Vol. 27, No.



Agency for International Development

CALIFORNIA FIRM GETS GUARANTEES FROM AID FOR AFRICAN PROJECTS:

Two investment guarantees have been issued by the Agency for International Development (AID) to Star-Kist Foods, Inc., Terminal Island, Calif., which is establishing fish refrigeration plants in Liberia and Senegal.

The guarantees insure the firm against inconvertibility of local currencies and against loss from expropriation, war, revolution, and insurrection.

The refrigeration plants will help in marketing fish products locally, but they are designed primarily for storage prior to shipment.

The plants will meet economic assistance objectives of AID by increasing the availability of protein food, providing steady employment to local labor, and saving scarce foreign exchange.

In Senegal, Star-Kist will hold approximately a 25-percent interest as one of three partners in Frigorifique du Senegal S. A., Dakar. The total amount of AID risk coverage to Star-Kist in Senegal is \$340,500.

The other investment is a joint ownership with two partners in the Liberia Cold Stores, Inc., Monrovia, Liberia. The total amount of coverage under this guaranty is \$350,000. (Agency for International Development, July 20, 1965.)



Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

PROPOSED REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO OFF-RESERVATION INDIAN TREATY FISHING:

Notice was published in the Federal Register, July 16, 1965, of a proposal to amend Title 25, Code of Federal Regulations, by adding a new part 255--Off-Reservation Trea Fishing. The purpose of the proposed regutions is "to provide a framework within wh the exercise of off-reservation fishing righ reserved to certain Indian tribes under trea ies with the United States may be subjected Federal restrictions and controls wherever required for conservation of the fishery resources."

The proposed regulations contain provisions which would provide, among other the for the issuance of (1) off-reservation treafishing permits; and (2) "conservation regutions to govern Indian off-reservation treafishing for areas found . . . to be in need of Federal restrictions on Indian fishing as a means of assuring the conservation and wiutilization of the fishery resources for the benefit of the Indians and other persons ertitled to the enjoyment thereof."

The proposed regulations provide that reservation treaty fishing permits shall t sued only to Indians who are members of "recognized Indian tribe having off-reser tion treaty fishing rights."

Following are the proposed regulation published in the Federal Register, July 16,

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs I 25 CFR Part 255 1 OFF-RESERVATION TREATY FISHING

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act of June 11, 1946 (60 Stat. 237), that the Secretary of the Interior proposes to amend Title 25, Code of Federal Regulations, by adding a new Part 255—Off-Reservation Treaty Fishing. The proposed regulations are set forth in tentative form below.

tentative form below. The proposed regulations are to be adopted under the authority contained intion 22, Title 5, United States Code, asections 2 and 9, Title 25, United S Code, and are intended to provide nework within which the exercise reservation fishing rights reserved ain Indian tribes under treaties he United States may be subjected deral restrictions and controls er required for conservation of shery resources.

to the final adoption of the proregulations, consideration will be o any data, views, or suggestions ing thereto which are submitted Int ing to the Commissioner of Indian

Als, Department of the Interior, Vington, D.C., 20242, within the Doi of 30 days from the date of pub-RIER.

new Part 255 reads as follows:

Purpose.

ł

10 M M Definitions

Off-reservation treaty fishing permits. -Unauthorized use of permit cards-only permittees to fish. Possession of permit card. Identification of fishing equipment.

2 Area regulations. -26

Enforcement.

Savings provisions.

HEORITY: The provisions of this Part 2sued under 25 U.S.C. 2 and 9; 5 U.S.C.

\$1 Purpose.

The purposes of these regulations

To assist in promoting the develont, management, conservation, and Petion of the Nation's fisheries Inces:

To assist in protecting the offmation fishing rights which were med to certain Indian tribes under treaties with the United States; To assist in the orderly admini-

son of Indian affairs;

To remove uncertainties resulting recent Federal and State court ns over the precise fishing reons with which Indians with treaty must comply;

To assist the States in enforcing aws and regulations for the conon of fish and wildlife to the exermitted under any Federal law activities of Indians; and

To facilitate consultation and coon between the States and the In-Ibes in the management and imient of fisheries resources affected a Federal laws or treaties.

