UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, Oscar L. Chapman, Secretary FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, Albert M. Day, Director

# FACTORS INFLUENCING THE ORIENTATION OF MIGRATING ANADROMOUS FISHES

BY GERALD B. COLLINS



FISHERY BULLETIN 73 From Fishery Bulletin of the Fish and Wildlife Service VOLUME 52

[Contribution No. 585, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution]

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE • WASHINGTON : 1952

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C. Price 20 cents

### CONTENTS

Historical background	
Major theories on orientation	
Sensory basis of orientation	
Relation of sensory abilities to environmental patterns	
Experimental approach	
Materials and methods	
Experimental method	
Procedure	
Controls	
Water modification	
Tamparatura	
Normagenerical	
Nongaseous chemicals	
Measurements.	
water samples	
litrations	
Hydrogen-ion concentration.	
Alkalinity and $HCO_{\overline{a}}$	
Carbon dioxide	
Oxygen	
Temperature	
Velocity	
Aberrations in experimental control	
Experiments	
Orientative influence of temperature	
Relation of temperature response to temperature level	
Orientative influence of $CO_2$	
Belative orientative influence of CO <sub>2</sub> and temperature	
Orientative influence of nH	
Experiments using nitrogen and oxygen	
Nitrogen	
Other featow influencing orientation	
The 2 st matie of the approved of the second	
The a.1 ratio of the response	
Summary	
Literature cited	

п

### FACTORS INFLUENCING THE ORIENTATION OF MIGRATING ANADROMOUS FISHES

BY GERALD B. COLLINS, Fishery Research Biologist

#### HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

The orientation of migrating fishes has been the subject of investigation and conjecture for many years. Migration paths have been outlined by tagging experiments, and a wealth of valuable information on the physiology, development, and behavior of migratory fishes has been acquired through the persistent efforts of many able investigators; but the means by which the fish are directed on their migrations are still largely a matter of speculation.

The purpose of the study presented here was to investigate the influence of certain physical and chemical factors upon the orientation of migrating anadromous fish of the genus *Pomolobus*. In the course of this investigation an effort was made to determine experimentally if the migrating fish would orient to differences in the physical and chemical characteristics of water.

The existence of differences in physical and chemical factors in natural waters to which fish might respond has long been known. Slight gradations usually exist in the relative amounts of dissolved gases, in pH, in temperature, and in other physical and chemical characteristics of a stream from the source to the mouth. Such gradients are usually so slight that the differences between points miles apart are still below the thresholds of the sensory perception of fish.

Much greater gradients are found at stream junctions and at stream entrances into lakes or into the sea. In these gradients there frequently are chemical and physical differences between points a few feet apart which are large enough to be detected by the fish. The existence of these gradients at crucial points along the migration paths of anadromous fishes where they might influence the fish in the selection of a stream has led to speculation on their possible role in directing the migration of the fish.

The author is greatly indebted to Prof. George L. Clarke of Harvard University for his encouragement, helpful suggestions, and criticisms during this research. The work was done in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of doctor of philosophy at Harvard University, Department of Biology.

Thanks are due also to Prof. Alfred C. Redfield and many other members of Harvard University and the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution for their advice and aid in securing funds and special equipment. The research during the summer of 1949 was done while occupying a research fellowship at the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution.

The cooperation of the town of Bourne, Mass., is acknowledged for permission to work in Herring River and to use town property at Bournedale.

A special debt is gratefully acknowledged to Director Francis W. Sargent and John Burns of the Division of Marine Fisheries, Massachusetts Conservation Department, for their interest and aid which made this investigation possible. The research in the spring of 1949 was done as an employee of the Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries.

The encouragement and aid of Dr. Lionel A. Walford, Ralph P. Silliman, Clinton E. Atkinson, and others of the Fish and Wildlife Service are also acknowledged. The research in the spring of 1950 was done as an employee of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

#### MAJOR THEORIES ON ORIENTATION

Currently, two major concepts on the orientation of migrating anadromous fishes have gained wide support; and, while not mutually exclusive, they are, as the frequent clashes in the literature suggest, not entirely compatible.

One of these theories regards the upstream migration of the fish as a purposeful "homing" to the part of the river system in which it was hatched or in which it spent the early stages of its life. Memory impressions of the goal or home are implied and the fish is said to be seeking its spawning area. The fish is thought to return to its native stream because that was the place where it was spawned rather than because the stream was more accessible or made more attractive by immediate environmental conditions. The fact that significant numbers of fish have actually been observed to return to the streams from which they originated is frequently used as evidence for this homing viewpoint.

The other theory is that environmental factors control the direction of migration, and therefore physical and chemical conditions such as temperature, current, amounts of dissolved gases, or odors, are thought to determine the ultimate destination of the fish. These factors fluctuate and are duplicated in nature so that migratory fish could go to any stream with these conditions.

Those who support the idea of environmental control of the direction of migration present evidence that the fish responds to its immediate environment at each point of its migration and they look upon the migration itself as merely the sum of the successive responses. The various species are thought to arrive at their separate destinations because they respond in specifically different ways to the existing patterns of environmental stimuli. The return of many fish to the stream of their origin is to be expected, according to this viewpoint, because the patterns of environmental conditions which direct them persist year after year.

Variations of these views may be found expressed under different interpretations of the "parent stream" or "home stream" theory developed by investigators working on salmon migration. An excellent review of major problems and controversial questions in salmon migration is afforded by the symposium, "The Migration and Conservation of Salmon," published in 1939 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science.

#### SENSORY BASIS OF ORIENTATION

Whether the migratory fish has purpose and seeks its native stream or whether the fish is entirely directed by immediate environmental factors along its route, its orientation must be achieved by some sensory means.

With fish migration, as with bird migration, there has been much speculation over the possible existence of a special sensory perception that is unknown at present. No physical basis for this has ever been found nor have such sensory abilities ever been demonstrated. There is evidence that fish can see, hear, taste, and smell. It is known that they can respond to tactile and kinesthetic stimuli, react to acceleration and nonrectilinear motion, and maintain equilibrium. It has been demonstrated that they can respond to temperature and to various chemical substances by means other than taste or smell. In the absence of any evidence to the contrary, it is reasonable to suppose that these sensory abilities are the ones by which fish are guided while on their migrations. Therefore, it appears logical to explore fully the relation between these known sensory abilities and prevailing environmental patterns before considering hypothetical sensory abilities.

#### RELATION OF SENSORY ABILITIES TO ENVIRONMENTAL PATTERNS

As the sensory abilities of fishes have been revealed and delineated many investigations have been made to examine their relation to migration. Chidester  $(1924)^1$  pointed out the number and diversity of these investigations as well as their apparently contradictory evidence.

Attention was turned to natural environmental patterns which might have a directional influence upon fish with the known sensory abilities. The physical and chemical gradients which exist along the migration paths of anadromous fishes were examined for their possible role in orienting migrating fish.

The observations of Ward (1920) in Alaska led him to believe that temperature was an important factor in the choice of a spawning stream by sockeye salmon, *Oncorhynchus nerka*. He observed that on the upstream migration the fish pass from swift to slow water and vice versa, that they go from shallow to deep water and vice versa, and that they move from turbid to clear water and vice versa. However, he found that at the junction of two streams the sockeye salmon consistently chose the colder stream and he concluded that temperature was the chief orienting factor.

 $<sup>^{1}\,{\</sup>rm For}$  references referred to parenthetically, see Literature Cited, p. 395.

The earlier investigations of Chamberlain (1907) in Alaska also indicated that temperature influenced the selection of streams by sockeye salmon. However, Chamberlain found that the sockeye chose the warmer streams.

Foerster (1929) observed the migration of sockeye salmon near Cultus Lake, British Columbia, and found the sockeye at one time entering the colder stream and at another time selecting a warmer stream. He concluded that temperature probably had very little directing influence on the upstream migration of the sockeye salmon. Foerster also made measurements of the pH and of the dissolved oxygen in the streams, but was unable to find any correlation between variations in these factors and the selection of streams by the sockeye salmon.

Roule (1933) expressed the opinion that the direction of shad, Paralosa nilotica rhodancesis and Alosa alosa, migration was controlled by temperature. He pointed out that the migration begins when the river water, pouring into the sea in estuaries, is at a higher temperature than that of the sea. Roule related that in one section of the Rhone River shad fishermen always set their traps on only one bank of the river, whereas along most of the river they set traps on both banks. Investigation revealed that the water on one side of the river was several degrees warmer than on the other due to the influence of a warm tributary upstream. The shad were always found migrating on the warmer side; and they were also observed to turn off the main stream into certain tributaries which were warmer than the main stream. Roule's observations on the behavior of salmon, Salmo salar, during migration convinced him that the salmon were indifferent to temperature.

