4		I					-	- -		-	I	!·				!			-1	-1				1!			-!-	!		-
			11			-1		(-	1!			-11	·	-1	·		1-	}-	- -	-	-	1	11		1	.	ł	$ 1 _{-}$		-1	-	'		1				I -	! .	1	-
		i)	- de la	-	i			-	-1 -	!I	1.1		- 2		-1	·		-	!-		-	. 1	1			[.				-	.			I	l[.	1	1-
				1	¦				-		-j 2	i I	2	ij	- 2	!'		. <u> </u>			-		-l	. 1		1				I	11_						1			.1				1.
			·	- Ľ,		-			-		- 1	' I		-1	1 2	2	-		[1			-	. 1				1		I.	.						1			1.	[]			1_
		!	·		-	-!			-		- 2	İ	4	Ŀј,	2 1	ц.	2	·		1.	· . .	[]	2	1 1	1	1.1			1	[1	1		11		1.			17				i.
J4			11		1	-1	11	1	-	-	-	11			과 4	L) i	1			-] .	-1-	- I	.	1		1			_]			10	.11	11		1.	1.1		1][I.
9				1	· - -	-1				1	-	li	4	ŀ	1 3	4 3	2		i I	I .	.	_	<u> </u>			1			- 1	1	1	11					1	11		1	il.	1		i.
4			1	-1	.			1			-	I	3	1	1] 3	31 3	71	i		11					1	1 1	- ⁻ I	l î∣	1	-	-1-	-1-	- - i	i!	1		1	11			-1-	-1-	1	i-
19			11		.	. 1	1					ا ـ ـ ا	1 1	Li 👘	1		ú						3		1			-1	īΙ.	[-		-1-	-1 '	•i	1.1	- 1				1	-1-	-1-	1	i-
24		I	. 11	2		1	1						1		1 2		51		11	1-	· · -	īĽ	· [-·		1				· [·	1.		-1-	-1		· •	1 1 2		11		-1	- <u>-</u> -	· • • •		Ē
29			2	1		1			-	-1		1	1	1		3	3					il-	-1	-	1	1			-		-		- i - '	777	i 1	l i	1	iI		·	-1-	110		IT.
34		I	1	11.	.	-1							i i			1 3/	61	I	<u> </u> [- 21	· - [-{-	-{	- í	1	11	ii	i	1-	- i ('	- -	-1-	-1-	· ·	1.1	íi	1	i1		· [-1-	11	778	Ē
39		1	l il				111			i		1	1		- I ·	1 3	2			-	- -	5	-	-	1		i	1		2		- -	-	-i		5	!				rt-	·	- 'i	ı"
44			1 ī	4		3	1		- 1	-1	1	1	1	1	il i	il :	1 1		II			ī -	-	-	·i					-fl:		-1-	-	- - ;	11	÷,	'ı	iI		1	-in	rrin.		17
49			l il	4		3	1		_! -	- I - I	· [· ·	11	í j		1 i	il ·	; i	·1			1	5-	-		1	'				- 51	-	- -	-1-	-		1	!			·			1	17
54			161	2	·-)		11		i-	- j	-1	11	Ιĩ	í	-l i	il '	1	1	- i	- i l'		<u>1</u> -	-	-	·				4	5	-	- -	-	- -	'}	1 2				·		· ; [-	- 71	17
59		1	ΪšΪ	51	īί.	6i	11	1		-1	i -	11	l i	11	11	Υ.	il '	41	14		•••	41-	-1	-1	·				{	÷	· - -	·	-1	- - ;		13	i	1-:1	;	;}	-i	ų	- 11	17
64	1 1		1 "	-1	il i	ĭ	11			31-1		11	· ·	1			11					3 -	-[· - •					- H-		- -	- -;	:14				14		·		·-	-11	17
69	i		1 il	- i l	5	51 '	11	1		il		1-1	1	1		· .	l'i	1-1		-	·-	-1-	-	-	1-;					ч.		al t	-13	ų į	!	4	4	l-:l	:	:	·- -	· -	- 21	17
74			1 1	· I	-	71	1	1		31		1 1	1		-1		- 1	4 4					-	-	י ן.		i	1	-	·		ц.	-1 :	41 4				11	I I		· - -	·-	- !!	17
79				5	ïL.	á	1- i I			<u>il</u>	-	1771	1		-1			· · ·	1 1			- 1	-	-	·		1	1	-	·		Ч-	-13	4) Q				!		:	·-i-	·-i	- 11	17
84	5	1	1-11	- î l	4	11	14		~-		-1	1'i	i	·1		-}	-1	-1		-	} '	* -	-1	-	·1	[]	!		·	!	· - -	-}-	-1	ų i	·,		·!	¦	ון	4	·-}-	· •]	2	, -
89	1		11	2	· - .	:l	11	- 11	[<u>ا</u>	-	(I	1	· '		· ·	- -;		- 1	-	·- -	;	-	-				[-	·	-	- -	- - ·	-	·[1			:	-i-	·-	<u>.</u> 5	17
94	5		14	51	·- '	51-5		-1	-11-	2	-	11	1			· · -	- †	1	4	- 5		拍-	-	-	·					!·	[-	-1-	- -	-			·	1	1	1	Ч-	·-1 '	• 7	
99	-	1	11	2	· - ·	- 1-	1-1	[1		-1	11	1	•		·	- 4	ղւ		4		1 -	-	-	l				[-	[·	· - -		-	-	·		·	Z		:1	· - -	·- *	34	
04		1.55	1-21	5	·-i-	-1	14	!	- ;' -	-	-	1	1	· i			-	·		!-		4 -	-	-	·				-)-				-1	·				1		·- -	·-	3	į -
09		1-1	1 31	- Ť		-			2	-1	-		1	·i	-	-	-1-:	1-5	jIJ]-	/	11-	-	-	·]]]].	J ·] -	-]-	- - •	-]	·]		· • -	[]	1		- -	· - [2	i -
14			4	•	· - -	-		i			-		i	· ·		· ·	-i!			-		<u>II</u> -	-	-						-	-	-1-	-1-	-	·			11	3	·[·-[-		[ı -
19		1		- ;]-	- -	-	11	!	- <u>-</u> -		-	11	1	·!	-	·	- !	<u> </u> []	2	[-		5]-	-	-					-	-				-	·		.[1	5	21	1	-1-	· - - ·		i -
24		4	1	- 4		-1	1-:1			- - :		I	1	·		·	-13	2			- -	- -	-	-	l				[-	[-	-	- -	-	-	·			1		-	1	- -		1-
29					·-i-	- 2	I I		- : ! -	-11	۰ I						- 3	11		1-	· - -	- -	-	-	-					-	-	-1-	-1	-				2			-1-	-		i.
34		1 2	JJ		· • •	-į I]]		1 ¹ -	-	-	11		· ·			- !	1		[-		1		-	I	I			.		-	-1-	_	-1			.11	2		-1	5	[_		1-
			í	1-	- -	-!	;(1	Ъ.		-	11	í		- [. [. 1 2	l _i 1	2	۱į.	1[.	-1-	-	·1	1	1.	1	1	[]	ſ.	[]	-1-	-1-	.[1.	ł	1 i	1 2	1	[] 3 j	2	11.		Ł
Total number of fish	1	-	·'·				_			<u>.</u>		1		:	-1-			-	-		-'-	_'_	-		-	<u> </u> _	<u> </u>	_		<u> </u>	- -		-1-				·	-		-	-1-	-l-		i-
total number of nsn	15	5	127.	34j	5,3	1.6	, <u>3</u> j	-1,	- 9 Z	11 1	li 6	2.	; 21	· ·	24	4.	3 17	1 8	9	81	12	9i	51 1	11-6	1 1	1	5	11	4 1	111	3	21	11.5	7117	1 1	1 20	ni t	·18	11	11 11	ni -	2	34	17

