BOTTOM LONGLINE EXPLORATIONS IN THE GULF OF MEXICO A Report on "Oregon II's" First Cruise

By Walter R. Nelson* and James S. Carpenter**

he BCF Exploratory Fishing and Gear Rearch Base at Pascagoula, Miss., has been corned with improving the harvest and mesting methods of the snapper industry adocating stocks of bottomfish not now used abod. In 1960, Captiva and Rivers reported one practical use of roller-rigged fish rals for catching snappers and groupers, othis method has not yet been accepted by thindustry.

lecent emphasis has been placed on bottrish explorations with longline gear along tedge of the Continental Shelf and upper trinental Slope, an area that has received token coverage. Shrimp and snapper expations have been confined generally to the less than 50 fathoms; royal-red shrimp explorations have been carried out mainly in depths greater than 200 fathoms. Limited sampling has been done in the 50- to 200fathom depth range with shrimp trawls, which are not efficient for catching large mobile fish.

Segments (Trips 3 and 7) of Cruise 1 of the R/V Oregon II (fig. 1) were designed to add knowledge of bottomfish stocks within the 50-to 200-fathom depth range, to determine the availability of deepwater bottomfish to long-line gear, and to evaluate the commercial feasibility of this gear.

These trips were concerned with exploring for new fishery resources in the Gulf of Mexico. Coverage, not maximum production,



1 - The R/V Oregon II, the new, 170-foot, multipurpose fishing vessel of BCF's Exploratory Fishing and Gear Research Base at uscagoula, Miss. She will conduct exploratory work in the Gulf, Caribbean, and South Atlantic.

Lishery Biologist BCF Exploratory Fishing and Gear Research Base, Pascagoula, Miss. 39567

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Fish and Wildlife Service Sep. No. 826 was emphasized. The catch rates would have been higher had we sampled intensively those areas where large catches were made. Also, the gear used was a rather small sampling unit designed for a rapid survey.

GEAR

The bottom longline or "trawlline" consisted of three 100-hook baskets of gear shackled together. One basket each of sizes 6, 7, and 9 circle hooks baited with squid or ladyfish was fished at each station. Hooks on



Fig. 2 - Longline gear ready to be set off the stern of the R/V Oregon II.

6- to 12-inch monofilament gangions were a tached at 10-foot intervals to a $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch poly dactylene or nylon mainline. The line we coiled in shallow wooden notchedbox and set off the stern (fig. 2). Fishing the varied from 1 to 2 hours. The gear was re trieved with a Japanese longline hauler co signed for tuna and swordfish longlines. The short gangions and circle hooks went through the roller and hauler easily, so the fisher had to handle the line only when removing find (fig. 3). Including running time between stations, as many as 8 gear sets were main within a 24-hour period.

The only sizable losses of gear occurry when sets were made on snapper lump. There, hangups were frequent. Jarvis, 1935, and Whiteleather and Brown, in 194 reported large gear losses from bottom lon line sets made on rough bottom in the Gu and Caribbean. Most of the Oregon II set however, were made off coral areas and litt fouling took place. Overall, only about 2 per cent of the total hooks fished were lost.

AREA FISHED

Exploratory fishing was conducted (Texas and Louisiana, the northern edge of t Campeche Bank, the west coast of Florida a in the northern Gulf from Cape San Blas, Fl. to the mouth of the Mississippi River (fig. Fishing was done off the Texas and we



Fig. 3 - Removing small fish from longline. Short gangions went through the roller (on the rail) and longline hauler (right) with fouling.



Fig. 4 - Bottom longline sets made on R/V Oregon II's Cruise 1.

Louisiana coasts in October 1967 and throughout the other areas in January 1968.

RESULTS

The most abundant food species by number and weight was the tilefish, Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps (table 1, fig. 5). This valuable foodfish species has been taken commercially off the Middle Atlantic States since the early 1900's (Bigelow and Schroeder, 1953). Small tilefish have been taken occasionally during deepwater explorations in the Gulf with shrimp trawls, but never in abundance. Fishery statistics show that a few thousand pounds of tilefish are landed yearly at Florida ports, but these fish are taken in small numbers by snapper fishermen. The species previously had not been considered to be of potential commercial importance as a separate fishery.



Fig. 5 - The tilefish, Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps.