Roule (1914), observing the selection of particular streams by the Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar, in its upstream migration to spawning areas, concluded that the proportion of dissolved  $O_2$  in the water was the dominant factor in directing the migration. He maintained that the salmon always choose the water with a higher concentration of dissolved  $O_2$ . Roule (1933) suggested that the metabolic condition of the fish results in increased respiratory activity and it becomes polarized toward the more highly oxygenated water. Roule used the word "branchiotropism" to describe deviations in direction brought about by respiratory influences. He maintained that the "branchiopolarity" of the salmon drives it forward and acts as its guide.

Chevey, Roule, and Verrier (1927) blamed the depletion of salmon runs in certain streams on the lack of dissolved  $O_2$  in the streams owing to mill wastes. Their investigations indicated that salmon would not enter a stream with a low dissolved  $O_2$  content. The observation that the shad, *Alosa alosa*, were not affected by the low  $O_2$  content of the water pointed to a marked species difference.

Russell (1934) did not agree with the findings of Roule and his coworkers. He pointed out that in the mouths of certain salmon rivers, such as the Tees and the Tyne, there is a long stretch of heavily polluted water in which the  $O_2$  content may fall very low. However, salmon enter and ascend these rivers.

Shelford and Powers (1915) using a gradient tank technique, found that herring fry, Clupea pallasi, would orient to differences in temperature and dissolved gases. Powers became convinced that gradients of CO<sub>2</sub> tension exert an important influence upon the orientation of migrating fishes. Powers and Hickman (1928) measured the CO<sub>2</sub> tension in lakes, in rivers draining lakes, and in rivers which did not drain lakes in the Fraser and the Columbia River systems. They found that, in general, lakes and rivers draining lakes had a lower CO<sub>2</sub> tension (average 0.57 mm. Hg) than rivers not fed by lakes (average 1.05 mm. Hg). Further analysis of the data also indicated that typical mountain streams had higher  $CO_2$  tensions than streams of the lowland.

Powers (1939) pointed to an observation that the sockeye salmon, given a choice, will always choose the fork of a river which drains a lake. The chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*, in the same situation apparently is indifferent and moves up either branch. Powers suggested that the sockeye is responding to differences in  $CO_2$ tension in its selection of a stream draining a lake. The lack of a similar response on the part of the chinook salmon was presumably looked upon as a species difference.

The publications of these investigators who were seeking to correlate the observed movements of migratory fishes to gradients of temperature and of dissolved gases were received with great interest. There has been, however, a general reluctance on the part of many engaged in fishery research to accept some of the conclusions put forth. Those investigators who were convinced of a highly developed homing ability in anadromous fishes found it difficult to reconcile the idea of migrating fishes responding to environmental gradients with that of homing. Many contradictory observations made by other workers in the field, because of a general tendency to avoid negative reporting, seldom reached the literature. Furthermore, field workers who were aware of the enormous difficulties in making dependable observations of the movements of fish in large rivers and streams, particularly with only intermittent observations made over a wide area, felt that the evidence upon which the conclusions were based was inadequate.

In summarizing previous research in this field it might be said that—

- (1) The ability of fishes to detect differences in temperature and in amounts of dissolved gases has been established experimentally.
- (2) Laboratory (gradient-tank) experiments have also established that differences in temperature and in amounts of dissolved gases can influence the direction of fish movement.
- (3) Differences in water temperature and amounts of dissolved gases have been shown to exist in natural waters at points where they might exert an important influence upon the direction of migrating anadromous fishes.
- (4) It has been suggested that these physical and chemical differences do influence the orientation of the migrating fish.
- (5) Some field observations of migratory-fish behavior appear to show a relation between the direction of migration and gradients of certain physical and chemical factors. These field observations are relatively few, inconclusive, and contradictory.

Thus, it is known that some fishes can orient with reference to certain physical and chemical differences in water, but whether migrating anadromous fishes actually do orient with reference to such differences is not known. The following experiments were undertaken to explore this question with one type of anadromous fish.

#### EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

In studying the influence of gradients of physical and chemical characteristics of water upon the orientation of migrating anadromous fishes a direct experimental approach was used. Experiments were undertaken which attempted to measure the directional responses of migrating fishes of the genus *Pomolobus* to certain differences in water characteristics including temperature, pH, and amounts of dissolved gases (O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub>).

The experiments were designed to avoid many complications that have to be considered in laboratory experiments with live fish. The experiments were made in the stream in which the fish were migrating so that the fish would not be handled or subjected to the shock of being removed from their natural environment. Tests were made on thousands of fish, and each fish was tested only once so that considerations involving learning could be ignored.

Inasmuch as the special migratory behavior of anadromous fishes is exhibited for only limited periods of time, perhaps only once or twice during the life of the fish, an essential condition of these experiments was that they were conducted while the fish were actually migrating. The importance of this is realized when one considers how greatly the response of a fish to environmental stimuli may differ at various physiological stages of its life. As fingerlings, the fish are found migrating downstream; as maturing adults, they migrate upstream. In those species which survive spawning, the spent fish again migrate downstream. Frequently, individual fish of the same species may be found in a stream responding in very different ways to identical environmental stimuli.

The differences in physical and chemical factors tested were artificially produced in these experiments but the type and magnitude of the differences were within the range commonly found at stream junctions in nature.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were conducted in the Herring River at Bournedale, Mass., in 1949 and 1950 during the spring herring runs. The herring run at Bournedale actually consists of two overlapping runs of closely related species. The earlier run, made up of alewives, *Pomolobus pseudoharengus* (Wilson), begins about the first of April and continues until the end of May. The second and smaller run of glut herring, *Pomolobus aestivalis*  (Mitchill), usually starts about the last week of May and lasts until the middle of June.

There are visible external differences by which these two species can be identified. The glut herring is generally smaller than the alewife, has a smaller eye in relation to the head, and when examined out of water has darker dorsal pigmentation. The individual variations in size within the two groups overlap considerably, and in the water each species has the ability to modify its pigment to blend with the background in the matter of a few seconds. Consequently, it is very difficult to distinguish between the two species with any degree of certainty without first removing the fish from the water. Attempts to separate the two species while the experiments were in progress proved to be impractical. When the studies were completed, a careful comparison of the responses shown by the fish in experiments conducted at the beginning of the early run when only alewives were present with those in experiments made near the end of the later run when only glut herring were present, failed to reveal any differences between the responses of the two species.

These anadromous members of the herring family (Clupeidae) proved to be ideally suited to experimental purposes. They are small and migrate in enormous numbers through easily accessible brooks and streams (fig. 1). The construction of the experimental apparatus and the conditioning of water for experiments with these fishes can be done on a smaller, less expensive scale



FIGURE 1.—The herring run at Bournedale, Mass. This pool is immediately below the experimental station.

than would be necessary for fishes such as the shad or the salmon. At Bournedale each year during the herring run, more than half a million of these fishes enter the small Herring River (completely fresh water; average flow less than 20 c. f. s.) from the sea water of the Cape Cod Canal and migrate upstream for approximately a mile to their spawning grounds in Great Herring Pond. The experiments were conducted in the stream a short distance below its entrance into Great Herring Pond.

#### EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

As the fish migrated upstream, they were directed by wire screens into a shallow experimental trough. The upstream end of the trough was divided into two channels of equal size (fig. 2). As a fish progressed upstream through the experimental trough, it was presented with a choice between the two channels. Differences in water



FIGURE 2.—Diagram of experimental trough. Dimensions: 18 ft. long, 21 in. wide, and 10 in. deep.

temperature, in pH, and in dissolved gases between the two channels were created experimentally. The influence of these differences in the physical and chemical characteristics of water upon the orientation of the migrating fish was measured by the number of fish choosing each channel.

The experimental trough was 21 inches wide, 10 inches deep, and 18 feet long. It was open at both ends so that when it was aligned, with the direction of stream flow and partially submerged, the water flowed freely through it. The two channels in the upstream end of the trough were 10 feet long and 10 inches wide. A 10-inch channel width was chosen because it was approximately equal to the length of the fish and would allow enough room for normal swimming movements and turning. The experimental trough could be raised or lowered in the water in order to create any desired depth of flow regardless of the natural fluctuation in the water level of the stream. The flow of water through the trough was maintained at a depth of 6 to 8 inches, while the velocity of water through the trough varied, depending on stream conditions, from a minimum of 1 foot a second to a maximum of 2 feet a second.

The trough was stained a dull mahogany to provide a dark background for the fish so that their behavior would be as natural as possible. Exploratory tests the previous year had shown that a light background made the fish extremely nervous and excitable when they were in the shallow water and confinement of the experimental trough. The trough was also placed in the stream several weeks before tests were begun to reduce the possibility of odors, or other factors that might be unfamiliar or objectionable to the fish.

To prevent light inequalities between the two channels resulting from shadows, the experimental trough was shaded from direct sunlight by a canvas canopy (fig. 3).

At the downstream end of the trough a wirescreen gate was installed to control the entrance of the fish into the experimental trough. The necessity for such a device became apparent during preliminary exploratory tests in which the entrance of the fish was unrestricted. When several fish entered at the same time, they frequently exhibited a schooling tendency and all followed the choice of the leader. Their behavior was such that it seemed probable that only one decision was



FIGURE 3.—General view of experimental station, Herring River, Bournedule, Mass., 1950.

