

nternational

FERNATIONAL PACIFIC SALMON

ISHING REGULATIONS ADAPTED TO ROTECT EARLY RUNS OF SOCKEYE ALMON TO FRASER RIVER:

As of mid-July 1965, all sockeye salmon uns to the Fraser River were both earlier ad lighter than those in the brood year of 961. Normally, the 1965 Fraser River sockye runs should be later than those of the receding cycle.

Early arrival of salmon on spawning rounds has been associated with some prebawning mortality. Therefore, when the brsefly run of sockeye salmon to the Fraser iver arrived early, the International North acific Salmon Fisheries Commission grantboth United States and Canadian fishermen hours of additional fishing for the week mmencing July 18, 1965. However, to prode for adequate escapement, the Commisbon ruled that no fishing in Convention wars would be allowed in the last week of July til a satisfactory number of the Horsefly thas passed above the fishing boundary in Fraser River.

LAT LAKES FISHERY COMMISSION

]'H ANNUAL MEETING:

Lake trout continue to recover in Lake perior, according to scientists attending 10th annual meeting of the Great Lakes shery Commission, held at Ann Arbor, ch., June 22-24, 1965. The improvement recovery is attributed to the 80-percent duction in sea lamprey populations and the inting of hatchery-reared lake trout to supiment natural spawning in inshore areas.

Canadian and United States scientists caring out the program reported that all but of the sea lamprey-producing streams in the Superior has been chemically treated at least once and more than half were treated twice. Although the reduction in sea lampreys was substantial, they were still present in significant numbers in certain isolated areas and possible sources of this continuing infestation were discussed at the meeting. It was agreed that several "problem" streams should be investigated intensively.

Substantial annual plantings of hatchery yearling lake trout are contributing to the fish stocks. An improved natural spawning was noted in the fall of 1964 for the first time since 1959, but it cannot be expected to provide a significant increase in numbers of adult fish for 5 years.

Distinct lake trout populations have been found on isolated offshore grounds which have not been as severely affected by sea lampreys as those near shore. Those grounds are now in a healthy condition and scientists have recommended that they be fished on an experimental basis.

The chemical treatment program which began in Lake Michigan in 1960 has proceeded on schedule and should be completed in June 1966. This past spring, Lake Michigan received its first substantial lake trout planting (1.2 million fish). They were planted in Grand Traverse Bay, off the east shore of Wisconsin's Door Peninsula, along the north shore and in the reef-studded area around Beaver Island.

The Commission's chairman, Donald L. McKernan, Director, U. S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, expressed concern at the deterioration of conditions in Lake Erie, once the major commercial producer of fresh-water fish on this continent. In 1955, the Lake Erie catch was 75 million pounds valued at \$9.6 million. In 1964 the catch from that lake was down to only 38.7 million pounds and the value dropped to \$3.6 million. Investigations in Lake Erie have barely been able to follow the changing fish populations and food organInternational (Contd.):

isms resulting mainly from pollution. According to the Commission's chairman, the decline in preferred species in Lake Erie is continuing at such a rapid rate that a reappraisal of the situation should be made and a new approach taken in dealing with its problems. (University of Michigan News Service, June 24, 1965.)

Note: See Commercial Fisheries Review, September 1964 p. 52.

INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION FOR THE NORTHWEST ATLANTIC FISHERIES

WEST GERMANY ADHERES TO PROTOCOL CONCERNING HARP AND HOOD SEALS:

On May 26, 1965, the Federal Republic of Germany deposited adherence to a Protocol to the International Convention for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries of February 8, 1949. The Protocol (done at Washington, July 15, 1963) relates to harp and hood seals and is intended to bring those species within the responsibility of the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Commission. The Protocol is not yet in force. (Bulletin, U. S. Department of State, June 14, 1965.)

Note: See Commercial Fisheries Review, March 1964 p. 45.

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION

PRELIMINARY DRAFT CONVENTION FOR THE CONSERVATION OF ATLANTIC TUNA: A Working Party of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) agreed July 13, 1965, on a draft international convention for the conservation of Atlantic tuna. The draft will now go before the Conference of FAO which meets in November 1965.

The Working Party has asked the FAO Conference to convene a conference of nations early in 1966 to adopt an Atlantic Tuna Convention. The Government of Brazil has offered to host such a meeting, which might be held in April 1966 at Sao Paolo.

FAO officials point out that there has never been any international action for the protection of Atlantic tuna stocks. Atlantic tuna are fished by many nations and yield an average catch of 300,000 metric tons a year.

The draft convention approved by the FAO Working Party covers the Atlantic Ocean and such adjacent waters as the Caribbean, the Gulf of Mexico, and the Mediterranean. It would set up a new international commission to deal not only with research but also with recommendations to protect the stocks. It would work in close cooperation with FAO. The commission would be open to all interested member nations of the United Nations and its specialized agencies.

A delegate from Brazil was Chairman of the Working Party Session, July 6-13, 1965. Other delegates attended from France, Japar Nigeria, Portugal, Senegal, and the United States, together with observers from Cuba, the Federal Republic of Germany, Mexico, and Italy. (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, July 13, 1965.)

* * * * *

GLOBAL REGULATION OF WHALING URGED:

Whaling must be regulated at a worldwide level as soon as possible, declared the chief of the Fisheries Biology Branch of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) at the 17th annual meeting of the International Whaling Commission. The most pressing need, according to FAO officials, is the control of whaling from motherships.

In speaking of global whaling regulations, FAO's Fishery Biology chief said, "This is now very urgent in view of the need to establish, without reasonable doubt, the levels of sustainable yield of each species in the seasons 1966/67 and 1967/68, and pave the way for a long-term regime of regulation which will ensure the attainment as soon as possible, by these stocks, of levels at which they can sustain maximum yields."



Fig. 1 - Japanese whaling factoryship in Bering Sea.

Referring to the unanimous decision of the International Whaling Commission to restrict whaling quotas during coming seasons, he continued, "We must remember that the price of unanimity in the agreement, was a concession permitting continued overfishing of the sei and fin whale stocks for a further two seasons. We know that this most unfortunate situation was reached because heavy investments were made in new whaling expeditions notwithstanding the warnings of many scientists over many years that the stocks could not stand such hard exploitation."

At a special conference held in London in May 1965 the Commission recommended a limit of 4,500 bluewhale units for the 1965/66 Antarctic season. In the preceding season (1964/65), the whaling nations took 7,000 units. The Commission recommended that quotas should be further reduced for the 1966/67 and 1967/68 seasons, so that by then the catch would be less than the combined sustainable yield of the stocks of fin and

nternational (Contd.):

ei whales. (The whaling industry counts its catch in lue-whale units, one of which equals 2 fin whales or sei whales.) It was pointed out that for years quotas ere set far above safe yields, on the grounds that this as economically necessary. Yet most expeditions iled to catch enough whales to justify the high investents.

FAO's Fishery Biology chief said, "Now, the residstocks will have to bear the brunt of a last-minute tempt to recuperate some of the losses on what ned out to be bad investments. We have to accept this lation and the means now agreed to get out of the lamma-but we cannot be happy with it. Let us at ast learn some lessons from the history of the indusy - to develop other fishery industries on the basis of ientific appraisals of the capacity of renewable reurces to yield continuously: to take due account, in at time, of the scientific advice."



2 - Removing flukes and flensing whale aboard a Japanese factoryship in ring Sea.

The FAO spokesman said his organization urged ing advantage of the next two years to make a full praisal of all available whale stocks, especially of remaining sperm and blue whales. He pledged O's full cooperation in resolving all outstanding sitions related to world whaling. If whaling was to vive as a major industry, he said, FAO believes t one immediate need is the establishment of an innational observer system to check on observance of new whaling quotas. (Food and Agriculture Organison, Rome, June 29, 1965.)

I RNATIONAL WHALING COMMISSION

ANNUAL MEETING HELD:

The opening session of the 17th annual meeting of International Whaling Commission, held in London to 28-July 2, 1965, was addressed by the Minister State for Scotland. He said that at the present time Antarctic whaling industry was confronted by great iculties because conservation schemes have not yet a sufficient to maintain the whale stocks at a satistory level. Conservation demands immediate sacries if whaling is to survive and give an economic ren. The agreement at the Commission's Special Meetin May 1965 that the Antarctic catch limit should be luced to 4,500 blue-whale units for the next season 55/66) and that reductions should be made in the foling two years to a level which will allow the stocks recover is a very gratifying one. He concluded by ing that he hoped all concerned would accept the full

implications of the situation and support the further reductions necessary if the stocks are to be rebuilt from their present depleted conditions and that the foundations of a prosperous future might be laid.

A total of 15 expeditions (7 Japanese, 4 Soviet, and 4 Norwegian) operated in the Antarctic in the 1964/65 season and caught a total of 20 blue whales, 7,308 fin whales, and 19,874 sei whales for a total of 6,986 blue-whale units (1 blue-whale equals 2 fin or 6 sei whales). In addition, those expeditions caught 4,211 sperm whales in the Antarctic. In the previous season there were 16 expeditions (7 Japanese, 4 Norwegian, 4 Soviet, and 1 Dutch) which caught a total of 112 blue whales, 13,870 fin whales, 2 humpback whales, 8,286 sei whales amounting to 8,429 blue-whale units in all, and also 6,651 sperm whales. The total production of baleen and sperm oil from the 1964/65 Antarctic pelagic season amounted to 1,158,841 barrels (1 barrel equals about $\frac{1}{6}$ metric ton); this compared with a production of 1,299,476 barrels from the 1963/64 catch.

Two Antarctic land stations at South Georgia were operated by Japanese whaling companies in 1964/65, catching a total of 1,150 whales (503 fin, 506 sei and 141 sperm) yielding 45,806 barrels of oil. That compares with a total of 1,021 whales taken from those two land stations in 1963/64 from which 41,282 barrels of oil were produced. Outside the Antarctic, 36 land stations and 7 factoryships operated in 1964, and a total of 28,527 whales were taken (256 blue, 4,731 fin, 316 humpback, 4,986 sei, 18,054 sperm, and 184 other species). In addition, the Antarctic pelagic expeditions caught 4,316 sperm whales on their way to the Antarctic bringing the total catch outside Antarctic waters to 32,843 whales. Total oil production amounted to 882,159 barrels. Comparable figures for 1963 were 33,433 whales (including 3,659 sperm whales taken by Antarctic pelagic expeditions north of 40° South latitude) and 925,045 barrels of oil.

The regulations of the International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling are contained in a document called the Schedule which is amended from time to time by the Commission. The amendments come into force after 90 days from the date of their notification to the Contracting Governments. If an objection is received within that period, the amendment does not become effective for another 90 days. Any other Contracting Government may object during that time, or before the expiration of 30 days from the date of receipt of the last objection received during the additional 90-day period, whichever date shall be the later. Thereafter the regulation becomes effective for all Contracting Governments who have not objected.

At the 17th Annual Meeting the Commission agreed on several amendments of the Schedule. No quota of blue-whale units for the 1964/65 season in the Antarctic had been agreed upon at the 16th Meeting, but at the Special Meeting in May 1965, Commissioners had agreed to recommend to their Governments that the quota for the 1965/66 Antarctic season should be 4,500 blue-whale units and that further reduction should be made in the 1966/67 and 1967/68 seasons so that the quota for the 1967/68 season would be less than the combined sustainable yields of the fin and sei whale stocks as determined on the basis of more scientific evidence. At the 17th meeting this recommendation of the Special Meeting was implemented by an amendment of the Schedule which was proposed by the Commissioner for the United Kingdom and seconded by the Commissioner for Canada. The amendment was to delete in Paragraph 8 (a) the

International (Contd.):

words "10,000 blue-whale units in 1963/64" and add "4,500 blue-whale units in 1965/66. There shall be further reductions for the years 1966/67 and 1967/68 that will assure that the total catch for 1967/68 will be less than the combined sustainable yields of the fin and sei stocks as determined on the basis of more precise scientific evidence." On being put to the vote, all 12 Commissioners present at the meeting were in favor of the amendment.

The Commission agreed without dissent that in Paragraph 4 of the Schedule it would be forbidden to kill blue whales in the Pacific ocean and its dependent waters north of the equator for 5 years beginning with the 1966 season. The proposal was made by the Commissioner for Canada and seconded by the Commissioner for the United States. Also in the Pacific, it was proposed by the Commissioner for Japan and seconded by the Commissioner for Australia that it would be forbidden to kill humpback whales for the 1966 season in the North Pacific ocean and its dependent waters north of the equator. This amendment of Paragraph 4 of the Schedule was carried without dissent.