.

¹ Information on date of collection and area is shown in table 2.
 ² Not read. All scales on the slide either showed regenerated centers or the impressions were indistinct.
 ³ Scales from 1 fish could not be read either because all scales showed regenerated centers or the impressions were indistinct.
 ⁴ Scales from 2 fish could not be read either because all scales showed regenerated centers or the impressions were indistinct.

Fishing selectivity largely accounts for the small numbers of fish less than 235 mm. found in the commercial catch and is due to the fact that rosefish less than 8 inches long (200 mm.) are not marketable at Gloucester. Consequently, the fishermen avoid concentrations of small fish. In most instances, this is not difficult, as there appears to be a definite segregation of the smaller or immature fish, from the larger or mature fish. Under normal conditions when small fish are inadvertently captured, they are culled and thrown overboard at sea. It is apparent, then, that large numbers of scale samples from small fish can best be procured by means of an experimental vessel fishing in the nursery areas. Pending such collection, it was deemed advisable to study the available data to obtain preliminary information on the age and growth of the rosefish.

PROCEDURE FOR DETERMINING AGE AND GROWTH FROM SCALES

SIGNIFICANCE OF CIRCULUS BANDS ON ROSEFISH SCALES

On the basis of scale studies on other species of fishes, it is to be expected that the circulus bands present on the rosefish scale represent periods of seasonal growth. To test this hypothesis a study was made of the scales of 229 fish less than 225 mm. in length taken in the Gulf of Maine in the period from April 1942 through June 1943. The part of the scale from the last circulus in the last band of narrow-spaced circuli to the edge of the scale was designated the "terminal-zone." It was observed that all specimens had only wide-spaced circuli in this zone in April through May 1942. By November 1942, the marginal circuli of the zone were closer together in some specimens and, in February 1943, in most specimens. By April 1943, all the circuli in the terminal-zone were once again widely separated.⁴ Furthermore, the circulus count and size of the terminal-zone increased from April-May 1942 until February-March 1943, when there was an abrupt decrease in these characters in a few of the fish, and by April 1943, in all of the fish (fig. 7, table 4). The sudden decrease in the circulus count and in the size of the terminal-zone in April 1943 can be explained by the completion of the band of

⁴ Bands of wide-spaced and narrow-spaced circuli are readily distinguishable from each other upon examination. Nevertheless, a more objective method of differentiating between wide- and narrow-spaced circuli was attempted by caliper measurements of circuli widths. Physical limitations in our apparatus plus certain inherent limitations in the material caused us to discontinue this technique.

822579-49---2

FIGURE 7.—Circulus counts from the last band of narrow-spaced circuli to the edge of the scale (terminal-zone) for scales collected from rosefish less than 225 mm. in length taken in the Gulf of Maine from April 1942 through June 1943. The solid bars indicate wide-spaced circuli, and the diagonal lines narrowspaced circuli at the edge of the terminal-zone.

narrow-spaced circuli ending another year's growth and the inception of a new band of wide-spaced circuli starting the next year's growth.

From these data it can be seen that the narrowspaced and wide-spaced circulus bands on the rosefish scale, as on the scales of other fishes, denote seasonal growth intervals. During April through October, growth is most rapid and a band of widespaced circuli is formed. From November through March, growth slows down and a band of narrowspaced circuli results. The narrow-spaced band of circuli is considered the annular band or annulus. In the annular band, the circuli do not continue posteriorly along the lateral margins of the scale as they do in the band of wide-spaced circuli, but instead, most of them end abruptly or merge at the lateral margin of the scale forming a characteristic broken pattern. Examples of scales with varying

8 ۵ 0 AUG. 1942 4 ο SEPT. 1942 4 0 OCT. 1942 8 4 FISH 0 NOV. 1942 Ч С 4 0 NUMBER DEC. 1942 А 17:22 Ō FEB. 1943 8 4 0 MAR. 1943 .1 0 APR . 1943 12 8 4 0 MAY - JUNE 1943 4 0 16-18 19-21 22-24 25 7 - 9 10-12 13-15 1 - 3 6 – נ-CIRCULUS COUNT