The following conservation reguare found to be necessary to asthat the nonexclusive rights reserved stain Indians by treaty to fish at and accustomed places outside the daries of an Indian reservation shall otected and preserved for the benof present and future members of tribes and in a manner consistent the nonexclusive character of such Any exercise of an Indian off-tation treaty fishing right shall be tordance with these regulations, exis may be otherwise authorized by regulation approved by the Secreof the Interior or his designee or

ttted by less restrictive requirements ste law. 2 Definitions.

used in these regulations:

"Enforcement officer" means (1) becial officer of the Bureau of In-

dian Affairs, U.S. Game Management Agent, U.S. Fishery Management Agent or any other officer or employee of the Department of the Interior or any Indian tribe authorized by the Secretary of the Interior to enforce these regulations, and (2) any officer of any State or political subdivision thereof authorized to enforce State fish or game laws if there is in effect an agreement between the Secretary of the Interior and the fish or game mangement agency or agencies of such State for the recognition and enforcement of these regulations;

(b) "Off-reservation treaty fishing permit," "permit," or "permit card" mean a permit or card issued pursuant to § 255.3;

(c) "Off-reservation treaty fishing rights" or "treaty right" mean any right reserved or granted to one or more Indian tribes, bands, or groups by treaty with the United States to take, cure, or possess fish at usual and accustomed places outside the boundaries of an Indian Reservation in common with others;

(d) "These regulations" means the regulations comprising this Part 255 of Title 25 of the Code of Federal Regulations together with any regulations adopted pursuant to § 255.7, and any additions thereto or amendments thereof.

§ 255.3 Off-reservation treaty fishing permits.

(a) Subject to the provisions of these regulations, the Commissioner of Indian Affairs or his designee shall, upon application therefor, issue an Indian offreservation treaty fishing permit to any Indian whom he finds to be a member of a recognized Indian tribe having off-Such reservation treaty fishing rights. permits shall be issued for periods of not to exceed 5 years and shall be re-newed upon application so long as the holder remains entitled to off-reservation fishing rights.

(b) Effective January 1, 1968, no such permit shall be issued to any person who is not on an official membership roll of the tribe which has been approved by the Secretary of the Interior. Prior to that date, the Commissioner of Indian Affairs or his designee may issue such a permit to any person who submits evidence of his entitlement thereto satisfactory to the issuing officer. Any person claiming to have been wrongfully denied a permit may appeal the decision of the issuing officer to the Commissioner of Indian Affairs.

(c) Each permit card shall be evi-dence that the lawful holder is entitled to the off-reservation treaty fishing richts identified in said permit, to be exercised as provided in these regulations.

(d) No charge or fee of any kind shall be imposed for the issuance of an offreservation treaty fishing permit, provided that this shall not prevent any Indian tribe from imposing any fee or tax upon the exercise of any tribal fishing right.

(e) No person shall be issued a permit or permits on the basis of mem-bership in more than one tribe at any one time.

(f) All permit cards issued pursuant to these regulations shall be and remain the property of the United States and may be retaken by any enforcement officer from any unauthorized holder (including the permittee during any period for which the permit may have been suspended or revoked pursuant to these regulations). Any card so retaken shall be immediately forwarded to the officer who issued it.

(g) Each permit card issued under these regulations shall specify the period for which it is effective and shall state the name, address, tribal affiliation and enrollment number (if any) of the holder, identify the treaty under which the holder is entitled to fishing rights, contain such additional personal identification data as may be required on fishing licenses issued under the law of the State or States within which it is valid, and be signed by the issuing officer and countersigned by holder.

(h) Upon the revocation or suspension of the off-reservation treaty fishing privileges of the holder of a permit by any court of Indian Offenses or tribal court for violation of any tribal fishing ordinance incorporating or adopting the regulations in this Part 255 and approved by the Secretary of the Interior, any such permit.issued hereunder may be revoked or suspended for a like period. No permit shall be issued to any person whose off-reservation treaty fishing privileges may have been suspended or revoked by such court, during the period of such suspension or revocation.

§ 255.4 Unauthorized use of permit cards—only permittees to fish.

(a) No permit holder shall allow any use of his permit card by any other person. Any use of another's permit card by any Indian subject to these regulations shall constitute a violation of these regulations.

(b) Whenever exercising off-reservation treaty fishing rights no Indian shall allow anyone other than a holder of a currently valid permit under these regulations to fish for him, to use gear marked pursuant to these regulations, or to assist him in fishing.

§ 255.5 Possession of permit card.

Any Indian fishing under an off-res-ervation treaty fishing right shall have a currently valid off-reservation treaty fishing permit card in his immediate personal possession while so fishing, or while having in his possession outside an Indian reservation any fish so caught. He shall upon demand display the permit card to any enforcement officer.

§ 255.6 Identification of fishing equipment.

All fishing gear or other equipment used in the exercise of any off-reserva-tion treaty fishing right and not in the immediate personal possession of such Indian shall be marked in such manner as shall be prescribed in regulations issued pursuant to § 255.7 to disclose the identity of its owner or user.