Concern was expressed about the increased taxation of the stocks of sperm whales. There had been much larger catches in the last year and it was feared that decreased whaling in the Antarctic might divert more factoryships to hunt that species in the area outside the Antarctic where the females and breeding stocks are found. Furthermore, while the minimum size limits of a 38-foot length should be enough to save the great majority of females, massive evidence was available to the Commission to show that this regulation was being broken on a large scale. Although much more information is needed on the state of the stocks of that species, it was pointed out that delaying conservation action until better evidence on depletion is obtained has already shown us examples of having waited until the sustainable yield is no longer economic.

With these arguments before it the Commission therefore considered a Schedule amendment moved by the Commissioner for Australia and seconded by the Commissioner for New Zealand which stated "It is forbidden to use a whale catcher attached to a factoryship for the purpose of killing or attempting to kill sperm whales in the waters between 40° South latitude and 40° North latitude." The amendment was carried by 7 votes to 2 but there were 4 abstentions.

One paragraph in the Schedule to the Convention gives the number of blue-whale units caught in the Antarctic after which daily records of catches must be sent to the Bureau of International Whaling Statistics at Sandefjord, Norway, so that the latter can indicate to the factoryships the day when the total quota will have been reached and they must cease operations. present the number refers to the quota for 1963/64 and stands at 9,000. For the future, however, it was proposed by the Commissioner for Australia and seconded by the Commissioner for Japan that Paragraph 8(c) of the Schedule should be changed to delete the "9,000" in the third from last line and replace it by the words "85% of whatever total catch limit was imposed by the Commission." The proposal was accepted by all Commissioners present.

In connection with other provisions of the Schedule which were on the Commission's agenda, no action was taken. This means that for the next Antarctic season the Sanctuary area will remain open and the dates for starting and ending the baleen whale seasons remain the same.

The International Observer Scheme was the subject of a proposal by the Norwegian delegation amended by the Japanese delegation and adopted by the Commissio This drew attention to the agreement made in 1963, it nonimplementation, and the fact that it expires after t 1965/1966 season. It strongly requested the countries concerned to operate it in the forthcoming season and invited each of the active pelagic whaling nations to gi a firm assurance at the 17th Meeting to the effect that they would put the scheme into operation in the 1965/6 season in accordance with the rules for the implemen tation of the International Observer Scheme agreed up on among the 5 countries concerned in Sandefjord on June 26, 1964. Two of the active pelagic whaling natio were able to give this assurance but the Soviet delega tion stated that while they were in favor of implement. tion of the Scheme in the coming season their assuran must be qualified by the reserve that both the quota of the whale catch and the International Observer Scheme should be extended both to factoryships and to all land stations catching Antarctic whales and that to impleme the International Observer Scheme it is necessary to solve on a just basis the problem of reallocation of national quotas between the countries concerned. It appears that talks on these matters will be continued but no solution of these problems had been worked out by the end of the Commission's Meeting.

The Commission noted that the catching of Antarcta whales from land stations south of 40° South latitude a well as in other areas of the Southern Hemisphere has increased its importance in the light of the recent situ ation of whale stocks in the Antarctic. They thought it desirable to set up a special group representing member countries concerned with those land stations to bri into order the catching of whales in those places and t study the setting up of an observer scheme applicable them. The group should make appropriate suggestions and recommendations for discussion at the 18th Meeti of the Commission.

It was agreed that the Commission shall determine the total catch limit of Antarctic pelagic whaling for t 1966/67 season after taking into consideration the cat of Antarctic whales from the land stations mentioned the previous paragraph. The Commission also invite the Governments concerned with land stations to take domestic measures on a voluntary basis so that the la of catch for the forthcoming season does not exceed to in the 1964/65 Antarctic season or the average (calculated in blue-whale units) of the catches over the last three seasons, 1963, 1964, and 1965 outside the Antartic as the case may be.

To countries at present discussing the problems of national quotas, the Commission recommended that for the 1966/67 and 1967/68 seasons they take into consideration the catches of Antarctic whales from land stations situated south of 40° South latitude as well as in other areas of the Southern Hemisphere.

Although the taking of blue whales in the area sout of 40° South latitude is forbidden, this provision in th Schedule was objected to after the 16th Meeting by al the Antarctic pelagic whaling countries. The result is that the blue whales are still not protected in Antarct waters north of 55° South latitude from 0° eastward to 80° East longitude. The Commission therefore agree

Inrnational (Contd.):

satis meeting to an appeal being sent to the the Antsaic pelagic countries to withdraw their objection to tth hange in the Schedule 6(3) brought about by the de-ILen of the words "except in the waters north of 550 "Se latitude from 0° eastwards to 80° East longitude."

riew of the Scientific Committee's views on the ttht to the whale stocks in the North Pacific area wwie, for instance, against a catch of 3,991 fin whales iin 64 there was an estimated sustainable yield of IL, the Commission considered that the 4 North Paonic ountries should meet immediately after the meetiin) discuss conservation measures to be taken. It will so agreed that a Sperm Whale Sub-Committee ashe meet either just before or just after the North IPric Working Group which should assemble as soon sais sible after the 1965 season.

e Commission considered that, in view of the offifed the Director-General of the Food and AgriculttuOrganization (FAO) to help in a cooperative proagn of stock assessment in connection with Antarctic santher whales, provided adequate conservation plans win train, the Secretary should be asked to resume angements similar to those intended at the time of tthist meeting.

e countries party to the Arrangements for the ERelation of Antarctic Pelagic Whaling of 1962, repressed by their Commissioners, met together before amining the 17th Meeting to discuss proposals for tthlocation of national quotas but had not been able theonclude these discussions by the end of the meeting.

the proposal of the Commissioner for Australia, sseded by the Commissioner for the United States aminith the approval of the Commissioners present, iiits agreed that the Commission should appeal to OC and Peru to adhere to the 1946 Convention for the HRation of Whaling. In the meantime they should be and to observe the minimum lengths applying to sspi whales and continue to supply completed statisthidata to the Bureau of International Whaling Statt it:

esent at the 17th annual meeting were Commissears and delegates of Contracting Governments fro AA 1 ina, Australia, Canada, Denmark, France, Ice-IL: Japan, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, No. 7, South Africa, United Kingdom, United States, Soviet Union. Observers also attended from Italy, Portugal, Peru, the Food and Agriculture ization of the United Nations, the International 1 for the Exploration of the Sea, and others. ([1]s release of International Whaling Commission, IL, July 7, 1965.) Nie 215 Commercial Fisheries Review, July 1965 p. 59; September 1964

FTEAL

POUCTION AND EXPORTS FOR Soo CTED COUNTRIES, JULARY-APRIL 1965:

ember countries of the Fish Meal ExporteastOrganization (FEO) account for about 90 poont of world exports of fish meal. The countries are Chile, Angola, Iceland,

Table 1 - Exports of the	of Fish FEO, Ja	Meal by M nApr. 19	ember Cou 65	ntries
		pril	Jan.	-Apr.
Country	1965	1964	1965	1964
		.(1,000 Me	etric Tons).	
Chile	9.5	10.1	40.7	53.0
Angola	3.5	2.6	19.7	15.9
Iceland	4.8	8.7	32.1	40.5
Norway	17.5	24.1	60.0	77.8
Peru	163.1	142.4	627.9	532.1
SW. Africa)	24.0	18.1	66.4	62.7
Total	222.4	206.0	846.8	782.0

a thomas and	Ap		Jan	Apr.
Country	1965	1964	1965	1964
		.(1,000 M	etric Tons).	
Chile	3.7	13.3	37.5	60.8
Angola	2.3	2.7	15.6	17.6
Iceland	4.4	10.1	27.2	31.1
Norway	23.2	31.5	79.2	74.8
Peru	149.9	158.8	658.2	654.4
SW. Africa)	37.6	32.8	111.9	96.6
Total	221.1	249.2	929.6	935.3

Norway, Peru, and South Africa/South-West Africa.

Peru accounted for about 74 percent of the 846,800 metric tons of fish meal exported by FEO countries in January-April 1965.

CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION

COMMITTEE ON FOOD HYGIENE HOLDS SECOND MEETING:

In connection with work to develop international food standards, the Expert Committee on Food Hygiene held its second meeting, June 14-16, 1965, in Rome, Italy. The Committee, which is under the chairmanship of the United States, is one of the working groups of the FAO/WHO Codex Alimentarius (Food Standards) Commission. Food hygiene is included in the program because that element is essential to insure a food standard that is both effective and acceptable.

The Rome meeting of the Food Hygiene Committee was attended by delegates from Australia, Canada, Cuba, Denmark, France, Israel, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Poland, Sweden, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, and the United States.

The purpose of the meeting was to discuss: (1) the terms of reference of the Committee,

International (Contd.):

(2) reports of subcommittees appointed at the first meeting of the Committee (held May 27-28, 1964, in Washington, D. C.), and (3) new work assignments.

The extent of the Hygiene Committee's authority was a major point of discussion during its first meeting. At issue was the relation of the Hygiene Committee to the various Codex Committees on standards for individual commodities. Clarifying directives of the parent Codex Commission were reported at the opening of the second meeting of the Hygiene Committee. Under those directives, the Hygiene Committee may consider specific hygiene requirements when requested by a Commodity Committee, or on its own initiative where no Commodity Committee has been established. The Hygiene Committee may also consider hygiene matters if, in its expert opinion, such matters have not been adequately covered by a Commodity Committee. Although a Commodity Committee is not required to refer hygiene matters to the Hygiene Committee, the former must inform the latter when hygiene matters are being considered.

During the discussion on jurisdiction, the Hygiene Committee decided to request a widening of its authority so that it might examine all hygiene aspects of a commodity as far back as initial production if relevant to standards for the final product.

After considerable discussion, the Hygiene Committee approved a revised draft of General Principles and Guidelines for Food Hygiene Standards. It will be submitted to member Governments for comments, before being prepared in final form at the next meeting of the Committee.

A draft of hygiene standards for fish and fish products was not presented at the meeting. The United Kingdom, which had been assigned that task, reported that the draft standards would be ready for submission at the next meeting. During the discussion, a question arose as to the definition of fish products. An FAO representative said the term as it related to the work of the Hygiene Committee covered fish and crustacea, but not molluscs. To fill the gap, the United Kingdom, with the United States and Canada as collaborators, was assigned the responsibility of preparing draft hygiene standards covering all aspects of the production and processing of molluscs. Reports by the Netherlands on salmonell and aflatoxin were reviewed briefly. The Committee decided that salmonella should h separately considered as it related to the d velopment of hygiene standards for specific commodities. The Committee postponed a consideration of the aflatoxin problem until the results of additional research are available.

The only specific new work proposal for the coming year involving fish was the assist ment for the drafting of standards for molluscs. Fish may be indirectly involved in preparation of a report on special standard for developing countries. In addition, a report on standards for fish processing plants will revised during the year.

The third annual meeting of the Hygiene Committee will probably be held in May or June 1966. (Regional Fisheries Attache for Europe, United States Embassy, Copenhage July 7, 1965.)

Note: See <u>Commercial Fisheries</u> <u>Review</u>, Dec. 1964 p. 76, a Sept. 1964 p. 1.



Australia

DEVELOPMENT OF COMMERCIAL SHRIMP FISHERY PROMISING:

Hopes for the establishment of a commucial shrimp fishing industry in the Gulf of Carpentaria have been strengthened by continued good shrimp catches by the Austral Government's chartered survey vessel Ray and three other trawlers working in that a The survey is being supervised by a commtee made up of representatives of the Commonwealth Department of Primary Industri the Commonwealth Scientific and Industria Research Organization, and the Queenslam Department of Harbours and Marine.

Encouraged by promising catches in Ap and early June 1965, the Commonwealth at Queensland Governments have decided to a tend the survey until August.

On April 14, the <u>Rama</u> and another vest each caught nearly 3,000 pounds of banara shrimp (<u>Penaeus merguiensis</u>) in single d but lost most of them through gear breaks On May 31, the same two vessels landed 5 pounds of shrimp. This was followed ear June by 4 vessels taking between them 10

Istralia (Contd.):

Inds of banana shrimp in a morning. Two the vessels had arrived in the Gulf a few s previously and were unfamiliar with loc conditions. Individual drags varied from to 2,000 pounds of banana shrimp.



The catches were made in the southeastern stion of the Gulf, about 30 miles from Kamba, at the mouth of the Norman River We a shrimp-processing plant has been estished by a Sydney food exporting firm.

Bad weather prevented fishing for a while bone of the smaller vessels managed to get for a brief period and caught so many bashrimp in a small net on its second trial that the gear broke and all but 100 pounds Grimp were lost.

ose cooperation of the fishing industry covernment has been a feature of the surand the explorations have been narrowed in to a point where the survey team can inte with some confidence areas of greatest lability. (Australian Fisheries Newsletter, 1965.)

See <u>Commercial Fisheries</u> <u>Review</u>, August 1965 p. 67, and 1 1965 p. 57.