16

12

APRIL - MAY 1942

ļ		_								Numbe	r of fish									
Number of circuli 1	April- 19	-May 42	Aug 19	gust 42	Septe 19	mber 42	Оси 19	ober 42	Nove 19	mber 42	Dece 19	mber 42	Febr 19	uary 43	Ma 19	rch 43	Ar 19	vril 43	May- 19	-June 143
	Wide?	Nar- row 3	Wide ²	Nar- row 3	Wide ²	Nar- row 3	Wide ²	Nar- row ³	Wide ²	Nar- row ⁸	Wide ²	Nar- row 3	Wide ²	Nar- row ³	Wide ²	Nar- row 3	Wide ²	Nar- row ³	Wide ²	Nar- row 3
1-3 4-6 7-9 10-12 13-15 16-18 19-21 22-24 25-27 28-30	9 16 2 1		2	1	2 4 0 1 -		6 13 9 4 2	1	4 3 2 1		2	1	3	5 7 14 11 6	1 7	2211			2 ? 7 1	
Total	28		3		16		.34	1	14	12	10	7	5	43	8	6	22		1 17	
										Number	of fish									
Zone growth 4	April- 19	-May 42	Aug 19	gust 942	Septe 19	mber 42	Octo 19	ober 42	Nove 19		Dece 19	mber 42	Febr 19	uary 43	Ma 19	rch 43	Ар 19	vril 43	May- 19	-June 43
		Nar-	Wide	Nar-	Wide ²	Nar-	Wide ²	Nar-	Wide ²	Nar-		Nar-		Nar-		Nar-		Nar-	Wide?	Nar- row 3
	Wide ²	row 3	Wider	row 3		row 3	Wide-	row 3	Wide-	row ³	Wide ²	row 3	Wide ²	row 3	Wide ²	row 3	Wide ²	row ³		
$\begin{array}{c}$	Wide ²		2 1	1		row 3	Vide*	row ³	Wide ²		Wide ²		Wide ²	row 3	2 6		Wide ²	row3	4 6 7 2	

TABLE 4.-Time of formation of narrow and wide bands in rosefish less than 225 mm. in fish length

Number of circuli from the edge of the last band of narrow-spaced circuli to the scale margin.
 Wide phase; wide-spaced circuli only.
 Narrow phase; wide-spaced circuli followed by narrow-spaced circuli at the scale margin.
 The median radial distance in millimeters from the edge of the last band of narrow-spaced circuli to the scale margin, magnified 100 times.

numbers of annuli are shown in figures 8 through 15. Figures 8 and 9 are scales from fish 30 and 50 mm. in length, respectively, which were captured in October. They show no annuli but consist entirely of wide-spaced circuli. Figure 10, a scale from a fish 59 mm. in length, has one annulus, and figures 11 through 15 contain two, three, four, six, and eight annuli, respectively.

AGE OF ROSEFISH AT TIME OF SCALE FORMATION

Although scale readings give the age of the rosefish from inception of the scale to the time of capture, they do not furnish any information on the extent of the period preceding initial scale formation. The rosefish is ovoviviparous, and from a study of the relative frequency of various developmental stages of the embryos contained in the ovaries of the fish throughout the spring and summer, it was found that the Gulf of Maine rosefish extrude the young from mid-May through early September, with the peak birth period in July. The size at birth is indicated by larvae taken from a 297-mm, fish caught in the Mount Desert-Matinicus area in August 1943. These larvae still retained the yolk sac but swam actively when placed in a container of salt water. A sample of the specimens ranged from 7.1 to 7.5 mm. in total length. Our findings on the rosefish in Gulf of Maine waters confirm those of Bigelow and Welsh (1925:308) and are also in agreement with those of Frost (1938:15) in Newfoundland waters, which suggest a similar rosefish birth period in both areas.

According to Bigelow and Welsh (1925:311, fig. 147) rosefish larvae 20 inm. in length do not have scales. Therefore, in order for newly born larvae



FIGURE 8.—Scale showing only four wide-spaced circuli, no annuli, from a fish 30 mm. long captured in the Cigar Ridge-Cashes area, October 1946.



FIGURE 9.—Scale showing only eight wide-spaced circuli, 'no annuli, from a fish 50 mm. in length captured in the Mount Desert-Matinicus area, October 1944.

to show the first annular ring in April of the following year, they must grow longer than 20 mm. by the fall of the year in which they are born so that a series of wide-spaced circuli can be formed. Information on the growth of larval rosefish on the Gulf of Maine or Nova Scotian fishing grounds is not available but is indicated by data obtained from Newfoundland grounds. During cruises of the research vessel *Cape Agulhas* in Newfoundland waters in the spring and summer of 1932 to 1935, a large series of rosefish larvae were taken, chiefly by plankton nets.⁵ The lengths of these larvae are summarized by months and years of collection in table 5.

An examination of these data shows that for all years combined the mode of the length frequency was 7 mm. in May, 8 mm. in June, 9 mm. in July and August, and 10 mm. in September, indicating



FIGURE 10.—Scale showing one annulus and initial formation of the wide-spaced circuli band of the second year band. From 59-mm. male captured in the Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts area, April 1946.



FIGURE 11.—Scale showing two annuli and initial formation of the wide-spaced circuli band of the third year band. From a female 102 mm. long, captured in the Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts area, June 1946.

⁵ The general area of capture for these fish is shown in a paper by Frost (1938). A copy of the original length data was made available by the Newfoundland Department of Natural Resources through the courtesy of the director Dr. Wilfred Templeman.

extremely slow growth. In May at the beginning of the birth period, two fish, 20 and 21 mm. in length, were also taken. According to the growth data, these fish could not have reached so large a size if they had been born in April or May of the year in which they were captured. They must have been born in the previous year because fish of this size do not have scales.