§ 255.7 Area regulations.

(a) The Commissioner of Indian Affairs and the Commissioner of Fish and Wildlife shall from time to time jointly recommend to the Secretary of the Interior specific conservation regulations to govern Indian off-reservation treaty fishing for areas found by them to be in need of Federal restrictions on Indian fishing as a means of assuring the conservation and wise utilization of the fishery resources for the benefit of the Indians and other persons entitled to the enjoyment thereof. Such regulations shall be designed to prevent, in conjunction with appropriate State conservation regulations governing fishing by persons not fishing under treaty rights, the depletion or impairment of the fishery resources.

(b) In formulating their recommendations for regulations to be promulgated by the Secretary of the Interior, the two Commissioners shall seek the views of the affected Indian tribes, of the fishery conservation agency of any affected State, or of other interested persons as may desire to participate in the proposed rule making. A general notice of proposed rule making shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER to afford interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making through submission of written data, views, or arguments with or without opportunity to present the same orally as may be determined by the Secretary of the Interior. Following the expiration of the time allowed for the submission of written data, views, or arguments, the final recommendations of the Commissioners shall be submitted to the Secretary of the Interior for appropriate action. Such of the recommended regulations or modifications thereof as the Secretary shall adopt shall become effective on such date as the Secretary of the Interior shall prescribe.

(c) Any regulations issued pursuant to this section shall contain provisions for invoking temporary emergency closures or restrictions or the relaxation thereof

U.S. Tariff Commission

STUDY OF FREE ENTRY OF TEMPORARY IMPORTS--PUBLIC COMMENTS INVITED:

The U. S. Tariff Commission has begun a study of various statutory provisions included in title 19 of the United States Code. Included are those provisions permitting the temporary importation into the United States of merchandise without the payment of ordinary duties, or permitting a virtual recovery of duties paid when the imported merchandise or its domestic equivalent is exported either in its original form or in a changed condition.

Without excluding other sections, the study includes in whole or in part the following sections of title 19 of the U.S. Code: Section 81-Foreign Trade Zones; Section 1202-(Schedule 8, Part 5C) Temporary Free Entry under Bond; Section 1311-Bonded Manufacturing Warehouses; Section 1312-Bonded Smelting and Refining Warehouses; Section 1313-Drawback and Refunds; Section 1555-Bonded Warehouses; Section 1557-Entry for Warehouse--Warehouse Period--Drawback; Section 1562-Manipulation in Warehouse.

at the field level when necessary or appropriate to meet conditions not foreseeable at the time the regulations were issued.

(d) Regulations issued pursuant to this § 255.7 may include such requirements for recording and reporting catch statistics as the Secretary of the Interior deems necessary for effective fishery management.

§ 255.8 Enforcement.

(a) Any fishing or related activity which is contrary to the provisions of the regulations in this Part 255 and the laws of the State in which it occurs shall be deemed to be outside the scope of any off-reservation treaty fishing rights, and the offender shall be subject to arrest and prosecution under State law: *Provided*, That this paragraph (a) shall not apply to Indians fishing within any Indian reservation or within reservation boundary waters in which an Indian tribe has exclusive fishing rights.

(b) Any unattended fishing gear which is not marked or labeled for identification as required by the regulations in this Part 255 shall be presumed not to be used in the exercise of an offreservation treaty fishing right and shall be subject to control or seizure under State law.

§ 255.9 Savings provisions.

Nothing in these regulations (25 CFR Part 255) shall be deemed to:



 (a) Prohibit or restrict any perso from engaging in any fishing activity any manner which is permitted und State law;
(b) Deprive any Indian or any India

(b) Deprive any Indian or any Indi tribe, band or group of any right wi may be secured to him or to it by treaty or other law of the United Str.

(c) Permit any Indian to exercise tribal fishing right in any manner j hibited by any ordinance or regula of his tribe;

(d) Enlarge the right, privilege immunity of any person to engage in fishing activity granted or reserved treaty with the United States;

(e) Exempt any person or any fis gear, equipment, boat, vehicle, fish fish products, or other property from requirements of any law or regula pertaining to safety, obstruction of r gable waters, national defense, see of public property, pollution, health sanitation, or registration of boats vehicles; or

(f) Abrogate or modify the effect any agreement affecting fishing patices entered into between any Ind tribe and the United States, or any Su or agency of either.

JOHN A. CARVER, Jr., Under Secretary of the Interio JULY 5, 1965.