* * * * *

TRIBUTES FUNDS TO START FARMING IN PHILIPPINES:

he Australia Freedom From Hunger Cam-1 h organization will contribute £65,945 147,717) over a three-year period for a 1 lect designed to set up fresh-water fish nurseries in the Philippines so as to provide the population with a source of protein food. A five-year plan has been drawn up to establish 10 fresh-water nurseries, 20 brackishwater nurseries, and 16 oyster farms. Experts will be trained to give demonstrations which will show Philippine farmers the possibilities of starting fish culture on farms.

The Australian Freedom from Hunger Campaign Committee will also support a Catholic Overseas Relief project, estimated to cost £16,337 (\$36,600), which will provide needy fishermen with seaworthy fishing craft and make possible the setting up of new fishing cooperatives in the Philippines. (Australian Fisheries Newsletter, July 1965.)

* * * * *

FOREIGN TRADE IN MARINE OILS, FISCAL YEARS 1962/63 AND 1963/64:

Since the closure of humpback whaling after the 1963 season, Australia has been primarily an importer rather than an exporter of marine oil. In fiscal year 1963/64 (July 1963-June 1964), Australian imports of whale oil showed a gain of 59 percent over the previous year. Imports of other marine oils, with the exception of cod-liver oil, were also up substantially.

Australian Imports of Ma Fiscal Years 1962/63 and	rine Oil, 1963/64	Tedap
Commodity	1963/64	1962/63
Whale oil	. (Imperial Gallons) 653,494 410, 86,201 95, 164,399 135,4	

Australian exports of marine oil are limited and consist largely of small shipments to Pacific Island destinations. (Agricultural Attache, United States Embassy, Canberra, June 15, 1965.)

Note: See Commercial Fisheries Review, May 1965 p. 58.



Canada

FEDERAL-PROVINCIAL PRAIRIE FISHERIES COMMITTEE MEETING:

A further step toward the establishment of a regional export-sales organization for Canadian fresh-water fish products was taken in April 1965 at a meeting in Ottawa of the Federal-Provincial Prairie Fisheries ComCanada (Contd.):

mittee. Officials of the Federal Departments of Fisheries, Trade and Commerce, and others concerned will establish a technical group to study the feasibility of such an organization from all points of view and effect a design for consideration by both Federal and provincial governments.

The Committee also considered proposals made by subcommittees on suggested designations of grades of fish and standards of quality for the fishery products of the Prairie Provinces, the Northwest Territories, and northwestern Ontario. A report on the concept of provincial loan boards and its possible application to the Prairie Provinces was also considered. At the meeting the Committee also was given an outline of the Federal Government's Fishing Vessel Assistance Plan and the problems associated with its possible extension to the Prairie Provinces.

Another report heard by the committee was on the Federal Government's Fisheries Indemnity Plan for vessels and equipment, and it was agreed that the inland provinces should advise the Federal Government regarding their interest in extension of the plan to their fisheries.

Other matters considered at the meeting were plans for economic research in the fresh-water fisheries of Canada and development of an improved statistical system for those fisheries. Federal-provincial programs in Newfoundland were described for the benefit of the Prairie members of the Committee, and other matters discussed were information, education, and extension services.

The Committee is made up of Deputy Ministers of Federal and provincial departments concerned with fisheries. (<u>Trade News</u>, April 1965.)

* * * * *

NEW COMMISSION TO STUDY EXPORT MARKETING PROBLEMS OF FRESH-WATER FISHERIES:

On July 9, 1965, the Canadian Prime Minister announced the establishment of a 1-man Commission to consider and report on the export marketing problems of the fresh-water fishing industry in the Provinces of Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, and Ontario, and the Northwest Territories. The inquiry arises out of recommendation made by the Federal-Provincial Prairie Figeries Committee. That Committee has been studying the problems of instability of prices and demand in export trade in fresh-water fishery products, as well as means for improving returns to primary producers by ma efficient marketing.

The new Commission will study the nature of factors affecting prices for fresh-water fish, particularly in the export market, and the possibility of better coordination of production and supply in relation to demand, in order to achieve more orderly marketing. I will also study the possibility and desirability of establishing an export marketing board.

The Canadian Department of Trade and Commerce and the Department of Fisheries will assist in the inquiry, which is expected to last about 6 months. (United States Emba sy, Ottawa, July 13, 1965.)

* * * * *

LAMPREY CONTROL EXPERIMENT GROUP HEADQUARTERS RELOCATES:

The Lamprey Control Experiment Group of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada being consolidated in larger quarters at Sau Ste. Marie, Ont., in order to increase the efficiency of its operations, Canada's Fisheri Minister announced July 8, 1965. It will in volve the transfer of part of the group's sta from the board's biological station at Londo Ont., to Sault Ste. Marie, a more advantageous point from which to direct the lampre control experiment in the Great Lakes. A building there was to be completed and the tire staff installed by the beginning of Sept ber 1965.

The Fisheries Research Board carries Canada's share of the lamprey control work the Great Lakes Fishery Commission, a Ca nadian-United States body which is attemption to control the predatory sea lamprey in the Great Lakes, where it has had serious effect on commercially valuable stocks of lake tro and whitefish. (Canadian Department of Fis eries, Ottawa, July 8, 1965.)



lie)n

JINED STATES EXPERTS SOUGHT TO

le Government of Ceylon is establishing Iheries Training Institute to help develop traineries. Ceylon is seeking qualified perscorl from the United States and other counrrito staff the Institute and teach marine empering; electrical, mechanical, and rerritation engineering; and fishing techmics. Ceylon is particularly interested in ceediting experienced personnel to teach m orn fishing methods. Hiring foreign expersion a contract basis has been suggested byy lylon.

supply protein to its people and relieve tuspendence on imports, Ceylon is striving for 5-fold increase in its annual fisheries can of about 100,000 metric tons. That will beenajor task. Ceylon has 75,000 fishermen oppating a fishing fleet of about 20,000 vessee But only 2,300 of those vessels are motoo ad. The proposed Fisheries Training Instrie can play a vital role in Ceylon's move too mance from ancient to modern fishing mi.eds.

Nobinterested persons, firms, or institutions in the United States contain additional information about the Institute by writing to t chylon Fisheries Corporation, P. O. Box 258, Colombo, Cey-I Uchformation may also be obtained from the American Emtion Colombo, Ceylon.



C: I

FILMEAL PRODUCTION CONTINUED AND W LEVEL IN MAY 1965:

th the anchoveta shortage continuing, CDM in fish meal production in May 1965 totail only 4,152 metric tons -- a drop of 71 peent from the 14,501 tons produced in the seconomh of the previous year. Chilean finesteal production in January-May 1965 and the dot 42,119 tons, as compared with 7553 tons in the first 5 months of 1964.

e Chilean anchoveta catch in May 1965 toroid 22,347 tons and in January-May 1965 and ted to only 252,789 tons. The Chilean fid. teal industry has had only a few months offid fishing during the last 2 years, and this has been a continuous shortage of anchild a since mid-1964. The dependence of this dustry on an inshore fishery has turned be a serious problem. (The Continental Shift is narrow off northern Chile, so Chilean purse seiners work close to shore. Also, since the vessels have a limited range and do not usually carry ice, they must deliver anchoveta shortly after they are caught.)

At its height, the Chilean fish meal industry employed more than 5,000 people. Unemployment in the industry is now at least 50 percent, according to conservative estimates. (United States Embassy, Santiago, July 14, 1965, and other sources.)



Denmark

POND TROUT SURPLUS LEADS PRODUCERS TO SEEK MINIMUM EXPORT PRICES:

Danish trout producers and exporters are concerned over a surplus production of trout, possibly amounting to 1,000 metric tons, despite increased exports during the first 6 months of 1965. Production has increased more rapidly than exports because the adoption of dry feeds in pellet form as a trout food has reduced mortality during the growing period from 50 percent to about 20 percent. About 700 Danish trout farms, mostly in Jutland, find the dry feeds much more uniform in quality than the raw fish used as feed in the past.

29.91.99	Danish H January -J					
		Expo	orts		Produ	ction
Trout	Jan.	JanJune Year				ar
1. mar 103	1965	1964	1965	1964	1965	1964
6-1744,448			.(Metri	c Tons)		
Live Fresh Frozen	1,024 2,269 1,735	934 1,961 1,154	1,771 3,896 2,527	1,344 3,908 2,532	1/ 1/ 1/	1/ 1/ 1/
Total .	5,028	4,049	8, 194	7,784	8,400	8,000

Market demand for Danish trout was less than the available supplies during the first half of 1965. The surplus trout were kept alive in the ponds, and frozen stocks were not much larger than normal. Although exports increased 24 percent during the first 6 months of 1965 as compared with the same period in 1964, wholesale prices for trout dropped at least 1 krone per kilo (6.6 U. S. cents per pound). Some reports indicated that the price paid trout farmers for 6 - to 8 -ounce round trout had dropped to as low as 24-26 cents per pound from earlier levels of 40 cents a pound.

Vol. 27, No.

Denmark (Contd.):



Fig. 1 - A pond trout enterprise in Denmark.

The Danish producers and exporters are seeking a solution to their surplus problem through use of a new Danish fisheries export law which became effective July 1, 1965. It permits the Danish Fisheries Minister to establish minimum prices for exports of fish and fishery products upon the request of the appropriate industry branch association and after discussion with an export committee made up of representatives of the major Danish fisheries associations. The trout producers have not had a representative association since 1961 when marketing problems disrupted the association then in existence. But they are forming a new association to be known as the Trout Producers Association of 1965 (Orredproducentforeningen af 1965). Although the name of the new trout association mentions only "producers," it will also include exporters because practically all of them also are producers. One cooperative owned by several hundred trout farmers produces and markets about 45 percent of the Danish trout production.



Fig. 2 - Danish pond rainbow trout.

The new trout association is expected to request that minimum prices be established for pond trout exports and that a tax on those exports be collected. The funds collected would be pooled and used to: (1) promote sales, (2) equalize prices on foreign marke and (3) control production. Minimum export prices would be requested for all types of pond trout exports and for each market. Therefore, prices may differ for different countries. It is expected that the disparit in prices would be adjusted for producers out of the fund developed by the tax on expon-Those selling to certain markets for lowe prices would be subsidized from the highe prices paid in other markets. About 80 pe cent of the Danish trout producers are reported to have agreed to seek minimum en port prices. A meeting with the Fisheries Minister was scheduled for the week of Ju 19.1965.

Exports of Danish frozen pond trout to a United States during the first 6 months of 1965 totaled 358.8 metric tons as compare with 226.1 tons during the same period in 1964. (United States Regional Fisheries A tache for Europe, United States Embassy, Copenhagen, July 16, 1965.)



German Federal Republic

INCREASED SUBSIDIES FOR FISHING INDUSTRY ASKED BY COASTAL STATES

<u>Summary</u>: In a memorandum submitter June 9, 1965, to the Federal Government, four German coastal States (Bremen, Han burg, Lower Saxony, and Schleswig-Holst said that the Government support program the fishing industry in 1961-1964 was inac quate, and that long-term Government aid the fishing industry is needed. Following submission of the memorandum, the Germ Bundesrat (upper legislative house) approa motion presented by a deputy from Brem requesting increased Federal support for German fishing industry.

The States asked the Federal Government to provide (1) DM15 million (US\$3.75 million in fiscal year 1966 to continue ex-vessel prisupports in the form of "quality premiums (2) DM1 million (\$250,000) during the next years to continue scrapping premiums for obsolete vessels; (3) DM 5 million (\$1,250,1 a year to support export subsidies design to remove surplus fish and stabilize dome tic market conditions; (4) DM 2.5 to 3.5 m lion (\$625,000 to \$875,000) to aid in the struction of 8 new cutters; and (5) greates subsidization of interest rates on commencial fishery loans. Gman Federal Republic (Contd.):

he four coastal States asserted that the unvorable position of the German fishing indury is in large measure due to the expansicf fishery imports that followed tariff libentration. The States claim that even the exthe we Government support requested would af d only partial relief; a basic change in the sition cannot be achieved on a national level. Thefore, special emphasis is placed by the numorandum upon the early development of a fill marketing order by the European Comma Market (EEC).



IFil - One of the older trawlers in the German fishing fleet.

eview of Previous Federal and State Suppoctor Fisheries: Summarizing the 3-year sourt program of the German Federal Govement carried out during 1961-1964, the minorandum of the coastal states noted that the rogram provided scrapping premiums file solete vessels and subsidies ("quality portiums") for fish landings. In particular, the jectives of the Federal program were:

TRAWLER FISHERY: To promote an impasse in factory-trawlers and freezing fish and, as well as the modernization of smaller the ers which land fresh fish.

LUGGER FISHERY: To develop new tt.) of luggers capable of fishing year round, improve the marketability of salted herrriby preprocessing.

CUTTER FISHERY: To develop new the of cutters, and to improve marketing the gh cooperatives and similar organiza-

the funds made available by the Federal Comment for those purposes have been semented to a significant degree by the comtal States.

insiderable investments in cold-storage file ties were also made by the fishery ports.