FIGURE 12.—Scale showing three annuli. From a male, 122 mm. long, captured east of Gloucester-Highlands area, March 1946.



FIGURE 13.—Scale showing four annuli. From a female, 144 mm. long, captured in the Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts area, April 1946.



FIGURE 14.—Scale showing six annuli. From a male, 159 mm. long, captured in the Browns Bank area, May 1946.



FIGURE 15.—Scale showing eight annuli. From a male, 234 mm. long, captured in the Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts area, April 1946.

Rosefish, 37 to 55 mm. in total length, obtained in the Gulf of Maine in October of 1944 ⁶ and 1946 ⁷ show scales having a band of wide-spaced circuli and a few narrow-spaced circuli. The scales must have formed when the fish were more than 20 mm. and less than 37 mm. in length and, apparently, during the year in which the fish were captured. On the

⁶ Five fish, 50-55 mm, long, taken in the Mount Desert-Matinicus area.

⁷ Six fish, 37-51 mm, long, taken in the Cigar Ridge-Cashes area.

	May		Ju	ine			July			1	lugus				Septe	mber				All year	5	
Larva length in millimeters	1932	1932	1933	1934	1935	1933	1934	1935	1931	1932	1933	1934	1935	1931	1932	1933	1935	May	June	July	Au- gust	Sep- tem- ber
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 34 35									16 33 27 53 41 10 1 1 1 1										1 12 54 132 39 5 2 3 1 1 		17 33 300 59 47 12 1 3 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1	
36. 37							 										ī					i
Total	40	6	135	80	28	45	43	29	185	5	6	4	7	1 +	4	2	3	40	249	117	207	13

TABLE 5.—Lengths of larval rosefish taken in Newfoundland waters 1

¹ These fish were caught by the research vessel Cape Agulhas. The general area of capture is shown in a paper by Frost (1938).

basis of the foregoing information, these fish must have been born in 1943 and 1945, respectively. The scales began to form in 1944 and 1946, respectively, and by March 1945 and 1947, respectively, would show the first annular ring. Therefore, in the present age and growth study, the calendar year of birth of a rosefish is obtained by adding one additional year to the total number of annuli shown on the scale.

METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Two procedures were followed in estimating the lengths of rosefish at past ages from the spacing of the annuli. In both, a straight line was fitted to the logarithmic values for fish lengths plotted against scale radius. In the first use of this line the constant term in the logarithmic equation was employed in deriving the following formula by means of which lengths were computed directly from scale measurements.

$$Ln = C + \frac{Sn}{S} (L - C)$$

C-is the intercept value at the X axis of a straight line fitting the data.

- L—the log of the length of the fish when caught.
- Ln—the log of the computed length of the fish at the end of any year.
- S—the log of the anterior scale radius of the fish when caught.
- Sn—the log of the anterior scale radius to any annulus.

The second method follows the procedure used by Hile (1941) in the study of the age and growth of the rock bass (*Ambloplites rupestris*). Essentially, it involves the solution of the logarithmic equation to build up a table of theoretical scale lengths at different body lengths. Before the measurements for a particular scale can be used for reading the calculated length from the table, each must be adjusted according to the ratio of the theoretical to the actual scale size.

On theoretical grounds the two procedures can give identical results only if before application of the formula (first procedure) the scale measurements are adjusted to the theoretical values in the same manner as that followed by Hile. It is easy to demonstrate that strictly accurate results are obtained from

TABLE 6.—Comparison of average calculated fish-length values, in millimeters, at each annulus

									Ann	ulus									
Calculated from fish born in		ı		2		3		4		5	(i		7		8	9	,	Number of fish
	۸ı	B 2	A I	82	A I	В×	<u>A</u> 1	B :	A I	B º	A 1	B =	- A 1	B º	- A 1	B 2	A 1	B :]
1932 1933 1934 1935	47 49 44 50 46 51	47 49 44 50 46	70 72 73 74 79	70 72 72 74 79 78 73	98 89 95 97	98 88 95 97	111 120 119 118	112 120 118 118	132 144 139 137	132 144 139 136	149 166 157 158	149 166 157 158	167 184 175	166 184 175	191 200	191 199	204	203	1
936 937 938 939 940	46 51 50 50 57	46 51 50 50 57	79 78 73 91	79 78 73 91	107 111 105	107 111 104	131 131	131 131	154	154 									
Veighted mean	49	49	76	76	102	102	123	123	141	141	158	158	175	175	196	193	204	203	
Number of fish	7	0		 19		4	5	3	4	1	3	2	1	3		4	2	2	

[Material collected in Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts area, October-December 1942]

¹ Method 1.—Calculations were based on a straight line fitted to the logarithmic values for fish lengths and scale radii obtained from material collected from October-December 1942 in the Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts area; collections 2–5, 71 fish. Scales for 1 fish could not be read because no good scales were on the slide. ² Method 2.—Calculations were based on a curve fitted to the arithmetical values for fish lengths and scale radii for the same material analyzed by method 1.