The study will review the original objectives of each provision, examine the extent which each provision is now accomplishing purposes, and determine the impact each provision has on U.S. international trade. The Commission is expecially interested in whet the economic forces which led to the creat of those programs have so changed in the tervening years as to warrant modification and possible consolidation of the procedurto meet current conditions.

Interested parties should file their conments with the Secretary, U.S. Tariff Conmission, Washington, D. C., no later than ember 1, 1965.

At the conclusion of a preliminary stud all comments and all other pertinent infortion, the Commission will publish a resum the results of the preliminary study, toget with any proposals for revision of the prestatutes. Public notice will be given there after of a hearing to be held by the Tariff mission to permit all interested parties to present, to produce evidence, and to be he regarding any proposed revisions. (U.S. T Commission, July 26, 1965.)



md States District Court

EAIN GULF SHRIMP FISHERMEN HELD OF INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS OF AX PURPOSES:

June 9, 1965, in three separate tax rein a ses (Thompson Enterprises, Inc. v. States; W. G. Wells, et al v. United and John Fernandez, et al v. United and John Fernandez, et al v. United be the United States District Court for thern District of Florida concluded as a serier of law that shrimp captains and there working on a share basis on plainfishrimp trawlers were not employees, the pendent contractors for Federal emter tax purposes.

tote Commercial Fisheries Review, June 1965 p. 83.



y-Ninth Congress Session)

blic bills and resolutions which may di-



fect the fisheries and allied industries are reported upon. Introduction, referral to committees, pertinent legislative actions by the House and Senate, as well as signature into law or other final disposition are covered.

rectly or indirectly af-

ROMOUS FISH CONSERVATION: Anadromous (65: Hearings before the Subcommittee on Fishil Wildlife Conservation of the Committee on the Marine and Fisheries, House of Representawith Congress, 1st session, on Hudson River f. Grounds, May 10, 11, 1965, <u>H. R. 23</u>, <u>H. R. 24</u>, 134, and <u>H. R. 4349</u>, bills to authorize the Secthe Interior to initiate a program for the connu, development, and enhancement of the Nation's nous fish in cooperation with the several states; 127, <u>H. R. 800</u>, <u>H. R. 2399</u>, and <u>H. R. 3798</u>, to the the Secretary of the Interior to initiate with states a cooperative program for the conservaneus fish, and for other purposes; June 2, 3, 1965, No. 89-9, 219 p.)., printed. Contents include ants, reports, and letters from various state and officials, members of Congress, and representrom various associations and business firms.

DUMPING ACT AMENDMENT: H. R. 9805 an) introduced in House July 13, 1965, to amend dumping Act, 1921; to Committee on Ways and ANTIDUMPING INTERNATIONAL PROCEDURES: S. Res. 133 (Javits), introduced in Senate July 28, 1965, expressing the sense of the Senate that the President immediately take such action as may be necessary to convene a conference of the major trading nations, and other interested states, to conclude a multilateral agreement harmonizing the antidumping laws and procedures of all nations; to Committee on Finance.

ECOLOGICAL RESEARCH AND SURVEY: S. 2282 (Nelson) introduced in Senate July 13, 1965, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a program of research, study, and surveys, documentation and description of the natural environmental systems of the United States for the purpose of understanding and evaluating the condition of these systems and to provide information to those concerned with natural resources management, and for other purposes; to Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs. Includes a section authorizing participation in environmental research in surrounding oceans in cooperation with other countries or with international organizations.

FACTORY-FISHING VESSELS: H. R. 10215 (Tupper) introduced in House August 2, 1965, to assist the domestic commercial fishing industry through the construction of three advanced design factory-fishing vessels; to Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

FISHERIES LOAN FUND EXTENSION: H. Rept. 600, Amending Fisheries Loan Act (July 7, 1965, report from Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries, U. S. House of Representatives, 89th Congress, 1st session, to accompany S. 998), 12 pp., printed. Committee reported favorably without amendments. Discusses purpose, need, background, conclusion, and cost of the legislation; departmental reports; and changes in existing law.

House July 12, 1965, passed without amendment S. 998, extending and liberalizing terms of fisheries loans which may be made under the Fish and Wildlife Act, and cleared it for the President. Rep. Dingell in <u>Congressional Record</u> (pp. 15778-15783), July 12, 1965, pointed out that S. 998 would extend for 5 years the period during which the Secretary would be authorized to make loans; authorize \$20 million as initial capital; expand the program so as to provide loans for the purchase of new and used vessels; permit loans for vessels other than for those replacing an existing vessel or one lost to the fleet; amend the 1965 act to create a permanent fund.

Senate July 15, 1965, sent to the President S. 998.