Fig. 2 - German herring lugger behind oil supply boat in foreground in Hamburg-Altona fish harbor.

Furthermore, the coastal States contributed funds to investments necessary to carry out the Federal program.

<u>Continuation of Federal Program</u>: The memorandum asserted that during the initial 3-year period of the Federal program it was possible to complete successfully only certain individual measures, such as the scrapping of obsolete vessels. The memorandum stated that even though some productivity gains were made in domestic fisheries, increased imports made it difficult to create a marketing situation favorable to the fishing industry.

The German trawler fishery is said to have incurred losses amounting to DM 24.5 million (\$6,125,000) in 1963, and losses in 1964 are estimated at about the same amount. Losses incurred by the lugger fishery reportedly amounted to DM 5.6 million (\$1.4 million) and DM 5.1 million (\$1,275,000) in 1963 and 1964, respectively. The situation in the cutter fishery is also believed to have deteriorated in recent years.

The memorandum expressed hope that the adoption of a European Common Market (EEC) fishery policy would permit an improvement in the status of the domestic fisheries. Until that time, however, the German fishing industry will seek Federal support. In order to cover at least part of the losses incurred by the various sectors of the fishing industry, a minimum of DM15 million (\$3,750,000) in Federal funds in fiscal 1966 is being requested to provide ex-vessel price supports in the form of quality premiums. The memorandum claimed that, in addition, it will be necessary to continue to subsidize the scrapping of obsolete vessels, particularly cutters, at the rate of DM 500,000 (\$125,000) during each of the next 2 fiscal years. It was suggested that the Federal Government not only continue its periodic investigations into

German Federal Republic (Contd.):

the financial status of the trawler trade but also extend them to include the lugger fishery.

Stabilization of Marketing Conditions: The memorandum noted that after the Fish Law of 1955 failed to create a marketing situation favorable to the fishing industry, the trawler and lugger fisheries endeavored to stabilize marketing conditions through the institution of cooperative sales organizations. At first those organizations contributed significantly to a stronger market position. However, the liberalization of fishery imports forced those organizations continuously to take special measures in the interest of market stabilization. Such measures not only included controls on domestic landings, but also the granting of export subsidy payments by the trawler cooperative sales organization totaling DM 7.6 million (\$1.9 million) in 1962, DM 9.8 million (\$2,450,000) in 1963, and DM 7.4 million (\$1,850,000) in 1964.

In order to remove surplus herring supplies from the West German market, the central sales organization of the German lugger fisheries sold salted herring at reduced prices to foreign countries and to East Germany. The "export subsidies" thus granted by the lugger fishery amounted to DM 0.7 million (\$175,000) in 1963 and DM 1.3 million (\$325,000) in 1964.

The memorandum asserted that in spite of the wide range of self-help measures, the fishing industry has not succeeded in stabilizing the market to the necessary degree, and it therefore suggested that the Federal Government appropriate at least DM 5 million (\$1,250,000) a year for that purpose.

<u>Subsidization of Interest Rates on Commer-</u> <u>cial Loans</u>: The memorandum noted that, as the result of structural changes which have been taking place in the German trawler fleet, investment in new vessels has increased significantly. The construction costs of a modern factory-trawler have increased to DM 8-9 million (\$2.0-2.25 million); those of a freshfish trawler or a stern lugger have risen to DM 3.5-5 million (\$0.9-1.2 million). In view of attractive investment opportunities in other sectors of the economy and the comparatively low returns on investments in the fishing industry, it would be possible to attract the capital required by the fishing industry only if interest rates on commercial fishery loans are subsidized in all cases, rather than havin such support subject to individual needs. So far, interest subsidization has been restricte to the construction of new factory-trawlers, luggers, and cutters.

Special Measures for the Cutter Fishery: With regard to the cutter fishery, Governme support has merely permitted cutter operators to cover necessary maintenance and repair costs. However, such aid has not prevented the aging of the cutter fleet. On the other hand, cutters may be able to fill the ga in fresh fish production created by the trawl er fleet's growing concentration on producing frozen fish. In order to take advantage of that market potential, new and modern cutter are needed. However, the cost of such cutter (patterned upon Danish or Swedish design) fai exceeds the financial resources of individual cutter operators even if low-interest loans are granted by the Government. The memorandum therefore suggested that the Federal Government and the coastal States support the foundation of new corporations for the put pose of building new cutters and then charter ing them to young and enterprising operators. The cost of such cutters would amount to DM 600,000 to DM 900,000 (\$150,000 to \$225,000) each. The Federal Government would be asked to contribute half of the cost of building eight such cutters.

<u>European Common Market Fisheries Pol</u> icy: Finally, the memorandum concluded that the unfavorable situation in the German fishing industry is caused by prevailing market conditions. Government aid can afford only partial relief, without effecting a basic chan In view of progressive economic integration within the European Common Market (EEC) and commitments under the German foreign trade policy, such a change can no longer be achieved by legislative measures on a natio al level. It should rather be an objective of an EEC-wide fish marketing order. (United States Consul, Bremen, July 9, 1965.) Note: See <u>Commercial Fisheries Review</u>, March 1962 p. 39.



Ghana

RECEIVES FOUR MORE <u>NORWEGIAN-BUILT STERN TRAWLERS</u>: Four new stern trawlers built for Ghana by a Norwegian shipyard combine were turns

Gina (Contd.):

ov to a Ghanaian delegation in Norway durinearly summer 1965. Two other Norwegi-built trawlers of the same type have alrey been delivered to the government-contured Ghana Fishing Corporation, and anotr was to be completed shortly. This will collete the order with Norway for 7 stern turlers.

he vessels are 231 feet 7 inches long and ha a daily freezing capacity of 24 tons of file Refrigerated storage space in the vesse measures 35,000 cubic feet and the tempeture can be kept down to below 0° F. even intopical waters. The vessels are powered by lesel engines generating 1,960 hp., coupled two versible propellers, with a speed of 14 kms, and can accommodate a crew of 52. ('T Export Council of Norway Information Scice, June 11, 1965.)

Not See Commercial Fisheries Review, May 1965 p. 67; Januar965 p. 72.



licand

ECORT STOCKS OF PRINCIPAL FERY PRODUCTS, MAY 31, 1965: s of May 31, 1965, Iceland's stocks of

s of May 31, 1965, Iceland's stocks of firmen groundfish (fillets) for export to the Urd States totaled 4,880 metric tons, a decc h of 2,220 tons from the stocks on hand And 30, 1965. (United States Embassy, Reykcjk, June 25, 1965.)

n n	Quantity	Value		
Gafish, frozen:	Metric	Million	US\$	
	Tons	<u>Kr.</u>	<u>1,000</u>	
S	4,880	107.4	2,494.2	
	3,677	63.6	1,477.0	
	5,800	162.4	3,771.5	
In al products: In e al:	1,846	10.5	243.8	
er fish	2,409	17.3	401.8	
	4,292	23.9	555.0	
	7,879	65.4	1,518.8	

hited States imports of frozen groundfish fills from Iceland in the year 1964 totaled III 2 tons of groundfish blocks and slabs, 4,669 tons of cod fillets, 2,791 tons of haddock fillets, and 548 tons of ocean perch fillets.

* * * * *

UTILIZATION OF FISHERY LANDINGS, JANUARY 1965:

How Utilized	Janua	ary
How Othized	1965	1964
Herring 1/ for:	(Metric	Tons)
Oil and meal	25,568	24,377
Freezing	5,916	4,828
Salting 2/ for:	1,491	1,108
Groundfish d for:		
Fresh on ice	3,115	3,687
Freezing and filleting	4,379	10,030
Salting	1,314	3,608
Stockfish (dried unsalted)	500	1,807
Oil and meal	170	235
Shrimp for:		
Freezing	31	20
Canning	5	-
Home consumption	1,330	992
Total production	43, 819	50,692
1/Whole fish. 2/Drawn fish. Source: <u>Aeqir</u> , May 1, 1965.	Contraction of the	0200

* * * * *

FISHERY LANDINGS BY PRINCIPAL SPECIES, JANUARY 1965:

	January		
Species	1965	1964	
	(Metri	c Tons)	
Cod	5,708	11,074	
Haddock	2,744	5,618	
Saithe	692	533	
Ling	442	789	
Wolffish (catfish)	144	202	
Cusk	290	930	
Ocean perch.	562	646	
Halibut	49	101	
Herring	32,975	30, 313	
Shrimp	36	20	
Other	177	466	
Total	43, 819	50,692	

* * * * *

LABOR DISPUTE IN HERRING FISHERY SETTLED:

A 5-day labor dispute involving Icelandic herring vessel captains ended on July 1, 1965, when the Prime Minister announced that agreement had been reached by all concerned. The main provisions of the agreement were: (1) the summer price of herring for reduction would be 235 kronur (US\$5.46) per mal (150 Iceland (Contd.):

liters which is equivalent to about 40 gallons or 300 pounds); and (2) the Government will see that exact weighing of herring landed at reduction factories will be provided by the summer of 1966. (United States Embassy, Reykjavik, July 7, 1965.)



Italy

TRADE IN JAPANESE CANNED SALMON LIBERALIZED:

At the bilaterial trade negotiations conducted in July 1965 at Tokyo between Japan and Italy, Italy agreed to reduce the number of import items it restricts from Japan to 97, effective August 1, 1965. A total of 26 items was said to have been dropped from the restricted list, including canned salmon. (Japan Economic Journal, July 20, 1965.)



Japan

FROZEN TUNA EXPORTS TO U. S. AND PUERTO RICO, MARCH-MAY 1965:

Japan's exports of frozen tuna to the United States and Puerto Rico in May 1965 increased 129 percent in quantity and 119 percent in value as compared with the previous month. Exports of all species of tuna were up from the April 1965 exports except bigeyed. Those to the United States proper we nearly three times more and to Puerto Rice they were double the April exports.

The April exports of frozen tuna to the United States and Puerto Rico were down if percent in quantity and 12 percent in value from the previous month's exports. Yellow fin tuna exports increased 69 percent from March to April, but exports of albacore we down 63 percent. (Fisheries Attache, United States Embassy, June 14 and July 1965.)

* * * * *

FISH LANDINGS AT MAJOR TUNA PORT, JUNE 1965:

Landings (mainly tuna) at the Japanese port of Yaizu in June 1965 totaled 17,420 m ric tons valued at 1,187 million yen (US\$5.1 million), according to the Yaizu Fishermer Cooperative Association. Compared to Jun 1964, landings in 1965 dropped 7 percent (1,274 tons) due to smaller catches of mac erel and skipjack, but that was offset by th increased catch of albacore. Compared to June 1964, the albacore landings showed a sevenfold increase in quantity and a \$2-mi

	Ma	у	April		March	
Species	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	V
	Short Tons	<u>US\$1,000</u>	Short Tons	<u>US\$1,000</u>	Short Tons	US\$
<u>kipjack:</u> United States • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	-	:	I	_2	
Total	-	-	-		2	
Albacore: United States • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3, 399 409	1,013 119	795 531	237 151	1,270 2,335	
Total • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3,808	1,132	1, 326	389	3,605	1,
Yellowfin: United States	3, 593 2, 502	1, 124 708	2,046	683 258	1, 303 405	
Total	6,095	1,832	2,892	941	1,708	
Big-eyed: United States	46 30	12 6	48 92	12 19	98 5	-
Total • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	76	18	140	31	103	
Total United States	7,038	2,149	2,889	932	2,673	
Total Puerto Rico • • • • • • •	2,941	833	1,469	428	2,745	
Grand Total	9,979	2,982	4,358	1,360	5,418	1,

64

"Jan (Contd.):

Fish Landing	9s at Yaizu, Jap	oan, June 196	55
kcies	Landings	Value	Average Price
and the first series	Metric Tons	US\$ 1,000	<u>\$/M.T.</u>
Abre Shck Ottma Marel	9,575.7 2,662.6 4,542.3 118.8	2,559 837 1,609 14	267 314 354 117
nal	520.6 17,420.0	162 5,181	311

ILlincrease in value. (<u>Suisan Keizai Shim</u>-Ib: July 12, 1965.)

* * * * *

SIMER ALBACORE TUNA HERY CATCH:

The total catch of the Japanese summer p-and-line albacore tuna fishery, which end early July 1965, was estimated at 4400 metric tons. This was an increase of at 12,000 tons over the 1964 catch. It is emated that of the 1965 production, about 100 metric tons were exported to the Unitetates in the round, 2,000 tons processed illoins for export, 8,000 tons processed ill''fushi" and "namaribushi" (dried or sidried loins) for the domestic market, 200 tons canned, and 2,000 tons held in := k. At the beginning of the fishing season (April), the pole-caught albacore for ex-IF to the United States sold for US\$315 a 111 ton f.o.b. Japan. As the season proised and catches increased, the export declined to \$300, then tumbled to \$270, In oward season's end as catches declined is ply the price recovered to \$295-298. in Tsushin, July 7, 1965.)