TABLE 7.--Comparison of average calculated fish-length values, in millimeters, at each annulus

[Material collected in Mount Desert-Matinicus area, July-September 1943]

							Ann	ulus							
Calculated from fish born in-	1		2	2				ł	5			;	7	,	Number of fish
	Al	B 2	A 1	B :	۸١	B =	A I	B 2	AT	B 5	A 1	B 2	A 1	Bs	
1935 1936 1937 1938 1938 1938	50 53 55 54 58 60 67	49 54 54 58 60	60 74 76 73 77 78	60 74 76 73 77	94 90 97 92 96	93 90 96 92 96	116 104 113 110	116 104 113 110	131 129 133	131 129 133	145 144	145 144	159	159	2.
940 1941	60 67	60 67	78	79											
Weighted mean	57	57	76	7ú	94	94	110	110	132	132	144	1+4	159	159	
Number of fish	8	1	7	7	5	2	3	8	1	5		6		 I	

¹ Method 1.—Calculations were based on a straight line fitted to the logarithmic values for fish lengths and scale radii obtained from material collected from July– September 1943 in the Mount Desert-Matinicus area (collections 13, 14, 16, 17, 18; 84 fish). 3 fish could not be read because no good scales were on the slide. ² Method 2.—Calculations were based on a curve fitted to the arithmetical values for fish lengths and scale radii for the same material analyzed by method 1.

the formula only when the scale measured is of exactly the theoretical length. That the errors arising from deviations of the measured scales from the theoretical values have no important effect on the averages of calculated lengths for groups of fish is demonstrated, however, by the extremely close agreement between the results obtained independently by the two procedures (tables 6 and 7). The discrepencies were few and always no more than a millimeter. For practical purposes either procedure can be followed. The second is preferable, not only because it is easier to follow, but also because it gives accurate calculations for individual fish as well as for group averages.

VARIATIONS IN THE FISH LENGTH-SCALE RADIUS RELATIONSHIP

Since both methods of calculating from scales the size at a previous age employ the fish length-scale radius relationship, variations in this relationship from season to season or from one biological area to another would result in different values for estimated lengths. A comparison of the slope of the lines describing the fish-length and scale-length relationship at different seasons in various areas was attempted but discontinued because of the small amount of data available for many of these seasonalarea units. Instead, a more general approach was employed.



FIGURE 16.—Relationship in arithmetical terms of the anterior scale radius to the length of rosefish for 269 fish 235 mm. or less, taken in the Gulf of Maine from September 1942 through August 1943. Both the straight line and curve were calculated to fit the data. The formula for the straight line is y = -68.84 + 1.88 x.

Examination of the individual collections made in the Gulf of Maine from September 1942 through December 1944 shows three series of collections containing a relatively large number of fish which fall into one biological area during a single calendar quarter; namely, a series in the Mount Desert-Matinicus area from October through December 1942,⁸ in the same area from July through September 1943,⁹ and in the Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts area from October through December 1942.¹⁰ The estimated length at each age was calculated independently for each of the three sets of data. The resulting values were then combined and the average calculated lengths at each age obtained (table 8, column A).

At the same time, the fish length-scale radius relationship was determined for all material collected in the Gulf of Maine from September 1942 through August 1943.¹¹ On the basis of this rela-

⁵ Collections 19-24: 72 fish; table 2, fig. 5.

⁹ Collections 13, 14, and 16-18: 84 fish; table 2, fig. 5.

¹⁰ Collections 2-15: 71 fish; table 2, fig. 5.

¹¹ Collections 1-18: 269 fish; figures 16 and 17.

TABLE 8.—Comparison of average calculated fish length values, in millimeters, at each annulus computed from lines fitted separately to lengthscale data for various areas at various time intervals and from a single line fitted to length-scale data

									Anr	ulus								
Calculated from fish born in—		1	:	2		3		+		5		5		7		5)
·	AT	B÷	A 1	B :	Α '	B 2	Al	B 2	A٦	B°	Λ1	B:	A I	B 2	Λ ι	В 2	۸ı	B 2
1932 1933 1934 1935 1935 1936 1937 1937 1938 1939 1939 1940 1940	47 49 47 49 53 52 56 59 67	48 52 51 55 50 52 51 52 54 54 58 55	74 76 75 73 77 78 73 80 75	79 75 75 76 78 75 76 74 81	96 98 99 103 104 97 96	104 98 96 99 103 104 100 96 95	118 122 123 119 124 124 124 110	112 120 116 120 123 125 120 124	137 141 144 139 146 133	133 141 133 137 145 138	155 163 164 161 144	146 158 151 157 150 - 149	172 182 183 159	163 174 167 174 157	189 201	186 192 190 162	202	195 218
Weighted mean Number of fish	52 222	52 308	75 218	76 297	99 186	99 251	120 158	121 203	141 116	139 139	161 89	154 90	180 51	169 38	196 23	189 12	202 10	218

[Collections made in the Gulf of Maine from September 1942 through August 1943]

¹ Straight lines were fitted independently to logarithmic values for fish lengths and scale radii obtained from material collected in the Mount Desert-Matinicus area from July-September 1943 (collections 13, 14, 16, 17, 18; 84 fish); in the same area from October-December 1942 (collections 19-24; 72 fish); in the Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts area from October-December 1942 (collection 2, for 1 fish in collection 16, and for 2 fish is collection 18. The data collected in each area during each 3-month interval was analyzed by method 1 using the corresponding line. ² A curve was fitted to the values for fish lengths and scale radii obtained from material collected throughout the Gulf of Maine from September 1942 (collections 1-8; 269 fish). Data collected throughout the Gulf of Maine in 1942 (collections 1-8; 269 fish). Data collected throughout the Gulf of Maine in 1942 (collections 1-8; 269 fish) and 13-18; 131 fish), and in 1944 (collections 2-5-39; 65 fish) were analyzed by method 2 using this curve.



FIGURE 17.--Relationship in logarithmic terms of the anterior scale radius to the length of rosefish for 269 fish 235 mm. or less, taken in the Gulf of Maine from September 1942 through August 1943. The straight line was calculated to fit the data. Its formula is $\log y = -.831 + 1.447 \log x$.



FIGURE 18.—Relationship of the anterior scale radius to the length of rosefish for 111 fish, 235 mm. or less, taken in the Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia from December 1942 through December 1943. The straight line was calculated to fit the data. Its formula is $\log y = -.596 + 1.340 \log x$.

tionship, the estimated age at each annulus was calculated for data collected throughout the Gulf of Maine in 1942,¹² 1943,¹³ and in 1944.¹⁴ The average calculated lengths obtained are shown in table 8, column B.