Tilefish were taken in all Gulf areas. A total of 285 tilefish weighing 1,695 pounds were caught at 48 stations. The fish ranged from 1 to 27 pounds and averaged 6 pounds. Tilefish were caught on 28 of 39 longline sets in depths of 150 to 200 fathoms, the depth range of greatest abundance. Total depth range was 90 to 225 fathoms. Tilefish were taken over a temperature range of 50° to 63° F., but they were most abundant in a narrow range of 55° to 57° F. They were caught only once in depths greater than 200 fathoms, even though several deeper stations had temperatures in the optimum range; they were caught only twice at depths less than 125 fathoms, although numerous shallower sets were made in waters of less than 63° F. The distribution of tilefish appears, therefore, to be affected by both depth and temperature.

Small tilefish were taken occasionally several consecutive hooks. This indicat they may exhibit schooling behavior. Lar individuals, however, were widely separat on the line.

No large concentrations of fish were in cated on depth recorder tracings in are where tilefish were taken. Individual fipicked up on an oscilloscope proved to small sharks when sets were made. No dication was found that tilefish occur in dem concentrations as do some other botto species.

Tilefish appeared to be more abundant a rough bottom or on moderate to steep slops than on broad expanses of smooth botton This phenomenon might be due to either hab tat preference or food availability.

Because information was gathered only October and January, nothing is known of t distribution and abundance of tilefish duri other months. However, the environme should be fairly stable at depths of 150 to 2 fathoms and any major seasonal chang would be unexpected.

Highest catches of tilefish were made a the Texas coast. The largest catch of 2 pounds was made at 150 fathoms, followed a 217-pound catch at 190 fathoms (fig. Average catches (table 2) approached $\frac{1}{2}$ pour per hook at 200 fathoms. All 6 sets betwee 150 and 200 fathoms off Texas caught tilefis

Next in tilefish abundance was the Ca peche Bank. The largest catch was 12 th fish weighing 166 pounds. All 8 sets at ab



Fig. 6 - Tilefish taken on one 300-hook set off Texas.

behavior took tilefish. Few tilefish were reast of Alacran Reef, but the catch av- $23\frac{1}{2}$ pounds per 100 hooks west of ar an Reef. The heaviest concentration with of Arenas Cay.

It he northern Gulf, tilefish were taken mouth of the Mississippi River and he eastern edge of DeSoto Canyon. The catch per 300-hook set was 14 fish ing 104 pounds at 175 fathoms, the depth fatest abundance. Central and western or is of DeSoto Canyon were not sampled, tis quite probable that tilefish inhabit the fit canyon area off northwest Florida.

ly 3 tilefish were caught off the west of Florida, from 125 to 225 fathoms. In atch, although low, at least showed tilesin the area. Concentrated stocks may a been missed because of limited a ning.

le other foodfish found in some abundance ahe yellowedge grouper, <u>Epinephelus</u> <u>aimbatus</u>, which accounted for over 50 ent of the total weight of all species of pers. In contrast to most species of rers, the yellowedge was not limited to outerrain. It was caught frequently in rs of flat smooth bottom. A total of 113 eveighing 1,168 pounds was taken at 21 tons over a depth range of 70 to 150 fath-The fish were relatively large: average of t was 10 pounds, size range 4 to 20

Lowedge grouper were abundant in only f areas. Highest catches were made off G --one set at 100 fathoms yielded 24 fish ing 271 pounds. The largest catch on the beche Bank was a 105-pound catch made northwestern edge. Only 3 yellowedge bers were caught in the northern Gulf, tone was taken from the west coast of ida.

he warsaw grouper, <u>E. nigritus</u>, was next inportance to the yellowedge grouper off is and on Campeche Bank. At depths of 125 fathoms, the average catch per 100 is for the 2 areas was 10 pounds and 12 ids, respectively.

Oderate numbers of the gray tilefish, Caulus microps, were taken on east Cam-Bank from 75 to 125 fathoms. The st catch was 125 pounds at 125 fathoms. age size was 6 pounds and size range was 1 to 15 pounds. This species is not listed in American Fisheries Society Special Publication No. 2 (1960). We are proposing the common name "gray tilefish" because of its distinctive coloration. In a few instances, tilefish and gray tilefish were taken on the same set, but generally their ranges did not overlap.

Other foodfishes taken in small quantities were red snapper, vermilion snapper, wenchman, scamp, red grouper, black grouper, porgies, and Gulf hake.

Sharks constituted the largest single bottomfish component (table 1)--32 percent of the total bottomfish catch. Over half the sharks were taken from the northern Gulf area. The catch comprised dogfish sharks, <u>Squalus</u> and <u>Centrophorus</u>, and smoothhounds, Mustelus, averaging about 4 pounds.