- A. Entrance for upstream migrants.
- B. Bypass exit for downstream migrants.
- C. Laboratory for chemical determinations.
- D. Light-control arrangement.

actually made for the entire school. There were instances of schools splitting, with all those on the left side entering the left channel, and all those on the right side entering the right channel, suggesting that perhaps in these cases spatial relations alone were involved. There were many variations in such group behavior and they were difficult to interpret in a quantitative way. To avoid the problem completely, an entrance gate was designed. Through this gate (figs. 4 and 5) the fish were allowed to enter, one at a time, and only after the previous one had made its decision and was completely out of the trough.

The entrance gate also served to center the fish so that as it entered the experimental trough it was subjected to a mixture of the waters of both channels. Thus, the fish started in the center of a strong transverse gradient (fig. 2) and almost any lateral movement resulted in its being subjected to water of a different quality.

#### PROCEDURE

As the fish progressed upstream in the trough to the point where it had to choose between the two channels (the "point of decision" in fig. 2), it usually moved from one side of the trough to the other, alternately approaching each channel until it finally entered one. In those tests in which both channels were completely unobstructed the choice was recorded when the fish had completed



FIGURE. 4.-Entrance to experimental trough.

- A. Entrance gate (closed).
- B. Retaining pool.
- C. Retaining-pool gate (open).
- D. Bypass for downstream migrants.

(The white cloth on the bottom of the trough was for photographic purposes and was not present during the tests.)

its passage through the channel and was entirely clear of the trough. This system of recording decisions permitted the fish to change its choice of channels at any stage of its progress by turning back and entering the other channel.

During the experiments in which the water was being modified by heating, the reluctance of the fish to pass through the heating apparatus in the upstream ends of the channels, resulted in considerable delay. To save time a "recording point" (fig. 2) was chosen below the heating apparatus. When this arbitrary point which was 20 inches (approximately twice the length of the fish) from the entrance to the channel was



FIGURE 5.—Entrance gate. The fish were allowed to enter, one at a time, through a screen gate (shown open).

(The white cloth was not present during the tests.)

reached, the fish was considered to have made its decision and the result was recorded. When once the fish had passed the recording point if its forward progress was too slow, it was urged to continue on out of the trough by taps on the trough with a stick immediately behind the fish. When the fish was completely out of the trough, the entrance gate was raised again to allow another to enter.

Before each series of tests, any necessary adjustments of experimental conditions were made and water samples and temperature measurements were taken. The fish were then allowed to enter the trough one at a time. It usually was found convenient to run approximately 25 to 30 individual tests in succession before the measurements were taken again. The average time for such a series of tests was about 40 minutes, although it fluctuated considerably depending upon the behavior of the fish.

#### CONTROLS

Every effort was made to keep conditions, e.g., light, depth of water, rate of flow, turbulence, as uniform as possible in both channels so that any directional response would be due solely to the factor being tested. Whenever major adjustments in the experimental conditions had been made, before tests with modified water were begun, a series of control tests was run to ensure that such uniform conditions prevailed. The results of these tests are summarized in table 1.

TABLE 1.—Daily totals of control tests made to ensure that uniform conditions existed in both channels of the experimental trough, 1949

	Number of fish entering			
Date	Left channel	Right channel		
A pril 30	91	59		
May 1	49	39		
2	54	48		
3	68	73		
7	97	91		
8	58	49		
11	19	15		
12	20	22		
14	71	- 54		
15	81	83		
16	49	47		
19	25	29		
22	59	49		
29 23	47	58		
24	24	24		
30	29	30		
Total	841	\$00		
Percent	51.3	48.7		

To minimize the possibility of some unrecognized factor influencing the choice of the fish, control and test channels were alternated between each series of tests. Such alternation resulted in any "nonalternating" influence being cancelled out when the figures were totaled. An example of the pattern of controls and tests is given in table 2.

## TABLE 2.—Example of the pattern of controls and tests,May 15, 1949

[Tests listed in chronological order]

Left channel		Right channel	
Water characteristic 1	Number of fish	Water characteristic <sup>1</sup>	Number of fish
Control	18 11 25 35 11 16 83 9 22 8 8 31	Control Control CO <sub>2</sub>	17 31 27 21 11 34 14 17 29 21 21 21 21 14

) Control, water unmodified; CO2, gaseous CO2 added.

During tests involving temperature differences of greater than 1 degree centigrade, it was necessary to have a greater number of heaters in one channel than in the other. To be certain that the response shown was the result of temperature differences rather than differences in hydraulic conditions created by the unequal distribution of heating apparatus, a series of control tests was run with the heaters in place but with the power turned off. The results of the control tests which were interspersed with the actual temperature tests are shown in table 3. They indicate that the presence of the nonoperating heaters had little or no effect upon the choice of channels made by the fish.

TABLE 3.—Control tests during temperature experiments, 1950

[mmersion nearers in place but power runned on	[Immersion]	heaters	in	place	but	power	turned	υťľ
------------------------------------------------	-------------	---------	----	-------	-----	-------	--------	-----

Date and time	Numbe enter	r of fish ing—	Number sion wa in	of immer- ter heaters
	Left	Right	Left	Right
	channel	channel	channel	channel
May 13, 3:45 p. m 14, 6:25 p. m 25, 8:00 a. m 24, 2:30 p. m 25, 4:00 p. m 26, 9:15 a. m 27, 10:30 a. m 27, 11:55 a. m 28, 2:00 p. m 27, 12:40 p. m 28, 2:00 p. m 28, 2:00 p. m 28, 5:30 p. m 28, 5:30 p. m 28, 5:30 p. m 29, 11:15 a. m 20, 11:15 a. m 21, 11:15 a. m	16 27 9 13 14 26 15 12 21 9 11 8 27 21 9 11 8 27 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 27	17 25 8 12 14 13 27 15 30 10 15 34 12 11 12 5 4 12 11 12 5 4 15	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
Total	283	298		
Percentage	49	51		

#### WATER MODIFICATION

#### Temperature

The modification of the water temperature was accomplished by the use of industrial electrical immersion water heaters. These heaters (32 a., 7.5 kw., 230 v.) were of the tubular type and shaped in a simple loop so as to fit easily into the channels of the experimental trough (fig. 6). Each of the 11 heaters was connected separately to the main circuit and could be turned on or off at will without interfering with the operation of any of the other heaters. The arrangement was simple and flexible and made a graded control of temperature possible.

#### **Gaseous** Content

The gaseous content of the water was modified by the introduction of specific gases. These gases  $(O_2, N_2, \text{ and } CO_2)$  were bubbled into the water at the upstream end of the trough directly in front



FIGURE 6.—Upstream end of experimental trough during temperature tests. All immersion water heaters are in place for maximum temperature difference.

(Shade on left was raised to allow light for photographic purposes. During the experiments no shadows were present.)

of the channel or channels in which the water was to be modified.

The device used to accomplish this (fig. 7) consisted of two batteries of porous-stone aerators of the type commonly used in small aquariums. Each battery of aerators produced a band of very fine bubbles. The incorporation of two separate batteries in the same device made it possible to modify independently the water of both channels at the same time.

#### Nongaseous Chemicals

The nongaseous chemicals used to modify water in experiments were first dissolved in a carboy of water from the stream. They were then introduced at a controlled rate into the upstream end



FIGURE 7.—Device for modifying gaseous content of water. The device contained two separate batteries of aerators so that the two channels could be modified independently at the same time.

of one of the channels through a colorless plastic tube positioned horizontally an inch above the floor of the trough at right angles to the direction of stream flow. Small holes were bored in the plastic tube at short intervals to allow an even distribution of the carboy liquid throughout the channel.

#### MEASUREMENTS

#### Water Samples

Water samples were collected in wide-mouthed 100-milliliter bottles at the downstream end of each channel. Sample bottles were stoppered while still under water to avoid any gaseous exchange with the air. The samples were collected simultaneously in both channels and were immediately brought into the field laboratory where the chemical determinations were begun at once.

#### Titrations

Titrations were done with a Beckman pH meter. The water-sample bottles were calibrated with the solid stoppers on so that the exact amount of water in each sample was known without further measurement. The titrations were performed in the sample bottles to avoid any opportunity for the modification of the gaseous content of the water due to exposure to air in pouring.

#### Hydrogen-ion Concentration

Measurements of hydrogen-ion concentrations were made to within 0.03 pH unit with a Beckman pH meter. A Hellige pocket color comparator was used for rapid pH measurements (to within 0.1 pH unit) during adjustment of valves while setting up experimental conditions and during a number of the earlier exploratory experiments.

#### Alkalinity and HCO<sub>3</sub>.

In the range of pH in which the experiments were made (pH 7 to pH 6) the alkalinity was assumed to equal the concentration of  $HCO_3$ . Methyl-orange alkalinity was measured (American Public Health Association 1946) by titrating the sample with  $H_2SO_4$  to an end point of pH 4.4.