The values for average calculated lengths at each annulus obtained from computations based on the individual sets of data and the total data are in close agreement. This would indicate that variation in fish length-scale radius relationship, both seasonal and from one biological area to another, does not greatly affect the accuracy of calculated length values. Therefore, the calculated length values for the combined data were accepted as the best available estimates of rosefish length at a given age. Similarly, the estimated age at each annulus was calculated from the fish length-scale radius relationship for all data collected from December 1942 through December 1943 in the Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia (fig. 18).

RESULTS

CALCULATED LENGTHS AT EACH ANNULUS

The data collected in 1942, 1943, and 1944 were analyzed separately, and the calculated sizes obtained for the 3 years are summarized in table 9. The estimated average length of the fish at each annulus varies at random among the different year classes but the variation is remarkably small. The weighted mean estimated length at each annulus of all the year classes is as follows: annulus 1, 52 mm.; annulus 2, 76 mm.; annulus 3, 99 mm.; annulus 4, 121 mm.; annulus 5, 139 mm.; annulus 6, 154 mm.; annulus 7, 169 mm.; annulus 8, 189 mm.; and annulus 9, 218 mm. (table 10, fig. 19). The estimated average lengths of the rosefish at each annulus in the Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia are similar to those obtained in the Gulf of

¹² Collections 1, 3, 4, 6; 113 fish.

¹⁸ Collections 10, 11, and 13-18: 131 fish.

¹⁴ Collections 25-39: 65 fish.

TABLE 9.—Average calculated fish length, in millimeters, at each annulus 1

[Calculations 2 based on method 2]

Calculated from fish				1	Annulu	8			
born in-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1932 3 1933	48 52 51 55 50 52 51 52 54 58 55	79 75 75 76 78 75 76 74 81	104 98 96 99 103 104 100 95 95	112 120 116 120 123 125 120 124	133 141 133 137 145 138 143	146 158 151 157 150 149	163 174 167 174 157	186 192 190 162	195 218
Weighted mean Standard deviation Number of fish	52 7.92 308	76 10.53 297	99 14.62 251	121 17.37 .203	139 16.89 139	154 16.72 90	169 19.47 38	189 16.51 12	218

¹ Includes materials collected in the Gulf of Maine in 1942 (collections 1, 3' 4, 6; 113 fish), in 1943 (collections 10, 11, and 13-18; 131 fish), and in 1944 (collections 25-39; 65 fish). Data combined for the years 1942, 1943, and 1944.

^a Data collected throughout the Gulf of Maine from September 1942 through August 1943 (collections 1-18; 269 fish) were used in deriving the curve employed in obtaining calculated lengths at each annulus by means of method 2. ^a Only 1 fish was available, and it has not been included in the statistical calculations.



FIGURE 19.—Length-frequency distributions of Gulf of Maine rosefish at each annulus for annuli 1 through 8. Lightly lined irregular curves are the actual frequency distributions smoothed by a moving average of five. Heavily lined smooth curves are normal curves fitted to the actual frequency data.



FIGURE 20.—Length-frequency distributions of rosefish from the Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia for each annulus of annuli 1–10. Lightly drawn irregular curves are the actual frequency distributions smoothed by a moving average of five. Heavily drawn smooth curves are the normal curves fitted to the actual frequency data.

Maine. The size at the first annulus is 47 mm.; annulus 2, 69 mm.; annulus 3, 92 mm.; annulus 4, 112 mm.; annulus 5, 132 mm.; annulus 6, 150 mm.; annulus 7, 167 mm.; annulus 8, 181 mm.; annulus 9, 193 mm.; annulus 10, 208 mm. (table 11, fig. 20).

COMPARISON OF THE ACTUAL AND CALCULATED AVERAGE LENGTH AT ANNULUS 1

The accuracy of the calculated average length of rosefish at annulus 1 is indicated by a comparison with the actual average length of a series of fish

TABLE 10.—Calculated fish length, in millimeters, at each annulus to the ninth annulus of rosefish taken in the Gulf of Maine

[Calculations] based on method 2]	

					Annulus				
Fish length, in millimeters			· ·· ·	· · · - · · · ·	T -		i		1
······································	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
-34									
–34 –39	1 10								
-39	36								
	01.								
-49. -54.	78	1 ;							
-59	20	16							
-5964	60 33	22							
	23	1 12							
-69 -74	23	42 56 46 45							
-74 -79	، ا	30	10						
		40	10						
-84		45							
		17	32			-,			
		I 17	28 32 30 30 24	8					
99		1 1	32	1 12					
-104		1 2	30	17	2				
-109		2	30	17	2				
-114			24	18	3				
-119			17	18 25 24	10	2			
)-124			9	24	10				
-129			5	16 20	19	2	1		
)-134			4	20	12	7	1		
-139				13	13	6			
-144				13	14	12	1		
-149			I	5	13	8	4		
)-154				4	14	8	3		
5–159		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2	13	8	1		
)–164				5	6	1 7	2	1	
-169					4	7	4	2	
)–174				1	2	14	3		
–179				1		6	3 2 5	1	
)~184		·			1		5		
-189						1	5		
–194 –199					1	1	3	3	
-199							1	1	
-204								3	
-209	1						1	1	
-214									
-219									
)-224									
5-229									
)-234									
									i———
Total number of fish	308	297	251	203	139	90	38	12	}
ean	52 7.9	76	- 99	121	139	154	169	189	
indard deviation	7.9	10.5	14.6	17.4	16.9	16.7	19.5	16.5	

¹ Calculations were based on a curve fitted to the values for fish lengths and scale radii obtained from material collected throughout the Gulf of Maine from September 1942 through August 1943 (collections 1–18; 269 fish). Data collected throughout the Gulf of Maine in 1942 (collections 1, 3, 4, 6; 113 fish); in 1943 (collections 10, 11, and 13–18; 131 fish); and in 1944 (collections 25–39; 65 fish) were analyzed using this curve. I fish born in 1932 is not included in the above data.

showing one annulus on their scales. Sixty-one specimens, the total catch of rosefish taken incidentally by a shrimp trawler fishing in the Monhegan-Jeffreys-Platts area in March 1947, ranged in size from 46 to 63 mm. and averaged 56 mm. in length. Scales from 12 of these fish taken at random had 1 annulus, with 2 of the 12 showing initial growth toward the second annulus. The calculated length of rosefish at annulus 1 in the Gulf of Maine has been established as 52 mm. which is in close agreement with the 56-mm. length obtained above.