On July 24, 1965, the President signed <u>S</u>. <u>998</u> (P. L. <u>89-85</u>).

FISHERMEN'S <u>COOPERATIVE</u> ASSOCIATIONS BANK: <u>H. R. 9845</u> (Brown of Calif.) introduced in House, July 14, 1965, to provide credit facilities for the use of fishermen's cooperative associations through establishment of a Bank for Fishermen's Cooperative Associations, and for other purposes; to Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

FISHERMEN'S PROTECTIVE ACT AMENDMENT: H. R. 9810 (Wilson) introduced in House July 13, 1965, to amend the act of Aug. 27, 1954, relating to the seizure of vessels of the United States by foreign countries; to Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries. Rep. Wilson in Congressional Record (pp. 1596-1597), July 13, 1965, pointed out that the bill would amend the Fishermen's Protective Act so that the owner of any detained American-flag vessel will be reimbursed by the Secretary of the Treasury for all costs, including demurrage.

FISHERMEN'S ORGANIZATION AND COLLECTIVE BARGAINING: Subcommittee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries of Senate Committee on Commerce metAug. 5, 1965, on S. 1054, assuring bargaining rights of fishermen's organizations in the ex-vessel sale of fish on which the livelihood of their members depend.

FISHING LIMIT OF 12 MILES: Sen. Bartlett in Congressional Record (p. 15384), July 8, 1965, pointed out that in Japan his bill (S. 2218) has been criticized as being contrary to international law and to international custom. The facts do not support such statements. There is no international law establishing proper breadths for territorial seas.

Sen. Gruening in <u>Congressional Record</u> (pp. 16077-16079), July 13, 1965, spoke in the <u>Senate</u> regarding "Action To Establish the 12-Mile Limit for Our Fisheries Gains Support: It is Overdue." He inserted "Proclamation 2668--Policy of the United States with Respect to Coastal Fisheries in Certain Areas of the High Seas, By The President of the United States of America," "Executive Order 9633--Reserving and Placing Certain Resources of the Continental Shelf Under the Control and Jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior"; and Executive Order 9634; all issued by President Truman. Same day Rep. Wilson (Calif.) in extension of remarks inserted (p. A3715) an editorial from San Diego Union of July 1, 1965, "Twelve-Mile Coastal Limit is Excellent Beginning."

FOOD MARKETING NATIONAL COMMISSION: House, August 2, 1965, received a letter from the Chairman, National Commission on Food Marketing, transmitting interim report of the National Commission on Food Marketing, July 1, 1965, pursuant to Public Law 88-354; to Committee on Agriculture.

HALIBUT COMMISSION: S. Rept. 383, Offices For The International Pacific Halibut Commission (June 30, 1964, report from the Committee on Commerce, U. S. Senate, 89th Congress, 1st session, to accompany S. 1975), 8 pp., printed. Committee reported favorably with amendment. Discusses purpose, background, and need for legislation; cost; changes in existing law; Federal agency comments; and text of North Pacific Halibut Act of 1937, as amended.

House July 8, 1965, received Senate-passed S. 1975, an act to amend the Northern Pacific Halibut Act in order to provide certain facilities for the International Pacific Halibut Commission; to Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries. Also, July 9, H. R. 9734 (Pelly); July 13, H. R. 9801 (Adams); July 29, H. R. 10174 (Meeds); to Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries; all similar to S. 1975. Purpose is to authorize \$500,000 to construct facilities needed by the Commission. Rep. Meeds pointed out in Congressional Record (p. 18078) July 29, the necessity for the facilities and remarked on the Commission's work in conserving the North Pacific halibut resources.

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE APPRO-PRIATIONS, FY 1966: Subcommittee, in executive session, July 27, 1965, approved for consideration of full Senate Committee on Appropriations H. R. 7765, fiscal 1966 appropriations for the Departments of Labor and Health, Education, and Welfare, and related agencies. Includes funds for botulism research under the Food and Drug Administration; water pollution control under Office of the Secretary; pesticide activities, water supply and water pollution control, shellfish sanitation program, and botulism under the Public Health Service.