#### Carbon Dioxide

The free, or uncombined,  $CO_2$  content of the water was measured in two ways. Some of the measurements were made directly by titration of the sample with NaOH (American Public Health Association 1946) to an end point of pH 8.2. Other determinations of free CO<sub>2</sub> were made indirectly by measuring alkalinity (methyl orange) 'and *p*H and then determining CO<sub>2</sub> by the graphic method of Moore (1939). The measurements were made to within 0.1 p. p. m. CO<sub>2</sub>. Those measurements made directly by titrating with NaOH were slightly lower than the measurements made indirectly by the graphic method. Therefore, in comparing the water of the two channels only one method was used for each pair of measurements. The range of conditions under which the measurements were made is indicated by figure 8 which shows CO<sub>2</sub> measurements made during the experiments.

During the series of tests which examined the orientative influence of  $CO_2$ , water was modified by the introduction of gaseous  $CO_2$ . Addition of gaseous  $CO_2$  to the almost unbuffered water of



FIGURE 8.—Free CO<sub>2</sub> measurements, May 1950. Only measurements of samples of unmodified stream water and water modified by the addition of gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> are included. The samples were taken under a wide variety of stream and weather conditions.

the stream produced several effects. It increased the concentration of free CO<sub>2</sub> and it decreased the pH. It also raised the partial pressure, or tension, of CO<sub>2</sub> in the water. Examples of data from individual tests (table 4) illustrate the first two of these effects. It will be noted that the concentration of HCO<sub>3</sub> was largely unaffected. In the range of pH in which the experiments were made (pH 7 to pH 6), carbonates were not present.

Water was modified by addition of  $K_2H(PO_4)$ during the tests of the orientative influence of pH. Examples of data from individual tests are given in table 4. In these tests the changes in pH were of approximately the same magnitude as the changes in pH in the tests in which  $CO_2$  was added (although  $K_2H(PO_4)$  raised the pH while  $CO_2$  lowered it). The addition of  $K_2H(PO_4)$ , however, had much less effect upon the concentration of free  $CO_2$ .

During this investigation, measurements of  $CO_{z}$ were restricted to the convenient and widely accepted methods available for measuring the amount of free  $CO_{z}$ . The importance of measuring dissolved gases in terms of partial pressures

 
 TABLE 4.—Examples of chemical measurements made during individual tests, June 11, 1950

Channel	Water characteristic <sup>1</sup>	Hydrogen- ion concen- tration	Alkalinity or HCO <sub>5</sub>	Carbon dioxide
Left Right	CO2 Control	6. 40 6. 57	P. p. m. Ca CO 3 4.04 3.98	P. p. m. 3.05 2.06
Difference	Control	. 17 6, 57	.06 3.88	 
Right Difference	CO2	6, 18	3.76	
Left Right	Control	6. 52 6. 97		<sup>2</sup> 1, 70 <sup>2</sup> 1, 62
Difference		. 45		. 08
Left Right	K2H(PO4) Control	6, 94 6, 57		<sup>2</sup> 1.71 <sup>2</sup> 1.78
Difference		. 37		. 07

[Stream temperatures, 19° to 19.4° C.]

 $^1$  CO<sub>2</sub>, gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> added; control, water unmodified;  $K_2 H(\rm PO_4), K_2 H(\rm PO_4)$  added.  $^3$  Samples titrated with NaOH.

when considering their physiological effects upon organisms is recognized. The ability of a gas to diffuse through a membrane depends upon the tension of the gas in solution. Therefore, the tension of the gas is critical where, as in respiration, an actual gaseous exchange is made. However, very little is known of the sensory mechanism by which fish detect differences of  $CO_2$ ; nor is it known whether CO<sub>2</sub> must actually permeate a membrane to affect the sensory organs. Under the circumstances of these experiments, where both channels receive water from the same source under identical conditions, the CO<sub>2</sub> tension would be directly proportional to the amount of free CO<sub>2</sub> present. Therefore, the relative amounts of  $CO_2$  in the two channels may be used as indexes of the relative CO<sub>2</sub> tensions.

#### Oxygen

The amount of dissolved oxygen was determined by the standard Winkler method (American Public Health Association 1946) after preliminary orientation tests (Ellis, Westfall, and Ellis 1948) for the presence of interfering substances proved to be negative.

#### Temperature

Temperatures were measured to 0.1° C. by the use of a mercury thermometer held horizontally in the water with its long axis in the direction of the current. The measurements were taken at the downstream end in each channel with the center of the thermometer at a point 2 inches from the bottom of the trough (the level at which the fish usually swam) and 2 inches from the wall which divided the trough into two channels.

#### Velocity

The velocity of the water flowing through the trough was measured by means of an impellertype current meter. Measurements were accurate to within 0.2 feet per second, and represent the average velocity of the water over a 90-second period of time. The measurements were taken at the downstream end of each channel at a point halfway between the channel walls.

#### ABERRATIONS IN EXPERIMENTAL CONTROL

Throughout the experiments, measurements of physical and chemical water characteristics such as temperature, velocity,  $p\mathbf{H}$ , and amounts of dissolved gases were much more precise than the experimental control of these characteristics. Hydraulic conditions above the head of the experimental trough created a periodic eddying (every 5 to 15 seconds) which resulted in a fluctuation in the rate of flow alternately in each channel. During tests in which water characteristics were being modified, eddying caused a periodic fluctuation in the degree of modification. For example, during tests in which the water was modified by heating, eddying resulted in a temperature fluctuation of approximately 0.5° C. in the channel being modified.

Temperature measurements to within 0.1° C. were made almost instantaneously, and the extent of the temperature fluctuation was easily measured by a comparison of maximum and minimum temperature readings. However, measurements of other water characteristics such as velocity, pH, and amounts of dissolved gases were average measurements. Velocity measurements (read in terms of propeller revolutions per unit time) represented an average velocity for a 90-second period of time. The manner in which the water samples were taken for measurements of pH and amounts of dissolved gases tended to collect a mixture of the water flowing through a channel over a period of time greater than the time for a complete cycle of eddy fluctuation and so these measurements also represent measurements of average conditions. Such average measurements gave no clear indication of the degree of fluctuation.

Some of the other experimental conditions also could not be controlled completely. The depth of the water in the trough, maintained at 6 to 8 inches at the downstream end of the two channels. varied from 4 inches to 10 inches at the ends of the trough when the trough deviated from a horizontal position. The downstream end of the trough had a tendency to settle as a result of the clogging of the screen entrance gate by floating organic debris. Variations of this sort affected the channels equally, however, and probably are of little significance.

During the experiments in which water was heated electrically there frequently was a vertical variation in the temperature of the water in the modified channel due to inadequate mixing of the heated water. This was particularly true when only a few heaters were in operation. At such times, the variation was as much as 0.4° C. from the warmer water near the bottom of the channel to the cooler water near the surface. The temperature measurements, made 2 inches from the bottom of the channel (the level at which the fish usually swam), were always of the maximum temperature.

In interpreting the data collected during these experiments, such variations in experimental conditions must be taken into consideration, particularly when thresholds are concerned. The thresholds for the responses of the fish may actually be lower than those indicated by the data.

#### **EXPERIMENTS**

#### **ORIENTATIVE INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE**

Experiments were conducted in which the migrating fish were presented with a choice between waters of two different temperatures. The responses of the fish (table 5) indicated a preference for the warmer water.

The stream temperature during these experiments ranged from 11.1° to 22.3° C. The temperature differences between channels, created by heating the water of one channel, were varied from 0.4° to 3.0° C. The threshold of the response appeared to be at a temperature difference of approximately 0.5° C.

Periodic eddying which caused a fluctuation in the water temperature of the channel being modi-

fied (see Aberrations in Experimental Control, p. 385) was probably responsible for the apparently intermediate nature of the response to temperature differences of 0.5° to 1.0° C. The temperature difference values given in tables 5 and 6 are maximum values. The minimum values were approximately 0.5° C. less. For example, during tests at the recorded temperature difference of 0.7° C., for at least part of the time, the temperature difference was approximately 0.2° C.

Therefore, only at recorded temperature differences above 1.0° C. was the threshold difference of 0.5° C. exceeded continually throughout the entire test.

TABLE 5.—Response to temperature differences as shown in experiments of May 7 to June 8, 1950

[Stream temperatures, 11.1° to 22.3° C.]

Water temperature difference between	Mumber of	Entered cha	nnel with—
(Centigrade)	decisions	Warmer water	Cooler water
0.4°	98	Percent 2 49	Percent 51
0.5°	109 51 180 427 471 76	57 61 63 60 61 63	43 39 37 40 39 37 40 39
• Total	1,314	3 4 61	39
1.1° 1.2° 1.3° 1.4° 1.5° 1.7° 1.8° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9° 1.9°	22 98 136 100 142 98 107 27 83	77 80 76 76 77 79 80 78 80	23 20 24 24 23 21 20 20 20
10141	213 132 108 28 24 12	73 73 73 83 75 79 75	22 27 27 27 17 25 21 25
Total	517	3 76	- 24

<sup>1</sup> Temperature differences are maximum values. Minimum temperature differences were approximately 0.5° C. less. <sup>2</sup> No response.