GROWTH BETWEEN ANNULI

The rate of growth of rosefish from the Gulf of Maine and off the Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia was computed by averaging the differences between the calculated lengths of individual fish at each annulus. For the Gulf of Maine data, the growth rate between annuli of individual year classes was determined separately (table 12). The average growth between annuli shows little variation within year classes. The average rate of growth for all year classes to annulus 1 is 52 mm.; between annulus 1 and 2, 24 mm.; 2 and 3, 24 mm.; 3 and 4, 22 mm.; 4 and 5, 19 mm.; 5 and 6, 19 mm.; and between 6 and 7, 7 and 8, 8 and 9, 16 mm. (table 13, fig. 21).

In the Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia, the average growth to annulus 1 is 47 mm.; between annulus 1 and 2, 22 mm.; annulus 2 and 3, 23 mm.; annulus 3 and 4, 21 mm.; 4 and 5, 20 mm.; 5 and 6, 19 mm.; between 6 and 7, 7 and 8, 8 and 9, 17 mm.; and between 9 and 10, 16 mm. (table 14, fig. 21).

TABLE 11.—Calculated fish length, in millimeters, at each annulus to the eleventh annulus of rosefish taken in the Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia

Fish length, in millimeters		Annulus												
		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11			
25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 55-59 60-64 65-69 70-74 75-79 80-84 85-89 90-94 95-93 100-104 105-109 110-114 115-119 120-124 120-124 130-134 135-139 140-144 145-149 155-159 160-164 165-169 170-174 170-174 180-184	1 1 5 13 18 28 22 11 4 1 1 1 	2 	3 	4 	5 	6 	7 	8 						
180-184 185-189 190-194 195-199 200-204 205-209 210-214 215-219 220-224 225-229 230 234						3	3 1 3 3 1	3 6 7 5 3 1 1 4	3 7 2 4 5 4 2 3	1 2 1 2 1 3 1	1			
Total number of fish Mean Standard Jeviation	104 47 8.2	104 69 10.4	103 92 12, 9	95 112 14.1	92 132 15.5	82 150 17.3	74 167 19.5	62 181 18.6	42 193 17.0	12 208 15.0	225 			

[Calculations 1 based on method 1]

¹ Based on a straight line fitted to the logarithmic values for fish lengths and scale radii obtained from material collected from December 1942 through December 1943 in the Browns Bank area (collections 40-48; 111 fish). 7 fish could not be read because no good scales were available.

AGE AND GROWTH OF IMMATURE ROSEFISH

TABLE 12.—Average calculated growth, in millimeters, between annuli of rosefish captured in the Gulf of Maine 1

[Calculations 2 based on method 2]

Growth in year 3	Annulos										
	0-1 1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	56	6-7	7-8	8-9		
1933 3 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939 1939 1940 1941 1941 1942	48 52 51 55 50 52 51 52 51 52 52 54 58 55	31 23 24 26 26 24 24 24 24 21 20	25 23 21 26 26 25 22 28	8 22 20 21 21 21 21 21 22 28	21 21 17 18 22 20 22	13 17 18 20 19 16	17 16 16 15 14	23 18 15 12			
Weighted mean Standard deviation Number of fish used	52 7, 92 308	23 8.91 297	24 8, 79 251	7. 22 7. 24 203	19 6. 01 139	19 6.01 90	16 4.42 38	6. 78 12	} 		

¹ Includes materials collected in 1942 (collections 1, 3, 4, 6: 113 fish); in 1943 (collections 10, 11, and 13-18: 131 fish); and in 1944 (collections 25-39: 65 fish). Data combined for years 1942, 1943, and 1944.
 ² Data collected throughout the Gulf of Maine from September 1942 through August 1943 (collections 1-18: 269 fish) were used in deriving the curve employed in obtaining calculated lengths at each annulus by means of method 2.
 ³ Extends from April of the indicated year through March of the following year, as shown by the study of time of annular band formation.
 ⁴ This includes the size at birth, growth until scale formation, and subsequent growth until the completion of the first annulus. Spawning occurs from mid-May through early September, and scales are formed in the following year. The first annular ring forms in the second year after the birth of the fish.
 ⁵ Only 1 fish was available, and it has not been included in the statistical calculations.



FIGURE 21.-Growth, in millimeters, between annuli of immature rosefish taken in the Gulf of Maine and off the Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia. The horizontal lines represent the average growth to each annulus and the vertical bars two standard deviations above and below the mean.

TABLE 13.—Calculated growth, in millimeters, per annulus to the ninth annulus of rosefish taken in the Gulf of Maine

[Calculations 1 based on method 2]