MARINE AND ATMOSPHERIC AFFAIRS COORDI TION ACT OF 1965: S. 2251 (Muskie and 17 others) troduced in Senate July 7, 1965, to coordinate the ma civilian marine and atmospheric functions of the Fee Government through the establishment of a Departm of Marine and Atmospheric Affairs, to enunciate nat policies pertinent to the marine and atmospheric int ests of the United States, to further the expanded ex tion of marine environs and the use of marine resou to encourage research and development in the marin atmospheric sciences and technologies, and for othe purposes; to Committee on Government Operations. Would among other things, establish a Department c rine and Atmospheric Affairs, which would include U. S. Maritime Administration, U. S. Coast Guard, I Coast and Geodetic Survey, U. S. Weather Bureau, t National Oceanographic Data Center, the coastal Eng neering Research Center, the Sea-Air Interaction La oratory, the Central Radio Propagation Laboratoryexisting agencies -- and a new Bureau of Marine Fish: ies formed by the division of the fisheries responsib. ties of the present Fish and Wildlife Service. A new coordinating office of Marine Geology and Mineral Ra sources would also be established. Also introduced House July 27, H. R. 10106 (Hathaway); July 28, H. F. 10136 (Rivers of Alaska) and H. R. 10138 (Thompson Texas); Aug. 3, H. R. 10231 (O'Neill of Mass.)

MARINE BIOLOGICAL LABORATORY: Senate Car mittee on Commerce July 19, 1965, submitted report (S. Rept. 463) on S. 1735 (without amendment).

S. Rept. 463, Land Use by U. S. Marine Biologica Research Laboratory, La Jolla, Calif. (July 19, 1965 report from the Committee on Commerce, U. S. Seru 89th Congress, 1st session, to accompany S. 1735), i pp., printed. Presents purpose of bill, agency repor and cost.

Senate July 21, 1965, passed without amendment 1735, limiting use of certain University of Californ lands donated for a marine biological research labor tory. Sen. Mansfield pointed out in Congressional ord (pp. 17066-17067), July 21, 1965, that the purpt the bill is to authorize and direct the Secretary of Interior to reconvey certain lands to the University California when those lands are no longer needed by United States or when the United States ceases to us the land for more than 2 years exclusively for fish and oceanography research purposes.

House July 22, 1965, received Senate-passed <u>S</u>. to Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

METRIC SYSTEM STUDY: Senate Committee of Commerce July 14, 1965, held hearings on S. 774, F viding for a study to determine the practicability of tion by the U. S. of the metric system of weights an measures. Hearings adjourned subject to call.

House Committee on Science and Astronautics he hearing Aug. 3, 1965, on <u>H. R. 2626</u>, similar to <u>S. 7</u>

MINIMUM WAGE: Subcommittee on Labor of Se Committee on Labor and Public Welfare concluded current series of hearings on S. 1986, to extend mir mum wage coverage under the Fair Labor Standard Act, and other pending related bills (S. 763, 1741, J and 2210). p. Krebs inserted in <u>Congressional Record</u> (pp. -3948) July 21, 1965, under extension of remarks, ussion on "Minimum Wage Should be \$2 an Hour."

R. 10275 (Roosevelt) introduced in House Aug. 4, 119 to amend the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 to eract its protection to additional employees, to raise this inimum wage, and for other purposes; to Committee Education and Labor.

HANOGRAPHY: Subcommittee on Oceanography outfine committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries have earings August 3-12 on H. R. 921, 2218, 5654, 60-4 5175, 5884, 7849, and 9064, similar bills dealing www-i arious approaches to oceanography in government.

ANOGRAPHIC AGENCY OR COUNCIL: Senate C cittee on Commerce, July 15, 1965, in executive seer, ordered favorably reported amended S. 944. Co cittee held another executive session on July 20, ann July 29, 1965, reported (S. Rept. 528) with amendmm to Senate S. 944, to provide for expanded research innoceans and the Great Lakes, to establish a Nationall anographic Council, and for other purposes. Senatitell pointed out in Congressional Record (p. 18146), Jula, 1965, that as amended bill provides for a "Natide Council on Marine Resources and Engineering Deveenent" staff at the Cabinet level, and that it will not dillst the existing oceanographic agencies, but will porte them with coordination and high-level endorsemuchey require. At the same time, it calls for a selflidgting Commission on Marine Science, Engineering, annesources, to plan a broad outline, over an 18ma cperiod, or proposed policy and direction.

committee on Oceanography of House Committee ommitchant Marine and Fisheries Aug. 3, 1965, held heavings on <u>H. R. 921</u>, and similar bills, dealing with varion of approach to the establishment of a compreheaving long-range and coordinated national program in occetgraphy; also held hearing on <u>H. R. 5175</u>, oceanogravilegal problems.