Response.
See notes on eddying phenomenon, p. 385.

Taking the eddying into account, the intermediate nature of the response to temperature differences from 0.5° to 1.0° C. should probably be discounted and considered as due to imperfect experimental conditions. The data would then be interpreted as describing a uniform ungraded response to temperature differences greater than the threshold.

#### RELATION OF TEMPERATURE RESPONSE TO TEMPERATURE LEVEL

Tabulation of experimental data according to stream temperature levels (table 6) revealed a general tendency for the response to temperature differences between  $0.5^{\circ}$  and  $1.0^{\circ}$  C. to decrease as the temperature level of the stream increased. When the temperature differences between channels were greater than  $1.0^{\circ}$  C., the temperature level of the stream had no discernible influence upon the response of the fish.

 
 TABLE 6.—Relation of temperature response to temperature level

[Retabulation of data from table 5. Stream temperature levels include +0.9° C. (e. g., 11° C. includes temperature levels to 11.9° C.)]

		Entered channel with—			
Stream temperature level	decisions	Warmer water	Cooler water		
Group A: <sup>1</sup> 11° C 12° C 12° C	139 178	Percent 65 65	Percent 35 35		
14° C 14° C 15° C	185 274 116	60 59	-00 40 41		
16° C 17° C 18° C	124 66 85	55 55 54	45 45 46		
19° C Group B: <sup>2</sup> 11° C	147 35	53 80	47 20		
12° C 14° C 15° C	43 238 217	79 77	21 23 90		
16° C 17° C 17° C	159 290	75	25 24		
20° C 22° C	198 123 27	82 78	23 18 22		

<sup>1</sup> Temperature difference between channels, 0.5° to 1.0° C. <sup>2</sup> Temperature difference between channels, 1.1° to 3.0° C.

Taking into account once again the eddying condition (see p. 385), the data indicate that the responses of the fish were affected by the temperature level only when temperature differences of threshold magnitudes were concerned. The evidence suggests a possible relation between this phenomenon and the type of threshold phenomena described by Weber's law. Weber (1846) believed the threshold of difference to be proportional to the intensity of stimulus. Although the ratio of these two factors has since been shown to be variable, a tendency for the threshold of difference to increase with an increase in intensity of stimulus has been observed. The experiments at Bournedale, planned with other purposes in mind, did not produce the type of data necessary to examine this particular aspect of the response to temperature differences. However, it seems very probable that the decrease in the response of the fish (shown in group A, table 6) was due to an increase in the threshold of the response to temperature differences as the temperature level of the stream increased.

#### **ORIENTATIVE INFLUENCE OF CO2**

The migrating fish were presented with a choice of waters having different amounts of free, or uncombined,  $CO_2$ . The difference in free  $CO_2$ between the waters of the two channels was established by the direct addition of gaseous  $CO_2$  to the water of one channel while the other remained unmodified. During some of the tests this procedure was varied by the addition of the gaseous  $CO_2$  to both channels but at different rates. Throughout the tests the fish indicated a definite preference for the water with the lower free  $CO_2$ content (table 7).

TABLE 7.—Responses to differences in CO<sub>2</sub> as shown in experiments, May 1-30, 1949, and May 7-June 9, 1950

		Entered cha	nnel with—
Free CO <sub>2</sub> difference between channels	Number of decisions	Lower CO2	Higher CO2
>4.0 p. p. m 1.4 p. p. m 0.6 p. p. m 0.3 p. p. m 0.2 p. p. m	1, 120 128 663 216 157	Percent 73 77 71 69 59	Percent 27 23 29 31 41

NOTE.—Unmodified stream water varied from 0.7 p. p. n. free CO<sub>2</sub> to 2.9 p. p. m. free CO<sub>2</sub> and pH 6.9 to pH 6.4. Stream temperatures 11.1° to 22.3° C. CO<sub>2</sub> differences listed include differences  $\pm 0.1$  p. p. m. of listed differences 0.4 which includes only  $\pm 0.1$  p. p. m.

Whether the fish in the  $CO_2$  experiments were responding to differences in free  $CO_2$  or to associated differences in  $HCO_3$  is not actually known. The data (table 7) indicate that if the response was to differences in the amount of free  $CO_2$ , the threshold of the response lies below differences of 0.3 p. p. m. If the response of the fish was to differences in  $HCO_3$ , then the threshold of the response must be much lower. During most of the tests in which the differences in free  $CO_2$  were less than 1.0 p. p. m., the differences in  $HCO_{\pi}$ were not even measurable by the method of measurement used (i. e., they were less than 0.1 p. p. m.  $HCO_{\overline{3}}$  as  $CaCO_{3}$ ). It seems more probable that the response of the fish was a response to free CO,.

The experiments do not indicate whether the response of the fish (assuming that the response was to free  $CO_2$ ) was to differences in the amount

of free CO<sub>2</sub> or to differences in CO<sub>2</sub> tension. The difference in CO<sub>2</sub> tension associated with a difference of 0.3 p. p. m. free CO<sub>2</sub> under the conditions of these experiments would be approximately 0.1 mm. Hg.

The response of the fish to differences in  $CO_2$ (whether it was to the amount of free  $CO_2$ , to the  $CO_2$  tension, or to associated  $HCO_3$ ) was similar to the response of the fish to temperature differences in that it appeared to be a uniform response to all differences above the threshold difference.

#### RELATIVE ORIENTATIVE INFLUENCE OF CO<sub>2</sub> AND TEMPERATURE

As the evidence was acquired indicating that differences in temperature and differences in CO<sub>2</sub> could influence the orientation of the migrating fish, the need for some information on the relative influence of the two factors became increasingly obvious. Under the controlled conditions of the experiments, where all factors other than the one used for testing were maintained equal in both channels, the fish might show as great a response to a relatively minor influence as they would to an important or dominant factor. To examine the relative orientative influence of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature, two groups of experiments were undertaken. In one set of experiments the directional influences of the two factors were arranged so as to be in conflict. The differences in CO<sub>2</sub> favored the choice of one channel, while the differences in temperature favored the selection of the other. In a second group of experiments the differences both in  $CO_2$  and in temperature favored the selection of the same channel.

The data (table S) collected indicate that the relative importance of the two orienting factors depends upon their quantitative relationships. By altering the relative amounts of heat and of  $CO_2$  added to the water, it was possible to demon-

strate circumstances under which either factor could balance or even dominate the other when the factors were in opposition. The data also suggest that when the two factors are not in opposition they may actually augment each other and together provoke a response in a greater number of fish than either factor could produce alone.

#### **ORIENTATIVE INFLUENCE OF pH**

In the preceding experiments involving the addition of gaseous  $CO_2$  to the water, the differences in the amount of free  $CO_2$  between the two channels were always accompanied by differences in pH (see table 4). The question arises as to whether the response shown by the migrating fish is to the differences in  $CO_2$  or to the accompanying differences in pH.

An attempt was made to answer this question experimentally with the migrating fish. It was necessary to use a substance which would, when added to the water, modify the pH of the water to the same degree that it was modified during the  $CO_2$  experiments (see table 9) without, at the same time, materially affecting the amount of free CO<sub>2</sub> in the water. It was also necessary that the substance be one to which the fish would not respond by means such as taste or smell. To avoid the difficulties of determining whether the response of a fish was to the taste or to the smell of a chemical, or whether its response was to pHdifferences created by that chemical, it was necessary to select a substance to which the fish did not respond at all.

In one of a series of exploratory tests (table 10) NaOH was used to modify the water. There was no response to a difference in pH of 0.1 (compare with response to pH difference 0.1 created by the addition of gaseous CO<sub>2</sub>, table 9). However, it will be noted that when the difference in pH was greater than 1.0 pH unit, the fish favored the

	Water	Free CO <sub>2</sub>			Entered cha	unnel with—	
Relation of fuctors	difference between channels	difference between channels	Number of decisions	Warmer water and bigher CO <sub>2</sub>	Cooler water and lower CO2	Warmer water and lower CO <sub>2</sub>	Cooler water and higher CO <sub>2</sub>
Opposing	$ \begin{array}{c} \circ C, \\ 0.5 \text{ to } 0.6 \\ 1.8 \text{ to } 2.1 \\ 1.8 \text{ to } 2.4 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} P. p. m. \\ >7.0 \\ 0.5 \ \text{to} \ 2.0 \\ 2.4 \ \text{to} \ 7.0 \end{array}$	99 129 169	Percent 24 62 48	Percent 76 38 52	Percent	Percent
Augmenting	2.0 to 2.4	0.5 to 2.0	154			\$3	17

TABLE S.—Relative orientative influence of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature as shown in tests made June 3-11, 1950

NOTE.-Stream temperatures, 16.9° to 22.2° C. Unmodified stream water, 0.7 p. p. m. to 2.1 p. p. m. free CO2; pH 7.0 to pH 6.5.