Growth to first annulu	8	Growth between annuli											
Millimeters	Number	Milli-	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	56	6-7	7-8	8-9			
	of fish	meters	Number of fish										
34	1 3 2 3 3 8 8 7 10 14 14 19 11 16 13 10 12 15 19 11 15 19 11 15 19 11 15 19 11 15 19 11 15 19 11 10 12 15 19 11 10 12 15 19 11 10 12 15 19 11 10 12 15 19 11 10 12 15 19 11 10 12 15 19 11 10 12 15 19 11 10 12 15 19 11 10 12 15 19 11 10 12 15 19 11 10 12 15 11 15 19 11 15 19 11 15 10 10 12 15 11 15 10 10 12 15 19 11 15 10 11 15 10 11 15 10 11 15 19 11 15 10 11 15 10 11 15 10 7 6 6 6 8 9 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 6 7 8 9 10 1 12 3 14 5 16 7 8 9 10 1 12 3 14 5 16 7 8 9 20 1 22 3 24 5 26 7 28 9 3 1 2 3 3 4 3 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 24 5 26 7 28 9 3 1 2 3 3 4 3 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 4 7 8 4 9 0 5 1 2 2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$	1 1 1 1 1 1 3 9 10 9 17 11 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	1 2 3 4 2 10 13 19 8 13 19 8 13 2 7 6 9 11 3 2 3 4 2 3 1	2 1 3 6 6 7 8 12 5 10 8 13 5 10 8 4 5 2 3 1 <td>3 1 2 1 2 3 7 5 9 4 11 7 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 2 </td> <td>2 </td> <td></td> <td></td>	3 1 2 1 2 3 7 5 9 4 11 7 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 2	2 					
78 79	 	48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 			203 21.62 7.24	 139 19.40 6.01	 						

¹ Based on a curve fitted to the values for fish lengths and scale radii obtained from material collected throughout the Gulf of Maine from September 1942 through August 1943 (collections 1–18; 269 fish). Data collected throughout the Gulf of Maine in 1942 (collections 1, 3, 4, 6; 113 fish); in 1943 (collections 10, 11, and 13–18; 131 fish); and in 1944 (collections 25–39; 65 fish) were analyzed using this curve. I fish born in 1932 has not been included in the above analysis.

[Calculations ¹ based on method 1]														
Growth to first annulus		Growth between annuli												
Millimeters	Number	Milli-	1–2	2-3	3-4	45	5-6	6–7	7-8	8-9	9–10	10-11		
winneters	of fish	meters	Number of fish											
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 50 51 52 53 56 56 57 58 59 60 61 62	1 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 24 25 26 27 29 30 31 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	 1 3 3 6 4 6 6 7 7 5 3 3 3 6 6 4 6 6 4 6 6 7 7 5 3 3 3 6 6 4 6 7 7 5 3 3 3 6 6 4 6 7 7 7 5 3 3 3 6 6 4 5 7 7 7 5 3 3 3 6 6 4 6 7 7 7 5 3 3 3 6 6 4 5 7 7 7 5 7 7 7 5 7 7 7 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	 2 3 2 3 4 9 4 6 7 4 8 6 5 4 4 2 5 4 4 1 1 1 3 1 2 3 3 4 9 4 6 5 4 4 1 1 1 2 3 2 5 3 4 9 4 6 5 7 4 1 2 5 3 4 5 7 4 5 7 4 5 7 4 5 7 4 5 7 4 5 7 4 5 7 4 5 7 4 5 7 5 7	 - -	 1 3 2 1 5 7 8 4 8 6 6 7 7 1 4 - - - - - - - - - - -	 1 1 2 6 6 8 8 5 5 - - - - - - - - - - -	1 1 5 3 1 5 7 7 5 3 6 8 6 8 6 10 1 1 2 1 1 1 	 	 				
63 64 65 66 67	 	40 41 42 43 44	 i 											
68 69 70	i	45	i 											

TABLE 14.—Calculated growth, in millimeters, per annulus to the eleventh annulus of rosefish taken in the Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia

¹ Based on a straight line fitted to the logarithmic values for fish lengths and scale radii obtained from material collected from December 1942 through December 1943 (collections 40–48; 111 fish). The scales from 7 fish could not be read because no good scales were available).

19.07

103 22.89 6.80

CONCLUSIONS

Total number of fish..... Mean... Standard deviation.....

Compared with other North Atlantic species of commercial importance such as haddock, cod, and mackerel, the rosefish is extremely slow growing, averaging less than a 25-mm. increase in length per annulus to the ninth annulus in both the Gulf of Maine and off the Browns Bank area of western Nova Scotia. Since these results were obtained for primarily immature fish, it is to be expected that the mature fish will have a slower rate of growth. Marketable rosefish, those 200 mm. in length or greater, are mostly more than 10 years of age, and a superficial examination of the scales of the larger fish indicate that many must be 20 or more years old. A comparable slow rate of growth is reported for rosefish in the Barents Sea. Veschezerov (1941) presents data which show an average growth of 27.8 mm. per annulus to the ninth annulus for fish in that region.

22.OÙ

Ο

LITERATURE CITED

BIGELOW, HENRY B., and WILLIAM W. WELSH.

1925. Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Bulletin. Bureau of Fisheries, U. S. Dept. Commerce. Vol. XL (1924), Pt. 1: 567. Washington.

FROST, NANCY.

1938. Some fishes of Newfoundland waters (with notes on the distribution of eggs and larvae). Newfoundland Department of Natural Resources, Res. Bull. No. 4: 16.

GRAHAM, MICHAEL.

1929. Studies of age-determination in fish. Part II. A survey of the literature. Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Fishery Investigations, Series II, Vol. XI, No. 3: 50.

HILE, RALPH.

1941. Age and growth of the rock bass, Ambloplites rupestris

(Rafinesque), in Nebish Lake, Wisconsin. Trans. Wisconsin Academy of Sciences, Arts and Letters, Vol. 33: 189-337.

VAN OOSTEN, JOHN.

1929. Life history of the lake herring (*Leucichthys artedi* Le Sueur) of Lake Huron as revealed by its scales, with a critique of the scale method. Bulletin, Bureau of Fisheries, U. S. Dept. Commerce, Vol. XLIV (1928): 265-428. Washington.

VAN OOSTEN, JOHN, H. J. DEASON, AND FRANK W. JOBES.

1934. A microprojection machine designed for the study of fish scales. Journal du Conseil, Vol. IX, No. 2: 241–248.

VESCHEZEROV, V. V.

1944. Materials on the biology and fisheries of marine perch in the Barents Sea [in Russian]. The Knipovich Polar Scientific Institute of Sea-Fisheries and Oceanography, No. 8: 236–270.