Murphy in Congressional Record (p. 18383), Aug. 3, . 3, spoke in the Senate, paying tribute to San Diego former world leadership in the exploration and study of oum 1 cms. One of the most significant contributions to 1 has been the launching of "Sealab II," the U. S. Name inan-in-the-sea-program. He continued: When these a lab II" underseas unit starts its experimental war ter this month in actual underseas quarters on these an bottom one-half mile off the Institution of Occurraphy at La Jolla, the Nation will see and hear televised broadcasts from "Sealab II" and the 210 Ct deep quarters. Aquanauts in the quarters will cash ut experimental salvage techniques, engage in occeptaphic and marine biological research, and undemain series of physiological and human performance tes lso referred to legislation he introduced to proviciopanded research and establish National Oceanogree Council; to S. 944 to coordinate Nation's overall efficience of the oceans and set up an Oceanographini incil; and Rep. Wilson's proposal to establish a Nam_1 Oceanographic Year.

Cull Russia Extends 'Oceanographic' Investigations."

LEGAL PROBLEMS: Subcommit-

Marine and Fisheries Aug. 3, 1965, held hearings on <u>H. R. 5175</u>, providing for a study of the legal problems of management, use, and control of the natural resources of the oceans and ocean beds. Also held hearing on <u>H. R. 921</u>, oceanographic agency or council.

OCEANOGRAPHIC RESEARCH VESSEL INSPECTION: H. Rept. 599, Exempting Oceanographic Research Vessels From the Application of Certain Vessel Inspection Laws (July 7, 1965, report from the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries, U. S. House of Representatives, 89th Congress, 1st session, to accompany <u>S. 627</u>), 9 pp., printed. Committee reported favorably with amendments. Discusses purpose, background, amendments, and cost of the legislation; and Federal agency comments.

House July 12, 1965, passed and returned to Senate, with committee amendments, <u>S. 627</u>, to exempt oceanographic research vessels from the application of certain vessel inspection laws.

Senate July 19, 1965, concurred in House amendments to <u>S</u>. <u>627</u>; this cleared bill for President's signature.

S. 627, to exempt oceanographic research vessels from the application of certain vessel inspection laws, was signed by the President July 30, 1965, P. L. 89-99.

PASSAMAQUODDY TIDAL POWER PROJECT: Senate July 12, 1965, received a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting, for the information of the Senate, reports on the Passamaquoddy tidal power project and the Upper St. John River hydroelectric development (with accompanying document); to Committee on Public Works. On same day House received the same communication (H. Doc. 236); to Committee on Public Works.

H. R. 9765 (Hathaway, Maine) and H. R. 9775 (Tupper, Maine) introduced in House July 12, to authorize a flood control project on the upper St. John River, and for other purposes; to Committee on Public Works. Rep. Hathaway inserted letters he wrote to the President and the Secretary of the Interior; also a letter from Secretary Udall to the President which spells out the proposals of the Secretary and which was approved by the President. Among the recommendations in Secretary's letter was one in which the Federal Government, in full participation with State and regional planning groups, would continue to intensify a comprehensive program already planned and initiated for the multiple use of the area's natural resources including, among others, fish and wildlife conservation, particularly by restoration of the Atlantic salmon fisheries.

TECHNOLOGICAL LABORATORY LAND IN MARY-LAND: The Public Lands Subcommittee of Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs July 9, 1965, in executive session, approved for full committee consideration S. 1988. Property affected includes the site of the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries Technological Laboratory, College Park, Md.

Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs July 19, 1965, favorably reported and submitted report (S. Rept. <u>468</u>) on <u>S. 1988</u>.

Senate July 21, 1965, passed with committee amendment S. 1988. Sen. Mansfield pointed out in Congressional Record (pp. 17068-17069), July 21, 1965, that the bill would authorize the Secretary of the Interior to convey to the State of Maryland approximately 14 acres of land on the University of Maryland campus at College Park, Md., which was donated by the State of Maryland to the United States in 1935, and now occupied by the Bureau of Mines and the Fish and Wildlife Service.

House July 22, 1965, received Senate-passed S. 1988.

TERRITORIAL SEA AND CONTIGUOUS ZONE CON-VENTION: H. R. 10177 (Rivers of Alaska) and H. R. 10183 (Pelly), introduced in House July 29, 1965, to establish a contiguous fishery zone beyond the territorial sea of the United States; to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries. Similar to other Senate and House bills.

TRADE EXPANSION ACT AMENDMENT: H. R. 9696 (Berry) introduced in House July 8, 1965, to amend the Trade Expansion Act of 1962; to Committee on Ways and Means. Rep. Berry in <u>Congressional Record</u> (pp. 15485-15486), July 8, 1965, pointed out that the legislation which he was joining in introducing would go far to moderate the extreme measures that could be taken under the present law. First, the hope of gaining adjustment assistance would be considerably enhanced by loosening the requirements of the law. Second, criteria are laid down by which items can be removed from the President's list of products offered for tariff cuts. The prost quotas if certain import levels are reached. Also, H. R. 9920 (Fisher) July 19; H. R. 10058 (Dent), July 26; to Committee on Ways and Means.