	Number		ntering—
pH difference between channels <sup>1</sup>	of decisions	Higher CO2	Lower CO <sub>2</sub>
>1.00 0.10 0.05	650 663 114 157	30 29 28 41	70 71 72 59

TABLE 9.—Experiments with CO<sub>2</sub> tabulated according to differences in pH, May 1949

<sup>1</sup> Range, pH 6.0 to pH 6.8.

TABLE 10.—Experiments with NaOH tabulated according to differences in pH, May 24, 1949

		Percent e	entering—
pH differences between channels	Number of decisions	Control channel <sup>1</sup>	Channel with NaOH added <sup>2</sup>
>1.00	267 104	39 48	61 52

 $^1$  Unmodified stream water, pH 6.6 to pH 6.8; low pH; high CO2 content.  $^2$  High pH; low CO2 content.

modified water. Although  $CO_2$  was not measured in this test it seems very probable that the difference in free  $CO_2$  which would be associated with the large difference in *p*H would exceed the threshold 0.3 p. p. m.

After several acids and bases were tried, an experiment was undertaken using  $K_2H(PO_4)$  as the modifying agent. The data collected (table 11) reveal no indication of a response on the part of the fish although differences in pH were present. These differences in pH were of the same magnitude as those in many CO<sub>2</sub> tests in which the fish responded. The accompanying table 12 of free CO<sub>2</sub> measurements made while the tests were in progress shows that during the tests differences of free CO<sub>2</sub> between channels were very small, generally less than the precision of measurement.

TABLE 11.—Orientative influence of pH as shown in testsmade June 10-12, 1950

K2H(PO4) added	Unmod- ifled	Differ- ence in	Number of decisions	Entered channel with—		
	control	р <b>Н</b>		High pH	Low pH	
рН 6.7 6.9 7.1 7.3	рН 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5	0.2 .4 .6 .8	14S 127 141 20	Percent 49 51 47 50	Percent 51 49 53 50	
Total			436	49	51	

[Differences in pH include differences ±0.1 pH unit]

TABLE	12.—Measurements	of	free	$CO_2$	taken	during	the
	tests shor	on	in tai	ble 1.	1		

[Samples titrated with NaOH]

K2H(PO4) added	Unmodified	Difference	Difference
	control	in free CO2	in pH
$\begin{array}{c} P. p. m. \\ 1.94 \\ 1.82 \\ 1.71 \\ 1.62 \\ 1.31 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} P. p. m. \\ 1.96 \\ 1.69 \\ 1.78 \\ 1.70 \\ 1.45 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} P. p. m. \\ 0.02 \\ .07 \\ .07 \\ .08 \\ .14 \end{array}$	0.2 .8 .4 .4 .6

On the basis of the evidence from this experiment, it would seem reasonable to conclude that the response shown by the migrating fish in the previous tests was a response to differences in  $CO_2$ rather than a response to differences in pH.

# EXPERIMENTS USING NITROGEN AND OXYGEN Nitrogen

During the tests with  $CO_2$ , particularly those tests in which the CO<sub>2</sub> had been added to only one of the two channels, the possibility had to be considered that the physical presence of many bubbles in the modified channel might be influencing the choice of the fish. To eliminate this possibility nitrogen was used as a control. The gaseous nitrogen was bubbled into the water of one of the channels in the same manner and at the same rate as the CO<sub>2</sub> in the previous experiments. The addition of the N<sub>2</sub> produced no measurable difference in the amount of dissolved  $O_2$ . The data (table 13) reveal that the fish failed to show any response to the nitrogen or to the presence of the many bubbles produced. The nitrogen was then used as a control in a series of  $CO_2$  tests. The results of these tests show the same response to CO<sub>2</sub> that was shown in previous tests, again indicating that the presence or absence of the bubbles had no influence upon the choice of the fish.

#### Oxygen

To investigate the orientative influence of  $O_2$ , the migrating fish were presented with a choice of waters which contained different amounts of dissolved  $O_2$ . The water of one of the channels was modified by the addition of gaseous  $O_2$ . The experiment, however, was severely limited by the fact that the water of the stream was already more than 100 percent saturated. The data in table 13 show that the fish did not respond to a relatively small difference in  $O_2$  under these conditions. The

	Number Entered		Entered channel with-			
Factor	of decisions	control channel	N2 added	CO <sub>2</sub> added	Oz addeđ	
Nitrogen bubbles Nitrogen (control) Öxygen <sup>1</sup>	300 477 344	Percent 50	Percent 50 71 50	Percent 29	Percent 50	

 TABLE 13.—Experiments testing orientative influence of oxygen and nitrogen

 $^1$  Stream temperature, 15.4° to 19.1° C. O2 content of unmodified stream water, 10.5 to 10.7 p. p. m. O2 difference between channels, 1.1 p. p. m.

experiments unfortunately provide no information on the possible effect of differences in  $O_2$  at lower values where differences may be very important.

#### OTHER FACTORS INFLUENCING ORIENTATION

Although the major experimental efforts of this investigation were concerned with the orientative influence of temperature and dissolved gases, exploratory experiments also examined the influence of other factors.

The influence of water velocity upon the orientation of the migrating fish was explored by subjecting the fish to a choice between waters of different velocities. The difference in velocity between the two channels was created by placing a glass plate across the upstream entrance to one of the channels, restricting the amount of water entering that channel and so reducing the velocity of the water in the downstream end of the channel. The response of the fish (table 14) indicated that water velocity could be a factor in fish orientation.

The influence of water turbulence upon the orientation of the fish was explored in a similar manner. The turbulence in one of the channels was reduced by placing glass plates, several feet in length, in the center of the channel parallel to the channel walls. This produced a flow which was smooth and laminar in appearance. In the other channel, small glass plates were set at an angle to create eddies which produced a visible turbulence. Most of the fish selected the channel

TABLE 14.—Orientative influence of velocity

Water velocity		Number	Entered channel with		
Right channel	Left channel	decisions	Higher velocity	Lower velocity	
Ft./sec. 1.5 0.7	Ft./sec. 0.7 1.0	26 26	Percent 85 65	Percent 15 35	

with less turbulence (table 15).  $CO_2$  was then added to the channel with less turbulence in order to discover the relative influence of turbulence and  $CO_2$ . The data indicate that  $CO_2$  had the greater orientative influence.

TABLE 15.-Orientative influence of turbulence and CO2

	Number of	Entered channel with—		
Influence of	Number of - decisions	Maximum turbulence	Minimum turbule <b>nce</b>	
Turbulence Turbulence and CO:	26 27	Percent 32 70	Percent 68 1 30	

<sup>1</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> added, 4 p. p. m.

An exploratory experiment was made which indicated that visual factors may influence fish orientation. The downstream end of one channel was partially blocked (see fig. 9). The fish normally swam within a few inches of the bottom of the trough so that the "obstacle" did not interfere in any physical way with their progress. However, most of the fish entered the channel which was completely unobstructed. To examine the relative influence of this visual factor and temperature, the water of the partially blocked channel was heated 2° C. The data (table 16) show that under these conditions temperature was the dominant orienting factor.

These tests were crude experiments of an exploratory nature. There undoubtedly were some velocity differences involved in the turbulence tests. The partial block at the downstream end of one of the channels in the tests involving the visual factor probably created slight differences



FIGURE 9.—"Visual" factor (exploratory tests).

TABLE 16.—Orientative influence of the visual factor

Influence of—	Number of	Entered ch wa	annel that s—
decision		Open	Obstructed
Visual factor Temperature and visual factor	89 93	Percent 05 40	Percent 35 1 60

<sup>1</sup> Heat added, 2° C.

in hydraulic conditions between the two channels. The experiments were done with relatively small numbers of fish and the degree of the response should not be interpreted too literally. However, the experiments are presented here because they do indicate that characteristics of flow, such as velocity and turbulence, can have a directional influence upon migrating fish. They also indicate that, under some circumstances at least, visual factors are capable of influencing fish orientation.

#### THE 3:1 RATIO OF THE RESPONSE

The explanation for the persistence of the approximately 3:1 ratio in the response of the fish to temperature differences and to  $CO_2$  differences is not readily apparent. The absence of a response much closer to a 100 percent response under such controlled conditions would seem to indicate that only a proportion of the fish were influenced by the orienting factor. If, for example, half of the fish were influenced by the testing factor and the other half entered the channels at random, the resulting ratio would be 3:1.

A possible sexual variation in the response of the fish was considered. A trap was placed at the head of each channel and after a series of  $CO_2$ tests had been run, the fish in each trap were examined for sex. This procedure was later repeated with a series of temperature tests. The data (table 17) indicate that the sex of the fish has no effect upon its response to differences in  $CO_3$  and temperature.

The possibility of individual variation in the sensitivity of the fish to temperature differences and to  $CO_2$  differences was examined by gradually increasing the differences between the two channels. Had there been significant individual variation in sensitivity, the response would have become greater as the difference between channels gradually exceeded the thresholds of more and

TABLE 17.—Influence of sex on the response to CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature

Factor and sex		Percent that entered channel with—				
	Number of decisions	of cisions		Temperature—		
		Higher	Lower	Higher	Lower	
CO2: Male Female Temperature: Male Female	54 70 24 38	26 27	74 78	 70 76	21 24	

more fish. This, however, did not occur. The response remained approximately 3:1 even at the maximum attainable differences (>7.00 p. p. m. free CO<sub>2</sub> and  $3.0^{\circ}$  C.).