Off the Texas coast where catch rates were highest, foodfish constituted 77 percent of the total catch; they accounted for about one-half of the total foodfish catch for all Gulf areas, although only about one-fourth the total effort was expended off Texas (table 1). Peaks in foodfish abundance off Texas were found at about 100 and 200 fathoms (table 2). Several species of groupers (mostly yellowedge grouper) were predominant in the 100- to 125-fathom depth range. They were replaced by tilefish in deeper waters.

On the Campeche Bank, the second most productive foodfish area, most foodfish were taken west of Alacran Reef. As occurred off Texas, groupers dominated shallower areas, and were replaced by tilefish beyond 125 fathoms. Foodfish constituted 74 percent of the total catch, but they were relatively abundant only around 125 fathoms (table 2).

The north Gulf catch was comprised primarily of sharks; the tilefish was the only foodfish taken in any abundance (table 1). The other foodfish category, for the most part (table 2), consisted of Gulf hake.

Catches were extremely low off the west coast of Florida for all depths and all species.

COMMERCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Our longlining results agree with those of earlier workers (Jarvis, 1935; Whiteleather and Brown, 1945) in that longline gear does not appear commercially feasible for catching snappers, but it may have some application for groupers. A number of sets were made on rough bottom within the depth range inhabited by red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, and silk snapper, Lutjanus vivanus. However, only 1 silk snapper and 65 red snappers were caught during the entire cruise. Grouper catches were higher, but these approached possible commercial concentrations only off Texas in about 100 fathoms.

The apparent absence of dense schooling behavior in large tilefish makes it unlikely that they would support a handline fishery. A longline covers a relatively larger area of bottom than do handlines. It should provide higher catch rates per unit of effort. Our catch rates made in areas of greatest concentration off Texas compare favorably with an early report on longline catches of 30 to 40 tilefish per 400 to 500 hooks off the Middle Atlantic States (Bumpus, 1899).

The Texas coast is the only part of the Gulf that appears to offer commercial potential with longlines. Certainly a large number of hooks would have to be fished. Projections of catch rates presented in this paper indicate that a daily fishing effort of 5,000 hooks should result in average daily catches of about 2,000 to 4,000 pounds of tilefish.

LITERATURE CITED

AMERICAN FISHERIES SOCIETY

- 1960. A list of common and scientific names of fishes from the United States and Canada. American Fisheries Society, Special Publication No. 2, 102 pp.
- BIGELOW, HENRY B. and WILLIAM C. SCHROEDER 1953. Fishes of the Gulf of Maine, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Fishery Bulletin, Vol. 53, 577 pp.

BUMPUS, HERMON C. 1899. The reappearance of the tilefish. Bulletin U. S. Fish Commission, Vol. 18, pp. 321-333.

CAPTIVA, FRANCIS J., and JOAQUIM B. RIVERS 1960. Development and use of otter-trawling gear for red snapper fishing in the Gulf of Mexico, June 1957-

We conclude from the results of Cruis of the R/VOregon II that the bottom long is more valuable as a tool for locating assessing bottomfish stocks than as a met of commercial harvesting by presentstandards.

A trawling potential appears lik throughout the Gulf where the bottom is excessively rough. Tilefish are taken comercially with trawls in the Middle Atla States, so they should be susceptible to tra ing in the Gulf. In most areas where tile were taken, the bottom was rough or slop but little recorded bottom was unsuitable the use of roller-rigged fish trawls, C tainly a tilefish potential exists. Future de water fish trawling cruises in the Gulf by R/VOregon II are scheduled to determine feasibility of a trawl fishery for this foodfi

A detailed fishing log (table 3) show geographic position, depth, date, catch related data for each set is available as appendix to the reprint (Sep. No. 826) of t article. Tables 1 and 2 are also in the repr For a free copy of the Separate, write Branch of Reports, Publications Unit, BC 1801 N. Moore St., Arlington, Va. 22209.

May 1959. Commercial Fisheries Review, Vol No. 10, pp. 1-14. (Also Sep. No. 600.)

COMMERCIAL FISHERIES REVIEW

1968. "Oregon II" tests sonar and longline on first of Commercial Fisheries Review, Vol. 30, No. 17-19.

JARVIS, NORMAND.

1935. Fishery for red snappers and groupers in the Mexico. [U. S.] Bureau of Fisheries, Investiga Report No. 26, 29 pp.

WHITELEATHER, RICHARD T. and HERBERT H. BROW 1945. An experimental fishery survey in Trinidad, Tot and British Guiana. Anglo-American Carib Commission, Washington, D. C., 130 pp.