* * * * *

ANTIC LONG-LINE TUNA

ata from the Japanese Fisheries Agency that the tuna catch of Japan's portablecarrying tuna motherships operating in Atlantic Ocean this year declined slightly ine as compared to May. Available data 40 portable boats showed 5 boats averover 3 tons a day, 9 averaged less than lons, and 26 boats caught between 1.5-3 a day. But in May, 14 boats averaged 3 tons a day, 5 boats less than 1.5 tons, most boats averaging 1.5-2.5 tons. A-15 tuna motherships fished in June in the

area between 20°-30° N. latitude and 40°-70° W. longitude. In June albacore led all landings, followed by yellowfin, bluefin, and big-eyed; in May the principal species landed (in order of quantity) were albacore, yellowfin, big-eyed, and bluefin. (Suisan Keizai Shimbun, July 12, 1965.)

* * * * *

MOSTLY ALBACORE TUNA CAUGHT BY LONG-LINE VESSELS IN SOUTH ATLANTIC:

The tuna catch of the Japanese long-line vessels operating in the South Atlantic Ocean was mostly albacore tuna as of early July 1965. Off Angola, albacore made up about 70-80 percent of landings, averaging in weight about 13 kilograms (28 lbs.) per fish, and off Puerto Rico the catch was made up of 60-70 percent albacore.

The price of frozen round albacore transshipped to Puerto Rico was US\$290-295 a short ton f.o.b. port of transshipment. Due to the short supply of yellowfin, gilled-andgutted yellowfin shipped to Italy were selling for \$410-415 a metric ton c. & f. Bluefin exported to Italy were selling for \$340 a metric ton and big-eyed at about \$280 a ton c. & f. (Suisan Tsushin, July 14, 1965.)

* * * * *

TUNA MOTHERSHIP CATCH IN SOUTH PACIFIC:

A large Japanese fishing company's tuna mothership Yuyo Maru (5,043 gross tons), which departed Tokyo May 11, 1965, began catching in July 1965 an average of 2.8 metric tons of fish (mainly tuna) per catcher vessel per day. The mothership fleet switched its effort from yellowfin to albacore tuna, and had caught as of July 11 a total of 2,374 metric tons of fish, including 1,265 tons of yellowfin, 321 tons of albacore, and 352 tons of other tuna species. (Suisancho Nippo, July 17, 1965.)

* * * * *

PLANS TO STABILIZE ALBACORE TUNA MARKET:

The Japanese Federation of Tuna Fishermen's Cooperative Association (NIKKATSUREN), the Frozen Tuna Producers Association, and the Frozen Tuna Exporters Association held a meeting on June 3, 1965, to discuss ways of coping with the unstable albacore tuna prices resulting from an oversupply of fish due to unusually heavy landings

Japan (Contd.):

of albacore made by the summer pole-andline fishery off Japan.

Price stabilization measures proposed at the meeting were: (1) Albacore exports from Japan proper over and above the established quota (30,000 short tons) not be permitted; (2) Atlantic albacore transshipments to the United States be limited to 36,000 short tons a year (the allocation of quotas to those engaged in the Atlantic tuna fishery be studied); (3) the minimum export price for Atlanticcaught albacore be set at US\$300 a ton f.o.b. Las Palmas (Canary Islands), and a study undertaken to determine the feasibility of establishing a sales agency to enforce the maintenance of that price--also, an export plan which takes into consideration such factors as country of destination, timing and supply, be established and a standard export price based on actual freight costs to points of destination developed; (4) promotional work aimed at increasing white meat tuna demand in the United States be launched; (5) a suitable quantity of pole-caught albacore be consigned to Japanese packers for processing into canned tuna in oil for domestic consumption, which presently totals only about 100,000 cases a year -also, extensive efforts be directed to promoting domestic demand for that product.

The three Japanese industry organizations again met on June 10 and unanimously agreed to launch a promotional campaign to stimulate domestic demand for tuna packed in oil. Based on the prediction that 50,000 metric tons of pole-caught albacore would be landed in Japan in the 1965 season, NIKKATSUREN expressed the hope that about 15,000 tons could be diverted to the domestic market. Of that quantity, NIKKATSUREN hoped that the large packers would take on consignment the packing and sale of 3,000-4,500 tons which NIKKATSUREN will purchase. One of the larger Japanese packing firms and other large packers were reported to have expressed willingness to cooperate actively in that plan but did not commit themselves as to quantity.

The Atlantic Tuna Committee of the Japanese tuna industry group (representing producers, freezers, packers, and exporters) met June 25, 1965, and agreed to establish a 36,000-short-ton Atlantic albacore export quota (for transshipment to the United States) to be allocated as follows: 30,000-ton actual performance quota (20,000 tons to be allocated on basis of past export performance record and 10,000 tons to be allocated according to vessel-carrying capacity); 5,500-ton supply mentary quota; and 500-tons for newly licens exporters. Exporters will be permitted to freely transfer their allotted quotas among themselves. The plan was to be implement August 1, 1965.

The Committee also adopted a plan to set Atlantic albacore tuna to U. S. west coast packers to avoid an oversupply at Puerto Rico. Atlantic tuna vessel operators will be assessed two yen per kilogram (US\$5 a she ton) on their catch of albacore to help define the increase in transportation costs. (Suise Keizai Shimbun, June 4 and June 27; Suise Tsushin, June 12, 1965.)

* * * * *

SECOND GOVERNMENT-INDUSTRY TUNA MEETING:

The Japanese Government scheduled a series of Government-industry meetings in 1965 to exchange views and to seek ways a means of strengthening the tuna industry. June 28-29, 1965, the second series of meeings was held. Subjects discussed were intenational tuna fishery regulation, technical sistance to foreign countries, overseas-bafishing operations, and fishing effort. The general consensus was described as follow

International fishery regulation: Hereia fore, Japan has maintained a negative attit toward tuna fishery regulatory proposals a vanced by foreign countries. However, Jap can no longer turn her back on the current trend toward international regulation and matching actively cooperate in such programs.

Overseas technical assistance: Japan should cooperate in extending basic technic assistance to other countries. She should be wary about the expansion of tuna fishing erations by foreign countries, such as Formosa and South Korea, but must pursue a policy of utilizing high-seas resources wit out creating friction with those countries j order to further promote the growth of the Japanese tuna industry.

Overseas -based fishery: Ten years have elapsed since the Japanese tuna base at Sar was established, but on an overall basis re cent developments in the overseas-based fi ery have not been very favorable. Other countries are beginning to direct their atte Seember 1965

Jan (Contd.):

thito base-type operations so Japan must enloy efficient vessels to compete with those catries. In view of the importance of overse bases for operation of small fishing vesse. Japanese producers and exporters must cate with foreign importing firms to secat vessel supplies and recreational facilithifor crew members.

ishing effort: The decline in hook rate Habeen due to the intensification of fishing eef. Effort should be restricted but it will the fificult to assess the effect any limitations poled on Japanese effort will have without condering developments on a world-wide thus. (Suisan Keizai Shimbun, June 30, 1965.)

* * * * *

CINED TUNA IN BRINE STOCKS ON HAND:

apan is reported to have in stock about 1.7 minion cases of canned tuna in brine. Of that countity, 1.5 million cases are said to be conssied to the Canned Tuna Sales Company and auth 200,000 cases held in stock by the packee: Exports of canned tuna in brine to the ULed States, as of early July 1965, were re-Hpred to total about 1,240,000 cases.

he market for Japanese canned tuna in the UDed States was reported in early July as they very soft and export prospects for the mainder of the year not bright. (Suisan Tuluin, July 9 & 12, 1965.)

* * * * *

CIED TUNA EXPORTERS ASK

SIS COMPANY FOR PRICE-QUANTITY

tairman of the Tuna Department, Japan of ed Foods Exporters Association, subined a request in July 1965 to the Japan of ed Tuna Sales Company asking that (1) the limited to a total of 100,000 cases, of isting of 50,000 cases each of white and limeat tuna (note: A total of 250,000 cases vwoffered for sale for June-July by the SS Company); (2) a promotional allowance of \$0.50 a case be granted for the whiteine pack; and (3) a premium of \$0.20 per be placed on the 7-oz. and 13-oz. lightine packs to encourage their production.

he request was expected to be taken up onsideration by the executive board of

the Canned Tuna Packers Association. (Suisan Tsushin, July 15, 1965.)

* * * * *

SALMON PACK AVAILABLE FOR EXPORT: The land-based salmon packers in Japan were expected to have available for consignment to the Canned Salmon Sales Company for sale to foreign countries a total of 300,000 cases of pink salmon, consisting of 200,000 cases of 48 $\frac{1}{2}$ -lb. cans and 100,000 cases of 96 $\frac{1}{4}$ -lb. cans.

The companies operating the salmon motherships seem certain to have available for export 250,000 cases of pink salmon, consisting of 200,000 cases of 48 $\frac{1}{2}$ -lb. cans and 50,000 cases of 96 $\frac{1}{4}$ -lb. cans.

On July 16, 1965, the Canned Salmon Sales Company announced that for the first sale of canned pink salmon export prices would be:

Destination	Pack	\$/Case1/
Europe	48 1-lb. cans	2/12.20
Australia-New Zealand . All countries	96 $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. cans	12.30 <u>3</u> /13.50
1/F.o.b. Japan 2/Former price: \$11.50/ca 3/No change in price.	ase.	8.2) <u>2723</u>

The shipping deadline was September 30, 1965.

Reportedly, the f.o.b. export price of \$12.20 a case for the $\frac{1}{2}$ -lb. pack, when converted to a c.i.f. price (destination Great Britain) is equal to 94 shillings 3 pence (US\$13.20). The former c.i.f. price was 89 shillings 5 pence (US\$12.52), so the new price represents an increase of \$0.68 a case.

Canadian salmon packers are reported to have offered their product (to be shipped before December 1965) to Great Britain for 97 shillings c.i.f. But since Britain on the Japanese products assesses an import duty of 5 percent, the c.i.f. price of the Japanese 48 $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. cans actually totals about 99 shillings a case or some 2 shillings (US\$0.28) a case higher than the Canadian product. This price differential is expected to make it somewhat difficult for Japanese trading firms to sell the full amount (approximately 320,000 cases of 48 $\frac{1}{2}$ -lb. cans) of pinks to be offered for the first sale before the shipping deadline of September 30. (Suisan Tsushin, July 13 & 19, 1965.)

67

* * * * *

Japan (Contd.):

NORTH PACIFIC-BERING SEA SALMON AND BOTTOMFISH TRENDS:

The 11 Japanese salmon motherships operating in the North Pacific and Bering Sea were expected to reach their catch targets (totaling 45,478 metric tons) towards the end of July 1965 and to return to Japan July 31-August 4, or about 20 days earlier than in 1964. (Suisancho Nippo, July 21, 1965.)

The Japanese Bering Sea mothership-type bottomfish fleet landed, as of July 18, 1965, about 200,200 metric tons of fish, equal to 51 percent of the combined target of 390,000 tons. (Suisancho Nippo, July 21, 1965.)

* * * * *

KING CRAB PRODUCTION TRENDS:

The four Japanese king crab factoryships operating in the Okhotsk Sea were averaging 21.4 crabs a shackle and had packed a total of 205,536 cases as of July 8, 1965. That was equal to 86 percent of their production target of 240,000 cases (48 $\frac{1}{2}$ -pound cans).

The two Japanese crab factoryships, Tainichi Maru (5,859 gross tons) and Tokei Maru (5,385 gross tons), operating in Bristol Bay packed a total of 126,535 cases as of the same date, equal to 68 percent of their combined target of 185,000 cases. They averaged 11.7 crabs a shackle. (Suisan Tsushin, July 12, 1965.)

* * * * *

FIRM TO USE LARGER TRAWLER FOR GULF OF ALASKA FISHERY:

The Japanese fishing company which was scheduled to use the 560-ton trawler <u>Tatsuta</u> <u>Maru</u> (accompanied by the 276-ton trawler <u>Fukuho Maru</u>) in the Gulf of Alaska in 1965 decided to cancel that vessel's operation and to use a 3,000-ton trawler instead.

The <u>Tatsuta Maru</u> was originally scheduled to fish for shrimp off Kodiak. The vessel is not considered suitable for other types of operation elsewhere in the Gulf due to its small size and consequently will be replaced by a larger vessel. Ten other large Japanese trawlers, accompanied by either 1 or 2 smaller trawlers, are licensed for operation in the northeastern Pacific this year, but those 10 vessels range in size from 1,500-3,000 tons.

(Suisan Tsushin, July 9; Suisan Keizai Shimbun, June 2, 1965.)