The possibility was also considered that some individuals might be completely insensible to the differences in temperature and  $CO_2$  which were being used in the experiments. An experiment was set up in which fish that had previously been tested were again subjected to the same choice. A trap was placed at the head of each channel and a series of CO<sub>2</sub> tests were made. The trapped fish were then brought back to the entrance of the experimental trough and the CO<sub>2</sub> tests repeated. The data (table 18) show that the response of the fish that had previously entered the channel with the higher CO., was approximately 3:1 in favor of the channel with the lower  $CO_2$ , and those fish which had previously entered the channel with the lower  $CO_2$  also exhibited a 3:1 response in favor of the channel with the lower  $CO_2$ .

Such evidence strongly suggests that the 3:1 ratio is not due to the failure of particular individuals or particular groups of individuals to respond to the orienting factor. It seems more probable that the explanation lies in the behavior patterns inherent in all the fish. If, for example, every fish responded to an orienting factor only half the time and acted at random the other half, the result would also be a 3:1 ratio. Further

TABLE 18.—Retesting the response of fish to CO<sub>2</sub>

	N	Entered channel with—		
Fish from channel with—	decisions	Higher CO2	Lower CO2	
Lower CO: Higher CO:	111 54	Percent 27 30	Percent 73 70	

experiments may be necessary to throw some light on the nature of the ratio and to learn whether the phenomenon has any significance beyond the restricted circumstances of this experimental method.

#### DISCUSSION

When the results of the preceding experiments are compared with the findings of other investigators several interesting possibilities are suggested. One possibility is that the response of the fish to temperature differences might be a family characteristic. The two species of fish, Pomolobus pseudoharengus (Wilson) and Pomolobus acstivalis (Mitchill), which at Bournedale showed a consistent preference for warmer water, are members of the family Clupeidae. Shelford and Powers (1915) found that herring, Clupea pallasi, fry preferred warmer water. The observations of Roule (1933) indicated that migrating shad, Paralosa nilotica rhodanensis and Alosa alosa, which also belong to the family Clupeidae, selected water of higher temperature.

The threshold of the response to temperature differences shown by the two species of fish at Bournedale ( $0.5^{\circ}$  C.) agrees very closely with that reported by Shelford and Powers (1915) for herring fry. This threshold may seem high compared to the minimum effective thermal stimulus range for certain fresh-water fishes (differences of  $0.03^{\circ}$  to  $0.07^{\circ}$  C.) reported by Bull (1936). However, it must be remembered that this temperature difference represents the minimum temperature difference which will provoke an unconditioned orientative response in the fish. The minimum temperature difference which is probably much less.

Powers and Hickman (1928) presented evidence to show that rivers draining lakes usually had lower  $CO_2$  tensions than other rivers (average difference 0.5 mm. Hg). Powers (1939) contended that by means of these differences in  $CO_2$  tension migrating fish could select certain types of streams. The results of the  $CO_2$  experiments at Bournedale would fit well into his argument. The alewife and the glut herring both showed a strong preference for water of lower  $CO_2$  tension (threshold difference 0.1 mm. Hg). These fishes usually spawn in small ponds and shallow lakes and the choice of water of lower  $CO_2$  content would result in the selection of streams leading to lakes or ponds.

The fundamental nature of the response of the fish to differences in  $CO_2$  and to differences in temperature is indicated by the manner in which  $CO_2$  and temperature were able to dominate competing orienting factors in the exploratory tests shown on page 390. The low threshold values for these responses also suggest their probable importance.

The experiments examining the orientative influence of pH (p. 388) confirm the conclusion of Powers (1930) that pH was largely ineffective as a factor influencing the behavior of aquatic animals. Whether the response of the fish in the experiments at Bournedale was to differences in free CO<sub>2</sub> or to the associated differences in HCO<sub>3</sub> was not actually established although the threshold values involved suggest that the response was to free CO<sub>2</sub>. If the response was to the free CO<sub>2</sub> the influence of CO<sub>2</sub> differences is limited to waters with a pH of less than 8.4. This fact might be used to advantage in an experiment to determine to which of the two factors the fish are responding.

Although the main efforts of this investigation were directed toward examining the orientative influence of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature upon the migrating fish, this was not meant to imply that these are the only major influences which might be concerned in other situations. The influence of differences in dissolved  $O_2$  may be of great importance when lower  $O_2$  values are concerned, which was not the case at Bournedale. Under special conditions flow characteristics such as velocity and turbulence may play an important part in directing the fish. The role of olfactory memory needs to be further explored. The influence of factors affecting the orientation of the fish indirectly (e. g., by controlling the depth of swimming) must be considered. It was largely to stress the fact that many factors may be concerned in fish orientation that the exploratory tests involving velocity, turbulence. and visual factors were included in this report.

Perhaps one of the most important considerations to which the foregoing experiments call attention is that not only are there many factors which may have a directional influence upon the migrating fish but also that they must all be considered together. The experiments examining the relative influence of  $CO_2$  and temperature demonstrated conditions under which the response to temperature differences could dominate the response to  $CO_2$  differences when the factors were in opposition. The reverse situation was also demonstrated. The experiments further revealed circumstances under which the two factors were equal and the influence of the one balanced the influence of the other. Had an observer at these experiments been considering only temperature, for example, he would have seen the fish choose the warmer water in one group of tests and the colder water in another. During the third group of tests he would have concluded that the fish were indifferent to temperature. It is quite possible that many apparently contradictory observations may be explained in this way, e.g., the observations of Ward (1920), Chamberlain (1907), and Foerster (1929), regarding the influence of temperature on sockeye salmon.

One of the considerations that had to be taken into account during the experiments at Bournedale was that the fish might be reacting to a change in water conditions. This possibility became evident in one of the exploratory tests examining the influence of light intensities. One channel of the experimental trough was shaded and the other was left exposed to full sunlight. When the undivided lower portion of the experimental trough was shaded, the fish chose the shaded channel. When the lower part of the trough was exposed to sunlight, the fish chose the sunlit channel. Thus the fish showed no preference for either the sunlit or the shaded channel. They simply avoided any change in light conditions.

During experiments in which water was modified by the introduction of gaseous  $CO_2$  the fish avoided the modified water. Although the fish entered the trough in a mixture of water from both channels (fig. 2), and before entering they had been subjected to a mixture of both waters in the upstream end of the retaining pool (fig. 4), the possibility that the reaction of the fish was one to change in chemical conditions still had to be considered. However, in one experiment which was made at Bournedale, the  $CO_2$  content of the water of one channel was reduced by the addition of NaOH. In that experiment (see p. 388) the fish showed a preference for the modified water. It, therefore, seems improbable that the response of the fish in the other  $CO_2$  experiments was a response to change in chemical conditions.

Powers and Clark (1943), discussing certain gradient-tank experiments with brook trout, *Salvelinus f. fontinalis*, and rainbow trout, *Salmo* gairdneri iridus, presented evidence indicating that the reactions of the trout to  $CO_2$  in these experiments might be characterized as reaction to change. They made the observation that "The immediate response to carbon dioxide tension of the water depends to a large extent upon the carbon dioxide tension to which the fish is adjusted." The period of adjustment in their experiments was only 10 to 15 minutes.

If this observation were true for migrating fish then  $CO_2$  would probably have little directive influence. The fish adjusted to the  $CO_2$  tension of the sea would be restrained from entering fresh water with a different  $CO_2$  tension. At a junction between two streams the fish would tend to select the stream with the larger volume in an effort to remain in the  $CO_2$  tension nearest to the one to which it had become adjusted.

A possible explanation is suggested by an earlier statement of Powers (1939):

The ova and sperm heads contain a protein (protamine) containing large percentages of arginine. It requires a vast protein metabolism to obtain the necessary arginine. Protein metabolism and especially fasting both necessary for the liberation of arginine from the nuscular tissue of the salmon—tends to produce acidosis of the blood, *i. c.*, lower the alkali reserve of the blood. This is common knowledge. A salmon with a low alkali reserve blood would find low carbon dioxide tension water more advantageous.

The special physiological state of the migrating fish might prevent it from becoming adjusted to its environment.

As experimental evidence is acquired indicating physical and chemical differences may have a directional influence upon migrating fish, the method by which the fish is oriented to these differences becomes an important consideration, particularly when one is trying to relate artificial experimental conditions to situations found in nature.

There are two methods by which fish might become oriented in a gradient. The fish might make a simultaneous comparison of intensities of stimulation by means of symmetrically placed receptors and turn toward (or away from) the maximum stimulation. If this was the method of orientation, the orientative influence of gradients would be limited to those gradients great enough so that the differences between points a few inches apart (the distance between paired receptors) are above the threshold of sensory perception of fish. Gradients as high as this do actually exist in nature but usually only as a narrow zone between two bodies of water which are just beginning to mix.