Rep. Moore in <u>Congressional Record</u> (pp. 15733-15735), July 9, 1965, stated that he was joining those who have introduced a bill to amend the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 so as to "remove certain items from the President's list and provide machinery for the imposition of import quotas to prevent imports from doing yet more damage than they have already inflicted on many of our industries."

Rep. Fisher in extension of remarks in Congressional Record (p. A3873-A3875), July 19, 1965, pointed out the urgent need for amending the Trade Expansion Act of 1962. Bill would establish criteria to guide our negotiators in Geneva, would make it possible to prevent further tariff reductions in all instances in which imports have reached a height equal to at least $7\frac{1}{2}$ percent of domestic production, provided that the imports had increased at least 75 percent since 1958, which was the year in which the last preceding tariff-cutting act was passed.

H. R. 10135 (Fogarty), introduced in House July 28, 1965, to amend the Trade Expansion Act of 1962; to the Committee on Ways and Means. Would in effect establish a new style of peril point by providing that no product that is imported to the extent of at least $7\frac{1}{2}$ percent of domestic production and has increased as much as 75 percent since 1958 would be subjected to another tariff cut under the present negotiations; or if imports already supply as much as 20 percent of the domestic market, while the number of production workers in the domestic industry has declined since 1958, no further tariff cut would be permitted. There are a few other criteria that, if met by imports of a particular product, would remove that item from the President's authorization to cut the tariff.

Rep. Langen pointed out in Congressional Record (pp. 18067-18068), July 29, 1965, under the title, "U.S. Economy Needs Improved Trade Policy," that he joined others who have introduced legislation to amend the Trade Expansion Act, and gave his reasons. H.R. 11 (Utt) introduced in House July 29, to amend the Tra Expansion Act of 1962; to Committee on Ways and N

<u>H. R. 10237</u> (Mrs. Reid of Ill.) and <u>H. R. 10285</u> (introduced in House Aug. 3, and Aug. 4, 1965, resp tively, to amend the Trade Expansion Act of 1962; Committee on Ways and Means. Rep. Collier in <u>C</u> gressional Record (p. 18569), Aug. 3, 1965, pointed that a bill should be passed that provides that any uct whose imports have risen 75 percent since 195 now occupies $7\frac{1}{2}$ percent of domestic production, s be taken off the list of products to be considered for further tariff reductions.

VESSEL "JANICE VEE:" Subcommittee on Coas Guard, Coast and Geodetic Survey, and Navigation of House Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisherie July 14, 1965, held hearing on <u>H. R. 2137</u>, to permit vessel Janice Vee to be documented for use in the f eries and coastwise trade.

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ADMINISTRAT Senate July 28, 1965, insisted on its amendments to Water Quality Act of 1965, asked for conference wi House, and appointed conferees.

House July 29, 1965, insisted on its amendment i S. 4; agreed to a conference asked by the Senate, an appointed conferees. Would amend the Federal Wa Pollution Control Act as amended, to establish the i eral Water Pollution Control Administration, to progrants for research and development, etc.

Conferees, Aug. 4, 1965, met to resolve the diffe ences between the Senate- and House-passed version of S. 4; recessed subject to call.

WATER PROJECT RECREATION ACT: Senate 12, 1965, received message from the President and ing that on July 9, 1965, the President approved an signed S. 1229, to provide uniform policies with restorecreation and fish and wildlife benefits and conference and the purpose water resource project for other purposes (P. L. 89-72).

WATER RESOURCES PLANNING ACT: Common of Conference July 8, 1965, filed conference report Rept. 603) in House on S. 21, to provide for the opt development of the Nation's natural resources thro the coordinated planning of water-related land resestablishment of a water resources council and rives sin commission.

H. Rept. 603, Development of the Nation's Natur Resources (July 8, 1965, report from the Commit Conference, U. S. House of Representatives, 89th (gress, 1st session, to accompany S. 21), 13 pp., pr Committee recommended that Senate recede from disagreement to the amendment of the House and a to the same with an amendment. Discusses statem of policy and effect on existing laws; presents tex bill, and statement of managers on the part of the B

By a voice vote House July 13, 1965, adopted the ference report on S. 21, and sent the bill to the Ser

Senate July 14, 1965, received and agreed to re of the Committee of Conference on <u>S. 21</u>. Thus bil cleared for President's signature.

President on July 22, 1965, signed S. 21 (P. L.)

0