* * * * *

BERING SEA SHRIMP FISHERY TRENDS:

The Japanese factoryship fleets operating in the Bering Sea and engaged in the production of canned shrimp reported poor fishing as of early July 1965. The factoryship <u>Eining</u> <u>Maru</u> (7,491 gross tons) is said to have produced about 60 percent of the quantity shep duced a year earlier for the same period. I season's end, that factoryship's canned shrimp production is expected to total 250,01 300,000 cases. Estimated shrimp production figures for the factoryship <u>Chichibu Maru</u> (7,472 gross tons) were not available but tha factoryship also reported poor fishing. (<u>Suinan</u> an Tsushin, July 13, 1965.)

FIRM PLANS TO OPERATE LARGE

TRAWLER IN NORTHWEST ATLANTIC: A large Japanese fishing company has de cided to dispatch a 3,000-ton trawler to the northwest Atlantic in winter 1966. The trav er, to be newly constructed, is scheduled to be based at St. Pierre Island off Newfoundla and will fish for cod. The catch will be proessed into fillets on board ship and exported to the United States. In 1963/64 the same firm operated the stern trawler <u>Tenyo Mari</u> No. 3 (3,698 gross tons) in the northwest Atlantic but the vessel, which was a conversijob, was found unsuitable for operation in the waters. (<u>Shin Suisan Shimbun Sokuho</u>, July 1965.)

* * * * *

FISHING VESSELS IN ATLANTIC TO BE REFUELED AT SEA BY TANKER:

The 900-ton Japanese oil tanker Shotoku Maru (chartered by a trading firm for refue ing fishing vessels at sea in the Atlantic Ocea was scheduled to depart Japan in mid-July 1965. Initially the tanker was to serve tuna long-liners and trawlers operating in water off South America. Should the tanker opera out of Venezuela, the fuel cost to the participating fishing vessels is expected to run abi 17,000 yen a kiloliter (US\$0.18 a gallon). T <u>Shotoku Maru</u> was also expected to supply F visions, fresh water, and engine parts to the Japanese vessels. (<u>Suisan Keizai Shimbun</u>, July 1, 1965.)

* * * * *

Vol. 27, No. 1

68

Sember 1965

Jan (Contd.):

IL GE FISHERY STERN-TRAWLER REARCH VESSEL PLANNED:

he Japanese Fisheries Agency is developimpecifications for a 2,000-ton stern-trawlensearch vessel. The vessel is to be consstated over a 3-year period at a total cost conf 97 million yen (US\$3 million). For FY 11 (April 1965-March 1966) about 200 milling en (\$555,000) have been budgeted. If fining permits, a 2,600-ton vessel may be concructed.

he research vessel will be a stern trawlempe and will carry two 15-meter (49-foot) In oportable boats for tuna long-lining. It wwhave an electrical propulsion system (to fi aitate research) capable of developing a minimum speed of 15 knots, and a cruising mage of 10,000 nautical miles. It will be emped with 75 bunks, 6 experimental mas, and 4 freezing rooms. (Suisancho MNo, July 19, 1965.)

* * * * *

IEORTS OF FROZEN IENBOW TROUT, MAY 1965:

apan's exports of frozen rainbow trout in IN 1965 amounted to 226 short tons valued ara3\$167,553. The quantity shipped in May vwonly slightly more than the 222 tons valumit \$171,403 exported the previous month.

nation by Country	Quantity	Value
a a all thead are	Short	to receive
I Contract a feeling right	Tons	<u>US\$</u>
1 States	125	94,211
Kingdom	38	24,859
4Kong	2	2,047
·[]•••••••••••	18	14,242
·a · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22	16,625
4lia • • • • • • • • • • • •	3	2,198
E	3	2,353
ands · · · · · · · · ·	13	8,992
	2	2,026
lotal	226	167,553

United States continued during both April May as the leading export market for that Luct. (Fisheries Attache, United States assy, Tokyo, July 7, 1965.)

* * * * *

DOMESTIC FISH MEAL MARKET TRENDS:

Japanese livestock producers agreed to purchase from the fishing companies operating fish-meal factoryships in the eastern Bering Sea their production of fish meal for 73,000 yen (US\$203) a metric ton. This was an increase of 9,250 yen (\$25.69) a metric ton over the price paid for factoryship-produced meal in spring 1965.

A Japanese trading firm contracted to deliver to a European firm 600 metric tons of factoryship-processed fish meal for US\$214 a metric ton, c.i.f. Rotterdam. Shipping period was to be September-October 1965. The meal was to be transported aboard a Japanese tanker scheduled to deliver whale oil to Europe. Consequently, the transportation cost was expected to be very low. In essence this means that the fishing companies operating the fish-meal factoryships received a better price than the \$203 a ton paid by the Japanese livestock producers. (Suisan Tsushin, July 20; Suisancho Nippo, July 17, 1965.)

* * * * *

FISH MEAL PRICES INCREASE FOR DOMESTIC OFFERINGS OF FACTORYSHIP PRODUCTION:

The three major Japanese fishing companies operating fish-meal factoryships in the eastern Bering Sea have offered to sell their production on the domestic market for 73,000 yen (US\$203) a metric ton. In the spring of 1965, one of the three firms was selling fishmeal for 63,750 yen (\$177) a ton, but Japanese prices increased with the rise in prices for Peruvian fish meal. About 31,000 to 32,000 tons of Japanese factoryship-produced meal are expected to be available for release in the last half of 1965. (Suisan Tsushin, June 25, 1965.)

* * * * *

HOKKAIDO FISHERMEN PROTEST JOINT SOVIET-JAPANESE OKHOTSK SEA FISH-MEAL OPERATIONS:

Representatives of the Hokkaido fishing industry called on the Japanese Fisheries Agency Director on June 21, 1965, to protest the plans of major Japanese fishing firms to cooperate with the Soviet Union in joint fishmeal operations in the Okhotsk Sea. In the spring of 1965 one large Japanese firm, under an agreement concluded in December

Vol. 27, No

Japan (Contd.):

1964, successfully conducted such an operation. After a rendezvous in the Okhotsk Sea, its fish-meal factoryship was supplied with Alaska pollock caught by Russian trawlers. Subsequently, other major Japanese firms have shown great interest in engaging in such an operation, in part to offset their reduction in whaling effort in the Antarctic Ocean. It has been reported that this conflict may be settled on a political level in the fall of 1965. (<u>Suisancho Nippo</u>, June 22, 1965, and other sources.)

Note: See Commercial Fisheries Review, May 1965 p. 76.

* * * * *

NORTH PACIFIC SPERM WHALE STUDY:

The Japanese Government's Whale Research Institute is planning to conduct an ecological and biological study of sperm whales in the North Pacific. Under the plan, which has been approved by the Fisheries Agency, 5 whale catcher vessels from a large Japanese fishing company will be delegated the task of collecting the scientific data. The vessels were scheduled to conduct the studies beginning in mid-August 1965 and would operate mainly off Hokkaido. Japan hopes to gain data on herd, length, and age composition to present to the International Whaling Commission in connection with the problem on harvestable sizes of sperm whales. (Suisan Keizai Shimbun, July 2, 1965.)

* * * * *

ANTARCTIC WHALING FLEET PRESEASON OPERATION OUT OF SOUTH GEORGIA ISLAND:

A Japanese whaling firm, which has a three-year agreement (beginning in 1964) to conduct whaling operations out of South Georgia Island, has decided to change its operational plans for this year (1965/66 season) and operate a whaling fleet out of that base for about $2\frac{1}{2}$ months prior to the opening of the Antarctic whaling season. Under this change, the whale catchers and support vessels assigned to the South Georgia Island base will be transferred to Antarctic whaling in mid-December 1965, thereby assuring their maximum and most efficient use. (Suisan Tsushin, June 25, 1965.)

* * * * *

WHALE MEAT TO BE PURCHASED FROM NORWEGIAN WHALING FLEET:

A large Japanese fishing company has signed a provisional agreement to purchas whale meat from a Norwegian whaling flee during the 1965/66 Antarctic whaling seas Under the agreement, the Japanese firm v charter to the Norwegian <u>Kosmos IV</u> fleet catcher vessels (including crews) at 255 r lion yen (US\$708,000) to harvest the equivlent of 255 blue-whale units. The whales be processed on the Norwegian factoryship and their meat sold back to the Japanese f for 60,000 yen (US\$167) a metric ton. (Su Tsushin, July 9, 1965.)

WHALE OIL SALES AGREEMENT FOR DOMESTIC MARKET:

The Japanese whaling firms engaged in whaling in the North Pacific and Bering Set have concluded a contract to sell 7,000 me tons of their 1964/65 production of fin wha oil for 89,000 yen (US\$247) a metric ton to domestic buyers. (Suisancho Nippo, June 1 1965.)

* * * *

* * * * *

IMPORTS OF MARINE PRODUCTS INCREASING:

The value of Japanese imports of fisher products, which stood at ¥8,030 million (US\$22.3 million) in 1961 and ¥7,810 milli (\$21.7 million) in 1962, increased to ¥16,1 million (\$44.9 million) in 1963 and in 1964 rose to ¥25,590 million (\$71 million).

Major import items have been fish mea from Peru, octopus and cuttlefish from Sp and shrimp and spiny lobsters from Mexic and Communist China.

Observers ascribe the increasing impo to the following causes:

(1) A decline in domestic production of fishery products since 1962.

(2) Liberalization of import regulations for marine products.

Rising imports of marine products have been particularly noticeable since the star of 1965. Under barter arrangements completed in early 1965, 9,000 metric tons of

70

Seember 1965

Jian (Contd.):

exproducts -- chiefly herring and salmon-wribe imported from the Soviet Union during the ear in exchange for exports of Japanese anp¹⁵.

aports of dried cuttlefish and dried laver are expected from South Korea in the wake of modulization of diplomatic relations between Jan and the Republic of Korea.

n import contract for raw fish was concolled by a Japanese trading house with Commust China at the end of May 1965. Under the ontract, 8,000 tons of raw fish are to be solved to Japan in the fall of 1965.

he rising trend in imports has disturbed JI an's coastal fishermen. On the ground the increasing imports of fishery products ar imposing pressure on small-scale fishing or pations in coastal waters, the All-Japan H eration of Fishing Cooperatives is expoed to ask the Government for steps to adjultimports of marine products. (<u>The Japan</u> <u>HCoomic Journal</u>, June 29, 1965.)

CNED FISHERY PRODUCTS IN SERT SUPPLY ON DOMESTIC MARKET:

* * * * *

ollowing is a report published in <u>Kanaga-</u> muchimbun, June 26, 1965, describing a shortaa. (Canned fishery products on the Japamuchomestic market:

Ackerel, crab, salmon, tuna, and bonito and mong the canned products in short supprofit the Japanese domestic market. The settage is due to declining catches in coastal www.rs and also in offshore fisheries subject the ernational regulation, such as the salmcom shery. In addition, for species such as the and salmon, the Japanese domestic market eets strong competition from export ss.

ackerel is the most critical item on the ILLi with a maximum pack of only 350,000 cs forecast this year, as compared with ILL nillion cases last year. The pack of crab ILL so expected to be down sharply in 1965.

he average price of canned fishery produn on the Japanese domestic market has alimy increased 10 to 15 percent over the price level and may increase another 10 HEPent.

COMMUNIST CHINA PROTESTS ILLEGAL FISHING BY JAPANESE VESSELS:

In a strongly worded letter dated June 7, 1965, to the Japan-China Fishery Association in Japan, the Communist China Fishery Association sharply criticized the fishing activities of Japanese fishing vessels operating off the Chinese coast. The letter charged that over 20 Japanese vessels had been operating illegally in waters closed to fishing under the terms of the private fishery agreement concluded between Japan and Communist China in November 1963, and demanded prompt withdrawal of the offending vessels in the interest of Japanese-Chinese friendship. It also demanded assurance that the Japanese will not commit such infractions in the future. It was reported to be the third time that the Communist Chinese have protested against illegal fishing by Japanese vessels.

The Japan-China Fishery Association, concerned over the future of the private fishery agreement, informed the Communist China Association that Japan would immediately issue warnings to all Japanese fishing vessels and would call a special meeting to study suitable measures to cope with the problem. (Suisan Keizai Shimbun, June 11, 1965.)



Republic of Korea

PROGRESS ON FISHING FLEET BEING BUILT BY FRENCH-ITALIAN CONSORTIUM:

By April 1, 1965, ten 98-foot tuna vessels of 140 gross tons had been launched for Korea by a French-Italian consortium under a contract signed January 21, 1963, and amended December 11, 1963, and February 3, 1964. One of the new tuna long-line vessels sailed for Korea in the spring of 1965, and the others were expected to follow in a short time.

Over 90 vessels are to be built for Korea by the French-Italian consortium, including trawlers as well tuna vessels. Construction has already begun under the contract on two 253-foot stern trawlers, each of which will have a frozen fish hold capacity of 31,784 cubic feet. One of the stern trawlers is scheduled for completion in December 1965 and the other in February 1966. (The Fishing News, June 11, 1965.)