A second method by which a fish might orient in a gradient is similar to the "trial" method of orientation characterized by Jennings (1906) as "selection from among the conditions produced by various movements." He points out that in this type of behavior, "Each stimulus causes as a rule not merely a single definite action that may be called a reflex, but a series of "trial" movements, of the most diverse character, and including at times practically all the movements of which the animal is capable." This type of orientation Fraenkel and Gunn (1940) have labeled "klinotaxis," and defined as "a directed orientation made possible by means of regular deviations and involving comparison of intensities at successive points in time," and they pointed out examples of such behavior in many invertebrates. In this method of orientation the fish, subjecting itself to varied conditions by its active movements, would select the most favorable condition or direction. The method involves both movement and comparison of intensities of stimulation that are successive in time.

The behavior of the fish as they selected a channel in the experimental trough at Bournedale strongly suggested that this latter method of orientation is the one used. As the fish entered the trough they usually swam from one side to the other, approaching first one channel and then the other. When once the fish had left the narrow zone in which the water was mixing (fig. 2) it was no longer subjected to a gradient. Its sensory receptors were all subjected to the same intensity of stimulation and, therefore, the current was the only orientative influence directing the movement of the fish upstream, unless memory was involved. Yet the fish repeatedly swam from one side of the trough to the other and frequently even after entering one channel, they turned back and entered the other.

Orientation by means of a comparison of intensities that are successive in time would make it possible for fish to become oriented in much smaller gradients than would be necessary if orientation was by a simultaneous comparison of intensities. The minimum gradient in which a fish could orient would depend on the speed of the fish and the time interval of its sensory memory.

The experiments at Bournedale have demonstrated that one group of migrating anadromous fishes will orient with reference to differences in certain physical and chemical water characteristics created artificially. It is logical to suppose that the fish will also be oriented by similar differences occurring along their migration routes in nature.

#### SUMMARY

The purpose of this investigation was to examine experimentally the influence of certain physical and chemical water characteristics upon the orientation of one type of migrating anadromous fish. The migrating fish were presented with a choice between two channels that carried water with different characteristics. The orientative influence of the water properties in question was measured by the number of fish selecting each channel. The reactions of more than 8,000 fish of the genus Pomolobus-alewife, P. pseudoharengus (Wilson), and glut herring, P. aestivalis (Mitchill)—were tested as the fish migrated upstream through the Herring River at Bournedale, Mass., toward their spawning area, in the springs of 1949 and 1950.

The fish were not removed from the stream or handled in any way. Each was tested individually and tested only once (the few exceptions are noted). The findings of this investigation were:

1. Presented with a choice of waters having different temperatures, 77 percent of the fish entered the channel with the warmer water when the temperature difference continuously exceeded  $0.5^{\circ}$  C. The temperature differences examined ranged from  $0.4^{\circ}$  to  $3.0^{\circ}$  C. Water temperatures during experiments varied from  $11.1^{\circ}$  to  $22.3^{\circ}$  C.

2. The response of the fish to temperature differences near the threshold difference decreased as the temperature level of the water increased.

3. Presented with a choice of waters having different amounts of free  $CO_2$ , 72 percent of the fish entered the channel with water of a lower

free CO<sub>2</sub> content when the free CO<sub>2</sub> difference exceeded 0.3 p. p. m. (0.1 mm. of Hg in terms of CO<sub>2</sub> tension). The differences in free CO<sub>2</sub> examined ranged from 0.2 p. p. m. CO<sub>2</sub> to greater than 4.0 p. p. m. The amount of free CO<sub>2</sub> in the water during these experiments varied from 0.8 p. p. m. to 7.1 p. p. m.

4. The sex of the fish appeared to have no influence on its response to differences in  $CO_2$  content or in temperature.

5. Exploratory experiments indicated that visual factors and such factors as velocity and turbulence can influence orientation.

6. The fish did not respond to a difference of 1.1 p. m. m.  $O_2$  created during experiments. The amount of  $O_2$  in the water during the experiments ranged from 10.5 p. p. m. to 10.7 p. p. m. and water temperatures ranged from 15.4° to 19.1° C.

7. The fish were indifferent to pH differences as large as 0.8 pH unit when associated differences in free CO<sub>2</sub> were less than the threshold 0.3 p. p. m. The pH of the water varied from 6.5 to 7.3 during these experiments.

8. The relative orientative influence of  $CO_2$ and temperature, when the two factors were in opposition, was shown to depend upon their; relative differences of magnitude. A difference in temperature of approximately 2° C. dominated an opposing difference in free  $CO_2$  of 2.0 p. p. m. A difference of  $CO_2$  slightly in excess of 2.4 p. p. m. balanced the opposite effect of a 2° C. temperature difference. A difference of >7.0 p. p. m.  $CO_2$ dominated over a temperature difference of 0.6° C.

9. The behavior of the fish during the experiments indicated that the orientation was accomplished by a method of "trial" involving both movement of the fish and a comparison of intensities of stimulations which were successive in time.

#### LITERATURE CITED

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE. 1939. The migration and conservation of salmon.

Publ. No. S, 106 pp. Science Press, Lancaster, Pa. AMERICAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION.

1946. Standard methods for the examination of water and sewage. Ed. 9, 296 pp., illus. New York.

BULL, HERBERT O.

1936. Studies on conditioned responses in fishes. Part VII. Temperature perception in teleosts. Jour. Marine Biol. Assoc. United Kingdom (n. s.) 21 (1): 1-27, illus. CHAMBERLAIN, F[REDERIC] M.

- 1907. Some observations on salmon and trout in Alaska. Report of the Commissioner of Fisheries for the Fiscal Year of 1906 with special papers, U. S. Bureau of Fisheries Doc. No. 627, 112 pp., illus.
- CHEVEY, [P.], [L.] ROULE, and [M.] VERRIER.
- 1927. Sur l'interruption de la montée des Saumon par la diminution de la teneur du cours d'eau en oxygène dissous. Comptes Rendus, L'Acad. Sciences 185 (25): 1527–1528. Paris.

CHIDESTER, F[LOYD] E.

- 1924. A critical examination of the evidence for physical and chemical influences on fish migration. British Jour. Exper. Biol. 2: 79–118.
- ELLIS, M. M., B. A. WESTFALL, and MARION D. ELLIS.
- 1948. Determination of water quality. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Research Report 9, 117 pp.
- FOURSTER, R[USSEL] E.
  - 1929. Notes on the relation of temperature, hydrogenion concentration, and oxygen to the migration of adult sockeye salmon. Canadian Field-Nat. 43 (1): 1-4.

FRAENKEL, GOTTFRIED, and DONALD L. GUNN.

- 1940. The orientation of animals. 352 pp. Clarenden Press, Oxford.
- JENNINGS, H[ERBERT] S.
  - 1906. Behavior of the lower organisms. 366 pp. Columbia University Press, New York.

MOORE, EDWARD W.

1939. Graphic determination of carbon dioxide and the three forms of alkalinity. Jour. Amer. Water Works Assn., vol. 31, pt. 1, p. 51.

POWERS, EDWIN B.

- 1930. The relation between pH and aquatic animals. Amer. Nat. 64 (693): 342-366, illus.
- 1939. Chemical factors affecting the migratory movements of the Pacific salmon. Publ. Amer. Association for the Advancement of Science No. 8, pp. 72–85.

POWERS, EDWIN B., and R. T. CLARK.

1943. Further evidence on chemical factors affecting the migratory movements of fishes especially the salmon. Ecology 24 (1):109–112.

POWERS, EDWIN B., and T. A. HICKMAN.

1928. The carbon dioxide tensions of the Fraser River and its lower tributaries and of certain tributaries of the Columbia River. Puget Sound Biol. Sta. Publ. 5:373-380.

ROULE, LOUIS.

- 1914. Sur l'influence exèrcee sur la migration de montée du Saumon (Salmo salar L.) par la proportion d'oxygène dissous dans l'eau des fleuves. Comptes Rendus, L'Acad. Sciences 158:1364–1366. Paris.
- 1933. Fishes. Their journeys and migrations. Trans. from the French by Courad Elphinstone. 270 pp., illus. W. W. Norton and Co., Inc., New York.

RUSSELL, E[DWARD] S.

1934. The behavior of animals: an introduction to its study. 196 pp., illus. E. Arnold and Co., London. [Revised 1938.]

SHELFORD, VICTOR E., and EDWIN B. POWERS.

1915. An experimental study of the movements of herring and other marine fishes. Biological Bull. 28 (5):334.

WARD, HENRY BALDWIN.

.

1920. Some features in migration of the sockeye salmon and their practical significance. Trans. Amer. Fisheries Soc. 50: 387–426.

WEBER, E. H.

1846. Der Tastsinn und das Gemeingefühl. In Dr. Rudolph Wagner's Handwoerterbuch der physiologie. Band III, Abth. 2, pp. 481–588. Braunschweig.

Ο