Note: See <u>Commercial Fisheries Review</u>, May 1965 p. 81, and Dec. 1964 p. 105.



* * * * *

Vol. 27, No.

Malaysia

EXPANSION OF SINGAPORE TUNA INDUSTRY PLANNED:

The Singapore Economic Development Board announced earlier this year that it was prepared to invest US\$25 million to develop a tuna fishing industry, based on survey reports by two French consultants who came to Singapore at the invitation of the Board. The Board will provide technical information and financing for the construction of fishing vessels and loans for the purchase of fishing gear. They hope to export both canned and frozen tuna. (United States Consulate, Singapore, April 16, 1965.)

TUNA FISHING VESSELS BEING PURCHASED FROM JAPAN:

An application to export from Japan 7 used fishing vessels (3 vessels of 350 to 390 tons, 2 of 220 to 260 tons, and 2 of 180 tons) to Malaysia was approved in June 1965, by the Japanese Fisheries Agency. Two of the ves sels are expected to be used for training purposes and the remaining 5 for tuna fishing.

* * * * *

The vessels were to be sold to the jointly operated Japanese-Malayan company at Penang which is engaged in the production of frozen and canned tuna. The firm is purchasing the vessels to assure itself of a regular supply of raw material. (Suisan Keizai Shimbun, June 20, 1965.)

Note: See Commercial Fisheries Review, April 1965 p. 77.



Mexico

IMPORTS OF MARINE OIL, 1963-1964:

Cod oil is the main item in Mexican imports of marine oil, and the leading suppliers

Mexican Imports of Marine Oil, 1	963-1964	
Commodity & Country	1964	1963
Sperm oil:	I. (Metric	Tons) .
United States	2.9	1.2
United Kingdom	11.1	12.0
Other countries	5.1	1.8
Total sperm oil	19.1	15.0
Whale, seal, and shark oil:		
United States	52.2	99.9
United Kingdom	80.3	78.4

(Table continued on next column.)

Commodity & Country	1964	1963
A Truck Same Association and	. (Metric Tons)	
Germany	10.1	65.
Other countries	21.0	10.
Total whale, seal, and shark oil • •	163.6	254
Cod oil:		
United States	138.4	141.
Norway	706.6	498.
United Kingdom	134.2	45.
Other countries	60.6	22.
Total cod oil	1,039.8	707
Fish-liver oil:	1 903 10	
Ireland	27.7	38.
Other countries • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	C.
Total fish-liver oil • • • • • • • • •	27.7	39.

are Norway, the United States, and the United Kingdom. In 1964, an increase in import of cod oil more than offset a decline in imports of whale, seal, and shark oil. (Agricultural Attache, United States Embassy, Mexico, D.F., May 21, 1965.)



New Zealand

SCALLOP INDUSTRY:

New Zealand has hopes of establishing a export market for its developing scallop fiery. The New Zealand scallop (<u>Pecteus m</u> <u>vaezelandiae</u>) grows to a size of 6 inches a cross the shell.

The New Zealand scallop fishery began 1960. At that time, the Government issues licenses to a limited number of operators take scallops off South Island in the vicini of Nelson and Kaipara Harbor. The fisher is still closely regulated by the Governme Scallop shucking at sea is prohibited to a any damage to fishing grounds that might sult from dumping shells overboard. A p lem in the New Zealand industry is the lat of clear knowledge about the extent of the source. Also, the high price levels now p vailing for limited production make scallo a luxury item in New Zealand. (New Zeal Commercial Fishing, June 1965.)



Norway

CANNED FISH EXPORTS, JANUARY-MARCH 1964-1965: Preliminary data show that Norway's tal exports of canned fishery products in the second second

Nway (Contd.):

March 1965 were up about 24 percent if the same period of the previous year idmainly to larger shipments of smoked is 11 sild and brisling.

Jorwegian Exports of J January	Principal Canned Fish -March 1964-1965	hery Products,		
c ducts	Jan. 1-Mar. 27 1965	Jan. 1-Mar. 28 1964		
	(Metric	(Metric Tons)		
10g	1,773	1,437		
Seed small sild	3,763	2,835		
he red herring	879	716		
Sherring roe	56	101		
Sdelicatessen	157	106		
§fish	287	413		
& fishery products .	644	484		
otal · · · · · · · ·	7,559	6,092		

The Norwegian 1965 canning season for Sill sild was scheduled to begin May 1. The biling canning season was to open May 19 The brisling met certain standards of size aquality. (Norwegian Canners Export Junal, May 1965.)

* * * * *

IERING FISHERY TRENDS IN THE INTH SEA AREA, JANUARY-MAY 1965: January-May 1965, the total Norwegian cch of North Sea herring amounted to 115,000 hectoliters (95,325 metric tons), or ≅⊡st 3 times more than in the same period oc 64. The increased catch of North Sea It ing this year has partly been offset by In ced landings of other species of fish (sand (Ind Norway pout) for reduction purposes. Inever, in the first 5 months of 1965, total corries of fish to Norwegian reduction IF is in the North Sea area were 87 percent Itter than in the same period of 1964. A antial part of the Norwegian purse-seine 111 was attracted to the herring fishery in te lorth Sea. (United States Embassy, Oslo,

* * * * *

IL ER LOAN CEILING APPROVED

he Norwegian Storting has increased the ing ceiling of the State Fisheries Bank to illion kroner (US\$11.2 million) following imous recommendations by the Fisheries mittee as well as the Finance Committee. represents an increase of 20 million er (\$2.8 million) in the loan ceiling. Med States Embassy, Oslo, July 8, 1965.)

* * * * *

FISHERIES EXHIBITION, AUGUST 19-29, 1965:

Norway's King Olav V opened his country's 2nd Official Fisheries Fair in Trondheim, August 19-29, 1965. The Fair was sponsored by the Norwegian Ministry of Fishing and organized by the Norwegian Trade Fairs Organization.

The 175 exhibitors from Norway and abroad who participated in the Fair gave a broad picture of technical developments in fisheries all over the world. Important sections of the Fair were devoted to: (1) processing of fishery products, (2) machinery, (3) fishing gear, (4) vessel equipment, and (5) technical aids to navigation.

* * * * *

AIR-BUBBLE CURTAIN EXPERIMENTS PROVE EFFECTIVE:

Experiments conducted by the Norwegian Society for Industrial and Technical Research (SINTEF) show that a "wall" of rising bubbles, made by pressing air through a perforated hose at the sea bottom, will stop fish just as effectively as a fishing net. By moving the hose, 50 coalfish in a 9-foot water tank were driven into a corner, and not even a frogman could scare any of the fish through the air barrier. SINTEF is now trying to make the technique economically feasible for the commercial fisheries. The Norwegian fiords were believed especially well suited for the new method. By installing a hose and an air compressor at the mouth of the fiord, a fence could be "switched on" as soon as one of the large, seasonal shoals of fish moves in. (The Export Council of Norway Information Service, June 11, 1965.)



Territory of Papua and

New Guinea

FREEZING AND PROCESSING PLANT FOR SPINY LOBSTERS OPENS:

A freezing and processing plant for spiny lobsters costing ŁA8,000 (US\$17,900) was opened earlier this summer on Yule Island, one of the Pacific Islands in the Territory of Papua and New Guinea. The area is considered one of the Territory's major spiny lobster grounds.

The plant is owned by a Papua-New Guinea fishing organization (Fishing Society) which plans to build up a spiny lobster industry for

Vol. 27, No

Territory of Papua and New Guinea (Contd.):

export to Australia, the United States, and Europe. The Society was formed in 1961 to develop the commercial potential of the spiny lobster runs that occur each year between October and April. (<u>Australian Fisheries News-</u> letter, July 1965.)



Peru

FISH OIL EXPORTS, JANUARY-APRIL 1965: Exports of fish oil (crude and semirefined) from Peru during the first 4 months of 1965 totaled 70,100 metric tons, almost twice the 35,300 tons exported in January-April 1964. Much of the increase was due to larger shipments to the Netherlands (up from 14,300 tons to 47,200 tons). The shipments in 1965, how-

ever, include quantities destined for Dutch storage warehouses. Shipments to West Germany also rose from 8,800 tons to 12,200 tons. (Foreign Agriculture, July 12, 1965, U. S.

Dept. of Agriculture.)



Portugal

CANNED FISH EXPORTS, JANUARY-MARCH 1965:

Portugal's total exports of canned fish in oil or sauce in the first quarter of 1965 were up 18 percent from those in the same period of the previous year, due mainly to larger sardine shipments.

Portuguese Canned Fish	h Exports,	January -M	larch 1964.	-1965	
Product	19	65	1964		
rioudet	Jan.	JanMar.		JanMar.	
	Metric Tons	1,000 <u>Cases</u>	Metric Tons	1,000 <u>Cases</u>	
In oil or sauce: Sardines • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	17,485 392	920 20	14,055 674	739	
Mackerel • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1,010 411 1,020 154	40 14 102 8	878 360 1,138 245	34 11 114 12	
Total	20,472	1,104	17,350	945	

Portugal's principal canned fish buyers in the first quarter of 1965 were Germany with 4,665 metric tons, the United Kingdom with 2,417 tons, Italy 2,619 tons, France 1,710 tons, the United States 1,503 tons, and Belgium-Luxembourg 1,494 tons. Germany's purcha of canned fish from Portugal in the first qu ter of 1965 increased 44 percent from those in January-March 1964. Purchases by Ita were also up. But purchases by the Unite States and France were down. (Conservas Peixe, May 1965.)

* * * * *

CANNED FISH PACK, JANUARY-MARCH 1965:

The Portuguese pack of canned fish in or sauce in the first quarter of 1965 total 307,000 cases (mostly sardines and anchor fillets). The Portuguese pack is tradition

the solution for the	190	1964 JanMar,		
Product	JanMar.			
to open may 10	Metric Tons	1,000 <u>Cases</u>	Metric Tons	1, C
In oil or sauce: Sardines · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,249 79 179 365 1,463 385	118 4 7 12 146 20	3, 358 225 198 998 1, 008 218	
Total • • • • • • • • •	4,720	307	6,005	3

light in the first quarter, since the main c ning season begins later in the year. (Cor servas de Peixe, May 1965.)



Senegal

CANNED FISH INDUSTRY:

One of the purposes of Sengal's first year development plan, which ended Jul 1965, was the establishment of a fish-can industry.

Senegal now has 5 fish-canning plants a total processing capacity of about 30, metric tons. But it is estimated that Seng 1964 production of canned fish amounted only about 15,000 tons, almost all of wi was canned tuna. Senegal hopes to exp tuna exports to Europe and North Amer One Senegalese cannery has decided to sardines, of which about 2,000 tons and ly are landed at Dakar. The firm plans can sardines in either oil or tomato sauch African markets, and sardine fillets for port to Europe.

ember 1965

eenal (Contd.):

ish processing is also carried out in Sengriby a number of small firms which are mged mainly in smoking, salting, and cookmge hery products.

inegal's fishing fleet comprises three mos: proas (Malay-type sailing vessels), unvessels, and trawlers. Of the country's ment annual catch of about 100,000 tons, box 80,000 are taken by proas, 15,000 by unvessels, and 5,000 by trawlers. (The Ming News, June 18, 1965.)

Contract Commercial Fisheries Review, Jan. 1965 p. 86 and F: 1965 p. 82.



Africa

TENUCTION OF LEADING PROCESSED

cord production of fish meal and fishcord in 1964 was reported by the South

Pat	Unit	South Africa		South-West Africa		Total South Africa and South-West Africa	
		1964	1963	1964	1963	1964	1963
Addition FPFin Montanker Montan SSEptister	. Short tons	2,332 1,527 8,152	8,445 2,090 1,719 <u>1</u> /	62,130 - - 164	32,053 - <u>1</u> /	64,462 1,527 8,152 164	40,498 2,090 1,719 <u>1</u> /
HP in	s Shorttons	2/3,325	$\frac{1}{\underline{1}}$	2,730 1,020	$\frac{1}{\underline{1}}$	6,055 1,020	1/ 1/
EFT in	. Long tons	108,803 9,320 21,857 4,122 10,778	3/ 1/ 3/ 5,886 10,780	175,186 48,159	3/ 3/ -	283,989 9,320 70,016 4,122 10,778	<u>4/262,600</u> <u>1/</u> <u>4/46,678</u> 5,886 10,780

Main Unloading South African frozen spiny lobster tails at New You lity dock.



Fig. 2 - A pilchard-maasbanker cannery and industrial products plant situated on the St. Helena Bay Coast.

Africa Republic (includes the Territory of South-West Africa). There was also a sharp increase in the 1964 pack of canned pilchard. Those increases were due mainly to greater production in South-West Africa.

In 1964, whale oil output was down, while sperm oil production was at about the same level as in 1963. (United States Consulate, Cape Town, July 2, 1965; and other sources.)

Note: See Commercial Fisheries Review, Nov. 1964 p. 110.



South Africa Republic

SHARK FISHERY EXPANDS:

Shark fishing is becoming an increasingly important industry off the Cape coast. Four fishing vessels of one firm unloaded about 2,400 sharks in Cape Town during a week in June 1965. A spokesman for the firm said the sharks were being exported to Italy. (South African Digest, June 25, 1965.)

Note: See Commercial Fisheries Review, June 1965 p. 78.



Spain

FROZEN FISH WINS CONSUMER ACCEPTANCE:

Frozen hake is becoming increasingly popular in Spain. Marketing has been added by the efforts of freezer-trawler operators and retail fish markets to deliver a high-quality product.

The growing use of freezer-trawlers by Spanish firms is rapidly increasing the supply of frozen fish. (Fisheries landings at the

Spain (Contd.):

port of Vigo in January-March 1965 included 8,550 metric tons of frozen fish--mostly small hake--which was more than double the frozen fish landings at Vigo in the first quarter of 1964.)

In keeping with the current trend, retail fish markets in southern Spain have added special stalls for the sale of "frozen-onboard-ship" hake. Each stall has a large frozen-storage cabinet and an electric bandsaw. This allows the customer to buy cut-toorder frozen steaks. The frozen headless hake (which weigh from about 1 to 5 pounds) are usually sliced into steaks about $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch thick. They are sliced with an oblique cut which gives a larger steak than the regular cross-section cut. The retail price of the hake range from about 24.5 U.S. cents a pound for the smaller sizes to 38.5 cents a pound for the larger sizes.

The new fishing and marketing techniques are changing the long-established "fresh-fish" preference of Spanish housewives. (Fish Trades Gazette, London, June 19, 1965.)



Taiwan

TUNA VESSEL CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TO BE PURCHASED FROM JAPAN:

The Formosan Government is planning the construction of 15 200-ton tuna vessels with the construction materials to be purchased from Japan. Under the plan, all the shipbuilding materials, including marine engines, will be imported from Japan and assembled in Formosa. The Cooperative Bank of Formosa is said to have committed a 60-percent vessel construction loan totaling 60 million yen (US\$1.5 million) for this program. (Suisan Keizai Shimbun, July 2, 1965.)

* * * * *

TUNA VESSELS ORDERED FROM JAPAN:

A Japanese fishing vessel shipbuilding firm has received a construction order for 20 distant-water tuna vessels from Taiwan. Details are not available and the Japanese shipbuilding firm denies having received such an order, but it is reported that a number of Japanese trade representatives in Taiwan filed similar reports concerning the placement of such a vessel order. (<u>Suisan Keizai Shimbun</u>, J. 20, 1965.)



U.S.S.R.

FREEZER-TRAWLERS "PAVLOVO" ANI "PRILUKI" BUILT FOR SOVIETS BY DANISH SHIPYARD:

The freezer-trawlers M/S Pavlovo and M/S Priluki were launched June 29, 1965, a shipyard in Copenhagen, Denmark, for 7 Sudoimport, Moscow. The vessels are par of a series of 15 freezer-trawlers for the U.S.S.R. being built by the Danish shipyard



The M/S Pavlovo and M/S Priluki in construction dock a penhagen.

to the following specifications: length bet perpendiculars 91 meters (298.5 feet), brows 16 meters (52.5 feet), and deadweight ton 2,550 to 2,600 metric tons. The first in the series was the M/S <u>Skryplev</u> launched Ma 1962. (Regional Fisheries Attache for Eu-United States Embassy, Copenhagen, July 1965.)

Note: See <u>Commercial Fisheries Review</u>, June 1965 p. 79-* * * * * .R. (Contd.):

BINCREASE IN FISHING FLEET AND CH PLANNED DURING 1966-70:

he Soviet Union is reported to be finishin the blueprint for the development of her ing fleet under the 5-Year Plan 1966-1970. Drug that period, additons are to include 200 support vessels such as freezera h, transport vessels, factoryships, and crships. Emphasis will be on the 43,000isplacement <u>Vostock</u>-class motherships. Incition, over 13 different types of fishing wells will be constructed, including a large lary-trawler (with a displacement of about 1, tons) powered by an engine developing h horsepower and providing a cruising and of 14 knots. The large trawler will ary freezing and canning equipment.

he Soviet Fisheries Minister announced in article in <u>Vodnii</u> <u>Transport</u>, July 1965, The increased number of fishing vessels abontinued expansion into new fishing areas expected to allow the U.S.S.R. to bring her why catch to 10 million metric tons by 1970, aust double the 1964 catch.



led Kingdom

FREEZER-TRAWLER ORY" LANDS BLOCKS HOLE FROZEN FISH:

er completing her maiden voyage on 1, 1965, the new British stern-fishing er-trawler <u>Victory</u> delivered to Grimstatch of almost 540 long tons of groundmost of which was frozen into blocks of fish. Included were 11,074 frozen blocks 1, 464 of lingcod, 346 of ocean perch, 252 fish, and 258 of unclassified fish. The blocks were unloaded with an elevatoryor unit similar to that used to unload has from cargo vessels.

he <u>Victory</u> is the first of 6 large freezerlers ordered from British shipyards by a sh fisheries group at a cost of about million (US\$8.4 million).

he <u>Victory</u> is equipped with 10 verticalfreezers. It has a diesel-electric proon system which develops 2,700 b. hp. Specifications of the vessel are overall $244\frac{3}{4}$ feet, length between endiculars 215 feet, moulded depth



Fig. 1 - New freezer-trawler Victory docked at Grimsby.



Fig. 2 - Frozen blocks of whole fish being unloaded from Victory. Note elevator-conveyor unit used to remove blocks from the vessel.

to upper deck $27\frac{1}{4}$ feet, and moulded breadth 41 feet.

Note: See <u>Commercial Fisheries Review</u>, May 1964 p. 73; March 1964 p. 76.

* * * * * *

United Kingdom (Contd.):

FREEZER-TRAWLERS EMPHASIZED IN DISTANT-WATER FISHERIES:

A total of 22 British freezer-trawlers should be operating by mid-1966. The search for increased productivity to overcome declining yields has led the British to emphasize freezer-trawlers for distant-water fishing. The British White Fish Authority has contributed substantially to the heavy capital investment involved in changing to freezing at sea. During the fiscal year ending March 31, 1965, White Fish Authority grants to the distant-water fleet totaled nearly L1.25 million (US\$3.5 million) and loans £113,000 (\$316,400). It is expected that the use of those vessels will arrest the declining catch of the distant-water fleet, which fell by 8 percent to 336,000 long tons during the past fiscal year, and result in greater price stability.

A strong plea for the control of fishing in international waters was made on June 30, 1965, by the Chairman of the White Fish Authority, as he presented the Authority's report for the year ending March 31, 1965. He said that stocks of fish in North Atlantic waters were being hard hit by a rapid growth in the fishing effort, particularly by Soviet-Bloc countries, and that this not only depleted the stocks but increased fishing costs. (United States Embassy, London, July 9, 1965.)

* * * * *

CONFERENCE ON DESIGN OF FISHING VESSELS AND THEIR EQUIPMENT IN RELATION TO FISH QUALITY IMPROVEMENT:

A Conference on the Design of Fishing Vessels and Their Equipment in Relation to Improvement of Quality was held in London, May 31-June 1, 1965, under the sponsorship of the British White Fish Authority. Over 200 delegates attended, giving representation to most European countries, the United States, and several more distant areas. The Conference coincided with the 1965 World Fishing Exhibition in London. The meeting focused on ways to maintain fish quality on vessels at sea. Sessions of the meeting were devoted to the following topics:

(1) Design and operation of fishing vessels for stowing the catch on melting ice. (Fish handling, stowage, and unloading were discussed as well as vessel design.) (2) Other chilling techniques such as chille sea water, superchilling, antibiotic ice, and gas stowage.

(3) Freezer trawlers and their equipment.

(4) Factory trawlers and motherships. (The discussion extended to the freezing of whole fish and fillets at sea, offal processing and the economic size of factoryships and catcher vessels.)

The subject under discussion at each session was developed by introductory papers and then amplified by allied papers, comment and a general discussion. The scientific approach in the introductory papers was balanced by comments of fishing industry and manufacturers representatives.

The discussions at the Conference brough out some of the trends in fish preservation at sea on European vessels. On short trips, bull stowage of fish in ice is still the general pratice, but boxing fish at sea is winning favor. Good results with antibiotic ice were reporte by one trawler fleet operator. Stowage in chilled sea water is not making any advance. It was pointed out that transferring catches a sea may affect quality if the catch remains long in the sea before being picked up.

Freezing fish at sea is well accepted as a means of producing quality fish, but there is disagreement over techniques. Freezing whole fish at sea is the usual method of Brit ish freezer trawlers, while operators from most other European countries favor proces ing and freezing fillets at sea. Superchilling the catch as an alternative to freezing fish sea may be useful on some vessels working the North Atlantic. The Portuguese havetri superchilling fish by bulk stowage of fish in ice on freezer plates. British engineers reommend a method of superchilling which circulates cold air over boxed fish.

Delegates also said that more automation in fish handling is needed on shipboard as well as when unloading ashore. It was note that shore auctions of fish may diminish in importance as boxing and freezing at sea in crease. It was pointed out that fleet operations are an efficient way to produce quality fish at long distances from port. This may favor certain countries such as Japan, the U.S.S.R., Spain, and Portugal whose fishermen have experience in spending long peric

mber 1965

Und Kingdom (Contd.):

United States Embassy, Copenhagen, 23, 1965.)

Hot se Commercial Fisheries Review, April 1965 p. 88.

* * * * *

MING EXHIBITION HELD IN LONDON: British trade periodical presented a World Fishing Exhibition in London, 27-June 2, 1965. It was sponsored by a more of British fishery associations and peoply to members of the fishing industry. Dev 200 exhibitors from a dozen countries linayed fishing gear, vessel models and demine, marine engines, deck machinery, elecmine navigating and fish-finding devices, and regerating and processing equipment.

he industrial exhibits covered practically inhases of the fishing industry. Many exmis showed new or improved products. The were numerous displays of transistorazelectronic devices. Visitors to the exmison were particularly interested in laboring devices such as automated engines and decmachinery; filleting, freezing, and fishmisprocessing equipment; and new fish boxses ade of aluminum, plastic, and folding www wire.

cumber of exhibits by agencies of the Bish Government illustrated their work while fishing industry to provide loans and gas, assist in orderly marketing, conduct atory fishing and gear studies, and cartechnological and biological research.

Soviet Bloc was represented by an German exhibit of a flake-ice machine German ice machine was said to have an foot of 10 metric tons of flake ice every 24 from either fresh or sea water. The Germans displayed a model of a reducillant said to have a daily capacity for 35 of fish or offal, and requiring only one ator on shipboard or ashore. (Regional ries Attache for Europe, United States issy, Copenhagen, June 23, 1965.)

* * * * *

RADIATION-PRESERVATION OF FROZEN FISH UNDER STUDY:

A British program of research into the effects of eating fish preserved by irradiation is being carried out at the Wantage Research Laboratory, with the backing of the White Fish Marketing Board.

The Low Temperature Research Station at Cambridge has already determined the dose of radiation needed to keep fish palatable for 20 or 30 days, or 4 to 5 times ordinary shelf life. It is applied by passing the packaged fish at freezing point through an irradiation unit.

The aim of the present study, which is scheduled to continue through 1966, is to satisfy the British Ministry of Health that there would be no harmful effects if treated fish were used generally. Experimenters report the irradiated fish tastes "far better than anything in the average canteen."

If the study can be completed ahead of schedule, radiation-preserved fish with a low spoilage rate may appear on the British market before the end of 1966. (<u>The Fishing</u> <u>News</u>, London, July 2, 1965.)

* * * * *

FISHERY LOAN INTEREST RATES REVISED:

The British White Fish Authority announced that their rates of interest on loans made as from May 8, 1965, would be as follows:

For fishing vessels of not more than 140 feet, new engines, nets and gear: on loans for not more than 5 years, $7\frac{1}{4}$ percent (decrease $\frac{1}{8}$ percent); on loans for more than 5 years but not more than 10 years, $7\frac{1}{4}$ percent (increase $\frac{1}{8}$ percent); on loans for more than 10 years but not more than 15 years, $7\frac{1}{4}$ percent (increase $\frac{1}{4}$ percent); on loans for more than 15 years but not more than 20 years, $7\frac{1}{4}$ percent (increase $\frac{1}{4}$ percent).

The rates on advances made before May 8, 1965, are unchanged. (Fish Trades Gazette, London, May 22, 1965.) Note: See <u>Commercial Fisheries Review</u>, June 1965 p. 80.